

The Italian Solfatara as an analog for Mars fumarolic alteration

Jessica Flahaut, Janice Bishop, Simone Silvestro, Dario Tedesco, Isabelle Daniel, Damien Loizeau

▶ To cite this version:

Jessica Flahaut, Janice Bishop, Simone Silvestro, Dario Tedesco, Isabelle Daniel, et al.. The Italian Solfatara as an analog for Mars fumarolic alteration. The American Mineralogist, 2019, 104 (11), pp.1565-1577. 10.2138/am-2019-6899. hal-02345322

HAL Id: hal-02345322 https://hal.science/hal-02345322v1

Submitted on 7 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Manuscript 6899 – Revision 2 (Corrections on 25/06/19)
2	The Italian Solfatara as an analog for Mars fumarolic alteration
3	Flahaut Jessica ^{1*} , Janice L. Bishop ² , Simone Silvestro ^{2,3} , Dario Tedesco ⁴ , Isabelle Daniel ⁵ and
4	Damien Loizeau ⁶
5	
6	1- Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques (CRPG), UMR7358 CNRS-
7	Université de Lorraine, 15 rue Notre-Dame des Pauvres, 54500 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France.
8	2- Carl Sagan Center, The SETI Institute, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA.
9	3- INAF- Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, Napoli, Italy.
10	4- Campania University - Luigi Vanvitelli, Caserta, Italy.
11	5- Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, Ens de Lyon, CNRS, UMR 5276, Lab. de Géologie de
12	Lyon, Villeurbanne F-69622, France.
13	6- IAS, CNRS/Université Paris Sud, 91400 Orsay, France.
14	
15	*Corresponding author: Dr Jessica Flahaut, Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et
16	Géochimiques, 15 rue Notre-Dame des Pauvres, 54500 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France. Email
17	jessica.flahaut@univ-lorraine.fr
18	
19	Keywords
20	Mars analog, hydrothermalism, vents, fumaroles, alteration patterns, Solfatara, VNIR
21	spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, XRD
22	
23	Abstract

The first definitive evidence for continental vents on Mars is the *in situ* detection of amorphous silica-rich outcrops by the Mars Exploration Rover Spirit. These outcrops have been tentatively interpreted as the result of either acid sulfate leaching in fumarolic environments or direct precipitation from hot springs. Such environments represent prime targets for upcoming astrobiology missions, but remain difficult to identify with certainty, especially from orbit. In order to contribute to the identification of fumaroles and hot spring deposits on Mars, we surveyed their characteristics at the analog site of the Solfatara volcanic crater in central Italy. Several techniques of mineral identification (VNIR spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, XRD) were used both in the field, and in the laboratory on selected samples. The faulted crater walls showed evidence of acid leaching and alteration into the advanced argillic-alunitic facies, with colorful deposits containing alunite, jarosite and/or hematite. Sublimates containing a variety of Al and Fe hydroxyl-sulfates were observed around the active fumarole vents at 90°C. One vent at 160°C was characterized by different sublimates enriched in As and Hb sulfide species. Amorphous silica and alunite assemblages that are diagnostic of silicic alteration were also observed at the Fangaia mud pots inside the crater. A wide range of minerals was identified at the 665 m diameter Solfatara crater that is diagnostic of acid-steam heated alteration of a trachytic, porous bedrock. Importantly, this mineral diversity was captured at each site investigated with at least one of the techniques used, which lends confidence for the recognition of similar environments with the next generation Mars rovers.

43

45

46

47

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

44 Introduction

Hydrothermal systems have often been proposed as a possible cradle for early life (e.g., Shock, 1996; Nisbet and Sleep, 2001) and therefore represent a prime astrobiology target. Hydrothermal environments have long been presumed to exist on Mars based on orbital observations, terrestrial

analogs, and martian meteorites (e.g., Farmer et al., 1996; Ruff et al., 2011; Carr and Head, 2010). Evidence for warm and wet environments include the orbital detections by recent VNIR spectral-imagers of a wide range of hydrated minerals (Bibring et al., 2006; Murchie et al., 2009, Carter et al., 2013). In particular, the detection of chlorite, prehnite, epidote, serpentine and carbonates evidences aqueous alteration of Mars basaltic crust at high temperatures (e.g., Murchie et al., 2009; Ehlmann et al., 2009; Carter et al., 2013). Alteration by hydrothermal circulation in the cooling crust and impact-generated hydrothermal alteration have both been proposed as a plausible formation mechanism (e.g., Abramov and Kring, 2005; Carter et al., 2010, 2013; Ehlmann et al., 2009, 2011; Carr and Head, 2010). Hydrothermalism is also invoked as a likely source for alteration in localized surface environments (fumarolic fields or hot springs), leading to the formation of the clays, sulfates and silica-rich deposits identified in Valles Marineris Chasma (Milliken et al., 2008; Thollot et al., 2012), the silica detections in the Nili Patera caldera (Skok et al., 2010) or the serpentine and Mg-carbonate detections in Nili Fossae (Viviano et al., 2013). However, the first definitive evidence for volcanic hydrothermal activity (continental vents) on Mars is the *in situ* detection of amorphous silica-rich outcrops (>90% wt opal-A) by the Mars Exploration Rover (MER) Spirit Miniature Thermal Emission Spectrometer (Mini-TES) instrument (Squyres et al., 2008). The detection of abundant opaline silica at Home Plate, combined with high Ti content in local soils, was interpreted as an evidence for the dissolution of basaltic soils by low pH fluids (Squyres et al., 2008). Iron and phosphate-rich soils detected nearby, at Paso Robles, were also interpreted as acid-sulfate alteration products in a hydrothermal (possibly fumarolic) environment of primary phosphate-rich materials (Hausrath et al., 2013). The nodular aspect of the Home Plate outcrops led to an alternative suggestion that the Gusev silica could originate from the direct precipitation of sinters as observed in terrestrial hot springs (Ruff et al., 2011, 2014; Ruff and Farmer, 2016). The objective of this study is to

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

characterize in detail the fumarolic environments (and alteration patterns) at the Solfatara as a Mars analog site (Campi Flegrei, Italy), and discuss the possibilities and limits of identifying them on Mars. This specific analog site is used to show how trachytic rocks at the volcanic crater were hydrothermally altered into sulfate and silica-rich assemblages. Nevertheless, a variety of alteration assemblages can be produced via fumarolic alteration depending on several factors (e.g., composition of the bedrock, composition of the fluids). Therefore, the Solfatara site may be analogous to a some martian hydrothermal environments, which are likely to be more diverse.

79

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

80 Geologic setting

The Solfatara volcano is the most active site of the Campi Flegrei (CF) caldera, a quaternary volcanic complex located north of the city of Naples, in the Campanian plain graben (Figure 1). Straddling between the Tyrrhenian Sea to the west and the Apennines to the east, the Campanian plain is thought to originate from the stretching and thinning of the continental crust in an extensional back-arc context. The Campanian plain region is characterized by a general tensional NE-SW and NW-SE tectonic regime, and upwards migrations of magma that resulted in large ignimbrite eruptions starting from 290,000 years ago (e.g., Torrente et al., 2010). The largest of the Campanian plain volcano, Campi Flegrei (CF), is a 12km wide, partly submerged depression, inherited from two major collapses during the Campanian Ignimbrite eruption 37,000 years ago and the Neapolitan Yellow Tuff eruption 12,000 years ago (e.g., Rosi et al., 1983; Piochi et al., 2014 and references therein). The area was later affected by numerous large explosive eruptions that resulted in the formation of more than 20 craters including the 665 m wide, 4200 year old Solfatara volcanic crater (e.g., Armienti et al., 1983; Rosi and Sbrana, 1987; Di Vito et al., 1999; Pappalardo et al., 2002; Piochi et al., 2014; Isaia et al., 2015). The CF volcanic complex of potassic alkaline affinity is filled with km-thick pyroclastic deposits, overlapping Miocene flysch

facies terrains and Triassic-Paleocene carbonatic outcrops (e.g., Cameli et al., 1975). Previous analyses at the CF Solfatara reported the presence of incoherent products and ignimbrites of trachyte to trachyandesite composition, in addition to localized alteration (e.g., Rosi et al., 1983). Current volcanic activity in the CF is expressed through fumarole emissions, thermal pools and passive soil degassing that are most active within the Solfatara crater. According to geophysical measurements, the CF area is underlain by a magma reservoir located at 5 km depth and a Moho interface at 7 - 25 km depth (Ferrucci et al., 1989; Brandmayr et al., 2010). Combined with recent eruptive activity, this shallow mantle occurrence results in a local high heat flux of up to 200 mW.m⁻² (Piochi et al., 2014, and references therein). Shallow intrusions and degassing of magma drive unrest at the Phlegraean fields, and bradyseismic events are frequent in the Pozzuoli area, where the Solfatara crater is located (Tedesco, 1994; Caliro et al., 2007; Piochi et al., 2014). Currently the Solfatara area is one of the most active fumarolic manifestations worldwide, with a diffuse degassing CO₂ rate > 1500 ton.day⁻¹ (Caliro et al., 2007, 2014 and reference therein). Gas emissions at the Solfatara include H2O and CO2 with H2S, N2, H2, CH4, He, Ar, and CO as a minor species (e.g., Chiodini et al., 2001; Caliro et al., 2007). Fumaroles are distributed along the crater NE and SE faulted rims, whereas mud pots occupy its central region (Figure 1). Stable isotope analyses of the water suggested that the Solfatara fumarolic discharge results from a mixture of CO₂-rich fluids degassed from a magma body and the vapor generated at 360°C from hydrothermal fluids (Caliro et al., 2007). Underground temperatures between 200 and 300°C were estimated from the mixed rising plume, using geochemical modeling (e.g., Tedesco and Sabroux, 1987, Tedesco et al., 1988). Furthermore, S isotopic compositions of H₂S from fumaroles argue for a typical magmatic origin (Allard et al., 1991), while epithermal minerals support the formation of sulfur species by acidic interaction of H₂S with the host rock via

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

oxidation to H₂SO₄, in a steam-heated environment (e.g., Valentino et al., 1999; Piochi et al., 2015).

