

Integral operator Riccati equations arising in stochastic Volterra control problems

Eduardo Abi Jaber, Enzo Miller, Huyen Pham

▶ To cite this version:

Eduardo Abi Jaber, Enzo Miller, Huyen Pham. Integral operator Riccati equations arising in stochastic Volterra control problems. 2019. hal-02346262

HAL Id: hal-02346262 https://hal.science/hal-02346262

Preprint submitted on 4 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Integral operator Riccati equations arising in stochastic Volterra control problems

Eduardo ABI JABER * Enzo MILLER [†] Huyên PHAM [‡]

November 4, 2019

Abstract

We establish existence and uniqueness for infinite dimensional Riccati equations taking values in the Banach space $L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$ for certain signed matrix measures μ which are not necessarily finite. Such equations can be seen as the infinite dimensional analogue of matrix Riccati equations and they appear in the Linear–Quadratic control theory of stochastic Volterra equations.

MSC Classification: 47G10, 49N10, 34G20.

Key words: Infinite dimensional Lyapunov equation, integral operator Riccati equation, linear-quadratic control, stochastic Volterra equations.

^{*}Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, Centre d'Economie de la Sorbonne, 106, Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, eduardo.abi-jaber at univ-paris1.fr.

[†]LPSM, Université de Paris, Building Sophie Germain, Avenue de France, 75013 Paris, enzo.miller at polytechnique.org

[‡]LPSM, Université de Paris, Building Sophie Germain, Avenue de France, 75013 Paris, pham at lpsm.paris The work of this author is supported by FiME (Finance for Energy Market Research Centre) and the "Finance et Développement Durable - Approches Quantitatives" EDF - CACIB Chair.

1 Introduction

Fix $d, d', m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu \neq d \times d'$ -matrix signed measure μ . This paper deals with the infinite dimensional Backward Riccati equation

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_{T}(\theta,\tau) = 0 \\ \dot{\Gamma}_{t}(\theta,\tau) = (\theta+\tau)\Gamma_{t}(\theta,\tau) - Q - D^{\top}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}}\mu(d\theta')^{\top}\Gamma_{t}(\theta',\tau')\mu(d\tau')D \\ -B^{\top}\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\mu(d\theta')^{\top}\Gamma_{t}(\theta',\tau) - \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\Gamma_{t}(\theta,\tau')\mu(d\tau')B + S_{t}(\theta)^{\top}\hat{N}_{t}^{-1}S_{t}(\tau), \end{cases}$$

$$(1.1)$$

where

$$\begin{split} S_t(\tau) &= C^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_t(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') D, \\ \hat{N}_t &= N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) F, \end{split}$$

and $B, D \in \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d}$, $C, F \in \mathbb{R}^{d' \times m}$, $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and \top is the transpose operation. Here μ is not necessarily finite and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(1 \wedge \theta^{-1/2} \right) |\mu| (d\theta) < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where $|\mu|$ denotes the total variation of μ . We look for solutions $\Gamma : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ with values in $L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$ (see the precise definition in Section 2) to ensure that equation (1.1) is well-posed.

In particular, if d = d' = 1 and $\mu(d\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{\theta_i^n}(d\theta)$, (1.1) reduces to a $n \times n$ -matrix Riccati equation for $\Gamma^n = (\Gamma(\theta_i^n, \theta_j^n))_{1 \le i,j \le n}$, only written componentwise. Such matrix Riccati equation appears in finite dimensional Linear-Quadratic (LQ) control theory, see e.g. [14, chapter 7]. (One could also recover $d \times d$ -matrix Riccati equation by setting d = d' and $\mu = I_d \delta_0$.)

For more general measures μ , e.g. with infinite support, (1.1) can be seen as the infinitedimensional extension of matrix Riccati equations and one could expect a connection with LQ control in infinite dimension. This is indeed the case, and our motivation for studying the Riccati equation (1.1) comes from infinite dimensional lifts of LQ control theory of non-Markovian stochastic Volterra equations. Setting

$$K(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\theta t} \mu(d\theta), \quad t > 0.$$

one can consider the controlled *d*-dimensional stochastic linear Volterra equation

$$X_t = \int_0^t K(t-s) \big(BX_s + C\alpha_s \big) ds + \int_0^t K(t-s) \big(DX_s + F\alpha_s \big) dW_s,$$

where W is a one dimensional Brownian motion and α is a suitable control taking its values in \mathbb{R}^m . Observe that the integrability condition on the measure μ allows singularity of the kernel K at 0, and includes the case of a fractional kernel $K_H(t) = t^{H-1/2}$ with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1/2)$ with a corresponding measure $\mu(d\theta) = c_H \theta^{-H-1/2} d\theta$, for some normalizing constant c_H . The linear-quadratic control problem consisting in the minimization over α of the cost functional

$$J(\alpha) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^T \left(X_t^\top Q X_t + \alpha_t^\top N \alpha_t\right) dt\Big],$$

can be explicitly solved using the Riccati equation (1.1), see [2].

When D = F = 0, the Riccati equation (1.1) also enters in the computation of the Laplace transform of tr $\left(\int_0^T Z_s^\top Q Z_s ds\right)$, where Z is the $d \times n$ -matrix valued Gaussian process

$$Z_t = Z_0 + \int_0^t K(t-s)BZ_s ds + \int_0^t K(t-s)Cd\widetilde{W}_s, \quad t \ge 0,$$

and \widetilde{W} is a $m \times n$ matrix Brownian motion, see [1].

The Riccati equation (1.1) can be also connected to an operator Riccati equation as follows. Denote by $L^1(\mu)$ the Banach space of μ -a.e. equivalence classes of $|\mu|$ -integrable functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ endowed with the norm $\|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mu)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mu|(d\theta)|\varphi(\theta)|$, by $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ the space of measurable functions from $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{d'}$, which are bounded μ -a.e., and introduce the dual pairing:

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mu} := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \varphi(\theta)^\top \mu(d\theta)^\top \psi(\theta), \quad (\varphi, \psi) \in L^1(\mu) \times L^{\infty}(\mu^\top).$$

Given any bounded kernel solution Γ to (1.1), let us consider the corresponding linear integral operator $\Gamma : [0,T] \times L^1(\mu) \to L^\infty(\mu^\top)$ defined by

$$(\mathbf{\Gamma}_t \varphi)(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma_t(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \varphi(\tau), \quad t \in [0, T], \ \varphi \in L^1(\mu).$$

It is then straightforward to see that Γ solves the operator Riccati equation on $L^{1}(\mu)$:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{T} = \boldsymbol{0} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_{t} = -\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t}A^{mr} - (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t}A^{mr})^{*} - \boldsymbol{Q} - \boldsymbol{D}^{*}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t}\boldsymbol{D} - \boldsymbol{B}^{*}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t} - (\boldsymbol{B}^{*}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t})^{*} \\ + (C^{*}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t} + F^{*}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t}\boldsymbol{D})^{*} (N + F^{*}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t}F)^{-1} (C^{*}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t} + F^{*}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{t}\boldsymbol{D}), \quad t \in [0,T], \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

where A^{mr} is the mean-reverting operator acting on measurable functions $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$ by

$$(A^{mr}\varphi)(\theta) = -\theta\varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

B, **D** are the integral operators on $L^1(\mu)$ (defined similarly to Γ) induced by the constant matrices B, D, and by misuse of notation, C, F denote the respective constant operators on \mathbb{R}^m induced by the matrices C, F:

$$(Ca)(\theta) = Ca, \quad (Fa)(\theta) = Fa, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Here the symbol * denotes the adjoint operation with respect to the dual pairing. The last equation (1.4) is more in line with the formulation of operator Riccati equations appearing in LQ control theory in Hilbert or Banach spaces, see [6], [7], [8], [10], [13], [12], [11], [4].

Let us also mention that a related infinite-dimensional Riccati equation appeared in [3] for the minimization problem of an energy functional defined in terms of a non-singular (i.e. $K(0) < \infty$) completely monotone kernel.

The main contribution of the paper is to establish the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the kernel Riccati equation (1.1). The aforementioned results on the solvability of Riccati equations in infinite dimensional spaces cannot be directly applied in our setting for two reasons. First, they are valid for Hilbert and reflexive Banach spaces, while $L^{1}(\mu)$ is in general not reflexive, unless μ has finite support, and mostly apply to the cases without multiplicative noise, i.e., D = 0, and without control on the diffusion coefficient, i.e. F = 0, with the noticeable exception in [11]. Second, they concern the operator Riccati equation (1.4), which is not enough for our purposes, as we still need to argue that Γ is an integral operator induced by some bounded symmetric kernel function Γ satisfying (1.1). We will therefore work directly on the level of the kernel Riccati equation (1.1) (which will also be referred to as integral operator Riccati equation) by adapting the technique used in classical finite-dimensional linear-quadratic control theory [14, Theorem 6.7.2] with the following steps: (i) we first construct a sequence of Lyapunov solutions $(\Gamma^i)_{i>0}$ by successive iterations, (ii) we then show the convergence of $(\Gamma^i)_{i>0}$ in $L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$, (iii) we next prove that the limiting point is a solution to the Riccati equation (1.1), (iv) we finally prove the continuity and uniqueness for the Riccati solution. We stress that such method has already been applied to prove the existence of operator Riccati equations of the form (1.4)in Hilbert spaces (see [11]) and in reflexive Banach spaces (see [4]). However, for the kernel Riccati equation (1.1), the proof is more intricate. The reason is that we need to establish the convergence of the kernels $(\Gamma^i)_{i\geq 0}$ which is a stronger requirement than the usual convergence of the operators $(\Gamma^i)_{i>0}$. As a consequence, we obtain that the sequence of integral operators $(\Gamma^i)_{i>0}$ converges to some limit which is also an integral operator.

