

In-situ estimation of non-regulated pollutant emission factors in an urban area of Nantes, France, with fleet composition characterization

Simon Martinet, Yao Liu, Liliane Jean-Soro, Mathieu Goriaux, Michel Andre

▶ To cite this version:

Simon Martinet, Yao Liu, Liliane Jean-Soro, Mathieu Goriaux, Michel Andre. In-situ estimation of non-regulated pollutant emission factors in an urban area of Nantes, France, with fleet composition characterization. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, 2019, 76, pp.193-210. 10.1016/j.trd.2019.09.023 . hal-02350412

HAL Id: hal-02350412 https://hal.science/hal-02350412

Submitted on 6 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

In-situ Estimation of Non-Regulated Pollutant Emission Factors in an Urban Area of Nantes, France, with Fleet Composition Characterization

- Simon Martinet^a, Yao Liu^{a,*}, Liliane Jean-Soro^b, Mathieu Goriaux^b, Michel André^a
- ⁴ ^a Univ Lyon, IFSTTAR, AME, LTE, Bron, 69675, France
- ⁵ ^b GERS, LEE, IFSTTAR, Bouguenais, 44344, France
- 6 * Corresponding author: <u>yao.liu@ifsttar.fr</u>, Phone: +33 472 14 24 75, Fax: +33 472 37 68 37;
- 7 Address: 25 avenue François Mitterrand, 69675 Bron, France

8 Abstract

The purpose of this study is to estimate the in-situ emission factors of several pollutants (particle number [PN], black carbon [BC] and several volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds [VOCs and SVOCs]) in an urban area of Nantes, France, with real-world traffic conditions and characterization of the fleet composition. The fleet composition and driving conditions are characterized by the number of vehicles, their speeds and their types (passenger cars [PCs], light commercial vehicles [LCVs], heavy-duty vehicles [HDVs]) as well as their characteristics (make, model, fuel, engine, EURO emission standard, etc.). The number of vehicles passing on the boulevard is around 20,000 per day with about 44% of Euro 5 and Euro 6 vehicles. The impacts of fleet composition on emission were analyzed by ANOVA. The results show that the fleet composition has a significant impact on emissions for different pollutants. Higher percentage of gasoline PCs between Euro 4 to Euro 6 and Euro 4 diesel PCs induces more BC emission. Higher percentage of old gasoline and diesel vehicles (\leq Euro 3) induces higher emission of toluene, ethylbenzene and m+p- and o-xylene. Furthermore, emission factors estimated in this work were compared to those calculated in other in-situ studies that show a good agreement. For the chassis bench comparison, the in-situ PN and BC emission factors are in the same range as those measured for diesel vehicles

60 61		
62 63	25	without particle filter and gasoline vehicles with direct injection system. These EFs are also
64 65 66	26	comparable with old heavy duty vehicles without particle filter ($5x10^{13}$ - $2x10^{14}$ #/km).
67 68 69	27	Keywords
70 71 72	28	In-situ emission factors; Unregulated pollutants; Fleet composition
72 73 74	20	
75 76	29	
77 78	30	
79 80		
81 82		
83 84		
85 86		
87 88		
89 90		
91 92		
93 04		
94 95		
96 97		
98 99		
100 101		
102		
103		
105 106		
107 108		
109		
111		
112 113		
114 115		
116 117		2
118		

1. Introduction

On-road vehicle emissions are the main cause of atmospheric pollution in urban areas. Road transport induces particles, black carbon (BC) and of several VOCs and SVOCs (Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds) emissions, such as carbonyl compounds (formaldehyde, hexanal), and BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene) as well as various alkanes and alkenes. These VOCs and SVOCs are non-regulated compounds that could serve as secondary particle precursors and have serious negative impacts on human health (Sydbom et al., 2001; Lewtas et al., 2007) and air quality in cities.

The European Union is imposing emission limits for regulated pollutants in order to reduce road-traffic emissions. Facing on these vehicle emission standards, emission factors are derived from dynamometer bench test (Alves et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Louis et al., 2016; Martinet et al., 2017) or from on-board emissions measurements (O'Driscoll et al., 2016; Ntziachristos et al., 2016). These emission factors constituted an input database with different vehicle categories using by emission models (e.g., COPERT, HBEFA, PHEM and MOVES) for air quality studies. However, for these emission models, the emission factors inventories for recent Euro 5 and Euro 6 vehicles are quite poor (Rexeis et al., 2013). Only eighty Euro 5 vehicles and twenty Euro 6 vehicles (with 13 different vehicle models, and only one Euro 6 gasoline car) were added to HBEFA Version 3.2 for regulated compounds, which may not be representative of the entire fleet composition. The emissions of non-regulated pollutants are rarely measured and integrated in emission models. As consequence, their emission factors for an entire fleet could not be estimated correctly actually due to this deficiency of database, and their impact on air quality and human health could not be investigated accurately.

Emission factors could be estimated in-situ for a part or an entire fleet using various methods. The first is the chasing method, where pollutant concentrations are measured by driving a mobile measurement platform behind either a single vehicle (Karjalainen et al., 2014; Ježek et al., 2015) or part of the fleet present on the road (Yli-Tuomi et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2009; Westerdahl et al., 2009; Kam et al., 2012; Ning et al., 2012; Hudda et al., 2013). In the second method, traffic pollutant concentrations are collected by a fixed measurement platform placed on the roadside. This method makes it possible to measure emissions for an entire fleet driving near the measurement site (Ketzel et al., 2003; Imhof et al., 2005; Rose et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2006; Bukowiecki et al., 2010). For most of these studies, the number of LDVs, HDVs and buses are counted and the traffic speeds are measured in some cases. However, the fleet compositions with vehicle engine, capacity, combustion, age and Euro emission standard were not fully characterized. As consequence, the impacts of fleet composition on non-regulated pollutant emissions are hardly investigated. Furthermore, the on-road emission factors in these cited studies were mainly calculated for particles and BC. Very few on-road emission factors studies were focused on secondary particle precursors (carbonyl compounds, BTEX and alkanes...). Ning et al. (2012) determined on-road emission factors for butane, but only for an HDV/bus fleet.

In this paper, the emission factors of particle number (PN), BC, and several aliphatic, aromatic and carbonyl compounds were estimated using the different concentrations of NO_X and a pollutant between a background measurement site and a traffic measurement site with the fleet composition observed during the measurements campaign. Furthermore, the fleet composition was characterized based on the vehicle type, make, model, fuel, engine, age, Euro emission standard, as well as the traffic conditions, traffic speed, and congestion.

ANOVA statistical analyses were performed to characterize the impact of fleet composition on emissions. Furthermore, the emission factors estimated in this study were compared to other emission factors calculated in previous in-situ studies and those measured on a dynamometer bench.

2. Experimental Method

2.1 Measurement Sites

The measurements were conducted at two sites in the city of Nantes, France, between April 19 and 30, 2017. The first site was an urban background (47°13'20.3"N 1°32'15.2"W) site to measure urban background pollutant concentrations over a period of four days. The second site was a traffic site in the city center (47°12'16.0"N 1°33'10.9"W). It is an urban boulevard with two lanes of traffic in each direction, a speed limit of 30 km/h, and traffic lights (Fig. 1). The number of vehicles passing on the boulevard is around 20,000 per day. Trucks and buses pass on the boulevard but with a low frequency. Measurements were conducted over a period of seven days.

2.2 Traffic Characterization

The fleet composition and traffic conditions on the traffic site were characterized by AlyceSofreco (a private company specialized in the field of traffic measurement). These data were recorded in both directions of traffic and over a period of seven days using two video cameras and pneumatic-tube automatic traffic counters. The counters determined the number of vehicles and the driving conditions (speed, traffic congestion, etc.) and the video cameras collected the license plate numbers of each vehicle. Using these license plate

numbers, AAAData (a private company) provided the characteristics of each vehicle, including its make, model, vehicle type, fuel, engine, date of entry into circulation and Euro emission standard according to the vehicle type (LDV and HDV).

2.3 Sampling Devices

The sampling devices were installed in a truck that been specially fitted with an array of analyzers to sample the ambient air. The truck was placed along the edge of the road on the traffic site and the sampling was carried out around at a height about two meters and at a distance of 0.5 m of the road (Fig. 1). A Fast Mobility Particle Sizer (FMPS[™]; TSI) was used to measure the distribution and total particle number ranging from 5.6 to 560 nm with 1 scan/s at a flow rate of 8 L/min, with a concentration range from 0 to 10⁷ particle/cm³. An Aethalometer[®] (AE-33-7, Magee Scientific) was used to measure the BC concentration. The data are collected once a second and at a flow rate of 5 L/min. The concentration ranges from 10 to 10^5 ng/m³ with a detection limit of 5 ng/m³ for 1 hour. The device measures the light attenuation for seven wavelengths from UV to IR (370, 470, 525, 590, 660, 880 and 940 nm). The 880 nm wavelength corresponding to the maximum amount of BC was used for the quantification in this study.

