

Involvement of the GABAA receptor *α* **subunit in the mode of action of etifoxine**

César Mattei, Antoine Taly, Zineb Soualah, Ophélie Saulais, Daniel Henrion, Nathalie C. Guérineau, Marc Verleye, Christian Legros

To cite this version:

César Mattei, Antoine Taly, Zineb Soualah, Ophélie Saulais, Daniel Henrion, et al.. Involvement of the GABAA receptor α subunit in the mode of action of etifoxine. Pharmacological Research, 2019, 145, pp.104250. 10.1016/j.phrs.2019.04.034. hal-02344585

HAL Id: hal-02344585 <https://hal.science/hal-02344585v1>

Submitted on 4 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

24 **ABSTRACT**

25 Etifoxine (EFX) is a non-benzodiazepine psychoactive drug which exhibits anxiolytic effects through 26 a dual mechanism, by directly binding to $GABA_A$ receptors $(GABA_ARs)$ and to the mitochondrial 18-27 kDa translocator protein, resulting in the potentiation of the GABA ergic function. The β subunit 28 subtype plays a key role in the EFX-GABAAR interaction, however this does not explain the anxiolytic 29 effects of this drug. Here, we combined behavioral and electrophysiological experiments to challenge 30 the role of the GABA.R α subunit in the EFX mode of action. After single administrations of 31 anxiolytic doses (25-50 mg/kg, intraperitoneal), EFX did not induce any neurological nor locomotor 32 impairments, unlike the benzodiazepine bromazepam (0.5-1 mg/kg, intraperitoneal). We established 33 the EFX pharmacological profile on heteropentameric GABA_ARs constructed with α 1 to α 6 subunit 34 expressed in *Xenopus* oocyte. Unlike what is known for benzodiazepines, neither the γ nor δ subunits 35 influenced EFX-mediated potentiation of GABA-evoked currents. EFX acted first as a partial agonist 36 on α 2 β 3γ2S, α 3 β 3γ2S, α 6 β 3γ2S and α 6 β 3δ GABA_aRs, but not on α 1 β 3γ2S, α 4 β 3 γ 2S, α 4 β 3δ nor 37 α 5 β 3 γ 2S GABA_ARs. Moreover, EFX exhibited much higher positive allosteric modulation towards 38 α 2β3γ2S, α 3β3γ2S and α 6β3γ2S than for α 1β3γ2S, α 4β3γ2S and α 5β3γ2S GABA,Rs. At 20 μ M, 39 corresponding to brain concentration at anxiolytic doses, EFX increased GABA potency to the highest 40 extent for α 3 β 3 γ 2S GABA_aRs. We built a docking model of EFX on α 3 β 3 γ 2S GABA_aRs, which is 41 consistent with a binding site located between α and β subunits in the extracellular domain. In 42 conclusion, EFX preferentially potentiates α 2 β 3 γ 2S and α 3 β 3 γ 2S GABA_aRs, which might support 43 its advantageous anxiolytic/sedative balance.

45 **Chemical compounds studied in this article:** etifoxine (PubChem CID: 171544), bromazepam 46 (PubChem CID: 2441), diazepam (PubChem CID: 3016)

67 **1. Introduction**

 GABAARs are heteropentameric membrane proteins that belong to the cys-loop ligand-gated ion channel superfamily [1]. They are permeant to chloride ions in response to GABA and decrease neuronal excitability through membrane hyperpolarization. To date, 19 mammalian GABAAR 71 subunits have been described and cloned (α 1-6, β 1-3, γ 1-3, δ , ε , π , θ , ϱ 1-3) [1]. The putative combination of these subunits provides a large heterogeneity of GABAARs, with a stoichiometry of 73 2α, 2β and a complementary subunit (mainly γ or δ). The contribution of GABA Rs to fast or slow neuronal inhibition depends on their stoichiometry, their tissue distribution and their synaptic or 75 extrasynaptic location. The most frequent assembly of synaptic receptors is $α(1-3)β(1-3)γ(1-3)$, 76 whereas extrasynaptic receptors dominantly contain α 4 or α 6 with β (1-3) and δ , or α 5, β (1-3) and γ [1,2]. These differences in stoichiometry and distribution support their different neurophysiological functions and pharmacological properties [3,4].

79 GABAARs are targeted by benzodiazepines (BZDs) and other drugs for the treatment of 80 anxiety, epilepsy and sleep disorders [5,6]. BZDs act as positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) of 81 GABA_ARs by binding to a site at the interface between γ 2 subunit and α subunits [7]. Classical BZDs, 82 such as diazepam (DZP, Fig. 1), bromazepam (BZP, Fig. 1) and lorazepam, exhibit similar 83 pharmacological profile in behavioral tests $[8,9]$ and display poor selectivity over $GABA_aRs$ which 84 contain α 1, or α 2 or α 3 or α 5 (α 1GABA,R, α 2GABA,R, α 3GABA,R, or α 5GABA,R) [10], which 85 explains their undesirable effects, including withdrawal symptoms, sedation, amnesia, cognitive 86 impairments and aggressiveness. Indeed, α 1GABA, Rs are associated with sedation, BZD addiction, 87 anterograde amnesia, anticonvulsant activity and cortical plasticity [10-12]. α 2GABA_aRs and 88 α 3GABA, Rs have been linked to anxiolysis, antihyperalgesia and myorelaxation [13-15]. 89 α 5GABA_aRs are believed to be correlated to sedation, cognitive impairments and more recently, 90 anxiolysis [14-17].

 Etifoxine (2-ethylamino-6-chloro-4-methyl-4-phenyl-4H-3,1-benzoxazine hydrochloride, EFX, Fig. 1) is a non-BZD compound that exhibits anxiolytic and anticonvulsant effects in rodents [18] and is used for the treatment of anxiety-related disorders in humans [19,20]. EFX also displays anti-hyperalgesic and anti-inflammatory properties in different animal models [21,22]. Both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies in rats suggested that the anxiolytic effects of EFX involve a dual mechanism of action, by directly binding to central GABAARs and to the mitochondrial 18-kDa translocator protein (TSPO) with, as a result, potentiation of the GABAergic function [23,24]. Indeed, it has been shown that EFX activates TSPO through a direct binding and consecutively stimulates the synthesis of 99 neurosteroids, such as, allopregnanolone, which act as PAMs of GABA_ARs [25-27]. Although the 100 affinity of EFX for GABA Rs was twice higher than the one for TSPO (K, of 6.1 μ M vs K, of 12.7 μ M), the predominance of one of the effect over the other, i.e. direct GABA_sRs binding or through TSPO activation, in mediating its anxiolytic effect, is still debated [25,28,29].

103 The importance of the β subunit in the mode of action of EFX on GABA, Rs has been clearly 104 evidenced [24]. Constitutively-open homopentameric βGABAARs are inhibited by EFX. In addition, 105 α 1GABA, Rs and α 2GABA, Rs embedding β2 or β3 are more sensitive to EFX than α 1GABA, Rs and 106 α 2GABA,Rs with β1. These data underline the importance of the β subunit in the EFX-GABA,R 107 interaction and for EFX-potentiation of GABA-induced currents of heteromeric GABA Rs. However, 108 homopentameric β2GABA, Rs are less sensitive to EFX than homopentameric β1GABA, Rs [24], 109 suggesting that the nature of the α subunit might also play a role in EFX-GABA Rs interaction. In 110 addition, at anxiolytic doses, EFX has no sedative effects nor locomotion impairment in humans [19] 111 or rodents [30] and this could hardly be explained by the equal potency of EFX on α 2GABA.R and 112 α 1GABA_aR since the latter is associated with sedation [10,12]. Thus, we hypothesized that the 113 pharmacological profile of EFX reflects different sensitivities of all α subtypes containing-GABA, Rs. 114 In this study, we first compared EFX and BZP in anxiolysis, sedation and locomotor 115 impairment behavioral tests in acute conditions, in mice. The pharmacological effects of both EFX 116 and BZP already appear after a single administration [8,9,29,30]. Here, we determined the anxiolytic 117 doses of EFX and their possible influences on motor performance and arousal. We then assessed the 118 impact of α subunit isoforms on the effects of EFX on GABA-evoked currents. We characterized the 119 pharmacological profile of EFX on murine synaptic GABA_aRs (α 1 β 3 γ 2S, α 2 β 3 γ 2S, α 3 β 3 γ 2S, 120 α 4β3γ2S and α 6β3γ2S) and extrasynaptic GABA_aRs (α 5β3γ2S, α 4β3δ and α 6β3δ) using 121 electrophysiology. This pharmacological study was completed with a 3D model, showing the 122 interaction between EFX and GABA_aRs. Our results demonstrate that the EFX mode of action 123 involves both α and β , but not γ or δ , subunits.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Ethical statements

 All animal procedures were carried out in accordance with the European Community council directive 2010/63/EU for the care and use of laboratory animals and were approved by our respective 129 local ethical committees (N°CEEA.45 and N°CEEA.72 for mice and N°CEEA.2012.68 for *Xenopus* https://www.ceea-paysdelaloire.com/) in addition to the French Ministry of Agriculture 131 (authorization N°B49071 and N° 02200.02). The NC3R's ARRIVE guidelines were followed in the conduct and reporting of all experiments using animals.