The paper is organized as follows. We formulate precisely our main result in Section 2. Section 3 establishes the existence of a solution to an infinite dimensional Lyapunov equation. Section 4 is devoted to the solvability of the Riccati equation. Finally, we collect in the Appendix some useful results.

2 Preliminaries and main result

Let us first introduce some notations that will be used in the sequel of the paper. For any $d_{11} \times d_{12}$ -matrix valued measure μ_1 , and $d_{21} \times d_{22}$ -matrix valued measure μ_2 on \mathbb{R}_+ , the Banach space $L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ consists of $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ -a.e. equivalence classes of $|\mu_1| \otimes$ $|\mu_2|$ -integrable functions $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{11} \times d_{21}}$ endowed with the norm $\|\Phi\|_{L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mu_1|(d\theta)|\Phi(\theta,\tau)||\mu_2|(d\theta) < \infty$. For any such Φ , the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu_1(d\theta)^\top \Phi(\theta,\tau) \mu_2(d\tau)$$

is well defined by virtue of [9, Theorem 5.6]. We also denote by $L^{\infty}(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ the set of measurable functions $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{11} \times d_{21}}$, which are bounded $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ -a.e.

We shall prove the existence of a nonnegative symmetric kernel solution to the Riccati equation (1.1) in the following sense.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Gamma : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ such that $\Gamma \in L^{\infty}(\mu \otimes \mu)$. We say that Γ is symmetric if

$$\Gamma(\theta, \tau) = \Gamma(\tau, \theta)^{\top}, \quad \mu \otimes \mu - a.e.$$

and nonnegative if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \varphi(\theta)^\top \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \varphi(\tau) \ge 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in L^1(\mu).$$

We denote by $\mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$ the set of all symmetric and nonnegative $\Gamma \in L^{\infty}(\mu \otimes \mu)$, and we define on $\mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$ the partial order relation $\Gamma^1 \succeq_{\mu} \Gamma^2$ whenever $(\Gamma^1 - \Gamma^2) \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$.

Remark 2.2. (On utilise cette notation dans le lemme 4.1(ii)) $\mathbb{S}^d_+(\delta_0 \otimes \delta_0)$ reduces to \mathbb{S}^d_+ , the cone of symmetric semidefinite $d \times d$ -matrices.

Given a kernel Γ , we define the integral operator Γ by

$$(\mathbf{\Gamma}\varphi)(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \varphi(\tau).$$
(2.1)

Notice that when $\Gamma \in L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$, the operator Γ is well-defined on $L^{\infty}(\mu)$, and we have $\Gamma \varphi \in L^1(\mu^{\top})$, for $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. In this case $\langle \Gamma \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mu^{\top}}$ is well defined for all $\varphi, \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Moreover, when $\Gamma \in L^{\infty}(\mu \otimes \mu)$, the operator Γ is well-defined on $L^1(\mu)$, and we have $\Gamma \varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mu^{\top})$ for $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$. In this case, $\langle \varphi, \Gamma \psi \rangle_{\mu}$ is well defined for all $\varphi, \psi \in L^1(\mu)$.

Whenever $\Gamma \in L^{\infty}(\mu \otimes \mu)$ is a symmetric kernel, we have

$$\langle \varphi, \Gamma \psi \rangle_{\mu}, = \langle \psi, \Gamma \varphi \rangle_{\mu}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in L^{1}(\mu),$$

and Γ is said to be symmetric. For $\Gamma \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$, the nonnegativity reads

$$\langle \varphi, \mathbf{\Gamma} \varphi \rangle_{\mu} \ge 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^1(\mu).$$

The kernel Riccati equation (1.1) can be compactly written in the form

$$\dot{\Gamma}_t(\theta,\tau) = (\theta+\tau)\Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) - \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_t)(\theta,\tau), \qquad \Gamma_T(\theta,\tau) = 0$$
(2.2)

where we define

$$\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)(\theta,\tau) = Q + D^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') D + B^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma(\theta',\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Gamma(\theta,\tau') \mu(d\tau') B - S(\Gamma)(\theta)^{\top} \hat{N}^{-1}(\Gamma) S(\Gamma)(\tau)$$
(2.3)

with

$$\begin{cases} S(\Gamma)(\tau) = C^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \Gamma(\theta, \tau) + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') D \\ \hat{N}(\Gamma) = N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \Gamma(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) F. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

The following definition specifies the concept of solution to the kernel Riccati equation (2.2).

Definition 2.3. By a solution to the kernel Riccati equation (2.2), we mean a function $\Gamma \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$ such that

$$\Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) = \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_s)(\theta,\tau) ds, \qquad 0 \le t \le T, \quad \mu \otimes \mu - a.e.$$
(2.5)

where \mathcal{R} is defined by (2.3). In particular $\hat{N}(\Gamma_t)$ given by (2.4) is invertible for all $t \leq T$.

Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 2.4. Let μ be a $d \times d'$ -signed matrix measure satisfying (1.2). Assume that

$$Q \in \mathbb{S}^d_+, \quad N - \lambda I_m \in \mathbb{S}^m_+, \tag{2.6}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. Then, there exists a unique solution $\Gamma \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$ to the kernel Riccati equation (2.2) such that $\Gamma_t \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$, for all $t \leq T$. Furthermore, there exists some positive constant M such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mu|(d\tau)|\Gamma_t(\theta,\tau)| \le M, \quad \mu-a.e., \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$
(2.7)

The rest of the paper is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 2.4. Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 provide the existence of a solution in $C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$ such that $\Gamma_t \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$, for all $t \leq T$. The uniqueness statement is established in Lemma 4.8.

3 Infinite dimensional Lyapunov equation

Fix $d_{11}, d_{12}, d_{21}, d_{22} \in \mathbb{N}$. For each i = 1, 2, we let μ_i be a $d_{i1} \times d_{i2}$ -matrix valued measure on \mathbb{R}_+ , and we define the scalar kernel

$$\overline{K}_i(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\theta t} |\mu_i|(d\theta), \quad t > 0,$$
(3.1)

which is in $L^2([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ provided that μ_i satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (1 \wedge \theta^{-1/2}) |\mu_i| (d\theta) < \infty$ (which we shall assume in this section), see [2, Lemma A.1].

We first establish the existence and uniqueness for the following infinite dimensional Lyapunov equation:

$$\Psi_t(\theta,\tau) = \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} F(s,\Psi_s)(\theta,\tau) ds, \quad t \le T, \quad \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 - a.e.$$
(3.2)

where

$$F(s,\Psi)(\theta,\tau) = \tilde{Q}_s(\theta,\tau) + \tilde{D}_s^1(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu_1(d\theta')^\top \Psi(\theta',\tau')\mu_2(d\tau')\tilde{D}_s^2(\tau) + \tilde{B}_s^1(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu_1(d\theta')^\top \Psi(\theta',\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Psi(\theta,\tau')\mu_2(d\tau')\tilde{B}_s^2(\tau), \quad (3.3)$$

for some coefficients $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{B}^1, \tilde{B}^2, \tilde{D}^1, \tilde{D}^2$ satisfying suitable assumptions made precise in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\tilde{Q} : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{11} \times d_{21}}$ be a measurable function and for each $i = 1, 2, \ \tilde{B}^i, \tilde{D}^i : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{d_{i2} \times d_{i1}}$ be two measurable functions. Assume that there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$|\tilde{Q}_s(\theta,\tau)| + \sum_{i=1}^2 |\tilde{B}_s^i(\theta)| + |\tilde{D}_s^i(\theta)|^{1/2} \le \kappa, \quad dt \otimes \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 - a.e.$$
(3.4)

Then, there exists a unique solution $\Psi \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2))$ to (3.2)-(3.3). In particular,

$$\sup_{t \le T} \|\Psi_t\|_{L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)} < \infty.$$
(3.5)

Furthermore, there exists a constant $\kappa' > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mu_1|(d\theta)|\Psi_t(\theta,\tau)| \le \kappa', \quad \mu_2 - a.e., \quad t \le T,$$
(3.6)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mu_2|(d\tau)|\Psi_t(\theta,\tau)| \le \kappa', \quad \mu_1 - a.e., \quad t \le T.$$
(3.7)

Remark 3.2. Since the solution Ψ satisfies (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), it follows that

$$|F(\Psi)(\theta,\tau)| \le c, \quad dt \otimes \mu \otimes \mu - a.e.$$

for some constant c. Combined with (3.2), one gets that $\Psi_t \in L^{\infty}(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$, for all $t \leq T$.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 follows from the three following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, there exists a unique $L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ -valued function $t \in [0,T] \to \Psi_t$ satisfying (3.5), and such that (3.2)-(3.3) hold.

