

Geometric realizations of the accordion complex of a dissection

Thibault Manneville, Vincent Pilaud

To cite this version:

Thibault Manneville, Vincent Pilaud. Geometric realizations of the accordion complex of a dissection. Discrete and Computational Geometry, 2019, 61 (3), pp.507-540. 10.1007/s00454-018-0004-2. hal-02343579ff

HAL Id: hal-02343579 <https://hal.science/hal-02343579v1>

Submitted on 2 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

GEOMETRIC REALIZATIONS OF THE ACCORDION COMPLEX OF A DISSECTION

THIBAULT MANNEVILLE AND VINCENT PILAUD

ABSTRACT. Consider 2n points on the unit circle and a reference dissection D_0 of the convex hull of the odd points. The accordion complex of D_o is the simplicial complex of non-crossing subsets of the diagonals with even endpoints that cross a connected subset of diagonals of D_0 . In particular, this complex is an associahedron when $D_°$ is a triangulation and a Stokes complex when D_o is a quadrangulation. In this paper, we provide geometric realizations (by polytopes and fans) of the accordion complex of any reference dissection D_{\circ} , generalizing known constructions arising from cluster algebras.

<code>KEYWORDS</code>. Permutahedra \cdot Zonotopes \cdot Associahedra \cdot g-, c- and <code>d-vectors.</code>

The $(n-3)$ -dimensional associahedron is a simple polytope whose face poset is isomorphic to the reverse inclusion poset of non-crossing subsets of diagonals of a convex n-gon. Introduced in early works of D. Tamari [Tam51] and J. Stasheff [Sta63], it was first realized as a convex polytope by M. Haiman [Hai84] and C. Lee [Lee89], and later constructed by more systematic methods developed by several authors, in particular [GKZ08, Lod04, HL07, CSZ15]. Various relevant generalizations of the associahedron were introduced and studied, in particular secondary polytopes and fiber polytopes [GKZ08, BFS90], generalized associahedra [FZ03b, CFZ02, HLT11, Ste13, Hoh] in connection to cluster algebras [FZ02, FZ03a], graph associahedra [CD06, Pos09, FS05, Zel06, Pil13, MP17], or brick polytopes [PS12, PS15].

In a different context, Y. Baryshnikov [Bar01] introduced the simplicial complex of crossing-free subsets of the set of diagonals of a polygon that are in some sense compatible with a reference quadrangulation Q◦. Although the precise definition of compatibility is a bit technical in [Bar01], it turns out that a diagonal is compatible with $Q_∘$ if and only if it crosses a connected subset of diagonals of Q_o that we call accordion of Q_o . We thus call Y. Baryshnikov's simplicial complex the accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{Q}_\circ)$. A polytopal realization of $\mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{Q}_\circ)$ was announced in [Bar01], but the explicit construction and its proof were never published as far as we know. Revisiting some combinatorial and algebraic properties of $\mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{Q}_{\alpha})$, F. Chapoton [Cha16, Intro. p.4] raised three explicit challenges: first prove that the oriented dual graph of $AC(Q_o)$ has a lattice structure extending the Tamari and Cambrian lattices [MHPS12, Rea06]; second construct geometric realizations of $AC(Q_o)$ as fans and polytopes generalizing the known constructions of the associahedron; third show that the facets of $\mathcal{AC}(Q\circ)$ are in bijection with other combinatorial objects called serpent nests [Cha16, Sect. 4].

In [GM16], A. Garver and T. McConville defined and studied the accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_0)$ of any reference dissection D_o (their presentation slightly differs as they use a compatibility condition on the dual tree of the dissection D_{\circ} , but the simplicial complex is the same). In this context, they settled F. Chapoton's lattice question, using lattice quotients of a lattice of biclosed sets. In this paper, we present geometric realizations of $\mathcal{AC}(D_{\circ})$ for any reference dissection D_{\circ} , providing in particular an answer to F. Chapoton's geometric question. In fact, we present three methods to realize $AC(D_o)$ based on constructions of the classical associahedron.

Our first method is based on the g-vector fan. It belongs to a series of constructions of the (generalized) associahedra initiated by S. Shnider and S. Sternberg [SS93], popularised by J.-L. Loday [Lod04], developed by C. Hohlweg, C. Lange and H. Thomas [HL07, HLT11] using works of N. Reading and D. Speyer [Rea06, Rea07, RS09], and revisited by S. Stella [Ste13] and by V. Pilaud, F. Santos, and C. Stump [PS12, PS15]. It was recently extended by C. Hohlweg, V. Pilaud, and S. Stella [HPS18] to construct an associahedron parametrized by any initial triangulation. Here, we first extend to the D_∘-accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_0)$ the g-vectors and c-vectors

Partially supported by the French ANR grant SC3A (15 CE40 0004 01).

defined in the context of cluster algebras by S. Fomin and A. Zelevinski [FZ07]. Note that cvectors were already implicitly considered in [GM16], while g-vectors are new in this context. When D_o is a triangulation, our definitions coincide with those given in terms of triangulations and laminations for cluster algebras from surfaces by S. Fomin and D. Thurston [FT12]. We then show that the g-vectors with respect to the dissection D_o support a complete simplicial fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})$ realizing the D_∘-accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})$. Finally, we construct a D_∘accordiohedron $\text{Acco}(D_{\circ})$ realizing the g-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D_{\circ})$ by deleting inequalities from the facet description of the D_{\circ} -zonotope $\text{Zono}(D_{\circ})$ obtained as the Minkowski sum of all c-vectors. See Figure 7 for an illustration of D_{\circ} -accordiohedra.

Our second method is based on the d-vector fan. This construction is inspired from the original cluster fan of S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky [FZ03a] later realized as a polytope by F. Chapoton, S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky [CFZ02], and from the generalization of C. Ceballos, F. Santos and G. Ziegler [CSZ15] to construct a compatibility fan and an associahedron from any initial triangulation. For any reference dissection D_{\circ} , we associate to each diagonal a **d**-vector which records the crossings of this diagonal with those of D_o . We show that the **d**-vectors support a complete simplicial fan realizing the D_☉-accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_∘)$ if and only if D_☉ contains no even interior cell. The polytopality of the resulting fan remains open in general, but was shown for arbitrary triangulations in [CSZ15].

Finally, our third method is based on projections of associahedra. Namely, for any dissection D_{\circ} and triangulation $T\circ$ such that $D\circ \subseteq T\circ$, the accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D\circ)$ is a subcomplex of the simplicial associahedron $AC(T_0)$. It turns out that the g-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D_0)$ is then a section of the g-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathcal{T}^{\circ})$ by a coordinate subspace. Therefore, the accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(\mathcal{D}^{\circ})$ is realized by a projection of the associahedron $\text{Asso}(T_{\circ})$ of [HPS18]. This point of view provides a complementary perspective on accordion complexes that leads on the one hand to more concise but less instructive proofs of combinatorial and geometric properties of the accordion complex (pseudomanifold, g-vector fan, accordiohedron), and on the other hand to natural extensions to coordinate sections of the g-vector fan in arbitrary cluster algebras.

As recently observed in [GM16, PPP17, PPS17, BDM⁺17], accordion complexes are prototypes of support τ -tilting complexes introduced in [AIR14], for certain associative algebras called gentle algebras. In this context, g -vectors have a deep algebraic meaning and still define a g -vector fan. Although this fan is still polytopal for finite support τ -tilting complexes, it is not in general obtained by deleting inequalities in the facet description of a zonotope. We refer to [PPP17, Part 4] for details.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 introduces the accordion complex and accordion lattice of a dissection D◦. We essentially follow the definitions and arguments of A. Garver and T. McConville [GM16], except that we prefer to work on the dissection D_{\circ} rather than on its dual graph. Section 2 is devoted to the generalization of the g-vector fan and the associahedra of [HL07, HPS18]. Section 3 discusses the generalization of the construction of the d-vector fan and associahedra of [FZ03a, CSZ15]. Finally, Section 4 shows that the accordion complex is realized by a projection of a well-chosen associahedron and presents related questions on cluster algebras, subcomplexes of the cluster complex, and sections of the **g**-vector fan.

1. The accordion complex and the accordion lattice

In this section, we define the accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_0)$ of a dissection D_0 , show that it is a pseudomanifold, and define an orientation of its dual graph. Our definitions and proofs are essentially translations of the arguments of A. Garver and T. McConville [GM16] given in terms of the dual tree of the dissection D_{\circ} . However our presentation in terms of dissections is more convenient for our purposes.

1.1. The accordion complex. Let P be a convex polygon. We call diagonals of P the segments connecting two vertices of P. This includes both the internal diagonals and the external diagonals (or boundary edges) of P. A dissection of P is a set D of non-crossing internal diagonals of P. The cells of D are the closures of the connected components of P minus the diagonals of D. A triangulation (resp. quadrangulation) is a dissection whose cells are all triangles (resp. quadrangles).

FIGURE 1. A dissection D (left) and three accordions whose zigzags are bolded (middle and right).

We denote by \overline{D} the dissection D together with all boundary edges of P. A cut of D is the subset of \overline{D} intersected by a line crossing two boundary edges of P. An accordion is a connected cut. By definition, an accordion is a tree and contains two boundary edges of P. The zigzag of an accordion A is the chain obtained by deleting all degree 1 vertices of A. A subaccordion of D is a connected subset of D intersected by a segment in the interior of P. Note that any subaccordion of an accordion A consists of the diagonals of A between two internal diagonals of A. Note that we include boundary edges of P in the accordions of D, but not in the subaccordions nor in the zigzags of D. See Figure 1.

We consider $2n$ points on the unit circle labeled clockwise by 1_0 , 2_0 , 3_0 , 4_0 , \dots , $(2n-1)_0$, $(2n)_0$. We say that $1_o, \ldots, (2n-1)_o$ are the hollow vertices while $2_{\bullet}, \ldots, (2n)_o$ are the solid vertices. The hollow polygon is the convex hull P_{\circ} of $1_{\circ}, \ldots, (2n-1)_{\circ}$ while the solid polygon is the convex hull P• of $2 \cdot, \ldots, (2n)$ •. We simultaneously consider hollow diagonals δ_0 (with two hollow vertices) and solid diagonals δ_{\bullet} (with two solid vertices), but we never consider diagonals with one hollow vertex and one solid vertex. Similarly, we consider hollow dissections D_o (of the hollow polygon, with only hollow diagonals) and solid dissections D• (of the solid polygon, with only solid diagonals), but never mix hollow and solid diagonals in a dissection. To help distinguish them, hollow (resp. solid) vertices and diagonals appear red (resp. blue) in all pictures.

We fix an arbitrary reference hollow dissection D_0 . A solid diagonal δ_{\bullet} is a D_0 -accordion diagonal if the hollow diagonals of \overline{D}_{\circ} crossed by δ_{\bullet} form an accordion of D_{\circ} . In other words, δ_{\bullet} cannot enter and exit a cell of D_☉ using two non-incident diagonals. For example, note that for any hollow diagonal $i_{\circ}j_{\circ} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\circ}$, the solid diagonals $(i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet}$ and $(i+1)_{\bullet}(j+1)_{\bullet}$ are D_{\circ} -accordion diagonals (here and throughout, labels are considered modulo 2n). In particular, all boundary edges of the solid polygon are D_0 -accordion diagonals. A D_0 -accordion dissection is a set of non-crossing internal D_{\circ} -accordion diagonals. We define the D_{\circ} -accordion complex to be the simplicial complex $\mathcal{AC}(\mathcal{D}_{\circ})$ of \mathcal{D}_{\circ} -accordion dissections.

Example 1. As a running example, we consider the reference dissection D_{\circ}^{ex} of Figure 2 (left). Examples of maximal D_{\circ}^{ex} -accordion dissections are given in Figure 2 (right). The D_{\circ}^{ex} -accordion complex is illustrated in Figure 3 (left).

FIGURE 2. A hollow dissection D_{\circ}^{ex} , a solid D_{\circ}^{ex} -accordion diagonal whose corresponding hollow accordion is bolded, and two maximal solid $\mathbf{D}_\circ^\mathrm{ex}\text{-}\mathrm{accordion}$ dissections.

FIGURE 3. The D_{\circ}^{ex} -accordion complex (left) and the D_{\circ}^{ex} -accordion lattice (right), oriented from bottom to top, for the reference hollow dissection D_{\circ}^{ex} of Figure 2 (left).

Example 2. Special reference hollow dissections D give rise to special accordion complexes $AC(D_∘)$:

- \Diamond If D_{\circ} is the empty dissection with the whole hollow polygon as unique cell, then the D_{\circ} -accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_{\circ})$ is reduced to the empty D_{\circ} -accordion dissection.
- \Diamond If D_o has a unique internal diagonal, then the D_o-accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_0)$ consists of only two points.
- \Diamond For a hollow triangulation T_o, all solid diagonals are T_o-accordions, so that the T_o-accordion complex $AC(T_o)$ is the simplicial associahedron.
- \Diamond For a hollow quadrangulation Q_{\circ} , a solid diagonal is a Q_{\circ} -accordion if and only if it does not cross two opposite edges of a quadrangle of Q_0 . The Q_0 -accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(Q_0)$ is thus the Stokes complex defined by Y. Baryshnikov [Bar01] and studied by F. Chapoton [Cha16].

Remark 3. Following the original definition of the non-crossing complex of A. Garver and T. Mc-Conville [GM16], the accordion complex could equivalently be defined in terms of the dual tree D_{\circ}^{\star} of D_o (with one node in each cell of D and one edge connecting two adjacent cells). More precisely, the duality provides the following dictionary between the two definitions:

The g -, c - and d -vectors defined in Section 2.1 could as well be defined in terms of D_{\circ}^{\star} . In fact, c-vectors were already implicitly considered in [GM16], while g- and d-vectors are new in this context. For this paper, we find more convenient to work directly with dissections, in particular in Sections 3 and 4.

1.2. Two structural observations. Before studying the accordion complex in details in Section 1.3, we present two simple structural observations. For this, let us recall two classical notions on simplicial complexes. The join of two simplicial complexes Δ , Δ' with disjoint ground sets X, X' is the simplicial complex $\Delta * \Delta'$ with ground set $X \sqcup X'$ whose faces are disjoint unions of faces of Δ with faces of Δ' . For a face D in a simplicial complex Δ on X, the link of D is the simplicial complex on $X \setminus D$ whose faces are the subsets D' of $\overline{X} \setminus D$ such that $D \cup D'$ is a face of Δ .