2.2 Animal care and conditioning

 Experiments were carried out using 7- to 9-week-old Balb/cByJ mice (25-30 g) purchased from Charles River Laboratory (Les Oncins, France). Ten mice per translucent polypropylene cage (internal dimensions in mm: 375 x 375 x 180, L x W x H) were housed under standard laboratory 137 conditions $(22 \pm 2^{\circ} \text{ C}, 12 \text{--} \text{h}$ light/dark cycle, lights on at 7:00 AM) with food (AO4, SAFE, France) and tap water available *ad libitum*. No less than one week of rest followed their arrival. Mice were habituated to the testing room at least 60 min before performing any behavioral evaluation. All tests occurred between 9:00 AM and 3:00 PM. The behavioral tests were performed by two well-trained experimenters, who remained unaware of the administered treatment. In addition, all equipment was wiped with 70% ethanol between animals to erase the olfactory stimuli. All experiments were performed in a randomized manner. Single administrations of EFX (12.5-150 mg/kg, expressed as hydrochloride salt) or BZP (0.25-1 mg/kg) were given by the intraperitoneal (IP) route, 30 min before each test, except in the stress-induced hyperthermia test in which the compounds were administered 60 min before the test. Studies have shown that both compounds have a similar profile with plasma peak at 15-30 min [25,31-33]. The control animals received an equivalent volume of vehicle (0.9% NaCl, 1% tween 80 (v/v)). One male C57Bl/6N mouse was used for the cloning experiments. This mouse had been included in a control group (not treated) from a previous protocol in which mice were purchased from Janvier Labs (Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France). Euthanasia was performed using CO2 (3 ml/min, 4 min). Adult female *Xenopus laevis* were purchased from CRB (Rennes, France) and had been bred in the laboratory in strict accordance with the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the European Community. Oocytes were harvested from mature female *Xenopus laevis* frogs under 0.15% tricaine anaesthesia. All animals recovered after 2- 3 h. Every female is operated every three months, not less. A single female was used no more than 5 times.

2. 3 Compounds

 EFX hydrochloride (batch 653, Biocodex, France), and BZP (batch 5788, Francochim, France) (Fig. 1) were suspended in vehicle and administered (IP) in a volume of 10 ml/kg of body weight. For electrophysiology, EFX and DZP (batch 105F0451, Sigma, France) were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) resulting in a maximal concentration of 0.1% DMSO, for oocyte perfusion (control experiments were performed to demonstrate no effect of DMSO). All other reagents and solvents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Merck (Saint-Louis, MO, USA) or Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, Massachusetts, USA).

 Fig. 1. Structure of the positive allosteric modulators of GABAARs used in this study. The 2D structure of EFX (PubChem CID: 171544), DZP (PubChem CID: 3016) and BZP (PubChem CID: 2441) are illustrated.

 Stress-induced hyperthermia (SIH) is defined as the increase in body temperature observed when a subject is exposed to an external stressor [34]. The day preceding the experiment, the animals 177 were isolated in smaller cages (dimensions: $265x160x140$ mm). The body temperature ($\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C) of one singly housed mouse was measured twice via a rectal probe (YSI n°423; 2 mm diameter) coupled to a thermometer (Letica-Temp812 model-Italia) at an interval of 10 min. The rectal temperature measurement procedure (handling, insertion of the probe) constitutes the stressor. Drugs were injected 60 min before the first measurement (T1), followed by a second temperature measurement 10 min later (T2). Methodological experiments have shown that the optimal conditions for drug testing are found with an injection-test interval of 60 min or longer to avoid residual effects of the injection procedure. Indeed, using a 60 min-injection-stress interval results in a hyperthermic response comparable to animals that are not injected [35,36]. The reduction of SIH (∆T = T2-T1) is considered to reflect an anxiolytic-like effect [34-36]. Defensive burying after novel object exploration is a behavior that can be elicited in rodents in response to aversive or new stimuli [37]. Mice were singly housed in smaller cages (see above) with a sawdust depth of 2.5 cm the day before the test. Each mouse was confronted with an unfamiliar object (4x4x6 cm; aluminium) introduced in the centre of the cage. The time of contact or exploring the object (snout pointing toward the object at a distance < 1 cm with or without burying) was recorded for 10 min. The object was cleaned with alcohol (10%) between each trial. This behavioral approach reveals an anxiety-like or fear state and its suppression is associated with a reduction of the anxiety-like behavior [37].

2.5 Spontaneous locomotor activity

 Testing was conducted in a quiet room under a light level of approximately 400 lux. The motor activity cages (dimensions: 265x160x140 mm) were made of clear plastic and were changed between each animal; these cages contained a minimum amount of sawdust. The locomotor activity was

 measured by infra-red beam interruptions that were counted by a control unit (OptaVarimex, Columbus, Ohio, USA). The sensitivity of this unit was set so that walking (horizontal activity) and rearing (vertical activity) were measured. The beam breaks corresponding to spontaneous locomotor 201 activity were measured for 15 min.

2.6 Rotarod performance

 The rotarod test assesses motor performance by measuring the capacity of mice to remain on a 3-cm-diameter rod revolving 16 rpm (model 7600; Ugo Basile, Comerio, Italy). The mice were trained to walk on the rotarod until they could complete three consecutive 120 s sessions without falling off the rod. Twenty-four hours later, selected animals were treated with drugs before being challenged. The rotarod performance time was measured three times, up to 120 s, and the mean was adopted as the performance time for each animal.

2.7 GABAAR subunit cDNA expression vectors

210 pRK7 plasmids containing cDNAs encoding rat α 2 subunits were a kind gift from Professor 211 Harmut Lüddens (University of Mainz, Mainz, Germany). The GABA_AR α 2 subunits from rat and mouse are identical in amino acid sequence. pGW1 (=pRK5) plasmids containing cDNAs encoding mouse β3 and γ2S subunits were kindly provided by Professor Steven J. Moss (Tufts University, 214 Boston, USA). The cDNAs encoding the α 3, α 4, α 5, α 6 and δ subunits used in this work were cloned in mouse brain as described below.

2.8 RNA extraction, RT-PCR and cloning of full-length cDNAs encoding α3-6 and d *subunits*

 The brain was dissected from a male C57Bl/6N mouse for RNA extraction and purification. Total RNA was then extracted using TRIzol® Reagent (Ozyme/Biogentex, France). First strand 219 cDNAs were synthesised from 5 μ g of total RNA using SuperScriptTM III First-Strand Synthesis System Super Mix (Invitrogen, USA) in the presence of oligo (dT)20, according to the manufacturer's