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

119

120

Sample collection and analyses

Alteration patterns at and around the mud pots (locally named Fangaia) and fumaroles were studied with portable Visible Near InfraRed (VNIR) and Raman spectrometers at 7 sites within the crater during a field campaign in September, 2015 (Figure 1c). Rock samples were collected for laboratory characterization by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) and chemical analyses (Tables 1-4; Figures 1c and 2; Flahaut et al., 2017a). VNIR reflectance spectra were measured onsite with an Analytical Spectral Devices (ASDinc) field spectrometer. The ASDinc Fieldspec 4 Hi-Res instrument collects spectra in the 350 – 2500 nm domain (equivalent to 28000 – 4000 cm⁻¹) with a spectral resolution of 3 to 8 nm. All spectra were acquired in the field with the contact probe (to limit the atmospheric contribution), converted from radiance to reflectance using the ASDinc software and compared with the VNIR spectra of reference minerals from the Bishop spectral library, as well as external sources such as the USGS, RELAB, JPL and CRISM spectral libraries (e.g., Clark et al., 2007; Murchie et al., 2007; Kokaly et al., 2017). Raman spectra were also measured onsite with a portable Raport instrument from the LGL-TPE (Lyon, France). Using a 532 nm - 30 mW laser source, the instrument has a sampling resolution of 4-6 cm⁻¹ between 100 cm⁻¹ and 4000 cm⁻¹. However, given the large amount of amorphous material within the crater, few diagnostic signatures were observed in situ. Additional Raman spectra were collected on specific samples or grains at the LGL-TPE laboratory using an Xplora Raman instrument coupled to an Olympus BX41 microscope and a at 532 nm laser source. 142 Spectra were compared with the reference Raman spectra of minerals in the RUFF libraries and 143 WURM database (Downs and Hall-Wallace, 2003; Caracas et al., 2011). 144 Semi-quantitative X-Ray diffraction was performed using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer 145 equipped with a Cu X-ray source at the Centre de Diffractométrie of the University Lyon 1, 146 France. Samples were dried at 70°C for 48 hours, ground in an agate mortar, and dry sieved to 147 <100 um prior to measurement. A possible caveat of this preparation in the laboratory is that the 148 phases detected are those observed in ambient laboratory conditions and may not reflect the 149 hydration/structural state of these phases in situ. Random powder measurements were made over 150 a 2-theta range of 3° to 70°. Oriented measurements were also performed on selected samples to 151 enhance the signal originating from the (001) plane of potential clays, but none were detected. 152 XRD patterns were analyzed and interpreted using the Bruker DIFFRAC. SUITE EVA software, 153 and the fits were performed by comparing the d-spacing values to those in minerals of the 154 International Center for Diffraction Data database and the Crystallography Open Database (Kabekkodu et al., 2002; Grazulis et al., 2009). The identity and relative mineral abundances 155 156 were derived from the analyses, using corundum as an external standard. Mineral abundances are 157 expressed as weight percent (wt. %) of mineral species with ~ 5 % accuracy. The degree of crystallinity, DOC, is also calculated from the total areas under the defined crystalline and 158 159 amorphous components (Table 3). 160 In addition, major element analyses by ICP-OES, selected trace element analyses by ICP-MS, 161 and S analyses were subcontracted for a selection of rock powder samples (amorphous material 162 of the mud pots and fresher samples from crater walls) at the SARM facility in Nancy, France 163 (Tables 2 and 4).

. ___

166 Results

Nature of the bedrock in the crater walls

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

The local bedrock at Solfatara is comprised of incoherent ignimbrites (pyroclastic fallout, pyroclastic density currents, coarse to fine ash beds) as exposed in the crater walls (e.g., at site L3, Figure 2d), originating from the third and latest subaerial Campanian eruptive period ~ 4500 years ago (Isaia et al., 2015). With a Si content > 80 wt% and total alkali content of ~0.2-0.3 wt% (Table 2), samples L5R4, L3R1 and L6R1 from the relatively unaltered crater walls plot well in the field of rhyolite in a TAS (Total Alkali vs Silica) diagram. However, it is difficult to find pristine bedrock samples; high Si and Ti concentrations and elevated chemical index of alteration (CIA) indices in these apparently "fresh" ignimbrites suggest that they have been exposed to several episodes of leaching (Table 2). From a mineralogical point of view, all of samples L5R4, L3R1 and L6R1 have a more porous / ashy texture, and are mostly dominated by amorphous Sirich material (> 90 wt%) with minor amounts of anatase (TiO₂) and calcite (CaCO₃) (Table 3). More rocky wall samples such as L6R3 and L6R5 are composed of > 85 wt% of the alkali feldspar sanidine (KAlSi₃O₈) and correspond to trachytic compositions. Native sulfur was not detected in the bedrock samples by XRD, but bulk chemical analyses reveal S contents between 0.3 and 1.4 wt%. The bedrock material does not show specific Raman or VNIR spectral signature; weak hydration bands are observed in some outcrops (Figure 3a, black spectrum; Flahaut et al., 2017a). The rather symmetric shape of the 1.9 µm band, coupled with the lack of specific Raman signatures, suggests the presence of a hydrated amorphous sulfate, although hydrated silica could also be a possible interpretation (Figure 3a). The rounded spectral features near 1.42, 1.91, and 2.23 µm 189 al., 2011). 190 Yellow to orange coatings cover the walls locally, which suggests that alteration by sulfur-rich 191 fumarolic gases occurred as they reached the surface and condensed over the porous ignimbrites 192 (sites L2, L3, L6; Figure 2c, d, h, k). Limited outcrops also show dark red (e.g., L6R5, L6R10), 193 grey or turquoise-green colors (e.g., L5R4, L6R6) (Flahaut et al., 2017a). Colorful coatings were 194 abundant along the NE and SE faulted crater walls, where the fluid circulation might be more 195 intense. The paucity of vegetation within the crater defines an area of diffuse degassing much 196 larger than the fumarolic vents and faulted areas. At site L6, a whitish zone delineates a valley 197 cutting the crater rim and the weathered colored wall, suggesting recent erosion and dissolution 198 by rainfall and surficial water runoff (Figure 2k). As sites L2 and L3 are similar to L6 but with a 199 limited extent and a greater vegetation cover, samples from these sites were not analyzed by 200 XRD and ICP-OES. VNIR spectra of samples from sites L2, L3, L6R1 to R10 are consistent with alunite 201 KAl₃(SO₄)₂(OH)₆, jarosite KFe₃³⁺(SO₄)₂(OH)₆ and hematite Fe₂O₃ (Figure 3a). Alunite is 202 203 identified by features near 1.48, 1.76, and 2.16, 2.31 µm and jarosite by features near 0.92, 1.47, 204 1.85, and 2.26 µm (Bishop and Murad, 2005). The VNIR spectrum of hematite includes a 205 shoulder near 0.65 and a band near 0.85-0.88 µm that both vary in shape with grain size, but no 206 bands due to H₂O or OH (e.g. Morris et al., 1985, Morris and Lauer, 1990; Bishop and Murad, 207 2002). XRD analyses detect minor amount of jarosite, alunite, goldichite KFe³⁺(SO₄)₂·4H₂O, alunogen $Al_2(SO_4)_3(H_2O)_{12}.5H_2O$ and carminite $Mg_7(SO_4)_5(OH)_4.H_2O$ (Figure 3), but no 208 209 hematite. This may indicate that the hematite observed by VNIR spectroscopy is poorly

observed for sample L6R1 are strikingly similar to those in opal spectra (C1JB874, McKeown et

crystalline. Raman spectra are consistent with the presence of sanidine remnants, anatase, alunite, jarosite and amorphous material (Figure 4a).

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

210

211

Sediments from the mud pots

CO₂ bubbles are continuously released from low temperature mud pools, or mud pots, located at the center of the crater (Site L1, fig. 1c, fig 2a,b). All three bubbling mud pots have a similar pH of ~1.5 and temperature of ~33°C (Flahaut et al., 2017a). The shallow pools are filled with greyish, muddy deposits (L1R4). XRD analyses reveal the presence of ca. 50 % amorphous material consistent with opaline silica in agreement with the shape and position of the amorphous hump, and with the chemical analyses. Other components include ~ 25 wt% native sulfur and ~ 25 wt% alunite. Major element analyses confirmed the presence of a high abundance of volatile elements including sulfur (Table 4). The pool ramparts are covered with silica coatings (L1R3Z1), whereas the muddy soil around the pool forms polygonal terrains during the dry season (L1R1), sometimes covered with a yellow coating (L1R2). XRD analyses show that the surrounding rocks contain less amorphous material than the pool material, and that the yellow deposits of sample L1R2 are primarily composed of alunogen + pickeringite (MgAl₂(SO₄)₄·22H₂O), with minor K-alum and alunite. Clay minerals were not detected in the XRD patterns. All VNIR spectra are dominated by broad hydration features, consistent with amorphous silica (Figure 3b). The spectral bands are broadened to 1.41-1.48 and 2.16-2.21 µm and weak bands are present near 1.76 and 2.31 µm, all of which are consistent with a minor content of alunite. Raman spectra are consistent with the presence of

232

native sulfur, sulfates and opal-A (broad bands at 310 and 780 cm⁻¹) (Figure 4b).

Deposits from the fumarolic vents

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

Material of various colors (white, yellow, orange and brown) and textures (fibers, needles, bubbles...) with high crystalline contents (> 85% of the total phases) were collected from within the vents at sites L5 and L6 at 90 °C (Fig. 2g, j; Flahaut et al., 2017a). VNIR analyses reveal the presence of hydrated materials, likely including Al and Fe-rich sulfates (multiple absorptions between 0.86-0.93 and 2.18-2.32 µm are observed; Figure 3c). Most spectra contain a strong water absorption feature that dominates the spectra at wavelengths > 1.8 µm, which may indicate the presence of highly hydrated sulfates such as alunogen or K-alum. However, no unique spectral matches could be found in the reference libraries. This is likely also because of the sensitivity of such hydrated phases to environmental conditions and their ability to adsorb water near steam vents that is saturating their VNIR spectra. Samples R13 and R18 (site L6) show an absorption band at 0.77 µm that is, coupled with features at 0.55, 1.48 and 1.97 µm, consistent with coquimbite Fe₂³⁺(SO₄)₃·9H₂O. Orange-colored samples R15 and R19 have a diagnostic 0.87 um iron absorption band similar to that of hematite, copiapite or ferricopiapite spectra. XRD analyses revealed the presence of a variety of Al, K and Fe-sulfates (mostly alunogen, K-alum KAl(SO₄)₂·12H₂O, alunite, mercallite KHSO₄, goldichite, jarosite, coquimbite, plus traces of yavapaiite KFe(SO₄)₂, millosevichite Al₂(SO₄)₃, tamarugite NaAl(SO₄)₂⋅6H₂O, mixed with minor Fe-oxides (e.g., ferryhydrite <2%)). Unfortunately, many of these minerals do not have matches in the existing VNIR spectral libraries. These minerals are deposited in and around the vent within ~1 m. These observations suggest that our samples correspond to fumarolic incrustations and/or sublimated minerals; the former produced by gas cooling and condensation, while the latter formed directly through vapor deposition processes (e.g., Africano and Bernard, 2000; Aguilera et al., 2016).

