

### Divergent Functional Diversification Patterns in the SEP/AGL6/AP1 MADS-box Transcription Factor Superclade

Patrice Morel, Pierre Chambrier, Véronique Boltz, Sophy Chamot, Frédérique Rozier, Suzanne Rodrigues Bento, Christophe Trehin, Marie Monniaux, Jan Zethof, Michiel Vandenbussche

### ▶ To cite this version:

Patrice Morel, Pierre Chambrier, Véronique Boltz, Sophy Chamot, Frédérique Rozier, et al.. Divergent Functional Diversification Patterns in the SEP/AGL6/AP1 MADS-box Transcription Factor Superclade. The Plant cell, 2019, tpc.00162.2019. 10.1105/tpc.19.00162. hal-02349131

### HAL Id: hal-02349131 https://hal.science/hal-02349131v1

Submitted on 5 Nov 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### 1 **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 2

### 3 Divergent Functional Diversification Patterns in the SEP/AGL6/AP1 4 MADS-box Transcription Factor Superclade

5

14

16

## Patrice Morel<sup>1</sup>, Pierre Chambrier<sup>1</sup>, Véronique Boltz<sup>1</sup>, Sophy Chamot<sup>1</sup>, Frédérique Rozier<sup>1</sup>, Suzanne Rodrigues Bento<sup>1</sup>, Christophe Trehin<sup>1</sup>, Marie Monniaux<sup>1</sup>, Jan Zethof<sup>2</sup> and Michiel Vandenbussche<sup>1,\*</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire Reproduction et Développement des Plantes, Univ Lyon, ENS de Lyon, UCB Lyon 1,
 CNRS, INRA, F-69342, Lyon, France

12 <sup>2</sup>Plant Genetics, IWWR, Radboud University Nijmegen, 6525AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands

13 \*Corresponding Author: michiel.vandenbussche@ens-lyon.fr

15 Short title: Analysis of the Petunia SEP/AGL6/AP1 Superclade

One sentence summary: Functional analysis of the petunia MADS-box gene SEP/AGL6/AP1
 superclade compared to Arabidopsis and other species suggests major differences in the functional
 diversification of its members during evolution.

Keywords: SEPALLATA; APETALA1; AP1/SQUA; AGL6; MADS-box; floral meristem identity;
 inflorescence meristem identity, plant evolution; ABC model; Petunia; Arabidopsis; inflorescence
 architecture

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in
accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is: Michiel
Vandenbussche (michiel.vandenbussche@ens-lyon.fr).

### 29 ABSTRACT

30 Members of SEPALLATA (SEP) and APETALA1 (AP1)/SQUAMOSA (SQUA) MADS-box transcription 31 factor subfamilies play key roles in floral organ identity determination and floral meristem determinacy 32 in the Rosid species Arabidopsis. Here, we present a functional characterization of the seven SEP/AGL6 33 and four AP1/SQUA genes in the distant Asterid species Petunia x hybrida petunia. Based on the analysis 34 of single and higher order mutants, we report that the petunia SEP1/SEP2/SEP3 orthologs together with 35 AGL6 encode classical SEP floral organ identity and floral termination functions, with a master role for 36 the petunia SEP3 ortholog FLORAL BINDING PROTEIN 2 (FBP2). By contrast, the FBP9 subclade 37 members FBP9 and FBP23, for which no clear ortholog is present in Arabidopsis, play a major role in 38 determining floral meristem identity together with FBP4, while contributing only moderately to floral 39 organ identity. In turn, the four members of the petunia AP1/SQUA subfamily redundantly are required 40 for inflorescence meristem identity, and act as B-function repressors in the first floral whorl, together 41 with BEN/ROB genes. Overall, these data together with studies in other species suggest major 42 differences in the functional diversification of the SEP/AGL6 and AP1/SQUA MADS-box subfamilies 43 during angiosperm evolution.

44

#### 45 **INTRODUCTION**

46 Over the last two decades, the ABC model of floral organ identity has served as a genetic 47 framework for the understanding of flower development in other species, and across evolution (Bowman et al., 2012). Members of the MADS-box transcription factor family play a central 48 49 role in this model, and especially the MADS-BOX proteins encoding the floral B- and C-50 functions have been studied in a wide range of species (Krizek and Fletcher, 2005), providing 51 a better understanding of the evolution and diversification of floral development at the 52 molecular level. By contrast, much less comparative data is available for members of the 53 AP1/SQUA and the SEPALLATA MADS-box transcription factor subfamilies. Compared to the 54 B- and C-class MADS-box subfamilies, the SEP and AP1/SQUA subfamilies have substantially 55 expanded via several gene duplication events during angiosperm evolution (Litt and Irish, 2003; 56 Zahn et al., 2005). Together with reported extensive redundancy among individual SEP and 57 among AP1/SQUA genes (see below), this makes comparative functional studies challenging, 58 and probably underlies the relative lack of functional data in a broad range of species. 59 Moreover, the extensive sequence similarity observed among members within both subfamilies 60 may render the interpretation of phenotypes obtained by gene-silencing approaches (such as 61 RNAi/co-suppression/VIGS) difficult. In addition, in several species members of the closely 62 related AGL6 MADS-box subfamily also perform SEP-like functions (Ohmori et al., 2009; 63 Rijpkema et al., 2009; Thompson et al., 2009; Dreni and Zhang, 2016), adding further genetic 64 complexity to a comparative analysis of the SEP function across species borders.

65 The SEP and AP1/SQUA MADS-box transcription factor families are unique to 66 angiosperms, while AGL6 genes are present both in gymnosperms and angiosperms (Becker 67 and Theissen, 2003; Litt and Irish, 2003; Zahn et al., 2005). Interestingly, the AGL6, SEP and 68 AP1/SQUA subfamilies together compose a monophyletic superclade within the MADS-box 69 family (further referred to as the AP1/SEP/AGL6 superclade), suggesting a common ancestral 70 origin predating the angiosperm/gymnosperm divergence, although the evolutionary 71 relationship between the different subfamilies had not been completely resolved (Purugganan 72 et al., 1995; Purugganan, 1997; Becker and Theissen, 2003). A more recent phylogenetic 73 analysis based on exon/intron structural changes suggests that AGL6 genes are sister to both 74 SEP and AP1 subfamilies (Yu et al., 2016).

Thus far, Arabidopsis is the only core eudicot species for which a functional characterization of all its *AP1/SEP/AGL6* superclade genes has been achieved in sufficient detail, including the identification of redundant functions through higher order mutant analysis, but a wealth of functional data has been accumulated also in tomato and rice in recent years

79 (see further). The Arabidopsis SEP subfamily consists of four members, named SEP1, SEP2, 80 SEP3 and SEP4, and petals, stamens and carpels in the sep1 sep2 sep3 triple mutant are 81 transformed into sepals (Pelaz et al., 2000), while all floral organs in a sep1 sep2 sep3 sep4 82 mutant develop as leaf-like organs (Ditta et al., 2004). This led to the conclusion that SEP genes 83 are required for the identity of all floral organs, and function in a largely, but not completely 84 redundant fashion. In addition, SEP genes were shown to be involved in floral meristem identity 85 and determinacy (Pelaz et al., 2000; Ditta et al., 2004). SEP proteins are proposed to act as 86 'bridge proteins' enabling higher order complex formation (floral quartets) with the products 87 of the homeotic B and C function organ identity genes, and to provide transcriptional activation 88 capacity to these complexes (Honma and Goto, 2001; Theissen and Saedler, 2001; Immink et 89 al., 2009; Melzer et al., 2009). These findings have inspired the addition of the SEP (or E-) 90 function to the classic ABC model of floral development (Bowman et al., 1991; Coen and 91 Meyerowitz, 1991), summarized in a floral quartet model (Theissen and Saedler, 2001). In 92 contrast to the function of AGL6 genes in other species, the two Arabidopsis AGL6 subfamily 93 members AGL6 and AGL13 do not seem to perform a SEP-like function in floral organ identity 94 determination (Koo et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012; Hsu et al., 2014). The Arabidopsis 95 AP1/SQUA subfamily is composed of 4 members, of which the roles of AP1, CAL 96 (CAULIFLOWER) and FUL (FRUITFULL) in floral development have been particularly well 97 studied. Arabidopsis *ap1* mutants lack petals and have sepals displaying bract like features 98 (Irish and Sussex, 1990; Mandel et al., 1992). For these reasons, AP1 has been classified as an 99 A-function gene in the ABC model, required for the identity specification of sepals and petals. 100 Furthermore, AP1 plays also a major role in specifying floral meristem identity, in a largely 101 redundant fashion with CAL (Bowman et al., 1993; Kempin et al., 1995). FUL was initially 102 identified for its unique role in Arabidopsis carpel and fruit development (Gu et al., 1998), but 103 in addition was later shown to function redundantly with AP1 and CAL to control inflorescence 104 architecture (Ferrandiz et al., 2000).

105 To provide more insight in floral development and in the evolution of the floral gene 106 regulatory network in higher eudicot species in general, we have been systematically analyzing 107 the genetics underlying floral development in the Asterid species *Petunia x hybrida*. While the 108 genes encoding the floral A, B and C- functions in petunia have been well characterized 109 (Angenent et al., 1993; van der Krol et al., 1993; Kater et al., 1998; Kapoor et al., 2002; 110 Vandenbussche et al., 2004; Rijpkema et al., 2006; Cartolano et al., 2007; Heijmans et al., 2012; 111 Morel et al., 2017; Morel et al., 2018), only a few of the 10 previously described genes 112 belonging to the large petunia AP1/SEP/AGL6 superclade (Immink et al., 1999; Ferrario et al., 2003; Immink et al., 2003; Vandenbussche et al., 2003a; Vandenbussche et al., 2003b;
Rijpkema et al., 2009) have been functionally analyzed thus far.

115 Research on petunia SEPALLATA genes dates back a long time and provided, together 116 with a study in tomato, the first indication of the existence of SEP-function in floral 117 development: transgenic lines in which the SEP3-like petunia FBP2 or tomato TM5 genes were 118 silenced by co-suppression both exhibited simultaneous homeotic conversion of whorls 2, 3, 119 and 4 into sepal-like organs and loss of determinacy in the center of the flower (Angenent et 120 al., 1994; Pnueli et al., 1994), a phenotype similar to that later found in Arabidopsis sep1 sep2 121 sep3 mutants. However, at that time, multimeric complex formation of MADS-box proteins 122 still remained to be discovered (Egea-Cortines et al., 1999), and it was not clear how many 123 genes where co-suppressed in these lines. Therefore, the molecular basis of these phenotypes 124 in petunia and tomato was not immediately understood. Later, it was shown in yeast that petunia 125 SEP proteins also bind to B-class heterodimers and to C-class proteins, mediate quaternary 126 complex formation with B- and C-class proteins and display transcriptional activation capacity 127 (Ferrario et al., 2003), compatible with the proposed quartet model in Arabidopsis. 128 Interestingly, among the six petunia SEP-like proteins, also clear differences in protein-protein 129 interactions were revealed in a yeast 2-hybrid assay, suggesting functional diversification 130 (Ferrario et al., 2003; Immink et al., 2003). Especially FBP2 and FBP5 showed a much broader 131 range of interaction partners compared to the other petunia SEP proteins. Furthermore, it was 132 shown in planta that petunia SEP proteins may be crucial to import at least some other MADS-133 box transcription factors into the nucleus (Immink et al., 2002).

134 Using a gene-specific approach, we showed that the *fbp2* co-suppression phenotype was 135 indeed not gene specific, since single *fbp2* mutants showed only a very incomplete *sep-like* 136 phenotype, with primarily the margins of the petals exhibiting a petal-to sepal homeotic 137 conversion (Vandenbussche et al., 2003b). We also reported *fbp5* mutants that as single mutants 138 develop as wild type. Flowers of *fbp2 fbp5* mutants, however, showed an enhanced phenotype 139 compared to *fbp2* mutants: the sepaloid regions at the petal edges extended slightly further 140 towards the center; sepal-like structures appeared on top of the anthers, and a sudden dramatic phenotype appeared in the ovary, which continued to grow long after development has arrested 141 142 in wild-type (WT) flowers of comparable stages, resulting in a giant ovary. While the general 143 architecture of the ovary was maintained (carpels containing an interior placenta), inside all 144 ovules were homeotically converted to sepal-like organs (Vandenbussche et al., 2003b). This 145 directly demonstrated that not only the identity of petals, stamens and carpels depends on SEP 146 activity in petunia, but also ovule identity, as was also reported in Arabidopsis in the same journal issue (Favaro et al., 2003). More recently, we demonstrated that petunia *AGL6* also
exhibits SEP-like functions (Rijpkema et al., 2009), and performs a major role in petal identity,
redundantly with *FBP2*. In addition, a function in stamen development was revealed by *fbp2 fbp5 agl6* triple mutant analysis. In line with the proposed SEP-function for AGL6, we found
that AGL6 and FBP2 in yeast overall interact with the same the partners (Rijpkema et al., 2009).

Thus far, three petunia *AP1/SQUA* genes have been described, called *PFG*, *FBP26* and *FBP29* (Immink et al., 2003), and only the function of *PFG* was analyzed, using a cosuppression approach, resulting in a dramatic nonflowering phenotype, although the occasional development of single solitary flowers in these lines was also reported (Immink et al., 1999). However, as for the *FBP2* co-suppression line, the full-length coding sequence including the highly conserved MADS-domain was used to generate the co-suppression construct, questioning the specificity of the obtained phenotype.

To provide more insight in the functions of the *AP1/SEP/AGL6* superclade members in petunia, and more broadly in the evolutionary trajectory of the *AP1/SEP/AGL6* superclade in the core eudicots, we aimed to uncover unique and redundant functions of the complete *SEP/AGL6* and *AP1/SQUA* subfamilies during petunia flower development.

163 First, we present a genetic fine-dissection of the petunia SEP-function obtained from 164 the analysis of a series of single and multiple knock-out mutants, combining putative null 165 mutations in the six petunia SEP genes and AGL6. Most remarkably, we found that the FBP9 166 subclade members FBP9 and FBP23, for which no clear ortholog is present in Arabidopsis 167 (Zahn et al., 2005), play an essential role in determining floral meristem identity together with 168 FBP4, with only moderate contributions to the classic SEP floral organ identity function. 169 Furthermore, we show that the petunia genetic equivalent of the Arabidopsis sep1 sep2 sep3 170 mutant still displays residual B- and C-function activity, while a full sepallata phenotype was 171 obtained in a sextuple *fbp2 fbp4 fbp5 fbp9 pm12 agl6* mutant. The analysis further suggests that 172 the petunia SEP3 ortholog FBP2 performs a master floral organ identity SEP-function as in 173 Arabidopsis. In addition, we have analyzed the dependence of homeotic gene expression on the 174 SEP function, by comparing the dynamics of expression between wild-type and the sextuple 175 *fbp2 fbp4 fbp5 fbp9 pm12 agl6* mutant.

Finally, we show that the petunia *AP1/SQUA* subfamily is composed of four members (*PFG*, *FBP26*, *FBP26* and the here described *euAP1* gene) that function in a largely redundant way. We found that they are required for inflorescence meristem identity, but surprisingly, *pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1* mutants developed fully functional terminal flowers. In addition, we show that they act as B-function repressors in the first floral whorl, together with *BEN/ROB* genes 181 (Morel et al., 2017). Overall, comparison of these data with previous studies in mainly
 182 Arabidopsis, tomato and rice reveal major differences in the functional diversification of the
 183 SEP/AGL6 and AP1/SQUA MADS-box subfamilies during evolution of the angiosperms.

184

#### 185 **RESULTS**

### 186 **Petunia Floral Development**

187 To facilitate the comparison of the phenotypes presented in this study with the equivalent 188 Arabidopsis mutants, we summarize first the relevant differences in WT floral architecture 189 between petunia and Arabidopsis (Figure 1). Petunia flowers consist, from the outside towards 190 the center, of five sepals partly fused at their basis, five large congenitally fused petals, five 191 stamens of which the filaments are partly fused with the petal tube, and a central pistil composed 192 of two congenitally fused carpels (Figure 1A). Some important differences in flower 193 development between petunia and Arabidopsis, and relevant for this study, concern sepal 194 identity, placentation topology and inflorescence architecture. Indeed in Arabidopsis, epidermal 195 cell types and trichome architecture found on sepals can clearly be distinguished from those of 196 leaves (Ditta et al., 2004). By contrast, petunia sepals display a similar kind of epidermal cell 197 types as found in bracts and leaves, and are covered with the same type of multicellular 198 trichomes (Figure 1C). While Arabidopsis sepals dehisce rapidly after fertilization of the flower 199 and subsequently fall off together with petals and stamens, petunia sepals physiologically 200 behave more as leaf-like organs: they stay firmly attached to the pedicel and may remain green, 201 even long after the fruit has fully matured (Figure 1B). Note that the same occurs in flowers 202 that were not fertilized (see further Figure 4F). The parietal placenta and ovules in Arabidopsis 203 develop from the inner ovary wall, after termination of the floral meristem. In petunia, the 204 central placenta arises directly from the center of the floral meristem in between the two 205 emerging carpel primordia (Figure 1D), suggesting that the floral meristem is terminated later 206 compared to Arabidopsis (Colombo et al., 2008). Finally, Petunia species develop a cymose 207 inflorescence (Figure 1E, inset) as opposed to the raceme in Arabidopsis (reviewed in (Castel 208 et al., 2010)). During petunia cymose inflorescence development, the apical meristem 209 terminates by forming a flower, while an inflorescence meristem (IM) emerges laterally, 210 repeating the same pattern (Souer et al., 1998). This results in the typical zigzag-shaped petunia 211 inflorescence with alternating flowers on each node subtended by bracts.

