

Non-collinear ordering of the orbital magnetic moments in magnetite

H Elnaggar, Ph Sainctavit, A. Juhin, S. Lafuerza, F. Wilhelm, A. Rogalev, M.-A Arrio, Christian Brouder, M van Der Linden, Z. Kakol, et al.

► To cite this version:

H Elnaggar, Ph Sainctavit, A. Juhin, S. Lafuerza, F. Wilhelm, et al.. Non-collinear ordering of the orbital magnetic moments in magnetite. Physical Review Letters, 2019, 123, 10.1103/Phys-RevLett.123.207201. hal-02349259

HAL Id: hal-02349259 https://hal.science/hal-02349259

Submitted on 5 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Non-collinear ordering of the orbital magnetic moments in magnetite

2	H. Elnaggar, ^{1,*} Ph. Sainctavit, ² A. Juhin, ² S. Lafuerza, ³ F. Wilhelm, ³ A. Rogalev, ³ M A. Arrio, ² Ch.
3	Brouder, ² M. van der Linden, ¹ Z. Kakol, ⁴ M. Sikora, ⁵ M. W. Haverkort, ⁶ P. Glatzel, ³ and F. M. F. de Groot ^{1,†}
4	¹ Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science, Utrecht University, 3584 CA Utrecht, The Netherlands.
5	² Institute de Mineralogie de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie,
6	Sorbonne Université, CNRS, 4 place Jussieu, Paris, France.
7	³ European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, CS40220, F-38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France.
8	$^{4}Faculty$ of Physics and Applied Computer Science,
9	AGH University of Science and Technology, Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland.
10	$^{5}Academic Centre for Materials and Nanotechnology,$
11	AGH University of Science and Technology, Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland.
12	⁶ Institut für Theoritiche Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 19, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany.
13	(Dated: July 16, 2019)

The magnitude of the orbital magnetic moment [1-9] and its role as a trigger of the Verwey transition [10-17] in the prototypical Mott insulator, magnetite, remain contentious. Using 1s2presonant inelastic X-ray scattering magnetic linear angular distribution (RIXS-MLAD), we prove the existence of non-collinear orbital magnetic ordering and infer the presence of dynamical distortion creating a polaronic precursor for the metal to insulator transition. These conclusions are based on a subtle angular shift of the RIXS-MLAD spectral intensity as a function of the magnetic field orientation. Theoretical simulations show that these results are only consistent with non-collinear magnetic orbital ordering. To further support these claims we perform Fe K-edge X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) in order to quantify the iron average orbital magnetic moment.

Magnetite ($[Fe^{3+}]_A[Fe^{3+}, Fe^{2+}]_BO_4$) is the most abun- 47 insulators [23] ask for a new approach. 15 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 28 ferromagnetically coupled while the Fe ions in the same 62 be either antiparallel or non-collinear. 29 sublattice are ferromagnetically coupled (Fig. 1a). 30

31 32 33 be directly quantified by applying the sum rules on the 66 ordering that can tilt the orbital magnetic moment as $_{24}$ L_{2,3} XMCD signal [22]. In spite of the great success of $_{67}$ large as 82° away from the spin magnetic moment. We 35 sum rules, the experimental and analysis procedures were 66 proposed a model for this non-collinear orbital magnetic 36 37 38 $_{41}$ coupling between the Fe A and B sublattices were also $_{74}$ (111) Fe₃O₄ single crystals at room temperature. The av-42 suggested [4] (for a unit formula of Fe₃O₄: $Fe_B \mu_L = 75$ erage orbital magnetic moment projected along the mag-43 $1 \mu_{\rm B}$ and $Fe_A \mu_L = -1 \mu_{\rm B}$). A summary of the orbital 76 netic field direction can be quantified by performing Fe K 44 and spin magnetic moments reported in literature using 77 pre-edge XMCD measurements [22]. Three main dichroic 45 various techniques is shown in Fig. S1. These large dis-78 features can be seen at $E_I = 7112.7 \text{ eV}, E_{II} = 7114 \text{ eV}$ 46 crepancies regarding an essential quantity to many Mott $_{70}$ and $E_{III} = 7115.1 \,\mathrm{eV}$ with only significant angular de-