VOCs and SVOCs were sampled on different cartridges with 1 sample per hour. DNPH and Tenax[®] cartridges were used to collect respectively carbonyl compounds and BTEX and five majority alkanes with a flow rate of 1 L/min and 0.1 L/min. A private laboratory, TERA Environnement, analyzed the cartridges (78 TENAX and 78 DNPH, including 28 for the background site, 49 for the traffic site and 1 for the transport blank for each type of cartridge) using standardized analytical methods (ISO-16000-6, ISO 16000-3, NIOSH 2549,

NIOSH 5506 and NF X 43-025). The complete list of compounds (six BTEX, five alkanes and 11

carbonyl compounds) analyzed on the cartridges is given below.

- BTEX: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, m-xylene, p-xylene, o-xylene.
- Alkanes: nonane, decane, undecane, cyclopropane, ethyl, cyclohexane, ethyl.
- Carbonyl compounds: formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acetone, acrolein, propionaldehyde,

crotonaldehyde, methacrolein, butanal, benzaldehyde, pentanal, hexanal.



Fig. 1. Diagram of the traffic site used for the measurements.

2.4 Emission Factors

The variation of NO_X and pollutant concentrations between the background site and the traffic site were used for estimating road-traffic emission factors (Imhof et al., 2005; Jones et al. 2006; Johansson et al., 2009; Krecl et al., 2017). This method is based on the assumption that the dilution of the pollutant between the exhaust outlets of vehicles and the sampler device inlets and the behavior in the atmosphere are comparable for NO_X and the other pollutants (Lohmeyer et al., 2002; Amato et al., 2010; Bukowiecki et al., 2010; Gietl et al., 2010). Using this assumption, it is considered that the dilution of other pollutants may be approximated by the dilution of NO_X. The wind speed (Fig. 2) and directions during the campaign varied between 0 and 7.6 m/s, with principally the north direction and few times the south and west direction. The temperature varied between 0 and 19 °C with relative humidity at about 30-99%. The following equation is used to calculate the emission factor for a given pollutant:

143
$$EF_P = \Delta P \times \frac{EF_{NOx}}{\Delta NOx}$$
 (1)

where EF_P and EF_{NOx} are the emission factor for pollutant P and NO_x, respectively, given in mass or number of particles per vehicle per kilometer (#/veh/km or μ g/veh/km). ΔP and ΔNOx are the difference of concentrations between background and traffic sites for pollutant P and NO_X respectively. The emission factors for NO_X used in the equation (1) were obtained using COPERT 4 (COmputer Programme to calculate Emissions from Road Transport) for each time step (one-hour period) with the corresponding fleet composition characterized at the same time step. For urban driving conditions, COPERT 4 estimates a NO_X emission that takes into account cold and hot emissions.

For all cartridges, the sampling time is one hour to have enough material for chemical analysis. For PN and BC, the data resolution is 1 second. However, in order to be able to analyze the emission factors with the fleet for all measured pollutants, the PN and BC measurement were averaged in one-hour period, corresponding to the cartridge sampling time. In addition, the NO_X concentrations used for ΔNO_X in Equation 1 are given as a 15-minute average concentration. It is therefore impossible to go below this 15-minute time step for calculating emission factors.



Fig. 2. Time profiles of NO_X concentration and wind speed on the measurement week.

2.5 ANOVA Analysis

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) is a statistical technique for assessing the differences between the dependent variables, which are the emissions, of a nominal variable with several categories (composition of the fleet). The null hypothesis (H0) for the analysis represents the fact that there is no significant difference between the groups. The

alternative hypothesis considers that there is at least one significant difference among the groups. For the ANOVA test, the F-ratio and associated probability value (p-value) are calculated. If the p-value associated with the F is smaller than 0.05, then the H0 is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H1) is retained (Fanelli et al., 2018) and this implies that the groups have a significant impact on emissions (Wildt and Ahtola, 1978). It can be concluded that the means of all groups are not equal and we can determine which groups are different from others.

In this work, ANOVA was performed by SPAD (data analysis and data mining software) to determine impact of fleet composition on emission factors. The nominal-leval variables used are the number of HDVs, the percentage of diesel vehicles between pre-Euro and Euro 3, the percentage of Euro 4 diesel, the percentage of diesel between Euro 5 and Euro 6, the percentage of gasoline vehicles between pre-Euro and Euro 3 and the percentage of gasoline between Euro 4 and Euro 6.

3. Results and Discussion

180 3.1 Fleet Composition

The number of vehicles was characterized during the measurement on the traffic site. A total of 140,076 vehicles drove along the boulevard during the seven-day measurement period. Many vehicles were registered twice or more times during the week. The number of unique vehicles after removing these duplicates is 57,220. The number of vehicles varied between 21,147 and 23,401 from Monday to Friday. On Saturday and Sunday, 18,763 vehicles and 9,860 vehicles were counted, respectively. The number of vehicles per hour was between 600 and 1800 with an average number of 1400/h for all five weekdays and

 between 200 and 1600 on the weekend with an average number of 900/h. The traffic speed
was characterized for each 5 minutes. The average traffic speed for one hour was between
table was characterized for each 5 minutes. The average traffic speed for one hour was between
table was characterized for each 5 minutes. The average traffic speed for one hour was between
table was characterized for each 5 minutes. The average traffic speed for one hour was between
table was characterized for each 5 minutes. The average traffic speed for one hour was between
table was characterized for each 5 minutes. The average traffic speed for one hour was between



Fig. 3. Weekdays (a) and weekend (b) characterization of the fleet composition during the measurement campaign. 2017composition of the French fleet (c).

Furthermore, the fleet composition characterized during the measurement campaign was composed of 87% passenger cars (PCs), 11% light commercial vehicles (LCVs), and 2% heavy-duty vehicles (HDVs) on weekdays. On the weekend, it consisted of 92% PCs, 7% LCVs, and 1% HDVs. The number of LCVs and HDVs decreased over the weekend, particularly on Sundays. According to André et al. (2014), the entire French fleet was composed of 82% PCs, 16% LCVs, and 2% HDVs in 2017 (Fig. 3). The comparison between this study and the French fleet composition shows the slightly higher percentage of PCs and the slightly lower percentage of LCVs. These differences are explained by the fact that the measurements were conducted in an urban environment, where LCV and HDV traffic is generally lower.





Fig. 4. Distribution of the various Euro emission standards for PCs (a) during the measurement campaign and (b) for the
2017 French fleet.

The distribution of the various Euro emission standards for PCs during the measurements 206 207 was 14% Euro 6, 30% Euro 5, 29% Euro 4, 17% Euro 3, 7% Euro 2, 2% Euro 1, and 1% pre-208 Euro vehicles (Fig. 4a). This distribution is consistent with André et al. (2014), who estimated the PCs French fleet composed 17% Euro 6, 33% Euro 5, 26% Euro 4, 15% Euro 3, 6% Euro 2, 209 2% Euro 1, and 1% pre-Euro in 2017 (Fig. 4b). As regards drive technology systems, the 210 observed PC fleet was composed of 68% diesel vehicles, 30% gasoline vehicles, and 2% other 211 such as gasoline or diesel hybrid vehicles, electric vehicles, gasoline/compressed natural gas 212 213 and gasoline/liquefied petroleum gas vehicles. The LCV fleet was composed of 97% diesel vehicles. For the HDVs, all the trucks were powered by diesel engines and all the buses were 214 215 natural gas combustion or other gaseous hydrocarbons.

216 3.2

3.2 Estimation of Global Fleet Emission Factors

The global fleet emission factors for PN, BC, carbonyl compounds, BTEX, alkanes, NO_X concentrations, number of vehicles, and traffic speeds are presented in Fig. 5 as a function of the measurement time and day on the traffic site. The average emission factor of one vehicle (equation (1)) has been multiplying by the vehicle number that gives us the pollutant
emission factors for a global fleet, over the one-hour measurement period. This allows us to
study the pollutant emissions in relation with the current traffic.

The particle number emission factors varied between 2×10¹⁶ and 9.6×10¹⁷ #/km on five weekdays and between 2.3×10¹⁶ and 4.6×10¹⁷ #/km on the weekend. The BC emission factors varied between 2.4×10⁹ and 2.4×10¹¹ ng/km on weekdays and between 6.6×10⁹ and 1.2×10¹¹ ng/km on the weekend. The emission factors for carbonyl compounds varied between 2×10^4 and $2.4 \times 10^6 \,\mu$ g/km on weekdays and between 2.7×10^3 and $4.9 \times 10^5 \,\mu$ g/km on the weekend. The hexanal emission factors ranged between 2.3×10^3 and $4.1 \times 10^5 \,\mu$ g/km on weekdays and between 1.5×10^4 and $3.2 \times 10^5 \,\mu$ g/km on the weekend. For the three alkanes, the emission factors were between 1.2×10⁴ and 7.1×10⁶ µg/km on weekdays and between 5.3×10^3 and $2 \times 10^6 \,\mu$ g/km on the weekend. The BTEX emission factors ranged between 5.4×10³ and 3.3×10⁶ µg/km on weekdays and between 6.3×10⁴ and 5.1×10⁶ µg/km on the weekend. The missing points for VOCs are either pollutant quantities sampled on the cartridges below their quantification limit; or negative values by subtracting the background value.

