

VvWRKY8 represses stilbene synthase genes through direct interaction with VvMYB14 to control resveratrol biosynthesis in grapevine

Jinzhu Jiang, Huifen Xi, Zhanwu Dai, Fatma Lecourieux, Ling Yuan, Xianju Liu, Barunava Patra, Yongzan Wei, Shaohua Li, Lijun Wang

▶ To cite this version:

Jinzhu Jiang, Huifen Xi, Zhanwu Dai, Fatma Lecourieux, Ling Yuan, et al.. VvWRKY8 represses stilbene synthase genes through direct interaction with VvMYB14 to control resveratrol biosynthesis in grapevine. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2019, 70 (2), pp.715-729. 10.1093/jxb/ery401 . hal-02346663

HAL Id: hal-02346663 https://hal.science/hal-02346663

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH PAPER

VvWRKY8 represses stilbene synthase genes through direct interaction with **VvMYB14** to control resveratrol biosynthesis in grapevine



Jinzhu Jiang^{1,2}, Huifen Xi^{1,2}, Zhanwu Dai³, Fatma Lecourieux³, Ling Yuan⁴, Xianju Liu^{1,2}, Barunava Patra⁴, Yongzan Wei¹, Shaohua Li^{1,*} and Lijun Wang^{1,*}

¹ Beijing Key Laboratory of Grape Sciences and Enology, CAS Key Laboratory of Plant Resources, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

³ EGFV, Bordeaux Sciences Agro, CNRS, INRA, ISVV, Université de Bordeaux, Villenave d'Ornon 33140, France

⁴ Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky, Kentucky 40546, USA

* Correspondence: ljwang@ibcas.ac.cn or shhli@ibcas.ac.cn

Received 5 July 2018; Editorial decision 23 October 2018; Accepted 31 October 2018

Editor: Nick Smirnoff, University of Exeter, UK

Abstract

Resveratrol (Res) is a stilbenoid, a group of plant phenolic metabolites derived from stilbene that possess activities against pests, pathogens, and abiotic stresses. Only a few species, including grapevine (*Vitis*), synthesize and accumulate Res. Although stilbene synthases (STSs) have been isolated and characterized in several species, the gene regulatory mechanisms underlying stilbene biosynthesis are still largely unknown. Here, we characterize a grapevine WRKY transcription factor, VvWRKY8, that regulates the Res biosynthetic pathway. Transient and stable over-expression of *VvWRKY8* in grapevine results in decreased expression of *VvSTS15/21* and *VvMYB14*, as well as in a reduction of Res accumulation. VvWRKY8 does not bind to or activate the promoters of *VvMYB14* and *VvSTS15/21*; however, it physically interacts with VvMYB14 proteins through their N-terminal domains to prevent them from binding to the *VvSTS15/21* promoter. Application of exogenous Res results in the stimulation of *VvWRKY8* expression and in a decrease of *VvMYB14* and *VvSTS15/21* expression in grapevine suspension cells, and in the activation of the *VvWRKY8* promoter in tobacco leaves. These results demonstrate that VvWRKY8 represses *VvSTS15/21* expression and Res biosynthesis through interaction with VvMYB14. In this context, the VvMYB14-VvSTS15/21-Res-VvWRKY8 regulatory loop may be an important mechanism for the fine-tuning of Res biosynthesis in grapevine.

Keywords: Fine-tuning, grapevine, protein interaction, resveratrol, VvMYB14, VvWRKY8.

Introduction

Resveratrol (Res; 3,5,4'-trihydroxystilbene) is a stilbenoid, a class of plant-derived phenolic metabolites with activities against pests, pathogens, and abiotic stresses (Adrian *et al.*, 1997; Adrian and Jeandet, 2012). Res has been extensively studied for its antioxidant, immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory, and anti-angiogenic effects, and for its potential roles in chemoprevention of cancer and cardioprotection (Kalantari and Das, 2010; Pangeni *et al.*, 2014; Weiskirchen and Weiskirchen, 2016). However, Res is naturally synthesized in only a few plant species. It was first isolated in 1939 from roots of white

which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[©] The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),

hellebore (*Veratrum grandiflorum*) (Takaoka, 1939), and then in 1964 from roots of Japanese knotweed (*Polygonum cuspidatum*) (Nonomura *et al.*, 1963). In 1974, it was characterized as a phytoalexin in the leaves of Vitaceae (Langcake and Pryce, 1976). The production of this phytoalexin is stimulated when Vitaceae are exposed to fungal infections, ozone, injury, wounding, and UV-C irradiation (Langcake and Pryce, 1976). Grapevine (*Vitis*) is currently the main source of supply of Res worldwide because of its extensive cultivation and high production efficiency (Weiskirchen and Weiskirchen, 2016). With an increasing demand for a natural source of Res, it is important to determine the gene regulatory pathway controlling its production.

Res is synthesized in the plant through the phenylalanine/ polymalonate pathway, under the synergistic action of a series of related enzymes. Biosynthesis of Res and other phenylpropanoids begins with the synthesis of trans-cinnamic acid or its derivative p-coumaric acid from phenylalanine or tyrosine, respectively (Yu and Jez, 2008). Stilbene synthase (STS; EC2.3.1.95) catalyses the direct formation of Res from three malonyl-CoA units and one p-coumaroyl-CoA (Austin and Noel, 2003). Catalysed by glycosyltransferase $(3-O-\beta$ glycosyltransferases, 3-O-GT), Res is then converted into the corresponding glycoside (peceid, Pd). STS belongs to the type-III polyketide synthase enzyme superfamily and shares a high amino acid sequence identity with chalcone synthase (CHS; EC 2.3.1.74) (Austin and Noel, 2003). Vannozzi et al. (2012) identified 33 full-length sequences encoding STS genes in Vitis vinifera, which were clustered into three main groups designated as A, B, and C. Compared with those from groups A and C, VvSTS genes from group B are highly responsive to abiotic stresses, with wounding resulting in a 7- to 186-fold increase in transcription after 24 h. When grapevine leaf discs are exposed to UV-C light, their VvSTS transcription increases by 11- to 27-fold (Vannozzi et al., 2012). However, the mechanisms controlling VvSTS gene expression remain unclear.

A limited number of transcription factors (TFs) regulating phenylpropanoid biosynthesis have been identified in a wide range of plant species. In grapevine, two MYB TFs, VvMYB14 and VvMYB15, trans-activate the promoters of VvSTS29 and VvSTS41 (Höll et al., 2013), and VvMYB14 directly binds to the VvSTS48 promoter (Fang et al., 2014). Very recently, Vannozzi et al. (2018) used V. vinifera suspension cell cultures to show that VvWRKY24 acts as a singular effector for VvSTS29 promoter activation whereas VvWRKY3 acts through a combinatorial effect with VvMYB14 only through transient expression. In previous studies, we found that the expression of $V\nu STS$ s were largely up-regulated and Res concentration increased in leaves of V. vinifera after exposure to UV-C irradiation (Xi et al., 2014, 2015). Interestingly, MYB and WRKY TFs such as VvMYB14, VvMYB15, and the previously uncharacterized V. viniferaWRKY 57-like (probe set ID: 1610775_s_at; GSVIVT01010525001) are up-regulated by 100- to 200-fold (Xi et al., 2014). Using gene information from the PLEXdb database and Grape Genome Browser (The French-Italian Public Consortium for Grapevine Genome Characterization, 2007), we designated this WRKY TF as VvWRKY8 according to the VvWRKY phylogenetic tree (Wang et al., 2014). WRKY TFs have been well characterized for their roles in regulating the production of valuable natural products such as phenylpropanoids, alkaloids, and terpenes by regulating metabolic pathway genes (Kato *et al.*, 2007; Guillaumie *et al.*, 2010; Singh *et al.*, 2017). Among the 59 *V. vinifera* WRKYs, VvWRKY2 is known to regulate lignin production (Guillaumie *et al.*, 2010), while VvWRKY26 is specific for the control of proanthocyanidin biosynthesis (Amato *et al.*, 2016). We therefore speculated that VvWRKY8 may directly or indirectly regulate *VvSTS* expression.

In this study, we found that VvWRKY8 is strongly coexpressed with VvMYB14 and VvSTSs in grapevine leaves after UV-C treatment. Overexpression of VvWRKY8 resulted in decreases of VvSTS15/21 expression and Res accumulation in the leaves. Although VvWRKY8 does not specifically bind to or activate the promoters of VvMYB14 or VvSTS15/21, it physically interacts with VvMYB14 through the N-terminal domains of both proteins. The VvWRKY8-VvMYB14 heterodimer prevents the VvMYB14 N-terminal DNA-binding domain from binding to the promoter region of VvSTS15/21. In addition, application of exogenous Res induces the expression of VvWRKY8 and decreases the expression of VvMYB14 and VvSTS15/21 in grapevine suspension cells. Furthermore, it activated the VvWRKY8 promoter in leaves of tobacco. These results suggest that VvWRKY8 negatively regulates VvSTS15/21 by sequestrating its transcriptional activator, VvMYB14. A regulatory loop involving VvMYB14-VvSTS15/21-Res-VvWRKY8 may act as an important mechanism for the fine-tuning of Res biosynthesis in grapevine.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*), tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*), and maize (*Zea mays*) were used in this study. Grapevines were grown in a vineyard at the Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. Tobacco was planted in an illuminated chamber with a day/night cycle of 16/8 h light/dark at 25/20 °C. Maize plants were grown in a dark chamber at 25 °C, and at 10 d after sowing the second leaves were used to isolate protoplasts.