Proposition 4. If the reference hollow dissection D_o has a cell containing p boundary edges of the hollow polygon P_{\circ} , then the D_∘-accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_{\circ})$ is the join of p accordion complexes.

Proof. Assume that D_{\circ} has a cell C_{\circ} containing p boundary edges of the hollow polygon P_{\circ} . Let C_0^1, \ldots, C_0^p denote the p (possibly empty) connected components of the hollow polygon minus C. For $i \in [p] := \{1, \ldots, p\}$, let D^i_{\circ} denote the dissection formed by the cell C^o together with the cells of D_{\circ} contained in the closure of C_{\circ}^{i} . Observe that for $i \neq j$, the internal diagonals of D^i_\circ are not incident to the internal diagonals of D^j_\circ . Thus, no D_\circ -accordion can contain internal diagonals from distinct dissections D_0^i and D_0^j . Therefore, the set of D_o-accordion diagonals is the union of the sets of D_0^i -accordion diagonals for $i \in [p]$. Moreover, for $i \neq j$, the D_0^i -accordion diagonals do not cross the D_0^j -accordion diagonals. It follows that the D_0 -accordion complex is the join of the D_o-accordion complexes: $\mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{D}_\circ) = \mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{D}_\circ^1) * \cdots * \mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{D}_\circ^p)$ \Box ^{*P*}).

Remark 5. In view of Proposition 4, we can do the following reductions:

- (i) If a non-triangular cell of $D_∘$ has two consecutive boundary edges $\gamma_∘$, $\delta_∘$ of the hollow polygon, then contracting γ_{\circ} and δ_{\circ} to a single boundary edge preserves the D_∘-accordion complex.
- (ii) If a cell of D_o has two non-consecutive boundary edges of the hollow polygon, then the D_{\circ} -accordion complex is a join of smaller accordion complexes.

In all the examples of the paper, we therefore only consider dissections where any non-triangular cell of D◦ has at most one boundary edge. All of our constructions work in general, but are just obtained as products or joins of the non-degenerate situation.

Proposition 6. The links in an accordion complex are joins of accordion complexes.

Proof. Consider a D_o-accordion dissection D_• with cells $C^1_{\bullet}, \ldots, C^p_{\bullet}$. Let D^i_{\circ} denote the hollow dissection obtained from D_{\circ} by contracting all hollow boundary edges which do not cross C_{\bullet}^{i} . Then a diagonal δ_{\bullet} of a cell C_{\bullet}^{i} is a D_°-accordion diagonal if and only if it is a D^{*i*}-accordion diagonal. Moreover, for $i \neq j$, the diagonals of C^i_{\bullet} do not cross the diagonals of C^j_{\bullet} . It follows that the link of D_• in $\mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{D}_\circ)$ is isomorphic to the join $\mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{D}_\circ^1) * \cdots * \mathcal{AC}(\mathbf{D}_\circ^p)$ \Box ^{*P*}).

1.3. **Pseudo-manifold.** We now prove that the accordion complex $AC(D_o)$ is a pseudomanifold, i.e. that it is:

- (i) pure: all maximal D_0 -accordion dissections have the same number of diagonals as D_0 , and
- (ii) thin: any codimension 1 simplex of $\mathcal{AC}(D_0)$ is contained in exactly two maximal D_o-accordion dissections.

We follow the arguments of A. Garver and T. McConville [GM16] (except that they work on the dual tree of the dissection $D_°$). A much more concise but less instructive proof of the pseudomanifold property will be derived from geometric considerations in Remark 60.

Recall that we denote by \overline{D}_{\circ} the set formed by D_{\circ} together with all boundary edges of the hollow polygon. An angle $u_0v_0w_0$ of \overline{D}_0 is a pair $\{u_0v_0, v_0w_0\}$ of two consecutive diagonals of \overline{D}_0 around a common vertex v_0 , called the apex. Note that \overline{D}_0 has $2|D_0| + n = 2|\overline{D}_0| - n$ angles. Observe also that an accordion A_{\circ} of D_{\circ} can be seen as a sequence of $|A_{\circ}| - 1$ angles where two consecutive angles are separated by a diagonal of A_o . We say that a solid vertex p_{\bullet} belongs to an angle $u_0v_0w_0$ if it lies in the cone generated by the edges v_0u_0 and v_0w_0 of the angle. The main observation is given in the following statement.

Lemma 7. Let D_{\bullet} be a maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection, and let $p_{\bullet}, q_{\bullet}, r_{\bullet}, s_{\bullet}$ denote four consecutive vertices of a cell C_{\bullet} of D_{\bullet} (with possibly $p_{\bullet} = s_{\bullet}$ if C_{\bullet} is a triangle). Then p_{\bullet} and s_{\bullet} belong to the same angle of the accordion of \overline{D}_{\circ} which is crossed by $q_{\bullet}r_{\bullet}$.

Proof. Let A_{\circ} be the accordion of \overline{D}_{\circ} which is crossed by $q_{\bullet}r_{\bullet}$. Assume that p_{\bullet} and s_{\bullet} belong to distinct angles of $A_₀$. Then they are separated by a diagonal $\varepsilon_₀$ of $A_₀$. Therefore, there are two boundary edges q_*r_* and u_*v_* of C_• with distinct vertices such that the hollow diagonal ε_{\circ} separates the vertices q_{\bullet}, u_{\bullet} from the vertices r_{\bullet}, v_{\bullet} . Let $\gamma_0^1, \ldots, \gamma_0^i = \varepsilon_0, \ldots, \gamma_0^a$ (resp. $\delta_0^1, \ldots, \delta_0^j = \varepsilon_0, \ldots, \delta_0^b$)

FIGURE 4. Two maximal D_°-accordion dissection D_• (left) and D'_• (right) related by the flip of δ _• to δ'_\bullet . The angles of D_° closed by δ_\bullet and δ'_\bullet are shaded. The flip is oriented from D_• to D'_•.

denote the diagonals of D_{\circ} crossed by $q_{\bullet}r_{\bullet}$ from q_{\bullet} to r_{\bullet} (resp. crossed by $u_{\bullet}v_{\bullet}$ from u_{\bullet} to v_{\bullet}). Then the hollow diagonals $\gamma_0^1,\ldots,\gamma_o^i=\varepsilon_o=\delta_o^j,\ldots,\delta_o^b$ which are crossed by $q_\bullet v_\bullet$ also form an accordion. It follows that D_{\bullet} is not maximal as we can still include $q_{\bullet}v_{\bullet}$.

Consider now an angle $u_0v_0w_0$ of \overline{D}_0 . In any maximal D_o-accordion dissection D_•, the set X_• of diagonals of \overline{D}_{\bullet} that cross both $u_{\circ}v_{\circ}$ and $v_{\circ}w_{\circ}$ is non-empty (since it contains the boundary edge $(v-1)_{\bullet}(v+1)_{\bullet}$) and totally ordered (since the diagonals of D_• do not cross). Let δ_{\bullet} be the largest diagonal of X_{\bullet} (meaning the farthest from v_{\circ}). We say that the diagonal δ_{\bullet} closes the angle $u_0v_0w_0$. Note that each angle of \overline{D}_0 is closed by precisely one diagonal of \overline{D}_\bullet . The following lemma is stated and proved in [GM16] in terms of the dual tree D_{\circ}^{\star} of the dissection D_{\circ} .

Lemma 8 ([GM16]). For any maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet} , each internal diagonal δ_{\bullet} of D_{\bullet} closes two angles of D_{\circ} (one apex on each side of δ_{\bullet}) while each boundary edge of the solid polygon closes one angle of \overline{D}_{\circ} . Therefore the accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_{\circ})$ is pure of dimension $|D_{\circ}|$.

Proof. The first sentence is a consequence of Lemma 7: for any four consecutive vertices $p_{\bullet}, q_{\bullet}, r_{\bullet}, s_{\bullet}$ of a cell of \overline{D}_{\bullet} , the diagonal $q_{\bullet}r_{\bullet}$ closes the unique angle of the accordion of \overline{D}_{\circ} crossed by $q_{\bullet}r_{\bullet}$ that contains the vertices p_{\bullet} and s_{\bullet} . Therefore, $q_{\bullet}r_{\bullet}$ closes precisely two angles (resp. one angle) of D◦ if it is an internal diagonal (resp. a boundary edge of the solid polygon). We finally obtain by double-counting that $2|D_{\circ}| + n = |\{\text{angles of } \overline{D}_{\circ}\}| = 2|D_{\bullet}| + n$ and thus $|D_{\bullet}| = |D_{\circ}|$ for any maximal D_∘-accordion dissection D_•. \Box

We are now ready to prove that the D_{\circ} -accordion complex is thin, *i.e.* that each internal diagonal of a maximal D_0 -accordion dissection can be flipped into a unique other internal diagonal to form a new maximal D_∘-accordion dissection. Here and throughout the paper, $X \Delta Y$ denotes the symmetric difference of two sets X, Y defined by $X \triangle Y := (X \setminus Y) \cup (Y \setminus X)$.

The following notations are illustrated in Figure 4. Let D_{\bullet} be a maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection and δ_{\bullet} be a diagonal of D_{\bullet} . Let u_{\circ} and v_{\circ} be the apices of the angles of D_{\circ} closed by δ_{\bullet} , let μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} denote the edges of the cells of D_{\bullet} containing δ_{\bullet} , which separate δ_{\bullet} from u_{\circ} and v_{\circ} respectively, and let Q_{\bullet} denote the quadrilateral defined by the four vertices of μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} . Note that δ_{\bullet} is a diagonal of Q_{\bullet} , and let δ'_{\bullet} denote the other diagonal.

Lemma 9 ([GM16]). With the previous notations, the collection of diagonals $D'_\bullet := D_\bullet \bigtriangleup \{\delta_\bullet, \delta'_\bullet\}$ is a maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection, and D_{\bullet} and D'_{\bullet} are the only maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissections containing $D_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta_{\bullet}}$. In other words, the accordion complex $AC(D_{\circ})$ is thin.

Proof. We first observe that δ'_{\bullet} is a D_∘-accordion diagonal, since the edges of \overline{D}_{\circ} crossed by δ'_{\bullet} are obtained by merging three subaccordions of D_{\circ} : the subaccordion formed by the diagonals of \overline{D}_{\circ} crossed by μ_{\bullet} but not δ_{\bullet} nor ν_{\bullet} , the subaccordion formed by the diagonals of \overline{D}_{\circ} crossed by δ_{\bullet} , μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} , and the subaccordion formed by the diagonals of \overline{D}_{\circ} crossed by ν_{\bullet} but not δ_{\bullet} nor μ_{\bullet} .

Moreover, δ_{\bullet} and δ'_{\bullet} are the only D_°-accordion diagonals compatible with D_° $\setminus \{\delta_{\bullet}\}.$ Indeed, any other such diagonal would cross δ_{\bullet} and δ'_{\bullet} (by maximality of D_{\bullet} and D'_{\bullet}), and thus also the subaccordion A_{\circ} of D_{\circ} crossed by δ_{\bullet} and δ'_{\bullet} (because it cannot cross μ and ν). But it would then improperly intersect the two cells of $D_°$ containing precisely one diagonal of $A_°$.

The D_∘-accordion flip graph is the dual graph $\mathcal{AFG}(D_0)$ of the D_°-accordion complex: its vertices are the maximal D_0 -accordion dissections, and its edges are the flips between them, *i.e.* the pairs ${D_{\bullet}, D'_{\bullet}}$ of maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissections with $D_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta_{\bullet}} = D'_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta'_{\bullet}}$. See Figure 3 (right).

1.4. The accordion lattice. We now define a natural orientation on the D_{\circ} -accordion flip graph. We use the same notations as in Lemma 9 (see also Figure 4), where $D_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta_{\bullet}} = D'_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta'_{\bullet}}$ and $\delta_{\bullet}, \delta'_{\bullet}$ are the two diagonals of the quadrilateral defined by $\mu_{\bullet}, \nu_{\bullet}$. Observe that one of the path $\mu_{\bullet} \delta_{\bullet} \nu_{\bullet}$ and $\mu_{\bullet}\delta'_{\bullet}\nu_{\bullet}$ forms a Σ while the other forms a Z, see Figure 4. We then orient the flip from the dissection containing the Σ to that containing the Z. See Figure 3 (right) for an illustration of D_o-accordion oriented flip graph (where the graph is oriented from bottom to top).

A. Garver and T. McConville introduced a natural closure on sets of D◦-subaccordions, and showed that the inclusion poset of biclosed sets of D_{\circ} -subaccordions is a well-behaved lattice (namely, semidistributive, congruence-uniform and polygonal). Then, they introduced a lattice quotient map from biclosed sets of D_∘-subaccordions to maximal D_°-accordion dissections, which imply the following statement.

Theorem 10 ([GM16]). The D_∘-accordion oriented flip graph is the Hasse diagram of a lattice, that we call the D_∘-accordion lattice and denote by $\mathcal{AL}(D_{\circ}).$

In particular, the D_{\circ} -accordion oriented flip graph is connected and acyclic, and has a unique source $D_{\bullet}^- := \{(i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet} \mid i_{\circ}j_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}\}\$ (obtained by slightly rotating D_{\circ} counterclockwise) and a unique sink $D_{\bullet}^{+} := \{(i+1)_{\bullet}(j+1)_{\bullet} \mid i_{\circ}j_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}\}\$ (obtained by slightly rotating D_{\circ} clockwise).