The urban background concentrations for the pollutants used in the equation (1) were measured on the urban background site used by the air quality association "Air Pays de la Loire". This background site is not affected by road traffic emissions, the measured NO_X, PN and BC concentrations vary little on the different measurement time (16h/day during 4 days) (between 3200 and 4800 #/cm³ for PN, between 400 and 700 ng/m³ for BC, and between 5 and 50 μ g/m³ for NO_X) and provides a general background concentration with all different sources. The subtraction in the equation (1) allows removing only the general back ground levels but not the local background levels. This might induce a potential bias with an over

estimation of EF for PN and other pollutants if the local background levels are higher than general background levels. The local background levels could also be measured when there is no traffic. However, depending on the weather conditions, especially the wind speeds, the accumulation phenomena under no traffic condition might also induce a potential bias on our measurement. The first point in the morning (at around 6:00 a.m.) was taken as the background level at the traffic site. Using this local background levels, the emission factors of PN and BC are respectively between 5×10¹⁵ and 9×10¹⁷ #/km and 1.2×10⁸ and 2.3×10^{11} ng/km. The local background values induce an underestimation of 4 and 20 times for PN and BC comparing to the 'Air Pays de la Loire' background site, but only for low emission period. These background values do not induce a significant difference at high emission period for both PN and BC. Overall, if we use the local background value, it induced an average underestimation around 30% for all period of measurement.

In general, the highest emission factors were measured between 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m. and between 5:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m. on all five weekdays. For the Saturday, the highest emission factors were measured between 10:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. and between 5:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m. For the Sunday, between 6:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., high emission factors were measured for carbonyl compounds, BTEX and alkanes. The higher emission factors measured in the morning can be explained by vehicle cold start, which emits large amounts of pollutants such as BC, PN, BTEX, and carbonyl compounds (Westerholm et al., 1996; Joumard et al., 2000; Sluder et al., 2000; Caplain et al., 2006; Louis et al., 2016; Martinet et al., 2017).





The PN and BC emission factors showed a good correlation, following the same tendency during the week of measurements (Fig. 5). Apart from Tuesday afternoon between 2:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m., the PN emission factors did not follow the same tendency as the BC emission factors. The emission factor values for BC decreased while those for PN increased sharply. This could imply that the particle number emissions measured during this afternoon were not due to road traffic (See Section below).

274

3.3 PN Concentration and Size Distribution Time Profiles

The number of vehicles, BC, NO_X and PN for three size ranges ([0-50] nm, [50-100] nm, and [100-500] nm) concentrations were followed from Monday (April 24th) to Sunday (April 30th), which show the similar results in general for the five weekdays, except for Tuesday afternoon. Fig. 6 shows the time profiles of NO_X, BC, PN concentrations and vehicles number on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday. Concentration peaks of BC, PN and NO_x was observed over a short period between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. on weekdays corresponding to morning rush-hour traffic (See Section 3.2).

Moreover, Fig. 6 shows a large peak for PN with size range [0-50] nm between 2:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m. on Tuesday that is not correlated with BC, NO_X and PN size ranges [50-100] nm and [100-500] nm. Since NO_X and BC are considered as traffic tracers (Pant et al., 2013), which might indicates that the [0-50] nm PN on Tuesday afternoon is not generated by the road traffic.



5% interval) and percentage of gasoline PCs between Euro 4 and Euro 6 (5 to 25% with 5% interval). The groups of variables for passenger cars have been made according to the implementation of new after-treatment or engine technologies. For diesel vehicles, the diesel particle filter (DPF), which significantly reduces PN and BC emissions, is considered as variables. Thus, the first category includes the percentage of pre-Euro to Euro 3 diesel vehicles that are not equipped with DPF; the second category includes the percentage of Euro 4 diesel vehicles that are partially equipped with DPF; and the last category includes the percentage of Euro 5 and Euro 6 diesel vehicles that are all equipped with DPF. For gasoline vehicles, the first category therefore includes the percentage of gasoline vehicles from pre-Euro to Euro 3 standards, all of which have indirect injection engines; and the second category includes the percentage of gasoline vehicles from Euro 4 to Euro 6 standards, as the introduction of direct injection engines has begun on Euro 4 standard vehicles. Moreover, for ANOVA analysis, the fleet composition has been classed by groups (different gaps of vehicle number or percentage) to investigate their impact on emissions. Each group has to contain enough samples to be significant and not too large to have a good sensibility. Tuesday afternoon PN data have not been taken into account because of its strange behavior show in section 3.3.

For a result of the ANOVA analysis to be significant, the p-value must be \leq 0.05, which is called a "significant result" in Table 1. However, to increase the power of the ANOVA analysis, it is possible to consider that a result with a p-value between 0.05 and 0.1 are significant, which is called "result considered as significant" in Table 1, but with a great uncertainty. This analysis should be read with a special attention because they might also indicate that the impacts of the analyzed group on emission could be significant but not clearly significant.

Table 1

Results of the ANOVA analysis for the effect of the fleet composition on the emission factors

Fleet				Groups having a significant impa	
composition	Pollutants	Unit	p-value	Negative impact	Positive impact
	PN	#/km	0.6		
	BC	ng/km	0.2		
	Formaldehyde	µg/km	0.2		
	Hexanal	µg/km	0.6		
	Benzene	µg/km	0.6		
Number of	Toluene	µg/km	0.004*	20-30; 30-40	10-20
HDVs	Ethylbenzene	µg/km	0.04*	30-40	0-10; 10-20
	m+p-Xylene	µg/km	0.2		
	o-Xylene	µg/km	0.1		
	Nonane	µg/km	0.5		
	Decane	µg/km	0.4		
	Undecane	µg/km	0.7		
	PN	#/km	0.08**	15-20%	10-15%
Percentage	BC	ng/km	0.6		
of diesel PCs	Formaldehyde	µg/km	0.3		
hetween	Hexanal	µg/km	0.2		
pro-Euro to	Benzene	µg/km	0.6		
	Toluene	µg/km	0.02*	10-15%	15-20%
Euro 3	Ethylbenzene	µg/km	0.07**	10-15%	15-20%
Stanuaru	m+p-Xylene	µg/km	0.02*	10-15%	15-20%
		4	0.00*		15 200/

	Nonane	µg/km	0.1		
	Decane	µg/km	0.9		
	Undecane	µg/km	0.7		
	PN	#/km	0.1		
	BC	ng/km	0.001*	10-15%; 15-20%	20-25%; 25-30
	Formaldehyde	µg/km	0*	20-25%; 25-30%	10-15%; 15-20
	Hexanal	µg/km	0.7		
Percentage	Benzene	µg/km	0.3		
of diesel PCs	Toluene	µg/km	0.1		
Euro 4	Ethylbenzene	µg/km	0.02*	15-20%	20-25%
standard	m+p-Xylene	µg/km	0.04*	15-20%	20-25%
	o-Xylene	µg/km	0.02*	15-20%	20-25%
	Nonane	µg/km	0.3		
	Decane	µg/km	0.9		
	Undecane	µg/km	0.6		
	PN	#/km	0.006*	30-35%	20-25%
	BC	ng/km	0.2		
Percentage	Formaldehyde	µg/km	0.06**	15-20%	30-35%
of diesel PCs	Hexanal	µg/km	0.3		
between	Benzene	µg/km	0.07**	15-20%	30-35%
Euro 5 to	Toluene	µg/km	0.2		
Euro 6	Ethylbenzene	µg/km	0.2		
standard	m+p-Xylene	µg/km	0.1		
	o-Xylene	µg/km	0.06**	15-20%	30-35%
	Nonane	µg/km	0.2		

	Decane	µg/km	0.6		
	Undecane	µg/km	0.007*	15-20%	30-35
	PN	#/km	0.5		
	BC	ng/km	0.7		
Dorcontago	Formaldehyde	µg/km	0.9		
of gasolino	Hexanal	µg/km	0.07		
	Benzene	µg/km	0.4		
PCS	Toluene	µg/km	0.07**	5-10%	10-15
between	Ethylbenzene	µg/km	0.004*	5-10%	10-15
Fure 2	m+p-Xylene	µg/km	0.007*	5-10%	10-15
ctandard	o-Xylene	µg/km	0.02*	5-10%	10-15
stanuaru	Nonane	µg/km	0.2		
	Decane	µg/km	0.9		
	Undecane	µg/km	0.7		
	PN	#/km	0.004*	5-10%	20-25
	BC	ng/km	0*	5-10%; 10-15%	20-25
Percentage	Formaldehyde	µg/km	0.5		
of gasoline	Hexanal	µg/km	0.6		
PCs	Benzene	µg/km	0.4		
between	Toluene	µg/km	0.3		
Euro 4 to	Ethylbenzene	µg/km	0.1		
Euro 6	m+p-Xylene	µg/km	0.1		
standard	o-Xylene	µg/km	0.1		
	Nonane	µg/km	0.3		
	Decane	µg/km	0.5		
	-				

* Significant results

** Results considered as significant

-- No results

For BC, the groups of "20-25%" and "25-30%" Euro 4 diesel PCs and "20-25%" gasoline PCs between Euro 4 to Euro 6 have a significant positive impact on the emissions. This observation indicates that higher percentage of these two categories present in the fleet induces more BC emission. For the gasoline PCs between Euro 4 to Euro 6, the group "20-25%" has also a significant positive impact on the PN emissions. The positive impact of gasoline PCs between Euro 4 and Euro 6 on the PN and BC emissions can be explained by the introduction of direct injection technology on certain gasoline vehicles, which induces more PN and BC emissions than multipoint injection gasoline vehicles. These emissions could reach the level of some diesel vehicles without a particulate filter (Liang et al., 2013, Martinet et al., 2017).

