

# Assessing the capability of the SWAT model to simulate snow, snow melt and streamflow dynamics over an alpine watershed

Y. Grusson, X. Sun, Simon Gascoin, S. Raghavan, F. Anctil, Sabine Sauvage, J.M. Sánchez-Pérez

## ► To cite this version:

Y. Grusson, X. Sun, Simon Gascoin, S. Raghavan, F. Anctil, et al.. Assessing the capability of the SWAT model to simulate snow, snow melt and streamflow dynamics over an alpine watershed. Journal of Hydrology, 2015, 531, pp.574-588. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.10.070. hal-02351636

# HAL Id: hal-02351636 https://hal.science/hal-02351636

Submitted on 11 Jun2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Assessing the capability of the SWAT model to simulate
2	snow, snow melt and streamflow dynamics over an alpine
3	watershed
4	
5	
6	Youen Grusson <sup>(a, f)</sup> , Xiaoling Sun <sup>(a,b)</sup> , Simon Gascoin <sup>(c)</sup>
7	Sabine Sauvage <sup>(a,b)</sup> , Srinivasan Raghavan <sup>(d)</sup> , François Anctil <sup>(f)</sup> , José Miguel Sanchez Pérez <sup>(a,b)</sup>
8	
9	<sup>a</sup> University of Toulouse; INPT, UPS; Laboratoire Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Environnement (EcoLab), Avenue
10	de l'Agrobiopole, 31326 Castanet Tolosan Cedex, France
11	<sup>b</sup> CNRS, EcoLab, 31326 Castanet Tolosan Cedex, France
12	° Centre d'Études Spatiales de la Biosphère (CESBIO), Toulouse, France
13	<sup>d</sup> Spatial Sciences Laboratory, 1500 Research Plaza, Office 221E, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX
14	77845
15	<sup>f</sup> Chaire de recherche EDS en previsions et actions hydrologiques, Department of Civil and Water Engineering,
16	Université Laval, Québec, G1V 0A6, Canada
17	
18	contact: youen.grusson.1@ulaval.ca ; Francois.Anctil@gci.ulaval.ca ; jose-miguel.sanchez-
19	perez@univ-tlse3.fr
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	1

#### 25 Abstract:

26

27 Snow is an important hydrological reservoir within the water cycle, particularly when the 28 watershed includes a mountainous area. Modellers often overlook water stocked in snow pack 29 and its influence on water distribution, especially when only some portions of the watershed is 30 snow dominated. Snow is usually considered to improve hydrological modelling statistics, but 31 without any regard for the realism of its representation or its influence on the hydrological cycle. 32 This is all the more true when semi-distributed models are used, often considered inadequate 33 for spatially representing such phenomena. On the other hand, semi-distributed models are 34 being increasingly used to realise water budget assessment at a regional scale and such studies 35 should not be realised without a good representation of the snow pack. Lack of field 36 measurements is also a frequent justification for avoiding validating simulated snow packs. In 37 this study, remote sensing data provided by MODIS is combined with in situ data, enabling the 38 validation of the snow pack simulated by the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT), a semi-39 distributed, physically-based model, implemented over a partly snow-dominated watershed. 40 Snow simulation was performed without complex algorithms or calibration procedures, using 41 the elevation bands option included in the model and related snow parameters. Representation 42 of snow cover and hydrological simulation were achieved by a standard automatic calibration 43 of the model, over the 2000-2010 period, performed by SWAT-Cup/SUFI2, using six 44 hydrological gauging stations along the fluvial continuum downstream of the snow-dominated 45 area. Results highlight three important points: i) Set-up of elevation bands over mountainous 46 headwater improved hydrological simulation performance, even well downstream of the snow-47 dominated area. ii) SWAT produced a good spatial and temporal representation of the snow 48 cover, using MODIS data, despite a slight overestimation at the end of the snow season on the 49 highest elevation bands. A comparison of the model estimate of snowpack water content with 50 in situ data revealed an underestimation in water content in the lower part of the watershed and 2

a slight overestimation in its upper part. Those errors are linked and originate from difficulties of the model to incorporate very local spatial and temporal variations of the precipitation lapse rate. iii) Elevation bands brought consistent changes in water distribution within the hydrological cycle of implemented watersheds, which are more in line with expected flow paths.

58 Keywords: SWAT, MODIS, Hydrological modelling, Snow simulation, Elevation band,
59 Garonne River.

60

# 61 **1. Introduction**

62

Water production is undeniably linked to mountainous areas that often contribute between 40 % 63 64 and 60 % of global discharge, an estimation that can increase regionally up to 95 % (Viviroli and Weingartner, 1999; Viviroli et al., 2003). Therefore, in hydrological modelling, snowfall, 65 66 snow accumulation, and snowmelt are among processes that have the greatest impact on the 67 global water cycle. Differences in their estimation may cause substantial changes to hydrological simulations (Verbunt et al., 2003; Zeinivand and Smedt, 2009). This is all the more 68 69 true when watersheds are located wholly or partly in mountains where, by temporarily storing 70 water, snow affects the timing and amplitude of the seasonal hydrograph. Furthermore, many 71 studies have highlighted the importance of taking snow processes into account when evaluating 72 climate change impact (Barnett et al., 2005; Douville et al., 2002; Gurtz et al., 2005; Viviroli et 73 al., 2011). Some models incorporate snow processes exclusively (Coughlan and Running, 1997; 74 DeBeer and Pomeroy, 2009; Garen and Marks, 1996; Martelloni et al., 2012), using anything 75 from a degree-day formulation to more complex energy budgeting to simulate snowmelt. From 3

76 an operational point of view, there are two main ways of accounting for snow in hydrological 77 models. The most common method is to use the snow pack reservoir in the model only to 78 improve performance on discharge simulation, without verifying the adequacy of the snow pack 79 simulation in terms of water content, spatial distribution and temporal evolution (Troin and 80 Caya, 2014; Wang and Melesse, 2005). The second method simulates snowpack conditions 81 (Pradhanang et al., 2011) in order to obtain a good representation of the "snow water storage" 82 as a part of the hydrological cycle. The latter approach is often constrained by the availability 83 of *in situ* snow data with appropriate spatial and temporal resolutions. In this context, remote 84 sensing is a valuable source of critical data. For instance, MODIS (Moderate Resolution 85 Imaging Spectroradiometer) is one of the most used remotely-sensed snow data sources (Hall 86 and Riggs, 2007; Klein and Barnett, 2003).

87

Regardless of whether validating snowpack or not in a model, studies concerned with the hydrological impact of snowmelt are often confined to watersheds immediately downstream of the principal snow accumulation areas – often small in size. Few studies have gone further by including downstream basins in their analysis. However, it is essential to take the influence of snow on the hydrological cycle into account, to improve water management on a larger scale, even if the watershed is not strictly snow dominated.

94

95 The Soil Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model (Arnold et al., 1993) is a physically-based, 96 comprehensive, continuous, semi-distributed and watershed-scale simulation model that allows 97 the simulation of a large number of physical processes. It has been successfully implemented 98 in many locations (Douglas-Mankin et al., 2010; Gassman et al., 2007). It includes a snow 99 module, allowing the delimitation of up to ten elevation bands with associated temperature and 98 precipitation lapse rates (Fontaine et al., 2002; Luo et al., 2012; Rahman et al., 2013).

101

In a previous study, Zhang et al. (2008) tested the benefits on SWAT discharge and runoff simulations to model snow without elevation bands, with elevation bands, and even with another more complex algorithm: SNOW17. Their results showed that using elevation bands is much more efficient than without. However, the use of a more complex algorithm failed to enhance discharge simulation and their conclusions were entirely based on hydrological performance and did not deal with the realism of snow representation.

108

109 The present study attempts to take the analysis further. It looks at determining how far SWAT 110 is able to represent snow in terms of spatial and temporal distributions and stored water quantity. 111 The analysis relies on a standard calibration procedure, i.e. based only on stream flow 112 observations. No direct calibration of the snow pack or the snow water equivalent is realized, 113 but these are assessed using MODIS and in situ data. Previous studies (Hong et al., 2010; 114 Ouyang et al., 2010; Park et al., 2013; Stehr et al., 2009; Strauch and Volk, 2013) have 115 experimented using MODIS data, but simply to validate SWAT streamflow simulations,. Only 116 Stehr et al. (2009) have assessed the use of MODIS as a source of snow distribution data for 117 validate SWAT snow simulations for a small, entirely snow-dominated basin, where no other 118 data were available. The present study examines a much larger area than the Stehr et al. (2009) 119 study (455 km<sup>2</sup>), focusing on the upper part of the Garonne River watershed (9200 km<sup>2</sup>), which 120 drains a mountainous region. Another limitation of our study is the lack of reservoir 121 management data to set up the SWAT model, a relatively common problem in hydrology, given 122 the difficulties associated with obtaining such data from operators. The work presented here 123 has thus been conducted without reservoir management data. The last part of the paper is 124 dedicated to analysing how changes in snow dynamics representation influence SWAT water 125 budget.