dant iron bearing mineral on Earth and it finds many 48 In this work we employed a combination of Fe K-edge applications in areas such as palaeomagnetism, medicine, 49 XMCD and 1s2p RIXS-MLAD measurements to investidata recording, and engineering [18]. Ever since Verwey's $_{50}$ gate the orbital magnetic moment of Fe in Fe₃O₄. Experpioneering work [19], an immense amount of research has s_1 iments at the Fe K-edge ($1s \rightarrow 3d + 4p$ excitations) have been dedicated to Fe_3O_4 in view of its importance as a s_2 a probing depth of few μm and hence surface effects are reference for systems exhibiting the metal to insulator s_2 negligible offering a valuable advantage over $L_{2,3}$ -edge transition [11, 20, 21]. In Fe₃O₄, the Verwey transition ⁵⁴ measurements. We quantified the average orbital magoccurs at $T_V \sim 125$ K and results in a spontaneous change so netic moment by performing K-edge XMCD. The accuof both, the lattice symmetry and the electric conductiv- $_{56}$ rately measured 1s2p RIXS-MLAD signal was used to ity. Above T_V Fe₃O₄ has a cubic inverse spinel crystal 57 determine the average square orbital magnetic moment structure containing two different Fe sites. Fe³⁺ ions re- 58 which complements the average quantity obtained from side in tetrahedral (T_d) interstices (the A sites) while 59 XMCD. A difference between the orbital magnetic moboth Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} ions reside in octahedral (O_h) inter- $\mathbf{0}$ ment predicted by both experiments indicates compensastices (the B sites). The A and B sublattices are anti- 61 tion: the orbital magnetic moments of the Fe ions must

Guided by theoretical calculations, we show that the 63 In ferromagnets and ferrimagnets, the spin and or- of only possibility to explain both of our experimental rebital magnetic moments of the transition metal ions can 65 sults is the existence of a non-collinear magnetic orbital shown to be prone to huge uncertainties due to surface or ordering and inferred the presence of a dynamical distoreffects [1]. Orbital magnetic moments as small as $0.01 \,\mu_{\rm B}$ 70 tion related to the X_3 phonon mode in the high tempera-[2] and as large as $0.33 \,\mu_{\rm B}$ [3] were reported for Fe₃O₄. *n* ture phase. Our model imposes strong restrictions on the In addition, large orbital magnetic moment contributions 72 candidate mechanisms for the Verwey transition [10, 24]. that are of equal absolute values but with antiparallel 73 We investigated highly stoichiometric (001), (110) and so pendence at the first feature (Fig. 1b). The co-existence ^{\$1} of two Fe sites in Fe₃O₄ complicates the direct analysis 82 of the XMCD signal and renders a full calculation important to assign spectral features to the specific Fe species. 83 Configuration interaction calculations taking into ac-84 count i)- intra-atomic Coulomb interaction, ii)- crystal 85 field, *iii*)- spin-orbit coupling, and *iv*)- exchange inter-86 action were performed using the quantum many-body 87 program Quanty [25–27]. Our theoretical simulations 88 show that the feature at E_I arises mainly from electric 89 quadrupole transitions (*i.e.* $1s \rightarrow 3d$ excitations) at the 90 formal Fe^{2+} ions while the features at E_{II} and E_{III} arise 91 mainly from electric dipole transitions (*i.e.* $1s \rightarrow 3d + 4p$ excitations) at the Fe^{3+} T_d ions due to onsite 3d - 4p93 orbital mixing as discussed by Westre et. al. [28]. The quadrupolar signals from the Fe^{3+} A and B sites nearly cancel out as shown in Fig. S8.