The percentage of diesel and gasoline PCs between pre-Euro and Euro 3 has a significant positive impact on toluene, ethylbenzene and m+p- and o-xylene emission factors, more particular the groups "15-20%" and "10-15%" respectively. Comparing to the average emission factors ($1.4 \times 10^6 \ \mu g/km$ for toluene, $3.4 \times 10^5 \ \mu g/km$ for ethylbenzene, $9 \times 10^5 \ \mu g/km$ for m+p-xylene and 4.2×10⁵ μg/km for o-xylene), the group "15-20%" of Pre-Euro to Euro 3 diesel induces 1.5, 1.4, 1.6 and 1.6 times higher emission respectively for toluene, ethylbenzene, m+p-xylene and o-xylene. The group "10-15%" of Pre-Euro to Euro 3 gasoline induces 1.2, 1.4, 1.3 and 1.3 times higher emission respectively for toluene, ethylbenzene, m+p-xylene and o-xylene. Moreover, the group "20-25%" of diesel Euro 4 PCs has also a positive impact on ethylbenzene and m+p- and o-xylene emission factors, 1.4, 1.4 and 1.5

times higher respectively comparing to the average emission factors. For the analyses on the number of HDVs, emission factors estimated in the weekend have not been taken into account because of the HDV driving ban. The Table 1 showed that the impact of the number of HDVs on pollutant emission does not show a significant positive impact. This observation might be explained on one side by the small percentage of HDVs in the fleet (0 to 43 HDVs per hour); and on the other side by the low HDVs emission since 80% of these HDVs are recent vehicles (\geq Euro 4).

3.5 Emission Factors Per Vehicle and Comparison with Other Studies

In this section, the average emission factors calculated by equation (1) were used (# or mass/veh/km) in order to compare with other studies. Fig. 7 shows the box-and-whisker plot for these average emission factors per vehicle for carbonyl compounds, BTEX, alkanes, PN, and BC. In these plots, the boxes contain 50% of the emission factors around the median (black line in the box). The upper and lower halves of the boxes represent respectively the 75th and 25th percentiles and the whiskers represent the 90th and 10th percentiles. The emission factors that are above or below the whiskers are considered to be atypical values.

Fig. 7 shows the average emission factors per vehicle for PN and BC, which are between 1.2×10¹³ and 8.7×10¹⁴ #/km/veh and between 1.7×10⁶ and 2.16×10⁸ ng/km/veh, respectively. For both emission factors, the median is in the middle of the box, which indicates a symmetric distribution. Half the emission factors are between 9.1×10¹³ and 1.87×10¹⁴ #/km/veh for PN and between 1.1×10⁷ and 3.8×10⁷ ng/km/veh for BC. In addition, the boxes are comparatively short, suggesting that the PN emission factors have a high level of agreement between them, and the BC emission factors have also a high level of agreement between them. Fig. 7 shows also the average emission factors per vehicle for the

1360
1361370measured VOCs and SVOCs. For all these compounds, the median is in the lower half of the1362
1363371box, which assumes an asymmetric distribution toward the low values. Their emission1364
1365372factors varied between 14 and $2.1 \times 10^4 \,\mu g/veh/km$.

For each compound, the atypical values are presented by black dots. Here, only the atypical values above the upper whisker limit are further analyzed with the corresponding fleet composition. The emission factors above the upper whisker for PN and BC are, respectively, 2.3 to 6.6 times higher and 2.7 to 9.6 times higher compared to the median. The highest emission factor for both corresponds to the same fleet composition with 69% of LDVs diesel and 58% of PCs \leq Euro 4 (composition 8 in the Fig. 7) for the Wednesday between 8:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. The second highest emission factor for BC and the third highest for PN (composition 7 in the Fig. 7) correspond to the Sunday between 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m.



384 Fleet composition of 1: Monday 2:00 - 3:00 p.m.; 2: Sunday 6:00 - 7:00 a.m.; 3: Wednesday 11:00 - 12:00 a.m.; 4: Sunday
385 11:00 - 12:00 a.m.; 5: Monday 11:00 - 12:00 a.m.; 6: Monday 8:00 - 9:00 p.m.; 7: Sunday 8:00 - 9:00 a.m.; 8: Wednesday
386 8:00 - 9:00 p.m.

1466387The emission factors for carbonyl compounds above the upper whisker are 5 to 11 times14671468388higher and 6 to 17 times higher than the median for formaldehyde and hexanal, respectively.14691470389For the three alkanes, the emission factors above the upper whisker are 3 to 18 times higher

compared to their median. The BTEX emission factors above the upper whisker are 1.4 to 8 times higher compared to their median. The highest emission factor for hexanal, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and nonane correspond to the same fleet composition (composition 2 in the Fig. 7) for the Sunday between 6:00 a.m. and 7:00 a.m. We remark that, in this time interval, the fleet composition composed relatively high percentage of LDVs diesel (80%), PCs ≤ Euro 4 (65%) and HDVs (3%), which have been showed by the ANOVA analysis to have significant impacts on emission of these compounds (Table 3). For m+p and o xylene compounds, the highest emission factor corresponds to the fleet composition of the Sunday between 11:00 a.m. and 12:00 a.m. (composition 4 in the Fig. 7), with relatively high percentage of PCs ≤ Euro 4. For formaldehyde, the highest emission factor corresponds to the Monday between 11:00 a.m. and 12:00 a.m. (composition 5) with 3% of HDVs.

Fig. 8 shows the comparison of PN, BC, formaldehyde and BTEX emission factors estimated in this study and with those estimated during lab bench measurements with Artemis urban driving cycles that is not exactly the same driving condition but might represent the most similar driving condition (average speed from 8.7 to 31.8 km/h from congestion to fluid situations) comparing to our traffic site (hourly average speed between 16 and 34 km/h). The detail of bench measurement of Euro 1 to Euro 6 gasoline and diesel PCs were presented in our previous works (Rehn 2013; Louis et al., 2016; Martinet et al., 2017). Moreover, the PN and BC emission factors estimated in this study were also compared with other in-situ studies with similar site characteristics (Ketzel et al., 2003; Gratmonev et al., 2004; Rose et al., 2005; Imhof et al., 2005; Jones et al. 2006; Westerdahl et al., 2009; Krecl et al., 2018).

1528412The emission factors estimated by other in-situ studies are between 5.8×10^{13} and15291530413 9.3×10^{14} #/veh/km for PN; and between 1.7×10^7 and 3.5×10^7 ng/veh/km for BC, which are in15311531

1568

1573

1574

1575

1576

1577 1578

1579 1580

1581

1582 1583

1584 1585 414

different in different countries and different years. 415 1e+16 1e+9 EF_(average) PN (#/veh/km) EF_(average) BC (#/veh/km) 1e+15 1e+8 • ***** ¥ 夺 ф Δ Φ 1e+14 1e+7 ▼ 8 1e+13 1e+6 8 1e+12 1e+5 1e+11 1e+4 other in situ studies (2) Bench measurements (2) Other in situ studies Bench measurements Other in situ studies Other in situ studies (2) Bench measurements EF_(average) Formaldehyde (µg/veh/km) 1e+5 1e+5 : (average) BTEX (µg/veh/km \circ 1e+4 1e+4 Δ 1e+3 1e+3 Ċ 1e+2 1e+2 Ш 1e+1 1e+1 Bench measurements Bench measurements (2) Bench me asurements Bench measurements (2) This work This work Jones et al. 2006 Euro 6 diesel Euro 4 diesel Euro 2 diesel Δ \bigcirc Δ Euro 6 gasoline Ketzel et al. 2003 ٠ Euro 4 gasoline Euro 1 diesel Rose et al. 2005 Euro 5 diesel Euro 3 diesel Δ Euro 1 gasoline 0 Δ Δ Gratmonev et al. 2004 Imhof et al. 2005 Euro 5 gasoline Euro 3 gasoline ٥ σ Westerdahl et al. 2009 Krecl 2018 æ 416

the same range as those estimated in this paper (Fig. 8), even fleet compositions are

Fig. 8. Comparison of PN, BC, formaldehyde and BTEX emission factors per vehicle in this work with emission factors
estimated by bench measurements (Rehn 2013; Louis et al., 2016; Martinet et al., 2017) or by other in-situ studies (Ketzel
et al., 2003; Gratmonev et al., 2004; Rose et al., 2005; Imhof et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2006; Westerdahl et al., 2009; Krecl et
al., 2018).