126

Several studies have been carried out in the investigated region. Fischer (1932); Pardé (1936);
Probst (1983) provide comprehensive hydrological descriptions of the Garonne watershed.
Voirin-Morel (2003) applied the hydrometeorological model ISBA-MODCOU, while Sauquet
et al. (2010) used MODCOU and GR4J to simulate discharge over the Garonne river
Watershed. Following from their work, Caballero et al. (2007) and Dupeyrat et al. (2008) tested
the response of the Garonne River to climate change using CEQUEAU and ISBA-MODCOU.

Preliminary studies have also been performed using SWAT. Chea (2012) and Pinglot (2012)
assessed pros and cons of using SWAT over this diversified catchment. They highlighted the
important role played by snow accumulation and snow melt over the catchment. However, most
SWAT applications on the Garonne focused on low altitude segments, deprived of the influence
of snow (Boithias, 2012; Boithias et al., 2011; Ferrant et al., 2011; Oeurng et al., 2011).

Hence, the objectives of this study are: i) to explore the various snow representation possibilities, including elevation bands, offered by SWAT; ii) to validate SWAT snow simulations using MODIS data supplemented with *in situ* data; iii) to assess the impact of different snow dynamics computation on SWAT water budgets.

144

145

# 146 **2. Materials and methods**

### 147 **2.1.** Study Area

148 The Garonne River is 525 km long and one of the principal fluvial systems in France, 149 draining a 55 000 km<sup>2</sup> area located in southwest France into the Atlantic Ocean. The large range 150 of altitudes and slopes within the watershed leads to a diversity of hydrological behaviours that 151 could be attributed to three geographic entities: the Pyrenees to the south, the Massif Central to152 the north-east, and the plain between them (Probst, 1983).

153

154 The Pyrenean portion of the watershed largely influences the hydrological regime and consists 155 of high mountains (some peaks exceed 3 000 m) above a large plain, whose elevation is less 156 than a few hundred meters (Fig. 1). This portion, which represents nearly one sixth of the 157 Garonne watershed and is largely influenced by topographic factors (Probst, 1983), is the focus 158 of the present study. The Pyrenees portion of the Garonne river Watershed, which covers 9 200 159  $km^2$ , has its outlet at Portet where an average flow of 189  $m^3/s$  (1910-2013) has been reported. 160 The highest discharge on record reached  $4,300 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  and the lowest was  $23 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ . Over the same 161 period, when looking at inter-annual mean monthly values, the highest flows occurs in May (348 m<sup>3</sup>/s) and the lowest in September (84 m<sup>3</sup>/s). Elevation ranges from 150 m to 3,145 m, 162 163 while 44 % of the watershed has an elevation below 500 m and 20 % above 1,500 m. (Fig. 1). 164 Land use analyses from Corine Land Cover maps (CLC, 2006) reveal that the plain is dominated 165 by crops and pastures. Agricultural activities represent 49 % of the watershed, while the 166 hillsides of the Pyrenees (35 % of the watershed) are covered by forests. For altitudes above 167 2,500 m, vegetation is composed of alpine grassland and shrub.

168

According to the FAO soil classification on the European Soils Data Base map (ESDB, 2006), the soil composition is dominated by different types of cambisols (65 % of the catchment). Similar to the land use conditions, existing soil types differ with terrain condition and altitude. In the plain, calcic cambisol (27%) is dominating on eutric podzoluvisol (6%) orthic luvisol (6%) and fluvio-calcic fluvisoil (9%) that are present along streams. Slopes of the Pyrenees are dominated by dystic cambisols (32%) associated with orthic rendzina (5%). Above 2,500m, soil composition is divided between humic cambisols (5%), ranker (6%), and lithosols (3%).

177 Climate across the entire Garonne watershed does not reflect the same level of variability as for 178 the Pyrenees. In the mountains, temperatures fall below freezing during winter months, while 179 the winter temperatures in the plain generally remain positive. Dessens and Bücher (1997) 180 stressed the variability of the Pyrenean precipitation, especially in winter when totals may be 181 up to three times higher in the mountains than in the plain. In terms of temperature, analysis of 182 Météo-France weather data provides a good example of this variability. Throughout the 2000-183 2010 period, mean minimum and maximum temperatures at the Genos station (1,250 m) in 184 February were - 3°C and 3.5°C respectively. For the same period, the Blagnac station (151 m), 185 only 120 km away, shows mean minimum and maximum temperatures of 3°C and 11.5°C (Fig. 186 1). Variability in air temperatures associated with altitude the terrain causes irregularity in snow 187 distribution: for instance the mountainous areas are snow dominated during winter while snow 188 is absent in the plain all year long.

189

190 The watershed is also impacted by human activities, mainly by the presence of several dams 191 obstructing the natural flow of the river. Subbasins 18, 24, 25, 26 and 27 (Fig.1) account for 192 most of the reservoirs, which are primarily used for low flow support. Consequently, those 193 reservoirs impact the hydrologic regime during the summer and the autumn but have a limited 194 effect on the simulation of the snow processes. In Sauquet et al. (2010) and Hendrickx and 195 Sauquet (2013), observed discharge data and naturalized discharge data are compared at four 196 gauging stations : Valentine, Roquefort, Foix and Portet (Fig.1). This comparison highlights 197 the limited impact of human activities on discharge during winter. Of the four gauging stations, 198 only Foix seems to be partly impacted, over the January-March period. It should also be noted 199 that this influence is not transmitted downstream to the Portet station.

200 [Fig1 approximately here]

### 201 **2.2.** SWAT model

202

203 SWAT was developed to simulate the impact of land use changes on hydrology, water quality 204 and erosion. It is a semi-distributed model, based on a discretisation of the area. The first step 205 of this discretisation consists in dividing the watershed into sub-watersheds, based on topography. SWAT then identifies hydrological response units (HRUs) within each sub-206 207 watershed, based on soil, land use, and slope. The HRUs are then used to compute a water 208 balance based on four reservoirs: snow, soil, shallow aquifer, and deep aquifer. The main 209 hydrological processes include infiltration, runoff, evapotranspiration, lateral flow, and 210 percolation. Water balance computation is performed at the HRU level, aggregated at the 211 subbasins level, and routed toward the reaches and the catchment outlet. The SWAT model has 212 been chosen for this study because it has been successfully applied worldwide, over a wide 213 range of scales, topographies, and climate conditions. It also allows the modeller to simulate 214 various hydrological fluxes and reservoirs including snow (Douglas-Mankin et al., 2010; 215 Gassman et al., 2007; Gassman et al., 2014). ArcSWAT 2012, which includes a GIS-based 216 graphical interface, has been used for this study to define subwatersheds, HRUs and generate 217 input files for the model.

218

For its water budget, SWAT distinguishes solid and liquid precipitation based on near-surface air temperature. The snowfall temperature parameter (SFTMP) is compared to the mean daily air temperature at subbasin scale; if it is lower than SFTMP, precipitation is then considered solid. If precipitation is considered solid, it is accumulated until snowmelt.

223

Snowmelt is mainly controlled by the air and snowpack temperature along with the daylight
hours. Water volume generated by snowmelt process over a subwatershed, also depends on the

226 extent of the snow cover. Table 1 shows modifiable parameters related to snow at the catchment

227 level. A more comprehensive description of equations used by SWAT can be found in Neitsch

228 et al. (2011)

- 229
- 230

Table 1: Modifiable	snow parameters
---------------------	-----------------

SWAT parameters	Description	Default values
SFTMP	Snowfall temperature	1.0°C
SMTMP	Snowmelt temperature	0.5°C
SNO_SUB	Initial snow water content	0 mmH <sub>2</sub> O
SNOCOVMX	Snow water content for 100 % snow cover	1.0 mmH <sub>2</sub> O
SNOW50COV	Fraction of SNOCOVMX corresponding to 50 % snow cover	0.5
SMFMX	Snow melt factor on 21 June	4.5 mmH <sub>2</sub> O/°C-day
SMFMN	Snow melt factor on 21 December	4.5 mmH <sub>2</sub> O/°C-day
TIMP	Snowpack temperature lag factor	1.0

231

In SWAT, snowfall, snowpack, and snowmelt processes are always computed by the model as soon as the temperature falls below the threshold of snowfall temperature. But it also enables those processes to be spatially refined as a function of elevation. A maximum of ten elevation bands can thus be defined for subbasins as appropriate. Precipitation and temperature are then taken into account for each individual elevation band, exploiting two lapse rates: one for temperature (*tlaps* in °C/km) and one for precipitation (*plaps* in mm H<sub>2</sub>O/km/yr).