The experimental XMCD signal and its angular de-97 pendence can be best interpreted to arise from a par-98 tially quenched orbital magnetic moment at the formal Fe^{2+} ions. An excellent agreement between the calculation and the experiment is observed (compare spectra ¹⁰² labelled Exp and Calc 1 in Fig. 1b). This partial quench-¹⁰³ ing is a result of the octahedral symmetry accompanied 104 by a small trigonal distortion $(D_{\sigma} = 67 \pm 10 \,\mathrm{meV})$. The Fe environment is not perfectly O_h since the point group 105 symmetry of the B site is rhombohedral $(D_{3d} \equiv \bar{3}m)$. 106 We found that the average orbital magnetic moment is 107 $0.26 \pm 0.03 \,\mu_{\rm B}$ per unit formula of Fe₃O₄. It is impor-108 tant to note that feature I is theoretically predicted to 136 the experimental dichroism signal (Fig. 2b). Theoretical 109 110 111 finite orbital magnetic moment in bulk Fe_3O_4 . 112

113 114 115 117 118 119 120 and magnetic dichroism signals. We focus in this work on 148 to onsite 3d - 4p orbital mixing. 121 the effect of the magnetic dichroism on the linear angular 149 122 123 124 126 127 128 130 to analyze the RIXS spectra. 131

132 133 the horizontal ($\phi = 0^{\circ}$) and vertical ($\phi = 90^{\circ}$) config- 160 nantly from excitations to the t_{2q} orbitals, that are 90° 134 urations show a broad single pre-edge peak (Fig. S9a 161 angular shifted w.r.t. the second and third features cor- $_{135}$ and b), it is possible to identify three main features in $_{162}$ responding to excitations dominantly into the e_q orbitals



FIG. 1. (a) The unit cell of Fe_3O_4 and the magnetic coupling between the Fe sites. Octahedral (O_h) Fe³⁺ and Fe²⁺ ions are antiferromagnetically coupled to the tetrahedral (T_d) Fe³⁺ ions. (b) Fe K-edge measurements in Fe_3O_4 single crystal. The top panel shows XAS results as a function of the sample azimuthal angle θ . The bottom panel shows the corresponding XMCD experimental (dotted) and theoretical (solid) Fe preedge signal. Two model calculations are presented: i)- Calc 1 is the optimized calculation where a partially quenched orbital magnetic moment of $0.26 \,\mu_{\rm B}$ per unit formula of Fe₃O₄ was concluded, and *ii*)- Calc 2 is the theoretically expected XMCD signal for a fully quenched orbital magnetic moment scenario.

be completely suppressed in the case that $\mu_L = 0 \mu_B$ (see 137 calculation of the RIXS dichroism signal shows that the Fig. 1b Calc 2) strongly supporting the presence of a $_{138}$ quadrupolar contributions of the Fe³⁺ T_d and O_h sites 139 nearly cancel out (see Fig. S10) and hence the three fea-To investigate possible non-collinearity of the orbital 140 tures labelled in Fig. 2b are dominantly attributed to the magnetic moment, we performed comprehensive 1s2p 141 formal Fe²⁺ ions. This is consistent with the XMCD re-RIXS-MLAD measurements. This complements the av- 142 sults, where we found that the angular dependence is only erage projected result obtained from XMCD. The RIXS- 143 visible at the Fe²⁺ ions. The calculated RIXS dichroic MLAD was measured by rotating the sample about the 144 plane of the formal Fe^{2+} ions reproduces the three main incident wave-vector direction (k_{in}) aligned with the 145 spectral features. The calculation only misses a weak fea-[110] direction (refer to Fig. 2a). This implies that the 146 ture at incident energy $\sim 7115.1 \,\mathrm{eV}$ which is associated RIXS-MLAD includes contributions from both structural 147 with the electric dipole transition at the Fe³⁺ A site due