A distinct variety of minerals was observed at the higher temperature vent at site L4 (gas emissions at 160°C, Figure 2e,f; Table 4). Realgar (AsS), Cinnabar (HgS) and Orpiment (As₂S₃) were identified thanks to their respective burgundy, red and yellow colors. These colorful minerals are only present as thin surficial coatings (sublimates), while the bulk of these rocks appear to be comprised of the same wall-rock as elsewhere. Spectra of these colorful minerals contain visible-region electronic absorptions corresponding to their colors, and they should not exhibit NIR spectral features since their structures do not include either H₂O, OH, or SO₄ (e.g., Cloutis et al., 2016). Cinnabar visible spectra are characterized by a steep absorption edge at 590 nm and no diagnostic absorption features longward of this edge to 2500 nm. Realgar and orpiment spectra are similar to that of cinnabar, but with absorption edges near shorter wavelengths, consistent with their more orange to yellow colors. Weak absorptions in the USGS library spectra of these minerals are attributed to adsorbed water. Strong VNIR OH and H₂O bands similar to those of silica sinters from the Yellowstone hot springs were observed in spectra of the L4 white samples, as well as bands near 2.03 and 2.16 µm in the L4 yellow samples, which may indicate the presence of amorphous As₂S₃, and hydrated amorphous FeAs species (Figure 3d; Bishop et al., 2004a; Henke, 2009). Raman spectra also lack references for comparison but are consistent with As-bearing species (Figure 4c). XRD or ICP-OES / ICP-MS analyses were not be performed due to the potential toxicity of the samples.

274

276

277

278

279

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

275 Discussion

Mineralogical detections and alteration

A large variety of minerals have been detected in the Solfatara crater samples, in agreement with previous studies (e.g., Sgavetti et al., 2009; Valentino et al., 1999). Remnants of sanidine in some wall samples are consistent with the presumed, original trachytic composition of the rock. Less

competent ash layers are consistent with a high proportion of amorphous material. Calcite detections in the wall samples at L3 and L5 could originate from the underlying sedimentary rocks, or have precipitated as a secondary mineral. Elevated Si and Ti concentrations suggest leaching processes and alteration within the entire crater. Silicic alteration around mud pots in the central part of the crater is characterized by the complete destruction of the original rock/ primary mineral assemblage as evidenced in the XRD patterns of site L1 samples. The product of this alteration is a porous rock consisting exclusively of residual amorphous silica associated with sulfur and alunite. Secondary minerals such as K-alum, alunogen and pickeringite are detected in the dry, surrounding deposits, a few meters away from the pools (sample L1R2). No phyllosilicates were detected in the L1 (active) mud pots; the low pH likely hindering the formation of kaolinite (Zimbelman et al., 2005). Muddy gray material in the pools is consistent with amorphous silica. However, small absorption bands in the VNIR spectra of L7 (dry, ancient mud pot towards the periphery of the crater) suggest the presence of minor Al-clays such as kaolinite or montmorillonite (figure 3b). Alunite formation from K – feldspar hydrolysis has been confirmed by previous SEM and isotopic analyses (Piochi et al., 2015; Mayer et al., 2016). Alunite dominance in the mud pot samples L1R3 and L1R4 could also result from the hydrolysis of the K-alum of sample L1R2 in the low pH, wet pool environment (Valentino et al., 1999). Along the crater rim (sites L2, L3, L6), alteration shifts towards the so-called "advanced argillic (or alunitic) facies". The original rocks are replaced by minerals of the alunite group, natroalunite, alunite and jarosite with a prevalence of alunite. These observations are consistent with the sequential zoning observed at several volcanoes, and could be explained by progressive neutralization of the fluid away from the degassing vents (e.g., Fulignati et al., 1998). The spatial distribution of alunite and jarosite at sites L2, L3 and L6 could be related to the relative

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

availability of Fe and Al within the bedrock. At a temperature close to 100°C (most gas emissions at Solfatara), alunite and jarosite share a stability boundary at low pH, while at higher pH alunite is presumably associated with hematite (Zimbelman et al., 2005). We observed both mineral assemblages in some rocks of site L6. VNIR spectra of the L6 alunite-bearing rock (L6R4) share common absorption features with K-alunite references at 1.43 and 1.48 µm (rather than 1.44 and 1.49 µm for Na-alunite), indicating that this sample contains K-alunite (Figure 5a). The shape of the 2.22/2.26 µm doublet feature in the jarosite spectra from the Solfatara outcrops are also more consistent with the K-rich endmember, in agreement with the original bedrock composition (Figure 5b). The spectrum of sample L2R1 includes VNIR features consistent with the presence of both alunite and jarosite. Jarosite is expected to form at low pH ~2-4 (e.g. Bigham et al., 1996; Elwood Madden et al., 2004), which would be inconsistent with the preservation of K-feldspar. Still, both are observed in the same area, suggesting that alteration is concentrated in layers that are more porous, or fractured and is spatially highly variable. The assemblage silica \pm alunite \pm jarosite is diagnostic of advanced clay alteration as produced by acidic fluids in a steam-heated environment, in the upper portion of hydrothermal systems (Rye, 2005) such as solfataras (e.g., Bishop et al., 2007). Previous isotopic measurements of ³⁴S in sulfate minerals and native sulfur and H₂S in the fumarolic gases tend to confirm that the sulfates originate from the oxidation of H₂S. While it seems clear that sulfur and sulfates are mostly derived from the H₂S-gas (Allard et al., 1991), the debate is still vivid regarding the high versus low sulfidation grade of the CF system, and especially in the Solfatara area, given that the observed mineralization could be derived from either one or the other or both processes (e.g., Rye, 2005; Piochi et al., 2015). Recent petrological and isotopic surveys concluded that the CF is consistent with a low sulfidation system that may

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

al., 2015).

A wide variety of sulfate minerals is also detected in the direct vicinity of active fumarole vents. Crystalline Al and Fe hydroxysulfates are detected in areas where the bedrock lacks indication of primary sulfides, suggesting the influence of fumarole deposits and consistent with a steam-heated environment. Sublimates include alunite, alunogen, alum K, mercallite, goldichite, coquimbite, ferrihydrite, millosevichite and tamarugite, which are highly soluble minerals not often preserved. At the highest temperature vent (site L4), sublimates form coatings bearing various arsenic and mercury species, generally found in epithermal systems. Orpiment and other arsenic sulfide minerals are likely derived from the oxidation of realgar.

transition towards a high sulfidation system in the most active centers (e.g., Solfatara) (Piochi et

Coordination of measurement techniques

VNIR, Raman and XRD analyses were carried out to determine the mineralogy of the samples. The results of these techniques are generally in good agreement (Table 5), but VNIR and Raman surveys can be swayed by coatings (e.g., hematite at site L6, arsenic bearing species) as their sensitivity is limited to the first few top µm of the surface. VNIR and Raman techniques are advantageous in that they are fast, non-destructive, and do not require sample preparation. XRD analyses are however useful in gaining insights into minerals that are easily masked or have no signatures in the VNIR (e.g., feldspars, quartz), and mandatory for deriving quantitative information. The XRD technique is also the sole one to provide access to the full mineral assemblage in the case of complex mixtures, whilst VNIR and Raman measurements often reveal only a few phases.

Most sulfates could easily be identified with all of these individual techniques, although Raman

and VNIR reference libraries do not necessarily contain all of the minerals identified by XRD.

Some anhydrous sulfates such as yavapaiite do not exhibit spectral features in the NIR (e.g. Lane et al., 2015). Some sulfate species (e.g., tamarugite) could only be recognized thanks to the vast, historical XRD standard database. Similarly, the existing VNIR and Raman databases lacked exact matches for all the arsenic sulfides and iron-bearing species that seemed to be derived from, or associated with realgar. Amorphous silica detection is not as straightforward as sulfate detection, but its presence could be inferred in the VNIR data, that are in general more efficient at characterizing hydrated amorphous components than Raman and XRD. Alternatively, the presence of amorphous silica can be inferred from the combined chemical analyses and XRD results. A suite of complementary techniques, such as the one described above, presents the most effective procedure to derive the most comprehensive information on hydrothermal samples in the field.

Both VNIR and Raman spectrometers will be carried onboard the next generation Mars rovers (e.g., MicrOmega on ExoMars (Bibring et al., 2017), ISEM on ExoMars (Korablev et al., 2017), RLS on ExoMars (Rull et al., 2017), SuperCam on Mars2020 (Wiens et al., 2016), SHERLOC on Mars2020 (e.g., Beegle et al., 2014)). A key advantage of both techniques is their efficiency, as they can easily be deployed *in situ*, without requiring any sample preparation. A possible caveat will be the potential difference in the scale of analysis between the various instruments, at the grain scale for Raman, typically larger for VNIR spectrometers (with the exception of MicrOmega), as noted in previous analog studies (e.g., Flahaut et al., 2017b). Raman spectroscopy will, however, enable the possibility to identify minerals such as feldspar, anhydrous silicates or sulfates, and organic molecules, that are difficult to investigate in the VNIR domain (e.g. Bishop et al., 2003; Bishop and Murad, 2004; Lopez-Reyes et al., 2013; Sobron et al., 2014; Wiens et al., 2016; Flahaut et al., 2017b). VNIR spectroscopy will obviously

present great advantages to infer the presence of hydrated components, those containing Fe, OH, CO₃, SO₄, ClO₄, PO₄ etc., and distinguish between minerals of the same family (e.g., sulfates, see figure 4c). Together, these instruments will have a better chance to identify the key minerals at the selected landing sites. In the absence of XRD however, assessing the full petrologic assemblage of the outcrops or rock samples will only be possible through a combination of observations, e.g., elemental abundances from SuperCam, rock textures from ExoMars Close-UP Imager (CLUPI)... (e.g., Wiens et al., 2016, Josset et al., 2017).

382

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

383 Implications

VNIR spectroscopy is currently used on Mars as a remote sensing technique with instruments onboard spacecraft that map the planet's surface composition from a distance (e.g., the Observatoire pour la Minéralogie, l'Eau, les Glaces et l'Activité (OMEGA) instrument onboard Mars Express (Bibring et al., 2004), the Compact Reconnaissance Imaging Spectrometer for Mars (CRISM) instrument onboard Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (Murchie et al., 2007)). Those instruments have the capacity to detect iron and hydrated minerals such as those described at the Solfatara analog site and should therefore be able to identify and map areas of silicic alteration (opaline silica + alunite, as seen in the Solfatara mud pots) and the sequential zoning into argillicalunitic alteration (jarosite-alunite-hematite in the crater walls). Presumably, fumarolic sublimates and condensates (e.g., Al/Fe hydroxyl-sulfates) are also detectable with VNIR spectroscopy techniques, but the main issue of Mars orbital instruments is their limited spatial resolution (18 m/pixel at best from CRISM). Mars VNIR hyperspectral imagers on lander/roverbased missions would have a greater chance of identifying active or former vents than orbital instruments that might only detect extended areas of alteration, e.g. large fumarolic fields or geothermal areas. A number of hydrated species, including amorphous hydrated silica and related materials (e.g., Bishop et al., 2008; Milliken et al., 2008, Mustard et al., 2008; Skok et al., 2010; McKeown et al., 2011; Wray et al., 2011; Weitz et al., 2013, 2014; Sun and Milliken, 2018) and sporadic jarosite and alunite-rich outcrops (Swayze et al., 2008; Ehlmann et al., 2009, 2016; Klingelhöfer et al., 2004; Morris et al., 2006; Farrand et al., 2009, 2014; Weitz et al., 2011; Thollot et al., 2012; Michalski et al., 2013; Bishop et al., 2018), which could be of hydrothermal origin, have been reported previously on Mars from orbital observations (Figure 6). Ongoing efforts to understand the context of formation of those minerals, catalog their occurrences, and recognize key alteration facies/assemblages are supported by analog studies. More limited *in situ* observations already demonstrated the occurrence of hydrothermal processes on Mars, although previous rovers were not equipped with VNIR or Raman spectrometers. Amorphous silica was detected by the MER rover Spirit, at Home Plate, thanks to its mini-TES thermal IR spectrometer. APXS chemistry indicated elevated Ti and P contents in nearby soils that were interpreted as evidence for acid leaching; this process may have left behind some alteration minerals. The presence of sulfates may be inferred in the TES wavelength range, although hydrated minerals are most easily identified in the VNIR domain (e.g., Bibring et al., 2006; Ehlmann et al., 2012) and are expected to be more readily observed by future rovers such as ExoMars that include VNIR spectrometers. The presence of a VNIR or Raman spectrometer at Gusev crater could help to further assess the presence of alteration minerals and possibly of organics in areas where hydrothermal silica was detected by Spirit (Ruff et al., 2011; Ruff and Farmer, 2016). Sending an XRD instrument to Gusev crater could bring additional information on the nature and relative amount of crystalline phases in these unique silica-rich materials near Home Plate (Ruff et al., 2011). The mini-TES instrument range was however well-suited for capturing the mafic rock diversity in Gusev crater (e.g., Christensen et al., 2004). A significant proportion of amorphous material has been detected at another Mars location, in the soils of Gale