238

In this paper, the benefits of different snow computing and calibration options are tested. Three different projects are set up: a first one, as a reference, without regard to snow calibration, a second one using basin-scale global snow parameters, and a last one using elevation band discretization and lapse rates. Table 2 and Figure 1 identify the seven subbasins where this last variation has been implemented. (See section 2.4 for more details)

244

SWAT elevation bands (Fontaine et al., 2002) are set up by specifying their number, their mean elevation, and the proportion of the subbasin area they encompass. However, there is no consensus in the literature on a recommended number of elevation bands. Among the few studies dealing with this issue, some define bands as a function of elevation (Fontaine et al., 10

249	2002; Luo et al., 2012; Rahman et al., 2013; Stratton et al., 2009), while others define them as
250	a function of area (Pradhanang et al., 2011; Stehr et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2008). The number
251	of bands varies from 1 to 10. Fontaine et al. (2002), who developed the snow module, found
252	that using 5 altitudinal bands improved simulation. As far as the authors are aware, only
253	Pradhanang et al. (2011) have compared simulations with various numbers of bands (0, 1, 3,
254	and 5). They concluded that using three or five elevation bands improved simulation. However,
255	the topography of their watershed was not as pronounced as for the Garonne: 800 m instead of
256	2,530 m (Table 2). Ten elevation bands were therefore set up here.

- 257
- 258
- 259 260

 Table 2: Statistics on elevation (m), including snow dominated subbasins (grey) (Locations are given in Fig.1)

	Elevation						Ele	evation	
Subbasin	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Subbasin	Min	Max	Mean	Median
1	140	276	203.9	212	15	195	1597	439.9	386
2	142	286	204.8	207	16	270	1566	499.9	441
3	143	200	163.0	162	17	198	1690	506.5	404
4	154	342	230.9	232	18	197	2317	526.2	400
5	178	362	257.7	260	19	420	2119	957.1	877
6	157	394	242.2	235	20	480	2136	1001.3	960
7	157	587	314.9	317	21	390	2826	1222.5	1117
8	155	798	313.2	287	22	377	2172	873.8	801
9	192	746	360.4	348	23	392	2840	1198.4	1116
10	265	503	315.0	297	24	419	3139	1485.2	1459
11	264	539	389.0	386	25	480	3146	1536.9	1517
12	319	567	384.8	368	26	471	3086	1702.6	1709
13	360	710	456.9	438	27	473	2886	1532.0	1555
14	322	2102	760.3	633	28	503	2928	1721.1	1775

261

### 262 **2.3.** *Model setup*

### 263 GIS layer and meteorological data sets

Table 3 identifies the data sources used to set up the model. In order to delineate the watershed and compute the flow directions of the river system, a digital elevation model (DEM) with a 90 m resolution from NASA and METI was employed (ASTER, 2011). Land uses come from the Corine Land Cover (CLC, 2006) map on a scale of 1:100,000. The catchment is divided up into 25 land use types. Soil data are derived from the European Soil Database (ESDB, 2006) map

on a scale of 1:1,000,000, which relies on FAO soil classification adapted to SWAT by Chea

270 (2012). Climate data consist in daily time-step measurements from 12 Météo-France (French

271 weather forecasting agency) stations (Fig. 1), from January 1997 to December 2010.

272

Table 3: Data sources						
Data type	Data source	Scale				
DEM	NASA/METI (ASTER, 2011)	Grid cell 90m x 90m				
Land use	Corine Land Cover (CLC, 2006)	1:100,000				
Soil	European Soil Database (ESDB, 2006)	1:1,000,000				
Climate	Météo-France (https://donneespubliques.meteofrance.fr/)					
River discharge	Banque Hydro (http://www.hydro.eaufrance.fr/)					
Snow cover area	National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC)	Grid cell 500m x 500m				

273

### 274 Hydrological data

275 Monthly stream flow data from six selected gauging stations along the river continuum were 276 used to calibrate the model: Saint-Béat, Foix, Valentine, Roquefort, Auterive and Portet (Fig.1). 277 This selection was intended to represent the topographic diversity of the catchment – some are 278 located in the mountain range and others in the plain. Some of those stations are present on the 279 Garonne River (Saint-Béat, Valentine, Portet) while others are on its main tributaries: the Salat 280 (Roquefort) and the Ariège Rivers (Foix and Auterive). The aim was to perform a calibration 281 along the river continuum. Data originate from the Banque Hydro national database and cover 282 the period from 1997 to 2010. The only data missing over this period are: December 2008 for 283 the Valentine station and July to November 2000 for the Auterive station.

284

#### 285 Snow covers data: MODIS and *In situ* data

Snow cover area data were extracted from the MOD10A2 product version 5 (Hall et al., 2006).
MOD10A2 provides syntheses of the maximum snow extent over a compositing period of eight
days from February 2000 to the present. For each pixel, MOD10A2 indicates whether snow
was detected at least once over a period of eight days (snow presence or absence). This product
is generated using observations from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
(MODIS) on board NASA's Terra satellite. The original grid spatial resolution is close to 500
m. The MOD10 series snow products have been extensively validated in various environments,

including mid-latitude mountainous areas (Hall and Riggs, 2007). MOD10A2 is well suited to
hydrological studies because most of the cloud-covered pixels are eliminated by the
compositing procedure (Magand et al., 2013). However, cloud-covered pixels will remain in
the MOD10A2 synthesis whenever clouds persist more than eight days. Missing data have been
interpolated in order to allow a direct comparison with the model output as described in
(Gascoin et al., 2015).

299

300 MOD10A2 tiles over the Pyrenees were first assembled and reprojected in the Lambert-93 301 reference system at 500 m resolution using the nearest-neighbour option in the MODIS 302 Reprojection Tool (Dwyer and Schmidt, 2006). A simple gap-filling algorithm adapted from 303 Parajka and Blöschl (2008) was then applied to interpolate the remaining pixels obstructed by 304 clouds. The algorithm works in three sequential steps: (i) spatial filter: each cloud pixel is 305 reclassified as snow (no snow) if at least five of the eight adjacent pixels are classified as snow 306 (no snow); (ii) temporal filter: a cloud pixel is reclassified as snow (no snow) if the same pixel 307 is classified as snow in both the preceding and the following grid (*i.e.* in the previous and the 308 subsequent eight-day syntheses). This temporal filter can be extended to the grids n+2 and/or 309 n-2 if cloud obstruction persists in grids n+1 and/or n-1; (iii) the remaining cloud-covered pixels 310 are reclassified on an image basis using a classification tree taking into account four prediction 311 variables derived from the location and the topography (pixel elevation, aspect, northing and 312 easting). The resulting gap-free product was extracted from the seven snow-dominated 313 subbasins of the study area (Tab. 2) to compute the snow cover area time series at the eight-day 314 time step. However, MOD10A2 data before gap-filling were used for the spatial comparison 315 with the model results (see point 3.1).

316

Manual snowpack measurements from six Météo-France sites (Fig.1) are available from 2000
to 2010 at a daily time step (https://donneespubliques.meteofrance.fr/). They are spread across 13

subbasins 23, 24, and 25. Two monitoring stations at different elevations are present in each of
those subbasins. Table 4 provides details of their elevation, location, affiliated subbasin and
elevation band numbers.

- 322
- 323

Table 4 : Snow monitoring information								
Station name	Elevation(m)	Lat	Long	Subbasin	Band			
Aulus les Bains	733	42°48'N	1°20'E	23	2			
Port d'Aulas Nivose	2140	42°46'N	1°07'E	23	8			
St Lary Soulan	827	42°49'N	0°19'E	24	2			
Eget	1016	42°47'N	0°16'E	24	3			
St Paul d'Oueil	1115	42°50'N	0°33'E	25	3			
Maupas-Nivose	2430	42°43'N	0°33'E	25	8			

324 325

## 326 **2.4.** Model sensitivity analysis and calibration

Model sensitivity analysis and calibration were performed for three SWAT projects. The *reference project* uses standard parameters and default values for the snow parameters (and no elevation bands). These parameters are then passed to the following two projects. The *snow parameters project*, as suggested by its name, identifies the snow parameters but not the elevation band parameters. Finally, the *elevation bands project* adds ten elevation bands to the snow-dominated subbasins.

333 Sensitivity analysis and calibration were undertaken by SWAT-Cup (Abbaspour, 2013), and its 334 SUFI-2 algorithm (Abbaspour et al., 2004). SWAT-Cup is an external software tool allowing 335 SWAT users to realise automatic calibration with more comfort and efficiency, which has been 336 used increasingly by the SWAT community (Arnold et al., 2012). In SWAT-Cup, users have 337 the option between different calibration algorithms of which SUFI-2 is known to achieve a good 338 calibration performance in a limited number of iterations (Yang et al., 2008). 339 A large number of parameters may be calibrated through SWAT-Cup, making SWAT a very 340 adaptive model. Only a subset of them may actually be selected for a sensitivity analysis. In 341 this study, the initial parameter selection was interpreted on previous SWAT modelling across the Pyrenees and the Garonne watershed (Boithias, 2012; Chea, 2012; Oeurng et al., 2011;
Pinglot, 2012).