The full 360° experimental (theoretical) angular dedistribution of RIXS. We initially measured the RIXS- 150 pendence of the three main spectral features can be seen MLAD with the magnetic field *nearly* parallel to k_{in} as $_{151}$ in Fig. 2c. The angular dependence is twofold and a a reference measurement. In this case the magnetic field 152 90° angular shift is observed between the first feature is oriented along a high symmetry crystallographic direc- 153 and both the second and third features. A first explation and the angle between the linear incident polariza- 154 nation of the general angular dependence can be protion (ϵ_{in}) and the magnetic field nearly does not change 155 vided by analysing the $1s^13d^7$ intermediate states. Proas a function of the sample rotation. These choices sim- 156 jections of the intermediate states associated with nonplify the angular dependence and serve as a benchmark 157 zero transition matrix elements onto cubic crystal field 158 (*i.e.* O_h) configurations were calculated using the pro-Although the experimental RIXS planes measured in 159 gram CTM4DOC [29]. The first feature arises domi-

[28]. We note that the angular dependence is anisotropic 218 An energy shift of $\sim 0.2 \,\mathrm{eV}$ was found between the two 163 where the intensity of the third feature at $\phi = 180^{\circ}$ is 219 subclasses.

165 smaller than that at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$. This is related to the 220 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 be attributed to this fact. 174

We examined the coupling of the spin and orbital de-175 grees of freedom by displacing the magnetic field 50° 176 from the high symmetry [001] direction (refer to Fig. 3a). 177 The orientation of the magnetic field corresponds to 178 the $\left[\frac{-\cos(40^\circ)}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\cos(40^\circ)}{\sqrt{2}}, \sin(40^\circ)\right]$ direction. Orienting the magnetic field in a low symmetry direction aligns the net 179 180 spin magnetic moment parallel to the field. If the orbital 181 magnetic moment is not fully quenched, it consequently 182 re-aligns towards the low symmetry direction. The final 183 orientation of the net magnetic moment depends on the 184 strength of the competing interactions such as magnetic 185 exchange, spin-orbit coupling and distortion. Hence, the 186 angular shift of the maximum intensity of the excitations 187 188 can be used to quantify magnetic-moment-induced dis-189 tortion of the electron cloud. Based on this concept, we ¹⁹⁰ investigated the orbital magnetic moment of the formal 191 Fe^{2+} ions. A careful analysis of the full 360° angular ¹⁹² dependence exhibits a peculiar 10° angular shift of the maximum intensity between the second and third fea-193 tures in Fig. 3b. 194

195 presented in Fig. 3c. The model captures the essential 252 our observation. 196 aspects of the angular dependence and in particular the 253 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 respect to the local trigonal distortion varies between the 204 ray diffraction and optical reflectivity [41]. 208 four sites leading to anisotropic effects and generates four 265 209 210 211 212 213 ²¹⁴ ing one subclass and sites 3 and 4 forming the other (see ²⁷⁰ trated in Fig. 1b and Fig. 4f), however the RIXS-MLAD ²¹⁵ Fig. 4b). It is only when the dynamical distortion effect ²⁷¹ measurement demonstrates that the average quantity is 216 is taken into consideration that the experimental RIXS- 272 not sufficient to describe the orbital magnetic moment ²¹⁷ MLAD angular shift can be reproduces (see Fig. S13). ²⁷³ in Fe₃O₄. This is a result of the non-collinear orbital