Proof. The proof is an application of the contraction mapping principle. We denote by \mathcal{B}_T the space of measurable and bounded functions $\Psi : [0,T] \to L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{B}_T} := \sup_{t \le T} \|\Psi_t\|_{L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)} < \infty.$$

The space $(\mathcal{B}_T, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_T})$ is a Banach space. We consider the following family of norms on \mathcal{B}_T :

$$\|\Psi\|_{\lambda} := \sup_{t \le T} e^{-\lambda(T-t))} \|\Psi_t\|_{L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

For every $\Psi \in \mathcal{B}_T$, define a new function $t \mapsto (\mathcal{T}\Psi)_t$ by

$$(\mathcal{T}\Psi)_t(\theta,\tau) = \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} F(s,\Psi_s)(\theta,\tau) ds, \quad \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 - a.e.,$$

where F is given by (3.3). Since the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_T}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda}$ are equivalent, it is enough to find $\lambda > 0$ such that \mathcal{T} defines a contraction on $(\mathcal{B}_T, \|\cdot\|_{\lambda})$. We thus look for $\lambda > 0$ and M < 1 such that

$$\|\mathcal{T}\Psi - \mathcal{T}\Phi\|_{\lambda} \le M\|\Psi - \Phi\|_{\lambda}, \quad \Psi, \Phi \in \mathcal{B}_{T}.$$
(3.8)

Step 1: We first prove that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}_T) \subset \mathcal{B}_T$. Fix $\Psi \in \mathcal{B}_T$ and $t \leq T$. An application of the triangle inequality combined with the assumption (3.4) leads to

$$\begin{split} \|(\mathcal{T}\Psi)_t\|_{L^1(\mu_1\otimes\mu_2)} &\leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\mu_1|(d\theta)|\mu_2|(d\tau) \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} ds \\ &+ \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\mu_1|(d\theta)|\mu_2|(d\tau) \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \|\Psi_s\|_{L^1(\mu_1\otimes\mu_2)} ds \\ &+ \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\mu_1|(d\theta)|\mu_2|(d\tau) \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mu_1|(d\theta')|\Psi_s(\theta',\tau)| \\ &+ \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\mu_1|(d\theta)|\mu_2|(d\tau) \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\Psi_s(\theta,\tau')||\mu_2|(d\tau'), \\ &= \kappa (\mathbf{I}_t + \mathbf{II}_t + \mathbf{III}_t + \mathbf{IV}_t). \end{split}$$

Recalling the definition (3.1), an application of Tonelli's theorem and Cauchy–Schwarz inequality yields

$$\sup_{t \le T} \mathbf{I}_t = \sup_{t \le T} \int_t^T \overline{K}_1(s-t) \overline{K}_2(s-t) ds \le \|\overline{K}_1\|_{L^2(0,T)} \|\overline{K}_2\|_{L^2(0,T)}$$

which is finite due to [2, Lemma A.1]. Similarly,

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \mathbf{II}_t \leq \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{B}_T} \|\overline{K}_1\|_{L^2(0,T)} \|\overline{K}_2\|_{L^2(0,T)} < \infty.$$

Now, as $e^{-\tau(s-t)} \leq 1$, and $e^{-\theta(s-t)} \leq 1$, for $s \geq t$, and $\theta, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$, another application of Tonelli's theorem leads to

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \mathbf{III}_t \leq \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{B}_T} \|\overline{K}_1\|_{L^1(0,T)} < \infty, \quad \text{and} \ \sup_{t \leq T} \mathbf{IV}_t \leq \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{B}_T} \|\overline{K}_2\|_{L^1(0,T)} < \infty.$$

Combining the above inequalities proves that $\|\mathcal{T}\Psi\|_{\mathcal{B}_T} < \infty$ and hence $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{B}_T \to \mathcal{B}_T$.

Step 2: We prove that there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that (3.8) holds. Fix $\lambda > 0$ and $\Psi, \Phi \in S_T$ such that $\|\Psi\|_{\lambda}$ and $\|\Phi\|_{\lambda}$ are finite. Similarly to Step 1, the triangle inequality and Tonelli's theorem lead to

$$\sup_{t \le T} e^{-\lambda(T-t)} \| (\mathcal{T}\Psi)_t - (\mathcal{T}\Phi)_t \|_{L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)} \le M(\lambda) \sup_{t \le T} e^{-\lambda(T-t)} \| \Psi_t - \Phi_t \|_{L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)},$$

where

$$M(\lambda) = \kappa \int_0^T e^{-\lambda s} \left(\overline{K}_1(s) + \overline{K}_2(s) + \overline{K}_1(s) \overline{K}_2(s) \right) ds$$

By the dominated convergence theorem, $M(\lambda)$ tends to 0 as λ goes to $+\infty$. We can therefore choose $\lambda_0 > 0$ so that (3.8) holds with $M(\lambda_0) < 1$. An application of the contraction mapping theorem yields the existence and uniqueness statement in $(\mathcal{B}_T, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_T})$ such that (3.2) holds, $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ -a.e., for all $t \leq T$. The interchange of the quantifiers is possible due to the continuity of $t \mapsto \Psi_t(\theta, \tau) \ \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ -a.e., which ends the proof.

Lemma 3.4. The function Ψ constructed in Lemma 3.3 satisfies the estimates (3.6)-(3.7).

Proof. We only prove (3.7), as (3.6) follows by the same argument. Integrating (3.2) over the τ variable leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Psi_{t}(\theta,\tau) \mu_{2}(d\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{t}^{T} e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} F(s,\Psi_{s})(\theta,\tau) ds \mu_{2}(d\tau), \ t \leq T, \ \mu_{1} - a.e. (3.9)$$

Let us define the μ_1 -null set

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}_+ : (3.9) \text{ does not hold} \},\$$

and fix $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathcal{N}$ and $t \leq T$. The triangle inequality on (3.9) and assumption (3.4) yields

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu_{2}|(d\tau)|\Psi_{t}(\theta,\tau)| &\leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu_{2}|(d\tau) \int_{t}^{T} e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} ds \\ &+ \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu_{2}|(d\tau) \int_{t}^{T} e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \|\Psi_{s}\|_{L^{1}(\mu_{1}\otimes\mu_{2})} ds \\ &+ \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu_{2}|(d\tau) \int_{t}^{T} e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu_{1}|(d\theta')|\Psi_{s}(\theta',\tau)| ds \\ &+ \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu_{2}|(d\tau) \int_{t}^{T} e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu_{2}|(d\tau')|\Psi_{s}(\theta,\tau')| ds. \end{split}$$

Using the bound $e^{-\theta(s-t)} \leq 1$, an application of Tonelli's theorem gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu_{2}|(d\tau)|\Psi_{t}(\theta,\tau)| \leq \kappa \left(1 + \sup_{s \leq T} \|\Psi_{s}\|_{L^{1}(\mu_{1} \otimes \mu_{2})}\right) \int_{0}^{T} \left(1 + \overline{K}_{2}(s)\right) ds + \kappa \int_{t}^{T} \overline{K}_{2}(s-t) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu_{2}|(d\tau')|\Psi_{s}(\theta,\tau')| ds.$$
(3.10)

After a change of variable, we get that the function f^{θ} defined by

$$f^{\theta}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mu_2|(d\tau)|\Psi_{T-t}(\theta,\tau)|, \quad t \le T,$$

satisfies the convolution inequality

$$f^{\theta}(t) \le c_T + \kappa \int_0^t \overline{K}_2(t-s) f^{\theta}(s) ds,$$

with $c_T = \kappa \left(1 + \sup_{s \leq T} \|\Psi_s\|_{L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)}\right) \int_0^T \left(1 + \overline{K}_2(s)\right) ds < \infty$. It follows from (3.5) that $f^{\theta}(t)$ is finite $\mu_1 \otimes dt$ -a.e., so that an application of the generalized Gronwall inequality for convolution equations, see [9, Theorem 9.8.2], yields the estimate (3.7).

Lemma 3.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, let $t \in [0,T] \rightarrow \Psi_t$ be such that (3.2) holds, with (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7). Then, $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}([0,T], L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2))$.

Proof. We first observe that by virtue of the boundedness of the coefficients (3.4) and the estimates (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$|F(s,\Psi_s)(\theta,\tau)| \le c, \quad dt \otimes \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 - a.e.$$
(3.11)

for some constant c, where F is given by (3.3). Fix $s \leq t \leq T$. Using (3.2), we write

$$\Psi_s(\theta,\tau) - \Psi_t(\theta,\tau) = \int_s^t e^{-(\theta+\tau)(u-s)} F(u,\Psi_u)(\theta,\tau) ds + \int_t^T \left(e^{-(\theta+\tau)(u-s)} - e^{-(\theta+\tau)(u-t)} \right) F(u,\Psi_u)(\theta,\tau) ds = \mathbf{I}_{s,t}(\theta,\tau) + \mathbf{II}_{s,t}(\theta,\tau)$$

 $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ -a.e. Integrating over the θ and τ variables and successive applications of Tonelli's theorem and Cauchy–Schwarz inequality together with the bound (3.11) lead to

$$\|\mathbf{I}_{s,t}\|_{L^1(\mu_1\otimes\mu_2)} \le c \int_s^t \overline{K}_1(u-s)\overline{K}_2(u-s)du$$
$$\le c\|\overline{K}_1\|_{L^2(0,t-s)}\|\overline{K}_2\|_{L^2(0,t-s)}.$$

By virtue of the square integrability of \overline{K}_1 and \overline{K}_2 , the right hand side goes to 0 as $s \uparrow t$. Similarly, using also that $e^{-(\theta + \tau)(u-s)} \leq e^{-(\theta + \tau)(u-t)}$, we get

$$\|\mathbf{II}_{s,t}\|_{L^{1}(\mu_{1}\otimes\mu_{2})} \leq c \int_{t}^{T} \left(\overline{K}_{1}(u-t)\overline{K}_{2}(u-t) - \overline{K}_{1}(u-s)\overline{K}_{2}(u-s)\right) du$$
$$= c \int_{0}^{T-t} \left(\overline{K}_{1}(u)\overline{K}_{2}(u) - \overline{K}_{1}(t-s+u)\overline{K}_{2}(t-s+u)\right) du$$
$$= c \int_{0}^{T-t} \left(\overline{K}_{1}(u) - \overline{K}_{1}(t-s+u)\right) \overline{K}_{2}(u) du$$
$$+ c \int_{0}^{T-t} \overline{K}_{1}(t-s+u) \left(\overline{K}_{2}(u) - \overline{K}_{2}(t-s+u)\right) du$$
$$= c(\mathbf{1}_{s,t} + \mathbf{2}_{s,t}).$$

The right hand side goes also to 0 as $s \uparrow t$. To see this, an application of Cauchy–Schwarz inequality gives

$$\mathbf{1}_{s,t} \le \left(\int_0^T \left(\overline{K}_1(u) - \overline{K}_1(t-s+u)\right)^2 du\right)^{1/2} \|\overline{K}_2\|_{L^2(0,T)}.$$

Since \overline{K}_1 is an element of L^2 , it follows from [5, Lemma 4.3] that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \int_0^T |\overline{K}_1(u+h) - \overline{K}_1(u)|^2 du = 0,$$
(3.12)

showing that $\mathbf{1}_{s,t}$ converges to 0 as s goes to t. Interchanging the roles of \overline{K}_1 and \overline{K}_2 , we also get the convergence $\mathbf{2}_{s,t} \to 0$ as $s \uparrow t$. Combining the above leads to

$$\|\Psi_s - \Psi_t\|_{L^1(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)} \to 0, \text{ as } s \uparrow t.$$

Similarly, we get the same conclusion when $s \downarrow t$, and the proof is complete.