344

345 The sensitivity analysis methodology follows the one-at-a-time procedure proposed in 346 Abbaspour (2013). This procedure tests SWAT sensitivity to changes in a parameter, when all 347 other parameters are kept constant. Sampling relies on the latin hypercube method (McKay et 348 al., 1979) in order to cover all the domain of variation of the parameters, dividing the user-349 defined ranges into several subranges of equal probability. In all, 32 parameters were 350 considered (Table 5): 21 hydrological parameters for the reference project, 8 for the snow 351 parameters project and 3 for the elevation bands project. Five runs were performed over the ten-352 year period from 2000 to 2010, preceded by a three-year warming period (1997-2000).

 Table 5: Parameters considered for the sensitivity analysis

Parameters	Description	Min	Max	Default
	HYDOLOGICAL PARAMETERS			
EPCO	Plant uptake compensation factor	1	0	1
SURLAG	Surface runoff lag time	0.5	1	4
GW_Delay	Groundwater delay	0	500	31
GW_Revap	Groundwater "revap" coefficient.	0.02	0.2	0.02
GWQMN	Threshold in the shallow aquifer for return flow to occur	0	5000	1000
GWHT	Initial groundwater height	0	25	1
GW_SPYLD	Specific yield of the shallow aquifer	0	0.4	0.003
SHALLST	Initial depth of water in the shallow aquifer	0	50000	500
DEEPST	Initial depth of water in the deep aquifer	0	50000	1000
ALPHA_BF	Base flow alpha factor (days)	0	1	0.048
REVAPMN	Threshold in the shallow aquifer for "revap" to occur	0	500	0
RCHRG_DP	Deep aquifer percolation fraction	0	1	0.05
ESCO	Soil evaporation compensation factor	0	1	0.95
CN2 (relative test)	SCS runoff curve number	-0.2	0.2	HRU
CANMX	Maximum canopy storage	0	100	HRU
OV_N	Manning's "n" value for overland flow	0.01	30	HRU
SOL_AWC (relative test)	Available water capacity of the soil layer	-0.5	0.5	soil layer
SOL_K (relative test)	Saturated hydraulic conductivity	-10	10	soil layer
SOL_Z (relative test)	Depth from soil surface to bottom of layer	-500	500	soil layer
EVRCH	Reach evaporation adjustment factor	0.5	1	1
EVLAI	LAI at which no evaporation occurs from water surface	0	10	3
	SNOW PARAMETERS			
SFTMP	Snowfall temperature	-10	10	4.5
SMTMP	Snowmelt base temperature	-10	10	4.5
TIMP	Snowpack temperature lag factor	0	1	1
SMFMX	Maximum melt rate for snow during year (summer solstice)	0	20	1
SMFMN	Minimum melt rate for snow during year (winter solstice)	0	20	0.5
SNOW50COV	Snow water equivalent that corresponds to 50% snow cover	0	1	0.5
SNOWCOVMX	Snow water content that corresponds to 100% snow cover	0	100	1
SNO_SUB	Initial snow water content	0	300	0
	ELEVATION BAND PARAMETERS			
TLAPS	Temperature lapse rate	-10	10	-6
PLAPS	Precipitation lapse rate	-100	500	0
SNOEB	Initial snow water content in elevation bands	0	300	0

356 Once sensitive parameters have been identified, a 1500-run calibrations, as recommended in 357 Yang et al. (2008), were performed three time (one for each project), for the six gauging stations 358 identified in Figure 1. SWAT-Cup allows the user to select subbasins for calibration. In order 359 to avoid possible conflict caused by the use of hydrologically-connected gauging stations, three 360 groups of subbasins were created. Parameters were thus identified in three steps from upstream 361 to downstream, leading to different values for each group. Group 1 included subbasins upstream 362 of Saint-Béat, Roquefort and Foix; Group 2 included the remaining subbasins upstream of 363 Portet: Valentine and Auterive; Group 3 is the outlet of the catchment: Portet. Snow parameters 364 for the other two projects were identified in a second step, but at catchment scale, using all six 365 gauging stations simultaneously. Elevation band parameters were finally identified, at subbasin 366 scale, for snow-dominated subbasins (Table 2), using all six gauging stations simultaneously. 367 Calibration and performance criterion calculations have been performed without regard to 368 missing data, as allowed by SWAT-Cup. For each gauging station, calibration was conducted using the Nash-Sutcliffe criterion (NS) (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970) as the objective function. 369 370 This metric is normalized in order to allow comparing between the variance of the observed 371 dataset and the existing residual variance between this same observed dataset and the simulated 372 one. NS ranges from  $-\infty$  to 1 and is sensitive to large errors. The NS equals 0 when the model 373 is as accurate as the mean of the observed data set and NS equals 1 when the model offers a 374 perfect fit. After calibration, performance was also evaluated based on the percent bias (Pbias). 375 This second metric measures the average bias existing between simulated and observed data. It 376 is given as a percentage. A negative value indicates underestimation while a positive value 377 indicates overestimation. Bias is nil when Pbias equals 0.

378

### 2.5. Validation of snow simulation

MODIS and *in situ* data are only used for validation. Neither of them can be used for calibration.
SWAT computes snow water equivalence – discretised or not by elevation band – when MODIS
detects the presence of snow in term of surface and *in situ data* would have required a very
dense spatial density for calibration, which is not available.

384

After calibration, MODIS and the observed snow data were used to validate SWAT snow simulation. Simulated spatial and temporal series were compared to MODIS data and temporal series to *in situ* snowpack observations.

Spatial analysis compares snow presence and absence for specific days: during the maximum extent of the snow period and at the end of the snow period, when the snow is melting and its extent reduced, *i.e.* around mid-February for the maximum extent and in May for the end of the melting period. The MODIS detection level was estimated to be about 15 mm of snow water equivalent (SWE) following Klein and Barnett (2003). Accordingly, the presence of snow in SWAT maps was confirmed only for simulated SWE above 15 mm, based on the average value for all HRUs present per elevation band.

395

Temporal analysis was performed on each snow-dominated subbasin, comparing SWE in two different ways: MODIS and manual observations. For MODIS, the validation was undertaken at subbasin scale, by averaging daily SWE values of all bands on every subbasin over the entire simulation period. For the *in situ* observation, validation was performed at the station scale. Since only the snowpack depth was actually measured, snowpack densities between 0.2 and 0.45, typical of the Pyrenees (Fassnacht et al., 2010; Lopez-Moreno et al., 2013), were explored to allow a comparison of SWE and SWAT outputs.

# 404 **3. Results**

# 405 **3.1.** *Model performance*

The sensitivity analysis identified the most influential parameters for each project (Table 6) from the initial list given in Table 5. Ten of the twenty-one hydrological parameters influence the variance of the first SWAT project, while the majority of the snow and elevation band parameters are also retained for the same reasons.

- 410
- 411
- 412

#### Table 6: Influential parameters

Hydrologica	l parameters	Snow param	eters	Elevation band parameters
GW_Delay	RCHRG_DP	SMFMX	SMTMP	TLAPS
GW_Revap	ESCO	SMFMN	TIMP	PLAPS
GWQMN	CN2	SNOW50COV		
ALPHA_BF	CANMX	SNOWCOVMX		
REVAPMN	SOL_AWC	SFTMP		

413

414

415 Calibrated values for each group of subbasins created for the abovementioned reasons are

- 416 presented in Table 7.
- 417 418

#### Table 7: Calibrated values for each project

Reference project					
Parameters	Calibration Range	Calibrated values			
		Subbasins calibrated:			
		Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	
CN2.mgt (Relative from HRU values)	-0.1/+.01	+0.065	-0.020	-0.06	
SOL_AWC.sol (Relative from Soils layers values)	-0.05/+0.05	-0.038	-0.042	-0.004	
GW_DELAY.gw (Relative from default values=31)	-30/60	4.63	87.19	22.15	
GWQMN.gw (Relative from default values=1000)	-500/500	1033.67	806.33	679.00	
GW_REVAP.gw	0.02/0.2	0.10	0.12	0.03	
RCHRG_DP.gw (Relative from default values=0.05)	-0.04/0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	
ALPHA_BF.gw	0/1	0.23	0.25	0.75	
REVAPMN.gw	0/1000	467.00	449.67	583.00	
CANMX.hru	0/30	16.81	19.57	0.39	
ESCO.hru	0.5/0.95	0.56	0.80	0.85	
	Snow parameters pr	<u>oject</u>			
Parameters	Calibration Range		Calibrated values		
		Subbasins calibrated:			
			All (catchment scale)		
SFTMP.bsn	-2/2		1.30		
SMTMP.bsn	-2/2		1.97		
SMFMX.bsn	2/6		4.96		
SMFMN.bsn	2/6		3.16		
TIMP.bsn	0/1	0.14			
SNOCOVMX.bsn	0/50	38.38			
SNO50COV.bsn	0.3/0.7	0.50			
	Elevation bands pro	<u>pject</u>			
Parameters	Calibration Range		Calibrated values		
			Subbasins calibrated		
All (catchment scale) Snow dominated subbasing					