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

Crater, by the CheMin XRD instrument on the Mars Science Laboratory rover. The nature and origin of this amorphous material could not be determined with certainty, but at least part of it seems to be hydrated (Bish et al., 2013; Dehouck et al., 2014; Achilles et al., 2017; Ehlmann et al., 2017; Yen et al., 2017; Rampe et al., 2018; Gabriel et al., 2018). As demonstrated above, VNIR spectroscopy was efficient as detected hydrated amorphous components such as opal, even in the pumice / ash component of the bedrock, and could assist in characterizing of the alteration phases identified by CheMin at Gale crater (e.g., Bish et al., 2013) if sent on future missions.

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

In the present study, we have shown an example of how trachytic rocks at the Solfatara crater were hydrothermally altered into sulfate and silica-rich assemblages. At the Solfatara site, steamheated fumarolic alteration due to the presence of acidic sulfate-rich fluids resulted in an increased rock porosity and permeability, and the crater is currently primarily composed of incoherent rocks. The most abundant sulfates are Al, K, and Fe-rich in agreement with the original bedrock composition. Unlike the Solfatara site, the martian crust is more basaltic than trachytic (e.g., Mc Sween et al., 2003), even though trachytes and trachy-andesites have been found locally (e.g., Sautter et al., 2015; Cousin et al., 2017). Different alteration assemblages may be produced based on a number of factors that control hydrothermal alteration such as the rock type, but also the temperature, pressure, permeability, fluid composition and event duration (e.g., Browne, 1978). Previous Mars analog studies of andesitic basalt alteration in Nicaragua reported the presence of mixed phyllosilicates, oxides/hydroxides and sulfates (gypsum + alunite) in the active acidic volcanic systems of Cerro Negro, Momotombo, and Telica (Hynek et al., 2013; Marcucci et al., 2013). Acid-fog weathered basalts at the top of the Hawaian Kilauea volcano were found to be dominated by jarosite/natrojarosite sulfates, iron oxides and amorphous silica (e.g., Schiffman et al., 2006; Seelos et al., 2010). Icelandic expeditions have found abundant Al

and Fe/Mg smectites, hematite and hydrous silica, formed from the alteration of basalts by S-poor fluids (Ehlmann et al., 2012). All of these locations have presumed basaltic composition but show various assemblages due to varying external factors. Therefore, it is key to catalog in detail alteration patterns in these various environments and understand the geochemical pathways involved in those transformations on Earth, in order to shed light on past Mars environments. A crucial aspect of hydrothermal systems is that on Earth, continental vents are known to be favorable to the development of life and the preservation of biosignatures. Therefore, they represent prime targets for the search of Life on Mars (e.g., Damer et al., 2017; Campbell et al., 2017). The Solfatara crater is home to several thermophilic, thermo-tolerant and acidophilic Bacteria, algae (e.g., Cyanidium caldarium) and Archea, such as Sulfolobus solfataricus (named after our study area, the Italian Solfatara), Acidianus, Pyrobaculum and Metallosphaera sp (e.g., DeRosa et al., 1974, 1975; Zillig et al., 1980; Huber et al., 2000a, b). Most of these microorganisms are chemolithotrophs and rely on S, Fe oxides and metal sulfides for energy supply (e.g., Huber et al., 2000a, b). Finding such a biodiversity adapted to this extreme environment is promising for investigation of potential life elsewhere in the Solar System, and especially on Mars.

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

462

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

Acknowledgements

CTX and CRISM images of Mars were processed using the MarsSI web application (https://emars.univ-lyon1.fr/MarsSI/). Fieldwork was funded by a N.W.O. VENI grant to J. Flahaut. J. Flahaut was funded by two CNES ExoMars / LIS APR for sample analyses and by a Lorraine Université d'Excellence Future Leader grant at the time of writing / for publication fees. D. Loizeau received support from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC Grant agreement n° 280168. Support from

the NASA Astrobiology Institute and NASA's SSW program to J. Bishop is appreciated. The authors are very grateful to Dr. Giorgio Angarano, the Solfatara general manager for his strong support and help using and visiting each site of the crater. The authors also wish to thank the Lyon Raport team (G. Montagnac, R. Caracas, H. Cardon) for their help and instrument loan - the Raman facility in Lyon is supported by the Institut national des sciences de l'univers (CNRS). The authors would like to thank the CDHL at Université Lyon 1, and in particular Ruben Vera for his help with XRD. The authors are also grateful to the e-Mars Lyon team, especially Cathy Quantin-Nataf, and Patrick Thollot, for fruitful discussions. This is CRPG contribution N°2688.

479

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

480

481 References

- 482 Abramov, O. and Kring, D. A. (2005) Impact-induced hydrothermal activity on early Mars.
- Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 110(12), 1–19.

484

- Achilles, C. N. et al. (2017) Mineralogy of an active eolian sediment from the Namib dune, Gale
- crater, Mars. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 122(11), 2344-2361.

487

- 488 Africano, F. and Bernard, A. (2000) Acid alteration in the fumarolic environment of Usu volcano,
- Hokkaido, Japan. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 97(1-4), 475-495.

490

- 491 Aguilera, F., Layana, S., Rodríguez-Díaz, A., González, C., Cortés, J., and Inostroza, M. (2016)
- 492 Hydrothermal alteration, fumarolic deposits and fluids from Lastarria Volcanic Complex: A
- 493 multidisciplinary study. Andean Geology, 43(2), 166-196.

- 495 Allard, P., Maiorani, A., Tedesco, D., Cortecci, G., Turi, B. (1991) Isotopic study of the origin of
- 496 sulfur and carbon in Solfatara fumaroles, Campi Flegrei caldera. Journal of Volcanology and
- 497 Geothermal Research, p. 139-159.

- 499 Armienti P, Barberi F, Bizojard H, Clocchiatti R, Innocenti F, Metrich N, Rosi M, Sbrana A
- 500 (1983) The Phlegraean Fields: magma evolution within a shallow chamber. Journal of
- Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 17:289–311. doi:10.1016/0377-0273(83)90073-2.

502

- 503 Beegle, L. W. et al., (2014) SHERLOC: scanning habitable environments with Raman and
- luminescence for organics and chemicals, an investigation for 2020. In: AGU Fall Meeting
- 505 Abstracts.

506

- Bibring, J.-P. et al., (2004) OMEGA: Observatoire pour la minéralogie, l'eau, les glaces et
- 508 l'activité, ESA-SP, p. 1240.

509

- 510 Bibring, J.-P. et al. (2006) Global mineralogical and aqueous mars history derived from
- 511 OMEGA/Mars Express data. Science, 312(5772), 400–404.

512

- Bibring, J.-P., Hamm V., Pilorget C., Vago J. L., MicrOmega Team (2017) The MicrOmega
- 514 Investigation Onboard ExoMars. Astrobiology, Volume 17, Issue 6-7, pp.621-626. Doi:
- 515 10.1089/ast.2016.1642.

- Bigham, J.M., Schwertmann U., and Pfab G. (1996) Influence of pH on mineral speciation in a
- bioreactor simulating acid mine drainage. Applied Geochemistry, 11, 845-849.

- Bish, D. L. et al. (2013) X-ray diffraction results from Mars Science Laboratory: Mineralogy of
- 521 Rocknest at Gale crater. Science, 341(6153), 1238932.

- 523 Bishop, J.L. and Murad E. (2002) Spectroscopic and geochemical analyses of ferrihydrite from
- 524 springs in Iceland and applications to Mars. In J. L. Smellie and M. G. Chapman, Eds., Volcano-
- Ice Interactions on Earth and Mars, 357-370. Geological Society, Special Publication No.202.
- 526 London.

527

- Bishop, J. L., B. L. Anglen, L. M. Pratt, H. G. M. Edwards, D. J. Des Marais, and P. T. Doran
- 529 (2003) A spectroscopy and isotope study of sediments from the Antarctic Dry Valleys as analogs
- for potential paleolakes on Mars, International Journal of Astrobiology, 2(4), 273-287.

531

- Bishop, J. L., and E. Murad (2004) Characterization of minerals and biogeochemical markers on
- Mars: A Raman and IR spectroscopy study of montmorillonite, Journal of Raman Spectroscopy,
- 534 35(6), 480-486.

535

- Bishop, J. L., M. D. Dyar, M. D. Lane, and J. F. Banfield (2004a) Spectral identification of
- 537 hydrated sulfates on Mars and comparison with acidic environments on Earth, International
- 538 Journal of Astrobiology, 3(4), 275-285.

- Bishop, J. L., Murad, E., Lane, M. D., and Mancinelli, R. L. (2004b) Multiple techniques for
- 541 mineral identification on Mars: a study of hydrothermal rocks as potential analogues for
- astrobiology sites on Mars. Icarus, 169(2), 311-323.

- Bishop, J. L., and E. Murad (2005) The visible and infrared spectral properties of jarosite and
- alunite, American Mineralogist, 90, 1100-1107.

- 547 Bishop, J.L., Schiffman, P., Murad, E., Dyar, M.D., Drief, A., and Lane, M.D., (2007)
- 548 Characterization of alteration products in tephra from Haleakala, Maui: A visible-infrared
- spectroscopy, Mössbauer spectroscopy, XRD, EMPA and TEM study. Clays and Clay Minerals,
- 550 55(1), 1-17.