212

#### 213 Petunia SEP/AGL6 Expression Analysis and Mutant Identification

214 Six SEP genes and one AGL6 gene (Ferrario et al., 2003; Vandenbussche et al., 2003b; 215 Rijpkema et al., 2009) were described in petunia compared to 4 SEP genes and 2 AGL6-like 216 genes in Arabidopsis. A survey of the recently released Petunia axillaris and Petunia inflata 217 genome sequences (Bombarely et al., 2016) indicated that these sequences represent the total 218 number of SEP/AGL6 genes in petunia (Supplemental Table 1). Several detailed and robust 219 phylogenetic studies of the SEP family (Zahn et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2016) as well as the more 220 limited phylogenetic analysis presented here (Figure 1F), identified FBP2 as the sole SEP3 221 ortholog in petunia, meaning that the petunia SEP3 clade contains only one member as in 222 Arabidopsis. Petunia FBP5 and PMADS12 (PM12) were shown to be the closest relatives of 223 SEP1 and SEP2, with the FBP5/PM12 and SEP1/SEP2 paralogous pairs originating from 224 independent gene duplications in the lineages leading to petunia and Arabidopsis respectively. 225 Finally, petunia FBP4 grouped in the SEP4 subclade, while FBP9 and FBP23 genes were 226 members of the FBP9 subclade, a subclass of SEP genes that is absent from the Arabidopsis 227 genome and potentially may have been lost in the lineage leading to Arabidopsis (Malcomber 228 and Kellogg, 2005; Zahn et al., 2005). The larger number of SEP genes in petunia compared to 229 Arabidopsis is therefore entirely due to the presence of the two petunia *FBP9* subclade genes.

230 As expected based on their close taxonomic relationship, the petunia proteins overall 231 showed the closest relationship with SEP/AGL6 members from tomato (The Tomato Genome 232 Consortium, 2012; Soyk et al., 2017) compared to Arabidopsis and rice (Figure 1F). Like 233 petunia, tomato contained one AGL6 gene, one SEP3 copy and two FBP9 members, but slight 234 differences in the number of genes belonging to the SEP1/SEP2 and SEP4 subclades could be 235 observed between the two species. Notably, tomato contained only one SEP1/SEP2 copy, while 236 having two SEP4-like genes. Among the members of the tomato SEP/AGL6 family, the SEP4-237 like RIN gene initially received most of the attention, because the classical rin mutation has 238 been widely used in tomato breeding as it improves shelf-life of tomato fruits when present in 239 a heterozygous state, while the homozygous rin mutation prevents initiation of ripening 240 (Vrebalov et al., 2002). Interestingly, more recent studies in tomato have shed a first light on 241 the function of the enigmatic FBP9 subclade genes. First of all, it was found that SLMBP21/J2 242 (JOINTLESS 2) is required for the development of the pedicel abscission zone (Liu et al., 2014; 243 Roldan et al., 2017; Soyk et al., 2017). Furthermore, a breakthrough functional study (Soyk et 244 al., 2017) based on both natural and CRISPR induced mutant alleles showed that the two tomato 245 FBP9 clade genes SLMBP21/J2 and SlMADS1/EJ2 (ENHANCER OF JOINTLESS 2) have 246 overlapping functions in meristem maturation and the control of inflorescence branching 247 together with LIN (LONG INFLORESCENCE), the second tomato SEP4-like gene.

Remarkably, triple *j2 ej2 lin* knockout mutants exhibit a dramatic phenotype consisting of massively overproliferated sympodial inflorescence meristems (SIMs) without the formation of flowers, indicating that the transition towards FM identity is not made.

251 As a first step in the characterization of the complete SEP/AGL6 clade in petunia, we 252 performed RT-qPCR expression analysis (Figure 1G) in three floral bud developmental stages 253 (Figure 1E) with the stage 1 floral bud sample also including very early flower primordia, bracts 254 and the inflorescence meristem, and in various other tissues. This allows for a more quantitative 255 analysis than a previous study by RNA gel blot and *in situ* hybridization (Ferrario et al., 2003). 256 We detected important differences in expression levels among the SEP/AGL6 genes and clear 257 differences in expression patterns, both correlated with their phylogenetic position, suggesting 258 functional divergence: FBP2, FBP5, and AGL6 were the most abundantly expressed genes, 259 reaching expression levels roughly tenfold higher than the SEP4 homolog FBP4, and the FBP9 260 subclade members FBP9 and FBP23. Furthermore, FBP2, FBP5 and AGL6 expression was 261 restricted to floral tissues, with expression levels strongly increasing during floral bud 262 development, while FBP4, FBP9 and FBP23 were more broadly expressed, and expression 263 levels did not show a strong upregulation during later stages of floral bud development. 264 Expression outside the floral domain was most marked in bracts for FBP4, and in the 265 inflorescence stem tissue for both FBP4 and FBP9. One exception to these general differences 266 observed between SEP1/SEP2/SEP3/AGL6 and SEP4/FBP9 genes was PM12, which was 267 expressed  $\sim 100$  fold lower than its close paralog *FBP5*, and for which expression was detected 268 also in bracts and stems. For all genes analyzed, expression levels varied considerably between 269 the different floral organs: Expression may be much lower in one particular organ type 270 compared to the three other floral organs (e.g. very low *FBP2* and *FBP5* expression in sepals; 271 low levels of PMADS12, AGL6 and FBP23 expression in stamens), or may peak in one specific 272 floral organ (FBP4 in sepals; FBP9 in petals). Our results are in agreement with the *in situ* data 273 previously obtained for FBP2 and FBP5, showing mainly expression in the three inner floral 274 whorls during early flower development, while some minor differences with the PMADS12 in 275 situ data suggest that the PM12 expression pattern is not constant as floral buds further develop 276 (Ferrario et al., 2003).

To perform a functional analysis, we used a reverse genetics strategy (Koes et al., 1995; Vandenbussche et al., 2003b; Vandenbussche et al., 2008) to identify *dTph1* transposon insertions in the coding sequences of the petunia *SEP* and *AGL6* genes. In total, we identified and confirmed 16 independent transposon insertions *in planta*, including some earlier reported alleles (Vandenbussche et al., 2003b; Rijpkema et al., 2009) in all of the 7 different members 282 of the SEP/AGL6 clade (Figure 1H). Because the 284 bp dTph1 sequence encodes stop codons 283 in all six possible reading frames, and based on their insert position (either disrupting the first 284 exon encoding the MADS DNA binding domain, or the K-region required for protein-protein 285 interactions in the case of the *fbp2* insertions, all of the selected insertion alleles most likely 286 represent null alleles. We obtained and analyzed homozygous mutants for all insertion alleles, 287 but remarkably, only homozygous mutants for *fbp2* insertions displayed floral homeotic defects 288 (Figure 1H), suggesting extensive functional redundancy among the petunia SEP/AGL6 genes, 289 and that FBP2 function is more essential than that of any other SEP/AGL6 gene. These results 290 clearly indicated the need for multiple mutant analyses to further uncover putative redundant 291 functions.

292

## The Petunia *fbp2 fbp5 pmads12* Mutant, Genetic Equivalent of the Arabidopsis *sep1 sep2 sep3* Mutant, Displays Floral Characteristics Indicating Residual B- and C-Function Activity

296 In Arabidopsis, the simultaneous loss of SEP1, SEP2 and SEP3 results in flowers consisting 297 only of sepals (Pelaz et al., 2000). To compare the petunia genetic equivalent, we aimed to 298 analyze *fbp2 fbp5 pm12* triple mutants (Figure 2). As mentioned earlier, of the three single 299 mutants, only *fbp2* mutants displayed a phenotype different from WT (Figures 2A to 2D). 300 Moreover, fbp2/+ fbp5 pm12 flowers (Figure 2E) developed morphologically as WT, 301 demonstrating that FBP2 even in a heterozygous state can fully compensate for the loss of 302 FBP5 and PM12 functions. In addition, fbp2 pm12 double mutants were not markedly different 303 from *fbp2* single mutants (Figure 2F), in contrast to the earlier reported *fbp2 fbp5* mutants 304 (Figures 2G to 2K) and (Vandenbussche et al., 2003b). However, *fbp2 fbp5 pm12* flowers could 305 be easily distinguished from *fbp2 fbp5* flowers: a clear enhancement of stamen to sepal identity 306 could be observed in the third whorl, although still some antheroid tissue remained, as in *fbp2* 307 fbp5 mutants (Figure 2M). Furthermore, while the extremely enlarged fbp2 fbp5 mutant pistil 308 still exhibited partial carpel identity, the carpels of fbp2 fbp5 pm12 mutants acquired clear 309 sepal/leaf-like epidermal characteristics (Figures 2N to 2Q), and were densely covered with 310 trichomes. The latter are never observed on WT pistils, and only at very low frequency on *fbp2* 311 *fbp5* pistils (Figure 2N). Furthermore, no stigma and style structures remained in the triple 312 mutant, but the overall internal organization of the ovary was maintained, with a placenta 313 structure covered by a few hundred leaf-like organs that represented homeotically converted 314 ovules, as observed in *fbp2 fbp5* mutants (Figures 2K and 2L). In the second whorl of *fbp2 fbp5* 315 *pm12* flowers, the partial petal to sepal conversion at the corolla border was only subtly enhanced compared to *fbp2 fbp5* mutants (Figures 2G and 2H). Given that the effect of the *pm12* mutation only became apparent in an *fbp2 fbp5* mutant background, we conclude that *PM12* plays a less essential role than its close paralog *FBP5*. Overall, the remnant petal and
stamen tissues and the maintenance of a placenta structure in *fbp2 fbp5 pm12* flowers show that
unlike in Arabidopsis, genes outside the *SEP3* and *SEP1/SEP2* subfamilies are able to rescue
part of the B- and C-functions in a petunia *sep1 sep2 sep3* mutant background.

322

### The *FBP9* Subclade Genes *FBP9* and *FBP23* Function as Floral Meristem Identity Genes together with *FBP4*

325 We showed earlier that petunia AGL6 is one of the genes outside the classical SEP1/SEP2/SEP3 326 group that plays a prominent role in performing a SEP-like floral organ identity function, 327 especially in the determination of petal identity, redundantly with FBP2 (Rijpkema et al., 2009). 328 However, FBP4 as a SEP4-like gene may also participate, as found in Arabidopsis (Ditta et al., 329 2004) and potentially also FBP9 and FBP23, the petunia representatives of the FBP9 subclade. 330 Earlier we found that the *fbp9*, *fbp23* and *fbp4* single mutants displayed a WT phenotype 331 (Figure 1H), and that expression levels of all three genes peak early during floral developmental 332 stages compared to the other petunia SEP genes and AGL6 (Figure 1G), potentially indicating 333 a redundant (common) function for FBP4, FBP9 and FBP23. Indeed, a functional overlap was 334 recently demonstrated among corresponding SEP subclade members in tomato (Soyk et al., 335 2017).

336 To test such a putative functional redundancy among the petunia FBP9, FBP23 and 337 FBP4 genes, we first created and analyzed *fbp9 fbp23* double mutants, since FBP9 and FBP23 338 are close paralogs belonging to the same FBP9 SEP-subclade. Interestingly, we found that fbp9 339 *fbp23* mutants were dramatically affected in their inflorescence architecture, with new 340 inflorescence shoots developing instead of flowers, resulting in a highly branched inflorescence 341 structure. However, flower development was not completely abolished in these mutants, 342 because after several weeks of a highly branched inflorescence development, frequently a 343 flower appeared on one or more branches of the same plant, after which these branches switched 344 again to the initial phenotype (Figures 3B and 3F). This indicated that the capacity to form 345 floral meristems was not completely abolished in *fbp9 fbp23* mutants and that (an)other 346 factor(s) can partly rescue floral meristem determinacy in the absence of FBP9/FBP23 function. 347 Because we assumed FBP4 being a likely candidate, we next analyzed fbp4 fbp9 fbp23 triple 348 mutants. Indeed, we found that the *fbp9 fbp23* phenotype was further enhanced in these triple 349 mutants, resulting in a highly branched flowerless inflorescence architecture (Figures 3C, 3G

and 3H), phenotypically very similar to that reported earlier for the petunia floral meristem
identity mutant *alf* (Souer et al., 1998), with *ALF* being orthologous to Arabidopsis *LEAFY*(*LFY*) (Weigel et al., 1992) and snapdragon *FLORICAULA* (*FLO*) (Coen et al., 1990) genes.
Note that over a long period (> 6 months) of highly branched inflorescence development, some
triple mutants produced 1-2 isolated flowers, while other individuals never flowered at all.

355 To study the *fbp4 fbp9 fbp23* phenotype in more detail, we analyzed *fbp4 fbp9 fbp23* 356 inflorescence apices by scanning electron microscopy in comparison with WT (Figures 3I to 357 3L). At very early developmental stages, the *fbp4 fbp9 fbp23* plants exhibited a phenotype very 358 comparable to *alf* mutants (Souer et al., 1998): as in *alf* mutants, the bifurcation pattern of *fbp4* 359 fbp9 fbp23 inflorescence apices was similar to WT, but the two resulting meristems both 360 behaved as inflorescence meristems, as indicated by the continuous bifurcation of each newly 361 formed meristem and the repetitive formation of bracts flanking these meristems. Together, this 362 indicates that floral meristems in *fbp4 fbp9 fbp23* mutants are homeotically transformed into 363 inflorescence meristems.

364 Finally, floral meristem identity was not visibly affected in *fbp4 fbp9 fbp23/+* and *fbp4* 365 *fbp23 fbp9/+* plants, as judged by the presence of a normal cymose inflorescence architecture 366 in these mutant combinations (Figures 3M to O). This shows that the presence of either FBP9 367 or FBP23 in heterozygote state is sufficient to rescue floral meristem identity. Together with 368 the already strong phenotype observed in *fbp9 fbp23* plants compared to *fbp4 fbp9 fbp23* plants 369 (Figures 3B to 3C, 3F to 3G, 3P to 3Q), we conclude that the FBP9 clade members FBP23 and 370 FBP9 play a major role in floral meristem identity determination in a largely redundant fashion, 371 while FBP4 is involved in the same function, but plays a less essential role compared to the 372 FBP9/FBP23 gene pair.

Although the phenotypes of tomato *j2 ej2 lin* and petunia *fbp9 fbp23 fbp4* mutants at first sight do not look very similar (see discussion), overall, this shows that in both species, *FBP9* clade genes together with a *SEP4* gene play an essential role in floral meristem identity, different from the classical SEP organ identity functions.

To test whether *FBP4*, *FBP9* and *FBP23* also function later in conferring floral organ identity, we introduced the corresponding mutations into the *fbp2* mutant background, the only petunia *sep* mutation with a visible phenotype as a single mutant. However, we found that flowers of *fbp2 fbp4*, *fbp2 fbp9* and *fbp2 fbp23* mutants were not markedly different from *fbp2* mutants (Figures 3R to 3U), while *fbp2 fbp4 fbp23* and *fbp2 fbp4 fbp9* flowers only showed a moderate enhancement of the *fbp2* petal-to-sepal conversion phenotype (Figure Figures 3V to 3W). In *fbp2 fbp4 fbp23* flowers, the green margin appeared to be broader in all five petals while in *fbp2 fbp4 fbp9* flowers this was most visible in the two basal petals. In comparison
with the earlier described floral phenotypes of *fbp2 fbp5* (Vandenbussche et al., 2003b), *fbp2 agl6, fbp2 fbp5 agl6* (Rijpkema et al., 2009) and *fbp2 fbp5 pmads12* mutants, this suggests that *FBP4, FBP9* and *FBP23* do play a role in floral organ identity, but contribute only moderately
to this function compared to the petunia *SEP1/SEP2/SEP3* homologs and *AGL6*. Note that we
also obtained *fbp2 fbp4 fbp9 fbp23* quadruple mutants, but as expected, these developed a
highly branched flowerless inflorescence structure as in *fbp4 fbp9 fbp23* mutants.

- 391
- 392

### The Sextuple fbp2 fbp4 fbp5 fbp9 pm12 agl6 Mutant Displays a Classic sepallata Phenotype

393 By analyzing AGL6, FBP2 and FBP5 functions and the fbp2 fbp5 pmads12 and fbp4 394 fbp9 fbp23 triple and fbp2 fbp4 fbp9 fbp23 mutants, we could reveal specific/specialized SEP 395 functions for certain members of the petunia SEP/AGL6 clade and surprisingly, the requirement 396 of FBP9/FBP23 function (and to a lesser extend FBP4) for floral meristem identity, as was also 397 recently shown in tomato. However, a classic floral sepallata phenotype as described for 398 Arabidopsis was not obtained, indicating further redundancy, possibly shared between the 399 majority of the petunia SEP/AGL6 genes. To test this further, we embarked on a long-term 400 crossing scheme aimed to combine all of the *sep/agl6* mutant alleles in a single plant. However, 401 we chose to exclude the *fbp23* mutation in this scheme since this would completely abolish 402 flower formation when combined with the fbp9 and fbp4 mutations and thus prevent 403 visualization of additive floral phenotypes. After years of crossing, we finally obtained 404 homozygous sextuple fbp2-332 fbp4-44 fbp5-129 fbp9-90 pm12-37 agl6-118 mutant plants, 405 hereafter referred to as sextuple sep/agl6 mutants. In contrast to the earlier described lower 406 order mutants, all organs in the flowers of sextuple *sep/agl6* mutants were green and densely 407 covered by trichomes (Figure 4), exhibiting sepal/leaf-like characteristics (Figures 4A to 4E). 408 Note that as mentioned earlier, it is not possible to discriminate between sepal, bract and leaf 409 identity in petunia based on epidermal cell characteristics (Figure 1C). As expected, scanning 410 electron microscopy of these organs revealed the conversion of the typical petal, stamen and 411 carpel epidermal cell types into epidermal cells characteristic for sepals, bracts and leaves, 412 including stomata and multicellular trichomes (Figure 4E). The second whorl, which in WT 413 consists of five large brightly colored fused petals, was occupied by five green organs that 414 remained fused at their bases (Figure 1C). Although dramatically smaller than WT petals, they 415 remained larger than first whorl sepals. Similarly, in the third whorl, the five stamens were 416 replaced by sepal/leaf like organs. The overall shape of these organs did retain some of the 417 stamen architecture, since the region corresponding to the WT stamen filament remained

418 smaller compared to the more leaf blade-like upperparts. Stamen filaments in WT are fused 419 along half of their length with the inside of the petal tube. By contrast, third whorl organs in the 420 sextuple mutant completely lost this partial fusion. In the fourth whorl, normally occupied by 421 two carpels that are entirely fused and enclose the placenta and ovules, two (sometimes three) 422 unfused sepal/leaf-like organs were found. Internally, the placenta was entirely replaced by a 423 new emerging flower reiterating the same floral phenotype (Figure 1D). Thus in contrast to 424 lower order sep mutants, the sextuple mutant was fully indeterminate. In the majority of the 425 flowers (Figure 4F), this secondary flower further developed and emerged from the primary 426 flower supported by a pedicel, while containing on itself another flower in its center. This third 427 flower usually did not further grow out, although occasionally we observed up to three 428 consecutive fully developed flowers (Figure 4F). Note that the sextuple sep/agl6 mutant 429 displayed a normal cymose inflorescence architecture as in WT (Figure 4F), in sharp contrast 430 to fbp4 fbp9 fbp23 mutants. This demonstrates that FBP23 alone can fully rescue floral 431 meristem identity in a sextuple mutant background, but not floral organ identity.