SFTMP.bsn	-2/2	1.52	
SMTMP.bsn	-2/2	-0.49	
SMFMX.bsn	2/6	3.05	
SMFMN.bsn	2/6	5.84	
TIMP.bsn	0/1	0.54	
SNOCOVMX.bsn	0/50	29.48	
SNO50COV.bsn	0.3/0.7	0.64	
TLAPS.sub (Relative from default values= -6)	-2/2		-0.61 (= -6.61)
PLAPS.sub (Relative from default values=200)	-100/500		+423.40 (= 623.40)

419 420

421 The results associated with each project are illustrated in Table 8. The performance of the 422 reference project is poor overall: the mean NS criterion for monthly discharge reaches only 423 0.22, while Pbias is 24.5%. Only one gauging station has NS higher than 0.5. Retaining those 424 calibrated hydrological parameters and identifying snow parameters (snow parameters project) 425 worsened performance: a mean NS of 0.15 and Pbias of 39.83%. Even though NS improved at 426 the final outlet (Portet), seeking snow parameters that are valid for all mountainous elevations 427 proved to be difficult, if not impossible. Indeed, results for some stations are improved 428 (Valentine, Portet) while others are worse (Roquefort, Auterive), creating inconsistency in 429 performance at catchment scale. Pbias followed a similar trend, since only Valentine and Portet 430 improved compared with the reference project. On the other hand, the elevation bands project 431 led to a better performance: mean NS of 0.53 and Pbias of 3.98. Performances in terms of NS 432 are now more consistent with the ones in the reference project. Except for Valentine, all gauging 433 stations improved in performance. It is also noteworthy that the improvement is not only limited 434 to the snow-dominated subbasins, but is also transmitted down to the outlet of the catchment 435 (Portet), located tens of kilometres into the plain and where the largest gain in realised: NS of 436 0.88 instead of 0.21 and Pbias of 1 instead of 47.3.

- 437
- 438 439 440

 Table 8 : Calibration performance: NS and P-bias for each gauging station at a monthly time step and for each calibration project: reference, snow parameters and elevation bands projects

	Reference Project		Snow paran	ieters Project	Elevation bands project		
	NS	P-Bias (%)	NS P-Bias (%)		NS	P-Bias (%)	
Saint Béat	0.18	4.4	0.24	22.3	0.48 -15.1		
Foix	0.14	37.4 -0.16 56		56.5	0.61	25.7	
Roquefort	0.67	16.7	-0.03	57.8	0.69	0.2	
Valentine	0.3	-15	0.75	1.4	0.28	-34.2	

Auterive	-0.17	56.2	-0.46	66.5	0.18	46.3
Portet	0.21	47.3	0.57	34.5	0.88	1

441

#### 442 **3.2.** Snow simulation

443 Streamflow simulation is improved introducing elevation bands to the model setup. This study 444 tries to go further by considering the temporal evolution of the simulated snow cover. The latter 445 was assessed comparing SWAT outputs to MODIS data at 10 February 2005, which roughly 446 corresponds to the maximum snow cover (Figure 2-A), and at 10 May 2005, which is typical 447 of the end of the snow season (Figure 3-B).

448

SWAT snow cover in Figure 2-A is fairly consistent with MODIS data, especially for subbasins 21 and 26. It is somehow underestimated in subbasins 27, 24, and 25 and overestimated in subbasin 23 where the largest disparity is noticed. On the other hand, SWAT melting lags MODIS data in Figure 2-B, but snow is then limited to the mountain tops. Overall representation of snow in Figure 2-B is slightly overestimated by SWAT. Subbasins 27 and 24, where snow is underestimated during snow season, improve during the melting period.

455

#### 456 [Fig2 approximately here]

457

The previous analysis was complemented by a time-series comparison of the MODIS percentage of snow cover and SWAT snow water equivalent for the entire ten-year period. Figure 3 illustrates the extent of snow cover period. The MODIS data depict the surface area covered by snow, while SWAT provides snow water equivalent values. For most years and subbasins, SWAT and MODIS snow season begins simultaneously, even if a delay is noticeable for SWAT in 2005 or 2007 and for some subbasins in 2006. There is less agreement at the end 464 of the snowmelt period, when SWAT maintains snow longer than that reported by MODIS data,

465 as in Figure 2. The peak of the snowpack also occurs later for SWAT than for MODIS.

466

#### 467 [Fig3 approximately here]

468

A comparison was also carried out between SWAT snow water equivalent and a range of snow water equivalent values calculated from the snowpack depth time series (2000-2010). However, for the sake of clarity, the analysis presented here focused on the 2004-2005 snow accumulation and melting periods, which offered the widest spatial coverage – in practice, only the *Maupas-Nivose* site is affected by missing data, so 2003-2004 is used at that site instead. As a first step, Figure 4 compares SWAT snow outputs for the elevation bands to which the stations belong, and to the upper or lower bands for completeness.

476

### 477 [Fig4 approximately here]

The comparison reveals the altitudinal distribution of the snow water equivalent within SWAT.
Simulations for the highest sites, Port d'Aulus Nivose and Maupas Nivose (elevation band 8),
overestimate the snowpack while lower elevation bands offer a closer fit to the observations.
The same discrepancy occurs for the lower stations (Aulus-les-Bain, Saint Larry Soulan, Eget,
Saint Paul d'Oueil) where higher bands offer a better agreement to the observations. These
findings are consistent with the previous spatial and temporal analyses.

484

# 485 **4. Discussion**

### 486 **4.1.** Model sensitivity analysis and calibration

487

488 Parameters deemed influential by the sensitivity analysis are consistent with those of other 489 studies, particularly Stratton et al. (2009) where sensitivity is explored in the context of water 490 budget under snow influence. The two main differences concern ground water delay and 491 maximum canopy storage. Ground water delay (GW DELAY) is lower in the upper part of the 492 catchment because of its altitudinal soil structure and slope that favour water circulating faster 493 than in the plain. The presence of a dam upstream of the Valentine gauging station may also 494 provide an explanation. For the same reason, canopy storage (CANMAX) differs since the 495 upper part is mostly forested and the lower parts foster agricultural grassland and fields.

Important similarities between parameters considered as sensitive can also be observed in Palazón and Navas (2014). In this work, conducted over a proximal watershed on the Spanish side of the Pyrenees, sensitive parameters related to groundwater circulation and snow are identical. The only difference is this initial volume of water in the aquifers, which are not considered sensitive in our case, as regard of the 3 years warmup period performed.

501

502 Only two elevation band parameters are non-influential: the initial water content parameters 503 SNO\_SUB and SNOEB. Insensitivity may originate from using a three-year warming period, 504 which diminishes their influence on the variance of the model outputs by balancing this 505 reservoir before the first year of simulation. Differences between the snow parameters project 506 and *elevation bands project* culminate in the snowpack lag factor (TIMP) and snow melt 507 temperature (SMTMP). In the *snow parameters project*, SMTMP appeared slightly too high 508 (1.97 °C), but the use of elevation bands reduced it to a more likely value (-0.49 °C). PLAPS 509 and TLAPS calibrated value are consistent with values used by Palazón and Navas (2014) 510 where TLAPS values is set to -5.0C°/km and PLAPS is set to values between 550 and 1000 511 mm/km depending on the watershed considered.

The performance of the reference project stresses the possible drawbacks of calibrating a project when only the upper part of the catchment is snow dominated. Improving the simulation identifying snow parameters at basin scale is not very effective either. However, identification of the elevation band parameters clearly improved the performance, not only for the snowdominated subbasins, but also for the plain downstream.

518

### 519 **4.2.** Validation of snow simulation

520

521 SWAT elevation bands improve hydrological performance and lead to plausible snowpack
522 simulations. Highlights of some elements of the analysis are summarized below:

523 First, comparison of snow simulations, with *in situ* data revealed a non-homogeneous error: 524 snow is overestimated in higher elevations and underestimated in lower ones. The fact that 525 the error is not a function of altitude impedes any improvement based on linear temperature 526 or precipitation lapse rates alone. As underlined by many authors, *e.g.* Kirchner et al. (2013) 527 and Minder et al. (2010), orogenic lapse rate is a complex phenomenon, highly dependent 528 on local topographic factors, such as valley shapes, seasonal variations, and temporal phenomena such as temperature inversion and foehn wind. Rijckborst (1967) analysed 529 530 precipitation measurements over the upper part of the Garonne – corresponding to subbasin 531 28 in Fig1 – and found lapse rate from 340 to 880 mm/km/year. Castellani (1986) in northern 532 Alps, founds that area judged as homogeneous in terms of precipitation, lapse rates from 200 533 to 600 mm/km/yr. Hence, the identification of a single temperature and precipitation lapse 534 rates over large watersheds will inevitably lead to errors. Winter temperature inversion is 535 also a common phenomenon across the Pyrenean area (Pagès and Miró, 2010; Pepin and 536 Kidd, 2006). It could lead to a reduction in snowfall uphill and an increase downhill, which 537 is consistent with the SWAT snowpack simulation error.