551

- Bishop, J. L., E. Z. Noe Dobrea, N. K. McKeown, M. Parente, B. L. Ehlmann, J. R. Michalski, R.
- E. Milliken, F. Poulet, G. A. Swayze, J. F. Mustard, S. L. Murchie, and J.-. Bibring, P. (2008)
- Phyllosilicate diversity and past aqueous activity revealed at Mawrth Vallis, Mars, Science,
- 321(5890, 8 August 2008), doi: 10.1126/science.1159699, pp. 1159830-1159833.

556

- Bishop, J. L., M. Parente, C. M. Weitz, E. Z. Noe Dobrea, L. A. Roach, S. L. Murchie, P. C.
- McGuire, N. K. McKeown, C. M. Rossi, A. J. Brown, W. M. Calvin, R. E. Milliken, and J. F.
- 559 Mustard (2009) Mineralogy of Juventae Chasma: Sulfates in the Light-toned Mounds, Mafic
- Minerals in the Bedrock, and Hydrated Silica and Hydroxylated Ferric Sulfate on the Plateau,
- Journal of Geophysical Research, 114(E00D09), doi:10.1029/2009JE003352.

- Bishop, J. L., J. J. Wray, A. M. Sessa, J. M. Danielson, B. L. Ehlmann, S. L. Murchie, B. Horgan,
- 564 C. Gross, M. Parente, F. P. Seelos (2018) Evidence of Salty Residues in Layered Outcrops at
- Mawrth Vallis and Implications for Evaporative Environments on Early Mars. 49th Lunar and
- Planetary Science Conference, Abstract #1117.

- Brandmayr, E, Raykova RB, Zuri M, Romanelli F, Doglioni C, Panza GF (2010) The lithosphere
- in Italy: structure and seismicity. In: Beltrando M, Peccerillo A, Mattei M, Conticelli S, Doglioni
- 570 C (eds) The geology of Italy. Journal of the Virtual Explorer, Electronic edition ISSN 1441-8142,
- 571 vol. 36, paper 1, doi:10.3809/jvirtex.2010.00224.

- 573 Browne, P.R.L. (1978) Hydrothermal alteration in active geothermal fields. Annual Review of
- Earth and Planetary Sciences, 6, 229-250.

575

- 576 Caliro, S., Chiodini, G., Moretti, R., Avino, R., Granieri, D., Russo, M., and Fiebig, J. (2007) The
- 577 origin of the fumaroles of La Solfatara (Campi Flegrei, south Italy). Geochimica et
- 578 Cosmochimica Acta, 71(12), 3040-3055.

579

- 580 Caliro, S., Chiodini, G., and Paonita, A. (2014) Geochemical evidences of magma dynamics at
- Campi Flegrei (Italy). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 132, 1-15.

582

- 583 Campbell, K. et al. (2017) Ubiquity, Diversity, and Preservation of Microbial Fabrics in Siliceous
- Hot Spring Deposits: Relevance to the Columbia Hills. The 3rd landing site workshop for the
- 585 2020 Mars Rover mission, Pasadena, CA.

- Cameli, G.M., Puxeddu, M., Rendina, M., Rossi, A., Squarci, P., Taffi, L., (1975) Geothermal
- research in western Campania, southern Italy: geological and geophysical results. In: Proc. 2nd
- 589 U.N. Symp. on Development and Use of Geothermal Resources, San Francisco, Vol. 1, pp. 315–
- 590 327.

- 592 Caracas, R. and Bobocioiu, E., (2011) The WURM project—a freely available web-based
- repository of computed physical data for minerals. American Mineralogist, 96(2-3), 437-443.

- 595 Carr, M. H. and Head, J. W. (2010) Geologic history of Mars. Earth and Planetary Science
- 596 Letters, 294(3–4), 185–203. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.06.042.

597

- 598 Carter, J., Poulet, F., Bibring, J.-P., and Murchie, S. L. (2010) Detection of hydrated silicates in
- crustal outcrops in the northern plains of Mars. Science, 328(5986), 1682–1686.

600

- 601 Carter, J., Poulet, F., Bibring, J. P., Mangold, N., and Murchie, S. L. (2013) Hydrous minerals on
- Mars as seen by the CRISM and OMEGA imaging spectrometers: Updated global view. Journal
- of Geophysical Research: Planets, 118(4), 831–858.

604

- 605 Chiodini, G., Frondini, F., Cardellini, C., Granieri, D., Marini, L., and Ventura, G., (2001) CO2
- degassing and energy release at Solfatara volcano, Campi Flegrei, Italy. Journal of Geophysical
- 607 Research: Solid Earth (1978–2012), 106(B8), 16213-16221.

608

- 609 Christensen, P. R. et al. (2004) Initial results from the Mini-TES experiment in Gusev Crater
- 610 from the Spirit Rover. Science, 305(5685), 837-842.

611

- 612 Clark, R.N. et al. (2007) USGS Digital Spectral Library splib06a. U.S. Geological Survey,
- Digital Data Series, p. 231.

- 615 Cloutis, E. A., F. C. Hawthorne, S. A. Mertzman, K. Krenn, M. A. Craig, D. Marcino, M.
- Methot, J. Strong, J. F. Mustard, D. L. Blaney, J. F. Bell, III, and F. Vilas (2006) Detection and
- discrimination of sulfate minerals using reflectance spectroscopy, Icarus, 184, 121-157.

- 619 Cloutis, E., Norman, L., Cuddy, M., and Mann, P. (2016) Spectral Reflectance (350–2500 nm)
- Properties of Historic Artists' Pigments. II. Red-Orange-Yellow Chromates, Jarosites, Organics,
- 621 Lead(-Tin) Oxides, Sulphides, Nitrites and Antimonates. Journal of Near Infrared Spectroscopy,
- 622 24(2), 119–140. doi:10.1255/jnirs.1207.

623

- 624 Cousin, A., Sautter, V., Payré, V., Forni, O., Mangold, N., Gasnault, O., Le Deit, L., Johnson, J.,
- Maurice, S., Salvatore, M. and Wiens, R.C., (2017) Classification of igneous rocks analyzed by
- 626 ChemCam at Gale crater, Mars. Icarus, 288, pp.265-283.

627

- 628 Crowley, J. K., and D. R. Zimbleman (1997) Mapping hydrothermally altered rocks on Mount
- Rainier, Washington, with Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer (AVIRIS) data,
- 630 Geology, 25(6), 559-562.

631

- 632 Crowley, J. K., D. E. Williams, J. M. Hammarstrom, N. Piatak, I.-M. Chou, and J. C. Mars
- 633 (2003) Spectral reflectance properties (0.4-2.5 µm) of secondary Fe-oxide, Fe-hydroxide, and Fe-
- 634 sulphate-hydrate minerals associated with sulphide-bearing mine wastes, Geochemistry:
- Exploration, Environment, Analysis, 3, 219-228.

- Damer, B. et al. (2017) First and Last Outposts: The Origin and Preservation of Life in Terrestrial
- and Martian Hydrothermal Field. The 3rd landing site workshop for the 2020 Mars Rover
- 639 mission, Pasadena, CA.

- Danielsen, J. M. and J. L. Bishop (2018) Characterization of Jarosite-Bearing Outcrops at
- Mawrth Vallis. 49th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, Abstract #1804.

643

- Dehouck, E., McLennan, S. M., Meslin, P. Y., and Cousin, A. (2014) Constraints on abundance,
- 645 composition, and nature of X-ray amorphous components of soils and rocks at Gale crater,
- Mars. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 119(12), 2640-2657.

647

- De Rosa, M., Gambacorta, A., Millonig, G., and Bu'Lock, J. D. (1974) Convergent characters of
- extremely thermophilic acidophilic bacteria. Experientia, 30(8), 866-868.

650

- De Rosa, M., Gambacorta, A., and Bu'Lock, J. D. (1975) Extremely thermophilic acidophilic
- bacteria convergent with Sulfolobus acidocaldarius. Microbiology, 86(1), 156-164.

653

- Di Vito, M.A., Isaia, R., Orsi, G., Southon, J., De Vita, S., d'Antonio, M., Pappalardo, L., and
- 655 Piochi, M. (1999) Volcanism and deformation since 12,000 years at the Campi Flegrei caldera
- 656 (Italy). Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 91(2), 221-246.

657

- 658 Downs, R.T. and Hall-Wallace, M., (2003) The American Mineralogist Crystal Structure
- Database. American Mineralogist, 88, 247-250.

- 661 Ehlmann, B. L. et al. (2009) Identification of hydrated silicate minerals on Mars using
- MRO-CRISM: Geologic context near Nili Fossae and implications for aqueous alteration. Journal
- of Geophysical Research: Planets, 114(E2).

- Ehlmann, B. L. et al. (2011) Subsurface water and clay mineral formation during the early history
- of Mars. Nature, 479, 53–60.

667

- 668 Ehlmann, B. L., Bish, D. L., Ruff, S. W., and Mustard, J. F. (2012) Mineralogy and chemistry of
- altered Icelandic basalts: Application to clay mineral detection and understanding aqueous
- environments on Mars. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 117(E11).

671

- 672 Ehlmann, B. L. et al. (2013) Geochemical consequences of widespread clay mineral formation in
- Mars' ancient crust. Space Science Reviews, 174(1–4), 329–364.

674

- Ehlmann, B. L. et al. (2016) Discovery of alunite in Cross crater, Terra Sirenum, Mars: Evidence
- 676 for acidic, sulfurous waters. American Mineralogist, 101(7), 1527-1542.

677

- 678 Ehlmann, B. L. et al. (2017) Chemistry, mineralogy, and grain properties at Namib and High
- dunes, Bagnold dune field, Gale crater, Mars: A synthesis of Curiosity rover observations.
- Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 122(12), 2510-2543.

681

- 682 Elwood Madden, M.E., Bodnar R.J., and Rimstidt J.D. (2004) Jarosite as an indicator of water-
- limited chemical weathering on Mars. Nature, 431, 821-823.

- Farmer, J. D. (1996) Hydrothermal systems on Mars: an assessment of present evidence. Ciba
- 686 Foundation symposium 1996;202:273-95; discussion 295-9.

- Farrand, W. H., Glotch, T. D., Rice Jr, J. W., Hurowitz, J. A., and Swayze, G. A. (2009)
- Obscovery of jarosite within the Mawrth Vallis region of Mars: Implications for the geologic
- 690 history of the region. Icarus, 204(2), 478-488.

691

- Farrand, W. H., Glotch, T. D., and Horgan, B. (2014) Detection of copiapite in the northern
- Mawrth Vallis region of Mars: Evidence of acid sulfate alteration. Icarus, 241, 346-357.

694

- 695 Ferrucci, F., Gaudiosi, G., Pino, N.A., Luongo, G., Hirn, A., Mirabile, L., (1989) Seismic
- detection of a major Moho upheaval beneath the Campanian volcanic area, Naples, southern
- 697 Italy. Geophysical Research Letters, 16, 1317–1320.

698

- Flahaut, J., et al. (2017a). Hydrothermal Alteration on Mars Compared to the Italian Solfatara. In
- 700 Lunar and Planetary Science Conference (Vol. 48).