UV-C irradiation of grapevine leaves

UV-C irradiation of grapevine leaves was performed as described by Xi *et al.* (2015). Mature (30-d-old), healthy leaves of similar size were detached from the shoots of cultivar 'Hongbaladuo', the leaf petioles were immediately inserted into water, and then transferred to triangular flasks containing double-deionized water (ddH₂O). All leaves were incubated in the dark at 25 °C for 30 min, and then the leaf abaxial surfaces were exposed for 10 min to 6 W m⁻² irradiation from a UV-C lamp (Model ZW30S26W, Beijing Lighting Research Institute, China). The leaves remained in the flasks in the dark until sampling. Control leaves were not irradiated. Samples were collected at 0, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h after initiation of the treatment. All treated and control samples were replicated three times, and each replication consisted of six leaves.

VvWRKY8 gene isolation and analysis

Total RNA was extracted from mature leaves of *V. vinifera* cv.'Hongbaladuo' using an E.Z.N.A.[®] Plant RNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Based on the gene sequence of *VvWRKY8* obtained from the Grape Genome Browser (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/externe/GenomeBrowser/Vitis/), the primer pair for

VvWRKY8 was designed using Primer3Plus (http://www.primer3plus. com/cgi-bin/dev/primer3plus.cgi). *VvWRKY8* was cloned from cDNA by PCR (PrimeSTAR[®] Max DNA Polymerase, Takara, China). The PCR products were ligated into the pLB simple vector (TIANGEN, China) and subsequently transformed into *Escherichia coli* TOP10. Positive colonies were selected and amplified, and then sequenced by Biomed Gene Technology Co., Ltd. The primers used for gene isolation are listed in Supplementary Table S1 at *JXB* online.

The deduced amino acid sequence of *VvWRKY8* was aligned with known homologous genes from *Artemisia annua*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and *Coptis japonica* (AaGSW1, AtWRKY75, and CjWRKY1, respectively) using Clustal X2 (Thompson *et al.*, 1997) with default settings. The alignment results were edited and marked using GeneDoc. The protein sequences used for alignment are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

Gene expression analyses

Quantitative RT-PCR was conducted as described previously (Höll et al., 2013; Xi et al., 2015) and the relative expression level of each gene was calculated using $\Delta\Delta C_{\rm T}$ (cycle threshold) method (Schefe *et al.*, 2006), with VvActin7 (XM_002282480.4) as an internal control (Gutha et al., 2010). Sucrose phosphate synthase 1 (VvSPS1), a gene involved in sucrose metabolism but not related to the Res biosynthesis pathway, was chosen as a negative control. The primer pairs used to detect VvWRKY8, VvMYB14, VvSTSs, and VvSTS15/21 were designed using Primer3Plus. The primers used for qRT-PCR analyses are listed in Supplementary Table S1. The primer pair designed for VvSTSs could detect 25 VvSTSs, which included Group A members (VvSTS1, VvSTS3, VvSTS5, and VvSTS6) and Group B members (VvSTS7, VvSTS8, VvSTS9, VvSTS10, VvSTS15, VvSTS21, VvSTS27, VvSTS29, VvSTS31, VvSTS33, VvSTS35, VvSTS37, VvSTS38, VvSTS39, VvSTS41, VvSTS42, VvSTS43, VvSTS45, VvSTS46, VvSTS47, and VvSTS48) (Vannozzi et al., 2012). All gRT-PCR analyses were performed with three independent biological replicates.

Subcellular localization

For subcellular localization, the *VvWRKY8* coding sequence was amplified using a primer pair with a unique restriction site. The PCR product was then cloned in-frame into the pEZS-NL transient expression vector (pEZS-NL-VvWRKY8). Maize protoplasts were isolated and transfected according to the protocol described by Sheen *et al.* (1995), with minor modifications (Li *et al.*, 2012; Han *et al.*, 2015). After maize protoplasts were transfected with pEZS-NL-VvWRKY8, they were incubated in darkness overnight. They were then harvested by gentle centrifugation and stained with 0.1 g I^{-1} DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min. The VvWRKY8 localization pattern was determined by visualizing enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) fluorescence using a Leica TCS SP5 Confocal Scanning Microscope, and the nuclei were visualized by DAPI fluorescence. The peak excitation wavelength of eGFP and DAPI were 488 nm and 408 nm, respectively.

Yeast one-hybrid assays

Yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) assays were performed using the Matchmaker One-Hybrid System (Clontech, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Full-length coding sequences of VvMYB14 or VvWRKY8 were subcloned in-frame into the pGAD424 vector (AD-VvMYB14 or AD-VvWRKY8), respectively. The promoters of VvMYB14 or VvWRKY8 (proVvMYB14 or proVvWRKY8), and the common promoter fragment of VvSTS15 and VvSTS21 (proVvSTS15/21) were cloned into the pLacZi vector, respectively. The AD-fusion effectors were co-transformed with the LacZ reporters into yeast strain EGY48, and the transformants were selected and grown on synthetically defined (SD)/-Trp/-Ura selection media. The selected transformants were further grown on SD/-Trp/-Ura selection media supplied with 80 mg l^{-1} 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) for color development. For the AD-VvMYB14, VvWRKY8, and proVvSTS15/21 co-transformed experiment, VvWRKY8 was subcloned into the pGADT7 vector, and the transformants were selected and grown on SD/-Trp/-Leu/-Ura selection media. The transformants were further grown on SD/–Trp/–Leu/–Ura selection media supplied with $80 \text{ mg} \text{ l}^{-1}$ X-Gal for color development. The primers used for the Y1H assays are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Plasmid construction for plant transformation

For plant transformation, the full-length coding sequences of *VvMYB14*, *VvWRKY8*, or *GUS* were amplified using the corresponding gene-specific primer pairs (Supplementary Table S1). The PCR products were then recombined into the pDONR221-P1P2, P1P4, and P3P2 entry vectors by Gateway BP recombination reactions (Life Technology, USA). *GUS*, *VvMYB14*, and *VvWRKY8* were then recombined into the pBiFC-2in1-CC vector (Grefen and Blatt, 2012) and the pH7WG2D vector (Karimi *et al.*, 2002) by Gateway LR recombination reactions. We obtained a series of recombinant vectors named as pBiFC-2in1-CC-GUS-GUS, pBiFC-2in1-CC-VvMYB14-GUS, pBiFC-2in1-CC-GUS-VvWRKY8, pBiFC-2in1-CC-VvMYB14-VvWRKY8, pH7WG2D-GUS, pH7WG2D-VvMYB14, and pH7WG2D-VvWRKY8.

Transient luciferase (LUC) expression assays

The promoters of VvMYB14 or VvWRKY8 (proVvMYB14 or proVvWRKY8), and the common promoter fragment of VvSTS15 and VvSTS21 (proVvSTS15/21) were respectively cloned into the pGreenII 0800-LUC vector (Hellens et al., 2005). The vectors pBiFC-2in1-CC-GUS-GUS, pBiFC-2in1-CC-VvMYB14-GUS, pBiFC-2in1-CC-GUS-VvWRKY8, and pBiFC-2in1-CC-VvMYB14-VvWRKY8 were transfected into maize protoplasts with the proVvSTS15/21::LUC reporter vector. The protoplasts transfected with vectors were pelleted and resuspended in luciferase cell culture lysis reagent (Promega, USA) after incubation in darkness overnight. The vectors pH7WG2D-GUS, pH7WG2D-VvMYB14, and pH7WG2D-VvWRKY8 were transformed into tobacco leaves with corresponding promoter-LUC reporter vectors. Tobacco leaves were harvested 3 d after Agrobacterium-mediated transformation and 0.1-g samples of powdered tissue were used for extracting total proteins. Activities of firefly LUC and renilla LUC were measured using a GloMax 20/20 luminometer (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The relative activity was expressed as the ratio of firefly LUC/renilla LUC (Höll et al., 2013). The primers used for transient LUC expression assays are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Yeast two-hybrid assays

Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assays were performed using the Matchmaker GoldYeast Two-Hybrid System (Clontech, USA). According to the manufacturer's instructions, the full-length, C-terminus-deleted or N-terminusdeleted coding sequences of VvMYB14 and VvWRKY8 were subcloned in-frame into the pGADT7 vector and pGBKT7 vector, respectively. Different combinations of pGADT7 and pGBKT7 recombinant vectors were co-transformed into yeast strain Y2HGold and the transformants were grown on SD/-Leu/-Trp selection media. Positive colonies were plated onto SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade selection media supplied with 40 mg l⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- α -D-galactopyranoside (X- α -Gal) to test for possible interactions. Combinations of AD-T with BD-p53 and BD-Lam served as positive and negative controls, respectively. For two-hybrid library screening, the prey cDNA library of V. vinifera. cv. 'Pinot Noir' was constructed according to the user manual of Make Your Own 'Mate & Plate TM' Library System (Clontech, USA). The positive strains were selected on SD/-Leu/-Trp/-Ade/-His selection media supplied with 40 mg l $^{-1}$ X- α -Gal and 200 μg l $^{-1}$ Aureobasidin A (AbA). The primers used for the Y2H assays are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer-acceptor

photobleaching (FRET-AB) assays and bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays

To generate the FRET and BiFC constructs, *GUS*, *VvMYB14*, and *VvWRKY8* were recombined into the pFRETtv-2in1-NN vector (Hecker *et al.*, 2015) and the pBiFC-2in1-NN vector (Grefen and Blatt,

2012) through corresponding Gateway entry vectors. We obtained the recombinant vectors named as pFRETtv-2in1-VvMYB14-mTRQ2/ VvWRKY8-mVenus, pBiFC-2in1-NN-GUS/VvWRKY8, and pBiFC-2in1-NN-VvMYB14/VvWRKY8. The fusion proteins were transiently expressed in tobacco leaves by agro-infiltration. The chimeric fluorescence of the fusion proteins was detected 3 d after infiltration. For FRET-AB assays, the fluorescence images were acquired using an Olympus FV1000MPE Multiphoton Laser Scanning Microscope system. The peak excitation wavelength of mTRQ2 and mVenus were 458 nm and 488 nm, respectively. AB was performed using a bleaching routine with the 488-nm laser (mVenus) line at 100% intensity and 15 frames. For BiFC assays, the fluorescence images were acquired using a Leica TCS SP5 Confocal Scanning Microscope system. The peak excitation wavelength of yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) and red fluorescent protein (RFP) were 488 nm and 543 nm, respectively. RFP fluorescence was a marker of transformation efficiency.