Example 11. Following Example 2, note that special reference hollow dissections D_{\circ} give rise to special accordion lattices $\mathcal{AL}(D_{\circ})$, as it was already observed in [GM16]:

- \Diamond For a fan triangulation F_◦ (*i.e.* where all internal diagonals are incident to a common vertex), the F_∘-accordion lattice $\mathcal{AL}(F_{\circ})$ is the famous Tamari lattice [Tam51, MHPS12] defined equivalently by slope increasing flips on triangulations of a convex polygon, by right rotations on binary trees, or by flips on Dyck paths.
- \Diamond In general, accordion lattices of accordion triangulations *(i.e.* with no interior triangle) precisely correspond to type A Cambrian lattices defined by N. Reading [Rea06].
- For an arbitrary triangulation T◦ (with or without interior triangle), the T◦-accordion oriented flip graph $\mathcal{AFG}(A_{\circ})$ is a particular instance of the oriented exchange graphs of 2-acyclic quivers defined by T. Brüstle, G. Dupont and M. Pérotin $|BDP14|$. These oriented exchange graphs are far more general and their transitive closures are in general not lattices.
- \Diamond For a quadrangulation Q_{\circ} , the Q_{\circ} -accordion lattice $\mathcal{AL}(Q_{\circ})$ is the Stokes poset on Q_{\circ} -compatible quadrangulations studied by F.Chapoton [Cha16].

The following statement is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.

Proposition 12. If the reference hollow dissection D_o has a cell containing p boundary edges of the hollow polygon P_{\circ} , then the D_{\circ} -accordion lattice $\mathcal{AL}(D_{\circ})$ is a Cartesian product of p accordion lattices.

Proof. Consider the dissections D_0^1, \ldots, D_v^p as in the proof of Proposition 4. Since any increasing flip in $AC(D_o)$ is an increasing flip in one of the $AC(D_oⁱ)$, we obtain that the D_o-accordion lattice is the Cartesian product of the D_0^i -accordion lattices: $\mathcal{AL}(D_0) = \mathcal{AL}(D_0^1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{AL}(D_0^p)$.

In particular, if two consecutive boundary edges $\gamma_{\circ}, \delta_{\circ}$ of the hollow polygon belong to the same non-triangular cell of D_☉, then contracting γ_{\circ} and δ_{\circ} to a single boundary edge preserves the D◦-accordion lattice. This shows the following statement conjectured for quadrangulations in [Cha16] and proved in [BMP16].

Corollary 13. Consider an accordion dissection A_{\circ} , *i.e.* a dissection where each cell has at most 2 edges which are internal diagonals of P_0 . Then the A_○-accordion lattice is a Cambrian lattice.

Remark 14. Call cell-sequence of a dissection the sequence whose ith entry is its number of $(i+2)$ -cells. For example, the dissection of Figure 2 (left) has cell-sequence 3, 1, 0 ∞ and all $(p+2)$ -angulations of a $(pm+2)$ -gon have cell-sequence $0^{p-1}, m, 0^{\infty}$. Observe that the flip preserves the cell-sequence. Thus, all maximal D_0 -accordion dissections have the same cell-sequence as D_0 .

We conclude this section with a reciprocity result on accordion dissections.

Proposition 15. Let D_0 be a hollow dissection and D_0 be a solid dissection. Then D_0 is a maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection if and only if D_{\circ} is a maximal D_{\bullet} -accordion dissection.

Proof. Since $D_{\bullet}^- := \{(i-1)_{\bullet} (j-1)_{\bullet} \mid i_{\circ} j_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}\}$ and $D_{\bullet}^+ := \{(i+1)_{\bullet} (j+1)_{\bullet} \mid i_{\circ} j_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}\}$ are both D_∘-accordion dissections, we already know that D_∘ is a D \bullet -accordion dissection. Observe now in Figure 4 that if D_{\bullet} and D'_{\bullet} are maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissections connected by a flip, then D_{\circ} is a D_•-accordion dissection if and only if it is a D_•-accordion dissection. Indeed, if δ_{\bullet} belongs to the zigzag of the D•-accordion A_{\bullet} of a hollow diagonal δ_{\circ} , then δ_{\circ} crosses both μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} , but then δ_{\circ} also crosses δ'_{\bullet} , and thus δ_{\circ} crosses the D'_o-accordion A_• $\Delta\{\delta_{\bullet}, \delta'_{\bullet}\}.$ Since the D_°-accordion flip graph is connected, we obtain that D_{\circ} is a D_{\bullet} -accordion dissection for any maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D•. Finally, maximality follows since all maximal D_0 -accordion dissections have $|D_0|$ diagonals. The equivalence follows by symmetry.

2. THE **g**-VECTOR FAN

In this Section, we construct accordiohedra using g - and c-vectors. Our construction is in the same spirit as the Cambrian fans of N. Reading and D. Speyer [Rea06, Rea07, RS09] and their polytopal realizations by C. Hohlweg, C. Lange and H. Thomas [HL07, HLT11], recently extended in [HPS18] to any initial triangulation, acyclic or not. A different approach to the g-vector fan together with an alternative polytopal realization will be presented in Section 4.

2.1. g- and c-vectors. Consider a hollow dissection D_0 and a solid dissection D_0 that are maximal accordion dissections of each other (see Proposition 15), and let $\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}$ and $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$. When δ_{\circ} crosses δ_{\bullet} , we let μ_{\circ} and ν_{\circ} be the other diagonals of \overline{D}_{\circ} crossed by δ_{\bullet} in the two cells of D_{\circ} containing δ_{\circ} . We say that δ_{\bullet} slaloms on δ_{\circ} if $\mu_{\circ}\delta_{\circ}\nu_{\circ}$ forms a path, and we define $\varepsilon_{\circ}(\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet})$ to be 1, -1, or 0 depending on whether $\mu_o \delta_o \nu_o$ forms a Z, a S, or a V. Similarly we let μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} be the other diagonals of D_{\bullet} crossed by δ_{\circ} in the two cells of D_{\bullet} containing δ_{\bullet} , we say that δ_{\circ} slaloms on δ_{\bullet} if $\mu_{\bullet}\delta_{\bullet}\nu_{\bullet}$ forms a path, and we define $\varepsilon_{\bullet}(\delta_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet})$ to be 1, -1, or 0 depending on whether $\mu_{\bullet}\delta_{\bullet}\nu_{\bullet}$ forms a Σ , a Z, or a V. Note that the sign convention for $\varepsilon_{\circ}(\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet})$ and $\varepsilon_{\bullet}(\delta_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet})$ is opposite: the reciprocity already observed in Proposition 15 naturally reverses the orientation. More informally, we exchange the role of hollow and solid dissections by looking at the picture from the opposite side of the blackboard, which of course reverses the orientation. Finally, if δ_{\circ} and δ_{\bullet} do not cross, then we let $\varepsilon_{\circ}(\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet}) = \varepsilon_{\bullet}(\delta_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}) = 0$. Let $(\mathbf{e}_{\delta_o})_{\delta_o \in D_o}$ denote the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^{D_o} . As in [HPS18], we define the following vectors:

- (i) the g-vector of δ_{\bullet} with respect to D_{\circ} is $g(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) = \sum_{\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}} \varepsilon_{\circ} (\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) e_{\delta_{\circ}}$. We also define $\mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) := \{ \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet} \}.$
- (ii) the c-vector of $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$ with respect to D_{\circ} is $c(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}) := \sum_{\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}} \varepsilon_{\bullet} (\delta_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}) e_{\delta_{\circ}}$. We denote by $\mathbf{c}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) = \{ \mathbf{c}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}) \mid \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet} \}$ the set of **c**-vectors of the diagonals of D_{\bullet} and by $\mathbf{C}(D_{\circ}) := \bigcup_{D_{\bullet}} \mathbf{c}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ the set of all **c**-vectors with respect to D_{\circ} .

Example 16. Consider the hollow dissection $D_{\circ}^{ex} = \{3, 7, 3, 13, 9, 13, 9\}$ and the rightmost solid dissection $D_{\bullet}^{\text{ex}} = \{2_{\bullet}6_{\bullet}, 2_{\bullet}10_{\bullet}, 10_{\bullet}14_{\bullet}\}$ of Figure 2. Then we have for example

 $\infty \in (3_0 13_0 \in D^{\text{ex}}_{\circ} \mid 2_{\bullet} 10_{\bullet}) = 1$ since the path $1_o - 3_o - 13_o - 9_o$ forms a Z,

 $\infty \in (9_0 13_0 \in D^{\text{ex}}_{\circ} \mid 2_{\bullet} 10_{\bullet}) = -1$ since the path $3_0 - 13_0 - 9_0 - 11_{\circ}$ forms a Σ , and

 $\circ \varepsilon_{\circ}(3_{\circ}13_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}^{\text{ex}} \mid 2_{\bullet}6_{\bullet}) = 0$ since 3_{\circ} connects $1_{\circ}, 13_{\circ}, 7_{\circ}$ as a **V**.

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{g}\big(D_{\circ}^{\mathrm{ex}}\,|\,2_{\bullet}6_{\bullet}\big) = \mathbf{e}_{3_{\circ}7_{\circ}}, & \mathbf{c}\big(D_{\circ}^{\mathrm{ex}}\,|\,2_{\bullet}6_{\bullet}\in\,D_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{ex}}\big) = \mathbf{e}_{3_{\circ}7_{\circ}}, \\ \mathbf{g}\big(D_{\circ}^{\mathrm{ex}}\,|\,2_{\bullet}10_{\bullet}\big) = \mathbf{e}_{3_{\circ}13_{\circ}} - \mathbf{e}_{9_{\circ}13_{\circ}}, & \mathbf{c}\big(D_{\circ}^{\mathrm{ex}}\,|\,2_{\bullet}10_{\bullet}\in\,D_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{ex}}\big) = \mathbf{e}_{3_{\circ}13_{\circ}}, \\ \mathbf{g}\big(D_{\circ}^{\mathrm{ex}}\,|\,10_{\bullet}14_{\bullet}\big) = -\mathbf{e}_{9_{\circ}13_{\circ}}, & \mathbf{c}\big(D_{\circ}^{\mathrm{ex}}\,|\,10_{\bullet}14_{\bullet}\in\,D_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{ex}}\big) = -\mathbf{e}_{3_{\circ}13_{\circ}} - \mathbf{e}_{9_{\circ}13_{\circ}}. \end{array}
$$

Example 17. For any hollow diagonal $i \circ j \circ \in D_{\circ}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\mathbf{g}\big(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,(i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet}\big) = -\mathbf{e}_{i_{\circ}j_{\circ}}, \\
\mathbf{g}\big(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,(i+1)_{\bullet}(j+1)_{\bullet}\big) = \mathbf{e}_{i_{\circ}j_{\circ}}, \\
& \mathbf{c}\big(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,(i+1)_{\bullet}(j+1)_{\bullet} \in \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}^{-}\big) = -\mathbf{e}_{i_{\circ}j_{\circ}}, \\
& \mathbf{c}\big(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,(i+1)_{\bullet}(j+1)_{\bullet} \in \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}^{+}\big) = \mathbf{e}_{i_{\circ}j_{\circ}}.\n\end{array}
$$

Remark 18. For a hollow triangulation T_o , our definitions of g - and c-vectors coincide with the shear coordinates of S. Fomin and D. Thurston [FT12], defined in the much more general context of cluster algebras on surfaces [FST08].

Remark 19. Consider the quiver $Q(D_o)$ of the reference dissection D_o , with one node on each internal diagonal of D◦ and one arrow between two diagonals counter-clockwise consecutive around a cell of D_{\circ} . Let $W(D_{\circ})$ be the reflection group whose Dynkin diagram is the underlying graph of $Q(D_o)$. Then all g-vectors of the D_o-accordion diagonals are weights of W(D_o) and all c-vectors of $\mathbf{C}(D_0)$ are roots of $W(D_0)$.

Remark 20. Informally, the g- and c-vectors can be interpreted as follows:

- (i) The g-vector $g(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})$ has coordinate 1 and -1 alternating along the zigzag of the accordion crossed by δ_{\bullet} in D_{\circ} , and coordinate 0 on all other diagonals of D_{\circ} .
- (ii) The c-vector $c(D_{\circ}) \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$) is, up to a sign, the characteristic vector of the diagonals of the subaccordion of D_{\circ} crossed by both diagonals μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} of Lemma 9 (see also Figure 4). Thus, any c-vector is either positive (only non-negative coordinates) or negative (only nonpositive coordinates).

In fact, the g-vectors are clearly in bijection with the accordions and with the zigzags in D_{\circ} . In contrast, many pairs $(\delta_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet})$ produce the same c-vector $c(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet})$. For example, if two dissections $D_{\bullet}, D'_{\bullet}$ contain δ_{\bullet} and have the same cells incident to δ_{\bullet} , then $c(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}) = c(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D'_{\bullet})$. The set of **c**-vectors $C(D_0)$ without repetitions can be understood as follows.

Lemma 21. There are bijections between:

- \Diamond the negative (resp. positive) **c**-vectors of **C**(D_°),
- \diamond the subaccordions of D_{\circ} ,
- \Diamond the D_o-accordion diagonals not in the source dissection $D_{\bullet}^- := \{(i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet} \mid i_{\circ}j_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}\}\$ (resp. not in the sink dissection $D_{\bullet}^{+} := \{(i+1)_{\bullet} (j+1)_{\bullet} \mid i_{\circ} j_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}\}\)$.

Proof. By Remark 20 (ii), the support of any c-vector is a subaccordion of D_0 . Reciprocally, let A_o be a subaccordion of D_o , let C_o and C'_o denote the two cells of D_o containing exactly one diagonal of A_0 , and let p_0, q_0, r_0, s_0 (resp. p'_0, q'_0, r'_0, s'_0) denote the four consecutive vertices in clockwise order around C_{\circ} (resp. around C'_{\circ}) such that $q_{\circ}r_{\circ}$ (resp. $q'_{\circ}r'_{\circ}$) is the diagonal of A_{\circ} in C_o (resp. in C'_o). Let $\delta_{\bullet} := (s-1)_{\bullet}(s'-1)_{\bullet}$, $\mu_{\bullet} := (p+1)_{\bullet}(s'-1)_{\bullet}$ and $\nu_{\bullet} := (p'+1)_{\bullet}(s-1)_{\bullet}$ and consider any D_∘-accordion dissection D_• containing $\{\mu_\bullet, \delta_\bullet, \nu_\bullet\}$. Then A_∘ is precisely the support of the negative c-vector $c(D_0 | \delta_e \in D_e)$. Finally, we have associated to the subaccordion A_0 of D_o a D_∘-diagonal $\delta_{\bullet} = (s-1)_{\bullet}(s'-1)_{\bullet}$ which cannot be in D^o</sub> as otherwise $s_{\circ}s'_{\circ}$ would cross $q_{\circ}r_{\circ}$. Reciprocally, A_{\circ} is precisely the set of diagonals of D_{\circ} crossed by δ_{\bullet} and not incident to s_{\circ} or s'_{\circ} . \Box

The g-vectors and c-vectors are connected in the following two statements, inspired and motivated by classical analogues in cluster algebra theory.