We interpret the formation of these two subclasses as anisotropy in the detection in combination to a small 221 a result of a dynamical Jahn-Teller distortion at the Fe misalignment angle (δ) of the magnetic field relative to 222 B sites. The magnitude of the static trigonal distortion the rotation axis *i.e.* the detector position relative to $_{223}$ lies close within the phonon energies of Fe₃O₄ [30, 31], the sample as discussed in details in the Supplementary. 224 the magnetic exchange interaction and spin-orbit cou-In addition, our theoretical model assumes that the de- 225 pling, leading to a situation where electron-phonon intection system is a single point while in reality four Ge 226 teraction, dynamical Jahn-Teller and Kugel-Khomskii in-(440) analyzer crystals were used. The minor discrep- 227 teractions all play a role in determining the low energy ancy between the experiment and the calculations could 228 state. We treat this dynamical variation of the distor-229 tion in a first approximation as a small change in the 230 bond lengths over the four sites giving rise to a small en-231 ergy shift. This is a reasonable approximation because ²³² the electronic structure adapts almost instantaneously to $_{233}$ the crystallographic structure (*i.e.* the electronic motion ²³⁴ is much faster than the nuclear motion). In this case, the 235 effect of phonons could be simulated as a static distribu-²³⁶ tion of bond lengths leading to a shift in energy between ²³⁷ the four sites. This is a common practice in XAS theory 238 as can be found in the paper by Nemeusat *et al.* [32] 239 where thermal fluctuations are simulated by a well cho-240 sen series of configurations. Although theoretical studies 241 that treat simultaneously the electronic and the lattice 242 degrees of freedom are required to comprehend the pre-243 cise effect of the dynamical distortion, we point out that ²⁴⁴ numerous theoretical works concluded the essential role ²⁴⁵ of the strong electron-phonon coupling in the presence of ²⁴⁶ strong electron correlations leading to dynamical Jahn-²⁴⁷ Teller distortion and the creation of polarons [33-36]. In ²⁴⁸ particular, Piekarz et. al. [33, 34] identified the highly ²⁴⁹ dispersive X_3 phonon mode as a primary order param-²⁵⁰ eter of the Verwey transition which splits the four Fe B Theoretical calculation of the angular dependences are 251 sites into two subclasses. This agrees rather well with

We have undergone the task of simulating various 10° angular shift of the maximum intensity. The an- 254 X-ray spectroscopic measurements on the basis of our gular shift (Ω) quantified by fitting the angular depen- 255 model. In particular, we focused on comparing L_3 dence to a $\cos^2(\phi + \Omega)$ of the three features is reported 256 XMCD [2, 7] and L_3 RIXS [7] measurements to our simuin Tab. S4. The anisotropy of the angular dependence is 257 lations. Our model can reproduce the experimental data not well reproduced, likely due to a small misalignment 255 and notably it captures the recently reported L_3 RIXS of the magnetic field that has not been included in the 250 angular dependence well. The existence of this dynamcalculations (see Supplementary). It is now important to 200 ical distortion is furthermore supported by various exhighlight the key ingredients responsible for this angular 201 perimental work such as diffuse scattering experiments shift. The first factor is the static trigonal distortion. 262 using both neutrons [37] and X-rays [38], EXAFS [39], The relative orientation of the exchange interaction with 203 anomalous phonon broadening [40], and pump-probe X-

The presence of four non-equivalent Fe B sites in the non-equivalent Fe B sites. The theoretical RIXS-MLAD 266 high temperature phase has rather interesting implicafor the four sites are shown in Fig. S12. The second fac- 267 tions. Overall, we find that the average orbital magnetic tor is the effect of dynamical distortion that produces two 266 moment deduced by XMCD and RIXS-MLAD is the subclasses of the Fe B sites, namely, sites 1 and 2 form- $_{269}$ same $(0.26 \pm 0.03 \,\mu_{\rm B})$ per unit formula of Fe₃O₄ as illus-

(a) (b) (C) I (arb. unit) [001] $\Phi = 0^{\circ}$ 0.0 10 [-110] (90°) -Φ(0° Φ 712 [110] 180 710 -1-2-3225 708 270 Φ (deg) Norm, I (arb. unit) Φ= 90° [-110] 90 712 710 [1101 [001] 708 225 7112 7114 7116 270 Incident energy (eV) Φ (deg)

FIG. 2. Fe 1s2p RIXS-MLAD measurements. (a) A sketch of the scattering geometry employed. The magnetic field (B_{Field}) is aligned nearly parallel to the incident wave-vector (k_{in}) which corresponds to the [110] direction. (b) Experimental and theoretical dichroism RIXS planes computed as the difference between the RIXS plane at $\phi = 90^{\circ}$ and at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$. The full experimental (dotted) and theoretical (solid) 360° RIXS-MLAD signals of the features labelled 1, 2 and 3 in the RIXS dichroic maps are shown in (c) respectively. The angular dependence signal is normalized as: $RIXS - MLAD = \frac{RIXS(\phi) - Min[RIXS(\phi)]}{Max[RIXS(\phi) - Min[RIXS(\phi)]]}$