221 instructions. cDNAs encoding α 3-6 and δ subunits were amplified using gene-specific primer pairs encompassing each ORF (Supplemental Table 1) and high-fidelity thermostable DNA polymerase (Advantage 2 Proofreading Polymerase kit, Clontech, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France). cDNAs fragments were purified with the Nucleospin PCR Cleanup Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt, Germany) and were subsequently cloned into PCR® 4 TOPO® (Invitrogen). Each clone was sequenced twice on both strands using universal sense and reverse primers by GATC Biotech (Konstanz, Germany). 227 Sequence analyses were performed using BioEdit sequence analysis software. To transfer α 3-6and δ subunits ORFs into the pRK5 expression vector, we adapted the ligase-free method for directional cloning [38]. Plasmids and cDNA inserts were separately prepared by PCR using the proof reading polymerase, KOD DNA polymerase (Merck Millipore, Fontenay sous Bois, France). To generate sticky-end cDNAs, two individual PCR reactions were performed, PCR1 and PCR2, with gene- specific primers containing short overhangs that allow annealing with the complementary overhangs 233 of the plasmid. pRK5 plasmid was modified to clone the GABA_AR subunit ORFs flanked at their 5' end by alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV) coat protein (RNA 4) and at their 3'end by 3ʹ -untranslated regions (UTRs) from the Xenopus β-globin gene (3UTRXBG). The combination of both UTRs has been shown to improve expression in both oocytes and mammalian cells [39]. First, a fusion of AMV and 3'UTRXBG was constructed and cloned into pRK5 between EcoRI and XbaI. The resulting modified vector (pRK5-5AMV-3UTRXBG) was used as a template for two individual PCRs with the following pair primers: 5'-TAAACCAGCCTCAAGAACACCCGA-3' with 5' 240 GGTGGAAGTATTTGAAAGAAAATTAAAAATA-3' (PCR1), and 5'- AAGCTTGATCTGGTTACCACTAAACC-3' with 5'- AAAATTAAAAATAAAAACGAATTCAATCGATA-3' (PCR2). PCR1 and PCR2 products were purified and mixed in T4 ligase buffer. To generate cDNA with sticky ends, the amplicons were

subunit ORFs were also prepared in two individual PCRs (LIC-PCR1 and 2) with gene-specific

244 subjected to melting and reannealing, as previously described [38]. Inserts containing GABA_aR

 primer pairs (see Table S1 in supplementary data). Sticky-end inserts were obtained as described for 247 the plasmid preparations. For each construct, sticky-ends plasmid and $GABA_a$ cDNA preparation were assembled in T4 ligase buffer and incubated for 2 h at 22°C. One to two microliters of this assemblage were used to transform chemically competent E. coli cells (DG1, Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium). The resulting clones were sequenced as described above.

2.9 Expression of GABAARs in Xenopus oocytes

 Adult female *Xenopus laevis* (CRB, Rennes, France) were anaesthetized in ice-cold water with 0.15% Tricaine (3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester, Sigma). Ovarian lobes were collected and 254 washed in standard oocyte saline (SOS containing 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl, 1 mM 255 MgCl₂, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). Stage V-VI oocytes were partially defolliculated by enzymatic 256 treatment with 2 mg/ml collagenase (type IA, Sigma) in $Ca²$ -free SOS for 60 min. To express functional GABAAR, cDNA mixtures were directly injected into the nucleus (animal pole) of 258 individual defolliculated oocytes in different volumes of DNA solution at a concentration of 50 ng/ μ l using a nanoinjector (Drummond Nanoject) (see Table S2 for receptor stoichiometry and DNA quantity injected). Following injection, the oocytes were kept at 18°C in SOS supplemented with gentamycin (50 μg/ml), penicillin (100 UI/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml), and sodium pyruvate 262 (2.5 mM). The incubation medium was replaced every two days. Oocytes were incubated 1 to 2 days 263 after DNA injection, depending on the $GABA_aR$ subtype.

2.10 Electrophysiological recordings

265 Injected oocytes were tested for $GABA_A R$ expression, at a holding potential of -60 mV using a two-electrode voltage clamp amplifier (TEV-200, Dagan Corporation, Minneapolis, USA). Digidata 1440A interface (Axon CNS Molecular Devices, California, USA) and pCLAMP 10 software (Axon CNS Molecular Devices) were used for acquisition. Cells were continuously superfused with standard oocyte saline (SOS) at room temperature and were challenged with drugs in SOS. Electrodes were

270 filled with 1 M KCl / 2 M K acetate and had typical resistances of $0.5-2$ M Ω in SOS. Drugs were 271 perfused at a flow rate close to ~4 ml/min. EFX and DZP were applied for 2 min before co-application 272 of GABA at EC_{ν} , (determined for each GABA_aR subtype, see Table S2 in supplementary data) until 273 the current response peaked. EFX was tested at concentrations ranging from 2 to 100 μM, 274 corresponding to its clinical use. Between the two applications, the oocytes were washed in SOS for 275 10-15 min to ensure full recovery from receptor desensitization (see Fig. 4A inbox). To control 276 whether GABA-evoked currents were mediated by ternary α 1-6β3γ2SGABA_aRs, control experiments were performed using SOS containing 10μ M Zn²⁺ to inhibit binary GABA_aRs [40]. Data 278 were analysed using pCLAMP 10 software. Data are expressed as the mean \pm SEM of 6-10 oocytes 279 generated from at least two collections. Concentration-effect relationships were analysed using the 280 following equation: Y=Ymin + (Ymax-Ymin)/(1+10((LogECS0-X).nH)), where X is the concentration of EFX, 281 Ymin and Ymax are the minimum and highest responses, and nH is the Hill coefficient.

282 *2.11 Molecular model design*

283 The template chosen for homology modelling was the recently solved structure of a GABA_aR 284 (pdb code 4COF). The sequences of the human α 2, β 3 and γ 2S GABA_aR subunits were aligned with those of the template (β3) using T-Coffee software [41]. The model was then prepared by homology modeling using Modeler version 9.5 software [42] with default settings. One hundred models were prepared, and the best model, according to the Discrete Optimized Protein Energy function (DOPE), was selected. Side chains in the models were improved with Scwrl4 [43]. The whole model was then improved with CHARMM [44,45]. Disulfide bridges formed between neighbouring cysteines both in 290 the 'Cys-loop' and between the M1 and M3 transmembrane helices in α and γ subunits, as recently proposed [45]. The model was then subjected to minimization with decreasing harmonic potential.

292 *2.12 Docking*

 The docking had been performed with AutoDock Vina [46]. The ligands and proteins were prepared with prepare_ligand4.py and prepare_receptor4.py scripts, respectively. The side chains of amino-acids in the binding site were made flexible (a3: Ser257 Ser258 and β3 Gln66, Tyr87, Gln89, Tyr91). Each ligand was docked 100 times in a large cube of 30 Å in each dimension. Fig. 7 was prepared with PyMOL (DeLano W.L. (2010) The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.6, Schrodinger, LLC, New York).

2.13 Data analysis and statistics

300 Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. Behavioral data were analysed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post-hoc test for comparison with the vehicle group. In cases in which the two conditions (normality of the data and equality of variances) were not fulfilled, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis procedure was used, followed by the post-hoc Dunn's test to evaluate the statistical significance between the vehicle and treated groups. All test analyses were carried out by observers who were blinded to the experimental procedures. Sample sizes (number of animals in the behavioral studies) were not predetermined by a statistical method. Each behavioral experiment group included at least 10 animals and this sample size needed to detect significant effects was based on experience from previous studies. Significance tests between groups in the electrophysiological studies were performed using variance analysis (one-way ANOVA) followed by Tukey's post-hoc test for comparison of all groups or the non-parametric Mann and Whitney test when appropriate. Concerning the electrophysiological experiments, we compiled data from different batches of oocytes and we 312 excluded data, in case of potential drift $(> 0.6 \text{ mV})$ after pulling out the electrodes from the oocytes and when current amplitudes were <10 nA or > 2 µA. GraphPad Prism 7.02 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA) was used for all graphs and statistical analyses. Differences with p<0.05 were 315 considered significant (* for $p<0.05$, ** for $p<0.01$, *** for $p<0.001$, **** for $p<0.0001$).

3. Results

3.1 Anxiolytic effects of EFX

 Previous studies have shown that EFX exhibits anxiolytic effects using conventional behavioral tests such as elevated plus maze and dark-light box tests [33,47]. Here, we evaluated the effect of EFX on stress and anxiety-related behaviors (stress-induced hyperthermia and novel object exploration) to determine and confirm its anxiolytic doses in comparison with BZP. In non-treated animals, handling stress resulted in a rise in body temperature close to 1°C (Fig. 2A to D). BZP significantly lowered body temperature in animals at 1 mg/kg dose, before handling (T0) revealing hypothermia (H(3)=11.343, p=0.010, then p<0.05, Dunn's test) (Fig. 2A). BZP dose-dependently 326 prevented stress-induced hyperthermia $(F_{3,41}=18.290, p<0.001)$ (Fig. 2B). Compared to the vehicle-327 treated animals, BZP was effective at doses of 0.5 and 1 mg/kg (p<0.05, Dunnett's test). EFX also induced changes in body temperature but without hypothermia at the highest dose (50 mg/kg) 329 compared with control animals $(F_{3,41} = 2.269, p=0.095)$ (Fig. 2C). As observed for BZP, the 330 temperature increase was dose-dependently prevented by EFX $(H(3)=20.072, p<0.001)$ with a significant effect at 25 and 50 mg/kg dose (p<0.05, Dunn's test) (Fig. 2D). The anxiolytic effects of BZP and EFX were also assessed by evaluating the behavioral approach in the presence of an unfamiliar object. The duration of contact with a novel object was significantly decreased in animals 334 treated with BZP $(H(3)=15.304, p=0.002)$ from the dose of 1 mg/kg $(p<0.05, Dunn's test)$ (Fig. 2E). The same was observed with EFX (H(3)=17.536, p<0.001), with a significant effect observed at doses of 25 and 50 mg/kg compared with the control animals (p<0.05, Dunn's test) (Fig. 2F). In conclusion, these two different behavioral tests led to similar anxiolytic doses of EFX (25-50 mg/kg, IP).