1586421The PCs emission factors in this work are also compared to the bench measurement158715884221589422(previous work). The bench emission factors of PN and BC obtained from diesel vehicles

- 1590
- 1591 1592
- 1593

without particle filter and gasoline vehicles with direct injection system are in the same range as the box plot. The impact of gasoline with direct injection system and Euro 4 diesel vehicles on PN and BC emission has been observed with ANOVA analysis. However, for the pre-Euro to Euro 3 old diesel vehicles, even they induce a high BC and PN emission with individual vehicle measurement, their impact on BC and PN emission could be considered either as significant but with a great uncertainty or not significant because they are omnipresent in each one-hour period with very closed percentage in the fleet (10 to 20%). These in-situ emission factors are also comparable with old HDVs without particle filter (PN emission factors between 5×10¹³ and 2×10¹⁴ #/veh/km) (Giechaskiel et al., 2012), contrary to recent HDVs equipped with DPF that induce lower PN emissions (between 5×10¹⁰ and 2×10¹² #/veh/km) (Giechaskiel et al., 2018). For fomaldehyde, the emission factors of Euro 3 and Euro 4 diesel and gasoline vehicles are in the same range as the emission factors estimated in this work. More recent Euro 5 and Euro 6 PCs seem to contribute less emission. For BTEX, the Euro 5 gasoline DI vehicle is located above the box that might indicate its high impact on BTEX emission. However, we want to attract special attention here, because only one Euro 5 gasoline DI vehicle data from bench has been provided (Louis et al., 2016). More vehicles for each category should be tested on the chassis bench under similar experimental conditions to confirm this observation.

4. Conclusion

1642442This paper aimed to estimate the emission factors of PN, BC, and several aliphatic,16431644443aromatic and carbonyl compounds with a real fleet present on the measurement site. The164616461647fleet composition characterized during the measurement campaign was comparable with1648

1655
1656445the 2017 French fleet. A total of 140,076 vehicles were counted for seven days with about1657
165821,000 to 23,000 vehicles in the weekdays and 10,000 to 18,000 in the weekend.

The highest emissions were measured during the morning between 7:00 a.m. - 10:00 a.m. and during the end of afternoon between 5:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. for the weekdays, and between 10:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m. and between 5:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. for the Saturday. These periods correspond to traffic peaks. The higher emission measured in the morning can be explained by vehicle cold start, which emits large amounts of pollutants such as BC, PN, BTEX, and carbonyl compounds. PN and BC emission factors show a good correlation, except the Tuesday afternoon between 2:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. The emission factor values for BC decreased while those for PN increased sharply. This could imply that the particle number emissions, especially the PN size between [0-50] nm, measured during this afternoon were not due to road traffic.

The impacts of the fleet composition on the pollutant emissions were studied by ANOVA analyses. These analyses show the positive impact of the higher percentage of gasoline PCs between Euro 4 and Euro 6 and Euro 4 diesel PCs on the BC emissions and the higher percentage of diesel and gasoline PCs between pre-Euro and Euro 3 on toluene, ethylbenzene, m+p-xylene and o-xylene emissions. The higher percentage of Euro 4 diesel PCs induces higher emission of ethylbenzene, m+p-xylene and o-xylene. And the number of HDVs present in the weekdays does not induce a significant impact on measured pollutant emissions since 80% of HDVs in the fleet is recent HDVs (\geq Euro 4).

The emission factors per vehicle were studied with boxplots and compared to other emission factors calculated in previous in-situ studies and with bench measurement. PN and BC emission factors assume a symmetric distribution contrary to the BTEX, alkanes and carbonyl compounds emission factors. For PN and BC, the highest emission factors

correspond to the same fleet composition with high percentage of diesel PCs. The highest emission factors for hexanal, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and nonane correspond to a fleet composition with high percentage of diesel PCs (85%) and old PCs (65%), and for m+p and o Xylene, they correspond to high percentage of old PCs (61%). These results have also been observed by the ANOVA analyses for toluene, ethylbenzene, m+p-xylene and o-xylene. The PN and BC emission factors estimated by other in-situ studies are in the same range as the emission factors estimated in this work. For the chassis bench comparison, the PN and BC emission factors estimated in this work are in the same range as those measured for diesels PCs without particle filter, gasoline PCs with direct injection system and old HDVs. For BTEX, bench emission factors are in the same range as in-situ emission factors estimated in this work.

1771			
1772			
1773	480	Acknowledgements	
1774	400	Acknowledgements	
1775			
1776	40.4	This work is the design of the CECER (4///COODA) we is standish is first a dealer the Example	
1777	481	This work was supported by the CEGEP (1666C0001) project, which is funded by the French	
1778			
1779	482	Environment and Energy Management Agency (ADEME).	
1780			
1781			
1782	483		
1702			
1703			
1704	484		
1785	101		
1786			
1/8/	185		
1788	405		
1789			
1790	407		
1791	480		
1792			
1793	407		
1794	487		
1795			
1796			
1797	488		
1798			
1799			
1800	489		
1801			
1802			
1803	490		
1804			
1805			
1806	491		
1807			
1808			
1809	492		
1810			
1811			
1812	493		
1813			
1814			
1815	494		
1816			
1817			
1818	495		
1819			
1820			
1821			
1822			
1823			
1824			
1825			
1826			
1827			
1828			31
1829			

Appendices

Table A.1

Analytical methods for BTEX, carbonyl compounds, and PAH samples in the gas and particulate phases with quantification

limit and uncertainty

	Cartridge	Analytical	Standardized	Quantification	Analytica
Compound family	type	technique	method	limit	uncertaint
BTEX					
Benzene				10 ng/cartridge	
Toluene					-
Ethylbenzene	Tenax	ATD-GC/MS*	ISO 16000-6	1 no /oo utuidoo	20%
m-p,Xylene				1 ng/cartridge	
o-Xylene					
Alkanes					
Nonane					
Decane					
Undecane	Tenax	ATD-GC/MS*	ISO 16000-6	1 ng/cartridge	20%
Cyclopropane, Ethyl					
Cyclohexane, Ethyl					
Carbonyl					
Formaldehyde					
Acetaldehyde					
Acetone					
Acrolein					0.001/
Propionaldehyde	DNPH	HPLC/UV*	150 16000-3	30 ng/cartridge	20%
Crotonaldehyde					
Methacrolein	—				
Butanal					

	Benzaldehyde				
	Pentanal				
	Hexanal				
500					
500	ATD-GC-MS: Autor	nated Thermal De	esorption – Gas Chromatograph – Mass s	pectrometer	
501	# HPCL/UV: High Per	rformance Liquid	Chromatography/Ultra Violet Detector		
502					
502					
503					
504	Table A.2				
505	Vehicle emission fac	tors per hour for	PN, BC, carbonyl compounds, BTEX and a	alkanes.	
					Standard
	Pollutants		Emission factors (Min-Max)	Mean	1
					deviation
	PN	Weekdays	$1.2 \times 10^{13} - 8.7 \times 10^{14}$	2×10^{14}	1.9×10^{14}
	(#.4			4 7 . 4014	4 4014
	(#/veh/km)	Weekend	$6.1 \times 10^{13} - 5.3 \times 10^{14}$	1.7×10^{14}	1×10^{14}
		14 /	4.7	0.4	0.0 407
	BC	weekdays	$1.7 \times 10^{\circ} - 2.2 \times 10^{\circ}$	2.4×10^{7}	2.8×10^{7}
	(ma /vah /lum)		0 × 406 0 0 × 407	47.407	0.4 × 407
	(ng/ven/km)	weekend	9 × 10° - 9.3 × 10'	4.7 × 10'	2.1×10^{7}
	Formaldahyda	Maakdaya	1 4 × 10-1 19	2	2.0
	Formaldenyde	weekuays	1.6 × 10 16	3	3.9
	(mg/yoh/km)	Magkand	4 × 10- ² 10	2.2	2.1
	(IIIg/ VEII/ KIII)	vveekenu	8 × 10 13	3.2	5.1
	Hovanal	Mookdays	1 4 × 10-2 2 9	7 1 × 10-1	0 0 × 10-1
	пехана	Weekuays	1.4 ~ 10 2.8	7.1 ~ 10 -	0.0 ^ 10 -
					1 0
	(mg/yoh/km)	Weekend	2.1×10^{-1} 70	10	10
	(mg/veh/km)	Weekend	2.1 × 10 ⁻¹ – 7.8	1.8	1.0
	(mg/veh/km)	Weekend	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$	1.8	1.5
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene	Weekend Weekdays	2.1 × 10 ⁻¹ - 7.8 9.1 × 10 ⁻² - 7.4	1.8	1.5
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene	Weekend Weekdays	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$ $9.1 \times 10^{-2} - 7.4$ $2.3 \times 10^{-1} - 13.4$	1.8	1.5
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene (mg/veh/km)	Weekend Weekdays Weekend	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$ $9.1 \times 10^{-2} - 7.4$ $2.3 \times 10^{-1} - 13.4$	1.8 1.2 2.4	1.5 3.5
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene (mg/veh/km)	Weekend Weekdays Weekend	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$ $9.1 \times 10^{-2} - 7.4$ $2.3 \times 10^{-1} - 13.4$	1.8 1.2 2.4	1.5
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene (mg/veh/km) Toluene	Weekend Weekdays Weekend Weekdays	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$ $9.1 \times 10^{-2} - 7.4$ $2.3 \times 10^{-1} - 13.4$ $3.4 \times 10^{-2} - 11$	1.8 1.2 2.4 3	1.5 3.5 2.6
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene (mg/veh/km) Toluene	Weekend Weekdays Weekend Weekdays	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$ $9.1 \times 10^{-2} - 7.4$ $2.3 \times 10^{-1} - 13.4$ $3.4 \times 10^{-2} - 11$ $2.3 - 20.5$	1.8 1.2 2.4 3	1.5 1.5 3.5 2.6
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene (mg/veh/km) Toluene (mg/veh/km)	Weekend Weekdays Weekend Weekdays Weekend	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$ $9.1 \times 10^{-2} - 7.4$ $2.3 \times 10^{-1} - 13.4$ $3.4 \times 10^{-2} - 11$ $3.3 - 20.5$	1.8 1.2 2.4 3 9.6	1.5 1.5 3.5 2.6 4.7
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene (mg/veh/km) Toluene (mg/veh/km)	Weekend Weekdays Weekend Weekdays Weekend	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$ $9.1 \times 10^{-2} - 7.4$ $2.3 \times 10^{-1} - 13.4$ $3.4 \times 10^{-2} - 11$ $3.3 - 20.5$ $1.3 \times 10^{-2} - 2.6$	1.8 1.2 2.4 3 9.6	1.5 1.5 3.5 2.6 4.7
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene (mg/veh/km) Toluene (mg/veh/km) Ethylbenzene	Weekend Weekdays Weekend Weekdays Weekend Weekdays	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$ $9.1 \times 10^{-2} - 7.4$ $2.3 \times 10^{-1} - 13.4$ $3.4 \times 10^{-2} - 11$ $3.3 - 20.5$ $1.3 \times 10^{-2} - 2.6$	$ 1.8 1.2 2.4 3 9.6 8.5 \times 10^{-1} $	1.5 1.5 3.5 2.6 4.7 7 × 10 ⁻¹
	(mg/veh/km) Benzene (mg/veh/km) Toluene (mg/veh/km) Ethylbenzene	Weekend Weekdays Weekdays Weekend Weekdays	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} - 7.8$ $9.1 \times 10^{-2} - 7.4$ $2.3 \times 10^{-1} - 13.4$ $3.4 \times 10^{-2} - 11$ $3.3 - 20.5$ $1.3 \times 10^{-2} - 2.6$ $7 \times 10^{-1} - 5$	1.8 1.2 2.4 3 9.6 8.5×10^{-1} 2.6	1.5 1.5 3.5 2.6 4.7 7×10^{-1} 1.2