432

### 433 Homeotic Gene Expression in Sextuple *sep/agl6* Mutant Flowers

434 To further characterize the sextuple sep/agl6 mutant at the molecular level, we quantified and 435 compared the dynamics of homeotic gene expression levels between WT and the sextuple 436 mutant (Figure 4G) at three different stages of floral bud development, as described earlier 437 (Figure 1E). Encoding of the B-function in petunia is more complex compared to Arabidopsis 438 and Antirrhinum, and involves the two PI/GLO-like MADS-box transcription factors Petunia 439 hybrida GLO1 and GLO2, the DEF/AP3 ortholog PhDEF, and PhTM6, the petunia 440 representative of the ancestral B-class TM6 lineage that has been lost in Arabidopsis, but which 441 is present in many species (Angenent et al., 1993; van der Krol et al., 1993; Vandenbussche et 442 al., 2004; Rijpkema et al., 2006). In WT, we found that all four B-class genes were 443 progressively upregulated as floral buds developed, with an upregulation from stage 1 to stage 444 3 varying roughly from three to six times, depending on the gene. In the sextuple mutant, we 445 observed expression levels of PhGLO1, PhGLO2 and PhDEF initially similar to WT in the 446 youngest stage analyzed. However, upregulation in older stages was strongly affected, 447 especially for PhGLO1 and PhGLO2, which remained expressed at initial levels. PhDEF 448 remained progressively upregulated in the different sextuple mutant samples, but reached only 449 one third of the expression compared to WT in the final stage. By contrast, *PhTM6* expression 450 levels were strongly downregulated from stage 1 floral buds onwards, remaining at similarly 451 low levels in the two older stages.

452 The C-function in petunia is redundantly encoded by PMADS3 and FBP6, orthologs of 453 Arabidopsis AG and SHP1/2 respectively (Heijmans et al., 2012; Morel et al., 2018). As for the 454 B-function genes, FBP6 and PMADS3 expression in WT is progressively upregulated in 455 developing floral buds (6,5 and 11 times respectively), and initial expression levels in stage 1 456 buds were very similar between WT and sextuple mutants for both C-class genes. Both C-genes 457 still displayed a clear upregulation in the older sextuple mutant flower buds, and seemed in 458 general less affected by the sextuple loss of SEP/AGL6 function than the B-function genes, 459 especially in stage 2. In stage 3 buds, FBP6 expression was not different between WT and 460 sextuple mutants, while *PMADS3* in the sextuple mutant was expressed at around 50% of its 461 WT levels. FBP11 is a petunia D-lineage MADS-box gene orthologous to STK (Angenent et 462 al., 1995; Colombo et al., 1995), and that with FBP7 (another D-lineage member) and the C-463 genes PMADS3 and FBP6 redundantly is required to confer ovule identity, and to arrest the floral meristem (Heijmans et al., 2012). Consistent with its later function in floral development, 464 465 the FBP11 expression profile showed a very strong upregulation in the WT developmental 466 series (~30 fold). By contrast, in the sextuple mutant samples, FBP11 expression was barely 467 detectable in all stages tested.

468 Finally, we choose to monitor the expression of petunia UNS (UNSHAVEN), a member of the 469 SOC1 subfamily, because of its particular expression pattern reported to be mainly restricted to 470 green tissues including stems, leaves, bracts and the first whorl (sepals) in the flower (Immink 471 et al., 2003; Ferrario et al., 2004). Moreover, UNS ectopic expression was shown to confer leaf-472 like characteristics to floral organs. We first used the cDNA series from Figure 1F to analyze 473 its expression pattern in a more quantitative manner compared to earlier gel blot data, 474 confirming highest expression levels in bracts, inflorescence stems, and in the sepals within the 475 flower (Supplemental Figure 1). In the WT developmental series, we found UNS to be 476 progressively downregulated as flower buds further developed, corresponding to a ~4 fold drop 477 in expression levels compared to the youngest stage (Fig 4G). Interestingly, UNS was expressed 478 at higher levels in all sextuple mutant floral bud stages compared to WT, with the largest 479 difference found in the oldest bud stage (~ 5-fold upregulation compared to WT). Moreover, a linear downregulation as in WT was not observed. 480

481

## 482 The Petunia AP1/SQUA Subfamily: Phylogeny, Expression Analysis and Mutant 483 Identification

We found that the petunia *SEP* genes *FBP9*, *FBP23* and *FBP4* function primarily as floral meristem identity genes, a function which is in Arabidopsis mainly associated with 486 members of the AP1/SQUA MADS-box subfamily (Irish and Sussex, 1990; Mandel et al., 1992; 487 Kempin et al., 1995; Ferrandiz et al., 2000). This raised the obvious question to what extent the 488 petunia AP1/SQUA members are implicated in floral meristem identity determination. For these 489 reasons, we aimed to functionally analyze the members of the petunia AP1/SOUA subfamily. 490 Thus far, three petunia AP1/SQUA genes have been described, called PFG, FBP26 and FBP29 491 (Immink et al., 1999; Immink et al., 2003). In addition, based on sequence similarity, we 492 identified a fourth AP1/SQUA member by the presence of an insertion mutant and associated 493 transposon flanking sequence encountered in our transposon flanking sequence database, which 494 we have called *Ph-euAP1* (*Petunia x hybrida euAP1*), based on the presence of the highly 495 conserved euAP1 motif (Litt and Irish, 2003; Vandenbussche et al., 2003a) in its C-terminus, 496 as also found in the Arabidopsis AP1 and CAL genes (Supplemental Figure 2A). To provide 497 further proof for the *euAP1* classification of the new Petunia AP1/SQUA member, we 498 conducted a phylogenetic analysis (Figure 5) including all AP1/SQUA subfamily members from 499 Arabidopsis, tomato (Hileman et al., 2006; The Tomato Genome Consortium, 2012 and rice 500 (Lee et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2016). Similar to the SEP/AGL6 phylogenetic analysis, overall the 501 petunia proteins showed the closest relationship with AP1/SQUA members from tomato 502 (Figure 5A), while all four rice AP1 members grouped apart from the eudicot proteins as shown 503 previously (Yu et al., 2016). The analysis further showed that petunia euAP1 indeed is 504 orthologous to the tomato MACROCALYX (MC) gene (Vrebalov et al., 2002) and the 505 Arabidopsis AP1 and CAL genes, all previously demonstrated as belonging to the euAP1 clade 506 (Litt and Irish, 2003; Yu et al., 2016). Petunia therefore is similar to tomato in having only one 507 euAP1 clade member compared to two members in Arabidopsis. MC, the tomato euAP1 508 representative, was shown to regulate sepal size, fruit abscission and maintenance of 509 inflorescence meristem identity. Indeed, mc mutants develop flowers with enlarged sepals, have 510 an incomplete pedicel abscission zone, and develop inflorescences that revert to vegetative 511 growth after forming two to three flowers (Vrebalov et al., 2002; Nakano et al., 2012; Yuste-512 Lisbona et al., 2016).

The previously described *FBP29* gene fell into the *AGL79* subclade to which the tomato genes *MBP10* and *MBP20* also belonged, while the *PFG and FBP26* genes grouped into the *euFUL* subclade together with the tomato *FUL1* (*TDR4/TM4*) and *FUL2* (*MBP7*) genes as previously shown (Yu et al., 2016). While stable mutants remain to be described for these four tomato genes, RNAi mediated downregulation of *FUL1* and *FUL2* indicate a role for these genes in fleshy fruit ripening (Bemer et al., 2012; Shima et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014). Furthermore, a role for *MBP20* and *FUL1* was proposed in the regulation of compound leaf 520 development (Burko et al., 2013). Finally, to date no function has been proposed for tomato 521 MBP10 but an evolutionary study of the FUL genes in the Solanaceous family suggest that the 522 *MBP10* lineage, which is absent in petunia, may be undergoing pseudogenization (Maheepala 523 et al., 2019). A sequence analysis of the Petunia axillaris and Petunia inflata genome sequences 524 (Bombarely et al., 2016) further indicated that euAP1, PFG, FBP26 and FBP29 represent the 525 total number of AP1/SQUA family members in petunia (Supplemental Table 1), similar to the 526 size of the AP1/SQUA subfamily in Arabidopsis and rice, and one less compared to tomato (due 527 to the absence of a *MBP10* lineage member in petunia).

528 A quantitative expression analysis in different tissues and three floral bud 529 developmental stages in WT (Figure 5B) showed that the expression patterns of the four genes 530 were quite similar, although some minor differences did exist. Interestingly, expression levels 531 of all four genes gradually decreased during floral bud development, suggesting an early 532 developmental function, similar as what we observed for e.g. FBP9 and FBP4. Furthermore, 533 during later flower development, moderate expression levels were detected in sepals, petals 534 (except for *FBP29*) and carpels, while expression in stamens was considerably lower compared 535 to the other floral organs. The four genes were also well expressed in inflorescence stem tissues 536 as well as in bracts (with the exception of Ph-euAP1). Finally, PFG showed the broadest 537 expression pattern, since moderate expression levels were also observed in vegetative apices 538 and leaves. In addition, the peak values of *PFG* expression levels were around tenfold higher 539 compared to those of *Ph-euAP1*, *FBP26* and *FBP29*. The *PFG* expression data were in line 540 with the broad expression pattern previously observed by RNA gel blot analysis and in situ 541 hybridization (Immink et al., 1999), which revealed PFG expression in vegetative, 542 inflorescence and floral meristems, in newly formed leaves, the vascular tissues, during early 543 flower organ development and in carpel walls and ovules during later phases of pistil 544 development.

545 To determine the function of the four petunia AP1/SQUA genes, we screened for dTph1 546 transposon insertions in their coding sequences, similarly as for the SEP genes. In total, we 547 identified and confirmed 6 independent transposon insertions in planta (Figure 5C), including two earlier reported alleles (Vandenbussche et al., 2003b), potentially yielding putative null 548 549 mutants for all four genes based on the insertion position of the *dTph1* transposon, either 550 disrupting the first exon encoding the MADS DNA binding domain or the K-region required 551 for protein-protein interactions in the case of the *euap1* allele. We obtained and analyzed 552 homozygous mutants for all insertion alleles, but all these homozygous mutants developed 553 normally (Figure 5C). Moreover, when we analyzed some double mutants to overcome putative 554 genetic redundancy, flowers in these double mutants developed normally, and inflorescence 555 architecture was not affected (Supplemental Figure 2B).

556

#### 557

### Petunia AP1/SQUA Family Members are Required for Inflorescence Meristem Identity

558 Because of the absence of clear phenotypes in the above-described mutants, we decided 559 to create and analyze pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 quadruple mutants (Figure 6). Remarkably, the 560 flowers that developed on these quadruple mutants were fertile, and organ identity of the 561 carpels, stamens and petals was not visibly affected (Figures 6A to 6C). However, sepals were 562 considerably enlarged and contained sectors that exhibited homeotic conversion towards petal 563 identity, as indicated by the red pigmentation and the presence of petal conical cells in these 564 regions (Figure 6D). Overall, the general mildness of the pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 flower 565 phenotype was very surprising, compared to the already dramatic phenotypes found in 566 Arabidopsis *ap1* single and *ap1 cal* double mutants, and compared to the complete absence of 567 flowers in ap1 cal ful triple mutants (Irish and Sussex, 1990; Mandel et al., 1992; Kempin et 568 al., 1995; Ferrandiz et al., 2000).

569 Quadruple pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 mutants did display a severe phenotype in 570 inflorescence development. In fact, the normal cyme inflorescence architecture was completely 571 abolished, and instead a large number of leaves were produced from the main apical meristem 572 before terminating into a solitary flower (Figures 6E and 6G). In addition, branches that 573 developed from the base of the plant followed exactly the same developmental pattern (Figure 574 6L). The leaves produced on the main stem and side branches were generated in a spiral 575 phyllotaxy (Figures 6F and 6Q), characteristic of vegetative development (Figure 6P), in 576 contrast to the opposite positioning of bracts in a WT inflorescence meristem. Finally, after the 577 production of usually >25 leaves, this vegetative meristem was fully converted into a floral 578 meristem resulting in a solitary flower (Figures 6H to 6I) as opposed to the normal cyme 579 inflorescence structure in WT (Figure 6M). Note that quadruple mutant flowers consistently 580 displayed an increase in floral organ number (e.g. the flower shown in Figure 6A has 10 petals), 581 possibly because the full conversion of the vegetative meristem into a floral meristem resulted in a larger floral meristem size. In addition, the corolla of these flowers was not always properly 582 583 organized, as the petal tube was often disrupted on one side.

584 Once this terminal flower was fully developed, new branches started to grow from 585 vegetative meristems that were present in the axils of the leaves further down on the stem 586 (Figure 6I). These branches produced again a large number of leaves before terminating with a 587 solitary flower (Figure 6X), after which the same process was repeated. Together these results indicate that petunia *AP1/SQUA* genes are required to establish inflorescence meristem identity
and associated cymose branching of the petunia inflorescence.

- 590 Interestingly, intermediate phenotypes could be observed in different triple mutants in 591 which the fourth *AP1* subfamily member was still in a heterozygous state (Figures 6S to 6Q), 592 resulting in inflorescences in which each time several leaves developed before the next flower-593 bearing node was produced. Together this indicates that all four genes contribute to cymose 594 inflorescence development in petunia.
- 595

### 596 Petunia AP1/SQUA Family Members Repress the B-Function in the First Whorl in 597 Concert with the ROB/BEN Genes.

598 The partial sepal-to-petal homeotic conversion in flowers of pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 mutants 599 (Figure 6D) suggests that petunia AP1/SQUA genes negatively regulate the B-function in the 600 first floral whorl. Recently we demonstrated that the AP2-type REPRESSOR OF B (ROB1), 601 ROB2 and ROB3 genes repress the B-function in the first whorl, together with BEN, a TOE-602 type AP2 gene (Morel et al., 2017). To further explore the implication of the petunia AP1/SQUA 603 genes in patterning the B-function, we tested their genetic interaction with ROB genes. We 604 crossed pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 and rob1 rob2 rob3 mutants and screened progenies for an 605 enhanced sepal-to-petal homeotic conversion phenotype compared to pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 606 and rob1 rob2 rob3 mutants. Among a large progeny, we found individuals displaying the pfg607 fbp26 fbp29 euap1 inflorescence phenotype while bearing terminal flowers of which the first 608 whorl organs showed a much more pronounced sepal-to-petal conversion compared to pfg 609 fbp26 fbp29 euap1 mutants. We genotyped several of these plants for the seven insertions, and 610 found that plants with the strongest phenotype were rob1 rob2/+ rob3 pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1611 (Figures 6J and 6K). Flowers of these mutants had first whorl organs that clearly formed the 612 beginning of a petal tube (Figure 6J), although not fused along its entire length, and with 613 strongly expanded petaloid regions compared to the first whorl organs of pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 614 flowers (Figures 6D and 6K). The presence of pale pigmentation at the basal end of the organs 615 and bright red at the distal end (Figure 6K) was also characteristic for the modular tube/corolla 616 architecture of a WT petunia petal (Figures 1A and 2I). For comparison, first whorl sepals of 617 rob1 rob2/+ rob3 plants had a phenotype similar to WT (Figures 6N to 6O), while rob1 rob2 618 rob3 flowers exhibit a very subtle sepal-to-petal conversion at the margins of their sepals, and 619 which is only clearly visible in the first 2–3 flowers that develop (Morel et al., 2017). Although 620 we did not obtain plants homozygous for all seven mutations, the synergistic interaction 621 observed between rob1 rob2/+ rob3 and pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 mutations strongly supports a

role for petunia *AP1/SQUA* genes in repressing the B-function in the first whorl, together withthe *ROB/BEN* genes.

624

#### 625 **DISCUSSION**

### A Comparison of *SEP/AGL6* and *AP1/SQUA* Functions in Petunia, Arabidopsis, Tomato and Rice

628 In this study, we exploited the natural *dTph1* transposon mutagenesis system in 629 petunia to identify mutants for all 11 members of the petunia AP1/SEP/AGL6 superclade, and 630 created a series of higher order mutants to uncover putative redundant functions. Here we 631 discuss and compare our findings with the available functional data from mainly Arabidopsis, 632 tomato and rice (see Figures 1F and 5A for the composition of their SEP/AGL6 and AP1/SQUA 633 subfamilies). Petunia and tomato on the one hand, and Arabidopsis on the other hand are 634 representatives of the Asterids and Rosids respectively, which constitute the two major groups 635 in the core eudicots, and are thought to have diverged >100 million years ago (Moore et al., 636 2010). Comparison of the molecular mechanisms controlling flower development in these 637 species therefore helps to assess conservation and divergence of the floral regulatory gene 638 network in the core eudicots (Vandenbussche et al., 2016). Petunia and tomato both belong to 639 the Solanaceous family, and the lineages leading to petunia and tomato are estimated to have 640 diverged around 30 MYA (Bombarely et al., 2016). Their close relationship is indeed reflected 641 in a high degree of sequence similarity between tomato/petunia orthologous pairs in the 642 AP1/SEP/AGL6 superclade (see also Supplemental Data Files 1, 2, 3 and 4), which makes the 643 petunia/tomato comparison particularly well suited to evaluate functional diversification patterns on a shorter evolutionary time-scale, as opposed to the comparison with the distant 644 645 monocot model species rice.