 Fig. 2. Comparison of the effects of EFX and BZP on anxiety-related behaviors in mice. (A-D) The graphs show the 363 evolution of the mean rectal temperature $(\pm$ SEM) at T0 and T0+10 min after treatment with vehicle (dose 0) or BZP (A) 364 or EFX (C) through IP route, 60 min before the first temperature measurement at T0. Histograms represent the mean $(\pm$ SEM) of the difference of the rectal temperature measured at T0 and T+10 min in the same mouse after treatment with vehicle or BZP (B) or EFX (D) at the indicated doses. *p<0.05 compared with the vehicle group (Dunn test). (E,F) Histograms illustrate the mean time (± SEM) of contact with an unfamiliar object after IP administration of BZP (E) or EFX (F). *p<0.05 compared with the respective vehicle groups (dose 0). (see "data analysis and statistics" in the Material and Methods section). Animal numbers are indicated inside the bars.

3.2 Motor performance assessment

 We then compared the impact of EFX and BZP on locomotion performance and motor coordination (Fig. 3). As illustrated in Fig. 3, BZP (IP route) decreased spontaneous locomotor activity (H(3)=8.229, p=0.042). These effects were statistically significant for the 1 mg/kg dose (p<0.05; Dunn's test) (Fig. 3A). EFX (IP route) decreased spontaneous locomotor activity with a 375 significant difference at 100 mg/kg ($H(4)=9.633$, $p=0.047$) compared to control animals ($p<0.05$, Dunn's test) (Fig. 3B). BZP reduced the time on the rotarod (H(3)=9.167, p=0.027), with a significant effect at the 1 mg/kg dose (p<0.05, Dunn's test) (Fig. 3C). EFX was devoid of any effect up to the 100 mg/kg dose and affected motor coordination at the 150 mg/kg dose compared with control animals (H(5)=19.006, p=0.002 then p<0.05, Dunn's test) (Fig. 3D). In conclusion, BZP triggers motor impairments at anxiolytic doses (1 mg/kg, IP), while EFX exhibits no locomotor effects at efficient anxiolytic doses (25 to 50 mg/kg, IP).

382
383

Fig. 3. Comparison of BZP and EFX on locomotor activity and motor coordination. (A, B) Spontaneous locomotor activities were assaved in the actimeter test in mice after IP injections of BZP (A) or EFX (B). The bars repre activities were assayed in the actimeter test in mice after IP injections of BZP (A) or EFX (B). The bars represent the 385 means \pm SEM of the number of infrared beam interruptions over 15 min. (C,D) Histograms illustrate the time on the rod (mean \pm SEM) after injection of BZP (C) or EFX (D). Animal numbers are indicated inside the b (mean \pm SEM) after injection of BZP (C) or EFX (D). Animal numbers are indicated inside the bars. *p <0.05 compared 387 with the respective vehicle groups (see "data analysis and statistics" in the Material and Methods section).

388 *3.3 EFX effects on GABA currents depends on α subunit isoforms*

409 **Fig. 4.** Effects of EFX on GABA-activated currents mediated by three synaptic GABAARs (a1β3γ2S, a2β3γ2S and 410 a3β3γ2S). EFX effects were investigated by TEVC in *Xenopus* oocytes expressing a1β3γ2S (A), a2β3γ2S (B) and 411 α 3β3γ2S GABA,Rs (C). (A-C) Increasing concentrations of EFX (2, 20, 50 and 100 μ M) were applied 2 min before co-412 application of GABA at EC_{ω} (inbox). The amplitudes of EFX-evoked currents (\bullet) were normalized to the amplitude of 413 control currents (\blacksquare) obtained with GABA alone at EC_n. The potentiation effects of EFX was determined as the percentage 414 increase of EC_®-GABA current amplitudes (●). Left panel, GABA EC_®-induced representative currents are illustrated, 415 showing the partial agonist and positive modulatory effects of EFX. Right panel, data points (mean ± SEM of 6-11 oocytes from at least two different animals) were fitted by non-linear regression to the Hill equation wi 416 from at least two different animals) were fitted by non-linear regression to the Hill equation with variable slope using
417 GraphPad Prism 7. Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA tests followed by T GraphPad Prism 7. Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA tests followed by Tukey's post-hoc 418 correction (comparison with data obtained at 2μ M, *p<0.05, **p <0.01; ***p <0.001; ****p <0.0001, ns: not significant).

433 **Fig. 5.** Pharmacological profile of EFX over a(1-3)b3g2S GABAARs. Comparison of EFX effects at 20 µM corresponding 434 to anxiolytic doses. Detailed analysis of agonist (A) and potentiating (B) effects of 20 μ M EFX on α 1B3y2S, α 2B3y2S 435 and α 3 β 3 γ 2S GABA_aRs. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test was used for the analysis ((*p<0.05, **p 436 <0.01; ***p <0.001; ****p <0.0001). The number of recorded oocytes is indicated above or inside the bars. (C) 437 Concentration-response curves of GABA-evoked currents in the absence (open circles) and presence (close circles) of 20 438 μ M EFX. Statistical analyses were performed using a non-parametric Mann & Whitney unpaired t-test. All data are 439 expressed as the mean \pm SEM (n \ge 6).

440 **Table 1**

441 Parameters of the GABA concentration-response relationship at α 1 β 3 γ 2S, α 2 β 3 γ 2S and α 3 β 3 γ 2S 442 GABA Rs modulated by 20 μ M EFX.

443 The concentration-response relationships were analyzed using the Hill-Langmuir equation with variable slope. nH : Hill 444 slope. The data are mean \pm SEM of at least two independent experiments.

Fig. 6. Effects of EFX on GABA EC_®-activated currents mediated by synaptic (α 4β3γ2S and α 6 β3γ2S) and extrasynaptic $(0.463\delta, \alpha 5\beta 3\gamma 2S)$ and $\alpha 6\beta 3\delta$) GABA,Rs. (A) Superimposed current traces evoked by GABA EC_n in a representative cell 464 expressing α 4β3γ2S or α 4β3δ GABA Rs in the absence (black traces) or presence of DZP (2 μ M) or EFX. (B) Graphs 465 illustrating the mean $(\pm$ SEM) EFX potentiation of GABA EC_®-activated currents mediated by synaptic and extrasynaptic 466 a4GABA,Rs. (C) Current traces evoked by GABA EC_® in a representative oocyte expressing extrasynaptic α5β3γ2S
467 GABA,Rs in the absence (black trace) or presence of EFX (2 to 100 *u*M). (D) Graphs showing the mean GABA.Rs in the absence (black trace) or presence of EFX (2 to 100 μ M). (D) Graphs showing the mean (\pm SEM) EFX 468 potentiation of GABA EC_n-evoked currents. Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey
469 post-hoc test (*p<0.05, **p <0.01; ***p <0.001; ***p <0.0001, ns: not significant). (E) Current tr post-hoc test (*p<0.05, **p <0.01; ***p <0.001; ****p <0.0001, ns: not significant). (E) Current traces evoked by GABA 470 EC_® with synaptic α 6β3γ2S and extrasynaptic α 6β3δ GABA,Rs in the absence (black traces) or presence of increasing 471 concentrations of EFX (2 to 100 μ M). (F) Graphs showing the mean (\pm SEM) EFX potentiation of GABA EC_n-activated 472 currents mediated by α 6 β 3 γ 2S and α 6 β 3 δ GABA,Rs. Statistical analyses were performed using a non-parametric Mann 473 & Whitney unpaired t-test (* p<0.05; ns: not significant).