Transient and stable transformation of VvWRKY8 in grapevine

Transient transformation of grapevine leaves was conducted according to a protocol described by Xu et al. (2010). Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101, harbouring pH7WG2D, pH7WG2D-VvWRKY8, was cultured at 28 °C in Luria Bertani (LB) liquid media with 50 mg l⁻¹ rifampin, 50 mg l^{-1} gentamicin, and 100 mg l^{-1} spectinomycin. When the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of the culture reached ~1.0, Agrobacterium cells were harvested and resuspended in induction buffer [10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM MES, pH 5.6, 2% (w/v) sucrose, and 150 µM acetosyringone], and the OD₆₀₀ was adjusted to 0.6. The resuspended Agrobacterium cells were then incubated for 3 h at 28 °C before being used for infiltration. Leaves of Vitis amurensis of approximately identical size were selected and immersed in the Agrobacterium suspension. The vacuum in the container was kept at 0.085 MPa until the whole leaves became hygrophanous, and was then slowly released. The infiltrated leaves were put in a preservative film-sealed tray, and the petioles were kept wet. The infiltrated leaves were maintained for 3 d under normal growth conditions (25°C, 16/8 light/ dark), then washed with ddH2O three times, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and kept at -80 °C until further use.

Stable transformation of grapevine was conducted according to a protocol described by Zhou *et al.* (2014). The *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101, harbouring pH7WG2D, pH7WG2D-VvWRKY8 was used for the transformation. Then VvWRKY8 was transformed into somatic embryos of *V. vinifera.* cv. 'Thompson Seedless'. After regeneration and differentiation, the transgenic grapevine lines were planted in pots containing a mixed substrate (peat:perlite, 1:1) and grown in a controlled environment (25/18 °C day/night) for 90 d. Then the above-ground organs were harvested for measurement of Res and analysis of *VvSTSs*, *VvSTS15/21*, *VvMYB14*, and *VvWRKY8* expression.

Extraction and determination of total Res

For Res extraction, tissue or callus samples were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground to a powder. Briefly, 1 g of tissue or callus was extracted with 15 ml extraction solution (methanol:ethyl acetate, 1:1 v/v) for 24 h at room temperature in the dark. After centrifugation at 20000 g at 4 °C for 10 min, the supernatant was evaporated at 40 °C until the solvent was volatilized completely and then dissolved in 2 ml methanol. The extract was filtered through a 0.45- μ m PTFE membrane before HPLC analysis. All samples were analysed using a Waters Alliance® HPLC System (Waters e2695, Waters, USA) and a photodiode array (PDA, Waters 2998, Waters, USA) as described by Xi *et al.* (2015). *cis*-isomers (*cis*-Res and *cis*-Pd) and *trans*-isomers (*trans*-Res and *trans*-Pd) were detected at 288 nm and 306 nm, respectively, and PDA spectra were recorded from 240 nm to 600 nm. Known standards were run to identify elution times and mass fragments.

Exogenous Res treatment

We conducted two experiments. Firstly, *trans*-Res was added into culture media of grapevine '41B' cell suspension (*V. vinifera* cv.

'Chasselas'×*V. berlandieri*) to 1 g l⁻¹. After 6 h of treatment, the cells were collected and washed twice with ddH₂O, then flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C until further use. Secondly, the *proVvWRKY8::LUC* reporter vector was transformed into tobacco leaves. After 2 d of treatment, the leaves were sprayed with 0.1 g l⁻¹ *trans*-Res, then maintained under darkness for 1 d. The leaf samples were washed with ddH₂O, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C until further use. Each of these experiments were performed with three independent biological replicates.

Proteasome inhibition treatment of tobacco leaves

Tobacco leaves were transiently transformed with the VvWRKY8-6×His vector, then injected with 50 μ M the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Selleck, USA) or DMSO after 2 d of treatment. Total protein was extracted after 24 h incubation for immunoblot analysis of the VvWRKY8-6×His proteins using anti-His-tag antibody (Mei5 Biotech, China).

Results

Expression of VvSTSs, VvWRKY8, and VvMYB14 in grapevine leaves in response to UV-C

Our previous studies suggested that VvWRKY8 may play a role in the regulation of Res synthesis (Xi et al., 2014, 2015). We therefore analysed the temporal expression patterns of VvSTSs, VvWRKY8, and VvMYB14 in grapevine leaves in response to UV-C. We designed a primer pair in the conserved region of the 25 STS mRNA sequences as described in our previous study (Xi et al., 2015), and used the term VvSTSs to name them. The use of these primers may reflect the overall expression of VvSTS family members from groups A and B. Expression of *VvSTSs* increased sharply to peak at 12 h after UV-C irradiation, and then continuously decreased to return to the basal level at 48 h (Supplementary Fig. S1). After UV-C treatment, the VvWRKY8 expression profile was similar to that of VvSTSs. In contrast to VvSTSs and VvWRKY8, the expression of VvMYB14 exhibited a more rapid increase, peaking at 6 h, before gradually decreasing until 48 h (Supplementary Fig. S1). In the controls, expression of VvSTSs, VvWRKY8, and VvMYB14 remained unchanged. These results further indicated that VvWRKY8 could regulate Res biosynthesis with a different mechanism of action from VvMYB14.

Cloning and sequence analysis of VvWRKY8

The full-length coding sequence of VvWRKY8 was amplified by PCR from RNA isolated from leaves of grapevine cv. 'Hongbaladuo'. Sequence analysis revealed that VvWRKY8 contained a 570-bp ORF encoding a protein (XP_002275576.1) of 189 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 21.26 kDa and a predicted pI of 9.13.VvWRKY8 contained one putative WRKY domain (WRKYGQK) and one unique zinc finger-like motif (C-X₄-C-X₂₃-H-X-H) in its C-terminal region (Fig. 1A). VvWRKY8 belonged to the group IIc of the WRKY TF family according to the classification described by Eulgem et al. (2000). In silico analysis of the VvWRKY8 sequence using a prediction program (SMART, http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/) indicated that it had two (³SFSTLFPCPPSTSSP**S**PF**S**FLS²⁴) phosphorylation sites



Fig. 1. Sequence analysis, subcellular localization, and transcriptional activity of V/WRKY8. (A) The deduced amino acid sequence of V/WRKY8 aligned with its known homologs *Artemisia annua* AaGSW1, *Arabidopsis thaliana* AtWRKY75, and *Coptis japonica* CjWRKY1. Black and light gray shading indicate identical and similar amino acid residues, respectively. V/WRKY8 phosphorylation sites are shown by a purple box, the nuclear localization signal by a red box, the WRKY signature motif by a blue box, and the characteristic zinc finger motifs by green boxes. (B) Subcellular localization of V/WRKY8 in maize protoplasts. V/WRKY8 fused with enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) was transfected into maize protoplasts and 0.1 g l⁻¹ DAPI was then used to stain the protoplasts before visualization. eGFP fluorescence (green) and DAPI fluorescence (blue) observed using a confocal microscope are shown. Scale bars are 20 μm. (C) Analysis of V/WRKY8 transcriptional activity in yeast. Yeast cells expressing V/WRKY8 fused with yeast Gal4 binding domain (BD) were spotted on SD/–Trp (SDO) and SD/–Trp/X-α-Gal/AbA (SDO/X/A) selection media. Combinations of AD-T with BD-p53 and BD-Lam were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

and a nuclear localization signal (⁸³KSCGKKKGEKKIRK⁹⁶) (Fig. 1A). We conducted phylogenetic analysis and multiple sequence alignment with other characterized WRKYs that are involved in the regulation of plant specialized metabolism and stress tolerance. The results showed that VvWRKY8 shared the highest sequence identity with AaGSW1 (55.56%), followed by CjWRKY1 (51.52%), and AtWRKY75 (45.45%) (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Fig. S2).

VvWRKY8 is a nuclear localized protein lacking transcriptional activity

To determine the subcellular localization of VvWRKY8, a *VvWRKY8-GFP* fusion construct was transfected into maize protoplasts. Fluorescence analysis of protoplasts overexpressing *VvWRKY8-GFP* clearly indicated nuclear localization, in contrast to the GFP control fluorescence that was distributed uniformly throughout the cell (Fig. 1B).

To determine whether VvWRKY8 possesses transcriptional activity, *VvWRKY8* was fused in-frame to the Gal4 DNAbinding domain (BD) in the pGBKT7 vector. The resulting plasmid pGBKT7-VvWRKY8 and empty vector pGBKT7 were transformed individually into yeast strain Y2HGold. The yeast clones harbouring combinations of AD-T with BD-p53 and BD-Lam served as positive and negative controls, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1C, the positive control grew on SD/–Trp (SDO) and SD/–Trp/X- α -Gal/AbA (SDO/X/A) selection media, and exhibited alpha-galactosidase activity. In contrast, yeast cells harbouring pGBKT7-VvWRKY8 and the negative control only grew on SD/–Trp selection media, indicating thatVvWRKY8 does not possess any transcriptional activity in yeast.