4 Solvability of the Riccati equation

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2.4, i.e., the existence and uniqueness of a function $\Gamma \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$ satisfying the kernel Riccati equation (2.5) (recall Definition 2.1), and the estimate (2.7). This is obtained by adapting the technique used in classical linear-quadratic control theory [14, Theorem 6.7.2] to our setting with the following steps:

- (i) Construct a sequence of Lyapunov solutions $(\Gamma^i)_{i\geq 0}$ by successive iterations,
- (ii) Establish the convergence of $(\Gamma^i)_{i\geq 0}$ in $L^1(\mu\otimes\mu)$,
- (iii) Prove that the limiting point is a solution to the Riccati equation (2.5),
- (iv) Derive the estimate (2.7), the continuity and the uniqueness for the Riccati solution.

4.1 Step 1: Construction of a sequence of Lyapunov solutions $(\Gamma_t^i)_{i>0}$

Lemma 4.1. Let $\Psi \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$ denote the solution to the Lyapunov equation (3.2) produced by Theorem 3.1 for the configuration

$$\begin{cases} d_{11} = d_{21} = d, & d_{12} = d_{22} = d', & \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu, \\ \tilde{B}^1 = \tilde{B}^2 = \tilde{B}, & \tilde{D}^1 = \tilde{D}^2 = \tilde{D}. \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

and under the condition

$$\begin{cases} |\tilde{Q}_s(\theta,\tau)| \leq \kappa, & dt \otimes \mu \otimes \mu - a.e.\\ |\tilde{B}_s(\theta)| + |\tilde{D}_s(\theta)| \leq \kappa, & dt \otimes \mu - a.e. \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

If $\tilde{Q}_t \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$ for all $t \leq T$, then

- (i) $t \mapsto \Psi_t$ is a non-increasing $\mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$ -valued function w.r.t the order relation \succeq_{μ} .
- (ii) $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Psi_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau)$ is a non-increasing \mathbb{S}^d_+ -valued function on [0,T].

Proof. Note that under (4.1), the Lyapunov equation (3.2) is invariant by transposition and exchange of θ and τ . By uniqueness of the solution, we deduce that $\Psi_t(\theta, \tau) = \Psi_t(\tau, \theta)^\top$, $\mu \otimes \mu$ -a.e., for all $t \leq T$. Fix $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$ and $t \leq T$, and consider the following equation

$$\begin{cases} d\widetilde{Y}_{s}(\theta) &= \left(-\theta\widetilde{Y}_{s}(\theta) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \widetilde{B}_{s}(\tau)\mu(d\tau)\widetilde{Y}_{s}(\tau)\right) ds + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \widetilde{D}_{s}(\tau)\mu(d\tau)\widetilde{Y}_{s}(\tau)\right) dW_{s} \\ \widetilde{Y}_{t}(\theta) &= \varphi(\theta), \end{cases}$$

which admits a unique $L^{1}(\mu)$ -valued solution such that

$$\sup_{t \le s \le T} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\widetilde{Y}_s\|_{L^1(\mu)}^4 \right] < \infty, \tag{4.3}$$

see [2, Theorem 4.1]. Recall the bold notation G in (2.1) for the integral operator generated by a kernel G. Note that, by virtue of Remark 3.2, $\Psi_s \in L^{\infty}(\mu \otimes \mu)$, so that $\Psi_s : L^1(\mu) \to L^{\infty}(\mu^{\top})$, for all $s \leq T$. This shows that $s \mapsto \langle \widetilde{Y}_s, \Psi_s \widetilde{Y}_s \rangle_{\mu}$ is well-defined \mathbb{P} -a.s. An application of Itô's formula (see [2, Lemma 5.1]) to the process $s \to \langle \widetilde{Y}_s, \Psi_s \widetilde{Y}_s \rangle_{\mu}$ yields, due to the vanishing terminal condition for Ψ , and after successive applications of Fubini's theorem:

$$0 = \langle \varphi, \Psi_{t} \varphi \rangle_{\mu} - \int_{t}^{T} \langle \widetilde{Y}_{s}, \widetilde{Q}_{s} \widetilde{Y}_{s} \rangle_{\mu} ds + \int_{t}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \widetilde{Y}_{s}(\theta)^{\top} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \widetilde{D}_{s}(\theta)^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Psi_{s}(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') \widetilde{Y}_{s}(\tau') dW_{s} + \int_{t}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \widetilde{Y}_{s}(\theta')^{\top} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Psi_{s}(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \widetilde{D}_{s}(\tau) \mu(d\tau) \widetilde{Y}_{s}(\tau) dW_{s}.$$

$$(4.4)$$

It is straightforward to check that the local martingales terms are in fact true martingales due to the boundedness conditions (4.2), (3.6) and the moment bound (4.3). Thus, taking the expectation on both sides of (4.4) yields that

$$\langle \varphi, \Psi_t \varphi \rangle_\mu = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T \langle \widetilde{Y}_s, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{Q}}_s \widetilde{Y}_s \rangle_\mu ds \right],$$

which ensures the positiveness and the non increasingness of $t \mapsto \langle \varphi, \Psi_t \varphi \rangle_{\mu}$ for any $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$, since $s \to \tilde{Q}_s$ is $\mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$ -valued. This proves Assertion (i). Next, by considering the sequence of $L^1(\mu)$ -valued functions $(\varphi^n(\theta) = z \mathbb{1}_{[1/n,\infty)}(\theta))_{n\geq 1}$ for arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d'} \setminus \{0\}$, using that $\Psi_t \in L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$, and taking the limit, we obtain that $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Psi_t(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau)$ is a non increasing \mathbb{S}^d_+ -valued function. This proves Assertion (ii) and concludes the proof.

From now on, we work under assumption (2.6). We construct a sequence of Lyapunov solutions $(\Gamma^i)_{i\geq 0}$ by induction as follows.

• Initialization: Let $\Gamma^0 \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$ be the unique solution given by Theorem 3.1 to the following Lyapunov equation

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_t^0(\theta,\tau) &= \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} F_0(\Gamma_s^0)(\theta,\tau) ds, \\ F_0(\Gamma)(\theta,\tau) &= Q + D^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') D \\ &+ B^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma(\theta',\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma(\theta,\tau') \mu(d\tau') B. \end{cases}$$

Since $Q \in \mathbb{S}^d_+$, an application of Lemma 4.1-(ii) yields that $F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma^0_t(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F \in \mathbb{S}^m_+$, for all $t \leq T$. Combined with the assumption $N - \lambda I_m \in \mathbb{S}^m_+$, we obtain

$$N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma^0_t(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F - \lambda I_m \in \mathbb{S}^m_+, \quad t \le T.$$

• Induction: for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, having constructed $\Gamma^i \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$ such that

$$N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma^i_t(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F - \lambda I_m \in \mathbb{S}^m_+, \quad t \le T.$$
(4.5)

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mu|(d\tau)|\Gamma_t^i(\theta,\tau)| \le \kappa_i', \quad \mu - a.e., \quad t \le T,$$
(4.6)

for some $\kappa_i' > 0$, we define

$$\Theta_t^i(\tau) = -\left(N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_t^i(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F\right)^{-1}$$

$$\times \left(F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_t^i(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') D + C^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta') \Gamma_t^i(\theta', \tau)\right),$$

$$(4.7)$$

together with the coefficients

$$\tilde{Q}_t^i(\theta,\tau) = Q + \Theta_t^i(\theta)^\top N \Theta_t^i(\tau), \quad \tilde{B}_t^i(\tau) = B + C \Theta_t^i(\tau), \quad \tilde{D}_t^i(\tau) = D + F \Theta_t^i(\tau).$$
(4.8)

Since $\Gamma^i \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$, we have

$$\sup_{t \le T} \|\Gamma_t^i\|_{L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)} < \infty.$$

Combined with the estimate (4.6), this yields the existence of $c_i > 0$ such that

$$\Theta_t^i(\theta) \le c_i, \quad \mu - a.e., \quad t \le T.$$

This implies that the coefficients $\tilde{Q}^i, \tilde{B}^i, \tilde{D}^i$ satisfy (4.2). Therefore, Theorem 3.1 can be applied to get the existence of a unique solution $\Gamma^{i+1} \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$ to the following Lyapunov equation

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_t^{i+1}(\theta,\tau) &= \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} F_i(s,\Gamma_s^{i+1})(\theta,\tau) ds, \\ F_i(s,\Gamma)(\theta,\tau) &= \tilde{Q}_s^i(\theta,\tau) + \tilde{D}_t^i(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') \tilde{D}_t^i(\tau) \\ &+ \tilde{B}_t^i(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma(\theta',\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma(\theta,\tau') \mu(d\tau') \tilde{B}_t^i(\tau), \end{cases}$$
(4.9)

such that the estimate (4.6) holds also for Γ^{i+1} . Furthermore, since \tilde{Q}_t^i clearly lies in $\mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$, for all $t \leq T$, Lemma 4.1-(ii) yields that (4.5) is satisfied with Γ_t^i replaced by Γ_t^{i+1} , for all $t \leq T$. This ensures that the induction is well-defined.