474 In conclusion, taking into account both agonist and potentiating EFX effects, GABAARs can 475 be ranked in three categories: i) resistant $(\alpha 4GABA.Rs)$ and $\alpha 5GABA.Rs)$, ii) moderately sensitive 476 (α 1GABA_aRs, α 2GABA_aRs and α 6GABA_aRs) and iii) highly sensitive (α 3GABA_aRs) to EFX.

477 *3.7 Modelling of EFX-α3β3γ2sGABAAR interaction*

 To gain further insight into the mechanism of action of EFX, we generated a homology model 479 of α 3β3γ2, GABA_aR (Fig. 7A) to predict how EFX binds to its receptor site. The resulting computed 480 docking model was consistent with an EFX binding site located between α and β subunits in the 481 extracellular domain (Fig. 7B and C). The pocket found at the interface between α 3 and β 3 subunits, homologous to the GABA binding sites, was large enough to accommodate EFX. Among the putative binding modes, one was found in which EFX bound in the proximity of five amino acid residues of the β3 subunit: N66, Y87, Q89, Y91 and R194 (Fig. 7D). In α3 subunit, we identified two amino acid 485 residues (S257, S258) that may be involved in the EFX-GABA R interaction. Two residues of β 3, N66 and R194, are conserved in β2 and β3 and are different in β1 subunits (R66 and N194). This pair of amino acids might therefore control the binding mode of EFX as variations at these residues might explain the subunit selectivity.

Fig. 7. Binding modes of EFX obtained by docking on the mouse $\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma_{2S}$ GABA_AR. (A) Model of the receptor viewed from the membrane plane. The protein is shown in cartoon representation with a different color co from the membrane plane. The protein is shown in cartoon representation with a different color code for each polypeptide. 492 The position of the membrane is represented by a sphere positioned at the level of lipid head groups as determined by the 493 Orientations of Proteins in Membranes database [51]. (B-D) Binding mode of EFX by docking on the mouse $\alpha_3 \beta_3 \gamma_{2S} GABA_A R$ model. EFX (CPK representation) interacts with a pocket localized at the α_3 (cyan) β_3 (magenta) interface, homologous to GABA binding sites. The binding sites of BZD, GABA and EFX are indicate 495 interface, homologous to GABA binding sites. The binding sites of BZD, GABA and EFX are indicated by arrows (B).
496 Lateral view of the extracellular domain (C). Close-up showing the EFX-binding pocket (EFX appears in Lateral view of the extracellular domain (C). Close-up showing the EFX-binding pocket (EFX appears in sticks) (D).

4. Discussion

 The current study shows that a single administration EFX (25-50 mg/kg) induced a robust anxiolytic behavior in mice subjected to stress-induced hyperthermia and novel object exploration tests. In the same range of doses, and unlike classical BZDs, EFX did not evoke any secondary effects in the spontaneous locomotor activity and rotarod performance. Pharmacokinetic data in Balb/cByJ mice treated with anxiolytic doses indicated that EFX brain content reaches concentration range from 503 16 to 31 μ M [30]. In addition, EFX exhibits lipophilic properties with an estimated partition coefficient (log P) of 2 and a brain/ plasma ratio range of 2.2-2.9 [52]. Based on different reports in the literature, it is reasonable to assume that an equilibrium between the total and free fractions which depends on physicochemical properties of the compound occurs in the brain tissue [53-55] and, as a result this could support the relevance of the effective concentrations in the present 508 electrophysiological studies. In this context, we demonstrated that the α subunit plays an important 509 role in EFX-induced positive effects on GABA_aRs. EFX favours GABA potency over GABA_aRs with 510 the following rank order: $\alpha\beta\beta\gamma\gamma\gamma\delta > \alpha\beta\beta\gamma\gamma\delta > \alpha\beta\beta\gamma\gamma\delta$ and no or weak effects on $\alpha\beta\beta\gamma\gamma\delta$, α 4β3γ2S and α 5β3γ2S.

4.1 EFX displays anxiolytic properties with weak side effects

 Our findings confirm the anxiolytic properties of EFX at similar doses to those previously used in other anxiety mouse models [30]. In comparison, EFX displays approximately 50-fold less potent anxiolytic effects than BZP and DZP [8,9]. However, both BZP and DZP strongly alter locomotor performance and awakening at anxiolytic doses, while EFX does not. It is noteworthy that pharmacokinetic factors involving, for example, active metabolites or differences in the extent of metabolism could explain the differences in the effective doses of EFX and BZP. We reasoned that BZDs, which have a high potency (submicromolar) for enhancing GABA-evoked currents, will produce effects at a lower concentration than EFX which has a lower potency (micromolar) for 521 GABA_ARs. Interestingly, EFX exhibits higher efficacy for α 2GABA_ARs and α 3GABA_ARs, known to 522 mediate anxiolytic effects (up to 171% and 410% for α 2GABA_aRs and α 3GABA_aRs, respectively) 523 than DZP (108% and 160% for α 2GABA_aRs and α 3GABA_aRs, respectively) [56].

 Compelling evidence indicates that the anxiolytic effects of BZP cannot be dissociated from its sedative and myorelaxant effects, while the therapeutic margin is wider with EFX. In addition, previous results have shown that EFX is devoid of amnesic effects at anxiolytic doses (50 mg/kg, IP route) in the rat [57]. On the other hand, BZP and DZP display amnesic activity at doses producing anxiolytic effects (from 0.25mg/kg, IP) in the mouse [58]. As observed in rodents, patients treated with EFX for adjustment disorders with anxiety do not exhibit adverse effects, such as the memory and vigilance disturbances [19,59,60]. This is perfectly in line with our electrophysiological data 531 showing the absence of effects of EFX on α 4GABA_aRs and α 5GABA_aRs, known to be involved in cognitive functions [4].

 We cannot rule out the possibility that EFX anxiolytic properties rely on both direct and indirect GABAAR stimulation mechanisms. Since EFX has been shown to stimulate the synthesis of 535 neurosteroids, such as allopregnenolone, which directly boosts GABA_sR activity [27,43,61], this may account for its anxiolytic effects. Neurosteroids equally enhance GABA-evoked currents mediated 537 by α 1GABA_aRs α 3GABA_aRs and α 6GABA_aRs, while they have little effects on α 2GABA_aRs, α4GABAARs and α5GABAARs [58,62], suggesting that EFX should induce both sedation and anxiolysis. However, because EFX did not induce sedation at anxiolytic doses, this minors the involvement of neurosteroids in the EFX mode of action. We hypothesize that EFX may exert its 541 anxiolytic effect through a direct enhancement of the activity of GABA_aR_s. To date, there is no 542 experimental data on EFX modulation of GABA_aRs to conclude a plausible mode of action. However, using recombinant murine GABAARs expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes, it has been shown that both efficacy and potency of GABA are enhanced by EFX [24]. The effect of EFX might be explained by 545 either mechanism, i.e. an increased frequency of the open state of GABA Rs and/or an increase of the 546 duration of burst openings.

547 Further studies using a chronic treatment are warranted to support the specificity of EFX 548 compared to BZDs in the development of tolerance complex phenomenom involving in part selective 549 alterations in $GABA_aR$ receptor subunit expression [63].

550 *4.2 The EFX mode of action depends on the GABAAR α subunits*

551 Our electrophysiological data demonstrate that EFX behaves both as a partial agonist and a 552 PAM of GABA_ARs. In fact, EFX strongly potentiates GABA-evoked currents mediated by 553 α 2GABA,Rs, α 3GABA,Rs and/or α 6GABA,Rs, with major effects on α 3GABA,Rs in comparison to 554 any other GABAARs. This was also highlighted by a larger enhancement of the GABA potency on 555 α 3GABA,Rs, than on α 1GABA,Rs and α 2GABA,Rs. However, the involvement of α 3GABA,Rs in 556 anxiolysis is still a matter of debate. As mentioned above, there is still a controversy concerning the 557 implication of α 2GABA_ARs, α 3GABA_ARs and α 5GABA_ARs in the control of anxiety-related 558 behaviors [14,15,17,64]. Other non-BZD compounds such as TPA023, AZD6280 and AZD7325, 559 have been shown to exert anxiolysis without sedative side effects in rodents and/or humans by 560 preferentially enhancing α 2GABA_aRs and α 3GABA_aRs over the other GABA_aR subtypes [64,65]. 561 However, these three compounds bind to the BZD site, while EFX does not [23]. In addition, another 562 non-BZD compound, TP003 was first reported as a selective PAM of α 3GABA,R, and initially 563 considered to exhibit anxiolytic properties through this receptor [14,66]. However, two recent studies 564 have revealed that this drug is not selective to α 3GABA,R, but equally modulates GABA-evoked 565 currents mediated by α 5GABA_AR and also moderately potentiates α 1GABA_ARs and α 2GABA_AR 566 [65,67]. TP003 was indeed shown to counteract anxiety behaviors in both rodents and squirrel 567 monkeys and thus highlights the medical use of α 3GABA_AR-selective molecules as efficient 568 anxiolytics with no sedative secondary effects [14,66]. Therefore, we believe that the anxiolytic-like 569 effects of EFX in mice are related to the modulation of α 2GABA,Rs and α 3GABA,Rs.