1948						
1949						
1950 1951		m+p Xylene	Weekdays	1.8 × 10 ⁻¹ - 6.8	1.6	1.5
1952 1953		(mg/veh/km)	Weekend	1.4 - 10.4	6.4	2.8
1954 1955		o Xylene	Weekdays	7 × 10 ⁻² - 2.9	7.1 × 10 ⁻¹	6.4 × 10 ⁻¹
1956 1957 1958		(mg/veh/km)	Weekend	6.1 × 10 ⁻¹ - 5.9	3.2	1.6
1950		Nonane	Weekdays	3.5 × 10 ⁻² - 3.3	8 × 10 ⁻¹	7.5 × 10 ⁻¹
1960 1961 1962		(mg/veh/km)	Weekend	3.3 × 10 ⁻¹ - 4.9	2	1.1
1963 1964		Decane	Weekdays	1.5 × 10 ⁻¹ - 15.2	1.5	3.1
1965 1966		(mg/veh/km)	Weekend	4.1 × 10 ⁻² - 4.5	1.7	1.3
1967 1968		Undecane	Weekdays	5.5 × 10 ⁻² - 4.8	5.3 × 10 ⁻¹	89 × 10 ⁻¹
1969 1970		(mg/veh/km)	Weekend	3.5 × 10 ⁻² - 2.1	1.1	7 × 10 ⁻¹
1971 1972	506					
1973						
1974 1975	507					

1977 508 Table A.3

 $509 \qquad \text{Example of COPERT input data for calculating NO}_{X} \text{ emission factors}$

30								_
	Category	Fuel	Segment	Euro Standard	Stock [n]	Mean Activity [km/year]	Urban Speed [km/h]	_
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Small	PRE ECE	0	0	25	•
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Small	Euro 1	1	4077	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Small	Euro 2	2	5055	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Small	Euro 3	1	6820	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Small	Euro 4	2	8508	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Small	Euro 5	1	11007	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Small	Euro 6	5	13309	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Medium	PRE ECE	0	0	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Medium	Euro 1	2	5398	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Medium	Euro 2	4	6672	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Medium	Euro 3	5	8995	25	
	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Medium	Euro 4	0	11319	25	

2008							
2009	D	Caralina		FF	0	44555	05
2010	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Medium	Euro 5	2	14555	25
2011	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Medium	Euro 6	3	17592	25
2012	Passenger Cars	Casoline	Large-SLIV-Executive		0	0	25
2013	rassenger cars	Gasoline	Large-30 V-Executive	FREECE	0	0	25
2014	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 1	0	5610	25
2015	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 2	0	7069	25
2017	- December Corre	Casalina		Fune 2	0	0500	25
2018	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 3	0	9523	25
2019	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 4	1	12000	25
2020	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 5	0	15454	25
2021	-	- "		/	-		
2022	Passenger Cars	Gasoline	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 6	3	18619	25
2023	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Mini	Euro 4	10	15608	25
2024	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Mini	Euro 5	5	17572	25
2025		Dieser		Editors	J	1,0,2	20
2027	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Mini	Euro 6	5	19	25
2028	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Small	Euro 1	1	10957	25
2029	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Small	Euro 2	З	12152	25
2030	russenger eurs	Diesei	Sindi		0	12132	25
2031	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Small	Euro 3	7	13560	25
2032	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Small	Euro 4	16	15236	25
2033	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Small	Euro 5	12	17146	25
2035	russenger eurs	Diesei	Sindi	Editors	12	17110	25
2036	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Small	Euro 6	17	18122	25
2037	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 1	0	11376	25
2038	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 2	1	12489	25
2039		Dieser		2010 2	-	12 107	20
2040	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 3	2	14286	25
2042	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 4	2	15807	25
2043	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Large-SUV-Executive	Furo 5	2	17770	25
2044	r assenger ears	Diesei		Edito 5	2	1///0	25
2045	Passenger Cars	Diesel	Large-SUV-Executive	Euro 6	2	18788	25
2046	Light Commercial	Diesel	N1-II	Conventional	0	2313	25
2047	Vehicles						
2048	Vehicles	Diesel	N1-II	Euro 1	0	5012	25
2050	Light Commercial	Discol	N1 II	Euro 2	0	7400	25
2051	Vehicles	Diesei	IN 1-11	Euro 2	0	7490	25
2052	Light Commercial	Diesel	N1-II	Euro 3	2	10979	25
2053	Venicies						
2054	Vehicles	Diesel	N1-II	Euro 4	9	15840	25
2055	Light Commercial	Discol		Fune F	10	24240	25
2056	Vehicles	Diesei	IN 1-11	Euro 5	13	21249	25
2057	Light Commercial	Diesel	N1-II	Euro 6	23	25636	25
2059	Vehicles						
2060	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid <=7,5 t	Euro I	0	846	25
2061	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid <=7,5 t	Euro II	0	4475	25
2062							

2067							
2068	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid <=7.5 t	Furo III	0	11207	25
2069		51 1		=	•	1120,	20
2070	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid <=7,5 t	Euro IV	0	19789	25
2072	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid <=7,5 t	Euro V	0	32935	25
2073	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid <=7,5 t	Euro VI	0	50409	25
2074	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Digid 7 5 - 12 t	Furol	0	903	25
2075	Heavy Duty Hucks	Diesei	Nigiu 7,5 - 12 t	EdioT	U	705	25
2070	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 7,5 - 12 t	Euro II	0	4404	25
2078	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 7,5 - 12 t	Euro III	0	11191	25
2079	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 7,5 - 12 t	Euro IV	1	19733	25
2080	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 7,5 - 12 t	Euro V	1	33797	25
2082	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 7 5 - 12 t	Euro VI	0	53438	25
2083		Diesei			Ū	50100	25
2084	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 14 - 20 t	Euro I	0	952	25
2085	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 14 - 20 t	Euro II	0	4598	25
2087	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 14 - 20 t	Euro III	0	11195	25
2088	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 14 - 20 t	Euro IV	0	19669	25
2009	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 14 - 20 t	Euro V	0	33306	25
2091	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 14 - 20 t	Euro VI	1	50609	25
2093	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 20 - 26 t	Euro I	0	983	25
2094 2095	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 20 - 26 t	Euro II	0	4800	25
2096	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 20 - 26 t	Euro III	0	11470	25
2097 2098	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 20 - 26 t	Euro IV	0	19795	25
2099	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 20 - 26 t	Euro V	0	33762	25
2100 2101	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 20 - 26 t	Euro VI	0	50832	25
2102	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 26 - 28 t	Conventional	0	0	25
2103 2104	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 26 - 28 t	Euro I	0	995	25
2105	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 26 - 28 t	Euro II	0	4999	25
2106 2107	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 26 - 28 t	Euro III	0	11940	25
2108	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 26 - 28 t	Euro IV	0	19884	25
2109 2110	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 26 - 28 t	Euro V	2	34084	25
2111	Heavy Duty Trucks	Diesel	Rigid 26 - 28 t	Euro VI	0	50947	25
2112 2113	Buses	Diesel	Urban Buses Midi	Euro IV	0	27800	25
2114			<=15 t Urban Buses Midi				
2115	Buses	Diesel	<=15 t	Euro V	0	38074	25
2116 2117	Buses	Diesel	Urban Buses Midi	Euro VI	0	45582	25
2118	_		Urban Buses		_		
2119	Buses	Diesel	Articulated >18 t	Conventional	0	2000	25
2120	Buses	Diesel	Urban Buses	Euro I	0	5823	25
2122			Articulated >18 t				

2125	
2127 Lirban Buses	
2128 Buses Diesel Articulated >18 t 2129 Euro II 0 11357 25	
2129BusesUrban Buses2130BusesDieselArticulated >18 t	
2131 Urban Buses 2132 Buses Diesel Urban Buses Articulated >18 t Euro IV 1 27763 25	
2133 Buses Diesel Urban Buses Euro V 1 37992 25 2134 Articulated >18 t	
2135 Buses Diesel Urban Buses Euro VI 0 45633 25 2136	
2137 510	
2138	
2139	
2140	
2141	
2142	
2143	
2145	
2146	
2147	
2148	
2149	
2150	
2151	
2152	
2153	
2155	
2156	
2157	
2158	
2159	
2160	
2161	
2162	
2164	
2165	
2166	
2167	
2168	
2169	
2170	
2171	
2172	
2175	
2175	
2176	
2177	
2178	
2179	
2180	
2181	70
	~ /



512 Fig. A.1. Time profiles of PN, BC and NO_X concentrations on the traffic site and the background site From Wednesday to

2237 513 Sunday.