Transient overexpression of VvWRKY8 reduces Res concentration in grapevine leaves

To investigate how VvWRKY8 regulates Res biosynthesis in grapevine, an agro-infiltration transient assay of VvWRKY8 was conducted in grapevine leaves. The expression of VvWRKY8 under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter had increased ~43-fold at 2 d post-infiltration (Fig. 2A). Because the expression of VvSTS15 and VvSTS21 in group B are strongly up-regulated (145–850-fold) in grapevine leaves exposed to UV-C (Vannozzi *et al.*, 2012), we investigated their expression. Based on a high degree of similarity between the sequences, we designed the primers STS15/21-F/R to quantify the combined expression levels of VvSTS15 and VvSTS21 (VvSTS15/21). Surprisingly, the subsequent analysis showed that expression of VvSTS and VvSTS15/21 were down-regulated (~20% and ~30%, respectively). Moreover, VvMYB14



Fig. 2. Transient overexpression of *VWRKY8* and its effects on resveratrol (Res) concentration in grapevine leaves. Leaves of *Vitis amurensis* were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium* harbouring the pH7WG2D control and pH7WG2D-*VWRKY8* overexpression constructs, and were sampled after 72 h. (A) qRT-PCR analysis of *VWRKY8*, *WSTSs*, and *WSTS15/21* expression in leaves of *VwWRKY8*-overexpressing (OE) and control (empty vector, EV) plants. *VvSPS1* (sucrose phosphate synthase 1) was used as the negative control. Expression levels of genes were normalized to *VvActin7* and are represented as expression relative to the EV value, which was set to 1. (B, C) Res was extracted from EV and *VwWRKY8*-OE leaves, then quantified by HPLC analysis. (B) Chromatograms of Res in EV and *VvWRKY8*-OE leaves. AU, relative abundance in arbitrary units. (C) Res concentration in EV and *VvWRKY8*-OE leaves. The concentrations were calculated according to the characteristic peak area. *trans*-Res, *trans*-resveratrol; *cis*-Res, *cis*-resveratrol; *trans*-Pd, *trans*-piceid; *cis*-Pd, *cis*-piceid. Data are means (±SE) from four independent replicates. Significant differences were determined using Student's t-test: *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

expression also decreased (Fig. 2A). In parallel, we determined the concentrations of *trans*-Res, *cis*-Res, *trans*-Pd, and *cis*-Pd in infiltrated leaves. *VvWRKY8* overexpression led to a significant reduction of total Res concentration compared to control leaves infiltrated with the empty vector (EV) (Fig. 2B, C). In particular, *trans*-Pd and *trans*-Res exhibited significant reductions in *VvWRKY8*-overexpression leaves in comparison to control (EV) leaves (Fig. 2C).

VvWRKY8 does not bind to or activate the promoters of VvSTS15/21 and VvMYB14

The negative effects of VvWRKY8 on Res biosynthesis prompted us to test the possibility that VvWRKY8 inhibits *VvSTS* expression by binding to the promoter of *VvSTS* or *VvMYB14*. We cloned the common promoters (*proVvSTS15/21*) of *VvSTS15* and *VvSTS21* on the basis of their high sequence similarity (Supplementary Fig. S3), and the promoter of *VvMYB14*. To determine whether VvWRKY8 binds to these promoters, the full-length coding sequence of *VvWRKY8* fused with the B42 activation domain was cloned into the pGAD424 effector vector for Y1H assays. *proVvSTS15/21* was cloned into the pLacZi reporter vector. The VvWRKY8-AD effector vector was cotransformed with the *proVvSTS15/21::LacZ* reporter vector into yeast strain EGY48. The transformants were grown on SD/– Trp/–Ura selection media supplied with 80 mg⁻¹X-Gal for color development. The results showed that the yeast cells harbouring VvWRKY8-AD/*proVvSTS15/21::LacZ* did not turn blue, whereas the positive control yeast cells harbouring VvMYB14-AD/*proVvSTS15/21::LacZ* did turn blue (Supplementary Fig. S4A). This suggested that VvWRKY8 does not bind to the *VvSTS15/21* promoter. Using a similar method, we also found that yeast cells harbouring VvWRKY8-AD/*proVvMYB14::LacZ* did not turn blue, suggesting that VvWRKY8 does not bind to *VvMYB14* promoter in yeast (Supplementary Fig. S4C).

We further investigated whether VvWRKY8 can activate the promoters of *VvSTS15/21* and *VvMYB14* in tobacco leaves. The full-length *VvWRKY8* coding sequence was subcloned into the pH7WG2D vector, and *proVvMYB14* and *proVvSTS15/21* were individually sub-cloned into the pGreenII 0800-LUC reporter vector. The VvWRKY8 effector and reporter combinations were transformed into tobacco leaves, and the activities of firefly LUC and renilla LUC were measured. We found that there was no difference in the ratio of firefly LUC/renilla LUC between *VvWRKY8*-overexpression and EV for *VvSTS15/21* or *VvMYB14* (Supplementary Fig. S4B, D). These results indicated that VvWRKY8 does not bind to or trans-activate the promoters of *VvSTS15/21* or *VvMYB14*, and confirmed the results obtained by Y1H assays.

We also used Y1H assays and transient luciferase expression assays to examine the possible binding and trans-activation ability of VvMYB14 on the *VvWRKY8* promoter. Similarly, we found that VvMYB14 does not bind to or trans-activate the *VvWRKY8* promoter (Supplementary Fig. S5A, B).

VvWRKY8 physically interacts with VvMYB14

Since VvWRKY8 did not bind to or activate the promoters of VvSTS15/21 or VvMYB14, we speculated that an interaction between the nucleus-localized VvWRKY8 and VvMYB14 (Fig. 3A) may affect Res biosynthesis. Yeast two-hybrid assays were used to test this hypothesis. The full-length coding sequences of VvMYB14 and VvWRKY8 were sub-cloned into the pGADT7 vector and pGBKT7 vector, respectively. Transformants harbouring AD-VvMYB14/BD-VvWRKY8 survived and appeared blue in SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade selection media supplied with 40 mg l⁻¹ X- α -Gal (Fig. 3B). This result suggested thatVvWRKY8 interacts withVvMYB14 protein in yeast.

To further confirm the interaction between VvWRKY8 and VvMYB14, FRET-AB assays and BiFC assays were performed. The full-length coding sequences of *VvMYB14* and *VvWRKY8* were sub-cloned in-frame into pFRETtv-2in1-NN and pBiFC-2in1-NN vectors, and the *A. tumefaciens* strain harbouring these vectors was infiltrated into tobacco leaves. The chimeric fluorescence of the expressed fusion proteins was detected 3 d post infiltration. The FRET-AB assays showed an increase in fluorescence intensity of VvMYB14-mTRQ2 after bleaching VvWRKY8-mVenus (Fig. 3C), with FRET efficiency of 38.54%. In the BiFC assays, YFP fluorescence was detected in cells expressing VvMYB14-nYFP/VvWRKY8cYFP, while no YFP fluorescence appeared in cells expressing GUS-nYFP/VvWRKY8-cYFP (Fig. 3D). These results further confirmed the presence of a direct interaction between VvWRKY8 and VvMYB14.

VvWRKY8–VvMYB14 interaction mediated by the N-termini inhibits the binding of VvMYB14 to the VvSTS15/21 promoter

To explain how VvWRKY8 influences the expression of *VvSTS15/21* through interaction with VvMYB14, yeast EGY48 cells were co-transformed with VvWRKY8, AD-VvMYB14, and *proVvSTS15/21::LacZ*. Compared to EV controls, colonies harbouring VvWRKY8 showed a weaker blue intensity (Fig. 4A). In parallel, maize protoplasts were co-transfected with constructs overexpressing (OE)



Fig. 3. VWRKY8 physically interacts with VVMYB14. (A) Subcellular co-localization of VVMYB14 and VWWRKY8. VVMYB14-CFP (cyan fluorescent protein) and VWRKY8-YFP (yellow fluorescent protein) fusions were transformed into tobacco leaves and CFP fluorescence (cyan) and YFP fluorescence (green) were observed using a confocal microscope. Scale bars are 20 μm. (B) Yeast two-hybrid assays of the physical interaction of VWWRKY8 with VVMYB14. The protein interaction was examined using various combinations of prey and bait vectors. All transformants were conducted on SD/-Leu/-Trp (DDO) or SD/-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade/X-α-Gal (QDO/X) selection media. Interactions were determined on the basis of cell growth and cell color. Dilutions (1, 0.1, and 0.01) of saturated cultures were spotted on the plates. (C) Fluorescence resonance energy transfer-acceptor photobleaching (FRET-AB) assays of the interaction of VVWRKY8 with VVMYB14. The vector pFRETtv-2in1-VvMYB14-mTRQ2/VWRKY8-mVenus was transformed into tobacco leaves, and acceptor (mVenus) and donor (mTRQ2) fluorescence were monitored prior to (pre bleach) and after (post bleach) bleaching. Scale bars are 10 mm. (D) bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays of the interaction of VVWRKY8 with VVMYB14. pBiFC-2in1-VvMYB14-nYFP/VvWRKY8-cYFP and pBiFC-2in1-GUS-nYFP/VvWRKY8-cYFP control vectors were transformed into tobacco leaves. YFP fluorescence (yellow), RFP fluorescence (red), and chlorophyll autofluorescence (purple) were observed by confocal microscopy. RFP fluorescence was used as a marker of transformation efficiency. Scale bars are 30 μm.