570 *4.3 The EFX binding site*

571 Our objective was to challenge the possible influence of the α subunit for at least three reasons. 572 First, $β_2$ homopentamers are less sensitive to EFX than $β3$ homopentamers. However, when they are 573 combined with α1 or α2 subunits, the resulting binary GABA_ARs display a different pharmacological 574 profile: α 1β2-3 and α 2β2-3 GABA_sRs are equally potentiated, indicating that α 1 and α 2 subunits 575 modulate EFX potentiation [24]. Second, α 1 and α 2 subunits share a high amino acid sequence 576 identity, while α 4-6 are structurally more distant [68] and thus could potentially have distinct 577 pharmacological influences. Here, we bring compelling evidences demonstrating that stimulating 578 effects of EFX are much stronger on α 3β3γ2S than on α 2β3γ2S, α 6β3γ2S and α 1β3γ2S GABA_aRs, 579 while α4β3γ2S and α5β3γ2S are almost insensitive. Altogether, our findings indicate a strong 580 regulatory effect of the α subunit on EFX mode of action.

581 We also examined the involvement of γ2S and δ subunits in the mode of action of EFX and 582 we observed that α 4β3γ2S and α 4β3δ on one hand, α 6β3γ2S and α 6β3δ GABA_aRs on the other hand, 583 are equally sensitive to EFX. This reinforces the idea that, unlike BZDs [7], the third subunit is not 584 involved in the EFX-GABA_nR interaction.

585 Consecutively, we hypothesized that EFX site is likely located between the α and β subunits. 586 Our 3D docking simulation suggests that EFX binds in a pocket at the α/β subunit interface 587 homologous to the GABA binding pocket recently described [69], highlighting putative amino acid 588 residues involved in EFX binding. Interestingly, among them, two residues of β_3 , N66 and R194, are 589 conserved in β, and β₃ and differ in the β₁ subunits (R66 and N194). This pair of amino acids may 590 belong to the binding site of EFX and summarise its subunit selectivity. Site-directed mutagenesis 591 experiments are required to validate this hypothesis and to define the residues underlying the EFX

592 selectivity towards α 3GABA_aRs. These experiments will allow us to construct genetic models in 593 which specific $\alpha(1-6)GABA_sRs$ subtypes will be rendered insensitive to EFX to directly correlate 594 specific α 2GABA_aRs or α 3GABA_aRs to its anxiolytic effects or test whether α 3GABA_aR functions are involved in the regulation of anxiety.

5. Conclusions

 In conclusion, this study provides new information about the mode of action of EFX, a non- BZD anxiolytic compound, showing that it potentiates GABA transmission, mainly through the 599 interaction with α 2GABA,Rs and α 3GABA,Rs and likely their associated functions. Modelling 600 simulation indicates that EFX could interact with a pocket localized at the α/β subunits interface,homologous to the GABA binding site. To the best of our knowledge, EFX belongs to the group of non-BZD molecules which act at a site distinct from the classical BZDs site and exert positive effects on anxiety without secondary effects. EFX may therefore serve as a molecular template for the design of novel anxiolytics with similar mechanisms of action and higher potency.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

 We are indebted to Dr. Alain Hamon and Bastien Faucard for helpful discussions about the work. We are grateful to Sophie Quinchard for technical assistance. We also thank Dr. Ulrich Jarry, Charlène Labège, Céline Alamichel and Vincent Juif for their contribution to preparing the cDNAs encoding α 3-6 subunits in the pRK5 vector and electrophysiology experiments.

References

- [1] R.W. Olsen, W. Sieghart, International Union of Pharmacology. LXX. Subtypes of gamma-
- aminobutyric acid(A) receptors: classification on the basis of subunit composition, pharmacology,
- and function. Update, Pharmacol Rev 60(3) (2008) 243-60.
- [2] M. Farrant, Z. Nusser, Variations on an inhibitory theme: phasic and tonic activation of
- GABA(A) receptors, Nat Rev Neurosci 6(3) (2005) 215-29.
- [3] R.W. Olsen, W. Sieghart, GABA A receptors: subtypes provide diversity of function and
- pharmacology, Neuropharmacology 56(1) (2009) 141-8.
- [4] E. Engin, R.S. Benham, U. Rudolph, An Emerging Circuit Pharmacology of GABAA Receptors,
- Trends Pharmacol Sci 39(8) (2018) 710-732.
- [5] T. Atkin, S. Comai, G. Gobbi, Drugs for Insomnia beyond Benzodiazepines: Pharmacology,
- Clinical Applications, and Discovery, Pharmacol Rev 70(2) (2018) 197-245.
- [6] M.J. Brodie, F. Besag, A.B. Ettinger, M. Mula, G. Gobbi, S. Comai, A.P. Aldenkamp, B.J.
- Steinhoff, Epilepsy, Antiepileptic Drugs, and Aggression: An Evidence-Based Review, Pharmacol
- Rev 68(3) (2016) 563-602.
- [7] D.B. Pritchett, H. Sontheimer, B.D. Shivers, S. Ymer, H. Kettenmann, P.R. Schofield, P.H.
- Seeburg, Importance of a novel GABAA receptor subunit for benzodiazepine pharmacology, Nature 338(6216) (1989) 582-5.
- [8] L. Steru, B. Thierry, R. Chermat, B. Millet, P. Simon, R.D. Porsolt, Comparing benzodiazepines using the staircase test in mice, Psychopharmacology (Berl) 92(1) (1987) 106-9.
- [9] M. Bourin, M. Hascoet, B. Mansouri, M.C. Colombel, J. Bradwejn, Comparison of behavioral
- effects after single and repeated administrations of four benzodiazepines in three mice behavioral
- models, J Psychiatry Neurosci 17(2) (1992) 72-7.
- [10] U. Rudolph, F. Knoflach, Beyond classical benzodiazepines: novel therapeutic potential of
- GABAA receptor subtypes, Nat Rev Drug Discov 10(9) (2011) 685-97.
- [11] U. Rudolph, F. Crestani, D. Benke, I. Brunig, J.A. Benson, J.M. Fritschy, J.R. Martin, H.
- Bluethmann, H. Mohler, Benzodiazepine actions mediated by specific gamma-aminobutyric
- acid(A) receptor subtypes, Nature 401(6755) (1999) 796-800.
- [12] R.M. McKernan, T.W. Rosahl, D.S. Reynolds, C. Sur, K.A. Wafford, J.R. Atack, S. Farrar, J.
- Myers, G. Cook, P. Ferris, L. Garrett, L. Bristow, G. Marshall, A. Macaulay, N. Brown, O. Howell,
- K.W. Moore, R.W. Carling, L.J. Street, J.L. Castro, C.I. Ragan, G.R. Dawson, P.J. Whiting,
- Sedative but not anxiolytic properties of benzodiazepines are mediated by the GABA(A) receptor
- alpha1 subtype, Nat Neurosci 3(6) (2000) 587-92.
- [13] K. Löwe, F. Crestani, R. Keist, D. Benke, I. Brunig, J.A. Benson, J.M. Fritschy, T. Rulicke, H.
- Bluethmann, H. Mohler, U. Rudolph, Molecular and neuronal substrate for the selective attenuation of anxiety, Science 290(5489) (2000) 131-4.
- [14] R. Dias, W.F. Sheppard, R.L. Fradley, E.M. Garrett, J.L. Stanley, S.J. Tye, S. Goodacre, R.J.
- Lincoln, S.M. Cook, R. Conley, D. Hallett, A.C. Humphries, S.A. Thompson, K.A. Wafford, L.J.
- Street, J.L. Castro, P.J. Whiting, T.W. Rosahl, J.R. Atack, R.M. McKernan, G.R. Dawson, D.S.
- Reynolds, Evidence for a significant role of alpha 3-containing GABAA receptors in mediating the
- anxiolytic effects of benzodiazepines, J Neurosci 25(46) (2005) 10682-8.
- [15] J.R. Atack, GABAA receptor alpha2/alpha3 subtype-selective modulators as potential
- nonsedating anxiolytics, Curr Top Behav Neurosci 2 (2010) 331-60.
- [16] M.M. Savic, S. Huang, R. Furtmuller, T. Clayton, S. Huck, D.I. Obradovic, N.D. Ugresic, W.
- Sieghart, D.R. Bokonjic, J.M. Cook, Are GABAA receptors containing alpha5 subunits contributing
- to the sedative properties of benzodiazepine site agonists?, Neuropsychopharmacology 33(2) (2008) 332-9.
- [17] L.M. Behlke, R.A. Foster, J. Liu, D. Benke, R.S. Benham, A.J. Nathanson, B.K. Yee, H.U.
- Zeilhofer, E. Engin, U. Rudolph, A Pharmacogenetic 'Restriction-of-Function' Approach Reveals
- Evidence for Anxiolytic-Like Actions Mediated by alpha5-Containing GABAA Receptors in Mice,
- Neuropsychopharmacology 41(10) (2016) 2492-501.
- [18] J.R. Boissier, P. Simon, M. Zaczinska, J. Fichelle, [Experimental psychopharmacologic study
- of a new psychotropic drug, 2-ethylamino-6-chloro-4-methyl-4-phenyl-4H-3,1-benzoxazine], Therapie 27(2) (1972) 325-38.
- [19] N. Nguyen, E. Fakra, V. Pradel, E. Jouve, C. Alquier, M.E. Le Guern, J. Micallef, O. Blin,
- Efficacy of etifoxine compared to lorazepam monotherapy in the treatment of patients with
- adjustment disorders with anxiety: a double-blind controlled study in general practice, Hum
- Psychopharmacol 21(3) (2006) 139-49.
- [20] D.J. Stein, Etifoxine versus alprazolam for the treatment of adjustment disorder with anxiety: a
- randomized controlled trial, Adv Ther 32(1) (2015) 57-68.
- [21] M. Aouad, N. Petit-Demouliere, Y. Goumon, P. Poisbeau, Etifoxine stimulates
- allopregnanolone synthesis in the spinal cord to produce analgesia in experimental
- mononeuropathy, Eur J Pain 18(2) (2014) 258-68.
- [22] E. Simon-O'Brien, D. Gauthier, V. Riban, M. Verleye, Etifoxine improves sensorimotor deficits
- and reduces glial activation, neuronal degeneration, and neuroinflammation in a rat model of
- traumatic brain injury, J Neuroinflammation 13(1) (2016) 203.
- [23] R. Schlichter, V. Rybalchenko, P. Poisbeau, M. Verleye, J. Gillardin, Modulation of
- GABAergic synaptic transmission by the non-benzodiazepine anxiolytic etifoxine,
- Neuropharmacology 39(9) (2000) 1523-35.
- [24] A. Hamon, A. Morel, B. Hue, M. Verleye, J.M. Gillardin, The modulatory effects of the
- anxiolytic etifoxine on GABA(A) receptors are mediated by the beta subunit, Neuropharmacology 45(3) (2003) 293-303.
- [25] M. Verleye, Y. Akwa, P. Liere, N. Ladurelle, A. Pianos, B. Eychenne, M. Schumacher, J.M.
- Gillardin, The anxiolytic etifoxine activates the peripheral benzodiazepine receptor and increases
- the neurosteroid levels in rat brain, Pharmacol Biochem Behav 82(4) (2005) 712-20.
- [26] B. Costa, C. Cavallini, E. Da Pozzo, S. Taliani, F. Da Settimo, C. Martini, The Anxiolytic
- Etifoxine Binds to TSPO Ro5-4864 Binding Site with Long Residence Time Showing a High