515 Fig. A.2. Time profiles of the percentages of the diesel PCs, the percentages of gasoline PCs and the numbers of HDVs on

2297 516 the measurement week.

2302			
2303			
2304	517	References	
2305	517		
2306			
2307	51 A lves, C.A., Lopes, D.J., Calvo, A.I., Evtyugina, M., Rocha, S., Nunes, T., 2015. Emissions from light-		
2300			
2309	519	duty diesel and gasoline in-use vehicles measured on chassis dynamometer test cycles.	
2311			
2312	520	Aerosol Air Qual Res 15, 99-116.	
2313			
2314	52 ≜ nd	re, M., Roche, A.L., Bourcier, L., 2014. Statistiques de parcs et trafic pour le calcul des	
2315			
2316	522	émissions de polluants des transports routiers en France. Final report (in French), IFSTTAR	
2317			
2319	523	LTE, available at. http://www.ademe.fr/statistiques-parcstrafic-calcul-emissions-polluants-	
2320			
2321	524	transports-routiers-france.	
2322			
2323	52 A ma	ato, F., Nava, S., Lucarelli, F., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Baldasano, J.M., Pandolfi, M., 2010. A	
2324			
2325	526	comprehensive assessment of PM emissions from paved roads: Real-world Emission Factors	
2327			
2328	527	and intense street cleaning trials. Science of The Total Environment 408, 4309–4318.	
2329			
2330	52 B uko	owiecki, N., Lienemann, P., Hill, M., Furger, M., Richard, A., Amato, F., Prévôt, A.S.H.,	
2331			
2332 2333	529	Baltensperger, U., Buchmann, B., Gehrig, R., 2010. PM10 emission factors for non-exhaust	
2334			
2335	530	particles generated by road traffic in an urban street canyon and along a freeway in	
2336	504	Switzenland Atmospheric Environment 11, 2220, 2240	
2337	531	Switzerland. Atmospheric Environment 44, 2330–2340.	
2338	50 6 000	agaratan M.D. Jayna J.T. Chartner, D.A. Jaradan S. Shi O. Jimanaz J.J. Silva D.J.	
2339	SSEGU	agaratha, M.K., Jayne, J.T., Gherther, D.A., Herhdon, S., Shi, Q., Jimenez, J.L., Shva, P.J.,	
2341	500	Williams B. Lanni T. Drownick E. others 2004 Chase studies of particulate emissions from	m
2342	555	Williams, F., Lamin, T., Drewnick, F., Others, 2004. Chase studies of particulate emissions nor	
2343	524	in-use New York City vehicles, Aerosal Science and Technology 38, 555-573	
2344	554	in use new rork city vehicles. Acrosof science and reenhology 30, 333-375.	
2345	53 6 an	ain I Cazier F. Nouali H. Mercier A. Déchaux I-C. Nollet V. Joumard R. André I-M.	
2340 2347	50 0 0pi		
2348	536	Vidon, R., 2006, Emissions of unregulated pollutants from European gasoline and diesel	
2349			
2350	537	passenger cars. Atmospheric Environment 40, 5954–5966.	
2351			
2352	53Bane	elli, R.M., Di Nocera, A., 2018. Customer perceptions of Japanese foods in Italy. Journal of	
2353 2354			
2355	539	Ethnic Foods.	
2356			
2357			
2358			~
2359		4	υ

2361			
2362			
2363	54 G iec	haskiel, B., Mamakos, A., Andersson, J., Dilara, P., Martini, G., Schindler, W., Bergmann, A.,	
2365			
2366	541	2012. Measurement of Automotive Nonvolatile Particle Number Emissions within the	
2367			
2368	542	European Legislative Framework: A Review. Aerosol Science and Technology 46, 719–749.	
2369			
2370	54Giechaskiel, B., 2018. Solid Particle Number Emission Factors of Euro VI Heavy-Duty Vehicles on		
2371			
2372	544	the Road and in the Laboratory. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15.	
2373			
2375	54 G iet	l, J.K., Lawrence, R., Thorpe, A.J., Harrison, R.M., 2010. Identification of brake wear particles	
2376			
2377	546	and derivation of a quantitative tracer for brake dust at a major road. Atmospheric	
2378			
2379	547	Environment 44, 141–146.	
2380			
2382	54Blud	da, N., Fruin, S., Delfino, R.J., Sioutas, C., 2013. Efficient determination of vehicle emission	
2383			
2384	549	factors by fuel use category using on-road measurements: downward trends on Los Angeles	
2385			
2386	550	freight corridor I-710. Atmospheric chemistry and physics 13, 347–357.	
2387			
2388	55 1 mh	of, D., Weingartner, E., Ordóñez, C., Gehrig, R., Hill, M., Buchmann, B., Baltensperger, U.,	
2309			
2391	552	2005. Real-world emission factors of fine and ultrafine aerosol particles for different traffic	
2392			
2393	553	situations in Switzerland. Environmental science & technology 39, 8341–8350.	
2394	ГГИ -≚-	k L Driverse L. Corriere M. Mažuik C. 2015 Determination of early and	
2395	55 # eze	k, I., Drinovec, L., Ferrero, L., Carnero, M., Mochik, G., 2015. Determination of car on-road	
2390	F F F	black carbon and particle number emission factors and comparison between mobile and	
2398	555	black carbon and particle number emission factors and comparison between mobile and	
2399	F F 4	stationary massyrements. Atmospheric Massyrement Techniques 9, 42, 55	
2400	220	stationary measurements. Atmospheric measurement rechniques 0, 45–55.	
2401	55 1 70 ba	unsson C. Norman M. Burman J. 2009. Road traffic emission factors for heavy metals	
2402	5550118	inson, c., norman, w., burman, E., 2007. Road trame chission factors for ficavy fictals.	
2403	558	Atmospheric Environment, Urban Air Quality 43, 4681–4688	
2405	550	Atmospherie Environment, orban Air Quanty 40, 4001 4000.	
2406	55 9 0ne	es A.M. Harrison R.M. 2006 Estimation of the emission factors of particle number and mass	
2407	5520110		
2408	560	fractions from traffic at a site where mean vehicle speeds vary over short distances.	
2409			
2410	561	Atmospheric Environment 40, 7125-7137.	
2412			
2413	56 1 our	nard, R., André, M., Vidon, R., Tassel, P., Pruvost, C., 2000. Influence of driving cycles on unit	
2414			
2415	563	emissions from passenger cars. Atmospheric environment 34, 4621–4628.	
2416			
2417		11	
2410		41	

2420		
2421		
2422 2423	56 4 am	n, W., Liacos, J.W., Schauer, J.J., Delfino, R.J., Sioutas, C., 2012. On-road emission factors of PM
2424 2425	565	pollutants for light-duty vehicles (LDVs) based on urban street driving conditions.
2420 2427 2428	566	Atmospheric Environment 61, 378-386.
2429 2430	56 K arj	alainen, P., Pirjola, L., Heikkilä, J., Lähde, T., Tzamkiozis, T., Ntziachristos, L., Keskinen, J.,
2431 2432	568	Rönkkö, T., 2014. Exhaust particles of modern gasoline vehicles: A laboratory and an on-road
2433 2434 2435	569	study. Atmospheric Environment 97, 262–270.
2436 2437	57 K etz	el, M., Wåhlin, P., Berkowicz, R., Palmgren, F., 2003. Particle and trace gas emission factors
2438 2439	571	under urban driving conditions in Copenhagen based on street and roof-level observations.
2440 2441	572	Atmospheric Environment 37, 2735–2749.
2442 2443 2444	57 K rea	cl, P., Johansson, C., Targino, A.C., Ström, J., Burman, L., 2017. Trends in black carbon and size-
2445 2446	574	resolved particle number concentrations and vehicle emission factors under real-world
2447 2448	575	conditions. Atmospheric Environment 165, 155–168.
2449 2450 2451	57 K red	cl, P., Targino, A.C., Landi, T.P., Ketzel, M., 2018. Determination of black carbon, PM 2.5,
2452 2453	577	particle number and NOx emission factors from roadside measurements and their
2454 2455	578	implications for emission inventory development. Atmospheric Environment.
2456 2457	57 b ew	tas, J., 2007. Air pollution combustion emissions: Characterization of causative agents and
2458 2459 2460	580	mechanisms associated with cancer, reproductive, and cardiovascular effects. Mutation
2461 2462	581	Research/Reviews in Mutation Research, The Sources and Potential Hazards of Mutagens in
2463 2464	582	Complex Environmental Matrices - Part II 636, 95–133.
2465 2466 2467	58Bian	g, B., Ge, Y., Tan, J., Han, X., Gao, L., Hao, L., Ye, W., Dai, P., 2013. Comparison of PM
2468 2469	584	emissions from a gasoline direct injected (GDI) vehicle and a port fuel injected (PFI) vehicle
2470 2471	585	measured by electrical low pressure impactor (ELPI) with two fuels: Gasoline and M15
2472 2473	586	methanol gasoline. Journal of Aerosol Science 57, 22–31.
2474 2475 2476		
2477		42
2478		