4.2 Step 2: Convergence of $(\Gamma_t^i)_{i\geq 0}$ in $L^1(\mu\otimes\mu)$

Lemma 4.2. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a scalar function $\xi \in L^{\infty}(|\mu|)$ define the matrix-valued functions

$$\mathcal{U}^{i} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \Gamma^{i}(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau), \quad \mathcal{V}^{i}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \Gamma^{i}(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \xi(\tau).$$

Then,

- (i) $(\mathcal{U}^i)_{i\geq 0}$ is a non-increasing sequence (meaning $\mathcal{U}^{i+1}_t \leq \mathcal{U}^i_t$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \leq T$) of monotone non-increasing functions on the space $C([0,T], \mathbb{S}^d_+)$, converging pointwise to a limit denoted by \mathcal{U} ;
- (ii) $(\mathcal{V}^{i}(\xi))_{i\geq 0}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence of functions on the space $C([0,T], \mathbb{R}^{d' \times d'})$, converging pointwise to a limit denoted by $\mathcal{V}(\xi)$, for any scalar function $\xi \in L^{\infty}(|\mu|)$.

Proof. Throughout the proof we consider the intermediate scalar sequences

$$U_t^i(\varphi) = \langle \mathbf{\Gamma}_t^i \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{\mu^{\top}} \quad \text{and} \quad V_t^i(\varphi, \psi) = \langle \mathbf{\Gamma}_t^i \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mu^{\top}}, \quad t \leq T, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mu),$$

which are well-defined since $\Gamma_t^i \in L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$ for all $t \leq T$. We also Set $\Theta^{-1} = 0$, and for $i \geq 0$, and define

$$\Delta^{i} = \Gamma^{i} - \Gamma^{i+1}, \qquad \rho^{i} = \Theta^{i-1} - \Theta^{i},$$

where we recall that Θ^i is given by (4.7). Straightforward computations, detailed in Lemma A.2 and Remark A.3, yield that Δ^i solves the Lyapunov equation:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_t^i(\theta,\tau) &= \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} F_i^{\delta}(s,\Delta_s^i)(\theta,\tau) ds, \\ F_i^{\delta}(t,\Delta)(\theta,\tau) &= \tilde{Q}_t^{i,\delta}(\theta,\tau) + \tilde{D}_t^i(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Delta(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') \tilde{D}_t^i(\tau) \\ &+ \tilde{B}_t^i(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Delta(\theta',\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Delta(\theta,\tau') \mu(d\tau') \tilde{B}_t^i(\tau), \end{cases}$$
(4.10)

where

$$\tilde{Q}_t^{i,\delta}(\theta,\tau) = \rho_t^i(\theta)^\top \left(N + F^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma_t^i(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right) \rho_t^i(\tau).$$

• Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\tilde{Q}_t^{i,\delta} \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$, an application of Lemma 4.1-(i) on (4.10) shows that $t \mapsto \Delta_t^i$ is a non-increasing $\mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$ -valued function. Thus, for any $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$,

$$\langle \varphi, \Gamma_0^0 \varphi \rangle_{\mu} \ge \langle \varphi, \Gamma_t^0 \varphi \rangle_{\mu} \ge \langle \varphi, \Gamma_t^i \varphi \rangle \ge \langle \varphi, \Gamma_t^{i+1} \varphi \rangle_{\mu} \ge 0, \quad t \le T, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

Since for all $t \leq T$, Γ_t^i is also an element of $L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$, the density of simple functions in $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ with respect to the uniform norm, implies that

$$0 \le U_t^{i+1}(\varphi) \le U_t^i(\varphi) \le U_t^0(\varphi) \le U_0^0(\varphi).$$

for all $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. This implies that the sequence of functions $(U^{i}(\varphi))_{i\geq 0}$ is non-increasing, nonnegative and converging pointwise to a limit that we denote by $U_{t}(\varphi)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$. Furthermore, $t \mapsto U_{t}^{i}(\varphi)$ is continuous, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$, thanks to the continuity of $t \to \Gamma_{t}$ in $L^{1}(\mu \otimes \mu)$, see Lemma 3.5. The claimed statement (i) for \mathcal{U} now follows by evaluating with $\varphi(\theta) \equiv z$, where z ranges through $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$.

• Since $\Gamma_t^i - \Gamma_t^j \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$ for any $i \leq j$, an application of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (see Lemma A.1) yields

$$\langle \varphi, \left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^i - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^j\right)\psi\rangle_{\mu}^2 \leq \langle \varphi, \left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^i - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^j\right)\varphi\rangle_{\mu} \langle \psi, \left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^i - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_t^j\right)\psi\rangle_{\mu}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in L^1(\mu).$$
(4.11)

Invoking once again the density of simple functions in $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ with respect to the uniform norm and the fact that for all $t \leq T$, $\Gamma_t \in L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$, (4.11) gives

$$\left(V_t^i(\varphi,\psi) - V_t^j(\varphi,\psi)\right)^2 \le \left(U_t^i(\varphi) - U_t^j(\varphi)\right) \left(U_t^i(\psi) - U_t^j(\psi)\right), \quad \varphi, \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mu).$$

Whence, the sequence of real valued functions $(t \mapsto V_t^i(\varphi, \psi))_{i\geq 0}$ is uniformly bounded. Furthermore, this also shows that the sequence $(t \mapsto V_t^i(\varphi, \psi))_{i\geq 0}$ is a real-valued Cauchy sequence that converges pointwise to a limit that we denote by $V_t(\varphi, \psi)$, for any $\varphi, \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. To obtain the continuity of $V^i(\varphi, \psi)$, note that $\Gamma_t^i - \Gamma_s^i \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$ for any $t \leq s$ and $\Gamma_s^i - \Gamma_t^i \in \mathbb{S}^d_+$ for any $s \leq t$, which allows us once again to apply the Cauchy Schwartz inequality (see Lemma A.1) coupled with the density argument to obtain for any $s, t \in [0, T]$:

$$\left(V_t^i(\varphi,\psi) - V_s^i(\varphi,\psi)\right)^2 \le \left(U_t^i(\varphi) - U_s^i(\varphi)\right) \left(U_t^i(\psi) - U_s^i(\psi)\right).$$

Consequently, the continuity of $U^i(\varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ implies that of $V^i(\varphi, \psi)$ for any $\varphi, \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Fix $\xi \in L^{\infty}(|\mu|)$, the claimed statement (ii) for $\mathcal{V}(\xi)$ now follows by evaluating with $\varphi(\theta) \equiv z$ and $\psi(\theta) = \xi(\theta)z'$, where z, z' range through $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$. **Lemma 4.3.** There exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0,T]$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Gamma_{t}^{i}(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \right| \leq \kappa \quad \mu - a.e.$$
(4.12)

Proof. Lemma 4.2 ensures that there exists a constant M > 0 such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{t \le T} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma^i_t(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \right| \vee \sup_{t \le T} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} e^{-\theta t} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma^i_t(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \right| \le M.$$
(4.13)

Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 to bound the quantity $g_t^i(\theta) = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma_t^{i+1}(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \right|$. By construction Γ^{i+1} solves (4.9), so that an integration over the τ -variable combined with (4.13) and the triangle inequality yield

$$g_t^{i+1}(\theta) \le 4rT + 4r \int_t^T (1 + |K(t-s)|) \left(g_s^i(\theta) + g_s^{i+1}(\theta)\right) ds, \tag{4.14}$$

where r is a constant only depending on B, D, Q N and M. Let us now show the desired inequality (4.12). For $n \ge 0$, let us define

$$G_t^n(\theta) = \sup_{i=0,\dots,n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma_t^i(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \right|.$$

The inequality (4.14) yields for every $i \ge 0$

$$G_t^{i+1}(\theta) \le 4rT + 4r \int_t^T (1+2|K(t-s)|) G_s^{i+1}(\theta) ds.$$

Consequently, the generalized Gronwall inequality implies that there exists a constant c only depending on B, C, D, F, N, T, K and M such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [0, T]$ we have $|G_t^n(\theta)| \leq c$ for μ -almost every θ and $t \in [0, T]$.

Lemma 4.4. The sequence of functions $(\mathcal{U}^i)_{i\geq 0}$ converges uniformly on $C([0,T], \mathbb{S}^d_+)$ to its simple limit \mathcal{U} introduced in Lemma 4.2.

Proof. From Lemma 4.2, we have that $(\mathcal{U}^i)_{i\geq 0}$ is a non increasing sequence of continuous functions converging pointwise to \mathcal{U} . To obtain the uniform convergence it suffices to show that \mathcal{U} is continuous and apply Dini's theorem. To do so our strategy is to show that $t \mapsto \mathcal{U}_t$ solves an equation whose solutions are continuous.