646

### 647 Implication of SEP and AGL6 Gene Functions in Floral Organ Identity

648 Our genetic analysis in petunia indicates that its SEP3 ortholog FBP2 encodes the major 649 SEP organ identity function: FBP2 is capable of fully rescuing flower development in a fbp2/+650 fbp5 pm12 mutant background, and fbp2 is the only sep single mutant with a clearly visible 651 phenotype. In Arabidopsis, all available genetic data indicate that SEP3 is also the most 652 important SEP gene. Indeed, it was reported that single sep3 mutants display a phenotype on 653 their own, showing a mild petal to sepal conversion, while *sep1*, *sep2* and *sep4* single mutants 654 showed no developmental abnormalities (Pelaz et al., 2001). Secondly, sep1 sep2 sep4 mutants 655 show no significant perturbation of floral organ development, indicating that SEP3 can fully

rescue WT development in a triple mutant background (Ditta et al., 2004). Thus *SEP3* seems
to perform a master SEP floral organ identity function in both species.

658 While the gene-silencing approaches used to analyze SEP3 function in tomato and rice 659 do not yet allow such detailed conclusions, these experiments suggest that their SEP3 orthologs 660 play also a major role in floral organ identity: Tomato TM5 co-suppression lines genes exhibited 661 homeotic conversion of whorls 2, 3, and 4 into sepal-like organs and loss of determinacy in the 662 center of the flower (Pnueli et al., 1994) and a Y2H study found that TM5 was the preferred 663 bridge protein of the 5 SEP tomato proteins tested (Leseberg et al., 2008). Transgenic lines 664 carrying a construct aimed at simultaneously downregulating the two SEP3-like rice OsMADS7 665 and OsMADS8 genes were late flowering, and carried flowers exhibiting partial homeotic 666 conversions of the floral organs in the three inner whorls into palea/lemma-like organs, and a 667 partial loss of floral determinacy (Cui et al., 2010).

668 Arabidopsis sep1 sep2 sep3 mutants display a full conversion of petals, stamens and 669 carpels into sepals, and flowers are fully indeterminate (Pelaz et al., 2000). By contrast, the 670 genetically equivalent *fbp2 fbp5 pmads12* mutant in petunia retains -albeit reduced- petal and 671 stamen tissues, and the basic organization of the placenta structure in the flower center is 672 maintained. Thus unlike in Arabidopsis, genes outside the SEP3 and SEP1/SEP2 clades are 673 able to rescue part of the B- and C-functions in a petunia *sep1/sep2/sep3* mutant background. 674 We identified petunia AGL6 as one of these genes (Rijpkema et al., 2009). Similarly, the two 675 rice AGL6 genes OsMADS6/MFO1 and OsMADS17 perform SEP-like functions, partly in a 676 redundant fashion with the SEP gene OsMADS1/LHS1 (Ohmori et al., 2009; Dreni and Zhang, 677 2016). More recently, a floral organ identity function was also proposed for the tomato AGL6 678 gene, based on RNAi (Yu et al., 2017). Despite that the Arabidopsis genome encodes two AGL6 679 homologs (AGL6 and AGL13), the phenotype of the sep1 sep2 sep3 mutant demonstrates that 680 Arabidopsis AGL6 genes may have lost most of their SEP-like activity compared to petunia, 681 rice and tomato AGL6 genes, in agreement with the diverse proposed functions for Arabidopsis 682 AGL6 and AGL13 (Koo et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012; Hsu et al., 2014).

683

Furthermore, we showed that in a petunia sextuple *sep/agl6* mutant a full *sepallata* phenotype was obtained, including complete loss of floral meristem termination. Remarkably, the obtained phenotype was similar to that of the earlier described *FBP2* co-suppression line (Ferrario et al., 2003), demonstrating the efficiency of co-suppression to silence multiple genes simultaneously. The expression levels of all six petunia *SEP* genes (but not of *AGL6*) were monitored in the co-suppression line, but only *FBP2* and *FBP5* were found to be downregulated. This strongly suggests that other genes were silenced at the post-transcriptional
level as was reported to frequently occur in gene silencing experiments (Stam et al., 1997).
Measuring mRNA levels of paralogous genes thus appears to be a limited method to assess the
specificity of a silencing construct.

The addition of the *sep4* mutation to the Arabidopsis triple *sep1 sep2 sep3* mutant resulted in the conversion of sepal-like organs into leaf-like organs, indicating that *SEP* genes are required to specify sepal identity (Ditta et al., 2004). The fact that we could not observe a transition from sepal towards leaf-identity in the sextuple *sep/agl6* mutant is most likely directly related to the 'leaf'-like identity of petunia WT sepals. Such basic differences in sepal identity between Arabidopsis and other species such as petunia may be contributing to the difficulties to formulate a broadly applicable A-function (Litt, 2007; Causier et al., 2009).

701 Transgenic lines in which at least four of the rice SEP-like genes (OsMADS1/LHS1 702 (LEAFY HULL STERILE1)), OsMADS5, OsMADS7 and OsMADS8) were downregulated, 703 showed homeotic transformation of all floral organs except for the lemma into leaf-like organs 704 (Cui et al., 2010), reminiscent of the Arabidopsis sep1 sep2 sep3 sep4 quadruple mutant flower 705 phenotype. Remarkably however, severe loss-of-function mutations in the LOFSEP gene 706 OsMADS1/LHS1 alone can cause complete homeotic conversion of organs of the three inner 707 whorls into lemma/palea-like structures, and loss of floral meristem determinacy (Agrawal et 708 al., 2005), while also dominant-negative and milder phenotypes were reported for other 709 OsMADS1/LHS1 alleles (Jeon et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2006). More recently, Wu and 710 colleagues specifically investigated unique and redundant functions of the three LOFSEP genes 711 using mutant alleles and found that OsMADS1/LHS, OsMADS5, and OsMADS34/PAP2 712 (PANICLE PHYTOMER2) together regulate determinacy of the floral meristem and specify the 713 identities of spikelet organs by positively regulating the other MADS-box floral homeotic genes 714 including B-, C-, SEP3 and AGL6 genes (Wu et al., 2017a).

715 In petunia sextuple *sep/agl6* mutant flowers, we found that the initial expression levels 716 of the B-class genes PhGLO1, PhGLO2 and PhDEF and of the C-class genes PMADS3 and 717 FBP6 were comparable to WT, indicating that initial activation and expression of these genes 718 does not depend on the SEP/AGL6 floral organ identity function. In Arabidopsis, a similar 719 observation has been made, showing normal patterning and accumulation of AP3, PI and AG 720 expression in young sep1 sep2 sep3 floral buds (Pelaz et al., 2000). With perhaps the exception 721 of FBP6 (SHP1/2), we found that further upregulation during later stages of development was 722 impaired, especially for the PI homologs PhGLO1 and PhGLO2, while PhDEF (AP3) and 723 PMADS3 (AG) still showed upregulation, but with a smaller incremental rate. These results are in agreement with the idea that in Arabidopsis, complex formation of SEP proteins with B- and
C- class MADS-box proteins is required for their positive autoregulation (Gomez-Mena et al.,
2005; Kaufmann et al., 2009).

727 In sharp contrast with the other B-class genes, *PhTM6* expression levels in the sextuple 728 mutant were almost completely abolished during all stages tested, indicating a full dependence 729 on SEP/AGL6 activity for all stages of its expression. Earlier, we showed that regulation of 730 *PhTM6* expression is atypical for a B-class gene, since its expression largely depends on the 731 activity of the C-genes PMADS3 and FBP6 (Heijmans et al., 2012), resulting in a WT 732 expression pattern mainly in stamens and carpels from early developmental stages onwards, 733 and in all floral whorls when the C-genes are ectopically expressed (Vandenbussche et al., 734 2004; Rijpkema et al., 2006). Together, this indicates that both SEP and C-class genes are 735 absolutely required for *PhTM6* expression, most likely as interaction partners in a MADS-box 736 protein complex (Ferrario et al., 2003). For FBP11 (STK) expression, we found the same SEP 737 dependence, but since FBP11 is expressed relatively late during flower development in the 738 developing placenta and ovules (Angenent et al., 1995), this may also be an indirect effect, 739 since these tissues are completely absent in the sextuple mutant. Thus, B- and C-class MADS-740 box proteins may have an absolute requirement of SEP function to activate their downstream 741 developmental programs, but depend only partly on it for upregulation of their own expression. 742 This suggests differences in the molecular mechanisms involved in autoregulation versus 743 downstream target gene activation/repression.

744 Finally, we found that UNS, a petunia member of the SOC1 family, was strongly 745 upregulated in the sextuple sep/agl6 mutant from early stages onwards, suggesting that SEP 746 genes repress UNS during WT flower development. SOC1 was identified as a direct target of 747 SEP3 in a genome wide study in Arabidopsis, with the expression of SOC1 being already 748 reduced after only 8h of SEP3 induction in seedlings (Kaufmann et al., 2009). Interestingly, it 749 was shown that constitutive UNS expression in petunia and Arabidopsis flowers lead to the 750 unshaven floral phenotype, which is characterized by ectopic trichome formation on floral 751 organs and conversion of petals into organs with leaf-like features (Ferrario et al., 2004). All 752 these observations are consistent with the finding of Ó'Maoiléidigh and colleagues, who 753 demonstrated that the floral homeotic organ identity gene AG not only functions by positively 754 conferring floral identity to organ primordia in the flower, but also by actively repressing 755 components of the leaf developmental program (OMaoileidigh et al., 2013).

- 756
- 757

### 758 The FBP9 Subclade Genes together with a SEP4-like Gene are Required to Confer Floral

759 Meristem Identity in petunia and tomato.

760 We found that the FBP9 subclade members FBP9 and FBP23 together with FBP4 play 761 a crucial role in floral meristem identity, as illustrated by the homeotic transformation of flower 762 meristems into inflorescence meristems in *fbp9 fbp23 fbp4* triple mutants. In contrast, genetic 763 interactions with the *fbp2* mutant revealed only mild contributions to the classical SEP organ 764 identity function. The phenotype of the *fbp9 fbp23 fbp4* triple mutant is strikingly similar to 765 that of the floral meristem identity mutants *alf* and *dot* (Souer et al., 1998; Souer et al., 2008), 766 but it remains to be investigated how these genes are hierarchically positioned. However, it was 767 found that simultaneous overexpression of ALF and DOT in young seedlings led to strong 768 activation of FBP9 and FBP23 expression (Souer et al., 2008), suggesting that ALF/DOT 769 specify floral meristem identity at least in part by activating FBP9 and FBP23 expression. An 770 expression analysis of ALF, DOT, FBP9, FBP23 and FBP4 in the different mutant backgrounds 771 may provide further support for this hypothesis.

772 Importantly, our analysis of the *fbp4 fbp9 fbp23* mutant combined with a recent study 773 of tomato FBP9 and SEP4 subclade members (Soyk et al., 2017) demonstrates that the 774 requirement of FBP9 and SEP4 clade genes for floral meristem identity is conserved between 775 tomato and petunia, and therefore likely also in other Solanaceous species. Note that although 776 in both cases floral meristem identity is compromised, the phenotypes of tomato j2 ej2 lin and 777 petunia *fbp9 fbp23 fbp4* mutants superficially do look quite different. We believe that this may 778 be explained for an important part by basic differences in the inflorescence architecture between 779 the two species. First of all, in petunia, every flower arises from a node that bears two bracts, 780 while the tomato inflorescence is bractless. As a consequence, loss of FM identity in petunia 781 leads to a highly branched structure composed of a lot of bracts, while in tomato this leads to a 782 more naked structure consisting of proliferating SIMs. Also, the compound tomato 783 inflorescence architecture is more complex compared to petunia and involves the transition of 784 a vegetative meristem into a transition meristem (TM) that terminates in a floral meristem (FM) 785 resulting in the first flower of the inflorescence. Additional flowers then develop from the axillary SIM, resulting in an inflorescence bearing multiple flowers (Park et al., 2014). 786

While the strongest phenotype was obtained in the tomato triple mutants, analysis of single and double mutants revealed also individual contributions to tomato development: *LIN* limits internode length and the number of flowers that develop per inflorescence, *EJ2* negatively regulates sepal size, while both *J2* and *EJ2* are involved in the control of branching of the tomato inflorescence (Soyk et al., 2017). In addition, *J2* is required for the development
of the pedicel abscission zone (Liu et al., 2014; Roldan et al., 2017; Soyk et al., 2017).

793 Finally, remark that our phylogenetic analysis indicates that within the SEP4 clade, RIN 794 in fact is more closely related to petunia FBP4 compared to LIN. However, RIN shows a much 795 more restricted expression pattern limited to the developing fruit (Vrebalov et al., 2002), 796 indicating that RIN has evolved a specialized role compared to FBP4 and LIN. Because of the 797 rin phenotype, RIN has long time been considered to function as a major regulator that is 798 essential for the induction of ripening, but a recent study using a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated RIN-799 knockout mutation shows that inactivation of RIN does not repress initiation of ripening and 800 that the original rin mutation is rather a gain-of-function mutation resulting in an aberrant 801 protein that actively represses ripening (Ito et al., 2017).

802

803 While Arabidopsis doesn't have FBP9 subclade members (Zahn et al., 2005), it was 804 found that Arabidopsis SEP proteins, in addition to their role in floral organ identity, are also 805 involved in maintaining floral meristem identity, as evidenced by the frequent appearance of 806 secondary flowers in the axils of first-whorl organs in *sep1 sep3 sep3 sep4* quadruple mutants 807 and much less frequently in *sep1 sep2 sep 3* mutants (Ditta et al., 2004). Moreover, an *ap1 sep1* 808 sep2 sep4 quadruple mutant was shown to produce a *cauliflower* phenotype similar to *ap1 cal* 809 mutants, while an *ap1 sep4* mutant had a meristem identity defect intermediate between that of 810 ap1 and ap1 cal mutants. Although these data clearly demonstrate the implication of 811 Arabidopsis SEP genes in floral meristem identity, the very severe floral meristem defects 812 observed in *ap1 cal* or *ap1 cal ful* mutants, indicate that in Arabidopsis, floral meristem is 813 mainly determined by members of the AP1/SQUA subfamily.

In rice, the three *LOFSEP* genes *OsMADS1/LHS*, *OsMADS5*, and *OsMADS34/PAP2* were proposed to be involved in the transition of the spikelet meristem into a floral meristem (Wu et al., 2017a). However, floral meristem formation in the triple *osmads1 osmads5 osmads34* mutants was not completely abolished, only strongly delayed, possibly because the insertion alleles are not complete null mutants as suggested by the authors (Wu et al., 2017a).

819

# The Petunia AP1 ortholog euAP1 is not required for petal development, and acts redundantly with the other AP1 clade members as a B-function Repressor in the First Floral Whorl.

Because Arabidopsis *ap1* mutants lack petals and have sepals displaying bract like features (Irish and Sussex, 1990; Mandel et al., 1992) and *AP1* is negatively regulated by AG

825 in whorls three and four (Gustafson-Brown et al., 1994), AP1 has been classified as an A-826 function gene in the ABC model, required for the identity specification of sepals and petals. In 827 sharp contrast with the phenotype of Arabidopsis *ap1* mutants, we found that petal development 828 does not at all require *euAP1* activity in petunia. This may not come as a complete surprise 829 since it was shown before that also in Arabidopsis, AP1 is not essential for petal development, 830 as evidenced by the nearly complete restauration of petal development in apl ag mutants 831 (Bowman et al., 1993) and in 35S: SEP3 ap1 flowers (Castillejo et al., 2005), and a partial 832 restauration in ap1 agl24 double mutants (Yu et al., 2004). In addition, single euap1 mutants 833 that still develop petals have previously been described in other species such as e.g. the squa 834 mutant in snapdragon (Huijser et al., 1992), the *pim* mutant in pea (Berbel et al., 2001; Taylor et al., 2002), mtap1 in Medicago (Benlloch et al., 2006; Cheng et al., 2018), and mc in tomato 835 836 (Vrebalov et al., 2002; Nakano et al., 2012; Yuste-Lisbona et al., 2016).

837 Restricting the activity of the floral homeotic B- and C-functions to their proper domains 838 is crucial for the correct development of the flower structure, and it appears that the molecular 839 mechanisms underlying these cadastral functions are much more diverse compared to the floral 840 organ identity functions (reviewed in (Monniaux and Vandenbussche, 2018)). Here we 841 identified the petunia AP1/SQUA genes as repressors of the B-function in the first whorl, as 842 evidenced by the partial conversion of sepals into petaloid tissue in pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 843 mutants, and the strong enhancement of this phenotype in combination with mutations in the 844 ROB genes, which were previously identified as B-function repressors in the first whorl (Morel 845 et al., 2017). Such a phenotype has so far never been reported in flowers of Arabidopsis ap1, 846 cal or ful mutants, or any combination of these mutations (Ferrandiz et al., 2000). Nevertheless, 847 it was proposed that AP1 in combination with AGL24 (AGAMOUS LIKE 24) and SVP (SHORT 848 VEGETATIVE PHASE) represses both the B- and C-function genes during early phases of floral 849 development (Gregis et al., 2006; Gregis et al., 2009), but it is not clear if other Arabidopsis 850 AP1/SQUA genes would be also involved in this process and whether this is specific to the first 851 floral whorl. Finally in rice, deregulation of B- and C-expression patterns was observed in 852 osmads14 osmads15/+ and osmads14/+ osmads15 flowers (Wu et al., 2017b), suggesting that 853 these rice AP1/SQUA transcription factors are also involved in patterning the homeotic B- and 854 C-functions.

In summary, the observation that the petunia AP1/SQUA genes repress the B-function in the first floral whorl but do not seem to be required for 2<sup>nd</sup> whorl petal development demonstrates that petunia AP1/SQUA genes cannot be easily classified as "A-function" genes according to the original definition of the A-function in the ABC model. Earlier, we

859 encountered the same difficulties when trying to integrate the function of the petunia AP2-like 860 transcription factors AP2 and ROB1-3 into a simple ABC model (Morel et al., 2017). This led 861 us to propose a modified model for petunia floral organ identity in which the original A-function 862 is replaced by a combinatorial function describing the cadastral (boundary setting) mechanisms 863 that pattern the floral B- and C-functions (Morel et al., 2017). The above described cadastral 864 function of the petunia AP1/SQUA genes during flower development perfectly fits into this 865 alternative model, and is also compatible with the proposed modified (A)BC model (Causier et 866 al., 2009), in which a more broadly defined (A)-function provides the genetic context in which 867 the B- and C-functions are active and regulates both their spatial and temporal expression 868 domains. Our findings for both the AP1/SQUA and AP2-like gene functions in petunia entirely 869 explain the struggles to translate the Arabidopsis definition of the A-function to distant 870 flowering species (Litt, 2007).