701

- Flahaut, J., Martinot, M., Bishop, J. L., Davies, G. R., and Potts, N. J. (2017b) Remote sensing
- 703 and in situ mineralogic survey of the Chilean salars: An analog to Mars evaporate deposits?
- 704 Icarus, 282, 152-173.

- Fulignati, P. and Sbrana, A. (1998) Presence of native gold and tellurium in the active high-
- 707 sulfidation hydrothermal system of the La Fossa volcano (Vulcano, Italy). Journal of
- Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 86(1-4), 187-198.

- Gabriel, T. S. J. et al. (2018) Water abundance of dunes in Gale crater, Mars from active neutron
- experiments and implications for amorphous phases. Geophysical Research Letters, 45(23), 12-
- 712 766.

713

- Gražulis, S. et al., (2009) Crystallography Open Database–an open-access collection of crystal
- structures. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 42(4), 726-729.

716

- Hausrath, E. M., D. C. Golden, R. V. Morris, D. G. Agresti, and D. W. Ming (2013) Acid sulfate
- 718 alteration of fluorapatite, basaltic glass and olivine by hydrothermal vapors and fluids:
- 719 Implications for fumarolic activity and secondary phosphate phases in sulfate-rich Paso Robles
- 720 soil at Gusev Crater, Mars, Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 118, 1–13,
- 721 doi:10.1029/2012JE004246.

722

- Henke, K. (2009) Arsenic: environmental chemistry, health threats and waste treatment. John
- Wiley and Sons.

725

- Huber, R., Huber, H., and Stetter, K. O. (2000a) Towards the ecology of hyperthermophiles:
- biotopes, new isolation strategies and novel metabolic properties. FEMS microbiology reviews,
- 728 24(5), 615-623.

- Huber, R., Sacher, M., Vollmann, A., Huber, H., and Rose, D. (2000b) Respiration of arsenate
- and selenate by hyperthermophilic archaea. Systematic and applied microbiology, 23(3), 305-
- 732 314.

- Hynek, B. M., McCollom, T. M., Marcucci, E. C., Brugman, K., and Rogers, K. L. (2013)
- Assessment of environmental controls on acid-sulfate alteration at active volcanoes in Nicaragua:
- 736 Applications to relic hydrothermal systems on Mars. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets,
- 737 118(10), 2083-2104.

- 739 Isaia, R., Vitale, S., Di Giuseppe, M.G., Iannuzzi, E., Tramparulo, F.D.A., and Troiano, A.,
- 740 (2015) Stratigraphy, structure, and volcano-tectonic evolution of Solfatara maardiatreme (Campi
- 741 Flegrei, Italy). Geological Society of America Bulletin, B31183-1.

742

- Josset, J. L. et al. (2017) The Close-Up Imager onboard the ESA ExoMars Rover: objectives,
- description, operations, and science validation activities. Astrobiology, 17(6-7), 595-611.

745

- Kabekkodu, S. N., Faber, J., and Fawcett, T., (2002) New Powder Diffraction File (PDF-4) in
- 747 relational database format: advantages and data-mining capabilities. Acta Crystallographica
- 748 Section B: Structural Science, 58(3), 333-337.

749

- 750 Klingelhöfer, G. R. D. S. et al. (2004) Jarosite and hematite at Meridiani Planum from
- 751 Opportunity's Mössbauer spectrometer. Science, 306(5702), 1740-1745.

752

- Kokaly, R.F., Clark, R.N., Swayze, G.A., Livo, K.E., Hoefen, T.M., Pearson, N.C., Wise, R.A.,
- 754 Benzel, W.M., Lowers, H.A., Driscoll, R.L., and Klein, A.J., (2017) USGS Spectral Library
- Version 7: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 1035, 61 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ds1035.

- Korabley, O. I. et al. (2017) Infrared spectrometer for ExoMars: a mast-mounted instrument for
- 758 the Rover. Astrobiology, 17(6-7), 542-564.

- 760 Lane, M. D., M. D. Dyar, and J. L. Bishop (2004) Spectroscopic evidence for hydrous iron
- 761 sulfate in the Martian soil, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, L19702,
- 762 doi:19710.11029/12004GL021231.

763

- Lane, M. D., J. L. Bishop, M. D. Dyar, T. Hiroi, S. A. Mertzman, D. L. Bish, P. L. King, and A.
- 765 D. Rogers (2015) Mid-infrared emission spectroscopy and visible/near-infrared reflectance
- spectroscopy of Fe-sulfate minerals, American Mineralogist, 100(1), 66-82, doi:10.2138/am-
- 767 2015-4762.

768

- Lopez-Reyes, G. et al. (2013) Analysis of the scientific capabilities of the ExoMars Raman Laser
- Spectrometer instrument. European Journal of Mineralogy, 25(5), 721-733.

771

- Mayer, K., Scheu, B., Montanaro, C., Yilmaz, T. I., Isaia, R., Aßbichler, D., and Dingwell, D. B.
- 773 (2016) Hydrothermal alteration of surficial rocks at Solfatara (Campi Flegrei): Petrophysical
- 774 properties and implications for phreatic eruption processes. Journal of Volcanology and
- 775 Geothermal Research, 320, 128-143.

776

- Marcucci, E. C., Hynek, B. M., Kierein-Young, K. S., and Rogers, K. L. (2013) Visible-near-
- 778 infrared reflectance spectroscopy of volcanic acid-sulfate alteration in Nicaragua: Analogs for
- early Mars. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 118(10), 2213-2233.

- 781 McKeown, N. K., J. L. Bishop, J. Cuadros, S. Hillier, E. Amador, H. D. Makarewicz, M. Parente,
- and E. Silver (2011) Interpretation of reflectance spectra of clay mineral-silica mixtures:
- 783 Implications for Martian clay mineralogy at Mawrth Vallis, Clays and Clay Mineral, 59(4), 400-
- 784 415.

- 786 McSween, H. Y., Grove, T. L., and Wyatt, M. B. (2003) Constraints on the composition and
- 787 petrogenesis of the Martian crust. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets (1991–2012),
- 788 108(E12).

789

- 790 Michalski, J. R., P. B. Niles, J. Cuadros, and A. M. Baldridge (2013) Multiple working
- 791 hypotheses for the formation of compositional stratigraphy on Mars: Insights from the Mawrth
- 792 Vallis region, Icarus, 226(1), 816-840, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2013.05.024.

793

Milliken, R. E. et al. (2008). Opaline silica in young deposits on Mars. Geology, 36(11), 847-850.

795

- 796 Morris, R.V. and Lauer Jr. H.V. (1990) Matrix effects for reflectivity spectra of dispersed
- 797 nanophase (superparamagnetic) hematite with application to Martian spectral data. Journal of
- 798 Geophysical Research, 95, 5101-5109.

799

- Morris, R.V., Lauer Jr. H.V., Lawson C.A., Gibson Jr. E.K., Nace G.A., and Stewart C. (1985)
- 801 Spectral and other physicochemical properties of submicron powders of hematite (a-Fe₂O₃),
- maghemite (g-Fe₂O₃), magnetite (Fe₃O₄), goethite (a-FeOOH), and lepidocrocite (g-FeOOH).
- 303 Journal of Geophysical Research, 90, 3126-3144.

- Morris, R. V., et al. (2006) Mössbauer mineralogy of rock, soil, and dust at Meridiani Planum,
- 806 Mars: Opportunity's journey across sulfate-rich outcrop, basaltic sand and dust, and hematite lag
- deposits, Journal of Geophysical Research, 111(E12S15), doi:10.1029/2006JE002791.

- 809 Murchie, S. et al., (2007) Compact reconnaissance Imaging Spectrometer for Mars (CRISM) on
- 810 Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO). Journal of Geophysical Research, 112 (E5), E05S03.
- 811 http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JE002682.

812

- Murchie, S. L. et al. (2009) A synthesis of Martian aqueous mineralogy after 1 Mars year of
- observations from the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter. Journal of Geophysical Research, 114,
- 815 E00D06, doi:10.1029/2009JE003342.

816

- 817 Mustard, J. F. et al. (2008) Hydrated silicate minerals on Mars observed by the Mars
- Reconnaissance Orbiter CRISM instrument. Nature, 454(7202), 305.

819

- Nisbet, E. G. and Sleep, N. H. (2001) The habitat and nature of early life. Nature, 409(6823),
- 821 1083-1091.

822

- Pappalardo L, Piochi M, D'Antonio M, Civetta L, Petrini R (2002) Evidence for multi-stage
- magmatic evolution during the past 60 kyr at Campi Flegrei (Italy) deduced from Sr, Nd and Pb
- isotope data. Journal of Petrology, 43:1415–1434. doi:10.1093/petrology/43.8.1415.

- Piochi, M., C. R. J. Kilburn, M. A. Di Vito, A. Mormone, A. Tramelli, C. Troise, and G. De
- Natale (2014) The volcanic and geothermally active Campi Flegrei caldera: An integrated

- multidisciplinary image of its buried structure, International Journal of Earth Sciences, 103(2),
- 830 401–421, doi:10.1007/s00531-013-0972-7.

- Piochi, M., Mormone, A., Balassone, G., Strauss, H., Troise, C., and De Natale, G., (2015)
- Native sulfur, sulfates and sulfides from the active Campi Flegrei volcano (southern Italy):
- Genetic environments and degassing dynamics revealed by mineralogy and isotope geochemistry.
- Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 304, 180-193.

836

- Rampe, E. B. et al. (2018) Sand mineralogy within the Bagnold Dunes, Gale crater, as observed
- in situ and from orbit. Geophysical Research Letters, 45(18), 9488-9497.

839

Rosi, M., and Sbrana A. (1987) Phlegrean Fields: Petrography, Quad. Ricerca Sci., 114, 60–79.

841

- 842 Rosi, M., Sbrana, A., Principe, C., (1983) The Phlegrean Fields: structural evolution, volcanic
- history and eruptive mechanism. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 17, 249–273.

844

- Rye, R.O. (2005) A review of the stable-isotope geochemistry of sulfate minerals in selected
- igneous environments and related hydrothermal systems. Chemical Geology, 215(1), 5-36.

847

- 848 Ruff, S. W. et al. (2011) Characteristics, distribution, origin, and significance of opaline silica
- observed by the Spirit rover in Gusev crater, Mars. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets,
- 850 116(E7).

- Ruff, S. W., Niles, P. B., Alfano, F., and Clarke, A. B. (2014) Evidence for a Noachian-aged
- ephemeral lake in Gusev crater, Mars. Geology, 42(4), 359-362.

- Ruff, S. W. and Farmer, J. D. (2016) Silica deposits on Mars with features resembling hot spring
- biosignatures at El Tatio in Chile. Nature communications, 7, 13554.

857

- Rull, F. et al. (2017) The Raman laser spectrometer for the ExoMars Rover mission to Mars.
- 859 Astrobiology, 17(6-7), 627-654.