FIG. 3. Fe 1s2p RIXS-MLAD measurements. (a) A sketch of the scattering geometry employed. The magnetic field (B_{Field}) is aligned to the $\left[\frac{-\cos(40^\circ)}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\cos(40^\circ)}{\sqrt{2}}, \sin(40^\circ)\right]$ direction tion. The angular dependence is measured by rotating the lated (solid) angular dependence of the three main features (labeled 1, 2 and 3) are shown in panels (b) and (c).

274 ordering arising from the interplay between trigonal dis- 300 ments sensitive to the non-averaged quantity. This or-

275 tortion effects (static and dynamical), spin-orbit coupling $_{276}$ and exchange interaction at the formal Fe^{2+} ions. The $_{277}$ orbital magnetic moment per Fe^{2+} ion is predicted to have a strong dependence on the magnetic field in con-278 trary to the spin magnetic moment which is collinear to 279 the magnetic field. Fig. 4 illustrates the dependence of 280 the orbital magnetic moments on the orientation of the 281 magnetic field when we rotate it about the [110] direc-282 tion for the four sites independently. Large non-collinear 283 orbital contributions that tilt as much as 82° away from 284 the magnetic spin moment orientation are present. Fur-285 286 thermore, the collinear contribution per site ranges from 0 to 150% of the average quantity as a function of the 287 orientation of the field. Remarkably, the average orbital 288 magnetic moment for the four sites remains nearly con-289 stant (Fig. 4f). 290

The large discrepancies regarding the orbital magnetic 291 ²⁹² moment of Fe in Fe_3O_4 can now be understood in light of sample about the [110] direction (ϕ rotation). $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ is de- 293 the large non-collinear contribution, the site dependency fined when the incident polarization vector (ϵ_{in}) is aligned to 294 and the magnetic field angular dependence. Experiments the [-110] direction. The experimental (dotted) and calcu- 295 sensitive to the effective orbital magnetic moment yield 296 different results to those sensitive to the projected av-297 erage quantity, or the average of the squared projected 298 quantity. Moreover, variations as a function of the ori-299 entation of the magnetic field are expected for experi-



FIG. 4. The angular dependence of the orbital momentum (M_L) of the four Fe^{2+} ions as a function of the rotation of 348 the magnetic field (B_{Field}) about the [110] orientation. (a) 349 Sketch of the rotation geometry. The angular dependence of the orbital momentum projected along the direction of B_{Field} 351 and two perpendicular non-collinear contributions are shown 352 in panel (b), (c), (d) and (e). The average orbital magnetic 353 moments of the four Fe^{2+} ions are shown in (f).

301 dering of the orbital magnetic moment is predicted to 357 be short-ranged due to the dynamical distortions at the 358 302 $_{303}$ high temperature phase of Fe_3O_4 . The combination of 359 ³⁰⁴ 1s2p RIXS-MLAD and XMCD provides a powerful tool ³⁶⁰ 305 to quantify site-selectively non-collinear magnetic ordering with bulk sensitivity. Finally, we show that the or-306 363 bital degree of freedom is an important precursor for the 364 307 Verwey transition in Fe_3O_4 given the fact that it is cou-308 pled to a primary order parameter. 309

We acknowledge the staff of beamlines ID12 and ID26³⁶⁷ 310 of the European synchrotron radiation facility for their $^{\mathbf{368}}$ 311 $_{312}^{309}$ help in setting up and running the experiments. A. van $_{370}^{309}$ 313 der Eerden, S. Deelen, P. Theven and H. Vitoux are 371 314 thanked for their efforts in building up the setup. We 372 315 are grateful for P. Zimmerman and A. van der Eerden 373 316 and A. Ismail for assisting during the synchrotron mea- 374 317 surements at beamline ID26. We are grateful for the ³⁷⁵ [19] 318 fruitful discussions with G. Subías, J. Garcia, J. Blasco 376 319 and V. Vercamer. M. Delgado is thanked for provid-