Neurosteroidogenic Activity, ACS Chem Neurosci 8(7) (2017) 1448-1454.

- [27] P. Liere, A. Pianos, J.P. Oudinet, M. Schumacher, Y. Akwa, Differential effects of the 18-kDa
- translocator protein (TSPO) ligand etifoxine on steroidogenesis in rat brain, plasma and
- steroidogenic glands: Pharmacodynamic studies, Psychoneuroendocrinology 83 (2017) 122-134.
- [28] M. Verleye, R. Schlichter, J.M. Gillardin, Interactions of etifoxine with the chloride channel coupled to the GABA(A) receptor complex, Neuroreport 10(15) (1999) 3207-10.
- [29] M. Verleye, I. Heulard, J.M. Gillardin, Investigation of the anticonvulsive effect of acute
- immobilization stress in anxious Balb/cByJ mice using GABA A-related mechanistic probes,
- Psychopharmacology (Berl) 197(4) (2008) 523-34.
- [30] M. Verleye, I. Heulard, P. Nuss, J.M. Gillardin, Effects of stress and etifoxine on pentobarbital-
- induced loss of righting reflex in Balb/cByJ and C57BL/6J mice, Neurosci Lett 353(2) (2003) 127- 30.
- [31] M. Sansone, Benzodiazepines and amphetamine on avoidance behaviour in mice, Arch Int
- Pharmacodyn Ther 218(1) (1975) 125-32.
- [32] R.B. Rastogi, Y.D. Lapierre, R.L. Singhal, Effect of a new benzodiazepine bromazepam on
- locomotor performance and brain monoamine metabolism, J Neural Transm 42(4) (1978) 251-61.
- [33] M. Verleye, S. Dumas, I. Heulard, N. Krafft, J.M. Gillardin, Differential effects of etifoxine on
- anxiety-like behaviour and convulsions in BALB/cByJ and C57BL/6J mice: any relation to
- overexpression of central GABAA receptor beta2 subunits?, Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 21(6)
- (2011) 457-70.
- [34] B. Olivier, T. Zethof, T. Pattij, M. van Boogaert, R. van Oorschot, C. Leahy, R. Oosting, A.
- Bouwknecht, J. Veening, J. van der Gugten, L. Groenink, Stress-induced hyperthermia and anxiety:
- pharmacological validation, Eur J Pharmacol 463(1-3) (2003) 117-32.
- [35] T.J. Zethof, J.A. Van der Heyden, J.T. Tolboom, B. Olivier, Stress-induced hyperthermia in
- mice: a methodological study, Physiol Behav 55(1) (1994) 109-15.
- [36] J.A. Van der Heyden, T.J. Zethof, B. Olivier, Stress-induced hyperthermia in singly housed
- mice, Physiol Behav 62(3) (1997) 463-70.
- [37] S.F. De Boer, J.M. Koolhaas, Defensive burying in rodents: ethology, neurobiology and
- psychopharmacology, Eur J Pharmacol 463(1-3) (2003) 145-61.
- [38] A. Walker, J. Taylor, D. Rowe, D. Summers, A method for generating sticky-end PCR products
- which facilitates unidirectional cloning and the one-step assembly of complex DNA constructs,
- Plasmid 59(3) (2008) 155-62.
- [39] S.P. Venkatachalan, J.D. Bushman, J.L. Mercado, F. Sancar, K.R. Christopherson, A.J. Boileau,
- Optimized expression vector for ion channel studies in Xenopus oocytes and mammalian cells using
- alfalfa mosaic virus, Pflugers Arch 454(1) (2007) 155-63.
- [40] A. Draguhn, T.A. Verdorn, M. Ewert, P.H. Seeburg, B. Sakmann, Functional and molecular
- distinction between recombinant rat GABAA receptor subtypes by Zn^2 . Neuron 5(6) (1990) 781-8.
- [41] C. Notredame, D.G. Higgins, J. Heringa, T-Coffee: A novel method for fast and accurate
- multiple sequence alignment, J Mol Biol 302(1) (2000) 205-17.
- [42] A. Sali, T.L. Blundell, Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints, J
- Mol Biol 234(3) (1993) 779-815.
- [43] G.G. Krivov, M.V. Shapovalov, R.L. Dunbrack, Jr., Improved prediction of protein side-chain
- conformations with SCWRL4, Proteins 77(4) (2009) 778-95.
- [44] B.R. Brooks, C.L. Brooks, 3rd, A.D. Mackerell, Jr., L. Nilsson, R.J. Petrella, B. Roux, Y. Won,
- G. Archontis, C. Bartels, S. Boresch, A. Caflisch, L. Caves, Q. Cui, A.R. Dinner, M. Feig, S.
- Fischer, J. Gao, M. Hodoscek, W. Im, K. Kuczera, T. Lazaridis, J. Ma, V. Ovchinnikov, E. Paci,
- R.W. Pastor, C.B. Post, J.Z. Pu, M. Schaefer, B. Tidor, R.M. Venable, H.L. Woodcock, X. Wu, W.
- Yang, D.M. York, M. Karplus, CHARMM: the biomolecular simulation program, J Comput Chem 30(10) (2009) 1545-614.
- [45] J. Henin, R. Salari, S. Murlidaran, G. Brannigan, A predicted binding site for cholesterol on the
- GABAA receptor, Biophys J 106(9) (2014) 1938-49.
- [46] O. Trott, A.J. Olson, AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new
- scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading, J Comput Chem 31(2) (2010) 455-61.
- [47] R.R. Ugale, A.N. Sharma, D.M. Kokare, K. Hirani, N.K. Subhedar, C.T. Chopde, Neurosteroid
- allopregnanolone mediates anxiolytic effect of etifoxine in rats, Brain Res 1184 (2007) 193-201.
- [48] A. Barberis, E.M. Petrini, J.W. Mozrzymas, Impact of synaptic neurotransmitter concentration
- time course on the kinetics and pharmacological modulation of inhibitory synaptic currents, Front
- Cell Neurosci 5 (2011) 6.
- [49] H. You, J.L. Kozuska, I.M. Paulsen, S.M. Dunn, Benzodiazepine modulation of the rat
- GABAA receptor alpha4beta3gamma2L subtype expressed in Xenopus oocytes,
- Neuropharmacology 59(6) (2010) 527-33.
- [50] Z. Nusser, W. Sieghart, P. Somogyi, Segregation of different GABAA receptors to synaptic and
- extrasynaptic membranes of cerebellar granule cells, J Neurosci 18(5) (1998) 1693-703.