Proposition 22. For any maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet} , the set of g-vectors $g(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ and the set of **c**-vectors $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{D} \circ | \mathbf{D}_\bullet)$ form dual bases.

Proof. Let $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ denote the standard Euclidean inner product of $\mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}$. Given two solid diagonals $\gamma_{\bullet}, \delta_{\bullet}$ of D_{\bullet} , we want to compute $\langle g(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | c(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}) \rangle$. By Remark 20 (i), the g-vector $g(D_0 | \gamma_{\bullet})$ has coordinate ± 1 alternating along the zigzag Z_0 of the accordion crossed by γ_{\bullet} in D_o, and coordinate 0 on all other diagonals of D_o. Moreover, by Remark 20 (ii), the

FIGURE 5. Illustration of the proof of Proposition 22. The red hollow diagonals form the zigzag of γ_{\bullet} , and the bolded ones are slaloming on δ_{\bullet} . There are an even number of bolded diagonals when $\gamma_{\bullet} \neq \delta_{\bullet}$ (left) and an odd number when $\gamma_{\bullet} = \delta_{\bullet}$ (right).

c-vector $c(D_0 | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet})$ has coordinate ± 1 on the diagonals of D_0 which slalom on δ_{\bullet} in D_{\bullet} , and coordinate 0 on all other diagonals of D_0 . We thus need to understand how the diagonals of Z_o slalom on δ_{\bullet} in D_{\bullet} . See Figure 5 for a schematic illustration. Observe that there is an even (resp. odd) number of hollow diagonals of Z_o that slalom on $\delta_{\bullet} \neq \gamma_{\bullet}$ (resp. when $\delta_{\bullet} = \gamma_{\bullet}$). Moreover, since they are non-crossing, all hollow diagonals of Z_{\circ} slaloming on δ_{\bullet} do it the same way (either all as a Σ or all as a Z). Finally, when $\gamma_{\bullet} = \delta_{\bullet}$, consider the first hollow diagonal δ_{\circ} of the zigzag Z_o which slaloms on δ_{\bullet} . Then δ_{\circ} slaloms on δ_{\bullet} in the opposite way as δ_{\bullet} slaloms on δ_{\circ} . This shows that

$$
\big\langle\, {\bf g}\big({\rm D}_{\circ}\,|\,\gamma_{\bullet}\big)\,\,\big|\, {\bf c}\big({\rm D}_{\circ}\,|\,\delta_{\bullet}\in {\rm D}_{\bullet}\big)\,\big\rangle = \sum_{\delta_{\circ}\in {\rm D}_{\circ}}\varepsilon_{\circ}\big(\delta_{\circ}\in {\rm D}_{\circ}\,|\,\,\gamma_{\bullet}\big)\cdot\varepsilon_{\bullet}\big(\delta_{\circ}\,|\,\,\delta_{\bullet}\in {\rm D}_{\bullet}\big) = 1\!\!1_{\gamma=\delta},
$$

since we sum an even number of alternating ± 1 when $\gamma_{\bullet} \neq \delta_{\bullet}$, and an odd number of alternating ± 1 starting by a 1 when $\gamma_{\bullet} \neq \delta_{\bullet}$. In other words, $g(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ and $c(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ form dual bases.

Proposition 23. Let D_{\circ} be a hollow dissection and D_{\bullet} be a solid dissection such that D_{\circ} and D_{\bullet} are maximal accordion dissections of each other (see Proposition 15). Then

$$
\mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) = -\mathbf{c}(D_{\bullet} | D_{\circ})^{t} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{c}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) = -\mathbf{g}(D_{\bullet} | D_{\circ})^{t},
$$

where we consider the sets of g -vectors $g(D_0 | D_0)$ and c -vectors $c(D_0 | D_0)$ as matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{D_0 \times D_0}$, and M^t denotes the transpose of a matrix M.

Proof. We immediately derive from the definitions that for any $\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}$ and $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$,

$$
\mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})_{(\delta_{\circ}, \delta_{\bullet})} = \varepsilon_{\circ}(\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) = -\varepsilon_{\bullet}(\delta_{\bullet} | \delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}) = -\mathbf{c}(D_{\bullet} | D_{\circ})_{(\delta_{\bullet}, \delta_{\circ})},
$$

which shows $\mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) = -c(D_{\bullet} | D_{\circ})^t$. The other equality follows by exchanging D_{\circ} and D_{\bullet} . \Box

- **Corollary 24.** For any maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet} , we have the following sign coherence:
- (i) for any $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$, all coordinates of the **c**-vector **c**($D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$) have the same sign,
- (ii) for any $\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}$, the δ_{\circ} -coordinates of all g -vectors $g(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})$ for $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$ have the same sign.

Proof. Point (i) was already seen in Remark 20 (ii), and Point (ii) follows by Proposition 23. \Box

2.2. c-vector fan and D_∘-zonotope. Define the c-vector fan of D_0 to be the complete polyhedral fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})$ given by the arrangement of the linear hyperplanes orthogonal to the c-vectors of $\mathbf{C}(D_0)$. Be careful: in contrast to the **g**- and **d**-vector fans defined later, the **c**-vectors are not the rays of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})$ but the normal vectors of the hyperplanes supporting the facets of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}).$

We call D_0 -zonotope the Minkowski sum $\text{Zono}(D_0)$ of all **c**-vectors:

$$
\mathsf{Zono}(\mathrm{D}_\circ):=\sum_{\mathbf{c}\in \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{D}_\circ)}\mathbf{c}.
$$

The normal fan of the D_∘-zonotope Zono(D_°) is the c-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})$. Note that the c-vector fan is not always simplicial, and thus the D_0 -zonotope $\text{Zono}(D_0)$ is not always simple. See Figure 7.

Example 25. Consider an accordion dissection A_o (where each cell has at most 2 edges which are internal diagonals of P⋅). Label its internal diagonals by $\delta_0^1, \ldots, \delta_0^{|A_\circ|}$ such that δ_0^k and δ_0^{k+1} belong to the same cell of A_{\circ} for all k. Identifying $e_{\delta_{\circ}^k}$ to the simple root $f_k - f_{k+1}$ of type $A_{|A_{\circ}|}$, the c-vectors of $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A}_{\circ})$ are all roots $\pm(\mathbf{f}_i - \mathbf{f}_j) = \pm \sum_{i \leq k \leq j} \mathbf{e}_{\delta_{\circ}^k}$ of type $A_{|\mathbf{A}_{\circ}|}$. Therefore, the c-vector fan is the type $A_{A₀}$ Coxeter fan and the A_o -zonotope is a permutahedron. More precisely,

$$
\mathsf{Zono}({\rm A}_{\circ}) = \sum_{k \in [|{\rm A}_{\circ}|+1]} k (|{\rm A}_{\circ}| + 1 - k) \left[-{\bf e}_{\delta_{\circ}^{k}}, {\bf e}_{\delta_{\circ}^{k}} \right] = 2 \, \mathsf{Perm}(|{\rm A}_{\circ}|) - (|{\rm A}_{\circ}| + 2) \sum_{i \in [|{\rm A}_{\circ}|+1]} {\bf f}_{i}
$$

where $\textsf{Perm}(|A_{\circ}|) := \text{conv} \left\{ \sum_{i \in [|A_{\circ}|+1]} \sigma(i) \mathbf{f}_i \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{|A_{\circ}|+1} \right\}$ is the classical permutahedron.

The vertices of $\text{Zono}(D_0)$ correspond to separable subsets of $\mathbf{C}(D_0)$, *i.e.* those which can be strictly separated from their complement by a hyperplane. Although we could work out all facets of $\text{Zono}(D_o)$, we will only need the following specific inequalities.

Proposition 26. For any D_○-accordion diagonal γ_{\bullet} , the D_○-zonotope Zono(D_○) has a facet defined by the inequality

$$
\langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \omega(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}),
$$

where $\omega(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet})$ is the D_∘-height of γ_{\bullet} , i.e. the number of D_∘-accordion diagonals that cross γ_{\bullet} .

Proof. Let $\omega(D_o | \gamma_o)$ denote the maximum of $\langle g(D_o | \gamma_o) | x \rangle$ over Zono (D_o) . As Zono (D_o) is the Minkowski sum of all c-vectors, we have

$$
\omega\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\gamma_{\bullet}\big)=\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{D}_{\circ})\\ \langle\,\mathbf{g}(\mathrm{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\gamma_{\bullet})\,|\,\mathbf{c}\,\rangle>0}}\big\langle\,\mathbf{g}\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\gamma_{\bullet}\big)\,\big|\,\mathbf{c}\,\big\rangle\,.
$$

By Remark 20, we have $\langle g(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | c \rangle \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for any $c \in C(D_{\circ})$. We thus just need to count the distinct c-vectors c such that $\langle g(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | c \rangle > 0$. It turns out that it is more convenient and equivalent (since $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{D}_\circ) = -\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{D}_\circ)$) to count the distinct c-vectors c such that $\langle \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_\circ | \gamma_{\bullet}) | \mathbf{c} \rangle < 0$. For that, let Z_0 denote the zigzag of the accordion crossed by γ_{\bullet} in D_0 , and decompose $Z_0 = Z_0^- \sqcup Z_0^+$ such that $g(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) = 1\!\!1_{Z_{\circ}^{+}} - 1\!\!1_{Z_{\circ}^{-}}$ (where $1\!\!1_{X_{\circ}} := \sum_{\delta_{\circ} \in X_{\circ}} e_{\delta_{\circ}}$ for $X_{\circ} \subseteq D_{\circ}$).

Let δ_{\bullet} be a D_o-accordion diagonal. Let A_{\circ}^{-} (resp. A_{\circ}^{+}) denote the accordion crossed by $\delta_{\bullet} = u_{\bullet}v_{\bullet}$ in D_o and not including $(u + 1)$ ^o or $(v + 1)$ ^o (resp. $(u - 1)$ ^o or $(v - 1)$ ^o). Let $c^-(\delta_{\bullet}) = -1$ _{A^o} and $\mathbf{c}^+(\delta_{\bullet}) := \mathbb{1}_{A_{\circ}^+}$. Recall from Lemma 21 that the negative (resp. positive) **c**-vectors of $\mathbf{C}(D_{\circ})$ are given by $c^-(\delta_{\bullet})$ (resp. $c^+(\delta_{\bullet})$) for all D_o-accordion diagonal δ_{\bullet} not in D_o (resp. D_o⁺). We let the reader check that:

- \circ If γ_{\bullet} and δ_{\bullet} do not cross and have no common endpoint, both $|Z_{\circ} \cap A_{\circ}^{-}|$ and $|Z_{\circ} \cap A_{\circ}^{+}|$ are even. Thus $\langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | \mathbf{c}^{-}(\delta_{\bullet}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | \mathbf{c}^{+}(\delta_{\bullet}) \rangle = 0.$
- \Diamond If γ_{\bullet} and δ_{\bullet} have a common endpoint, and $\gamma_{\bullet}\delta_{\bullet}$ form a counterclockwise angle, then $|Z_{\circ} \cap A_{\circ}|$ is even while $Z_{\circ} \cap A_{\circ}^{+}$ is empty or starts and ends in Z_{\circ}^{+} . Thus $\langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | \mathbf{c}^{-}(\delta_{\bullet}) \rangle = 0$ while $\langle g(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | c^{+}(\delta_{\bullet}) \rangle \ge 0$. The situation is similar if $\gamma_{\bullet} \delta_{\bullet}$ form a clockwise angle.
- \Diamond If γ_{\bullet} and δ_{\bullet} cross, $Z_{\circ} \cap A_{\circ}^{-}$ and $Z_{\circ} \cap A_{\circ}^{+}$ are empty or start and end both in Z_{\circ}^{-} or both in Z^+_{\circ} . Thus, either $\langle g(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | c^-(\delta_{\bullet}) \rangle < 0$ and $\langle g(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | c^+(\delta_{\bullet}) \rangle \geq 0$ or conversely.

We conclude from this case analysis that

$$
\omega(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) = |\{ \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}(D_{\circ}) \mid \langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) \mid \mathbf{c} \rangle < 0 \}| = |\{ D_{\circ} \text{-acordion diagonals crossing } \gamma_{\bullet} \}|.
$$

Finally, the inequality $\langle g(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | x \rangle \leq \omega(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet})$ defines a priori a face $\mathbf{F}(\gamma_{\bullet})$ of the zonotope Zono(D◦). This face $\mathbf{F}(\gamma_{\bullet})$ is the Minkowski sum of the c-vectors of $\mathbf{C}(D_{\circ})$ orthogonal to $g(D_0 | \gamma_{\bullet})$. Proposition 22 ensures that any D_∘-accordion dissection D_• containing γ_{\bullet} already provides $|\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}|-1$ linearly independent such c-vectors $\mathbf{c}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet})$ for $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet} \setminus \{\gamma_{\bullet}\}\.$ We obtain that $\mathbf{F}(\gamma_{\bullet})$ has dimension $|D_{\bullet}|-1=|D_{\circ}|-1$ and is therefore a facet of the zonotope Zono (D_{\circ}) . \square

,

Define the half-space and the hyperplane corresponding to a solid D_{\circ} -accordion diagonal γ_{\bullet} by

$$
\mathbf{H}^{\leq}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}} \mid \langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \omega(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) \},
$$

and
$$
\mathbf{H}^{=} (D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}} \mid \langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | \mathbf{x} \rangle = \omega(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) \}.
$$

2.3. g-vector fan and D_0 -accordiohedron. In this section, we give a geometric realization of the D_{\circ} -accordion complex. We start by realizing this simplicial complex as a complete simplicial fan in $\mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}$. We denote by $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ **R** the nonnegative span of a set **R** of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}$.

Theorem 27. The collection of cones

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D_{\circ}) := \left\{ \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{g}\big(D_{\circ} \,|\, D_{\bullet}\big) \,\,\big|\,\, D_{\bullet} \,\,\textit{any } D_{\circ}\textit{-accordion \,\,dissection} \right\}
$$

forms a complete simplicial fan, that we call the **g**-vector fan of D_0 .