871

## 872 Petunia *AP1/SQUA* Family Members Function in a Largely Redundant Fashion and are 873 Required for Inflorescence Meristem Identity.

874 Different members of the AP1/SQUA subfamily in Arabidopsis have evolved unique 875 roles during development as exemplified by the distinct phenotypes of the single ap1 and ful 876 mutants. Swapping experiments suggest that functional divergence between AP1 and FUL is 877 due to changes in both expression pattern and coding sequence (McCarthy et al., 2015). At the 878 same time, AP1, CAL and FUL have retained a redundant function in inflorescence architecture 879 (Ferrandiz et al., 2000), whereas CAL shares a cryptic role in petal development redundantly 880 with AP1 (Castillejo et al., 2005). While the function of AGL79 (a euFUL-like gene) has 881 remained elusive for a long time, a recent study suggests a role for AGL79 in lateral root 882 development and control of lateral shoot branching (Gao et al., 2017). It remains to be 883 established if AGL79 overlaps in function with AP1, CAL and FUL.

884 Although we cannot exclude to have overlooked some very subtle defects, the absence 885 of clear floral developmental defects in mutants for any of the four petunia AP1/SQUA genes 886 suggests that individual members of the petunia AP1/SQUA subfamily did not functionally 887 diverge, independent from their euAP1 or euFUL/paleoAP1 clade identity. In line with that, we 888 found that all four genes show overlapping expression patterns in most tissues tested. It remains 889 to be tested whether this broad functional redundancy is also observed during other 890 developmental processes, such root or fruit development, which were not analyzed in this study. 891 One of the striking aspects of the phenotype of quadruple *pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1* mutants

is that these plants develop fully functional flowers, suggesting that floral meristem identity

893 does not require AP1/SQUA activity in petunia. Our finding that this function is apparently 894 taken care off by a specific subset of SEP genes fully fits this hypothesis. However, we can 895 currently not fully exclude that some residual AP1/SQUA activity remains in the pfg fbp26 896 fbp29 euap1 mutants, possibly explaining the formation of terminal flowers. Especially the pfg-897 12 insertion allele potentially could be a hypomorphic allele, since an alternative startcodon is 898 present in the first exon (AA nr 8, Supplemental Data File 2) shortly after the transposon 899 insertion site. This could in theory lead to the production of a protein with an N-terminal 900 truncation of the MADS-domain, perhaps displaying some residual functionality. Other alleles 901 will have to be identified in the future to fully proof the hypothesis that floral meristem identity 902 in petunia does not require AP1/SQUA activity.

903 On the other hand, the phenotype of the quadruple *pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1* mutants 904 indicate that the petunia *AP1/SQUA* genes appear to be essential for the development of the 905 cymose inflorescence, indicating a role in inflorescence meristem identity. Such a role also has 906 been proposed for *AP1/SQUA* genes in other core eudicot species: *VEG1* and its ortholog 907 *MtFUL* are essential for the specification of the secondary inflorescence meristem in the 908 compound inflorescences of pea and *Medicago* respectively, but are not required for floral 909 meristem identity (Berbel et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2018).

910 Interestingly, it was earlier found that the tomato mc mutants also play a role in 911 inflorescence meristem development, since mc inflorescences revert to vegetative growth after 912 forming two to three flowers. In addition, these flowers developed enlarged sepals and have an 913 incomplete pedicel abscission zone (Vrebalov et al., 2002; Nakano et al., 2012; Yuste-Lisbona 914 et al., 2016). Moreover, the implication of MC in the development of the pedicel abscission 915 zone is proposed to occur via a higher order MADS-box complex including the SVP-like 916 protein JOINTLESS (J), and J2/SLMBP21 a SEP FBP9 clade member. Except for the pedicel 917 abscission zone which does not exist in petunia, the mc phenotypes are reminiscent of what we 918 observed in petunia quadruple ap1 mutants, suggesting a conserved role in inflorescence 919 meristem identity and first whorl development. Because mc single mutants have a clear 920 phenotype on their own, it also shows that MC exhibits less functional overlap with the other 921 AP1 family members compared to petunia. However, as suggested by the relative mildness of 922 the inflorescence meristem defect in mc mutants compared to the petunia quadruple mutants, 923 this does not exclude possible partial redundancy with one or more of the other tomato AP1 924 family members, something that still remains to be tested. RNAi mediated downregulation of 925 tomato FUL1 and FUL2 suggested a role for these genes in fleshy fruit ripening (Bemer et al., 926 2012; Shima et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014), indicating that the implication of FUL genes in 927 fruit development is conserved between tomato and Arabidopsis, despite that these two species 928 have very different fruit types (fleshy versus dry). Petunia on the other hand develops a dry 929 fruit capsule, but the implication of *AP1/FUL* members in its development remains to be 930 investigated.

Finally, of the four identified rice AP1 subfamily members called OsMADS14, 931 932 OsMADS15, OsMADS18 and OsMADS20 (Lee et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2016), it was found that 933 OsMADS14, OsMADS15 and OsMADS18 are specifically activated in the meristem at phase 934 transition together with the LOFSEP gene PAP2/OsMADS34 (Kobayashi et al., 2010; 935 Kobayashi et al., 2012). While downregulation of these three AP1/FUL-like genes by RNAi 936 caused only a slight delay in reproductive transition, further depletion of PAP2 function from 937 these triple knockdown plants inhibited the transition of the meristem to the IM (Kobayashi et 938 al., 2012), indicating that the AP1/FUL-like OsMADS14, OsMADS15, OsMADS18 and the 939 LOFSEP gene PAP2/OsMADS34 coordinately act in the meristem to specify inflorescence 940 meristem identity. In addition, it was shown that OsMADS14 and OsMADS15, besides to their 941 function of specifying meristem identity, are also involved in the specification of palea and 942 lodicule identities, using stable mutant alleles (Wu et al., 2017b).

943

### Functional Diversification Patterns in the *AP1/SEP/AGL6* Superclade during Angiosperm evolution.

946 Above, we compared AP1/SEP/AGL6 functions between different species, mainly 947 focusing on Arabidopsis, petunia, tomato and rice, revealing important differences in the 948 functions performed by their respective members. Perhaps the most striking observation is that 949 a subclass of SEP genes (all belonging to the LOFSEP group) in petunia, tomato and possibly 950 also rice are required to confer floral meristem identity, while in Arabidopsis the floral meristem 951 identity function is mainly associated with members of the AP1/SQUA subfamily. It thus seems 952 that during angiosperm evolution, members of different subfamilies within the AP1/SEP/AGL6 953 superclade have evolved specialized/subfunctionalized roles either in floral organ identity or 954 inflorescence and/or floral meristem determination, providing further genetic support for the 955 monophyletic origin of the AP1/SEP/AGL6 superclade. Within MADS-box subfamilies, it is 956 not unusual that functions have been distributed differently between paralogs in different 957 species. One of the first well documented cases concerns the C-function MADS-box subfamily, 958 showing that the canonical C-function is encoded by nonorthologous genes in Arabidopsis and 959 Antirrhinum (Causier et al., 2005). However, careful comparison of gene functions in the 960 AP1/SEP/AGL6 superclade suggest that this random distribution of functions after gene 961 duplication has occurred also during the earlier phases of the evolution of the MADS-box gene 962 family, resulting in functions that are differently distributed beyond the subfamily level. In 963 addition, comparison between tomato and petunia indicates major functional differences that 964 have arisen on a relatively short evolutionary time-scale. Of note is the involvement of several 965 tomato *AP1/SEP/AGL6* members in the development of the pedicel abscission zone and in 966 compound leaf development, all processes that do not occur in petunia.

967 Together, these observations illustrate that gene function cannot accurately be predicted 968 solely based on sequence homology and phylogenetic analysis, and that final gene function may 969 be strongly dependent on species-specific developmental contexts. Furthermore, it also 970 illustrates that demonstration of gene function conservation between only two species, even if 971 they are very distantly related (e.g. a monocot versus a dicot species), cannot safely be used to 972 extrapolate a more general conservation of a particular gene function. Together with other 973 studies, this further enforces the argument that plant biology in general, and plant evo-devo in 974 particular would strongly benefit from a broader range of available model systems 975 (Vandenbussche et al., 2016).

976

### 977 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 978 Plant Material, Genotyping and Phenotyping

979 Petunia plants were grown in soil (FAVORIT-argile 10) either in a greenhouse (16 h day/8 h 980 night: natural light supplemented with Philips Sodium HPS 400W SON-T AGRO light bulbs; 981 55.000 lumens) or outside protected by an agricultural tunnel (from April to October), both 982 under conditions that depend on local seasonal changes (45.72°N 4.82°E), or in growth 983 chambers (settings: 16 h day 22°C /8 h night 18°C, 75W Valoya NS12 LED bars, light intensity 984 130  $\mu$ E). The identification of the following *dTph1* transposon insertion alleles (Figures 1G and 985 5D) was described previously (current allele naming based on exact insert position; old allele 986 names in between brackets): fbp2-332 (fbp2-1); fbp2-440 (fbp2-2); fbp4-44 (fbp4-2); fbp4-55 987 (*fbp4-3*); *fbp5-129* (*fbp5-1*); *fbp9-110* (*fbp9-1*); *pfg-12* (*pfg-1*); *fbp26-76* (*fbp26-1*) 988 (Vandenbussche et al., 2003b), and agl6-118 (agl6-1) (Rijpkema et al., 2009). Note that the 989 previously determined insert positions for some of these alleles differ by a few nucleotides 990 compared to the data presented here, due to the imperfect manual sequencing method used at 991 that time combined with the characterization of only the right border of the transposon flanking 992 sequences, not taking the dTph1 8bp target site duplication into account. Also, it was mentioned 993 that homozygous *fbp9-1* (*fbp9-110*) mutants exhibited aberrations in plant architecture during 994 the reproductive phase (Vandenbussche et al., 2003b). However, later outcrossing analysis of

995 the *fbp9-1* allele showed that this defect was closely linked to *fbp9-1*, but not due to the *fbp9-1* 996 insertion, as confirmed by the absence of this phenotype in the new *fbp9-7* and *fbp9-90* alleles. 997 The following alleles *fbp2-209*; *fbp4-23*; *fbp5-51*; *pm12-37*; *pm12-118*; *pm12-325*; *euap1-317*; 998 fbp29-31; fbp29-123 and fbp29-153 were identified by BLAST-searching our sequence-999 indexed *dTph1* transposon flanking sequence database (Vandenbussche et al., 2008) that was 1000 enlarged with the addition of extra populations. Exact insert positions were determined by 1001 aligning the transposon flanking sequences with the corresponding genomic and coding 1002 sequences. The insertion alleles were named after their exact insert position, expressed in bp 1003 downstream of the ATG in the coding sequence (Figures 1G and 5D). Offspring of candidate 1004 insertion lines were grown and genotyped by PCR using gene specific primer pairs flanking the 1005 insertion site (Supplemental Table 2). The following thermal profile was used for segregation 1006 analysis PCR: 11 cycles (94°C for 15s, 71°C for 20s minus 1°C/cycle, 72°C for 30s), followed 1007 by 40 cycles (94°C for 15s, 60°C for 20s, 72°C for 30s). For all alleles, homozygous mutants 1008 were obtained in offspring of the originally heterozygous insertion mutants, either containing 1009 the original transposon insertion allele, or a stably inherited out-of-frame derived footprint 1010 allele that was confirmed by sequencing, fully maintaining the mutation. Insertion alleles that 1011 were used in crosses for higher order mutant analysis are indicated in red in Figures 1G and 1012 5D. The different insertion alleles were further systematically genotyped in subsequent crosses 1013 and segregation analyses. To test genetic interactions with the rob mutations (Figure 6), a pfg 1014 fbp26 fbp29 euap1 mutant was crossed with the earlier described rob1 rob2 rob3 mutant (Morel 1015 et al., 2017). Phenotypic analysis of all single and higher order mutants was focused and limited 1016 to the screening for defects in floral organ development and inflorescence architecture.

1017

### 1018 Phylogenetic Analysis

1019 The phylogenetic analyses shown in Figures 1F and 5A were conducted using the advanced 1020 PhyML/oneClick workflow at ngphylogeny.fr (Lemoine et al., 2019). Full-length protein 1021 sequences of either SEP/AGL6 (Figure 1F) or AP1/SQUA (Figure 5A) subfamily members 1022 from petunia, tomato, arabidopsis and rice (Supplemental Table 1) were first aligned using 1023 MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) applying the following options: Data type: Autodetection; 1024 MAFFT flavor: auto; Gap extend penalty: 0.123; Gap opening penalty: 1.53; Matrix selection: 1025 no matrix; Reorder output? true. Output format: FASTA (Supplemental Data files 1 and 2). 1026 Next, alignment curation was done using BMGE (Criscuolo and Gribaldo, 2010) with the 1027 following options: Sequence coding: AA; matrix: BLOSUM; Estimated matrix BLOSUM: 62; 1028 Sliding windows size: 3; Maximum entropy threshold: 0.5; Gap Rate cut-off: 0.5; Minimum

1029 block size: 3 and 5 for Figures 1F and 5A respectively. Using the resulting BMGE files, 1030 Maximum Likelyhood trees were calculated using PhyML (Lemoine et al., 2018) with the 1031 following settings: Data type: amino acids; Evolutionary model: LG; Equilibrium frequencies: 1032 ML/Model. Proportion of invariant sites: estimated; Number of categories for the discrete 1033 gamma model: 4; Parameter of the gamma model: estimated; Tree topology search: Best of 1034 NNI and SPR. Optimize parameter: Tree topology, Branch length, Model parameter; Statistical 1035 test for branch support: Bootstrap; Number of bootstrap replicates: 1000. Seed value used to 1036 initiate the random number generator: 123456. The tree was rendered using Newick Display 1037 (Junier and Zdobnov, 2010). For the visual representation of the SEP/AGL6 analysis (Figure 1038 1F), mid-point rooting was applied on the node separating SEP and AGL6 subfamilies, while 1039 for the AP1/SQUA analysis (Figure 5A), mid-point rooting was applied on the node separating 1040 rice from eudicot AP1/SQUA proteins.

1041

### 1042 Imaging and Microscopy

1043 Electron microscopy images were obtained as previously described (Vandenbussche et al., 1044 2009) or by using a HIROX SH-1500 benchtop environmental electron microscope equipped 1045 with a cooled stage. Macroscopic floral phenotypes were imaged by conventional digital 1046 photography using a glass plate as a support and black velvet tissue around 10 cm below the 1047 glass plate in order to generate a clean black background. When needed, backgrounds were 1048 further equalized by removing dust particles and light reflections with Photoshop. Images in 1049 Figures 6H, 6I and 6M were photographed using a Zeiss Imager M2 microscope equipped with 1050 an AxioCam MRc camera (Zeiss).

1051

### 1052 **RT-qPCR Expression Analysis.**

1053 Total RNA was extracted using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA kit (Sigma Aldrich) and treated 1054 with Turbo DNA-free DNase I (Ambion). RNA was reverse transcribed using RevertAid M-1055 MuLV reverse transcriptase (Fermentas) according to the manufacturer's protocol. PCR 1056 reactions were performed in an optical 384-well plate in the QuantStudio<sup>™</sup> 6 Flex Real-Time 1057 PCR System (Applied Biosystems), using FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (Roche), in 1058 a final volume of 10µl, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers (Supplemental 1059 Table 2) were designed using the online Universal ProbeLibrary Assay Design Center (Roche). 1060 Data were analyzed using the QuantStudio<sup>™</sup> 6 and 7 Flex Real-Time PCR System Software 1061 v1.0 (Applied Biosystems). Petunia ACTIN, GAPDH, and RAN were used as reference genes. 1062 PCR efficiency (E) was estimated from the data obtained from standard curve amplification 1063 using the equation  $E=10^{-1/\text{slope}}$ . Relative expression (R.E.) values on the *y*-axes are the average 1064 of nine data points resulting from the technical triplicates of three biological replicates  $\pm$  sd and 1065 normalized to the geometrical average of three  $E^{-\Delta Ct}$ , where  $\Delta Ct = Ct_{GOI} - Ct_{ACTIN, GAPDH and}$ 1066  $_{RAN}$ .

1067 The floral bud series (marked floral buds 1–3 in Figures 1F, 4G, 5C and Supplemental Figure 1068 1) are successive developmental stages of complete floral buds harvested from the same 1069 inflorescences (Figure 1E). Young bracts were harvested from the node bearing stage 3 flowers, 1070 while inflorescence stem tissue was collected from the internode connecting node stage 4 and 1071 stage 5 bearing flowers. For each biological replicate, corresponding stages harvested from 1072 three inflorescences were pooled. Stage 3 corresponds to flower buds with a diameter of ~5 mm 1073 and from which individual floral organs can be easily dissected by hand. All analyses showing 1074 expression in separate floral organ types are from this stage. Biological replicates of the 1075 different floral organ types were composed of pooled stage 3 organs harvested from three 1076 different flowers each time. Floral buds marked "2" (diameter ~2.5 mm) and "1" (diameter ~1.5 1077 mm) are younger stages and were harvested from the next two nodes produced after bud stage 1078 3. In addition to 1.5-mm buds, stage 1 also includes the inflorescence meristem and very young 1079 developing floral primordia subtended by bracts, which are attached to the base of the pedicel 1080 of the 1.5-mm bud. For the sextuple mutant flower buds analyzed in Figure 4G, developmental 1081 stages in relation to wild-type development were deduced based on their position on the 1082 inflorescence. Vegetative apices (including very small leaf primordia) were harvested from 3-1083 week-old seedlings by manually removing cotyledons, roots, and developed leaves. Young leaf 1084 primordia were isolated from the same 3-week-old seedlings. Each biological replicate of the 1085 vegetative apices and young leaf primordia consisted of pooled material harvested from each 1086 time 10 seedlings. The root samples were obtained by pooling 10-15 actively growing 2 cm 1087 root tips per biological replicate.