860

- Sautter, V. et al. (2015) In situ evidence for continental crust on early Mars. Nature Geoscience,
- 862 8(8), 605-609.

863

- Schiffman, P., Zierenberg, R., Marks, N., Bishop, J. L., and Dyar, M. D. (2006) Acid-fog
- deposition at Kilauea volcano: A possible mechanism for the formation of siliceous-sulfate rock
- 866 coatings on Mars. Geology, 34(11), 921-924.

867

- Seelos, K. D., Arvidson, R. E., Jolliff, B. L., Chemtob, S. M., Morris, R. V., Ming, D. W., and
- 869 Swayze, G. A. (2010) Silica in a Mars analog environment: Ka'u Desert, Kilauea Volcano,
- Hawaii. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 115(E4).

871

- 872 Sessa, A. M., J. J. Wray, J. L. Bishop (2018) Discovery of Alunite in Candidate ExoMars
- Landing Site, Mawrth Vallis: Evidence for Localized Evaporative Environments, 49th Lunar and
- Planetary Science Conference, Abstract #2983.

- 876 Sgavetti, M. et al. (2009) Two geologic systems providing terrestrial analogues for the
- 877 exploration of sulfate deposits on Mars: Initial spectral characterization. Planetary and Space
- 878 Science, 57(5), 614-627.

- 880 Shock, E. L. (1996) Hydrothermal systems as environments for the emergence of life. Ciba
- 881 Foundation symposium 202(202):40-52; discussion 52-60.

882

- Skok, J. R., Mustard, J. F., Ehlmann, B. L., Milliken, R. E., and Murchie, S. L. (2010) Silica
- deposits in the Nili Patera caldera on the Syrtis Major volcanic complex on Mars. Nature
- 885 Geoscience, 3(12), 838.

886

- Sobron, P., J. L. Bishop, D. F. Blake, B. Chen, and F. Rull (2014) Natural Fe-bearing oxides and
- 888 sulfates from the Rio Tinto Mars analogue Critical assessment of VNIR reflectance
- 889 spectroscopy, laser Raman spectroscopy, and XRD as mineral identification tools, American
- 890 Mineralogist, 99(7), 1199-1205.

891

- 892 Squyres, S. W. et al. (2008) Detection of silica-rich deposits on Mars. Science, 320(5879), 1063-
- 893 1067.

894

- 895 Sun, V.Z. and Milliken R.E. (2018) Distinct Geologic Settings of Opal-A and More Crystalline
- Hydrated Silica on Mars. Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 10,221-10,228.

- 898 Swayze, G. A. et al. (2008) Discovery of the acid-sulfate mineral alunite in Terra Sirenum, Mars,
- 899 using MRO CRISM: Possible evidence for acid-saline lacustrine deposits?. In AGU Fall Meeting
- 900 Abstracts.

- 902 Tedesco, D. and Sabroux, J. C. (1987) The determination of deep temperatures by means of the
- 903 CO-CO 2-H 2-H 2 O geothermometer: an example using fumaroles in the Campi Flegrei,
- 904 Italy. Bulletin of volcanology, 49(1), 381-387.

905

- 906 Tedesco, D., Pece, R., and Sabroux, J. C. (1988) No evidence of a new magmatic gas
- 907 contribution to the Solfatara volcanic gases, during the Bradyseismic crisis at Campi Flegrei
- 908 (Italy). Geophysical Research Letters, 15(12), 1441-1444.

909

- 910 Tedesco, D. (1994) Chemical and isotopic gas emissions at Campi Flegrei: Evidence for an
- aborted period of unrest. Journal of Geophysical Research, 15623-15631.

912

- 913 Torrente, M. M., Milia, A., Bellucci, F., and Rolandi, G. (2010) Extensional tectonics in the
- 914 Campania Volcanic Zone (eastern Tyrrhenian Sea, Italy): new insights into the relationship
- between faulting and ignimbrite eruptions. Italian journal of geosciences, 129(2), 297-315.

916

- 917 Thollot, P. et al. (2012) Most Mars minerals in a nutshell: Various alteration phases formed in a
- 918 single environment in Noctis Labyrinthus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 117(E11).

- 920 Valentino, G. M., Cortecci, G., Franco, E., and Stanzione, D. (1999) Chemical and isotopic
- 921 compositions of minerals and waters from the Campi Flegrei volcanic system, Naples, Italy.
- 922 Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 91(2), 329-344.

- 924 Viviano, C. E., Moersch, J. E., and McSween, H. Y. (2013) Implications for early hydrothermal
- 925 environments on Mars through the spectral evidence for carbonation and chloritization reactions
- in the Nili Fossae region. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 118(9), 1858-1872.

927

- 928 Viviano-Beck, C. E., et al. (2014) Revised CRISM spectral parameters and summary products
- based on the currently detected mineral diversity on Mars, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 930 Planets, 119, 1403–1431, doi:10.1002/2014JE004627.

931

- 932 Viviano-Beck, C. E. et al. (2015) MRO CRISM Type Spectra Library, NASA Planetary Data
- 933 System, http://crismtypespectra.rsl.wustl.edu.

934

- 935 Weitz, C.M., Bishop J.L., Thollot P., Mangold N., and Roach L.H. (2011) Diverse mineralogies
- in two troughs of Noctis Labyrinthus, Mars. Geology, 39, 899-902, doi: 10.1130/G32045.1.

937

- 938 Weitz, C. M., J. L. Bishop, and J. A. Grant (2013) Gypsum, opal, and fluvial channels within a
- 939 trough of Noctis Labyrinthus, Mars: Implications for aqueous activity during the Late Hesperian
- to Early Amazonian, Planetary and Space Science, 87, 130-145.

- Weitz, C. M., J. L. Bishop, L. L. Baker, and D. C. Berman (2014) Fresh exposures of hydrous Fe-
- bearing amorphous silicates on Mars. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(24), 8744–8751,
- 944 doi:10.1002/2014GL062065.

- Wiens, R. C., et al. (2016) The SuperCam remote sensing instrument suite for Mars 2020. In 47th
- 947 Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, abstract #1322.

948

- 949 Wray, J. J., et al. (2011) Columbus crater and other possible groundwater-fed paleolakes of Terra
- 950 Sirenum, Mars. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 116(E1).

951

- 952 Yen, A. S. et al. (2017) Multiple stages of aqueous alteration along fractures in mudstone and
- 953 sandstone strata in Gale Crater, Mars. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 471, 186-198.

954

- 955 Zillig, W., Stetter, K. O., Wunderl, S., Schulz, W., Priess, H., and Scholz, I. (1980) The
- 956 Sulfolobus-"Caldariella" group: taxonomy on the basis of the structure of DNA-dependent RNA
- polymerases. Archives of Microbiology, 125(3), 259-269.

958

- 259 Zimbelman, D.R., Rye, R.O., and Breit, G.N., (2005) Origin of secondary sulfate minerals on
- active andesitic stratovolcanoes. Chemical Geology, 215(1), 37-60.

961

962

963

964

Figure captions

Figure 1: a) Location of the study area in Italy. b) View of the Campanian plain and the three volcanoes from the bay of Naples: Vesuvio (V), Campi Flegrei (CF) and Ischia (I). c) Close-up view on the Solfatara volcanic crater. Sampling sites are indicated by green stars. Faults and ring faults from Isaia et al. (2015) are overlain in transparency, and represented by black and red lines respectively (Background: ArcGIS world imagery maps, Sources: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community).

Figure 2: Field impressions at the different sampling sites (See locations on figure 1).

Figure 3: VNIR reflectance spectra of selected samples that represent the types of spectral features observed (thick lines). a) Altered walls at L2 and L6, b) mudpot sediments from L1, c) deposits from the L6 90°C vent, d) deposits from the L4 160°C vent. Reflectance spectra of minerals likely present, from the Bishop collection and the USGS spectral library, are given for comparison (dotted lines). Vertical bars were placed at key wavelengths to facilitate comparison of the absorption features.

Figure 4: Raman spectra of selected samples that represent the types of spectral features observed. a) Altered walls at L6, b) mudpots sediments from L1, c) deposits at the L4 160°C vent). RUFF reference library spectra of the minerals commonly identified in the samples are given for comparison (dotted lines). Vertical bars were placed at key wavelengths to facilitate comparison of the Raman peaks.

Figure 5: VNIR field spectra of alunite and jarosite in rock coatings, which are occurring within the same outcrops at the Solfatara crater, are compared with the spectra of terrestrial references and Mawrth Vallis (MW) Mars locations from the Bishop collection (Bishop et al., 2004b, 2018; Bishop and Murad, 2005) and the Viviano-Beck et al. (2014) type spectra marked by V.

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

990

991

992

993

Figure 6: Mars examples of alunite, jarosite and silica detections. a) Silica-rich deposits (magenta arrows) identified around the Nili Patera volcanic cone by Skok et al. (2010). The opal_index parameters map (in rainbow, stretched values 0.001-0.1) using the index of Thollot et al. (2012) CRISM FRT00010628 is overlain in transparency CTX image on over B05_011459_1891_XI_09N292W. b) Sporadic alunite (yellow arrows) and jarosite (orange arrows) detections were made within the clay-rich units of Mawrth Vallis (locations from Danielson and Bishop, 2018; Bishop et al., 2018; Sessa et al., 2018). CRISM observation FRT00003BFB is displayed in false color (default RGB, R=2.5361 µm, G=1.3358 µm, B= 0.7749 µm) over CTX image P03 002074 2027 XI 22N018W. c) Alunite is detected together with kaolinite along the walls of the 65 km diameter Cross Crater (Ehlmann et al., 2018). RGB observations composite CRISM FRT000137C2, FRT0000D24B, FRT0000CC44, overlain CTX FRT0000B252, FRT0000987B images are over D16_033383_1496_XN_30S157W, P16_007446_1477_XN_32S157W, P19 008448 1493 XN 30S158W, P20 009028 1495 XI 30S157W, P15_006945_1494_XN_30S158W. This RGB composite with the summary parameters R=BD2200 (Thollot et al., 2012), G=Kaol-index (Thollot et al., 2012), B= SINDEX2 (Viviano-Beck et al., 2014) was chosen to highlight putative alunite detections in purple, and kaolinite detections in yellow (mixed detections appear in white). d) CRISM VNIR spectra of selected Mars mineral detections are compared with library references (Mars spectra: CRISM alunite and jarosite spectra from Viviano-Beck et al. (2014, 2015) and Bishop et al. (2018), Silica spectra from CRISM FRT00010628 (average of 3x3 pixels). Reference spectra: Alunite GDS83, Jarosite GDS99 and Opal TM8896 from the USGS spectral library).