The proof uses the following characterization of complete simplicial fans [DRS10, Coro. 4.5.20]. We will provide as well an alternative proof in Remark 60 based on sections of Cambrian fans.

Proposition 28. Consider a pseudomanifold Δ on a finite vertex set X and a set of vectors $\mathbf{R} := (\mathbf{r}_x)_{x \in X}$ of \mathbb{R}^d . For $D \in \Delta$, define the cone $\mathbf{R}_D := {\mathbf{r}_x \mid x \in D}$. The collection of cones $\{ \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}_{\text{D}} \mid \text{D} \in \Delta \}$ forms a complete simplicial fan if and only if

- (1) there exists a facet D of Δ such that \mathbf{R}_{D} is a basis of \mathbb{R}^d and such that the open cones $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ \mathbf{R}_{D} and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq0}\mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{D}'}$ are disjoint for any facet \mathcal{D}' of Δ distinct from \mathcal{D} ;
- (2) for two adjacent facets D, D' of Δ with $D \setminus \{x\} = D' \setminus \{x'\}$, there is a linear dependence

$$
\alpha \mathbf{r}_x + \alpha' \mathbf{r}_{x'} + \sum_{y \in \text{D} \cap \text{D}'} \beta_y \mathbf{r}_y = 0
$$

on $\mathbf{R}_{\text{D}\cup\text{D}}$ where the coefficients α and α' have the same sign. (When these conditions hold, these coefficients do not vanish and the linear dependence is unique up to rescaling.)

Proof of Theorem 27. By Corollary 24, the cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}g(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ is the only cone of $\mathcal{F}^{g}(D_{\circ})$ intersecting the interior of the positive orthant $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{\mathbb{D}_{\circ}}$. Consider now two adjacent maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissections $D_{\bullet}, D'_{\bullet}$. Let $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$ and $\overline{\delta'_{\bullet}} \in D'_{\bullet}$ be such that $D_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta_{\bullet}} = D'_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta'_{\bullet}}$, and let μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} be the other diagonals as in Lemma 9 (see also Figure 4). Note that a diagonal of D_{\circ} crosses none of (resp. one of, resp. both) the diagonals $\delta_{\bullet}, \delta'_{\bullet}$ if and only if it crosses none of (resp. one of, resp. both) the diagonals $\mu_{\bullet}, \nu_{\bullet}$. The same holds for a Z or a $\overline{\triangle}$ of D_{\circ} . Therefore, we have the linear dependence $\mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}') = \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \mu_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \mu_{\bullet}).$ This shows that $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{D}_{o})$ satisfies the two conditions of Proposition 28, and thus concludes the proof.

Remark 29. The linear dependence $\mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}') = \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \mu_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \mu_{\bullet})$ relating the g-vectors of two adjacent maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissections $D_{\bullet}, D'_{\bullet}$ with $D_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta_{\bullet}} = D'_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta'_{\bullet}}$ shows that $\det (g(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})) = -\det (g(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}'))$. Since the initial cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}g(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}^{-})$ is generated by the coordinate vectors (see Example 17), we obtain that $\det (\mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})) = \pm 1$ for all D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet} , so that the g-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D_{\circ})$ is always smooth.

By Proposition 22, any non-maximal cone of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{D}_{o})$ is supported by a hyperplane orthogonal to a **c**-vector of $C(D_o)$. We thus obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 30. The g-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})$ coarsens the c-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}).$

Example 31. Following Example 2, we observe that special reference dissections give rise to the following relevant fans:

- \Diamond For an accordion triangulation A_○ (*i.e.* with no interior triangle), the **g**-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(A_{\circ})$ coincides with a type A Cambrian fan of N. Reading and D. Speyer [RS09].
- \Diamond For an arbitrary triangulation T_o (with or without interior triangle), the **g**-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathrm{T_o})$ was recently constructed in [HPS18].

Example 32. Figure 6 illustrates the g-vector fans $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{D}_{o})$ for various reference dissections \mathbf{D}_{o} : the fan, the snake, and the cyclic triangulation of the hexagon, and a dissection of the heptagon. More precisely, we have represented the stereographic projection of the fans from the point $[1,1,1]$.

FIGURE 6. Stereographic projections of the g-vector fans $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathcal{D}_{o})$ for various reference hollow dissections D◦. See Figure 9 for alternative simplicial fan realizations of these accordion complexes.

Therefore, the external face of the projection corresponds to the D_∘-accordion dissection D_{\bullet}^- . We have labeled all vertices of the projection (*i.e.* the rays of the fan) by the corresponding D_0 accordion diagonals.

We now provide a first polytopal realization of the **g**-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D_o)$ (see also Section 4). This fan has a maximal cone for each maximal D_0 -accordion dissection and a ray for each D_0 -accordion diagonal. For a maximal D_°-accordion dissection D_•, we define a point $\mathbf{p}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}$ by

$$
\mathbf{p}\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ} \,|\, \mathrm{D}_{\bullet}\big) := \sum_{\delta_{\bullet} \in \mathrm{D}_{\bullet}} \omega\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ} \,|\, \delta_{\bullet}\big) \cdot \mathbf{c}\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ} \,|\, \delta_{\bullet} \in \mathrm{D}_{\bullet}\big),
$$

where $\omega(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})$ still denotes the D_○-height of δ_{\bullet} defined as the number of D_○-accordion diagonals that cross δ_{\bullet} . We will need the following two technical lemmas in the proof of Theorem 35.

Lemma 33. For any maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet} , the point $p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ is the intersection of all hyperplanes $\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{D} \circ | \delta_{\bullet})$ with $\delta_{\bullet} \in \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}$.

Proof. Observe first that the hyperplanes $\mathbf{H}^=(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})$ with $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$ have a unique intersection point, since $g(D_0 | D_0)$ is a basis. Moreover, since $g(D_0 | D_0)$ and $c(D_0 | D_0)$ form dual bases by Proposition 22, we have for any $\gamma_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$:

$$
\langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) \rangle = \sum_{\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}} \omega(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) \cdot \langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | c(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}) \rangle
$$

$$
= \sum_{\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}} \omega(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) \cdot 1\!\!1_{\gamma_{\bullet} = \delta_{\bullet}} = \omega(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}). \square
$$

Lemma 34. If D_{\bullet} , D'_{\bullet} are two adjacent maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissections, and $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$ and $\delta'_{\bullet} \in D'_{\bullet}$ are such that $D_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta_{\bullet}} = D'_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta'_{\bullet}}$, then

$$
\mathbf{c}(D_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}) = -\mathbf{c}(D_{\circ} \mid \delta'_{\bullet} \in D'_{\bullet}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{p}(D_{\circ} \mid D'_{\bullet}) - \mathbf{p}(D_{\circ} \mid D_{\bullet}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0} \cdot \mathbf{c}(D_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}).
$$

Proof. Let $D_{\bullet}, D'_{\bullet}$ be two adjacent maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissections, let $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$ and $\delta'_{\bullet} \in D'_{\bullet}$ be such that $D_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta_{\bullet}} = D'_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta'_{\bullet}}$, and let μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} be the other diagonals as in Lemma 9 (see also Figure 4). A quick case analysis then shows that

$$
\mathbf{c}\big(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\bullet}\in\mathbf{D}'_{\bullet}\big)=\begin{cases} \mathbf{c}\big(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\bullet}\in\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}\big) & \text{for all diagonal }\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\bullet}\in\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}\smallsetminus\{\delta_{\bullet},\mu_{\bullet},\nu_{\bullet}\},\\ -\mathbf{c}\big(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\delta_{\bullet}\in\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}\big) & \text{if }\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\bullet}=\delta'_{\bullet},\\ \mathbf{c}\big(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\bullet}\in\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}\big)+\mathbf{c}\big(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\delta_{\bullet}\in\mathbf{D}_{\bullet}\big) & \text{if }\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\bullet}\in\{\mu_{\bullet},\nu_{\bullet}\}. \end{cases}
$$

Summing the contribution of all c-vectors with their coefficients $\omega(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet})$, we obtain

$$
\mathbf{p}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}') - \mathbf{p}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) = (\omega(D_{\circ} | \mu_{\bullet}) + \omega(D_{\circ} | \nu_{\bullet}) - \omega(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) - \omega(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}')) \cdot \mathbf{c}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}).
$$

Finally, note that any diagonal of P_{\bullet} that crosses one of (resp. both) the diagonals $\mu_{\bullet}, \nu_{\bullet}$ also crosses one of (resp. both) the diagonals $\delta_{\bullet}, \delta'_{\bullet}$. Moreover, δ_{\bullet} and δ'_{\bullet} cross each other but do not cross μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} . It follows that $\omega(\overline{D}_{\circ} | \mu_{\bullet}) + \omega(D_{\circ} | \nu_{\bullet}) - \omega(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) - \omega(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}') \leq -2 < 0.$

Theorem 35. The g-vector fan is the normal fan of the D_0 -accordiohedron Acco(D_0) defined equivalently as

- \Diamond the convex hull of the points $p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ for all maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet} , or
- \circ the intersection of the half-spaces $\mathbf{H}^{\leq}(\mathrm{D}_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet})$ for all D_{\circ} -accordion diagonals γ_{\bullet} .

Thus, the polar dual of $Acco(D_∘)$ is a polytopal realization of the $D_₀-accordion$ complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_₀)$.

The proof of Theorem 35 is based on the following characterization of polytopal realizations of a complete simplicial fan, whose proof can be found $e.g.$ in [HLT11, Thm. 4.1].

Theorem 36. Given a complete simplicial fan \mathcal{F} in \mathbb{R}^d , consider for each ray **r** of \mathcal{F} a halfspace $H_{\mathbf{r}}^{\leq}$ of \mathbb{R}^d containing the origin and defined by a hyperplane $H_{\mathbf{r}}^=$ orthogonal to **r**. For each maximal cone C of F, let $\mathbf{a}(C) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the intersection of all hyperplanes $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{r}} =$ with $\mathbf{r} \in C$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) The vector $\mathbf{a}(C') - \mathbf{a}(C)$ points from C to C' for any two adjacent maximal cones C, C' of F. (ii) The polytopes

$$
\text{conv } \{ \mathbf{a}(C) \mid C \text{ maximal cone of } \mathcal{F} \} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{\mathbf{r} \text{ ray of } \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\leq}
$$

coincide and their normal fan is F.

Proof of Theorem 35. The g-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})$ has a ray $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})$ for each \mathbf{D}_{\circ} -accordion diagonal δ_{\bullet} and a maximal cone $C(D_{\bullet}) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}g(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ for each maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet} . Consider the half-spaces $\mathbf{H}^{\leq}(D_{\circ}|\gamma_{\bullet})$ for all D_{\circ} -accordion diagonals γ_{\bullet} . Lemma 33 ensures that the point $\mathbf{a}(C(D_{\bullet}))$ coincides with $p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ for each maximal D_o-accordion dissection D_{\bullet}. Finally, Lemma 34 shows that the conditions of application of Theorem 36 are fulfilled. \square

Example 37. Following Example 2, observe that special reference hollow dissections give rise to the following relevant polytopes, illustrated in Figure 7:

 \Diamond For a fan triangulation T_{\circ} , the T_{\circ} -accordiohedron Acco(T_{\circ}) is the classical associahedron constructed by S. Shnider and S. Sternberg [SS93] and J.-L. Loday [Lod04].

GEOMETRIC REALIZATIONS OF THE ACCORDION COMPLEX OF A DISSECTION 15

FIGURE 7. The zonotope Zono (D_0) , D_0 -accordiohedron Acco (D_0) and parallelepiped Para (D_0) for different reference dissections D_0 . The first column is J.-L. Loday's associahedron [Lod04], the second column is one of C. Hohlweg and C. Lange's associahedra [HL07], the third column appeared in a discussion in C. Ceballos, F. Santos and G. Ziegler's survey on associahedra [CSZ15, Fig. 3] and was explained in C. Hohlweg, V. Pilaud and S. Stella's recent paper [HPS18], and the last column is a Stokes complex discussed by F. Chapoton in [Cha16] and illustrated in Figure 3.

- \Diamond The A_∘-accordiohedra Acco(A_°) for all accordion triangulations A_° are precisely the associahedra constructed by C. Hohlweg and C. Lange in [HL07].
- \circ For a triangulation T_o with an interior triangle, the T_o-accordiohedron Acco(T_o) was recently constructed in [HPS18]. For example, for the triangulation of the hexagon with an interior triangle, this associahedron appeared as a mysterious realization in [CSZ15].
- \Diamond For a quadrangulation Q_{\circ} , the Q_{\circ} -accordiohedron Acco(Q_{\circ}) is a realization of the Stokes polytope announced by Y. Baryshnikov [Bar01] and discussed by F. Chapoton in [Cha16].

We conclude this section by an immediate consequence of Theorem 35. To our knowledge, this property of accordion complexes was not observed before. However, using the connection between accordion complexes and support τ -tilting complexes [GM16, PPP17, PPS17, BDM+17], it can also be obtained from [DIJ17, Thm. 1.7].

Corollary 38. For any reference dissection D_0 , the D_0 -accordion complex $AC(D_0)$ is shellable.

2.4. Some properties of $Acco(D_o)$. We conclude this section by pointing out some relevant combinatorial and geometric properties and observations on the D_{\circ} -accordiohedron.

Proposition 39. The graph of the D_∘-accordiohedron Acco(D_°) linearly oriented in the direction $-\mathbb{1} = -\sum_{\delta_o \in D_o} \mathbf{e}_{\delta_o}$ is the Hasse diagram of the accordion lattice $\mathcal{AL}(D_o)$.