Fig. 4. Effects of the interaction of VWRKY8 with VVMYB14 on *proVvSTS15/21* activity. (A) Yeast one-hybrid assays. VvMYB14 was fused to the B42 activation domain (AD) and VVWRKY8 was overexpressed by the ADH1 promoter, and the resulting plasmids were co-transformed with the *proVvSTS15/21::LacZ* reporter into yeast cells. The transformants were further grown on SD/–Trp/–Leu/–Ura selection media supplied with 80 mg I⁻¹ X-Gal for color development. (B) Transient expression assay of the *proVvSTS15/21::LUC* reporter with the VvMYB14 effector in the presence or absence of VVWRKY8 in maize protoplasts. The *proVvSTS15/21::LUC* reporter was co-transfected with the VvMYB14 effector and/or VvWRKY8 into maize protoplasts. EV represents the *proVvSTS15/21::LUC* reporter activity with the empty effector vector. Activities of the LUC protein were normalized to renilla LUC and are represented as activities relative to EV, which was set to 1. (C) Transient expression assay of the *proVvSTS15/21::LUC* reporter with the VvMYB14 transcriptional effector in the presence of increasing amounts of VvWRKY8 in tobacco leaves. The *proVvSTS15/21::LUC* reporter, VvMYB14 effector, and VvWRKY8 were co-transformed into tobacco leaves with an increasing ratio of *Agrobacterium* cells expressing *VvWRKY8* to those of *VvMYB14* (*VvWRKY8:VvMYB14* = 0:2, 0.5:2, 1:2, 1.5:2, and 2:2). The control represented the reporter alone without any effectors. Activities of the LUC protein were normalized to renilla LUC and are represented as activities relative to the control, which was set to 1. Data are means (±SE) of three biological replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan's test (*P*<0.01).

the two TFs (*VvWRKY8*-OE and *VvMYB14*-OE), individually or in combination, and the *proVvSTS15/21::LUC* reporter construct. Co-transfection with *VvWRKY8*-OE and *VvMYB14*-OE reduced luciferase relative activity when compared to single transfection with *VvMYB14*-OE (Fig. 4B). Competing interaction assays were performed in tobacco leaves by adding increasing amounts of *VvWRKY8* to a fixed amount of *VvMYB14*.VvMYB14-mediated activation of the *VvSTS15/21* promoter gradually decreased with increasing *VvWRKY8* content (Fig. 4C). These results suggested that the physical interaction between VvWRKY8 and VvMYB14 blocks VvMYB14 binding to the *VvSTS15/21* promoter in a dose-dependent manner.

To identify the binding site within the heterodimer that was formed, the C-terminal and N-terminal halves ofVvWRKY8 and VvMYB14 (designated as VvWRKY8C, VvWRKY8N, VvMYB14C, and VvMYB14N) were co-expressed in yeast (Fig. 5A). Yeast cells expressing VvWRKY8N/VvMYB14N, VvMYB14N/full-length VvWRKY8, and VvWRKY8N/ full-length VvMYB14 appeared blue, indicating that the interaction was mediated by VvWRKY8N and VvMYB14N (Fig. 5B). In addition, we found that both BD-VvMYB14C and AD-VvMYB14N fusions were transcriptionally active in yeast (Fig. 5C, D), suggesting that the DNA binding domain of VvMYB14 is located at the N-terminus. This is consistent with previous reports showing that binding domains of MYB TFs usually reside at the N-terminus. Together, these results showed that the N-terminal interaction between VvWRKY8– VvMYB14 inhibits VvMYB14 binding and activation of the *VvSTS15/21* promoter.

VvWRKY8 overexpression down-regulates VvSTSs and reduces Res accumulation in stable transgenic grapevine calli and leaves

To further examine the function of VvWRKY8 in grapevine, *VvWRKY8* was transformed in *V. vinifera*. cv. 'Thompson Seedless' somatic embryos (Fig. 6A, Supplementary Fig. S6). We measured Res concentrations in control and transgenic calli, and found that in both no *cis*-Res was detectable; in contrast, *trans*-Pd, *cis*-Pd, and *trans*-Res concentrations were significantly reduced in transgenic calli when compared to control (Fig. 6B). Somatic embryos were then induced for grapevine plant development. Three *VvWRKY8*-OE and three EV control transgenic plant lines were obtained and used for further analysis. Compared with the controls, *VvWRKY8* expression was up-regulated by ~170-fold in overexpressing lines whereas expression of *VvSTSs*, *VvSTS15/21*, and *VvMYB14* was



Fig. 5. Interaction between amino (N)-terminal halves of VWRKY8 and VvMYB14, and identification of the trans-activation and DNA binding termini of VvMYB14. (A) Diagram of the different constructs used for yeast two-hybrid assays. Both VvWRKY8 and VvMYB14 were divided into two halves, with the N- and C-terminal halves being designated N and C, respectively. The amino acid positions of these fragments are numbered. (B) Yeast two-hybrid assays. VvMYB14 and its halves were fused with the activation domain of Gal4, while VvWRKY8 and its halves were fused with the binding domain of Gal4. The protein interaction was examined using various combinations of prey and bait vectors. All transformants were conducted on SD/–Leu/–Trp (DDO) or SD/–Leu/–Trp/–His/–Ade/X- α -Gal (QDO/X) selection media. Interactions were determined on the basis of cell growth and cell color. Dilutions (1, 0.1, and 0.01) of saturated cultures were spotted on the plates. (C) Transcriptional activation tests for VvMYB14N and VvMYB14C in yeast. Yeast cells containing VvMYB14N and VvMYB14C protein fused with yeast Gal4 binding domain (BD) were spotted on SD/–Trp (SDO) and SD/–Trp/X- α -Gal/AbA (SDO/X/A) selection media. (D) Yeast one-hybrid assays. VvMYB14N and VvMYB14C were fused to the B42 activation domain (AD), and co-transformed with the *proVvSTS15/21::LacZ* reporter into yeast cells. The transformants were further grown on SD/–Trp/–Ura selection media supplied with 80 mg I⁻¹ X-Gal for color development.

significantly down-regulated (Fig. 6C). The concentrations of *trans*-Pd, *trans*-Res, *cis*-Res, and total Res in *VvWRKY8*-OE transgenic lines were significantly lower than those of control lines (Fig. 6D).

Res induces the expression of VvWRKY8

To further investigate the relationships among *VvWRKY8*, *VvMYB14*, and Res, *VvMYB14* was transiently overexpressed in grapevine leaves. We found that the total Res and *trans*-Pd concentrations increased by almost 100% (Fig. 7A), parallel to the expression level of *VvMYB14*, which was up-regulated by ~12-fold (Fig. 7B). Unexpectedly, we found that *VvWRKY8* expression was up-regulated by ~7-fold (Fig. 7B). This finding was puzzling as VvMYB14 did not bind to or activate the *VvWRKY8* promoter (Supplementary Fig. S5). To try to understand this result, we tested the possibility of feedback regulation between Res accumulation and *VvWRKY8* expression. Exogenous *trans*-Res was added to a grapevine cell suspension culture and we found that after 6 h of treatment the

total Res concentration increased significantly (Supplementary Table S3), *VvWRKY8* expression increased by ~2-fold, whereas expression of *VvMYB14*, *VvSTSs*, and *VvSTS15/21* were significantly decreased (Fig. 7C). In addition, exogenous *trans*-Res was sprayed onto tobacco leaves that were transformed with *proVvWRKY8::LUC* and after 1 d of treatment the Res concentration had increased significantly (Supplementary Table S3). LUC activity controlled by *proVvWRKY8* in the treated leaves increased more than 3-fold compared with the control (Fig. 7D). These results indicated that *VvWRKY8* expression is induced by Res supply.

VvWRKY8 is possibly regulated by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway

TFs are known to be regulated at post-translational levels, and WRKY TFs are able to interact with multiple proteins (Chi *et al.*, 2013; Yang *et al.*, 2017*a*). We therefore searched for additional VvWRKY8 interacting partners by using it as a bait to screen a prey cDNA library from *V. vinifera*. cv. 'Pinot Noir'. The full-length



Fig. 6. *WWRKY8* overexpression decreases resveratrol (Res) concentration in grapevine calli and grapevine plants. WWRKY8 was transformed to somatic embryos of *V. vinifera*. cv. 'Thompson Seedless' using the *Agrobacterium*-mediated method. After regeneration and differentiation, the transgenic grapevines were used for further experiments. (A) Phenotypes of empty-vector (EV) control and *VwWRKY8*-overexpressing (OE) grapevine. These grapevines were grown in feeding blocks for 90 d. Scale bars are 3 cm. (B) *trans*-Pd, *cis*-Pd, *trans*-Res, and total Res concentrations in EV and *VwWRKY8*-OE grapevine calli. Res was extracted from the EV and *VwWRKY8*-OE grapevine calli, then quantified by HPLC analysis. The concentrations were calculated according to the characteristic peak area. (C) qRT-PCR analysis of *VwWRKY8*, *VvSTSs*, and *VvSTS15/21* expression in grapevine leaves. *VvSPS1* was used as the negative control. Expression levels of genes were normalized to *VvActin7* and are represented as expression relative to EV-1, which was set to 1. (D) *trans*-Pd, *cis*-Pd, *trans*-Res, and total Res concentrations in EV and *VvWRKY8*-OE grapevine leaves. Res was extracted from the leaves of EV and *VvWRKY8*-OE transgenic grapevines, then quantified by HPLC analysis. The concentrations were calculated according to the characteristic peak area. (b) grapevines, then quantified by HPLC analysis. The concentrations were calculated according to the characteristic peak area. Second total Res concentrations in EV and *VvWRKY8*-OE grapevine leaves. Res was extracted from the leaves of EV and *VvWRKY8*-OE transgenic grapevines, then quantified by HPLC analysis. The concentrations were calculated according to the characteristic peak area. Data are means (±SE) from three independent replicate. Significant differences between EV and *VvWRKY8*-OE in (B) were determined using Student's *t*-test (**P*<0.05; ***P*<0.01) and in (C, D) by Duncan's test (indicated by different letters, *P*<0.05).

coding sequences of all positive clones were sub-cloned into the pGADT7 vector and their interaction with VvWRKY8 was verified by Y2H assays. A total of 17 candidates were confirmed (Supplementary Table S4). Among them, we identified three E3 ubiquitin-protein ligases that were homologous to MIEL1 (GSVIVT01033674001), RHB1A (GSVIVT01027002001), and LOG2 (GSVIVT01025674001), as well as one COP9 signalosome complex subunit 5b (GSVIVT01023827001) (Supplementary Fig. S7A). In addition, western blotting showed that when tobacco leaves transiently overexpressing *VvWRKY8* were treated with the proteasome inhibitor MG132, the amounts of VvWRKY8 protein increased significantly (Supplementary Fig. S7B). These results underlined a possible role for the ubiquitin ligase system in regulating VvWRKY8 activity and allowing the fine-tuning of Res biosynthesis.