1088

### 1089 Accession Numbers

- 1090 Sequence data for the genes that were functionally analyzed in this article can be found in the
- 1091 GenBank/EMBL libraries under accession numbers *FBP2* (M91666.1); *FBP5* (AF335235.1);
- 1092 *PMADS12* (AY370527.1); *FBP9* (AF335236.1); *FBP23* (AF335241.1); *FBP4* (AF335234.1);
- 1093 *Ph-AGL6* (AB031035.1); *PFG* (AF176782.1); *FBP29* (AF335245.1); *FBP26* (AF176783.1);
- 1094 *Ph-euAP1* (MK598839) (see also Supplemental Table 1).
- 1095

- 1096 Supplemental Data
- 1097

1098 Supplemental Figure 1. RT-qPCR Expression Analysis of the Petunia UNSHAVEN (UNS)
1099 Gene in WT.

- 1100 **Supplemental Figure 2.** Further Characterization of the Petunia *AP1/SQUA* family.
- 1101
- 1102 Supplemental Table 1. Gene Names, Synonyms and Accession Codes/Gene Models for
- 1103 Sequences Shown in Figures 1 and 5, in Supplemental Figures 2, and in Supplemental Data
- 1104 Files 1, 2, 3, and 4.
- **Supplemental Table 2.** Oligo Sequences Used in this Study.
- 1106
- 1107 Supplemental Data File 1: MAFFT Multiple Alignment of SEP and AGL6 Protein
- 1108 Sequences from Petunia (Ph), Tomato (Sl), Arabidopsis (At) and Rice (Os) in fasta format.
- 1109 Supplemental Data File 2: MAFFT Multiple Alignment of AP1/SQUA Protein Sequences
- 1110 from Petunia (Ph), Tomato (Sl), Arabidopsis (At) and Rice (Os) in fasta format.
- 1111 Supplemental Data File 3: SEP/AGL6 Newick tree file.
- 1112 Supplemental Data File 4: AP1/SQUA Newick tree file.
- 1113

### 1114 Acknowledgments

- M.V. was supported by a CNRS ATIP-AVENIR award and the French National ResearchAgency program DODO (ANR-16CE20-0024-03). We thank A. Lacroix, J. Berger and P.
- 1117 Bolland for plant care assistance, and V. Bayle for electron microscopy technical support
- 1118 performed at the PLATIM platform, IFR BioScience Lyon (UMS3444/US8). We thank A.
- 1119 Rijpkema for help with preliminary studies.
- 1120

### 1121 Author Contributions

- 1122 M.V. and P.M. conceived and designed the experiments. P.M., P.C., V.B. S.C., F.R., S.R.B.,
- 1123 C.T., J.Z., and M.V. performed the experiments. P.M. and M.V. analyzed the data. M.V., M.M.
- and P.M. wrote the manuscript.
- 1125

### 1126 **REFERENCES**

Agrawal, G.K., Abe, K., Yamazaki, M., Miyao, A., and Hirochika, H. (2005). Conservation
 of the E-function for floral organ identity in rice revealed by the analysis of tissue

- culture-induced loss-of-function mutants of the OsMADS1 gene. Plant Mol Biol 59,1130 125-135.
- Angenent, G.C., Franken, J., Busscher, M., Colombo, L., and van Tunen, A.J. (1993).
   Petal and stamen formation in petunia is regulated by the homeotic gene fbp1. The Plant Journal 4, 101-112.
- Angenent, G.C., Franken, J., Busscher, M., Weiss, D., and van Tunen, A.J. (1994). Co suppression of the petunia homeotic gene fbp2 affects the identity of the
   generative meristem. Plant J 5, 33-44.
- Angenent, G.C., Franken, J., Busscher, M., van Dijken, A., van Went, J.L., Dons, H., and
   van Tunen, A.J. (1995). A Novel Class of MADS Box Genes Is Involved in Ovule
   Development in Petunia. Plant Cell 7, 1569-1582.
- Becker, A., and Theissen, G. (2003). The major clades of MADS-box genes and their role
   in the development and evolution of flowering plants. Mol Phylogenet Evol 29,
   464-489.
- Bemer, M., Karlova, R., Ballester, A.R., Tikunov, Y.M., Bovy, A.G., Wolters-Arts, M.,
  Rossetto Pde, B., Angenent, G.C., and de Maagd, R.A. (2012). The tomato
  FRUITFULL homologs TDR4/FUL1 and MBP7/FUL2 regulate ethyleneindependent aspects of fruit ripening. Plant Cell 24, 4437-4451.
- Benlloch, R., d'Erfurth, I., Ferrandiz, C., Cosson, V., Beltran, J.P., Canas, L.A.,
  Kondorosi, A., Madueno, F., and Ratet, P. (2006). Isolation of mtpim proves Tnt1
  a useful reverse genetics tool in Medicago truncatula and uncovers new aspects of
  AP1-like functions in legumes. Plant Physiol 142, 972-983.
- Berbel, A., Navarro, C., Ferrandiz, C., Canas, L.A., Madueno, F., and Beltran, J.P.
  (2001). Analysis of PEAM4, the pea AP1 functional homologue, supports a model
  for AP1-like genes controlling both floral meristem and floral organ identity in
  different plant species. Plant J 25, 441-451.
- Berbel, A., Ferrandiz, C., Hecht, V., Dalmais, M., Lund, O.S., Sussmilch, F.C., Taylor,
   S.A., Bendahmane, A., Ellis, T.H., Beltran, J.P., Weller, J.L., and Madueno, F.
   (2012). VEGETATIVE1 is essential for development of the compound inflorescence
   in pea. Nat Commun 3, 797.
- Bombarely, A., Moser, M., Amrad, A., Bao, M., Bapaume, L., Barry, C.S., Bliek, M., 1159 1160 Boersma, M.R., Borghi, L., Bruggmann, R.m., Bucher, M., D'Agostino, N., Davies, K., Druege, U., Dudareva, N., Egea-Cortines, M., Delledonne, M., 1161 1162 Fernandez-Pozo, N., Franken, P., Grandont, L., Heslop-Harrison, J.S., Hintzsche, J., Johns, M., Koes, R., Lv, X., Lyons, E., Malla, D., Martinoia, E., 1163 1164 Mattson, N.S., Morel, P., Mueller, L.A., Muhlemann, J.I., Nouri, E., Passeri, V., Pezzotti, M., Qi, Q., Reinhardt, D., Rich, M., Richert-P $\sqrt{\partial}$ ggeler, K.R., Robbins, 1165 T.P., Schatz, M.C., Schranz, M.E., Schuurink, R.C., Schwarzacher, T., Spelt, K., 1166 Tang, H., Urbanus, S.L., Vandenbussche, M., Vijverberg, K., Villarino, G.H., 1167 Warner, R.M., Weiss, J., Yue, Z., Zethof, J., Quattrocchio, F., Sims, T.L., and 1168 1169 Kuhlemeier, C. (2016). Insight into the evolution of the Solanaceae from the 1170 parental genomes of Petunia hybrida. Nature Plants 2, 16074.
- Bowman, J.L., Smyth, D.R., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1991). Genetic interactions among
   floral homeotic genes of Arabidopsis. Development 112, 1-20.
- Bowman, J.L., Smyth, D.R., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (2012). The ABC model of flower
   development: then and now. Development 139, 4095-4098.
- Bowman, J.L., Alvarez, J., Weigel, D., Meyerowitz, E.M., and Smyth, D.R. (1993).
  Control of flower development in Arabidopsis thaliana by APETALA1 and interacting genes. Development 119, 721-743.

- Burko, Y., Shleizer-Burko, S., Yanai, O., Shwartz, I., Zelnik, I.D., Jacob-Hirsch, J., Kela,
   I., Eshed-Williams, L., and Ori, N. (2013). A role for APETALA1/fruitfull
   transcription factors in tomato leaf development. Plant Cell 25, 2070-2083.
- 1181 Cartolano, M., Castillo, R., Efremova, N., Kuckenberg, M., Zethof, J., Gerats, T.,
   1182 Schwarz-Sommer, Z., and Vandenbussche, M. (2007). A conserved microRNA
   1183 module exerts homeotic control over Petunia hybrida and Antirrhinum majus
   1184 floral organ identity. Nature Genetics 39, 901-905.
- 1185 Castel, R., Kusters, E., and Koes, R. (2010). Inflorescence development in petunia:
   1186 through the maze of botanical terminology. J Exp Bot 61, 2235-2246.
- 1187 Castillejo, C., Romera-Branchat, M., and Pelaz, S. (2005). A new role of the Arabidopsis
   1188 SEPALLATA3 gene revealed by its constitutive expression. Plant J 43, 586-596.
- 1189 Causier, B., Schwarz-Sommer, Z., and Davies, B. (2009). Floral organ identity: 20 years
   1190 of ABCs. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 21, 73-79.
- 1191 Causier, B., Castillo, R., Zhou, J., Ingram, R., Xue, Y., Schwarz-Sommer, Z., and Davies,
   1192 B. (2005). Evolution in Action: Following Function in Duplicated Floral Homeotic
   1193 Genes. Current Biology 15, 1508-1512.
- 1194 Chen, Z.X., Wu, J.G., Ding, W.N., Chen, H.M., Wu, P., and Shi, C.H. (2006). Morphogenesis
   1195 and molecular basis on naked seed rice, a novel homeotic mutation of OsMADS1
   1196 regulating transcript level of AP3 homologue in rice. Planta 223, 882-890.
- 1197 **Cheng, X., Li, G., Tang, Y., and Wen, J.** (2018). Dissection of genetic regulation of compound inflorescence development in Medicago truncatula. Development **145**.
- Coen, E.S., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1991). The war of the whorls: genetic interactions controlling flower development. Nature 353, 31-37.
- 1201 Coen, E.S., Romero, J.M., Doyle, S., Elliott, R., Murphy, G., and Carpenter, R. (1990).
   1202 floricaula: a homeotic gene required for flower development in antirrhinum majus.
   1203 Cell 63, 1311-1322.
- 1204 Colombo, L., Battaglia, R., and Kater, M.M. (2008). Arabidopsis ovule development and
   1205 its evolutionary conservation. Trends Plant Sci 13, 444-450.
- Colombo, L., Franken, J., Koetje, E., van Went, J., Dons, H., Angenent, G.C., and van
   Tunen, A.J. (1995). The Petunia MADS box gene FBP11 determines ovule identity.
   Plant Cell 7, 1859-1868.
- 1209 Criscuolo, A., and Gribaldo, S. (2010). BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with
   1210 Entropy): a new software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from
   1211 multiple sequence alignments. BMC Evol Biol 10, 210.
- Cui, R., Han, J., Zhao, S., Su, K., Wu, F., Du, X., Xu, Q., Chong, K., Theissen, G., and Meng,
   Z. (2010). Functional conservation and diversification of class E floral homeotic
   genes in rice (Oryza sativa). Plant J 61, 767-781.
- Ditta, G., Pinyopich, A., Robles, P., Pelaz, S., and Yanofsky, M.F. (2004). The SEP4 gene
   of Arabidopsis thaliana functions in floral organ and meristem identity. Curr Biol
   1217 14, 1935-1940.
- 1218 Dreni, L., and Zhang, D. (2016). Flower development: the evolutionary history and
   1219 functions
- 1220 of the AGL6 subfamily MADS-box genes. J Exp Bot **67**, 1625-1638.
- Egea-Cortines, M., Saedler, H., and Sommer, H. (1999). Ternary complex formation
   between the MADS-box proteins SQUAMOSA, DEFICIENS and GLOBOSA is involved
   in the control of floral architecture in Antirrhinum majus. EMBO J 18, 5370-5379.
- Favaro, R., Pinyopich, A., Battaglia, R., Kooiker, M., Borghi, L., Ditta, G., Yanofsky,
   M.F., Kater, M.M., and Colombo, L. (2003). MADS-box protein complexes control
   carpel and ovule development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 15, 2603-2611.

- Ferrandiz, C., Gu, Q., Martienssen, R., and Yanofsky, M.F. (2000). Redundant regulation
   of meristem identity and plant architecture by FRUITFULL, APETALA1 and
   CAULIFLOWER. Development 127, 725-734.
- Ferrario, S., Immink, R.G., Shchennikova, A., Busscher-Lange, J., and Angenent, G.C.
   (2003). The MADS box gene FBP2 is required for SEPALLATA function in petunia.
   Plant Cell 15, 914-925.
- Ferrario, S., Busscher, J., Franken, J., Gerats, T., Vandenbussche, M., Angenent, G.C.,
   and Immink, R.G. (2004). Ectopic expression of the petunia MADS box gene
   UNSHAVEN accelerates flowering and confers leaf-like characteristics to floral
   organs in a dominant-negative manner. Plant Cell 16, 1490-1505.
- Gao, R., Wang, Y., Gruber, M.Y., and Hannoufa, A. (2017). miR156/SPL10 Modulates
   Lateral Root Development, Branching and Leaf Morphology in Arabidopsis by
   Silencing AGAMOUS-LIKE 79. Front Plant Sci 8, 2226.
- Gomez-Mena, C., de Folter, S., Costa, M.M., Angenent, G.C., and Sablowski, R. (2005).
   Transcriptional program controlled by the floral homeotic gene AGAMOUS during early organogenesis. Development 132, 429-438.
- Gregis, V., Sessa, A., Colombo, L., and Kater, M.M. (2006). AGL24, SHORT VEGETATIVE
   PHASE, and APETALA1 Redundantly Control AGAMOUS during Early Stages of
   Flower Development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 18, 1373-1382.
- Gregis, V., Sessa, A., Dorca-Fornell, C., and Kater, M.M. (2009). The Arabidopsis floral
   meristem identity genes AP1, AGL24 and SVP directly repress class B and C floral
   homeotic genes. Plant J 60, 626-637.
- Gu, Q., Ferrandiz, C., Yanofsky, M., and Martienssen, R. (1998). The FRUITFULL MADS box gene mediates cell differentiation during Arabidopsis fruit development.
   Development 125, 1509-1517.
- 1252 Gustafson-Brown, C., Savidge, B., and Yanofsky, M.F. (1994). Regulation of the
   1253 arabidopsis floral homeotic gene APETALA1. Cell 76, 131-143.
- Heijmans, K., Ament, K., Rijpkema, A.S., Zethof, J., Wolters-Arts, M., Gerats, T., and
   Vandenbussche, M. (2012). Redefining C and D in the Petunia ABC. Plant Cell 24,
   2305-2317.
- Honma, T., and Goto, K. (2001). Complexes of MADS-box proteins are sufficient to
   convert leaves into floral organs. Nature 409, 525-529.
- Hsu, W.H., Yeh, T.J., Huang, K.Y., Li, J.Y., Chen, H.Y., and Yang, C.H. (2014). AGAMOUSLIKE13, a putative ancestor for the E functional genes, specifies male and female
  gametophyte morphogenesis. Plant J 77, 1-15.
- Huang, X., Effgen, S., Meyer, R.C., Theres, K., and Koornneef, M. (2012). Epistatic
   natural allelic variation reveals a function of AGAMOUS-LIKE6 in axillary bud
   formation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 24, 2364-2379.
- Huijser, P., Klein, J., Lonnig, W.E., Meijer, H., Saedler, H., and Sommer, H. (1992).
   Bracteomania, an inflorescence anomaly, is caused by the loss of function of the
   MADS-box gene squamosa in Antirrhinum majus. EMBO J 11, 1239-1249.
- Immink, R.G., Gadella, T.W., Jr., Ferrario, S., Busscher, M., and Angenent, G.C. (2002).
   Analysis of MADS box protein-protein interactions in living plant cells. Proc Natl
   Acad Sci U S A 99, 2416-2421.
- 1271 Immink, R.G., Ferrario, S., Busscher-Lange, J., Kooiker, M., Busscher, M., and
   1272 Angenent, G.C. (2003). Analysis of the petunia MADS-box transcription factor
   1273 family. Mol Genet Genomics 268, 598-606.
- 1274 Immink, R.G., Hannapel, D.J., Ferrario, S., Busscher, M., Franken, J., Lookeren
   1275 Campagne, M.M., and Angenent, G.C. (1999). A petunia MADS box gene involved

- in the transition from vegetative to reproductive development. Development 126,5117-5126.
- Immink, R.G., Tonaco, I.A., de Folter, S., Shchennikova, A., van Dijk, A.D., Busscher Lange, J., Borst, J.W., and Angenent, G.C. (2009). SEPALLATA3: the 'glue' for
   MADS box transcription factor complex formation. Genome Biol 10, R24.
- 1281 **Irish, V.F., and Sussex, I.M.** (1990). Function of the apetala-1 gene during Arabidopsis 1282 floral development. Plant Cell **2**, 741-753.
- Ito, Y., Nishizawa-Yokoi, A., Endo, M., Mikami, M., Shima, Y., Nakamura, N., Kotake Nara, E., Kawasaki, S., and Toki, S. (2017). Re-evaluation of the rin mutation and
   the role of RIN in the induction of tomato ripening. Nat Plants 3, 866-874.
- Jeon, J.S., Jang, S., Lee, S., Nam, J., Kim, C., Lee, S.H., Chung, Y.Y., Kim, S.R., Lee, Y.H.,
   Cho, Y.G., and An, G. (2000). leafy hull sterile1 is a homeotic mutation in a rice
   MADS box gene affecting rice flower development. Plant Cell 12, 871-884.
- Junier, T., and Zdobnov, E.M. (2010). The Newick utilities: high-throughput
   phylogenetic tree processing in the UNIX shell. Bioinformatics 26, 1669-1670.
- Kapoor, M., Tsuda, S., Tanaka, Y., Mayama, T., Okuyama, Y., Tsuchimoto, S., and
   Takatsuji, H. (2002). Role of petunia pMADS3 in determination of floral organ and
   meristem identity, as revealed by its loss of function. The Plant Journal 32, 115 1294
- Kater, M.M., Colombo, L., Franken, J., Busscher, M., Masiero, S., Van Lookeren
   Campagne, M.M., and Angenent, G.C. (1998). Multiple AGAMOUS Homologs from
   Cucumber and Petunia Differ in Their Ability to Induce Reproductive Organ Fate.
   Plant Cell 10, 171-182.
- 1299 Katoh, K., and Standley, D.M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software
   1300 version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30, 772-780.
- Kaufmann, K., Muino, J.M., Jauregui, R., Airoldi, C.A., Smaczniak, C., Krajewski, P., and
   Angenent, G.C. (2009). Target genes of the MADS transcription factor
   SEPALLATA3: integration of developmental and hormonal pathways in the
   Arabidopsis flower. PLoS Biol 7, e1000090.
- 1305 Kempin, S.A., Savidge, B., and Yanofsky, M.F. (1995). Molecular basis of the cauliflower
   phenotype in Arabidopsis. Science 267, 522-525.
- Kobayashi, K., Maekawa, M., Miyao, A., Hirochika, H., and Kyozuka, J. (2010).
   PANICLE PHYTOMER2 (PAP2), encoding a SEPALLATA subfamily MADS-box
   protein, positively controls spikelet meristem identity in rice. Plant Cell Physiol 51,
   47-57.
- Kobayashi, K., Yasuno, N., Sato, Y., Yoda, M., Yamazaki, R., Kimizu, M., Yoshida, H.,
   Nagamura, Y., and Kyozuka, J. (2012). Inflorescence meristem identity in rice is
   specified by overlapping functions of three AP1/FUL-like MADS box genes and
   PAP2, a SEPALLATA MADS box gene. Plant Cell 24, 1848-1859.
- Koes, R., Souer, E., van Houwelingen, A., Mur, L., Spelt, C., Quattrocchio, F., Wing, J.,
   Oppedijk, B., Ahmed, S., Maes, T., and et al. (1995). Targeted gene inactivation
   in petunia by PCR-based selection of transposon insertion mutants. Proc Natl Acad
   Sci U S A 92, 8149-8153.
- Koo, S.C., Bracko, O., Park, M.S., Schwab, R., Chun, H.J., Park, K.M., Seo, J.S., Grbic, V.,
  Balasubramanian, S., Schmid, M., Godard, F., Yun, D.J., Lee, S.Y., Cho, M.J.,
  Weigel, D., and Kim, M.C. (2010). Control of lateral organ development and
  flowering time by the Arabidopsis thaliana MADS-box Gene AGAMOUS-LIKE6.
  Plant J 62, 807-816.