- [51] M.A. Lomize, I.D. Pogozheva, H. Joo, H.I. Mosberg, A.L. Lomize, OPM database and PPM
- web server: resources for positioning of proteins in membranes, Nucleic Acids Res 40(Database issue) (2012) D370-6.
- [52] C. Bouillot, F. Bonnefoi, F. Liger, L. Zimmer, A microPET comparison of the effects of
- etifoxine and diazepam on [(11)C]flumazenil uptake in rat brains, Neurosci Lett 612 (2016) 74-9.
- [53] P.L. Toutain, A. Bousquet-Melou, Free drug fraction vs free drug concentration: a matter of
- frequent confusion, J Vet Pharmacol Ther 25(6) (2002) 460-3.
- [54] T.S. Maurer, D.B. Debartolo, D.A. Tess, D.O. Scott, Relationship between exposure and nonspecific binding of thirty-three central nervous system drugs in mice, Drug Metab Dispos 33(1) (2005) 175-81.
- [55] S.G. Summerfield, K. Read, D.J. Begley, T. Obradovic, I.J. Hidalgo, S. Coggon, A.V. Lewis,
- R.A. Porter, P. Jeffrey, Central nervous system drug disposition: the relationship between in situ
- brain permeability and brain free fraction, J Pharmacol Exp Ther 322(1) (2007) 205-13.
- [56] A. Lippa, P. Czobor, J. Stark, B. Beer, E. Kostakis, M. Gravielle, S. Bandyopadhyay, S.J.
- Russek, T.T. Gibbs, D.H. Farb, P. Skolnick, Selective anxiolysis produced by ocinaplon, a
- GABA(A) receptor modulator, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102(20) (2005) 7380-5.
- [57] M. Verleye, J.M. Gillardin, Effects of etifoxine on stress-induced hyperthermia, freezing
- behavior and colonic motor activation in rats, Physiol Behav 82(5) (2004) 891-7.
- [58] R.D. Porsolt, A. Lenegre, I. Avril, G. Doumont, Antagonism by exifone, a new cognitive
- enhancing agent, of the amnesias induced by four benzodiazepines in mice, Psychopharmacology
- (Berl) 95(3) (1988) 291-7.
- [59] J. Micallef, C. Soubrouillard, F. Guet, M.E. Le Guern, C. Alquier, B. Bruguerolle, O. Blin, A
- double blind parallel group placebo controlled comparison of sedative and mnesic effects of
- etifoxine and lorazepam in healthy subjects [corrected], Fundam Clin Pharmacol 15(3) (2001) 209-
- 16.
- [60] D. Deplanque, F. Machuron, N. Waucquier, E. Jozefowicz, S. Duhem, S. Somers, O. Colin, A.
- Duhamel, R. Bordet, Etifoxine impairs neither alertness nor cognitive functions of the elderly: A
- randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study, Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 28(8)
- (2018) 925-932.
- [61] L. Wolf, A. Bauer, D. Melchner, H. Hallof-Buestrich, P. Stoertebecker, E. Haen, M. Kreutz, N.
- Sarubin, V.M. Milenkovic, C.H. Wetzel, R. Rupprecht, C. Nothdurfter, Enhancing neurosteroid
- synthesis--relationship to the pharmacology of translocator protein (18 kDa) (TSPO) ligands and
- benzodiazepines, Pharmacopsychiatry 48(2) (2015) 72-7.
- [62] D. Belelli, A. Casula, A. Ling, J.J. Lambert, The influence of subunit composition on the
- interaction of neurosteroids with GABA(A) receptors, Neuropharmacology 43(4) (2002) 651-61.
- [63] M.C. Gravielle, Activation-induced regulation of GABAA receptors: Is there a link with the
- molecular basis of benzodiazepine tolerance?, Pharmacol Res 109 (2016) 92-100.
- [64] J.R. Atack, K.A. Wafford, S.J. Tye, S.M. Cook, B. Sohal, A. Pike, C. Sur, D. Melillo, L.
- Bristow, F. Bromidge, I. Ragan, J. Kerby, L. Street, R. Carling, J.L. Castro, P. Whiting, G.R.
- Dawson, R.M. McKernan, TPA023 [7-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-6-(2-ethyl-2H-1,2,4-triazol-3-
- ylmethoxy)-3-(2-fluorophenyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-b]pyridazine], an agonist selective for alpha2-
- and alpha3-containing GABAA receptors, is a nonsedating anxiolytic in rodents and primates, J
- Pharmacol Exp Ther 316(1) (2006) 410-22.
- [65] E.P. Christian, D.H. Snyder, W. Song, D.A. Gurley, J. Smolka, D.L. Maier, M. Ding, F.
- Gharahdaghi, X.F. Liu, M. Chopra, M. Ribadeneira, M.J. Chapdelaine, A. Dudley, J.L. Arriza, C.
- Maciag, M.C. Quirk, J.J. Doherty, EEG-beta/gamma spectral power elevation in rat: a translatable
- 801 biomarker elicited by GABA(Aalpha2/3)-positive allosteric modulators at nonsedating anxiolytic
- doses, J Neurophysiol 113(1) (2015) 116-31.
- [66] B.D. Fischer, J.R. Atack, D.M. Platt, D.S. Reynolds, G.R. Dawson, J.K. Rowlett, Contribution
- of GABA(A) receptors containing alpha3 subunits to the therapeutic-related and side effects of
- benzodiazepine-type drugs in monkeys, Psychopharmacology (Berl) 215(2) (2011) 311-9.
- [67] A.G. de Lucas, P.K. Ahring, J.S. Larsen, I. Rivera-Arconada, J.A. Lopez-Garcia, N.R. Mirza,
- G. Munro, GABAA alpha5 subunit-containing receptors do not contribute to reversal of
- inflammatory-induced spinal sensitization as indicated by the unique selectivity profile of the
- GABAA receptor allosteric modulator NS16085, Biochem Pharmacol 93(3) (2015) 370-9.
- [68] J. Simon, H. Wakimoto, N. Fujita, M. Lalande, E.A. Barnard, Analysis of the set of GABA(A)
- receptor genes in the human genome, J Biol Chem 279(40) (2004) 41422-35.
- [69] S. Zhu, C.M. Noviello, J. Teng, R.M. Walsh, Jr., J.J. Kim, R.E. Hibbs, Structure of a human
- synaptic GABAA receptor, Nature 559(7712) (2018) 67-72.