Discussion

For the last 20 years, the WRKY family has been widely known for its role in regulating abiotic and biotic stress tolerance in plants; however, accumulating evidence has indicated that

WRKYs also regulate specialized metabolism such as the phenylpropanoid, alkaloid, and terpene pathways (Schluttenhofer and Yuan, 2015). For example, overexpression of four Medicago truncatula WRKY TFs in tobacco (N. tabacum) increases the levels of soluble and wall-bound phenolic compounds and of lignin (Naoumkina et al., 2008). The disruption of stemexpressed WRKY genes in M. truncatula up-regulates TF genes such as the NAC factors NAM, ATAF1/2, and CUC2, as well as CCCH-type (C3H) zinc fingers (Wang et al., 2010). Grapevine VvWRKY2 activates the VvC4H promoter in tobacco protoplasts (Guillaumie et al., 2010). Based on our previous studies (Xi et al., 2014, 2015), we investigated the temporal expression patterns of VvWRKY8 and VvSTSs in grapevine leaves after UV-C treatment and found that they were highly correlated (Supplementary Fig. S1). VvWRKY8 shares high sequence identity (51.52%) with CjWRKY1 (Fig. 1A), which regulates benzylisoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis in C. japonica (Kato et al., 2007). VvWRKY8 was found to be localized in the nucleus (Fig. 1B), which is consistent with the putative transcriptional role of this protein. VvWRKY8 overexpression resulted in a significant decrease in Res accumulation (Fig. 2),



Fig. 7. Resveratrol (Res) induces the expression of VVWRKY8. (A, B) Res accumulation and *VWWRKY8*, *VVMYB14*, *VvSTSs*, and *VvSTS15/21* expression in grapevine leaves transiently overexpressing *VvMYB14* (*VvMYB14*-OE) compared with the empty vector control (EV). Leaves of *Vitis amurensis* were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium* harbouring the pH7WG2D control and pH7WG2D-*VvMYB14* overexpression constructs, and were sampled after 72 h. (A) Res accumulation in *VvMYB14*-OE grapevine leaves. Res was extracted from EV and *VvMYB14*-OE leaves, then quantified by HPLC analysis. (B) Expression of *VvWRKY8*, *VvMYB14*, *VvSTSs*, and *VvSTS15/21* in EV and *VvMYB14*-OE grapevine leaves. Expression levels of genes were normalized to *VvActin7* and are represented as expression relative to EV, which was set to 1. (C) Expression of *VvWRKY8*, *VvSTSs*, and *VvSTS15/21* in grapevine suspension cells after exogenous supply of *trans*-Res. Expression levels of genes were normalized to *VvActin7* and are represented as expression relative to 1. (D) Transient expression assay of the *proVvWRKY8*::*LUC* reporter in tobacco leaves sprayed with exogenous *trans*-Res compared with the control. Activities of the LUC protein were normalized to renilla LUC and are represented as activities relative to the control, which was set to 1. Data are means (±SE) from three independent replicates. Significant differences were determined using Student's *t*-test: **P*<0.05; ***P*<0.01.

making VvWRKY8 the first negative regulator of Res biosynthesis to be characterized.

The involvement of WRKY TFs as positive or negative regulators of gene expression has been shown previously (Schluttenhofer and Yuan, 2015). Ishiguro and Nakamura (1994) identified the first WRKY TF (SWEET POTATO FACTOR1, SPF1) acting as a negative regulator of β -amylase expression in sweet potato. Most WRKY proteins (e.g. AtWRKY18, AtWRKY60, NtWRKY12, and GaWRKY1) have been shown to be transcriptional activators, whereas a few others (e.g. AtWRKY40, OsWRKY51, and OsWRKY71) act as repressors of transcription (Xu et al., 2004; Xie et al., 2006; van Verk et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2010). Overexpression of OsWRKY13 up-regulates genes of the phenylpropanoid pathway in rice, while overexpression of OsWRKY76 represses terpene and sakuranetin biosynthesis (Qiu et al., 2008; Yokotani et al., 2013). A VvWRKY8 homolog from A. annua, AaGSW1, positively regulates artemisinin and dihydroartemisinic acid concentrations by directly binding to the W-box motifs of the CYP71AV1 and AaORA promoters (Chen et al., 2017). Another VvWRKY8 homolog, CjWRKY1, increases the level of transcripts of all berberine biosynthetic genes (Kato et al., 2007).

In the present study, VvWRKY8 showed no transcriptional activity in yeast (Fig. 1B). WRKY TFs lacking transcriptional

activity have been observed in maize ZmWRKY17, chrysanthemum CmWRKY17, and wheat TaWRKY71-1 (Qin et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2017). In addition, Y1H assays showed that VvWRKY8 did not bind to the promoters of VvSTS15/21 and VvMYB14. Furthermore, transient expression assays indicated that VvWRKY8 did not trans-activate the promoters of VvSTS15/21 and VvMYB14 (Supplementary Fig. S4). Taken together, these results led us to consider a possible mechanism for the repression of Res biosynthesis by VvWRKY8 through the disruption of the activity of some activators, such as VvMYB14. In this context, using Y2H, FRET-AB, and BiFC assays we confirmed the physical interaction between VvWRKY8 and VvMYB14 (Fig. 3). It has previously been demonstrated that WRKYs are capable of interacting with other proteins including WRKYs and MAP-kinases (Chi et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2017b). Although it lacked detailed characterization, a previous Y2H screening analysis has shown that soybean GmWRKY53 interacts with GmMYB114 (Tripathi et al., 2015). Using transient expression assavs, Vannozzi et al. (2018) showed that VvWRKY3 acts synergistically with VvMYB14 to control the VvSTS29 promoter, although it has no effect on the promoter by itself. However, the authors excluded any direct interaction between both proteins and further suggested the involvement of an additional

'bridge' protein. Here, we demonstrated that the interaction between VvWRKY8 and VvMYB14 was directly mediated through the N-terminal domains of both proteins (Fig. 5).

To further understand how the VvWRKY8–VvMYB14 interaction influences Res biosynthesis, we investigated its effect on the activity of *proVvSTS15/21*. The results indicated that the interaction inhibited the induction effect ofVvMYB14 on *proVvSTS15/21* activity (Fig. 4A, B). Moreover, we showed that the inhibition of VvMYB14 by VvWRKY8 was dose-dependent (Fig. 4C). In addition, we determined that the VvMYB14 DNA-binding domain and activation domain are located in the N-terminal and C-terminal halves of the proteins, respectively (Fig. 5C, D). In summary, our results showed that in grapevine, VvWRKY8 can decrease *VvSTS15/21* expression in order to reduce Res biosynthesis by forming a protein complex with VvMYB14.

When grapevines are subjected to abiotic and biotic stresses, Res is quickly synthesized; however, its accumulation is not constant (Douillet-Breuil *et al.*, 1999; Versari *et al.*, 2001; Ferri *et al.*, 2009; Xi *et al.*, 2015; Xu *et al.*, 2015*a*, 2015*b*, 2016). Res is a specialized metabolite with strong antioxidant activity that has a protective effect under stresses; however, accumulation of Res to high levels may damage plant development. Therefore, grapevine might have developed a precise regulatory mechanism that relies on a number of activators and repressors in order to balance Res biosynthesis. We found that overexpression of VvMYB14 resulted in the accumulation of Res and in the up-regulation of VvWRKY8 expression in grapevine leaves (Fig. 7A, B). However, VvMYB14 did not trans-activate the VvWRKY8 promoter (Supplementary Fig. S5). In addition, as shown by Supplementary Fig. S1, upon UV-C treatment the expression peak of VvMYB14 preceded those of VvSTSs and VvWRKY8. Summing up these results, we speculate that the accumulation of Res results in up-regulation of VvWRKY8 expression, i.e. there is feedback control between Res and VvWRKY8. This hypothesis was confirmed by the 2-fold stimulation of VvWRKY8 expression when exogenous trans-Res was added to grapevine suspension cells (Fig. 7C). Moreover, when exogenous trans-Res was sprayed onto tobacco leaves transiently expressing proVvWRKY8::LUC, the promoter activity was increased by 3-fold (Fig. 7D).