538 Second, a consequence of the overestimation in higher elevations, the snow cover area near 539 the end of the melting period and the snowmelt duration are also overestimated. However, 540 SWAT could only compute snow on each elevation band – a finite entity – which is 541 restrictive. Elevation bands represent, in some way, the maximum spatial resolution of the 542 model. Snow in the higher parts of subbasins is definitely a sensitive part of the computation 543 process, which specifically could require more resolution. On the basis of that assessment, 544 two options appear feasible: modify the SWAT model to compute more bands, thereby 545 increasing the model resolution, or use the ten bands already available differently, by not 546 setting them up regularly from top to bottom, but with thinner bands in the upper part. 547 However, the present study deals with bands that are set up using an equal elevation fraction 548 (10%) and does not test snow simulation driven by computation using bands of an equal 549 area fraction. By using irregularly spaced elevation bands with thinner bands at higher 550 altitudes, covering a smallest surface and elevation range, resolution in the higher elevation 551 will be increased. As SWAT uses the mean elevation in each band to compute the change in 552 temperature and precipitation, the increased elevation range represented by upper bands 553 could reduce the overestimation observed in the present study. Weather data are also 554 essential parameters in the snow simulation process. This study was developed using 12 555 different weather stations (Figure 1), which is a substantial number for this 9,200 km<sup>2</sup> 556 catchment in comparison to other successful studies (Bieger et al., 2014; Stehr et al., 2009). 557 Moreover, data from each station can be considered reliable, with the mean rate of missing 558 values over the simulated period being 0.25 % for temperature data and 0.5% for 559 precipitation data.

560 Finally, scarcity of reservoir management data doesn't seem to be determining in the snow 561 dynamics simulation, even after calibration process. When comparing subbasins affected by 562 reservoir management, particularly for subbasin 26 and 27, which were found to be the most 563 impacted during winter (Sauquet et al., 2010), and non-impacted subwatershed (21, 23, and 24 564 28), no substantial difference can be detected between snow simulation error time series,565 after validation with the MODIS data.

566

# 567 **4.3.** Impact on the hydrological cycle

568

569 Modifications in snow dynamics will drive changes in SWAT water partitioning at subbasin570 scales.

571 Introduction of elevation bands and their associated parameters, such as the precipitation lapse 572 rate, change the estimated volume of precipitation received by each subbasin. Table 9 highlights 573 this variation from one SWAT project to the others. Changes are substantial for subbasins 27 574 and 21 in which the increase of annual precipitation volume is more than 50% - note than both 575 subbasins underestimated the mean annual precipitation when simulated without elevation 576 bands. This may result from the use of a unique weather data for the entire subbasins and from 577 station site elevation much closer to the valley than to the mountain peaks. For instance, 578 subbasin 21 relies only on the St Giron weather station (elevation of 414 m) when the subbasin 579 elevation varies from 390 to 2826 m, leading to a possibly wrong total precipitation. On the 580 other hand, precipitation lapse rates were calibrated here from seven snow-dominated subbasins 581 and may not be pertinent for neighbour subbasins. This is likely the case for subbasin 23 where 582 an overestimation of snow cover extent has been detected.

583

584

585 586

Table 9: Mean Annual precipitations (mm/year) for hydrologic years (from September to August
over 2000-2010 for each snow dominated subwatershed.
A=Reference project: $B=Snow$ parameters project: $C=Elevation$ bands project.

11 110/0707	Site in parameters project, e			21010110110			
Subwatersheds	28	27	26	25	24	23	21
A / B	1558	876	1625	1558	1558	1625	918
С	1845	1372	2292	1904	1885	2188	1528
Var %	+18	+57	+41	+22	+21	+35	+66

The presence or absence of snow cover will also strongly affect the water balance. Therefore, it differs from one project to the others, especially runoff, infiltration and actual evapotranspiration (AET), allowing more water to be stored in the watershed as snow and soil moisture. Table 10 illustrates differences in the annual water partitioning for each subbasin. AET is the main water flux before introduction of elevation bands on the model set up. When using elevation bands, fraction of annually evapotranspirated water decrease. Infiltration then becomes the main water flux along with runoff.

595 596



-

-

 Table 10 : Water partitioning within snow-dominated subbasins.

 Mean Annual data over 2000-2010 (hydrologic years from September to August).

						-	-	Í	
Subwatershed			28	27	26	25	24	23	21
ce Project	Precipitation	mm	1558	876	1625	1558	1558	1625	918
	Evapotranspiration	mm	822	662	878	813	792	982	817
		%	53	76	54	52	51	60	89
	Duneff	mm	346	66	338	192	318	169	62
rer	KUNOII	%	22	8	21	12	20	10	7
Refe	I., C. 14	mm	389	148	408	552	448	474	39
-	Infiltration	%	25	17	25	35	29	29	4
	Precipitation	mm	1558	876	1625	1558	1558	1625	918
ers	<b>F</b>	mm	768	602	858	753	746	952	811
aramet oject I	Evapotranspiration	%	49	69	53	48	48	59	88
	Duneff	mm	351	73	341	201	320	176	63
id M	Kunoli	%	23	8	21	13	21	11	7
Sno	T., C. 14	mm	439	200	427	603	491	497	44
	Infiltration	%	28	23	26	39	32	31	5
Elevation bands project	Precipitation	mm	1845	1372	2292	1904	1885	2188	1528
	<b>F</b>	mm	435	473	370	285	353	378	309
	Evapotranspiration	%	24	35	16	15	19	17	20
	Duneff	mm	619	226	759	410	599	441	359
	KUNOII	%	34	16	33	22	32	20	24
	Infiltration	mm	791	672	1162	1210	932	1369	860
	Inititration	%	43	49	51	64	49	63	56

598

No field data are available for comparison, but values of water partitioning obtained from the elevation bands project are more consistent with previous studies over similar snow-dominated subbasins. Etchevers (2000), using the ISBA-CROCUS model over some snow-dominated alpine watersheds, found a AET fraction ranging from 24.1% to 35.8%, infiltration from 50% to 57%, and runoff from 11.5% and 21.3%. Habets et al. (1999) obtained similar result when studying the Upper Rhône watersheds: an AET of about 25%. Habets et al. (2008), at national scale, find for most part of our catchment, an annual mean ratio of evaporation to precipitationlower than 0.25.

607 Changes in annual values are mainly due to modification of the snow cover dynamics and608 present a great level of disparity depending on seasonality.

609

610 Figure 5 illustrates differences between each project at a monthly time step. Strong seasonal 611 differences appear: the presences of a spring snow cover on the upper part of the watersheds 612 influence clearly the ratio between infiltrated and evapotranspirated water. In SWAT, when a 613 snow cover is present, melt water is added to the precipitation and partitioned between only 614 runoff and infiltration (evaporation is automatically excluded). Sublimation of snow is included 615 in the AET calculations, but its impact appears limited on the global balance. The decrease in 616 air temperature that results from the use of elevation bands will also be detrimental to AET over 617 the year.

618

#### 619 [Fig5 approximately here]

As suspected, modifications in the upper part of the subbasins change the hydrological behaviour from upstream to downstream. As discussed in Section 3.1, a better representation of the snow related processes have allowed simulation improvements. For instance, Figure 6 details the hydrograph for each gauging station and project.

624

#### 625 [Fig6 approximately here]

As suggested by the improvement in performance, hydrographs from the elevation band project provide a better fit to the observed values, mainly for high flows in spring and summer excluding Auterive gauging station which flows are underestimated in all three projects. The reference project produces high flow peak that are well synchronised with the observations but that underestimates them notably. The snow parameters project most of the time performs betterin term of magnitude but not in terms of synchronicity.

632

Low flows are not improved by introducing elevation bands. Dessens and Bücher (1997) highlighted that the Pyreneans precipitation lapse rate varies seasonally: it was found twice more important in winter than in summer. Introduction of a yearly homogenous lapse rate, as in SWAT, may thus lead to hydrological modelling errors.

- 637
- 638
- 639

### 640 **5. Conclusion**

641

642 Comparison of three calibration projects revealed that the implementation of elevation bands 643 and their associated altitudinal lapse rates had a positive impact on the hydrological simulation 644 of the Upper Garonne watershed. Without elevation bands, the identification of snow-related 645 parameters alone failed to improve the reference project notably. In fact, it turned out somehow 646 detrimental, producing gains at some sites but losses at others. The positive impact of the 647 elevation bands cascaded downstream (large improvement at Portlet), which is extremely 648 positive for the modelling of the whole watershed. In accordance with Zhang et al. (2008), this 649 conclusion emphasises the importance of spatially detailed snow computation.

650

The accuracy of SWAT snow simulations was compared with MODIS and snowpack depth data. The former confirmed the reasonably good quality of the SWAT spatial representation of the snow presence, despite the lack of reservoir management data insofar as those reservoirs are mostly used for low flow support. However, SWAT also slightly overestimated the snow 28 655 cover at the end of snow season and delays the snow water equivalent peak and the end of the 656 snowmelt. Comparison with the snow depth time series revealed that SWAT overestimates 657 snow water content in higher elevations and underestimates it in lower ones. Overestimation of 658 snow in term of extent and timing at the end of the snowmelt is directly related to the 659 overestimation of snow water content in the upper elevation bands.