Step 1. Equation satisfied by \mathcal{U} . By definition Γ^{i+1} is solution to (4.9), thus by integrating over τ, θ and applying Fubini's theorem we get

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{U}_t^{i+1} &= \int_t^T \tilde{F}_i(t,r) \left(\mathcal{U}^{i+1} \right) dr, \\ \tilde{F}_i(t,r) \left(\mathcal{U}^{i+1} \right) &= \mathbf{I}^i(t,r) + \mathbf{II}^i(t,r) + \mathbf{III}^i(t,r) + \mathbf{III}^i(t,r)^\top, \end{cases}$$
(4.15)

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}^{i}(t,r) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} e^{-\theta(r-t)} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \tilde{Q}^{i}_{r}(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) e^{-\tau(r-t)},\\ \mathbf{II}^{i}(t,r) &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-\theta(r-t)} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \tilde{D}^{i}_{r}(\theta)^{\top} \right) \mathcal{U}^{i+1}_{r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \tilde{D}^{i}_{r}(\tau) \mu(d\tau) e^{-\tau(r-t)} \right),\\ \mathbf{III}^{i}(t,r) &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-\theta(r-t)} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \tilde{B}^{i}_{r}(\theta)^{\top} \right) \mathcal{V}^{i}_{r}(e^{\cdot(t-r)}), \end{split}$$

with \tilde{B}^i, \tilde{D}^i and \tilde{Q}^i defined as in (4.8). The pointwise convergences and the uniform bounds stated in Lemma 4.2 allow us to apply the dominated convergence theorem to (4.15) to get

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{U}_t &= \int_t^T \tilde{F}(t,r) \left(\mathcal{U} \right) dr, \\ \tilde{F}(t,r) \left(\mathcal{U} \right) &= \mathbf{I}(t,r) + \mathbf{III}(t,r) + \mathbf{IIII}(t,r) + \mathbf{IIII}(t,r)^\top, \end{cases}$$
(4.16)

where

$$\mathbf{I}(t,r) = K(r-t)^{\top} Q K(r-t) + \tilde{\Theta}(t,r)^{\top} N \tilde{\Theta}(t,r),$$

$$\mathbf{II}(t,r) = \left(D K(r-t) + F^{\top} \tilde{\Theta}(t,r) \right)^{\top} \mathcal{U}_{r} \left(D K(r-t) + F^{\top} \tilde{\Theta}(t,r) \right),$$

$$\mathbf{III}(t,r) = \mathcal{V}_{r} \left(e^{\cdot(t-r)} \right) \left(B K(t-r) + C^{\top} \tilde{\Theta}(t,r) \right),$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}(t,r) = - \left(N + F^{\top} \mathcal{U}_{r} F \right)^{-1} \left(F^{\top} \mathcal{U}_{r} D K(r-t) + C^{\top} \mathcal{V}_{r} \left(e^{\cdot(t-r)} \right) \right).$$

Step 2. Continuity of $t \mapsto \mathcal{U}_t$. We first observe that by virtue of Lemma 4.2 $t \mapsto \mathcal{U}_t$ and $t \mapsto \mathcal{V}_t$ are bounded on [0, T] so that there exists c > 0 such that

$$\left|\tilde{F}(t,r)(\mathcal{U})\right| \le c\left(1 + |K(r-t)|^2\right), \quad t \le r \le T.$$
(4.17)

Fixing $t \leq s \leq T$, it follows from (4.16) that

$$\mathcal{U}_{t} - \mathcal{U}_{s} = \int_{t}^{s} \tilde{F}(t, r) \left(\mathcal{U}\right) dr + \int_{s}^{T} \left(\tilde{F}(t, r) \left(\mathcal{U}\right) - \tilde{F}(s, r) \left(\mathcal{U}\right)\right) dr$$

= $\mathbf{1}_{t,s} + \mathbf{2}_{t,s}.$

By (4.17),

$$|\mathbf{1}_{t,s}| \le c \left(s - t + \|K\|_{L^2(0,s-t)}^2\right).$$

By virtue of the square integrability of K, the right hand side goes to 0 as $t \uparrow s$. Similarly, using $u^{\top}Qu - v^{\top}Qv = (u+v)^{\top}Q(u-v)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{2}_{t,s}| &\leq c \int_{s}^{T} |K(r-t) - K(r-s)| |K(r-t) + K(r-s)| dr \\ &+ c \int_{s}^{T} |\mathcal{V}_{r}(e^{\cdot (t-r)}) - \mathcal{V}_{r}(e^{\cdot (s-r)})| dr \\ &\leq \mathbf{A}_{t,s} + \mathbf{B}_{t,s}, \end{aligned}$$

where c is a constant. The first term can be easily handled with Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\mathbf{A}_{t,s} \leq 2c \|K\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \int_0^T |K(r+s-t) - K(r)|^2 \, dr,$$

which shows that $\mathbf{A}_{t,s}$ converges to zero as t goes to s, recall (3.12). For the second term note that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \leq s \leq r \leq T$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \Gamma^{i}_{r}(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) e^{-\tau(r-t)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \Gamma^{i}_{r}(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) e^{-\tau(r-s)} \right|$$

$$\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau' \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \mu(d\theta)^{\top} \Gamma^{i}_{r}(\theta,\tau') \right| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-\tau(r-t)} - e^{-\tau(r-s)} |\mu| (d\tau) \qquad (4.18)$$

$$\leq \kappa \left| \overline{K}(r-s) - \overline{K}(r-t) \right|,$$

where κ is the uniform bound from Lemma 4.3 and

$$\overline{K}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\theta t} |\mu|(d\theta), \quad t > 0.$$
(4.19)

Taking the limit $i \to \infty$ in (4.18) and invoking Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\left|\mathcal{V}_r(e^{\cdot(t-r)}) - \mathcal{V}_r(e^{\cdot(s-r)})\right| \le \kappa \left|\overline{K}(r-s) - \overline{K}(r-t)\right|$$

Thus, similarly as for $\mathbf{A}_{t,s}$ we get that $\mathbf{B}_{t,s}$ converges to 0 as t goes to s. As a result \mathcal{U} is continuous.

Lemma 4.5. For any $t \leq T$, $(\Gamma_t^i)_{i\geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$.

Proof. Let $t \leq T$ and $i \leq j$. Let $\Theta^j, \tilde{B}^j, \tilde{D}^j$ be defined as in (4.8) for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then cumbersome but straightforward computations, detailed in Lemma A.2, yield that $\Delta_t^{i,j} = \Gamma_t^i - \Gamma_t^j$ solves the Lyapunov equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_t^{ij}(\theta,\tau) &= \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} F_{ij}^{\delta}(s,\Delta_s^{ij})(\theta,\tau) ds, \\ F_{ij}^{\delta}(t,\Delta)(\theta,\tau) &= \tilde{Q}_t^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) + \tilde{D}_t^{j-1}(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Delta(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') \tilde{D}_t^{j-1}(\tau) \\ &+ \tilde{B}_t^{j-1}(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Delta(\theta',\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Delta(\theta,\tau') \mu(d\tau') \tilde{B}_t^{j-1}(\tau) \\ &+ S_t^{ij}(\theta)^\top \rho_t^{ij}(\tau) + \rho_t^{ij}(\theta)^\top S_t^{ij}(\tau), \end{cases}$$
(4.20)

where

$$\begin{split} \rho^{ij} &= \Theta^{i-1} - \Theta^{j-1}, \\ \tilde{Q}_t^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) &= \rho_t^{ij}(\theta)^\top \left(N + F^\top \mathcal{U}_t^i F \right) \rho_t^{ij}(\tau), \\ S_t^{ij}(\tau) &= C^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma_t^i(\theta',\tau) + F^\top \mathcal{U}_t^i D + \left(N + F^\top \mathcal{U}_t^i F \right) \Theta_s^{j-1}(\tau), \end{split}$$

and \mathcal{U} is defined as in Lemma 4.2. We will show that $\|\Delta_t^{ij}\|_{L^1(\mu\otimes\mu)} \to 0$ as $i, j \to \infty$ by successive applications of Gronwall inequality and by showing that ρ^{ij} is small enough. For this, we fix $\epsilon > 0$ and we denote by c > 0 a scalar independent of i, j, t, τ and θ that may vary from line to line throughout the proof.

Step 1. We bound the terms $|\rho_t^{ij}(\tau)|$ and $\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Delta_t^{ij}(\theta,\tau)\mu(d\tau)\right|$. We first write

$$\rho_t^{ij}(\tau) = \left(\left(N + F^\top \mathcal{U}_t^j F \right)^{-1} - \left(N + F^\top \mathcal{U}_t^i F \right)^{-1} \right) \left(F^\top \mathcal{U}_t^j D + C^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma_t^j(\theta', \tau) \right) - \left(N + F^\top \mathcal{U}_t^i F \right)^{-1} \left(F^\top \left(\mathcal{U}_t^i - \mathcal{U}_t^j \right) D + C^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Delta_t^{ij}(\theta', \tau) \right).$$

$$(4.21)$$

By the uniform convergence of the sequence of functions $(\mathcal{U}^i)_{i\geq 0}$, obtained in Lemma 4.4, one can find $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left|\mathcal{U}_{t}^{i}-\mathcal{U}_{t}^{j}\right|+\left|\left(N+F^{\top}\mathcal{U}_{t}^{j}F\right)^{-1}-\left(N+F^{\top}\mathcal{U}_{t}^{i}F\right)^{-1}\right|\leq\epsilon,\quad t\leq T,\quad i,j\geq n',\ (4.22)$$

where the bound for the second term comes from the matrix identity $A^{-1}-B^{-1} = B^{-1}(B-A)A^{-1}$. Furthermore, it follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 that

$$\left|\mathcal{U}_{t}^{i}\right| \vee \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Gamma_{t}^{i}(\theta,\tau)\mu(d\tau)\right| \leq c, \quad \mu-a.e., \quad t \leq T, \quad i \geq 0.$$

$$(4.23)$$

Combining the previous identity with (4.22) and (4.21) yields

$$|\rho_t^{ij}(\tau)| \le c \left(\epsilon + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Delta_s^{ij}(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \right| \right), \quad \mu - a.e., \quad t \le T, \quad i, j \ge n'.$$

In addition, (4.23) yields that

$$|\Theta_t^i(\theta)| \le c, \quad \mu - a.e., \quad t \le T, \quad i \ge 0, \tag{4.24}$$

which in turn implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-\theta(s-t)} \left| \Theta_t^i(\theta) \right| |\mu|(d\theta) \le \kappa' \overline{K}(s-t), \quad s \le t \le T, \quad i \ge 0,$$

where \overline{K} is given by (4.19). Fix $i, j \ge n'$ and $t \le T$. Combining all the above and integrating equation (4.20) over the τ variable leads to

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Delta_t^{ij}(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \right| \le c \int_t^T (1 + \overline{K}^2(s-t)) \left(\epsilon + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Delta_s^{ij}(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \right| \right) ds, \quad \mu - a.e.$$