Taken together, our results indicate the existence of negative feedback involving the activator VvMYB14, the key enzymes VvSTS15/21, the product Res, and the negative regulator VvWRKY8. Thus, the VvMYB14-VvSTS15/21-Res-VvWRKY8 regulatory loop enables the precise control of VvSTS15/21 expression levels that are necessary for the regulation of Res biosynthesis (Fig. 8). Data from the literature also show the existence of negative feedback in the control of phytohormone biosynthesis. For example, in peanut, AhAREB1 and



Fig. 8. Hypothetical model for the mode of action of the VvMYB14-VvSTS15/21-Res-VvWRKY8 regulating loop for the fine-tuning of resveratrol (Res) biosynthesis in grapevine. When plants are exposed to an external signal such as UV-C irradiation, the transcription of *VvMYB14* is activated. VvMYB14 promotes the transcription of *VvSTS15/21*, and Res biosynthesis is stimulated. After the Res concentration reaches a threshold level, it activates the transcription of *VvWRKY8*. Thereafter, the N-termini-mediated VvWRKY8–VvMYB14 interaction inhibits the binding of VvMYB14 to the *VvSTS15/21* promoter, thus resulting in a reduction of Res concentration. In addition, VvWRKY8 can indirectly repress the transcription of *VvMYB14*, and Res itself may also decrease the expression or transcript stability of *VvMYB14*.

AhNAC2 form a protein complex to mediate ABA-dependent negative feedback regulation of AhNCED1 transcription (Liu et al., 2016). Another mechanism that fine-tunes metabolite biosynthesis is the degradation of transcriptional regulators (Patra et al., 2013). We speculate that degradation of VvWRKY8 allows the de-repression of VvMYB14, and leads to the activation of the Res biosynthetic pathway. Indeed, our Y2H screening using VvWRKY8 as a bait led to the identification of several E3 ubiquitin ligases (Supplementary Fig. S7A, Supplementary Table S4), suggesting that the ubiquitin ligase proteasome pathway may be involved in the control of the VvMYB14-VvSTS15/21-Res-VvWRKY8 regulatory loop. In addition, treatment of tobacco leaves transiently expressing VvWRKY8 with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 strongly increased the amounts of VvWRKY8, further supporting the notion of VvWRKY8 undergoing ubiquitin proteasome degradation (Supplementary Fig. S7B). However, the relationships among Res and the expression or transcript stability of VvMYB14 and VvWRKY8 remain open to question. As shown in Figs 2A and 6C, overexpression of VvWRKY8 resulted in a decrease in VvMYB14 expression. However, VvWRKY8 could not directly regulate VvMYB14 expression (Supplementary Fig. S4). Therefore, it is possible that additional bridge proteins could be involved in the VvMYB14 expression patterns controlled by VvWRKY8. Furthermore, because exogenous Res decreased VvMYB14 expression (Supplementary Fig. 7C, we cannot exclude the possibility that Res accumulation itself represses VvMYB14 expression or transcript stability rather than through VvWRKY8

In summary, this work increases our understanding of the regulatory mechanisms controlling Res biosynthesis and demonstrates that the VvMYB14-VvSTS15/21-Res-VvWRKY8 regulatory loop is an important mechanism that controls Res biosynthesis in grapevine. Our work provides new insights into the complex regulatory network that governs the biosynthesis of a highly valuable specialized metabolite.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Fig. S1. Effects of UV-C treatment on *VvSTSs*, *VvMYB14*, and *VvWRKY8* expression in grapevine leaves.

Fig. S2. Phylogenetic relationships of VvWRKY8 with other plant WRKYs involved in the regulation of specialized metabolism and stress tolerance.

Fig. S3. Sequence analysis of *proVvSTS15* and *proVvSTS21*. Fig. S4. VvWRKY8 does not bind to or activate the promoters of *VvSTS15/21* and *VvMYB14*.

Fig. S5. VvMYB14 does not bind to or activate the promoter of *VvWRKY8*.

Fig. S6. Identification of *V. vinifera*. cv. 'Thompson Seedless' stable transgenic lines.

Fig. S7. Proteasome degradation of VvWRKY8.

Table S1. List of primers used in this study.

Table S2. Amino acid sequences used for alignment in this study.

Table S3. Effect of addition of exogenous *trans*-Res on Res concentrations in grapevine '41B' suspension cells and tobacco leaves.

Table S4. Interacting proteins of VvWRKY8 as determined by screening a yeast two-hybrid library.

Acknowledgments

We thank Professor Jochen Bogs and Dr Janine Höll from the Centre for Organismal Studies Heidelberg, University of Heidelberg, Professor Yan Xu and Dr Guotian Liu from Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University, and Professor Rongcheng Lin and Professor Chaoying He from the Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing constructs or valuable suggestions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos 31171918 and 31672120) and the Grape Breeding Project of Ningxia (NXNYYZ201502). This work was conducted as part of the LIA INNOGRAPE international Associated Laboratory.

Author contributions

LW and SL conceived the idea for the study and supervised the work; JJ carried out the experiments; HX performed the expression of $V\nu STSs$, $V\nu WRKY8$, and $V\nu MYB14$ in grapevine leaves in response to UV-C; XL carried out the extraction and determination of total Res; JJ and LW analysed the data and wrote the article; FL, ZD, LY, BP, and YW contributed to the discussions and did the final editing.

References

Adrian M, Jeandet P. 2012. Effects of resveratrol on the ultrastructure of *Botrytis cinerea* conidia and biological significance in plant/pathogen interactions. Fitoterapia **83**, 1345–1350.

Adrian M, Jeandet P, Veneau J, Weston LA, Bessis R. 1997. Biological activity of resveratrol, a stilbenic compound from grapevines, against *Botrytis cinerea*, the causal agent for gray mold. Journal of Chemical Ecology **23**, 1689–1702.

Amato A, Cavallini E, Zenoni S, Finezzo L, Begheldo M, Ruperti B, Tornielli GB. 2016. A grapevine TTG2-like WRKY transcription factor is involved in regulating vacuolar transport and flavonoid biosynthesis. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 1979.

Austin MB, Noel JP. 2003. The chalcone synthase superfamily of type III polyketide synthases. Natural Product Reports **20**, 79–110.

Cai R, Dai W, Zhang C, Wang Y, Wu M, Zhao Y, Ma Q, Xiang Y, Cheng B. 2017. The maize WRKY transcription factor ZmWRKY17 negatively regulates salt stress tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. Planta **246**, 1215–1231.

Chen H, Lai Z, Shi J, Xiao Y, Chen Z, Xu X. 2010. Roles of arabidopsis WRKY18, WRKY40 and WRKY60 transcription factors in plant responses to abscisic acid and abiotic stress. BMC Plant Biology **10**, 281.

Chen M, Yan T, Shen Q, et al. 2017. GLANDULAR TRICHOME-SPECIFIC WRKY 1 promotes artemisinin biosynthesis in Artemisia annua. New Phytologist **214**, 304–316.

Chi Y, Yang Y, Zhou Y, Zhou J, Fan B, Yu JQ, Chen Z. 2013. Proteinprotein interactions in the regulation of WRKY transcription factors. Molecular Plant 6, 287–300.

Douillet-Breuil AC, Jeandet P, Adrian M, Bessis R. 1999. Changes in the phytoalexin content of various *Vitis* spp. in response to ultraviolet C elicitation. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **47**, 4456–4461.

Eulgem T, Rushton PJ, Robatzek S, Somssich IE. 2000. The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. Trends in Plant Science **5**, 199–206.

Fang L, Hou Y, Wang L, Xin H, Wang N, Li S. 2014. *Myb14*, a direct activator of *STS*, is associated with resveratrol content variation in berry skin in two grape cultivars. Plant Cell Reports **33**, 1629–1640.

Ferri M, Tassoni A, Franceschetti M, Righetti L, Naldrett MJ, Bagni N. 2009. Chitosan treatment induces changes of protein expression profile and stilbene distribution in *Vitis vinifera* cell suspensions. Proteomics **9**, 610–624.

Grefen C, Blatt MR. 2012. A 2in1 cloning system enables ratiometric bimolecular fluorescence complementation (rBiFC). BioTechniques **53**, 311–314.

Guillaumie S, Mzid R, Méchin V, Léon C, Hichri I, Destrac-Irvine A, Trossat-Magnin C, Delrot S, Lauvergeat V. 2010. The grapevine transcription factor WRKY2 influences the lignin pathway and xylem development in tobacco. Plant Molecular Biology **72**, 215–234.

Gutha LR, Casassa LF, Harbertson JF, Naidu RA. 2010. Modulation of flavonoid biosynthetic pathway genes and anthocyanins due to virus infection in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) leaves. BMC Plant Biology **10,** 187.

Han Y, Dang R, Li J, Jiang J, Zhang N, Jia M, Wei L, Li Z, Li B, Jia W. 2015. FaSnRK2.6, an ortholog of open stomata 1, is a negative regulator of strawberry fruit development and ripening. Plant Physiology **167**, 915–930.

Hecker A, Wallmeroth N, Peter S, Blatt MR, Harter K, Grefen C. 2015. Binary 2in1 vectors improve *in planta* (co)localization and dynamic protein interaction studies. Plant Physiology **168**, 776–787.

Hellens RP, Allan AC, Friel EN, Bolitho K, Grafton K, Templeton MD, Karunairetnam S, Gleave AP, Laing WA. 2005. Transient expression vectors for functional genomics, quantification of promoter activity and RNA silencing in plants. Plant Methods 1, 13.

Höll J, Vannozzi A, Czemmel S, D'Onofrio C, Walker AR, Rausch T, Lucchin M, Boss PK, Dry IB, Bogs J. 2013. The R2R3-MYB transcription factors MYB14 and MYB15 regulate stilbene biosynthesis in *Vitis vinifera*. The Plant Cell **25**, 4135–4149.

Ishiguro S, Nakamura K. 1994. Characterization of a cDNA encoding a novel DNA-binding protein, SPF1, that recognizes SP8 sequences in the 5' upstream regions of genes coding for sporamin and beta-amylase from sweet potato. Molecular & General Genetics **244**, 563–571.