660 Increases in annual precipitation induced by a linear and yearly homogenous precipitation lapse 661 rate calibrated over the overall watershed revealed the limits of SWAT dealing with the spatial 662 (nonlinearity in terms of elevation) and temporal variabilities (variation over the year). 663 Inclusion of lapse rates influenced the water partitioning in snow-dominated subbasins. The 664 runoff and infiltration increase across affected subbasins, when evapotranspiration decreases 665 under the effect of a snow cover. Water budget computed by the elevation band project turned 666 out more in accordance with similar findings on other catchments. Stream flows are also 667 improved by elevation bands. Simulated high discharge peaks, supported by a larger 668 groundwater contribution and a more persistent snow cover, are time-shifted and their 669 amplitude extended.

670 The importance of snow simulation processes and associated parameters has been highlighted. 671 Even though snow-dominated areas represented just a portion of the catchment, it is beneficial 672 to use elevation bands. This enhancement echoes the ability of SWAT in representing the snow 673 cover. It is recommended to eventually compare the two available definitions of elevation bands 674 in SWAT: area and elevation. This subject will need to be explored in further studies in order 675 to test the difference between each option in regard to snow simulation. Beyond those 676 considerations and the reasonably good representation of the snow accumulation and melt 677 obtained in this study, there are still place for further improvements. For instance, lapse rates 678 computations remain problematic because of their spatiotemporal variability. Snow 679 representation could probably be improved if each snow-dominated subbasin could be

calibrated individually with a dedicated gauging station and weather station. One may alsoconsider a lapse rate that varies seasonally.

682

### 683 Acknowledgements

- 684 Authors acknowledge the financial support given by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of
- 685 Canada and the Institut Hydro-Québec en environnement, développement et société. Simon Gascoin was supported
- by the Pyrenees Climate Change Observatory (OPCC-POCTEFA EFA 235/11). Collaboration with Simon
- 687 Gascoin was part of the **REGARD** project (Modélisation des ressources en eau sur le bassin de la Garonne:
- 688 interaction entre les composantes naturelles et anthropiques et apport de la télédétection) RTRA Sciences et
- 689 Technologies pour l'Aéronautique et l'Espace 2014-2017.
- 690 We sincerely thank Météo-France for meteorological data and AEAG for hydrological discharge data providing
- 691
- 692

693 References

- Abbaspour, K.C., 2013. SWAT-CUP 2012 : SWAT Calibration and Uncertainty Programs A User Manual., 103 pp.
- Abbaspour, K.C., Johnson, C.A., van Genuchten, M.T., 2004. Estimating uncertain flow and transport parameters using a sequential uncertainty fitting procedure. Vadose Zone Journal, 3(4): 1340-1352.
- Arnold, J.G., Allen, P.M., Bernhardt, G., 1993. A Comprehensive Surface-Groundwater Flow
   Model. Journal of Hydrology, 142(1-4): 47-69.
- Arnold, J.G. et al., 2012. Swat: Model Use, Calibration, and Validation. Transactions of the
   Asabe, 55(4): 1491-1508.
- ASTER, 2011. Global Digital Elevation Model V2 90x90m. In: NASA(LPDAAC), METI
   (Eds.).
- Barnett, T.P., Adam, J.C., Lettenmaier, D.P., 2005. Potential impacts of a warming climate on
   water availability in snow-dominated regions. Nature, 438(7066): 303-309.
- Bieger, K., Hörmann, G., Fohrer, N., 2014. Simulation of Streamflow and Sediment with the
  Soil and Water Assessment Tool in a Data Scarce Catchment in the Three Gorges
  Region, China. J. Environ. Qual., 43(1): 37-45.
- Boithias, 2012. Modélisation des transferts de pesticides à l'échelle des bassins versants en
   période de crue Université Paul Sabatier Toulouse.
- Boithias, L. et al., 2011. Occurrence of metolachlor and trifluralin losses in the Save river
  agricultural catchment during floods. J Hazard Mater, 196: 210-219.
- Caballero, Y. et al., 2007. Hydrological sensitivity of the Adour-Garonne river basin to
   climate change. Water Resources Research, 43(7).
- Castellani, 1986. Régionalisation des précipitations annuelles par la méthode de la régression
   linéaire simple : l'exemple des Alpes du Nord. Revue de géographie alpine: 393-403.
- Chea, R., 2012. Modélisation des transferts d'eau et des matière en suspension dans un continuum fluvial lors des événements extrêmes, Toulouse.
- 721 CLC, 2006. Corine Land Cover In: EuropeanUnion(EEA) (Ed.).
- Coughlan, J., Running, S., 1997. Regional ecosystem simulation: A general model for
   simulating snow accumulation and melt in mountainous terrain. Landscape Ecology,
   12(3): 119-136.
- DeBeer, C.M., Pomeroy, J.W., 2009. Modelling snow melt and snowcover depletion in a
   small alpine cirque, Canadian Rocky Mountains. Hydrological Processes, 23(18):
   2584-2599.
- Dessens, J., Bücher, A., 1997. A Critical Examination of the Precipitation Records at the Pic
  Du Midi Observatory, Pyrenees, France. In: Diaz, H., Beniston, M., Bradley, R.
  (Eds.), Climatic Change at High Elevation Sites. Springer Netherlands, pp. 113-121.
- Douglas-Mankin, K.R., Srinivasan, R., Arnold, J.G., 2010. Soil and Water Assessment Tool
   (SWAT) model: Current developments and applications. Trans. Asabe, 53(5): 1423 1431.
- Douville, H. et al., 2002. Sensitivity of the hydrological cycle to increasing amounts of
  greenhouse gases and aerosols. Clim Dynam, 20(1): 45-68.
- Dupeyrat, A., Agosta, C., Sauquet, E., Hendrickx, F., 2008. Sensibilité aux variations
  climatiques d'un bassin à fort enjeux Cas de la Garonne, 13th IWRA world water
  congress, Montpelier, France.
- Dwyer, J., Schmidt, G., 2006. The MODIS Reprojection Tool. In: Qu, J., Gao, W., Kafatos,
  M., Murphy, R., Salomonson, V. (Eds.), Earth Science Satellite Remote Sensing.
  Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 162-177.
- ESDB, 2006. european soil data base v2.0, 1kmx1km "dominant value and dominant STU"
  Rasters In: (EEA), E.u. (Ed.).

- Etchevers, P., 2000. Modélisation du cycle continental de l'eau à l'échelle régionale. Impact de la modélisation de la neige sur l'hydrologie du Rhône, Toulouse III.
- Fassnacht, S.R., Heun, C.M., Latrón, J., López Moreno, J.I., 2010. Variability of snow density
  measurements in the Río Esera Valley, Pyrenees Mountains, Spain. Cuadernos de
  Investigación Geográfica, 36(1): 59-72.
- Ferrant, S. et al., 2011. Understanding nitrogen transfer dynamics in a small agricultural
  catchment: Comparison of a distributed (TNT2) and a semi distributed (SWAT)
  modeling approaches. Journal of Hydrology, 406(1-2): 1-15.
- Fischer, J., 1932. Le régime de la Garonne pyrénéenne. Revue géographique des Pyrénées et du Sud-Ouest: 281-354.
- Fontaine, T.A., Cruickshank, T.S., Arnold, J.G., Hotchkiss, R.H., 2002. Development of a
   snowfall–snowmelt routine for mountainous terrain for the soil water assessment tool
   (SWAT). Journal of Hydrology, 262(1–4): 209-223.
- Garen, D.C., Marks, D., 1996. spatially distributed snow modelling in mountainous regions:
  boise river application. In: N°235, I.P. (Ed.), HydroGIS 96: application of geographic
  information systems in hydrology and water ressources management., Vienna.
- Gascoin, S. et al., 2015. A snow cover climatology for the Pyrenees from MODIS snow
   products. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., in press(11): 12531-12571.
- Gassman, P.W., Reyes, M.R., Green, C.H., Arnold, J.G., 2007. The soil and water assessment
   tool: Historical development, applications, and future research directions. Trans.
   Asabe, 50(4).
- Gassman, P.W., Sadeghi, A.M., Srinivasan, R., 2014. Applications of the SWAT Model
   Special Section: Overview and Insights. J. Environ. Qual., 43(1): 1-8.
- Gurtz, J., Lang, H., Verbunt, M., Zappa, M., 2005. The Use of Hydrological Models for the
  Simulation of Climate Change Impacts on Mountain Hydrology. In: Huber, U.,
  Bugmann, H.M., Reasoner, M. (Eds.), Global Change and Mountain Regions.
  Advances in Global Change Research. Springer Netherlands, pp. 343-354.
- Habets, F. et al., 2008. The SAFRAN-ISBA-MODCOU hydrometeorological model applied
   over France. J. Geophys.Res., 113(D06113).
- Habets, F. et al., 1999. Simulation of the water budget and the river flows of the Rhone basin.
  Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104(D24): 31145-31172.
- Hall, D.K., Riggs, G.A., 2007. Accuracy assessment of the MODIS snow products.
  Hydrological Processes, 21(12): 1534-1547.
- Hall, D.K., Salomonson, V.V., Riggs, G.A., 2006. MODIS/Terra Snow Cover 8-Day L3
  Global 500m Grid, Version 5. In: oulder, C.U.N.S.a.I.D.C. (Ed.).
- Hendrickx, F., Sauquet, E., 2013. Impact of warming climate on water management for the
   Ariège River basin (France). Hydrological Sciences Journal, 58(5): 976-993.
- Hong, W., Park, M., Park, J., Park, G., Kim, S., 2010. The spatial and temporal correlation
  analysis between MODIS NDVI and SWAT predicted soil moisture during forest
  NDVI increasing and decreasing periods. KSCE J Civ Eng, 14(6): 931-939.
- Kirchner, M. et al., 2013. Altitudinal temperature lapse rates in an Alpine valley: trends and
   the influence of season and weather patterns. Int J Climatol, 33(3): 539-555.
- Klein, A.G., Barnett, A.C., 2003. Validation of daily MODIS snow cover maps of the Upper
  Rio Grande River Basin for the 2000–2001 snow year. Remote Sens Environ, 86(2):
  162-176.
- Lopez-Moreno, J.I. et al., 2013. Small scale spatial variability of snow density and depth over
   complex alpine terrain: Implications for estimating snow water equivalent. Adv Water
   Resour, 55: 40-52.
- Luo, Y., Arnold, J., Allen, P., Chen, X., 2012. Baseflow simulation using SWAT model in an
  inland river basin in Tianshan Mountains, Northwest China. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.,
  16(4): 1259-1267.