An application of the generalized Gronwall inequality for convolution equation with R the resolvent of $c(1 + \overline{K}^2)$, see [9, Theorem 9.8.2], yields

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Delta_t^{ij}(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \right| \le \epsilon c \left(T + \|\overline{K}\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2 \right) \left(1 + \|R\|_{L^1(0,T)} \right), \quad \mu - a.e.$$
(4.25)

Step 2. Plugging (4.22), (4.23), (4.24), and (4.25) into (4.21), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-\theta(s-t)} \left| \rho_{s}^{ij}(\tau) \right| \left| \mu \right| (d\tau) \leq r \left(\epsilon \left(1 + \overline{K}(s-t) \right) + \|\Delta_{s}^{ij}\|_{L^{1}(\mu \otimes \mu)} \right).$$
(4.26)

Finally by plugging (4.23), (4.24), (4.25) and (4.26) into (4.20) and integrating over the θ and τ variables we obtain

$$\|\Delta_t^{ij}\|_{L^1(\mu\otimes\mu)} \le c \int_t^T \left(1 + \overline{K}^2(t-s)\right) \left(\epsilon + \|\Delta_s^{ij}\|_{L^1(\mu\otimes\mu)}\right) ds.$$

Another application of the generalized Gronwall inequality for convolution equations yields that

$$\|\Delta_t^{ij}\|_{L^1(\mu\otimes\mu)} \le \epsilon c \left(T + \|\overline{K}^2\|_{L^2(0,T)}^2\right) \left(1 + \|R\|_{L^1(0,T)}\right).$$

This proves that $(\Gamma_t^i)_{i\geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$ for every $t \in [0, T]$.

4.3 Step 3: The limiting point of $(\Gamma_t^i)_{i\geq 0}$ solves the Riccati equation

Lemma 4.6. Assume that (2.6) holds. For each $t \leq T$, denote by Γ_t the limiting point in $L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$ of the sequence $(\Gamma_t^i)_{i\geq 0}$ obtained from Lemma 4.5. Then, $t \to \Gamma_t$ solves the Riccati equation (2.5) with

$$\sup_{t \le T} \|\Gamma_t\|_{L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)} < +\infty.$$

$$(4.27)$$

Proof. Fix $t \leq T$. By virtue of the $L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$ convergence,

$$\Gamma^i_t(\theta,\tau) \to \Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) \quad \mu \otimes \mu - a.e.$$

Furthermore the boundedness of $(i,t) \mapsto \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma^i_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \right|, (i,t,\theta) \mapsto \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma^i_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \right|$ and $(i,t,\tau) \mapsto \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma^i_t(\theta,\tau) \right|$, see Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, combined with equation (4.9) ensures that there exists a constant c > 0 such that $|\Gamma_t^i(\theta, \tau)| \leq c \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} ds \leq (1 \vee T) (1 \wedge (\theta + \tau)^{-1})$ since $1 - e^{-\theta t} \leq (1 \vee t) (1 \wedge \theta^{-1})$. Hence the dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma^i_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau), \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma^i_t(\theta,\tau) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \Gamma^i_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau), \ \mu-a.e. \end{split}$$

Thus, as $i \to \infty$ we have

$$\Theta_t^i(\theta) \to \Theta_t(\theta) = \left(N + F^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma_t(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) F \right)^{-1} \\ \times \left(F^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma_t(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) D + C^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma_t(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \right) (4.28) \\ B_t^i(\theta) \to B + C^\top \Theta_t(\theta) \\ D_t^i(\theta) \to D + F^\top \Theta_t(\theta)$$

By plugging these convergences into (4.9) we obtain that the limit Γ solves

$$\Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) = \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_s(\theta,\tau) ds, \qquad \mu \otimes \mu - a.e.$$
(4.29)

with

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_t(\theta,\tau) = & Q + \Theta_t(\theta) N \Theta_t(\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \left(B + C^\top \Theta_t(\tau) \right) \\ & + \left(B + C^\top \Theta_t(\theta) \right)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma_s(\theta,\tau) \\ & + \left(D + F^\top \Theta_t(\theta) \right)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma_t(\theta,\tau) \mu(d\tau) \left(D + F^\top \Theta_t(\tau) \right). \end{split}$$

By using the expression of Θ exhibited in (4.28), we get that $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_t(\theta, \tau) = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma_t)(\theta, \tau)$, where \mathcal{R} is given by (2.3), so that (4.29) is the desired Riccati equation. Finally, the uniform bounds obtained in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 and plugged into (4.29) imply (4.27).

4.4 Step 4: Continuity and uniqueness

We now establish the estimate (2.7) for the solutions of the Riccati equation, which in turn implies continuity.

Lemma 4.7. Assume that there exists a $L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)$ -valued function $t \mapsto \Gamma_t$ such that (2.5) holds with (4.27). Then, the estimate (2.7) holds and $\Gamma \in C([0,T], L^1(\mu \otimes \mu))$. If in addition $Q \in \mathbb{S}^d_+$, then $\Gamma_t \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$, for all $t \leq T$.

Proof. The proof of the estimate follows the same lines as that of Lemma 3.4, with constant coefficients. The only difference is the nonlinear term

$$\mathbf{V}_t(\theta,\tau) = \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} S(\Gamma_s)(\theta)^\top \hat{N}^{-1}(\Gamma_s) S(\Gamma_s)(\tau) ds,$$

which we can bound as follows. Let $\hat{S}(\Gamma)(s)(\theta) = |F||D| \|\Gamma_s\|_{L^1(\mu \otimes \mu)} + |C| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mu|(d\tau')|\Gamma(\theta, \tau')|$. Integration over the τ -variable, using the bound $e^{-\theta(s-t)} \leq 1$ and Tonelli's theorem give for a constant c that may vary from line to line

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu|(d\tau)|\mathbf{V}_{t}(\theta,\tau)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu|(d\tau) \int_{t}^{T} e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \hat{S}(\Gamma)(s)(\theta)|N^{-1}|\hat{S}(\Gamma)(s)(\tau)ds \\ &\leq c \sup_{s\leq T} \|\Gamma_{s}\|_{L^{1}(\mu\otimes\mu)} \int_{0}^{T} (1+\overline{K}(s))ds \\ &+ c \sup_{s\leq T} \|\Gamma_{s}\|_{L^{1}(\mu\otimes\mu)} \int_{t}^{T} (1+\overline{K}(s-t)) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu|(d\tau')|\Gamma_{s}(\theta,\tau')|ds, \end{split}$$

where \overline{K} is defined as in (4.19). The first four terms appearing in $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\mu|(d\tau)|\Gamma_t(\theta,\tau)|$ lead to inequality (3.10), with $(\Gamma, \overline{K}, \mu, c)$ instead of $(\Psi, \overline{K}_2, \mu_2, \kappa)$. Adding the previous bound for the fifth nonlinear term yields

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu|(d\tau)|\Gamma_{t}(\theta,\tau)| &\leq c \left(1 + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\Gamma_{s}\|_{L^{1}(\mu_{1} \otimes \mu)}\right) \int_{0}^{T} \left(1 + \overline{K}(s)\right) ds \\ &+ c \int_{t}^{T} (1 + \overline{K}(s-t)) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} |\mu|(d\tau')|\Gamma_{s}(\theta,\tau')| ds. \end{split}$$

The claimed estimate now follows from the generalized Gronwall inequality for convolution equations, see [9, Theorem 9.8.2].

To argue continuity, we recall that the Riccati equation (2.5) can be recast as a Lyapunov equation as in (4.29). The claimed continuity is therefore a consequence of Lemma 3.5 provided that the coefficients of (4.29) are bounded, which amounts to showing that the functions $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta)^\top \Gamma_t(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau)$ and $(t, \theta) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Gamma_t(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau)$ are bounded. The boundedness of the former is ensured by (4.27) and that of the latter follows from the estimate (2.7). If in addition $Q \in \mathbb{S}^d_+$, then Lemma 4.1 applied for (4.29) yields that $\Gamma_t \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$ for any $t \leq T$.

Finally, exploiting once more the correspondence with the Lyapunov equation, uniqueness for the Riccati equation is obtained as a consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.8. There exists at most one solution to (2.5) such that (2.7) and (4.27) hold.

Proof. Let Γ^a and Γ^b be two solutions of (2.5) such that (2.7) and (4.27) hold. For $i \in \{a, b\}$, observe that Γ^i can be recast as a solution to a Lyapunov equation with bounded coefficients in the form (4.9). As a result, $\Delta = \Gamma^a - \Gamma^b$ can be written as a solution to the following Lyapunov equation with bounded coefficients (see Lemma A.2 for details):

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_t(\theta,\tau) &= \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} F_{ab}(s,\Delta_s)(\theta,\tau) ds, \\ F_{ab}(t,\Delta)(\theta,\tau) &= Q_t^{ab}(\theta,\tau) + D_t^b(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Delta(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') D_t^b(\tau) \\ &+ B_t^b(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Delta(\theta',\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Delta(\theta,\tau') \mu(d\tau') B_t^2(\tau) \\ &+ S_t^{ab}(\theta)^\top \rho_t^{ab}(\tau) + \rho_t^{ab}(\theta)^\top S_t^{ab}(\tau), \end{cases}$$
(4.30)

where

$$\begin{split} \rho^{ab} &= \Theta^a - \Theta^b, \\ Q_t^{ab}(\theta,\tau) &= \rho_t^{ab}(\theta)^\top \left(N + F^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma_t^a(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right) \rho_t^{ab}(\tau), \\ S_t^{ab}(\tau) &= C^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma^a(\theta',\tau) + F^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta') \Gamma_s^a(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') D + \\ &+ \left(N + F^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta') \Gamma_s^a(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right) \Theta_s^a(\tau). \end{split}$$