Proof. Consider two adjacent maximal D_∘-accordion dissections D_•, D_• such that the flip from D_• to D'_\bullet is increasing. Let $\delta_\bullet \in D_\bullet$ and $\delta'_\bullet \in D'_\bullet$ be such that $D_\bullet \setminus {\delta_\bullet} = D'_\bullet \setminus {\delta'_\bullet}$. As observed in Remark 20 (ii), the c-vector $c(D_0 | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet})$ is the characteristic vector $1\mathbb{1}_{A_0}$ of the set A_0 of diagonals of D_{\circ} crossed by both δ_{\bullet} and δ'_{\bullet} . Applying Lemma 34, we therefore obtain that

$$
\langle -1\!\!1 \mid p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}') - p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) \rangle = \langle -1\!\!1 \mid \lambda \cdot c(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}) \rangle = \lambda \cdot \langle -1\!\!1 \mid 1\!\!1_{A_{\circ}} \rangle = -\lambda \cdot |A_{\circ}|,
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Thus, the linear functional $-\mathbb{1}$ indeed orients the edge $[\mathbf{p}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}), \mathbf{p}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}')]$ from $p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ to $p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}')$). \square

Remark 40. Since the **c**-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})$ refines the **g**-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})$, there is a natural projection π from the vertices of the D_∘-zonotope Zono(D_°) to that of the D_°-accordiohedron Acco(D_°). In analogy to the acyclic case, one could hope to obtain the accordion lattice as a lattice quotient through this projection. However, the transitive closure of the graph of the D_{\circ} -zonotope Zono (D_{\circ}) oriented in the direction −11 is not a lattice in general (the first counter-example is the dissection with a central square surrounded by 4 triangles). As shown in [GM16], the right objects are not the separable subsets of **c**-vectors (*i.e.* the vertices of $\text{Zono}(D_0)$) but the biclosed subsets of **c**-vectors.

Proposition 41. The accordiohedron $Acco(D_o)$ has precisely $|D_o|$ pairs of parallel facets.

Proof. Two facets of $Acco(D_o)$ are parallel if and only if the corresponding g-vectors are opposite. We therefore want to prove that the pairs of opposite coordinate vectors are the only pairs of opposite **g**-vectors. Assume by contradiction that there exist two hollow diagonals $\delta_{\circ}, \delta'_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}$ and two solid D_∘-diagonals $\delta_{\bullet}, \delta'_{\bullet}$ such that $g(D_\circ | \delta_{\bullet})$ and $g(D_\circ | \delta'_{\bullet})$ have non-zero opposite coordinate both on δ_o and δ'_o . Then both δ_o and δ'_o cross both δ_o and δ'_o . But this implies that they both slalom on δ_o (and on δ_o') in the same way. Contradiction.

Recall from Example 17 that the g-vectors of the diagonals of D_{\bullet}^- (resp. D_{\bullet}^+) are the coordinate vectors (resp. negative of the coordinate vectors). Consider the D_{\circ} -parallelepiped

$$
\mathsf{Para}(D_{\circ}) := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}} \mid \langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) | \mathbf{x} \rangle \right\} \le \omega(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) \text{ for all } \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}^{-} \cup D_{\bullet}^{+} \right\}
$$

defined by the inequalities of the D_0 -zonotope $\mathsf{Zono}(D_0)$ corresponding to the positive and negative basis vectors. Our next statement follows from Proposition 41 and is illustrated in Figure 7.

Corollary 42. For any D_0 , we have matriochka polytopes: $\text{Zono}(D_0) \subseteq \text{Acco}(D_0) \subseteq \text{Para}(D_0)$.

In fact, each polytope in this chain is obtained by deleting facets from the previous one.

Consider now an isometry σ of the plane that preserves the hollow polygon P_◦ and the solid polygon P•. For any diagonals and dissections $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$ and $\delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}$, we have

- \Diamond δ_{\bullet} is a D_o-accordion diagonal \iff $\sigma(\delta_{\bullet})$ is a $\sigma(D_{\circ})$ -accordion diagonal,
- \Diamond D_• is a D_°-accordion dissection $\iff \sigma(D_{\bullet})$ is a $\sigma(D_{\circ})$ -accordion dissection,

 $\Diamond \text{ if } \Sigma : \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{D}_\circ} \to \mathbb{R}^{\sigma(\mathcal{D}_\circ)} \text{ denotes the isometry defined by } (\Sigma(\mathbf{x}))_{\sigma(\delta_\circ)} := \varepsilon(\sigma) \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\delta_\circ}, \text{ (where } \varepsilon(\sigma) = 1$ if σ is direct and -1 if σ is indirect), then we have

$$
\mathbf{g}\big(\sigma(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})\,|\,\sigma(\delta_{\bullet})\big)=\Sigma\big(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\delta_{\bullet})\big),\qquad \qquad \mathbf{c}\big(\sigma(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})\,|\,\sigma(\delta_{\bullet})\in\sigma(\mathbf{D}_{\bullet})\big)=\Sigma\big(\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\delta_{\bullet}\in\mathbf{D}_{\bullet})\big),\\ \omega\big(\sigma(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})\,|\,\sigma(\delta_{\bullet})\big)=\omega(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\delta_{\bullet}),\qquad \text{and} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{p}\big(\sigma(\mathbf{D}_{\circ})\,|\,\sigma(\mathbf{D}_{\bullet})\big)=\Sigma\big(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\mathbf{D}_{\bullet})\big).
$$

This immediately implies the following statement.

Proposition 43. Any P_\circ -preserving isometry $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ induces an isometry $\Sigma : \mathbb{R}^{D_\circ} \to \mathbb{R}^{\sigma(D_\circ)}$ $with \ \Sigma(\textsf{Zono}(D_o)) = \textsf{Zono}(\sigma(D_o)), \ \Sigma(\textsf{Acco}(D_o)) = \textsf{Acco}(\sigma(D_o)) \ \ and \ \Sigma(\textsf{Para}(D_o)) = \textsf{Para}(\sigma(D_o)).$

We say that a dissection D is σ -invariant when $\sigma(D) = D$. Assume now that σ is a rotation and D_∘ is σ -invariant. We call σ -invariant D_∘-accordion complex the simplicial complex $\mathcal{AC}^{\sigma}(D_0)$ whose vertices are the crossing-free σ -orbits of D_0 -accordion diagonals, and whose faces are sets of such orbits whose union is crossing-free. In other words, the faces of $AC^{\sigma}(D_{o})$ are σ -invariant D_o-accordion dissections, seen as sets of $σ$ -orbits of diagonals.

Lemma 44. The σ -invariant D_o-accordion complex $AC^{\sigma}(D_{o})$ is a pseudomanifold.

Proof. Assume first that σ is the central symmetry. In this case, there are two possible types of orbits: the long D_0 -accordion diagonals and the centrally symmetric pairs of D_0 -accordion diagonals. One can check that any facet of $\mathcal{AC}^{\sigma}(D_o)$ has a long diagonal if and only if D_o has, and has as many centrally symmetric pairs of diagonals as D_0 . Finally, any orbit in any facet of $\mathcal{AC}^{\sigma}(D_0)$ can be flipped: long diagonals can already be flipped in $\mathcal{AC}(D_{\circ})$, and a centrally symmetric pair of diagonals can be flipped by flipping one after the other its two diagonals in $\mathcal{AC}(D_0)$.

Finally, the general statement follows from this special case. Indeed, if σ is not a central symmetry, let C_{\circ} denote the cell of D_{\circ} containing the center of P_{\circ} , let u_{\circ} be a vertex of C_{\circ} , let D_{\circ} be the set of diagonals of Dॄ whose endpoints are between u_o and $\sigma(u_o)$, and let ρ be the central symmetry around the middle of $u_0\sigma(u_0)$. Then $\mathcal{AC}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{AC}^{\rho}(\mathcal{D}_0 \cup \rho(\mathcal{D}_0))$ \Box

Let $\Sigma : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{D}_{\circ}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{D}_{\circ}}$ denote the isometry defined by $(\Sigma(\mathbf{x}))_{\sigma(\delta_{\circ})} := \mathbf{x}_{\delta_{\circ}}$ and $\text{Fix}(\Sigma)$ denote the linear subspace of fixed points of Σ . According to the previous discussion, a maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet} is σ -invariant if and only if $p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) \in Fix(\Sigma)$. We obtain the following statement.

Proposition 45. For a σ -invariant dissection D_{\circ} , the polytope $\text{Acco}^{\sigma}(D_{\circ})$ defined equivalently as

- \Diamond the convex hull of $p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet})$ for all σ -invariant maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissections D_{\bullet} ,
- \Diamond the intersection of the D_∘-accordiohedron Acco(D_°) with the fixed space Fix(Σ),

is a polytopal realization of the σ -invariant accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{D}_{o})$.

Proof. Denote by $P = \text{conv } \{ \mathbf{p}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) \mid \sigma\text{-invariant maximal } D_{\circ}\text{-acordion dissections } D_{\bullet} \}$ and by $Q = \text{Acco}(D_{\circ}) \cap \text{Fix}(\Sigma)$. The inclusion $P \subseteq Q$ is clear since D_{\bullet} is σ -invariant if and only if $p(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) \in Fix(\Sigma)$. We now prove the reverse inclusion. For that, consider an arbitrary σ invariant maximal D_∘-accordion dissection D_•. Its corresponding point $p(D_0|D_0)$ is a common vertex of P and Q. Moreover, any edge e of Q incident to $p(D_0 | D_0)$ is the intersection of $Fix(\Sigma)$ with a face F of Acco(D_☉) that corresponds to a σ -invariant D_☉-dissection. Since $AC^{\sigma}(D_∘)$ is a pseudomanifold, this dissection can be refined into another maximal σ -invariant D_o-accordion dissection D'_\bullet . The point $p(D_\circ | D'_\bullet)$ belongs to F and to Fix(Σ) and thus to e. We conclude that if v is a common vertex of P and Q, then so are all neighbors of v in the graph of Q. Propagating this property, we obtain that all vertices of Q are also vertices of P, so that $P = Q$. Finally, there is a clear injection from the σ -invariant accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}^{\sigma}(\mathcal{D}_{o})$ to the boundary complex of $P = Q$, thus a bijection (since these complexes are two spheres with the same vertex set). \Box

3. The d-vector fan

In this section, we discuss the generalization to the D_{\circ} -accordion complex of another classical geometric realization of the associahedron coming from the theory of cluster algebras [FZ02, FZ03a, CFZ02, CSZ15]. Namely, we define compatibility vectors in analogy with the denominator vectors of cluster variables, and we characterize the reference dissections D_{\circ} for which these vectors support a complete simplicial fan realizing the D_{\circ} -accordion complex.

3.1. d-vectors. Fix a dissection D_∘ of the hollow n-gon. For a hollow diagonal $\delta_{\circ} = i_{\circ}j_{\circ}$ and a solid diagonal δ_{\bullet} , we denote by

$$
(\delta_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) := \begin{cases}\n-1 & \text{if } \delta_{\bullet} = (i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet}, \\
0 & \text{if } \delta_{\bullet} \text{ and } (i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet} \text{ do not cross,} \\
1 & \text{if } \delta_{\bullet} \text{ and } (i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet} \text{ cross.}\n\end{cases}
$$

For any D_{\circ} -accordion diagonal δ_{\bullet} , the d-vector of δ_{\bullet} with respect to D_{\circ} is the vector

$$
\mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ}\,|\,\delta_{\bullet}\big)=\sum_{\delta_{\circ}\in\mathrm{D}_{\circ}}\left(\delta_{\circ}\,|\,\delta_{\bullet}\right)\mathbf{e}_{\delta_{\circ}}.
$$

In other words, our **d**-vector $d(D_0 | \delta)$ records the compatibility of the diagonal δ with the dissection D_{\bullet}^- . For a D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet} , we define $d(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}) = \{d(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) | \delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}\}.$

Example 46. Consider the hollow dissection $D_{\circ}^{ex} = \{3, 7, 3, 13, 9, 13, 9\}$ and the rightmost solid dissection $D_{\bullet}^{\text{ex}} = \{2_{\bullet}6_{\bullet}, 2_{\bullet}10_{\bullet}, 10_{\bullet}14_{\bullet}\}$ of Figure 2. Its d-vectors are given by

$$
\mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ}^{\mathrm{ex}}\,|\,2_{\bullet}6_{\bullet}\big)=-\mathbf{e}_{3_{\circ}7_{\circ}},\quad \mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ}^{\mathrm{ex}}\,|\,2_{\bullet}10_{\bullet}\big)=\mathbf{e}_{9_{\circ}13_{\circ}},\quad\text{and}\quad \mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ}^{\mathrm{ex}}\,|\,10_{\bullet}14_{\bullet}\big)=\mathbf{e}_{3_{\circ}13_{\circ}}+\mathbf{e}_{9_{\circ}13_{\circ}}.
$$

3.2. d-vector fan. We now consider the set of cones

 $\left\{ \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{d}\big(D_{\circ}\,|\,D_{\bullet}\big)\ \middle|\ D_{\bullet}\text{ any }D_{\circ}\text{-acordion dissection}\right\}$

generated by the d-vectors of the D◦-accordion dissections. We want to characterize the reference hollow dissections D_0 for which these cones form a complete simplicial fan realizing the D_{\circ} -accordion complex. We start with a negative result. An even interior cell of a dissection D is a cell with an even number of edges which are all internal diagonals of D.

Proposition 47. If the reference hollow dissection D_○ contains an even interior cell, then the **d**-vectors cannot realize the D_{\circ} -accordion complex.

Proof. Assume that D_0 contains an even interior cell C_o. Denote its vertices by i_0^1, \ldots, i_0^{2p} (in clockwise order) and its edges $\delta_{\circ}^k := i_{\circ}^k i_{\circ}^{k+1}$ for $k \in [2p]$ (where $i^{2p+1} = i^1$ by convention). Denote by D_o^k the set of diagonals of D_o separated form C_o by δ_o^k (including δ_o^k itself), and let $D_{\bullet}^{k} := \{(i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet} | i_{0}j_{0} \in D_{\circ}^{k}\}\)$. Consider the solid diagonals $\delta_{\bullet}^{k} := (i^{k} + 1)_{\bullet}(i^{k+1} + 1)_{\bullet}$ for $k \in [2p]$. Observe that δ_{\bullet}^{k} only crosses diagonals of D_{\bullet}^{k-1} and D_{\bullet}^{k} , and that δ_{\bullet}^{k} and δ_{\bullet}^{k+1} cross precisely the same diagonals of D_{\bullet}^k . Since the cell is even, it ensures that the **d**-vectors of the diagonals δ_{\bullet}^k for $k \in [2p]$ satisfy the linear dependence

$$
\sum_{\substack{k \in [2p] \\ k \text{ even}}} \mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ} \, | \, \delta_{\bullet}^{k}\big) = \sum_{\substack{k \in [2p] \\ k \text{ odd}}} \mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_{\circ} \, | \, \delta_{\bullet}^{k}\big).
$$

However, as already mentioned in Section 1.4, the diagonals δ_{\bullet}^{k} for $k \in [2p]$ all belong to the D_{\circ} -accordion dissection $D_{\bullet}^{+} := \{(i+1)_{\bullet} (j+1)_{\bullet} \mid i_{\circ} j_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}\}\.$ Therefore, the cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}d(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}^{+})$ is degenerate, so that the **d**-vectors cannot realize the D_{\circ} -accordion complex.