Tables Tables

Table 1: List of sampling sites and collected samples.

site	Latitude	Longitude	Type	Description of samples
L1	40.8270	14.1393	mudpot at 33°C, pH = 1.5	R1: white/brown deposits outside the pool. Polygons on a 20 cm scale R2: yellowish/brown deposits outside the pool R3: hard cemented deposits on the pool ramparts (Z1= at surface, Z2 = at a 5cm depth) R4: Light gray, wet sediments from the pool
L2	40.8303	14.1393	weathered wall	R1: Yellow coatings R2: Orange coatings R3 : Red coatings
L3	40.8304	14.1395	weathered wall	R1: white ignimbrite / ashy layer from the crater wall R2: white ignimbrite / pumice from the crater wall R3: yellowish ignimbrite / ashy layer from the crater wall
L4	40.8271	14.1420	fumarolic vent at 160°C	R1: colorful rock lying on the vent (white, yellow, orange, dark and burgundy coatings) R2: colorful rock lying on the vent (yellow and orange coatings)
L5	40.8255	14.1393	fumarolic vent at 90°C	R1: white material within the vent R2: yellow material (sulfur needles) within the vent R3: friable/ altered, white/beige rocks around the vent R4: friable/ altered rocks with subtle turquoise tones around the vent
L6	40.8295	14.1407	fumarolic vent	R1: White pyroclastic deposits in the crater wall

weathered wall R3: Orange/Brown coatings on the crater wall R4: Yellow coatings on the crater wall R5: Dark red coatings on the crater wall R6: Sea green/turquoise coatings on the crater wall R7: Biology - green layer of algae, possibly Cyanidium caldarium R8: White coatings R9: Yellow sulfur crystals R10: Rock with colorful coatings R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent	ı	j	Ī	1	at 90°C +	R2: Beige pyroclastic deposits in the crater wall
R4: Yellow coatings on the crater wall R5: Dark red coatings on the crater wall R6: Sea green/turquoise coatings on the crater wall R7: Biology - green layer of algae, possibly Cyanidium caldarium R8: White coatings R9: Yellow sulfur crystals R10: Rock with colorful coatings R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent						
R5: Dark red coatings on the crater wall R6: Sea green/turquoise coatings on the crater wall R7: Biology - green layer of algae, possibly Cyanidium caldarium R8: White coatings R9: Yellow sulfur crystals R10: Rock with colorful coatings R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent					weathered wan	R3: Orange/Brown coatings on the crater wall
R6: Sea green/turquoise coatings on the crater wall R7: Biology - green layer of algae, possibly Cyanidium caldarium R8: White coatings R9: Yellow sulfur crystals R10: Rock with colorful coatings R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R4: Yellow coatings on the crater wall
R7: Biology - green layer of algae, possibly Cyanidium caldarium R8: White coatings R9: Yellow sulfur crystals R10: Rock with colorful coatings R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R5: Dark red coatings on the crater wall
R8: White coatings R9: Yellow sulfur crystals R10: Rock with colorful coatings R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent						R6: Sea green/turquoise coatings on the crater wall
R9: Yellow sulfur crystals R10: Rock with colorful coatings R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent						R7: Biology - green layer of algae, possibly Cyanidium caldarium
R10: Rock with colorful coatings R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R8: White coatings
R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R9: Yellow sulfur crystals
R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R10: Rock with colorful coatings
R13: White nodular material from within a vent R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R11: Yellow sulfur crystals from within a vent
R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R12: White fibrous deposits from within a vent
R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R13: White nodular material from within a vent
R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R14: Orange nodular material from within a vent
R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R15: Orange/brown puffy material from within/around a vent
R18: White nodular material around the vent R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R16: White puffy material from within/around a vent
R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R17: White/beige nodular material around the vent
R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R18: White nodular material around the vent
R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent						R19: Orange/brown ridged/puffy material around the vent
						R20: White/orange deposits from within a second vent
L7 40.8290 14.1405 dry mudpot R1: brown deposits, polygons on a 10 cm scale.						R21: Orange/dark brown deposits from within a second vent
	-	L7	40.8290	14.1405	dry mudpot	R1: brown deposits, polygons on a 10 cm scale.

Table 2: Bulk composition of selected crater wall samples that appeared relatively unaltered. All values are given in wt%; D. L.= Detection Limit. Major oxides have been renormalized to 100% to exclude the loss on ignition. This Ignition loss (as measured by ICP-OES, including S) and the S content (as measured separately, by a C-S analyzer) are given for information. The Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA) is calculated using the equation of Nesbitt and Young (1982): CIA = (Al2O3) x 100 x (Al2O3 + CaO + Na2O + K2O)⁻¹. CIA values fall within the same range (60-80) of Mayer et al. (2016) who noticed that the Solfatara sample density decreases (porosity increases) with increasing degree of alteration.

Sample ID	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	TiO ₂	P ₂ O ₅	Total major oxides	Ignition loss	s	CIA
L5R4	97.56	0.72	0.08	<d.l.< th=""><th><d.l.< th=""><th>0.05</th><th>0.09</th><th>0.22</th><th>1.27</th><th><d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>16.52</th><th>1.36</th><th>66.30</th></d.l.<></th></d.l.<></th></d.l.<>	<d.l.< th=""><th>0.05</th><th>0.09</th><th>0.22</th><th>1.27</th><th><d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>16.52</th><th>1.36</th><th>66.30</th></d.l.<></th></d.l.<>	0.05	0.09	0.22	1.27	<d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>16.52</th><th>1.36</th><th>66.30</th></d.l.<>	100	16.52	1.36	66.30
L3R1	97.03	1.19	0.14	<d.l.< th=""><th>0.06</th><th>0.08</th><th>0.14</th><th>0.11</th><th>1.26</th><th><d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>6.41</th><th>0.35</th><th>78.37</th></d.l.<></th></d.l.<>	0.06	0.08	0.14	0.11	1.26	<d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>6.41</th><th>0.35</th><th>78.37</th></d.l.<>	100	6.41	0.35	78.37
L6R1	97.16	1.54	0.13	<d.l.< th=""><th>0.05</th><th>0.05</th><th>0.12</th><th>0.10</th><th>0.86</th><th><d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>12.37</th><th>0.46</th><th>84.95</th></d.l.<></th></d.l.<>	0.05	0.05	0.12	0.10	0.86	<d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>12.37</th><th>0.46</th><th>84.95</th></d.l.<>	100	12.37	0.46	84.95

Table 3: Summary of XRD detections for selected samples. For the DOC (degree of crystallinity) values, the -, +, ++ and +++ symbols indicate the following ranges of values, respectively: <30%, >30%, >50%, >70%. For mineral abundances within the crystalline phases, the -, +, ++ and +++ symbols indicate the following ranges of values, respectively: present but <10%, >10%, >40%, >70%. Gray lines indicate samples with a lower amount of crystalline phases (<60%). Sulf= Sulfur, Cal = calcite, Ana = Anatase, San= sanidine, Al= alunite, Jar = jarosite, Alg = alunogen, Alum = alum-K, Mer = mercallite, Gol =Goldichite, Coq = coquimbite, Pick = pickeringite, Mag =magnesite, Cam= caminite, Fer = ferrhydrite, Yav = Yavapaiite, Mil = millosevichite, Mic= mica, Tam = tamarugite.

Sample ID	DOC	Sulf	Cal	Ana	San	Al	Jar	Alg	Alum	Mer	Gol	Coq	Pick	Mag	Cam	Fer	Yav	Mil	Mic	Tam
L5R1	-	+++	-	-										-						
L5R3	++	+++	-																	
L5R4	-		+	++																
L3R1	-		+	+++																
L6R1	-		++	++																
L6R3	+++				+++	-	-												-	
L6R4	++					+++	-	-							-					
L6R5	+++				+++		-												-	
L1R2Z1	+++					-		++	+				+							
L1R3Z1	++	++				+														
L1R3Z2	+	+++		-		+														
L1R4	++	++				++														
L6R11	+++	+++						-	-											
L6R12	+++							++	+	-							-			
L6R13	+++							++	+		+									
L6R14	++					+++		+	+		-									
L6R15	+++					-		++	+		-	-								-
L6R16	+++	-				+		++	+											
L6R17	+++					-		+++	+		-							-		
L6R18	+++					-		+++	-	-										
L6R19	+++					+		++			+	-								
		I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	l			l	L		l	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	l	l	L

L6R20	++	-		+	+++		-			-		
L6R21	+++	-		+	+	++						

Table 4: Bulk composition of the mudpot samples. Major oxides (renormalized, see Table 2 for more information), ignition loss and S values are given in wt%, As and Sb and given in ppm. D. L.= Detection Limit.

Sample ID	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	TiO ₂	P ₂ O ₅	Total major oxides	Ignition loss	s	As	Sb
L1R3Z1	92.08	5.34	0.19	<d.l.< th=""><th><d.l.< th=""><th>0.07</th><th>0.15</th><th>1.28</th><th>0.88</th><th><d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>42.31</th><th>23.62</th><th>47.54</th><th>27.12</th></d.l.<></th></d.l.<></th></d.l.<>	<d.l.< th=""><th>0.07</th><th>0.15</th><th>1.28</th><th>0.88</th><th><d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>42.31</th><th>23.62</th><th>47.54</th><th>27.12</th></d.l.<></th></d.l.<>	0.07	0.15	1.28	0.88	<d.l.< th=""><th>100</th><th>42.31</th><th>23.62</th><th>47.54</th><th>27.12</th></d.l.<>	100	42.31	23.62	47.54	27.12
L1R4	84.95	9.96	0.46	<d.l.< th=""><th><d.l.< th=""><th>0.13</th><th>0.24</th><th>2.70</th><th>1.37</th><th>0.18</th><th>100</th><th>44.17</th><th>18.56</th><th>53.48</th><th>13.62</th></d.l.<></th></d.l.<>	<d.l.< th=""><th>0.13</th><th>0.24</th><th>2.70</th><th>1.37</th><th>0.18</th><th>100</th><th>44.17</th><th>18.56</th><th>53.48</th><th>13.62</th></d.l.<>	0.13	0.24	2.70	1.37	0.18	100	44.17	18.56	53.48	13.62

Table 5: Main mineralogical assemblages at the various crater locations, as determined from the three main instrument techniques described here. Asterisks (*) indicate locations where XRD data show a relatively high proportion (> 50%) of amorphous material in some samples. Hem = Hematite, Cin = Cinnabar, Real = Realgar, Orp = Orpiment, Cop = Copiapite.

	XRD	Raman	VNIR				
Mudpots at L1, L7	Sulf, Al, Alg, Alum, pick*	Sulf, pick/sulfates, Opal	Opal (+ Al-clays at L7)				
Relatively unaltered walls at L5 and L6 (R1-2)	Sulf, Cal, Ana*	-	Opal				
Colorful alteration at L2, L3 and L6 (R3-10)	San, Al, Jar, (Cam, Mic, Alg)	San, Al, Jar	Al, Jar, hem, opal				
Sublimates at L4	-	As species	Cin, Real, Orp, Al, dehydrated opal				
Sublimates at L6 (R11-21)	Alg, Al, Alum, Gol, Mer, Coq,(Mil, Yav, Tam)	-	water saturated component (Alg?), cop, coq, hem				

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3





Figure 4






Figure 6