- 1324 Krizek, B.A., and Fletcher, J.C. (2005). Molecular mechanisms of flower development: an
   1325 armchair guide. Nat Rev Genet 6, 688-698.
- Lee, S., Kim, J., Son, J.S., Nam, J., Jeong, D.H., Lee, K., Jang, S., Yoo, J., Lee, J., Lee, D.Y.,
   Kang, H.G., and An, G. (2003). Systematic reverse genetic screening of T-DNA
   tagged genes in rice for functional genomic analyses: MADS-box genes as a test
   case. Plant Cell Physiol 44, 1403-1411.
- Lemoine, F., Domelevo Entfellner, J.B., Wilkinson, E., Correia, D., Davila Felipe, M.,
   De Oliveira, T., and Gascuel, O. (2018). Renewing Felsenstein's phylogenetic
   bootstrap in the era of big data. Nature 556, 452-456.
- Lemoine, F., Correia, D., Lefort, V., Doppelt-Azeroual, O., Mareuil, F., Cohen Boulakia, S., and Gascuel, O. (2019). NGPhylogeny.fr: new generation
   phylogenetic services for non-specialists. Nucleic Acids Res 47, W260-W265.
- Leseberg, C.H., Eissler, C.L., Wang, X., Johns, M.A., Duvall, M.R., and Mao, L. (2008).
   Interaction study of MADS-domain proteins in tomato. J Exp Bot 59, 2253-2265.
- Litt, A. (2007). An Evaluation of A-Function: Evidence from the *APETALA1* and *APETALA2* Gene Lineages. International Journal of Plant Sciences 168, 73-91.
- 1340Litt,A., andIrish,V.F. (2003).Duplication and diversification in the1341APETALA1/FRUITFULL floral homeotic gene lineage: implications for the1342evolution of floral development. Genetics 165, 821-833.
- Liu, D., Wang, D., Qin, Z., Zhang, D., Yin, L., Wu, L., Colasanti, J., Li, A., and Mao, L.
  (2014). The SEPALLATA MADS-box protein SLMBP21 forms protein complexes
  with JOINTLESS and MACROCALYX as a transcription activator for development of
  the tomato flower abscission zone. Plant J 77, 284-296.
- Maheepala, D.C., Emerling, C.A., Rajewski, A., Macon, J., Strahl, M., Pabon-Mora, N.,
   and Litt, A. (2019). Evolution and Diversification of FRUITFULL Genes in
   Solanaceae. Front Plant Sci 10, 43.
- Malcomber, S.T., and Kellogg, E.A. (2005). SEPALLATA gene diversification: brave new
   whorls. Trends Plant Sci 10, 427-435.
- Mandel, M.A., Gustafson-Brown, C., Savidge, B., and Yanofsky, M.F. (1992). Molecular
   characterization of the Arabidopsis floral homeotic gene APETALA1. Nature 360,
   273-277.
- McCarthy, E.W., Mohamed, A., and Litt, A. (2015). Functional Divergence of APETALA1
   and FRUITFULL is due to Changes in both Regulation and Coding Sequence. Front
   Plant Sci 6, 1076.
- Melzer, R., Verelst, W., and Theissen, G. (2009). The class E floral homeotic protein
   SEPALLATA3 is sufficient to loop DNA in 'floral quartet'-like complexes in vitro.
   Nucleic Acids Res 37, 144-157.
- Monniaux, M., and Vandenbussche, M. (2018). How to Evolve a Perianth: A Review of
   Cadastral Mechanisms for Perianth Identity. Front Plant Sci 9, 1573.
- Moore, M.J., Soltis, P.S., Bell, C.D., Burleigh, J.G., and Soltis, D.E. (2010). Phylogenetic
   analysis of 83 plastid genes further resolves the early diversification of eudicots.
   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107, 4623-4628.
- Morel, P., Heijmans, K., Ament, K., Chopy, M., Trehin, C., Chambrier, P., Rodrigues
   Bento, S., Bimbo, A., and Vandenbussche, M. (2018). The Floral C-Lineage Genes
   Trigger Nectary Development in Petunia and Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 30, 2020 2037.
- Morel, P., Heijmans, K., Rozier, F., Zethof, J., Chamot, S., Bento, S.R., Vialette-Guiraud,
   A., Chambrier, P., Trehin, C., and Vandenbussche, M. (2017). Divergence of the

- 1372Floral A-Function between an Asterid and a Rosid Species. Plant Cell 29, 1605-13731621.
- Nakano, T., Kimbara, J., Fujisawa, M., Kitagawa, M., Ihashi, N., Maeda, H., Kasumi, T.,
   and Ito, Y. (2012). MACROCALYX and JOINTLESS interact in the transcriptional
   regulation of tomato fruit abscission zone development. Plant Physiol 158, 439 450.
- 1378 Ohmori, S., Kimizu, M., Sugita, M., Miyao, A., Hirochika, H., Uchida, E., Nagato, Y., and
   1379 Yoshida, H. (2009). MOSAIC FLORAL ORGANS1, an AGL6-like MADS box gene,
   1380 regulates floral organ identity and meristem fate in rice. Plant Cell 21, 3008-3025.
- OMaoileidigh, D.S., Wuest, S.E., Rae, L., Raganelli, A., Ryan, P.T., Kwasniewska, K.,
   Das, P., Lohan, A.J., Loftus, B., Graciet, E., and Wellmer, F. (2013). Control of
   reproductive floral organ identity specification in Arabidopsis by the C function
   regulator AGAMOUS. Plant Cell 25, 2482-2503.
- Park, S.J., Eshed, Y., and Lippman, Z.B. (2014). Meristem maturation and inflorescence
   architecture–lessons from the Solanaceae. Curr Opin Plant Biol 17, 70-77.
- Pelaz, S., Ditta, G.S., Baumann, E., Wisman, E., and Yanofsky, M.F. (2000). B and C floral
   organ identity functions require SEPALLATA MADS-box genes. Nature 405, 200 203.
- Pelaz, S., Gustafson-Brown, C., Kohalmi, S.E., Crosby, W.L., and Yanofsky, M.F. (2001).
   APETALA1 and SEPALLATA3 interact to promote flower development. Plant J 26, 385-394.
- Pnueli, L., Hareven, D., Broday, L., Hurwitz, C., and Lifschitz, E. (1994). The TM5 MADS
   Box Gene Mediates Organ Differentiation in the Three Inner Whorls of Tomato
   Flowers. Plant Cell 6, 175-186.
- Purugganan, M.D. (1997). The MADS-box floral homeotic gene lineages predate the
   origin of seed plants: phylogenetic and molecular clock estimates. J Mol Evol 45,
   392-396.
- Purugganan, M.D., Rounsley, S.D., Schmidt, R.J., and Yanofsky, M.F. (1995). Molecular
   evolution of flower development: diversification of the plant MADS-box regulatory
   gene family. Genetics 140, 345-356.
- Rijpkema, A.S., Zethof, J., Gerats, T., and Vandenbussche, M. (2009). The petunia AGL6
   gene has a SEPALLATA-like function in floral patterning. Plant J 60, 1-9.
- 1404 Rijpkema, A.S., Royaert, S., Zethof, J., van der Weerden, G., Gerats, T., and
   1405 Vandenbussche, M. (2006). Analysis of the *Petunia TM6* MADS box gene reveals
   1406 functional divergence within the *DEF/AP3* lineage. Plant Cell 18, 1819-1832.
- Roldan, M.V.G., Perilleux, C., Morin, H., Huerga-Fernandez, S., Latrasse, D.,
   Benhamed, M., and Bendahmane, A. (2017). Natural and induced loss of function
   mutations in SIMBP21 MADS-box gene led to jointless-2 phenotype in tomato. Sci
   Rep 7, 4402.
- Shima, Y., Kitagawa, M., Fujisawa, M., Nakano, T., Kato, H., Kimbara, J., Kasumi, T.,
  and Ito, Y. (2013). Tomato FRUITFULL homologues act in fruit ripening via
  forming MADS-box transcription factor complexes with RIN. Plant Mol Biol 82,
  427-438.
- Souer, E., Rebocho, A.B., Bliek, M., Kusters, E., de Bruin, R.A., and Koes, R. (2008).
  Patterning of inflorescences and flowers by the F-Box protein DOUBLE TOP and the LEAFY homolog ABERRANT LEAF AND FLOWER of petunia. Plant Cell 20, 2033-2048.

- Souer, E., van der Krol, A., Kloos, D., Spelt, C., Bliek, M., Mol, J., and Koes, R. (1998).
  Genetic control of branching pattern and floral identity during Petunia inflorescence development. Development 125, 733-742.
- Soyk, S., Lemmon, Z.H., Oved, M., Fisher, J., Liberatore, K.L., Park, S.J., Goren, A., Jiang,
  K., Ramos, A., van der Knaap, E., Van Eck, J., Zamir, D., Eshed, Y., and Lippman,
  Z.B. (2017). Bypassing Negative Epistasis on Yield in Tomato Imposed by a
  Domestication Gene. Cell 169, 1142-1155 e1112.
- Stam, M., Mol, J.N.M., and Kooter, J.M. (1997). The silence of genes in transgenic plants.
   Ann Bot 79, 3-12.
- Taylor, S.A., Hofer, J.M., Murfet, I.C., Sollinger, J.D., Singer, S.R., Knox, M.R., and Ellis,
   T.H. (2002). PROLIFERATING INFLORESCENCE MERISTEM, a MADS-box gene that
   regulates floral meristem identity in pea. Plant Physiol **129**, 1150-1159.
- 1431 The Tomato Genome Consortium. (2012). The tomato genome sequence provides
   1432 insights into fleshy fruit evolution. Nature 485, 635-641.
- Theissen, G., and Saedler, H. (2001). Plant biology. Floral quartets. Nature 409, 469471.
- Thompson, B.E., Bartling, L., Whipple, C., Hall, D.H., Sakai, H., Schmidt, R., and Hake,
   S. (2009). bearded-ear encodes a MADS box transcription factor critical for maize
   floral development. Plant Cell 21, 2578-2590.
- van der Krol, A.R., Brunelle, A., Tsuchimoto, S., and Chua, N.H. (1993). Functional
  analysis of petunia floral homeotic MADS box gene pMADS1. Genes Dev 7, 12141428.
- 1441 Vandenbussche, M., Theissen, G., Van de Peer, Y., and Gerats, T. (2003a). Structural
  1442 diversification and neo-functionalization during floral MADS-box gene evolution
  1443 by C-terminal frameshift mutations. Nucleic Acids Res 31, 4401-4409.
- 1444 Vandenbussche, M., Chambrier, P., Rodrigues Bento, S., and Morel, P. (2016). Petunia,
   1445 Your Next Supermodel? Front Plant Sci 7, 72.
- 1446 Vandenbussche, M., Zethof, J., Royaert, S., Weterings, K., and Gerats, T. (2004). The
   1447 duplicated B-class heterodimer model: whorl-specific effects and complex genetic
   1448 interactions in *Petunia hybrida* flower development. Plant Cell 16, 741-754.
- 1449 Vandenbussche, M., Horstman, A., Zethof, J., Koes, R., Rijpkema, A.S., and Gerats, T.
  1450 (2009). Differential recruitment of WOX transcription factors for lateral
  1451 development and organ fusion in Petunia and Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 21, 22691452 2283.
- 1453 Vandenbussche, M., Zethof, J., Souer, E., Koes, R., Tornielli, G.B., Pezzotti, M.,
  1454 Ferrario, S., Angenent, G.C., and Gerats, T. (2003b). Toward the analysis of the
  1455 petunia MADS box gene family by reverse and forward transposon insertion
  1456 mutagenesis approaches: B, C, and D floral organ identity functions require
  1457 SEPALLATA-like MADS box genes in petunia. Plant Cell 15, 2680-2693.
- Vandenbussche, M., Janssen, A., Zethof, J., van Orsouw, N., Peters, J., van Eijk, M.J.,
   Rijpkema, A.S., Schneiders, H., Santhanam, P., de Been, M., van Tunen, A., and
   Gerats, T. (2008). Generation of a 3D indexed Petunia insertion database for
   reverse genetics. Plant J 54, 1105-1114.
- 1462 Vrebalov, J., Ruezinsky, D., Padmanabhan, V., White, R., Medrano, D., Drake, R.,
  1463 Schuch, W., and Giovannoni, J. (2002). A MADS-box gene necessary for fruit
  1464 ripening at the tomato ripening-inhibitor (rin) locus. Science 296, 343-346.
- Wang, S., Lu, G., Hou, Z., Luo, Z., Wang, T., Li, H., Zhang, J., and Ye, Z. (2014). Members
  of the tomato FRUITFULL MADS-box family regulate style abscission and fruit
  ripening. J Exp Bot 65, 3005-3014.

- Weigel, D., Alvarez, J., Smyth, D.R., Yanofsky, M.F., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1992).
   LEAFY controls floral meristem identity in Arabidopsis. Cell 69, 843-859.
- Wu, D., Liang, W., Zhu, W., Chen, M., Ferrandiz, C., Burton, R.A., Dreni, L., and Zhang,
   D. (2017a). Loss of LOFSEP transcription factor function converts Spikelet to Leaf like Structures in Rice. Plant Physiol.
- 1473 Wu, F., Shi, X., Lin, X., Liu, Y., Chong, K., Theissen, G., and Meng, Z. (2017b). The ABCs
  1474 of flower development: mutational analysis of AP1/FUL-like genes in rice provides
  1475 evidence for a homeotic (A)-function in grasses. Plant J 89, 310-324.
- Yu, H., Ito, T., Wellmer, F., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (2004). Repression of AGAMOUS-LIKE
  24 is a crucial step in promoting flower development. Nature Genetics 36, 1571478 161.
- Yu, X., Duan, X., Zhang, R., Fu, X., Ye, L., Kong, H., Xu, G., and Shan, H. (2016). Prevalent
   Exon-Intron Structural Changes in the APETALA1/FRUITFULL, SEPALLATA,
   AGAMOUS-LIKE6, and FLOWERING LOCUS C MADS-Box Gene Subfamilies Provide
   New Insights into Their Evolution. Front Plant Sci 7, 598.
- Yu, X., Chen, G., Guo, X., Lu, Y., Zhang, J., Hu, J., Tian, S., and Hu, Z. (2017). Silencing
  SlAGL6, a tomato AGAMOUS-LIKE6 lineage gene, generates fused sepal and green
  petal. Plant Cell Rep 36, 959-969.
- Yuste-Lisbona, F.J., Quinet, M., Fernandez-Lozano, A., Pineda, B., Moreno, V.,
  Angosto, T., and Lozano, R. (2016). Characterization of vegetative inflorescence
  (mc-vin) mutant provides new insight into the role of MACROCALYX in regulating
  inflorescence development of tomato. Sci Rep 6, 18796.
- Zahn, L.M., Kong, H., Leebens-Mack, J.H., Kim, S., Soltis, P.S., Landherr, L.L., Soltis,
   D.E., Depamphilis, C.W., and Ma, H. (2005). The evolution of the SEPALLATA subfamily of MADS-box genes: a preangiosperm origin with multiple duplications throughout angiosperm history. Genetics 169, 2209-2223.