Example 48. Consider a hollow octagon and the reference dissection D◦ := {1◦5◦, 5◦9◦, 9◦13◦, 13◦1◦} with an interior square cell $1_o5_o9_o13_o$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_\circ\,|\,2_\bullet 6_\bullet\big) &= \mathbf{e}_{1_\circ 5_\circ} + \mathbf{e}_{5_\circ 9_\circ} \qquad \mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_\circ\,|\,6_\bullet 10_\bullet\big) = \mathbf{e}_{5_\circ 9_\circ} + \mathbf{e}_{9_\circ 13_\circ} \\ \mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_\circ\,|\,10_\bullet 14_\bullet\big) &= \mathbf{e}_{9_\circ 13_\circ} + \mathbf{e}_{13_\circ 1_\circ} \qquad \mathbf{d}\big(\mathrm{D}_\circ\,|\,14_\bullet 2_\bullet\big) = \mathbf{e}_{13_\circ 1_\circ} + \mathbf{e}_{1_\circ 5_\circ} \end{aligned}
$$

so that there is already a linear dependence

$$
\mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} | 2_{\bullet} 6_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} | 10_{\bullet} 14_{\bullet}) = \mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} | 6_{\bullet} 10_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} | 14_{\bullet} 2_{\bullet})
$$

among the **d**-vectors of the D_°-accordion dissection $D_{\bullet}^{+} = \{2_{\bullet}6_{\bullet}, 6_{\bullet}10_{\bullet}, 10_{\bullet}14_{\bullet}, 14_{\bullet}2_{\bullet}\}.$

On the negative side, we have seen that the presence of even interior cells prohibits the d-vectors from forming a complete simplicial fan. The positive side is that the even interior cells are the only obstructions.

Theorem 49. The collection of cones

 $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ}) := \{ \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ} | \mathbf{D}_{\bullet}) \mid \mathbf{D}_{\bullet} \text{ any } \mathbf{D}_{\circ}\text{-}acordion \text{ } dissection \}$

forms a complete simplicial fan, that we call the d-vector fan of D_{\circ} , if and only if D_{\circ} contains no even interior cell.

Figure 8. Illustration of the notations and of the different cases in the proof of Theorem 49.

Proof. We use the characterization of complete simplicial fans presented in Proposition 28.

Observe first that $\mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet}^{-}) = (\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0})^{D_{\circ}}$ is the only cone of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{d}}(D_{\circ})$ intersecting the interior of the negative orthant $(\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0})^{\mathbb{D}_{\circ}}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbb{D}_{\circ})$ fulfills Condition (1) in Proposition 28.

To check Condition (2) , consider two adjacent maximal D_°-accordion dissections D_• and D'_• and let $\delta_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet}$ and $\delta'_{\bullet} \in D'_{\bullet}$ be such that $D_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta_{\bullet}} = D'_{\bullet} \setminus {\delta'_{\bullet}}$. Let μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} be the diagonals of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\bullet} \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\bullet}'$ as in Lemma 9 (see also Figure 4). In other words, μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} are incident to both δ_{\bullet} and δ'_{\bullet} , and they are crossed by the hollow diagonal which intersect δ_{\bullet} and δ'_{\bullet} . Let $\gamma_{0} = i_{\circ}j_{\circ}$ be such a hollow diagonals crossing $\delta_{\bullet}, \delta'_{\bullet}, \mu_{\bullet}$ and ν_{\bullet} , and let $\gamma_{\bullet} = (i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet}$. We now distinguish three cases:

 \Diamond Assume that γ_{\bullet} still crosses μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} . In this case, any diagonal of D \bullet crossing both (resp. either) δ_{\bullet} and (resp. or) δ'_{\bullet} also crosses both (resp. either) μ_{\bullet} and (resp. or) ν_{\bullet} . See Figure 8 (left). Therefore, the **d**-vectors of $D_{\bullet} \cup D'_{\bullet}$ satisfy the linear dependence

$$
\mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} \,|\, \delta_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} \,|\, \delta_{\bullet}') = \mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} \,|\, \mu_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} \,|\, \nu_{\bullet}).
$$

- \Diamond Assume that γ_{\bullet} crosses neither μ_{\bullet} nor ν_{\bullet} . Then γ_{\bullet} is incident to both μ_{\bullet} and ν_{\bullet} , and therefore is either δ_{\bullet} or δ'_{\bullet} , say $\gamma_{\bullet} = \delta_{\bullet}$. Then $\mathbf{d}(\gamma_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) = -1$ while $\mathbf{d}(\gamma_{\circ} | \delta'_{\bullet}) = 1$ (since δ'_{\bullet} crosses $\delta_{\bullet} = \gamma_{\bullet}$), so that $\mathbf{d}(\gamma_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{d}(\gamma_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}') = 0$. Moreover, we have $\mathbf{d}(\gamma_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}') = 0$ for any diagonal $\varepsilon_{\bullet} \in D_{\bullet} \cap D'_{\bullet}$ since $\delta_{\bullet} = \gamma_{\bullet}$ cannot cross ε_{\bullet} as they both belongs to D_{\bullet} . Therefore, the set $\{d(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) + d(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})\} \cup d(D_{\circ} | D_{\bullet} \cap D_{\bullet}')$ contains $|D_{\circ}|$ vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}$ whose γ_{\circ} -coordinate all vanish, so that it admits a linear dependence.
- \Diamond Otherwise, we can assume that γ_{\bullet} crosses μ_{\bullet} but not ν_{\bullet} . Then γ_{\bullet} has a common endpoint with ν_{\bullet} and δ_{\bullet} (or δ'_{\bullet} , but we then permute notations). Changing our initial choice of γ_{\circ} , we can assume that no diagonal of D_{\bullet}^- separates γ_{\bullet} from δ_{\bullet} . We now denote clockwise
	- $-$ by $\nu_{\bullet} =: \lambda_{\bullet}^0, \lambda_{\bullet}^1, \ldots, \lambda_{\bullet}^{\ell} := \delta_{\bullet}$ the edges of the cell C_{\bullet} of D_{\bullet} containing ν_{\bullet} and δ_{\bullet} ,
	- $-\text{ by } \gamma_{\bullet} = \gamma_{\bullet}^{0}, \gamma_{\bullet}^{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\bullet}^{k}$ the edges of the cell C_{\bullet}^{-} of D_{\bullet}^{-} containing γ_{\bullet} and crossed by δ_{\bullet} . These notations are illustrated on Figure 8. We still distinguish two subcases as in Figure 8:
		- If γ_{\bullet}^{i} crosses λ_{\bullet}^{i} for all i as in Figure 8 (middle), then $\ell = k$ and we have the linear dependence

$$
2\mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet}') = \mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} \mid \mu_{\bullet}) + \sum_{i \in [\ell-1]} (-1)^{(i-1)} \mathbf{d}(D_{\circ} \mid \lambda_{\bullet}^{i}).
$$

It is essential here that $\ell = k$ is even. This is guarantied by the assumption that D^o (and thus D⁻) has no even interior cell, since C⁻ is an interior cell of D⁻ of size k.

– Otherwise, we are in a situation similar to Figure 8 (right). Considering the maximal index m such that γ^i_{\bullet} crosses λ^i_{\bullet} for all $i \leq m$, and we have the linear dependence

$$
\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ} \mid \delta_{\bullet}') = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ} \mid \mu_{\bullet}) + \sum_{i \in [m]} (-1)^{(i-1)} \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}_{\circ} \mid \lambda_{\bullet}^{i}). \square
$$

FIGURE 9. Stereographic projections of the **d**-vector fans $\mathcal{F}^d(D_o)$ for various reference hollow dissections D◦. See Figure 6 for alternative simplicial fan realizations of these accordion complexes.

Example 50. Following Example 2, we observe that special reference dissections give rise to the following relevant fans:

- \Diamond For a snake triangulation \mathcal{S}_{\circ} , the **d**-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{S}_{\circ})$ coincides with the type A cluster fan of S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky [FZ03a].
- \Diamond For any triangulation T_o, the **d**-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^d(T_o)$ was already constructed in [CSZ15].
- For a quadrangulation Q◦ with no interior quadrangle (equivalently, with no cross), we obtain an alternative realization of the Stokes complexes studied in [Bar01, Cha16]. This was observed by A.-H. Bateni, T. Manneville and V. Pilaud in [BMP16].

Figure 9 illustrates the d-vector fans $\mathcal{F}^d(D_0)$ for the same reference dissections D_0 as in Figure 6. More precisely, we have represented the stereographic projection of the fans from the point $[-1, -1, -1]$. Therefore, the external face of the projection corresponds to the D_∘-accordion dissection D. We have labeled all vertices of the projection (*i.e.* the rays of the fan) by the corresponding D◦-accordion diagonals. Compare with Figure 6.

Remark 51. To prove that the **d**-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^d(D_0)$ is polytopal, we would need to find suitable hyperplanes orthogonal to their rays in order to apply Theorem 36. For the **g**-vector fan, these hyperplanes were defined using the height function $\omega(D_0|\delta_{\bullet})$. It would be natural to use the same height function for the **d**-vector fan as well. Unfortunately, for this choice of height function, we can only prove Condition (i) of Theorem 36 when D_0 is a triangulation (see also [CSZ15]). We were not able to find suitable right hand sides for any dissection D_{\circ} .

Remark 52. Our d-vectors record the compatibility with the dissection D_{\bullet}^- . A priori, we could compute compatibility vectors with respect to any other maximal D_{\circ} -accordion dissection D_{\bullet}^{ini} . Experiments suggest that the d-vector construction provides a complete simplicial fan as long as neither D_{\circ} nor D_{\bullet}^{ini} contain no even interior cell. We checked it for reference quadrangulations with at most 5 diagonals. The linear dependences involved seem however much more complicated than those of the proof of Theorem 49 (in particular, they may involve d-vectors of diagonals not included in the cells containing δ_{\bullet} and δ'_{\bullet}).

4. Sections and projections

Recall that for a fan $\mathcal F$ of $\mathbb R^d$ and a linear subspace V of $\mathbb R^d$, the section of $\mathcal F$ by V is the $\text{tan }\mathcal{F}|_V := \{C \cap V \mid C \in \mathcal{F}\}.$ For a polytope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and a projection $\pi : \mathbb{R}^d \to V$, the normal fan of the projected polytope $\pi(P)$ is the section of the normal fan of P by V [Zie95, Lem. 7.11]. We now consider sections of the g - and d-vector fans by coordinate subspaces. For two dissections $D_{\circ} \subset D'_{\circ}$, we naturally identify $\mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}$ with the subspace spanned by $\{e_{\delta_{\circ}} \mid \delta_{\circ} \in D_{\circ}\}\$ in $\mathbb{R}^{D'_{\circ}}$.

4.1. Coordinate sections of the d-vector fan. We start by presenting sections of the d-vector fan which are not very surprising. The following lemma is immediate from the definition of d-vectors.

Lemma 53. Consider two dissections $D_0 \subset D'_0$, and a D'_0 -accordion diagonal δ_{\bullet} . Then we have $d(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet}) \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}$ if and only if δ_{\bullet} does not cross any diagonal of $\{(i-1)_{\bullet} (j-1)_{\bullet} | i_{\circ} j_{\circ} \in D'_{\circ} \setminus D_{\circ}\}.$

Corollary 54. For two dissections $D_{\circ} \subset D'_{\circ}$, the face complex of the section of the d-vector $\text{tan } \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{D}'_{o})$ by $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{D}_{o}}$ is isomorphic to the link of the dissection $\{(i-1)_{\bullet}(j-1)_{\bullet} \mid i_{o}j_{o} \in \mathbf{D}'_{o} \setminus \mathbf{D}_{o}\}\$ in the D'_\circ -accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D'_\circ)$.

4.2. Coordinate sections of the g-vector fan. More relevant are the sections of the g-vector fan. They provide an alternative approach to polytopal realizations of the accordion complex based on projected associahedra. This approach relies on the following crucial observation.

Lemma 55. Consider two dissections $D_0 \subset D'_0$, and a D'_0 -accordion diagonal δ_{\bullet} . Then we have $g(D'_\circ | \delta_\bullet) \in \mathbb{R}^{D_\circ}$ if and only if δ_\bullet is a D_\circ -accordion diagonal. Moreover, in this case, the $\mathbf{g}\text{-vectors} \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})$ and $\mathbf{g}(D'_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})$ coincide.

Proof. Let $\delta_{\circ} \in D'_{\circ} \setminus D_{\circ}$. By definition, a D'_{\circ} -accordion diagonal δ_{\bullet} does not slalom on δ_{\circ} if and only if the δ_{\circ} -coordinate of $g(D_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})$ vanishes. Thus, δ_{\bullet} is a D_o-accordion diagonal if and only if the δ_{\circ} -coordinate of $g(D'_{\circ} | \delta_{\bullet})$ vanishes for all $\delta_{\circ} \in D'_{\circ} \setminus D_{\circ}$.

Based on this lemma, we obtain in the following statements an alternative realization on the g-vector fan, which is illustrated on Figure 10.

Theorem 56. For two dissections $D_0 \subset D'_0$, the g-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D_0)$ is precisely the set of cones $\{C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathcal{D}'_{o}) \mid C \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{D}_{o}}\}$ and coincides with the section of the $\mathbf{g}\text{-vector fan }\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathcal{D}'_{o})$ by $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{D}_{o}}$.