The fact that the coefficients are bounded comes from (2.7) and (4.27) on Γ^a and Γ^b . Now, one can note similarly as in (4.21) that ρ^{ab} can be re-written as

$$\begin{split} \rho_s^{ab}(\tau) &= -\left(N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_t^a(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F\right)^{-1} \\ & \times \left(F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Delta_t(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') D + C^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Delta_t(\theta', \tau)\right) \\ & + \left(\left(N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_t^b(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F\right)^{-1} - \left(N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_t^a(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F\right)^{-1}\right) \\ & \times \left(F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_t^b(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') D + C^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_t^b(\theta', \tau)\right) \\ &= \mathbf{A}(\tau) + \mathbf{B}(\tau), \end{split}$$

which is linear in Δ since $\mathbf{B}(\tau)$ can be rewritten as

$$\left| \left(N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma^{b}_{t}(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right)^{-1} - \left(N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma^{a}_{t}(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right)^{-1} \right|$$

$$= \left| \left(N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma^{b}_{t}(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right)^{-1} \left(F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \left(\Delta_{t}(\theta', \tau') \right) \mu(d\tau') F \right) \right.$$

$$\times \left(N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma^{a}_{t}(\theta', \tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right)^{-1} \right|.$$

Consequently, $\Delta = \Gamma^a - \Gamma^b$ is solution to a homogeneous linear Lyapunov equation with bounded coefficients, and no affine term. Thus, the generalized Gronwall inequality for convolution equations, see [9, Theorem 9.8.2] ensures that $\|\Delta_t\|_{L^1(\mu\otimes\mu)} = 0$ for every $t \in [0,T]$, which proves uniqueness.

A Some elementary results

Lemma A.1. Let $\Psi \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$, and Ψ its corresponding linear integral operator. Then for any $\varphi, \psi \in L^1(\mu)$

$$\langle \varphi, \Psi \psi \rangle^2_{\mu} \leq \langle \varphi, \Psi \varphi \rangle_{\mu} . \langle \psi, \Psi \psi \rangle_{\mu}$$

Proof. Since $\Psi \in \mathbb{S}^d_+(\mu \otimes \mu)$, then for any $\varphi, \psi \in L^1(\mu)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \left(\varphi(\theta) + \lambda \psi(\theta)\right)^\top \mu(d\theta)^\top \Psi(\theta, \tau) \mu(d\tau) \left(\varphi(\tau) + \lambda \psi(\tau)\right) \ge 0$$

By expanding the square we obtain a non negative second order polynomial in λ whose discriminant must be non positive. This combined with $\Psi(\theta, \tau) = \Psi(\tau, \theta)^{\top}$ yields the claimed inequality.

Lemma A.2. Let $(\Gamma^i)_{i\geq 0}$ be the sequence defined in (4.9). Then for any $1 \leq i < j$, $\Delta^{ij} = \Gamma^i - \Gamma^j$ is solution to

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_t^{ij}(\theta,\tau) &= \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} F_{ij}^{\delta}(s, \Delta_s^{ij})(\theta,\tau) ds, \\
F_{ij}^{\delta}(t,\Delta)(\theta,\tau) &= Q_t^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) + D_t^{j-1}(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Delta(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') D_t^{j-1}(\tau) \\
&\quad + B_t^{j-1}(\theta)^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Delta(\theta',\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \Delta(\theta,\tau') \mu(d\tau') B_t^{j-1}(\tau) \\
&\quad + S_t^{ij}(\theta)^\top \rho_t^{ij}(\tau) + \rho_t^{ij}(\theta)^\top S_t^{ij}(\tau),
\end{aligned}$$
(A.1)

where

$$\begin{split} \rho^{ij} &= \Theta^{i-1} - \Theta^{j-1}, \\ Q_t^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) &= \rho_t^{ij}(\theta)^\top \left(N + F^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma_t^i(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right) \rho_t^{ij}(\tau), \\ S_t^{ij}(\tau) &= C^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma^i(\theta',\tau) + F^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta') \Gamma_s^i(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') D + \\ &+ \left(N + F^\top \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \mu(d\theta')^\top \Gamma_s^i(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right) \Theta_s^{j-1}(\tau). \end{split}$$

Remark A.3. Note that when j = i + 1, then $S^{i(i+1)} = 0$. Indeed, in such case we have

$$\begin{split} S_s^{i(i+1)}(\theta) = & C^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta') \Gamma_s^i(\theta',\tau) + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_s^i(\theta',\tau') D_s^{j-1}(\tau) + N \Theta_s^i(\tau) \\ = & C^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mu(d\theta') \Gamma_s^i(\theta',\tau) + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_s^i(\theta',\tau') D \\ & + \left(N + F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_s^i(\theta',\tau') \mu(d\tau') F \right) \Theta_s^i(\tau) \ = \ 0. \end{split}$$

As a consequence, in the particular case where j = i + 1, $\Delta^i = \Delta^{i(i+1)}$ is solution to (4.10).

Proof. Let $t \in [0, T]$, for almost every θ, τ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t^{ij}(\theta,\tau) &= \Gamma_t^i(\theta,\tau) - \Gamma_t^j(\theta,\tau) \\ &= \int_t^T e^{-(\theta+\tau)(s-t)} \left(\mathbf{I}_s^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) + \left(\mathbf{I}_s^{ij,\delta}(\tau,\theta) \right)^\top + \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}_s^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) + \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}_s^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) \right) \overset{(A.2)}{ds}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{I}^{ij,\delta}, \mathbf{II}^{ij,\delta}$ and $\mathbf{III}^{ij,\delta}$ are defined as follows

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{s}^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Gamma_{s}^{i}(\theta,\tau')\mu(d\tau')B_{s}^{i-1}(\tau) - \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Gamma_{s}^{j}(\theta,\tau')\mu(d\tau')B_{s}^{j-1}(\tau) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Delta_{s}^{ij}(\theta,\tau')\mu(d\tau')B_{s}^{j-1}(\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Gamma_{s}^{i}(\theta,\tau')\mu(d\tau')C\rho_{s}^{i-1}(\tau) - B_{s}^{j-1}(\tau)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Delta_{s}^{ij}(\theta,\tau')\mu(d\tau')B_{s}^{j-1}(\tau) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \Gamma_{s}^{i}(\theta,\tau')\mu(d\tau')C\rho_{s}^{ij}(\tau) \\ \mathbf{II}_{s}^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) &= D_{s}^{i-1}(\theta)^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_{s}^{i}(\theta,\tau)\mu(d\tau')D_{s}^{i-1}(\tau) - D_{s}^{j-1}(\theta)^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_{s}^{ij}(\theta,\tau)\mu(d\tau')D_{s}^{j-1}(\tau) \\ &= D_{s}^{j-1}(\theta)^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Delta_{s}^{ij}(\theta,\tau)\mu(d\tau')P_{s}^{j-1}(\tau) \\ &+ \rho_{s}^{ij}(\theta)^{\top} F^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_{s}^{i}(\theta',\tau')\mu(d\tau')F\rho_{s}^{ij}(\tau) \\ &+ D_{s}^{j-1}(\theta)^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}} \mu(d\theta')^{\top} \Gamma_{s}^{i}(\theta',\tau')\mu(d\tau')F\rho_{s}^{ij}(\tau) \\ \mathbf{III}_{s}^{ij,\delta}(\theta,\tau) &= Q_{s}^{i-1}(\theta,\tau) - Q_{s}^{j-1}(\theta,\tau) \\ &= \rho_{s}^{ij}(\theta)^{\top} N\rho_{s}^{ij}(\tau) + \rho_{s}^{ij}(\theta)^{\top} N\Theta_{s}^{j-1}(\tau) + \Theta_{s}^{j-1}(\theta)N\rho_{s}^{ij}(\tau) \end{split}$$

By plugging the expressions of $\mathbf{I}^{ij,\delta}$, $\mathbf{II}^{ij,\delta}$, $\mathbf{III}^{ij,\delta}$ into (A.2) we obtain (A.1).

References

- [1] E. Abi Jaber. The Laplace transform of the integrated Volterra Wishart process. In preparation.
- [2] E. Abi Jaber, E. Miller, and H. Pham. Linear-Quadratic control for a class of stochastic Volterra equations: solvability and approximation. 2019.
- [3] A. Alfonsi and A. Schied. Capacitary measures for completely monotone kernels via singular control. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 51(2):1758–1780, 2013.
- [4] N. Artamonov. Solvability of an operator Riccati integral equation in a reflexive banach space. Differential Equations, 55(5):718-728, 2019.
- [5] H. Brezis. Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.

- [6] R. Curtain and A. Pritchard. The infinite-dimensional Riccati equation. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 47:43–57, 1974.
- [7] G. Da Prato. Direct solution of a Riccati equation arising in stochastic control theory. Applied Mathematics and Optimization, 11:191–208, 1984.
- [8] F. Flandoli. Direct solution of a Riccati equation arising in a stochastic control problem with control and observation on the boundary. *Applied Mathematics and Optimization*, 14(1):107– 129, 1986.
- G. Gripenberg, S.-O. Londen, and O. Staffans. Volterra integral and functional equations, volume 34 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [10] G. Guatteri and G. Tessitore. On the backward stochastic Riccati equation in infinite dimension. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 44(1):159–194, 2005.
- [11] Y. Hu and S. Tang. Stochastic LQ and associated Riccati equation of PDEs driven by state-and control-dependent White noise. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.05308, 2018.
- [12] S. Koshkin. Positive semigroup and algebraic Riccati equations in Banach spaces. Positivity, 20:541–563, 2016.
- [13] I. Lasiecka. Optimal control problems and Riccati equations for systems with unbounded controls and partially analytic generators. *Functional Analytic Methods for Evolution Equations*, pages 313–371, 2005.
- [14] J. Yong and X. Y. Zhou. Stochastic controls: Hamiltonian systems and HJB equations, volume 43. Springer Verlag, 1999.