2479		
2480		
2481	5817iu.	Y., Martinet, S., Louis, C., Pasquier, A., Tassel, P., Perret, P., 2017, Emission Characterization of
2482	00210,	
2483	F00	In Lise Diesel and Caseline Fure 4 to Fure 6 Descensor Care Tested on Chassis Dynamometer
2484	200	III-Ose Diesel and Gasonne Euro 4 to Euro o Passenger Cars Tested on Chassis Dynamometer
2485	_	
2486	589	Bench and Emission Model Assessment. Aerosol and Air Quality Research 17, 2289–2299.
2487		
2488	59Dohi	meyer, A., Lambrecht, U., Peranic, Z., Boesinger, R. 2002. Validation of vehicle road PM10
2489		
2490	591	emission models by the Karlsruhe PM10 validation data set and the results of the regular
2491		
2492	502	Cormon state monitoring stations. In: Drocoodings of the Eighth International Conference on
2493	392	German state monitoring stations. In: Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference of
2494		
2495	593	Harmonisation within atmospheric Dispersion Modelling for Regulatory Purposes. Sofia,
2496		
2497	594	Bulgaria.
2498		
2499	595oui	is, C., Liu, Y., Tassel, P., Perret, P., Chaumond, A., André, M., 2016, PAH, BTFX, carbonyl
2500	0,204	
2501	504	compound black carbon NO2 and ultrafing particle dynamometer banch emissions for Euro
2502	570	compound, black-carbon, NO2 and ditranne particle dynamometer bench emissions for Euro
2503		
2504	597	4 and Euro 5 diesel and gasoline passenger cars. Atmospheric Environment 141, 80–95.
2505		
2506	59 8⁄ 1ar	tinet, S., Liu, Y., Louis, C., Tassel, P., Perret, P., Chaumond, A., André, M., 2017. Euro 6
2507		
2508	599	Unregulated Pollutant Characterization and Statistical Analysis of After-Treatment Device
2509		
2510	400	and Driving Condition Impact on Decent Decenger Car Emissions, Environ, Sci. Technol, 51
2511	000	and Driving-Condition impact on Recent Passenger-Car Emissions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51,
2512	_	
2513	601	5847-5855.
2514		
2515	60×ling	g, Z., Wubulihairen, M., Yang, F., 2012. PM, NOx and butane emissions from on-road vehicle
2516		
2517	603	fleets in Hong Kong and their implications on emission control policy. Atmospheric
2518		
2519	604	Environment 61 265-271
2520	004	Environment 01, 205-274.
2521		
2522	60 5 Itzi	achristos, L., Papadimitriou, G., Ligterink, N., Hausberger, S., 2016. Implications of diesel
2523		
2524	606	emissions control failures to emission factors and road transport NO x evolution.
2525		
2526	607	Atmospheric Environment 141, 542–551.
2527		
2528	رم ە رە	riscall D. AnSimon H.M. Ovlay, T. Maldan N. Stattlar, M.E. Thiyagaraiah A. 2016 A
2529	0000	nscoll, K., Apsillion, H.M., Oxley, T., Molden, N., Stettier, M.E., Hilyagarajan, A., 2010. A
2530	_	
2531	609	Portable Emissions Measurement System (PEMS) study of NOx and primary NO2 emissions
2532		
2533		
2534		
2535		
2536		43
2537		

2538		
2539		
2540	610	from Euro 6 diesel passenger cars and comparison with COPERT emission factors.
2541		
2542	611	Atmospheric Environment 145, 81–91.
2544		
2545	61 2 ant	t, P., Harrison, R.M., 2013. Estimation of the contribution of road traffic emissions to
2546		
2547	613	particulate matter concentrations from field measurements: a review. Atmospheric
2548		
2549	614	Environment 77, 78–97.
2550 2551		
2552	61 R eh	n, L.P., 2013. Caractérisation et impacts des émissions de polluants du transport routier :
2553		
2554	616	Apports méthodologiques et cas d'études en Rhône Alpes. (phdthesis). Université de
2555		
2556	617	Grenoble.
2557		
2558	61 R exe	eis, M., 2013. Update of Emission Factors for EURO 5 and EURO 6 vehicles for the HBEFA
2559		
2561	619	Version 3.2. Report No. I-31/2013/ Rex EM-I 2011/20/679 from 06.12.2013.
2562		
2563	62 R ose	e, D., Wehner, B., Ketzel, M., Engler, C., Voigtländer, J., Tuch, T., Wiedensohler, A., 2005.
2564		
2565	621	Atmospheric number size distributions of soot particles and estimation of emission factors.
2567		
2568	622	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions 5, 10125–10154.
2569		
2570	62 9 lud	er, C.S., West, B.H., 2000. Catalyzed diesel particulate filter performance in a light-duty
2571		
2572	624	vehicle. SAE Technical Paper.
2573		A Blanchaus A Damis C Staufaus N. Saudataïus T Dahlás C E 0004 Usalth
2575	62 5 ydi	oom, A., Blomberg, A., Parnia, S., Stenfors, N., Sandstrom, T., Dahlen, SE., 2001. Health
2576	(0)	offects of discular hand and initial Engineering Deminstering Lange 147, 700, 744
2577	626	effects of diesel exhaust emissions. European Respiratory Journal 17, 733–746.
2578		A Westerdel D. Chen J. C. West V. Hen J. Den V. Cup V. Thenny K.M. 2000
2579	ozyvai	Ig, X., Westerdani, D., Chen, L.C., Wu, Y., Hao, J., Pan, X., Guo, X., Zhang, K.M., 2009.
2580	400	Evaluating the air quality impacts of the 2008 Baijing Olympic Camer, on read omission
2582	028	Evaluating the air quality impacts of the 2006 Beijing Olympic Games: on-road emission
2583	620	factors and black carbon profiles. Atmospheric Environment 12, 1525-1512
2584	029	Tactor's and black carbon promes. Atmospheric environment 43, 4555–4545.
2585	6200/00	tordahl D. Wang X. Ban X. Zhang K.M. 2009 Characterization of on-road vehicle emission
2586	03000	steruarii, D., Wang, A., Pari, A., Zhang, K.M., 2007. Characterization of on-road vehicle emission
2587	621	factors and microenvironmental air quality in Beijing, China, Atmospheric Environment 43
2588	001	ractors and microcrivironmental an quanty in beijing, china. Atmospheric Environment 43,
2009 2590	632	697_705
2591	002	
2592		
2593		
2594		
2595		44
2396		

2597			
2598			
2599	633Westerholm R Christensen A Rosén \AAke 1996 Regulated and unregulated exhaust		
2600			
2601	634	emissions from two three-way catalyst equipped gasoline fuelled vehicles. Atmospheric	
2602	034	emissions nom two three-way catalyst equipped gasonne ruened venicles. Atmospheric	
2603	(05	Environment 00, 0500, 050/	
2604	635	Environment 30, 3529–3536.	
2605			
2606	63 % Vilo	t, A. R., & Ahtola, O. T. (1978). Analysis of covariance. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications الله, A. R., &	•
2607			
2608	637	View	
2609			
2610	638li-T	uomi, T., Aarnio, P., Piriola, L., Mäkelä, T., Hillamo, R., Jantunen, M., 2005, Emissions of fine	`
2611	00011		•
2612	(20	narticles NOV and CO from an read vahicles in Finland Atmospheric Environment 20	
2013	039	particles, NOX, and CO from on-road vehicles in Finland. Atmospheric environment 39,	
2614			
2615	640	6696-6706.	
2010			
2617	64 1 /ang	g, L., Franco, V., Mock, P., Kolke, R., Zhang, S., Wu, Y. and German, J., 2015. Experimental	
2010			
2019	642	Assessment of NOx Emissions from 73 Euro 6 Diesel Passenger Cars Environ Sci Technol	
2020	0.2		
2621	(10	40. 14400 14415	
2022	043	49: 14409-14415.	
2623			
2624	644		
2020			
2020	645		
2027			
2020	646		
2029			
2030			
2031			
2632			
2634			
2635			
2636			
2637			
2638			
2639			
2640			
2641			
2642			
2643			
2644			
2645			
2646			
2647			
2648			
2649			
2650			
2651			
2652			
2653			
2654			45
2655			