³²⁰ ing and assisting us to use the program CTM4DOC. We 321 thank A. Bosak and M. Hussein for their help with the X-ray diffraction measurements and sample characteri-322 sation. Many thanks to R.-P. Wang for the discussions 323 and suggestions. We acknowledge financial support from 324 COST Action MP1306 (EUSpec). M. Sikora acknowl-325 edges support from National Science Center of Poland 326 (2014/14/E/ST3/00026). This work was financed by the 327 328 ERC advanced Grant XRAYonACTIVE No. 340279.

- H.M.E.A.Elnaggar@uu.nl 329
- t F.M.D.deGroot@uu.nl 330

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

347

350

355

362

- [1] E. Goering, M. Lafkioti, S. Gold, and G. Schütz, J. Magn. 331 Magn. Mater. **310**, e249 (2007). 332
- E. Goering, S. Gold, M. Lafkioti, and G. Schütz, Euro-[2]333 phys. Lett. 73, 97 (2006). 334
- [3] D. J. Huang, C. F. Chang, H.-T. Jeng, G. Y. Guo, H.-J. 335 Lin, W. B. Wu, H. C. Ku, A. Fujimori, Y. Takahashi, 336 and C. T. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 077204 (2004).
 - E. Goering, Phys. Status Solidi B 248, 2345 (2011). [4]
 - E. Arenholz, G. van der Laan, R. V. Chopdekar, and [5]Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. B 74, 094407 (2006).
 - [6] H. Y. Huang, Z. Y. Chen, R. P. Wang, F. M. De Groot, W. B. Wu, J. Okamoto, A. Chainani, J. S. Zhou, H. T. Jeng, G. Y. Guo, et al., Nat. Commun. 8, 15929 (2017).
 - [7] H. Elnaggar, R. P. Wang, S. Lafuerza, E. Paris, A. C. Komerak, H. Guo, Y. Tseng, D. Mcnelly, F. Frati, M. W. Haverkort, et al., arXiv:1811.04836 [cond-mat.strel] (2018).
 - [8] Y. Li, P. A. Montano, B. Barbiellini, P. E. Mijnarends, S. Kaprzyk, and A. Bansil, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 68, 1556 (2007).
 - [9] J. A. Duffy, J. W. Taylor, S. B. Dugdale, C. Shenton-Taylor, M. W. Butchers, S. R. Giblin, M. J. Cooper, Y. Sakurai, and M. Itou, Phys. Rev. B 81, 134424 (2010).
- [10]I. Leonov, A. N. Yaresko, V. N. Antonov, M. A. Korotin, 354 and V. I. Anisimov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 146404 (2004).
- M. Coey, Nature 430, 155EP (2004). 356 111
 - [12]Y. Tokura and N. Nagaosa, Science 288, 462 (2000).
 - [13]P. G. Radaelli, New J. Phys. 7, 53 (2005).
 - [14] J. Schlappa, C. Schüßler-Langeheine, C. F. Chang, H. Ott, A. Tanaka, Z. Hu, M. W. Haverkort, E. Schierle, E. Weschke, G. Kaindl, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 026406 (2008).
 - [15]S. B. Wilkins, S. Di Matteo, T. A. W. Beale, Y. Joly, C. Mazzoli, P. D. Hatton, P. Bencok, F. Yakhou, and V. A. M. Brabers, Phys. Rev. B 79, 201102 (2009).
- A. Tanaka, C. F. Chang, M. Buchholz, C. Trabant, 366 [16]E. Schierle, J. Schlappa, D. Schmitz, H. Ott, P. Metcalf, L. H. Tjeng, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 227203 (2012).
 - A. Tanaka, C. F. Chang, M. Buchholz, C. Trabant, [17]E. Schierle, J. Schlappa, D. Schmitz, H. Ott, P. Metcalf, L. H. Tjeng, et al., Phys. Rev. B 88, 195110 (2013).
 - [18] D. Dunlop and O. Özdemir, Rock magnetism (Cambridge Univ. Press., 1997)
 - E. J. W. Verwey, Nature 144, 327 (1939).
 - [20]N. F. Mott, Rev. Mod. Phys. 40, 677 (1968).
- 377 [21] M. Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura, Rev. Mod. Phys.