Kalantari H, Das DK. 2010. Physiological effects of resveratrol. BioFactors **36**, 401–406.

Karimi M, Inzé D, Depicker A. 2002. GATEWAY vectors for *Agrobacterium*mediated plant transformation. Trends in Plant Science **7**, 193–195.

Kato N, Dubouzet E, Kokabu Y, Yoshida S, Taniguchi Y, Dubouzet JG, Yazaki K, Sato F. 2007. Identification of a WRKY protein as a transcriptional regulator of benzylisoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis in *Coptis japonica*. Plant & Cell Physiology **48**, 8–18.

Langcake P, Pryce RJ. 1976. Production of resveratrol by *Vitis vinifera* and other members of Vitaceae as a response to infection or injury. Physiological Plant Pathology **9**, 77–86.

Li B, Zhao Y, Liang L, et al. 2012. Purification and characterization of ZmRIP1, a novel reductant-inhibited protein tyrosine phosphatase from maize. Plant Physiology **159**, 671–681.

Li P, Song A, Gao C, Wang L, Wang Y, Sun J, Jiang J, Chen F, Chen S. 2015. Chrysanthemum WRKY gene *CmWRKY17* negatively regulates salt stress tolerance in transgenic chrysanthemum and Arabidopsis plants. Plant Cell Reports **34**, 1365–1378.

Liu S, Li M, Su L, Ge K, Li L, Li X, Liu X, Li L. 2016. Negative feedback regulation of ABA biosynthesis in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*): a transcription factor complex inhibits *AhNCED1* expression during water stress. Scientific Reports 6, 37943.

Naoumkina MA, He X, Dixon RA. 2008. Elicitor-induced transcription factors for metabolic reprogramming of secondary metabolism in *Medicago truncatula*. BMC Plant Biology **8**, 132.

Nonomura S, Kanagawa H, Makimoto A. 1963. Chemical constituents of polygonaceous plants. I. Studies on the components of ko-j o-kon. (*Polygonum cuspidatum* Sieb. et Zucc.). [In Japanese.] Yakugaku Zasshi (Journal of the Pharmaceutical Society of Japan) 83, 988–990.

Pangeni R, Sahni JK, Ali J, Sharma S, Baboota S. 2014. Resveratrol: review on therapeutic potential and recent advances in drug delivery. Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery **11**, 1285–1298.

Patra B, Pattanaik S, Yuan L. 2013. Ubiquitin protein ligase 3 mediates the proteasomal degradation of GLABROUS 3 and ENHANCER OF GLABROUS 3, regulators of trichome development and flavonoid biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal **74,** 435–447.

Qin Z, Lv H, Zhu X, Meng C, Quan T, Wang M, Xia G. 2013. Ectopic expression of a wheat WRKY transcription factor gene *TaWRKY71-1* results in hyponastic leaves in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS ONE **8**, e63033.

Qiu D, Xiao J, Xie W, Liu H, Li X, Xiong L, Wang S. 2008. Rice gene network inferred from expression profiling of plants overexpressing OsWRKY13, a positive regulator of disease resistance. Molecular Plant 1, 538–551.

Schefe JH, Lehmann KE, Buschmann IR, Unger T, Funke-Kaiser H. 2006. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR data analysis: current concepts and

the novel "gene expression's $C_{\rm T}$ difference" formula. Journal of Molecular Medicine **84,** 901–910.

Schluttenhofer C, Yuan L. 2015. Regulation of specialized metabolism by WRKY transcription factors. Plant Physiology **167**, 295–306.

Sheen J, Hwang S, Niwa Y, Kobayashi H, Galbraith DW. 1995. Greenfluorescent protein as a new vital marker in plant cells. The Plant Journal **8,** 777–784.

Singh AK, Kumar SR, Dwivedi V, Rai A, Pal S, Shasany AK, Nagegowda DA. 2017. A WRKY transcription factor from *Withania somnifera* regulates triterpenoid withanolide accumulation and biotic stress tolerance through modulation of phytosterol and defense pathways. New Phytologist **215**, 1115–1131.

Takaoka M. 1939. Resveratrol, a new phenolic compound, from *Veratrum grandiflorum*. Journal of the Chemical Society of Japan **60**, 1090–1100.

The French-Italian Public Consortium for Grapevine Genome Characterization. 2007. The grapevine genome sequence suggests ancestral hexaploidization in major angiosperm phyla. Nature **449**, 463–467.

Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG. 1997. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Research 25, 4876–4882.

Tripathi P, Rabara RC, Choudhary MK, Miller MA, Huang YS, Shen QJ, Blachon S, Rushton PJ. 2015. The interactome of soybean GmWRKY53 using yeast 2-hybrid library screening to saturation. Plant Signaling & Behavior 10, e1028705.

van Verk MC, Pappaioannou D, Neeleman L, Bol JF, Linthorst HJ. 2008. A novel WRKY transcription factor is required for induction of *PR-1a* gene expression by salicylic acid and bacterial elicitors. Plant Physiology **146**, 1983–1995.

Vannozzi A, Dry IB, Fasoli M, Zenoni S, Lucchin M. 2012. Genomewide analysis of the grapevine stilbene synthase multigenic family: genomic organization and expression profiles upon biotic and abiotic stresses. BMC Plant Biology **12**, 130.

Vannozzi A, Wong DCJ, Höll J, Hmmam I, Matus JT, Bogs J, Ziegler T, Dry I, Barcaccia G, Lucchin M. 2018. Combinatorial regulation of stilbene synthase genes by WRKY and MYB transcription factors in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Plant & Cell Physiology **59**, 1043–1059.

Versari A, Parpinello GP, Tornielli GB, Ferrarini R, Giulivo C. 2001. Stilbene compounds and stilbene synthase expression during ripening, wilting, and UV treatment in grape cv. Corvina. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **49**, 5531–5536.

Wang H, Avci U, Nakashima J, Hahn MG, Chen F, Dixon RA. 2010. Mutation of WRKY transcription factors initiates pith secondary wall formation and increases stem biomass in dicotyledonous plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **107**, 22338–22343.

Wang L, Zhu W, Fang L, Sun X, Su L, Liang Z, Wang N, Londo JP, Li S, Xin H. 2014. Genome-wide identification of WRKY family genes and their response to cold stress in *Vitis vinifera*. BMC Plant Biology **14**, 103.

Weiskirchen S, Weiskirchen R. 2016. Resveratrol: how much wine do you have to drink to stay healthy? Advances in Nutrition 7, 706–718.

Xi H, Ma L, Liu G, Wang N, Wang J, Wang L, Dai Z, Li S, Wang L. 2014. Transcriptomic analysis of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) leaves after exposure to ultraviolet C irradiation. PLoS ONE **9**, e113772.

Xi HF, Ma L, Wang LN, Li SH, Wang LJ. 2015. Differential response of the biosynthesis of resveratrols and flavonoids to UV-C irradiation in grape leaves. New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science **43**, 163–172.

Xie Z, Zhang ZL, Zou X, Yang G, Komatsu S, Shen QJ. 2006. Interactions of two abscisic-acid induced *WRKY* genes in repressing gibberellin signaling in aleurone cells. The Plant Journal **46**, 231–242.

Xu A, Zhan JC, Huang WD. 2015a. Effects of ultraviolet C, methyl jasmonate and salicylic acid, alone or in combination, on stilbene biosynthesis in cell suspension cultures of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Cabernet Sauvignon. Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture **122**, 197–211.

Xu A, Zhan JC, Huang WD. 2015b. Oligochitosan and sodium alginate enhance stilbene production and induce defense responses in *Vitis vinifera* cell suspension cultures. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum **37**, 144.

Xu A, Zhan JC, Huang WD. 2016. Combined elicitation of chitosan and ultraviolet C enhanced stilbene production and expression of chitinase and beta-1,3-glucanase in *Vitis vinifera* cell suspension cultures. Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture **124**, 105–117.

Xu W, Yu Y, Ding J, Hua Z, Wang Y. 2010. Characterization of a novel stilbene synthase promoter involved in pathogen- and stress-inducible expression from Chinese wild *Vitis pseudoreticulata*. Planta **231**, 475–487.

Xu YH, Wang JW, Wang S, Wang JY, Chen XY. 2004. Characterization of GaWRKY1, a cotton transcription factor that regulates the sesquiterpene synthase gene (+)- δ -cadinene synthase-A. Plant Physiology **135**, 507–515.

Yang CY, Huang YC, Ou SL. 2017a. ERF73/HRE1 is involved in H_2O_2 production via hypoxia-inducible *Rboh* gene expression in hypoxia signaling. Protoplasma **254**, 1705–1714.

Yang G, Zhang W, Liu Z, Yi-Maer AY, Zhai M, Xu Z. 2017b. Both JrWRKY2 and JrWRKY7 of *Juglans regia* mediate responses to abiotic stresses and abscisic acid through formation of homodimers and interaction. Plant Biology **19,** 268–278.

Yokotani N, Sato Y, Tanabe S, et al. 2013. WRKY76 is a rice transcriptional repressor playing opposite roles in blast disease resistance and cold stress tolerance. Journal of Experimental Botany **64**, 5085–5097.

Yu O, Jez JM. 2008. Nature's assembly line: biosynthesis of simple phenylpropanoids and polyketides. The Plant Journal **54**, 750–762.

Zhou Q, Dai L, Cheng S, He J, Wang D, Zhang J, Wang Y. 2014. A circulatory system useful both for long-term somatic embryogenesis and genetic transformation in *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Thompson seedless. Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture **118**, 157–168.