### 1495 FIGURE LEGENDS

1496

### 1497 Figure 1. Characterization of the Petunia SEP/AGL6 MADS-box Genes.

1498 (A) Section through a WT petunia W138 flower showing inner whorls. (B) Petunia seedpod ~4 1499 weeks post-pollination surrounded by green sepals. (C) SEM (scanning electron microscopy) 1500 images of sepal, bract and leaf adaxial and abaxial epidermal surfaces. Bars =  $50 \mu m$ . (D) Longitudinal sections of developing petunia floral buds showing the placenta developing from 1501 1502 the center of the floral meristem s = sepal; p = petal; st = stamen; c = carpel; pl = placenta. Bars 1503 = 200  $\mu$ m. (E) W138 floral bud developmental stages for RT-qPCR analysis shown in (G), 1504 dissected from the top of an inflorescence (inset), of which the large floral bud at the right is just prior to opening. Numbers indicate sampled stages. 1 to 3 correspond to floral bud 1505 1506 diameters of ~1.5, 2.5 and 5 mm respectively. Stage 1 also includes very early flower primordia, 1507 bracts and the inflorescence meristem. Bar = 1 cm. (F) Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic 1508 analysis of the SEP and AGL6 subfamily members of Petunia hybrida (Ph), Solanum 1509 lycopersicum (Sl), Arabidopsis thaliana (At) and Oryza sativa (Os). Bootstrap values marked 1510 in red (expressed in %, based on 1000 replicates) supporting tree branching are indicated near

1511 the branching points. The scale bar represents number of substitutions per site. Accession codes 1512 for the corresponding sequences are shown in Supplemental Table 1. Naming of subfamilies 1513 and subfamily clades is based on previously described phylogenies for the SEP subfamily 1514 (Malcomber and Kellogg, 2005; Zahn et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2016). (G) RT-qPCR expression 1515 analysis of the petunia SEP/AGL6 genes. Relative expression (R.E.) levels are plotted as the 1516 mean value of three biological and three technical replicates ±SE, normalized against three 1517 reference genes (see Material and Methods). Expression levels were measured in vegetative 1518 tissues (green bars; infl. stem = inflorescence stem); entire floral buds (orange bars) from 3 1519 developmental stages shown in (E) and dissected floral organs (red bars) obtained from flower 1520 buds corresponding to stage 3. (H) Schematic representations of the gene structures and 1521 insertion alleles of the petunia SEP and AGL6 genes and floral phenotypes of the corresponding 1522 insertion mutants used in further crosses. Black boxes and lines represent exons and introns 1523 respectively. All gene models start at the start codon and end at the stop codon. Scale bars = 1524 500 bp. Red triangles indicate positions of *dTph1* transposon insertions. Alleles are named after 1525 the exact insert position of the *dTph1* element in number of base pairs downstream of the ATG 1526 in the coding sequence. The names of the insertion alleles that have been selected for the 1527 creation of double and higher order mutants are marked in red.

1528

### Figure 2. The Petunia *fbp2 fbp5 pm12* Mutant, Genetic Equivalent of the Arabidopsis *sep1 sep2 sep3* Mutant, Still Displays B- and C-function Floral Characteristics.

1531 (A) to (H) Top view of flowers from WT, single, double and triple mutants of petunia 1532 *SEP1/SEP2/SEP3* homologs. All images are at the same magnification. (I) to (L) Side view of 1533 WT and mutant flowers sectioned through the middle. All images are at the same magnification. 1534 (M) Close-up of dissected third whorl organs (stamens). (N) Close-up of dissected fourth whorl 1535 organs (carpels). (O) to (Q) SEM images of the outer ovary surface. Scale bars = 100  $\mu$ m. 1536

### 1537 Figure 3. Petunia Floral Meristem Identity Depends on FBP9/FBP23/FBP4 Activity.

1538 (A) to (C) and (E) to (G) Top and side view of *WT*, *fbp9 fbp23* and *fbp9 fbp23 fbp4* plants 13 1539 weeks after sowing. (D) and (H) Schematic representation of inflorescence phenotypes. (I) to 1540 (L) SEM images of inflorescence apices in *WT* and *fbp4 fbp9 fbp23* mutants. Br: bracts; Se: 1541 sepals; F: flower; Fm: Flower meristem; Im: Inflorescence meristem. Scale bars = 100  $\mu$ m. (M) 1542 to (Q) Inflorescence architecture of lower order mutants compared to WT and *fbp9 fbp23 fbp4* 1543 mutants. (R) to (W) Flower phenotypes of *fbp4*, *fbp23* and *fbp9* mutations in combination with 1544 *fbp2*. All flowers are at the same magnification. 1545

Figure 4. Characterization of the Sextuple *fbp2 fbp4 fbp5 fbp9 pm12 agl6* Mutant
Compared to WT. Genotypes in each panel are indicated as follows: sext: sextuple *fbp2 fbp4 fbp5 fbp9 pm12 agl6* mutant. WT: wild-type.

1549 (A) and (B) Top view of young (A) and mature flower (B). (C) Dissected floral organs of a 1550 flower similar to the stage as indicated by the asterisk in (F). W# indicate whorl numbers. (D) 1551 Longitudinal section through an older flower similar to the stage as indicated by the double 1552 asterisk in (F). (E) SEM images of the epidermis of the four different floral whorls (indicated 1553 by W#) in WT (left panels) and the sextuple mutant (right panels). (F) Inflorescences showing 1554 flowers at various stages of development and aging. The arrows indicate an example where 1555 three consecutive fully developed flowers arose from a single floral meristem. Scale bars: 0.25 1556 cm in (A); 0.5 cm in (B, D); 1 cm in (C, F); 50 µm in (E). (G) RT-qPCR expression analysis of 1557 the petunia floral homeotic genes in WT versus sextuple fbp2 fbp4 fbp5 fbp9 pm12 agl6 1558 mutants. Petunia genes are indicated and names of corresponding Arabidopsis orthologs are 1559 shown in between brackets. \*No TM6 ortholog exists in the Arabidopsis genome. \*\*Petunia 1560 FBP6 is orthologous to SHP1/SHP2, but is functionally homologous to AG. Relative expression 1561 (R.E.) levels are plotted as the mean value of three biological and three technical replicates 1562 ±SE, normalized against three reference genes (see Material and Methods). Expression levels 1563 were measured in entire floral buds from three developmental stages as shown in Figure 1E.

1564

#### 1565 Figure 5. Characterization of the Petunia AP1/SQUA MADS-box Subfamily.

1566 (A) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis of the AP1/SQUA subfamily members of 1567 Petunia hybrida (Ph), Solanum lycopersicum (Sl), Arabidopsis thaliana (At) and Oryza sativa (Os). Bootstrap values marked in red (expressed in %, based on 1000 replicates) supporting 1568 1569 branching are indicated near the branch points. The scale bar represents number of 1570 substitutions/site. Accession codes for the corresponding sequences are shown in Supplemental 1571 Table 1. Naming of subfamilies and subfamily clades is based on previously described 1572 phylogenies for the AP1/SQUA subfamily (Litt and Irish, 2003; Yu et al., 2016; Maheepala et 1573 al., 2019). (B) RT-qPCR expression analysis of the petunia AP1/SQUA genes. Relative 1574 expression (R.E.) levels are plotted as the mean value of three biological and three technical 1575 replicates ±SE, normalized against three reference genes (see Material and Methods). See 1576 legend of Figure 1G for sample description. (C) Schematic representations of the gene 1577 structures and insertion alleles of the petunia AP1/SQUA genes and corresponding floral 1578 phenotypes of insertion lines used for further crosses and analyses. Figure Legend as in Figure

1579 1580 1H.

1581

### Figure 6. Petunia *AP1/SQUA* Family Members are Required for Inflorescence Meristem Identity and Repress the B-function in the First Floral Whorl.

(A) to (D) Flower phenotype of *pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1* mutants. Some sepals and petals have 1584 been removed in (B) to reveal inner organs. (D) Enlarged sepals showing petaloid sectors 1585 1586 displaying petal conical epidermal cells (inset SEM image). (E) to (G) Inflorescence phenotype 1587 showing an "inflorescence" with spirally organized leaves (F) ending in a single terminal flower 1588 (G). (L) Side branches developing from the basis of the plant exhibit an identical inflorescence 1589 phenotype. (H), (I) and (M) Longitudinal sections of the apex of an inflorescence in vegetative state (G), and of an inflorescence with terminal flower (I), compared to the apex of a WT 1590 1591 inflorescence (M). Red asterisks in (I) indicate vegetative lateral meristems. (J) to (K) 1592 Enhanced homeotic sepal-to-petal conversion compared to (C) and (D). (N) and (O) unmodified 1593 sepals in rob1 rob2/+ rob3 mutants (N) compared to WT (O). (P) and (Q) SEM images of a 1594 WT vegetative meristem before the onset to flowering compared to the apex of a pfg fbp261595 fbp29 euap1 inflorescence prior to terminal flower formation as in (H). (R) Schematic 1596 representation of a pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 inflorescence (right) compared to an intermediate 1597 inflorescence phenotype as shown in (T) to (V). (S) to (X) Inflorescence phenotypes of WT, 1598 quadruple and various triple mutant combinations after prolonged flowering. White arrows 1599 indicate positions of previous terminal flowers. Scale bars: 1 cm in (A-G; J-L; N-O; S-X); 100 1600  $\mu$ m in (P-Q; H, I, M); 50  $\mu$ m in inset in (D).

1601

1602



#### Figure 1. Characterization of the Petunia SEP/AGL6 MADS-box Genes.

(A) Section through a WT petunia W138 flower showing inner whorls. (B) Petunia seedpod ~4 weeks post-pollination surrounded by green sepals. (C) SEM (scanning electron microscopy) images of sepal, bract and leaf adaxial and abaxial epidermal surfaces. Bars = 50 µm. (D) Longitudinal sections of developing petunia floral buds showing the placenta developing from the center of the floral meristem s = sepal; p = petal; st = stamen; c = carpel; pl = placenta. Bars = 200 µm. (E) W138 floral bud developmental stages for RT-qPCR analysis shown in (G), dissected from the top of an inflorescence (inset), of which the large floral bud at the right is just prior to opening. Numbers indicate sampled stages. 1 to 3 correspond to floral bud diameters of ~1.5; 2.5 and 5 mm respectively. Stage 1 includes also very early flower primordia, bracts and the inflorescence meristem. Bar = 1 cm. (F) Maximum Likelyhood phylogenetic analysis of the SEP and AGL6 subfamily members of Petunia hybrida (Ph), Solanum lycopersicum (SI), Arabidopsis thaliana (At) and Oryza sativa (Os). Bootstrap values marked in red (expressed in %, based on 1000 replicates) supporting tree branching are indicated near the branching points. The scalebar represents number of substitutions/site. Accession codes for the corresponding sequences are shown in Supplemental Table 1. Naming of subfamilies and subfamily clades are based on previously described phylogenies for the SEP subfamily (Malcomber and Kellogg, 2005; Zahn et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2016). (G) RT-qPCR expression analysis of the petunia SEP/AGL6 genes. Relative expression (R.E.) levels are plotted as the mean value of three biological and three technical replicates ±SE, normalized against three reference genes (see Material and Methods). Expression levels were measured in vegetative tissues (green bars; infl. stem = inflorescence stem); entire floral buds (orange bars) from 3 developmental stages shown in (E) and dissected floral organs (red bars) obtained from flower buds corresponding to stage 3. (H) Schematic representations of the gene structures and insertion alleles of the petunia SEP and AGL6 genes and floral phenotypes of the corresponding insertion mutants used in further crosses. Black boxes and lines represent exons and introns respectively. All gene models start at the start codon and end at the stop codon. Scale Bars = 500 bp. Red triangles indicate positions of dTph1 transposon insertions. Alleles are named after the exact insert position of the dTph1 element in number of basepairs downstream of the ATG in the coding sequence. The names of the insertion alleles that have been selected for the creation of double and higher order mutants are marked in red.



Figure 2. The Petunia *fbp2 fbp5 pm12* Mutant, Genetic Equivalent of the Arabidopsis sep1 sep2 sep3 Mutant Still Displays B- and C-function Floral Characteristics. (A) to (H) Topview of flowers from WT, single, double and triple mutants of petunia *SEP1/2/3* homologs. All images are at the same magnification. (I) to (L) Sideview of WT and mutant flowers sectioned through the middle. All images are at the same magnification. (M) Close-up of dissected third whorl organs (stamens). (N) Close-up of dissected fourth whorl organs (carpels). (O) to (Q) SEM images of the outer ovary surface. Scale bars = 100 µm.



#### Figure 3. Petunia Floral Meristem Identity Depends on FBP9/23/4 Activity.

(A) to (C) and (E) to (G) Top- and side view of WT, fbp9 fbp23 and fbp9 fbp23 fbp4 plants 13 weeks after sowing. (D) and (H) Schematic representation of inflorescence phenotypes. (I) to (L) SEM images of inflorescence apices in WT and fbp4 fbp9 fbp23 mutants. Br: bracts; Se: sepals; F: flower; Fm: Flower meristem; Im: Inflorescence meristem. Scale bars = 100  $\mu$ m. (M) to (Q) Inflorescence architecture of lower order mutants compared to WT and fbp9 fbp23 fbp4 mutants. (R) to (W) Flower phenotypes of fbp4, fbp23 and fbp9 mutations in combination with fbp2. All flowers are at the same magnification.



Figure 4. Characterization of the Sextuple fbp2 fbp4 fbp5 fbp9 pm12 agl6 Mutant compared to WT. Genotypes in each panel are indicated as follows: sext: sextuple fbp2 fbp4 fbp5 fbp9 pm12 agl6 mutant. WT: wild-type.

(A) and (B) Topview of young (A) and mature flower (B). (C) Dissected floral organs of a flower similar to the stage as indicated by the asterisk in (F). W# indicate whorl numbers. (D) Longitudinal section through an older flower similar to the stage as indicated by the double asterisk in (F). (E) SEM images of the epidermis of the four different floral whorls (indicated by W#) in WT (left panels) and the sextuple mutant (right panels). (F) Inflorescences showing flowers at various stages of development and aging. The arrows indicate an example where three consecutive fully developed flowers arose from a single floral meristem. Scalebars: 0,25 cm in (A); 0,5 cm in (B, D); 1 cm in (C, F); 50  $\mu$ m in (E). (G) RT-qPCR expression analysis of the petunia floral homeotic genes in WT versus sextuple *fbp2 fbp4 fbp5 fbp9 pm12 agl6* mutants. Petunia genes are each time indicated and names of corresponding Arabidopsis orthologs are shown in between brackets. \*No TM6 ortholog exists in the Arabidopsis genome. \*\*Petunia FBP6 is orthologous to SHP1/2, but is functionally homologous to AG. Relative expression (R.E.) levels were plotted as the mean value of three biological and three technical replicates ±SE, normalized against three reference genes (see Material and Methods). Expression levels were measured in entire floral buds from three developmental stages as shown in Figure 1E.



#### Figure 5. Characterization of the Petunia AP1/SQUA MADS-box Subfamily.

(A) Maximum Likelyhood phylogenetic analysis of the AP1/SQUA subfamily members of Petunia hybrida (Ph), Solanum lycopersicum (SI), Arabidopsis thaliana (At) and Oryza sativa (Os). Bootstrap values marked in red (expressed in %, based on 1000 replicates) supporting tree branching are indicated near the branching points. The scalebar represents number of substitutions/site. Accession codes for the corresponding sequences are shown in Supplemental Table 1. Naming of subfamilies and subfamily clades are based on previously described phylogenies for the AP1/SQUA subfamily (Litt and Irish, 2003; Yu et al., 2016; Maheepala et al., 2019). (B) RT-qPCR expression analysis of the petunia AP1/SQUA genes. Relative expression (R.E.) levels are plotted as the mean value of three biological and three technical replicates ±SE, normalized against three reference genes (see Material and Methods). See legend of Figure 1G for sample description. (C) Schematic representations of the gene structures and insertion alleles of the petunia AP1/SQUA genes and corresponding floral phenotypes of insertion lines used for further crosses and analyses. Figure Legend as in Figure 1H.



Figure 6. Petunia AP1/SQUA family members are Required for Inflorescence Meristem Identity, and Repress the B-function in the First Floral Whorl.

(A) to (D) Flower phenotype of *pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1* mutants. Some sepals and petals have been removed in (B) to reveal inner organs. (D) Enlarged sepals showing petaloid sectors displaying petal conical epidermal cells (inset SEM image). (E) to (G) Inflorescence phenotype showing an "inflorescence" with spirally organized leaves (F) ending in a single terminal flower (G). (L) Side branches developing from the basis of the plant exhibit an identical inflorescence phenotype. (H), (I) and (M) Longitudinal sections of the apex of an inflorescence in vegetative state (G), and of an inflorescence with terminal flower (I), compared to the apex of a WT inflorescence (M). Red asterisks in (I) indicate vegetative lateral meristems. (J) to (K) Enhanced homeotic sepal-to-petal conversion compared to (C) and (D). (N) and (O) unmodified sepals in *rob1 rob2/+ rob3* mutants (N) compared to WT (O). (P) and (Q) SEM images of a WT vegetative meristem before the onset to flowering compared to the apex of a pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 inflorescence prior to terminal flower formation as in (H). (R) Schematic representation of a pfg fbp26 fbp29 euap1 inflorescence (right) compared to an intermediate inflorescence phenotype as shown in (T) to (V). (S) to (X) Inflorescence phenotypes of WT, quadruple and various triple mutant combinations after prolonged flowering. White arrows indicate positions of previous terminal flowers. Scale bars: 1 cm in (A-G; J-L; N-O; S-X); 100 µm in (P-Q; H, I, M); 50 µm in inset in (D).

Divergent Functional Diversification Patterns in the SEP/AGL6/AP1 MADS-box Transcription Factor Superclade Patrice Morel, Pierre Chambrier, Veronique Boltz, Sophy Chamot, Frederique Rozier, Suzanne Rodrigues Bento, Christophe Trehin, Marie Monniaux, Jan Zethof and Michiel Vandenbussche *Plant Cell*; originally published online October 7, 2019; DOI 10.1105/tpc.19.00162

This information is current as of November 4, 2019

Supplemental Data	/content/suppl/2019/10/07/tpc.19.00162.DC1.html
Permissions	https://www.copyright.com/ccc/openurl.do?sid=pd_hw1532298X&issn=1532298X&WT.mc_id=pd_hw1532298X
eTOCs	Sign up for eTOCs at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain
CiteTrack Alerts	Sign up for CiteTrack Alerts at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain
Subscription Information	Subscription Information for <i>The Plant Cell</i> and <i>Plant Physiology</i> is available at: http://www.aspb.org/publications/subscriptions.cfm