70, 1039 (1998). 378

- P. Carra, B. T. Thole, M. Altarelli, and X. Wang, Phys. 404 [22]379 Rev. Lett. 70, 694 (1993). 380
- D. I. Khomskii and M. V. Mostovoy, J. Phys. A: Math. 406 [23]381 Gen. 36, 9197 (2003). 407 382
- [24]H. Uzu and A. Tanaka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77, 074711 408 383 (2008).409 384
- M. W. Haverkort, M. Zwierzycki, and O. K. Andersen, 410 [25]385 Phys. Rev. B 85, 165113 (2012). 386
- [26] Y. Lu, M. Höppner, O. Gunnarsson, and M. W. 412 387 Haverkort, Phys. Rev. B 90, 085102 (2014). 413 388
- [27] M. W. Haverkort, G. Sangiovanni, P. Hansmann, 414 389 A. Toschi, Y. Lu, and S. Macke, EPL 108, 57004 (2014). 415 390
- [28] T. E. Westre, P. Kennepohl, J. G. DeWitt, B. Hedman, 416 391
- K. O. Hodgson, and E. I. Solomon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 417 392 119, 6297 (1997). 393 418
- [29] M. U. Delgado-Jaime, K. Zhang, J. Vura-Weis, and 419 394 F. M. F. De Groot, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 23, 1264 420 395 (2016).396 421
- [30] L. V. Gasparov, D. B. Tanner, D. B. Romero, H. Berger, 422 397
- 398 G. Margaritondo, and L. Forró, Phys. Rev. B 62, 7939 423 (2000).399 424
- [31] B. Handke, A. Kozlowski, K. Parlinnski, J. Przewoznik, 425 400
- T. Slezak, A. I. Chumakov, L. Niesen, Z. Kakol, and 426 401
- J. Korecki, Phys. Rev. B 71, 144301 (2005). 402

[32] R. Nemausat, D. Cabaret, C. Gervais, C. Brouder, 403 N. Trcera, A. Bordage, I. Errea, and F. Mauri, Phys. Rev. B 92, 144310 (2015).

405

411

427

- [33]P. Piekarz, K. Parlinski, and A. M. Oles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 156402 (2006).
- [34] P. Piekarz, K. Parlinski, and A. M. Oles, Phys. Rev. B 76, 165124 (2007).
- S. Borroni, G. S. Tucker, F. Pennacchio, J. Rajeswari, [35]U. Stuhr, A. Pisoni, J. Lorenzana, H. M. RØnnow, and F. Carbone, New J. Phys. **19**, 103013 (2017).
- [36]J. Cumby and J. P. Attfield, Nat. Commun. 8, 14235 EP (2017).
- [37]Y. Yamada, N. Wakabayashi, and R. M. Nicklow, Phys. Rev. B 21, 4642 (1980).
- A. Bosak, D. Chernyshov, M. Hoesch, P. Piekarz, [38]M. Le Tacon, M. Krisch, A. Kozłowski, A. M. Oleś, and K. Parlinski, Phys. Rev. X 4, 011040 (2014).
- [39]G. Subías, J. García, and J. Blasco, Phys. Rev. B 71, 155103 (2005).
- [40]M. Hoesch, P. Piekarz, A. Bosak, M. Le Tacon, M. Krisch, A. Kozłowski, A. M. Oleś, and K. Parlinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 207204 (2013).
- [41] S. de Jong, R. Kukreja, C. Trabant, N. Pontius, C. F. Chang, T. Kachel, M. Beye, F. Sorgenfrei, C. H. Back, B. Bräuer, et al., Nat. Mater. 12, 882EP (2013).