- Magand, C., Ducharne, A., Le Moine, N., Gascoin, S., 2013. Introducing Hysteresis in Snow
   Depletion Curves to Improve the Water Budget of a Land Surface Model in an Alpine
   Catchment. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 15(2): 631-649.
- Martelloni, G., Segoni, S., Lagomarsino, D., Fanti, R., Catani, F., 2012. Snow Accumulation Melting Model (SAMM) for integrated use in regional scale landslide early warning
   systems. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 9(8): 9391-9423.
- McKay, M.D., Beckman, R.J., Conover, W.J., 1979. A Comparison of Three Methods for
   Selecting Values of Input Variables in the Analysis of Output from a Computer Code.
   Technometrics, 21(2): 239-245.
- Minder, J.R., Mote, P.W., Lundquist, J.D., 2010. Surface temperature lapse rates over
   complex terrain: Lessons from the Cascade Mountains. Journal of Geophysical
   Research: Atmospheres, 115(D14): D14122.
- Nash, J.E., Sutcliffe, J.V., 1970. River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I A
   discussion of principles. Journal of Hydrology, 10(03): 282-290.
- Neitsch, S.L., Arnold, J.G., Kiniry, J.R., Williams, J.R., 2011. Soil and Water Assessment
   Tool Theorical Documentation version 2009.
- Oeurng, C., Sauvage, S., Sanchez-Perez, J.M., 2011. Assessment of hydrology, sediment and
   particulate organic carbon yield in a large agricultural catchment using the SWAT
   model. Journal of Hydrology, 401(3-4): 145-153.
- Ouyang, W., Hao, F., Skidmore, A.K., Toxopeus, A.G., 2010. Soil erosion and sediment yield
  and their relationships with vegetation cover in upper stream of the Yellow River. Sci
  Total Environ, 409(2): 396-403.
- Pagès, M., Miró, J.R., 2010. Determining temperature lapse rates over mountain slopes using
  vertically weighted regression: a case study from the Pyrenees. Meteorological
  Applications, 17(1): 53-63.
- Palazón, L., Navas, A., 2014. Modeling sediment sources and yields in a Pyrenean catchment
  draining to a large reservoir (Ésera River, Ebro Basin). Journal of Soils and
  Sediments, 14(9): 1612-1625.
- Parajka, J., Blöschl, G., 2008. Spatio-temporal combination of MODIS images potential for
   snow cover mapping. Water Resources Research, 44(3): W03406.
- 825 Pardé, M., 1936. Le régime de la Garonne. Revue de géographie alpine: 254-258.
- Park, M., Ha, R., Kim, N., Lim, K., Kim, S., 2013. Assessment of future climate and
  vegetation canopy change impacts on hydrological behavior of Chungju dam
  watershed using SWAT model. KSCE J Civ Eng: 1-12.
- Pepin, N., Kidd, D., 2006. Spatial temperature variation in the Eastern Pyrenees. Weather,
  61(11): 300-310.
- 831 Pinglot, F., 2012. Mountainous river stream flow modeling via ArcSWAT: a challenge,
  832 Toulouse.
- Pradhanang, S.M. et al., 2011. Application of SWAT model to assess snowpack development
  and streamflow in the Cannonsville watershed, New York, USA. Hydrological
  Processes, 25(21): 3268-3277.
- Probst, J.L., 1983. Hydrologie du bassin de la Garonne : Modèles de Mélange, Bilan de
  l'Erosion, Exportation des Nitrates et des Phosphates, Univ. Toulouse.
- Rahman, K. et al., 2013. Streamflow Modeling in a Highly Managed Mountainous Glacier
  Watershed Using SWAT: The Upper Rhone River Watershed Case in Switzerland.
  Water Resour Manag, 27(2): 323-339.
- Rijckborst, H., 1967. Hydrology of the Upper-Garonne Basin, Valle de Arán, Spain. J. J.
  Groen & Zoon.
- Sauquet, E. et al., 2010. IMAGINE 2030, climat et aménagements de la Garonne : quelles
  incertitudes sur la ressource en eau en 2030? (IMAGINE 2030, climate and water

- 845 management: uncertainties on water resources for the Garonne river basin in 2030?),846 IRSTEA.
- Stehr, A., Debels, P., Arumi, J.L., Romero, F., Alcayaga, H., 2009. Combining the Soil and
  Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) and MODIS imagery to estimate monthly flows in a
  data-scarce Chilean Andean basin. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 54(6): 1053-1067.
- Stratton, B.T., Sridhar, V., Gribb, M.M., McNamara, J.P., Narasimhan, B., 2009. Modeling
  the Spatially Varying Water Balance Processes in a Semiarid Mountainous Watershed
  of Idaho1. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 45(6):
  1390-1408.
- Strauch, M., Volk, M., 2013. SWAT plant growth modification for improved modeling of
   perennial vegetation in the tropics. Ecol Model, 269(0): 98-112.
- Troin, M., Caya, D., 2014. Evaluating the SWAT's snow hydrology over a Northern Quebec
  watershed. Hydrological Processes, 28(4): 1858-1873.
- Verbunt, M. et al., 2003. The hydrological role of snow and glaciers in alpine river basins and
   their distributed modeling. Journal of Hydrology, 282(1–4): 36-55.
- Viviroli, D. et al., 2011. Climate change and mountain water resources: overview and
  recommendations for research, management and policy. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.,
  15(2): 471-504.
- Viviroli, D., Weingartner, R., 1999. The hydrological significance of mountains: from
  regional to global scale. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 8(6): 1017-1030.
- Viviroli, D., Weingartner, R., Messerli, B., 2003. Assessing the Hydrological Significance of
   the World's Mountains. Mt Res Dev, 23(1): 32-40.
- 867 Voirin-Morel, S., 2003. Modélisation distribuée des flux d'eau et d'énergie et des débits à
  868 l'échelle régionale du bassin Adour-Garonne, Uni. Toulouse III.
- Wang, X., Melesse, A.M., 2005. Evaluation of the SWAT model's snowmelt hydrology in a
   northwestern Minnesota watershed Transactions of the ASAE 48(4): 1359-1376
- Yang, J., Reichert, P., Abbaspour, K.C., Xia, J., Yang, H., 2008. Comparing uncertainty
  analysis techniques for a SWAT application to the Chaohe Basin in China. Journal of
  Hydrology, 358(1–2): 1-23.
- Zeinivand, H., Smedt, F., 2009. Hydrological Modeling of Snow Accumulation and Melting
  on River Basin Scale. Water Resour Manag, 23(11): 2271-2287.
- Zhang, X., Srinivasan, R., Debele, B., Hao, F., 2008. Runoff Simulation of the Headwaters of
   the Yellow River Using The SWAT Model With Three Snowmelt Algorithms.
- JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 44(1): 48-61.



882 883

Figure 1: Geographic situation of the headwater Garonne watershed.



**Figure 2: Snow comparison:** A) 10 February 2005 B) 10 May 2005



**Figure 3: Temporal snow comparison.** Solid black line represents the daily percentage of snow cover detected by MODIS at subbasin scale, while the grey surface is SWAT snow water equivalent



894 895 Figure 4: Snow water equivalent comparison between SWAT and a range of possible values calculated from observations



Figure 5: Monthly mean values of snow dominated subwatersheds over 2000-2010
900
901



Figure 6: Hydrographs for the 6 gauging stations