Proof. Lemma 55 immediately implies that $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D_{\circ}) = \{ C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D'_{\circ}) \mid C \subset \mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}} \}$. A priori, it is a subfan of the section $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D'_\circ)|_{\mathbb{R}^{D_o}} = \{ C \cap \mathbb{R}^{D_o} \mid C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D'_\circ) \}$. However, since $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D_o)$ is already a complete simplicial fan of $\mathbb{R}^{\bar{D}_{\circ}},$ it coincides with $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D'_{\circ})\big|_{\mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}}$. — Первый профессиональный профессиональный профессиональный профессиональный профессиональный профессиональн
Сервия профессиональный профессиональный профессиональный профессиональный профессиональный профессиональный п

Theorem 57. For two dissections $D_{\circ} \subset D'_{\circ}$, the g -vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{g}(D_{\circ})$ is realized by the orthogonal projection of the D'_o-accordiohedron $\text{Acco}(D'_o)$ on \mathbb{R}^{D_o} , which is equivalently described by:

- \Diamond the convex hull of the points $\sum_{\delta_\bullet \in D_\bullet} \omega(D_\circ' | \delta_\bullet) \cdot \mathbf{c}(D_\circ | \delta_\bullet \in D_\bullet)$ for all D_\circ -accordion dissections D_{\bullet} ,
- \Diamond the intersection of the half-spaces $\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}} \mid \langle \mathbf{g}(D_{\circ} | \gamma_{\bullet}) | \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \omega(D'_{\circ} | \delta_{\circ}) \}$ for all D_{\circ} -accordion diagonals γ_{\bullet} .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathcal{D}'_0)$ is the normal fan of $\mathsf{Acco}(\mathcal{D}'_0)$, Theorem 56 implies that $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathcal{D}_0) = \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathcal{D}'_0)\big|_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{D}_0}}$ is the normal fan of the orthogonal projection of $\text{Acco}(D_0')$ on \mathbb{R}^{D_0} [Zie95, Lem. 7.11]. We therefore just need to prove the given vertex and facet descriptions of this projection. First, since $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{D}_{o}) = \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{D}'_{o})\big|_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{D}_{o}}}$, the inequalities of the projection of $\text{Acco}(\mathbf{D}'_{o})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{D}_{o}}$ are just the inequalities of $\text{Acco}(D'_\circ)$ whose normal vectors are in \mathbb{R}^{D_\circ} . Finally, the vertex description follows from the inequality description using the same argument as in Lemma 33.

Remark 58. The projection of the accordiohedron $Acco(D'_{\circ})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}$ differs from the accordiohedron $\text{Acco}(D_0)$: they have both $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(D_0)$ as normal fan, but their precise geometry is different.

Corollary 59. For any hollow dissection D_{\circ} , the g-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{g}(D_{\circ})$ is realized by a projection of an associahedron of [HPS18].

Proof. Apply Theorem 57 to any triangulation T_o that refines D_o .

Remark 60. Approaching accordion complexes as coordinate sections of g-vector fans actually provides more concise (but also less instructive) proofs for Sections 1.3 and 2.3. Namely, consider any dissection D_0 and let T_0 be a triangulation that refines D_0 . The sign coherence property for triangulations (see Corollary 24) shows that the section $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}})|_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{o}}}} = \{ C \cap \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{o}}} \mid C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}) \}$ actually coincides with $\{C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathcal{T}_{\circ}) \mid C \subset \mathbb{R}^{D_{\circ}}\}$. Therefore, this gives an alternative concise proof that the collection of cones $\{C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathrm{T}_{\circ}) \mid C \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{D}_{\circ}}\}$ forms a complete simplicial fan. Moreover, this fan has the same combinatorics as the D_0 -accordion complex $\mathcal{AC}(D_0)$ by Lemma 55. We conclude directly that $AC(D_0)$ is a pseudomanifold realized by the fan $\{C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(T_0) \mid C \subset \mathbb{R}^{D_0}\}\$ and by the orthogonal projection of the associahedron $\text{Assoc}(T_o)$ on \mathbb{R}^{D_o} .

4.3. Cluster algebra analogues. The perspective on accordion complexes developed in this section also opens the door to generalizations on arbitrary cluster algebras (finite type or not). Namely, consider an arbitrary cluster $X_0 = (x_0^1, \ldots, x_0^m)$ in an arbitrary cluster algebra A. For any cluster variable $y \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $\mathbf{g}(X_0 | y) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{d}(X_0 | y) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ the \mathbf{g} - and \mathbf{d} -vectors of y computed with respect to X_{\circ} , see [FZ02, FZ07]. Fix a non-empty proper subset I of [m]. We consider two natural subcomplexes of the cluster complex of A :

 \Diamond the subcomplex $\Delta^{\bf d}(X_0,I)$ induced by the variables y such that ${\bf d}(X_0|y)_i=0$ for all $i\in I$,

 \Diamond the subcomplex $\Delta^{\mathbf{g}}(X_\circ, I)$ induced by the variables y such that $\mathbf{g}(X_\circ | y)_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$.

It is well-known that the subcomplex $\Delta^d(X_0, I)$ is the cluster complex obtained by freezing all variables x_i for $i \in I$. For example in type A, it is a join of simplicial associahedra and it can therefore be realized by a product of smaller associahedra. In contrast, we do not know whether the subcomplex $\Delta^{\mathbf{g}}(X_0, I)$ has been investigated. The present paper dealt with the type A situation.

Example 61. Let $T_°$ be a triangulation, with internal diagonals labeled by $1, \ldots, m$. Consider the corresponding type A_m cluster X_{\circ} . Then for any non-empty proper subset I of [m], the

Figure 10. Projecting accordiohedra on coordinate planes yields smaller accordiohedra.

subcomplex $\Delta^g(X_\circ, I)$ is isomorphic to the D_∘-accordion complex, where D_∘ is the dissection obtained by deleting in T_o the diagonals labeled by I .

Example 62. Example 61 extends to cluster algebras on surfaces [FST08, FT12], using accordions of dissections of surfaces.

The following statement extends Theorem 56 to arbitrary cluster algebras.

Theorem 63. The subset $\{C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(X_0) \mid C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{[m] \setminus I} \}$ of the g-vector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(X_0)$ of X_0 coincides with the section $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(X_{\mathsf{o}})|_{\mathbb{R}^{[m]\times I}} = \left\{ C \cap \mathbb{R}^{[m]\times I} \mid C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(X_{\mathsf{o}}) \right\}.$

Proof. The inclusion $\{C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(X_{\circ}) \mid C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{[m] \setminus I} \} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(X_{\circ})|_{\mathbb{R}^{[m] \setminus I}}$ is clear. For the reverse inclusion, we use the sign coherence property of g-vectors in cluster algebras, which was conjectured in [FZ07, Conj. 6.13] and proved in [GHKK, Thm. 5.1] in general. This property implies that the coordinate plane $\mathbb{R}^{[m]\setminus I}$ intersects any cone C of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(X_o)$ in a face C'. This shows that $C \cap \mathbb{R}^{[m] \setminus I} = C'$ belongs to $\left\{C \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(X_o) \mid C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{[m] \setminus I}\right\}$.

Corollary 64. The subcomplex $\Delta^{g}(X_o, I)$ induced by the variables y such that $g(X_o \mid y)_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$ is a pseudomanifold.

Moreover, extending the result of C. Hohlweg, C. Lange and H. Thomas [HLT11] in the acyclic case, C. Hohlweg, V. Pilaud and S. Stella recently constructed a polytope $\text{Assoc}(X_{\circ})$ realizing the gvector fan $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbf{g}}(X_o)$ in [HPS18]. We can use this associahedron to realize the subcomplex $\Delta^{\mathbf{g}}(X_o, I)$ as a convex polytope, extending Theorem 57.

Corollary 65. The orthogonal projection of $\text{Asso}(X_{\circ})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{[m] \setminus I}$ is a realization of $\Delta^{\mathbf{g}}(X_{\circ}, I)$.

Finally, when oriented in the suitable direction v (the sum of the positive roots, or equivalently the sum of the fundamental weights), the graph of the generalized associahedron $\text{Asso}(X_{\circ})$ is the Hasse diagram of a Cambrian lattice [Rea06]. One can similarly orient the graph of the projection of Asso (X_{\circ}) on $\mathbb{R}^{\lfloor m \rfloor \setminus I}$ in the direction of the projection of v on $\mathbb{R}^{\lfloor m \rfloor \setminus I}$. Is the resulting graph the Hasse diagram of a lattice? Combining the results of [GM16] with that of the present paper shows that this property holds in type A . We also computationally verified the statement in types B_4 , B_5 , D_4 and D_5 . Following [GM16] it seems promising to construct first a lattice structure on biclosed sets of **c**-vectors, and to obtain then the graph of the projection of $\text{Assoc}(X_{\circ})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{[m] \setminus I}$ as the Hasse diagram of a lattice quotient.

To conclude, let us mention that the ideas developed in this section have also inspired further investigation of sections of g-vector fans of support τ -tilting complexes of associative algebras, see [PPS17] and [PPP17, Sect. 4.2.6].

ACKNOLEDGEMENTS

We thank C. Hohlweg and S. Stella for many helpful discussions on realizations of the associahedron [HPS18] which were the starting point of this paper. We are grateful to F. Chapoton for various conversations on quadrangulations and Stokes posets, and to A. Garver and T. McConville for introducing us with the accordion complexes during FPSAC'16. Their works [Cha16, GM16] were highly inspiring and motivating. We also thank N. Thiery for a question which led to the approach of Section 4.2, and to P.-G. Plamondon for discussions on the generalization to cluster algebras presented in Section 4.3. Finally, we are grateful to two anonymous referees for their attentive reading and their suggestions on the content and presentation which largely improved our original draft.

REFERENCES

- [AIR14] Takahide Adachi, Osamu Iyama, and Idun Reiten. τ -tilting theory. Compos. Math., 150(3):415–452, 2014.
- [Bar01] Yuliy Baryshnikov. On Stokes sets. In New developments in singularity theory (Cambridge, 2000), volume 21 of NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., pages 65–86. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [BDM⁺17] Thomas Brstle, Guillaume Douville, Kaveh Mousavand, Hugh Thomas, and Emine Yıldırım. On the combinatorics of gentle algebras. Preprint, [arXiv:1707.07665](http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.07665), 2017.
- [BDP14] Thomas Brüstle, Grégoire Dupont, and Matthieu Pérotin. On maximal green sequences. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (16):4547–4586, 2014.
- [BFS90] Louis J. Billera, Paul Filliman, and Bernd Sturmfels. Constructions and complexity of secondary polytopes. Adv. Math., 83(2):155–179, 1990.
- [BMP16] Amir-Hossein Bateni, Thibault Manneville, and Vincent Pilaud. A note on quadrangulations and Stokes complexes. In preparation, 2016.
- [CD06] Michael P. Carr and Satyan L. Devadoss. Coxeter complexes and graph-associahedra. Topology Appl., 153(12):2155–2168, 2006.
- [CFZ02] Frédéric Chapoton, Sergey Fomin, and Andrei Zelevinsky. Polytopal realizations of generalized associ-ahedra. Canad. Math. Bull., 45(4):537–566, 2002.
- [Cha16] Frédéric Chapoton. Stokes posets and serpent nests. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., 18(3), 2016.
- [CSZ15] Cesar Ceballos, Francisco Santos, and Günter M. Ziegler. Many non-equivalent realizations of the associahedron. Combinatorica, 35(5):513–551, 2015.
- [DIJ17] Laurent Demonet, Osamu Iyama, and Gustavo Jasso. τ-tilting finite algebras, g-vectors and brick-τrigid correspondence. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, pages Art. ID rnx135, 17, 2017.
- [DRS10] Jesus A. De Loera, Jörg Rambau, and Francisco Santos. Triangulations: Structures for Algorithms and Applications, volume 25 of Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, 2010.
- [FS05] Eva Maria Feichtner and Bernd Sturmfels. Matroid polytopes, nested sets and Bergman fans. Port. Math. (N.S.), 62(4):437–468, 2005.
- [FST08] Sergey Fomin, Michael Shapiro, and Dylan Thurston. Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces I. Cluster complexes. Acta Math., 201(1):83–146, 2008.
- [FT12] Sergey Fomin and Dylan Thurston. Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. part II: Lambda lengths. Preprint, [arXiv:1210.5569](http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.5569), 2012.
- [FZ02] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. I. Foundations. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 15(2):497– 529, 2002.
- [FZ03a] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification. Invent. Math., 154(1):63–121, 2003.
- [FZ03b] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Y -systems and generalized associahedra. Ann. of Math. (2), 158(3):977–1018, 2003.
- [FZ07] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients. Compos. Math., 143(1):112–164, 2007.
- [GHKK] Mark Gross, Paul Hacking, Sean Keel, and Maxim Kontsevich. Canonical bases for cluster algebras. J. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [GKZ08] Israel Gelfand, Mikhail Kapranov, and Andrei Zelevinsky. Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [GM16] Alexander Garver and Thomas McConville. Oriented flip graphs and noncrossing tree partitions. Preprint, [arXiv:1604.06009](http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.06009), 2016.
- [Hai84] Mark Haiman. Constructing the associahedron. Unpublished manuscript, 11 pages, available at [http:](http://www.math.berkeley.edu/~mhaiman/ftp/assoc/manuscript.pdf) [//www.math.berkeley.edu/~mhaiman/ftp/assoc/manuscript.pdf](http://www.math.berkeley.edu/~mhaiman/ftp/assoc/manuscript.pdf), 1984.
- [HL07] Christophe Hohlweg and Carsten Lange. Realizations of the associahedron and cyclohedron. Discrete Comput. Geom., 37(4):517–543, 2007.
- [HLT11] Christophe Hohlweg, Carsten Lange, and Hugh Thomas. Permutahedra and generalized associahedra. Adv. Math., 226(1):608–640, 2011.
- [Hoh] Christophe Hohlweg. Permutahedra and associahedra. Pages 129–159 in [MHPS12].
- [HPS18] Christophe Hohlweg, Vincent Pilaud, and Salvatore Stella. Polytopal realizations of finite type g-vector fans. Adv. Math., 328:713–749, 2018.
- [Lee89] Carl W. Lee. The associahedron and triangulations of the n-gon. European J. Combin., 10(6):551–560, 1989.
- [Lod04] Jean-Louis Loday. Realization of the Stasheff polytope. Arch. Math. (Basel), 83(3):267–278, 2004.
- [MHPS12] Folkert Müller-Hoissen, Jean Marcel Pallo, and Jim Stasheff, editors. Associahedra, Tamari Lattices and Related Structures. Tamari Memorial Festschrift, volume 299 of Progress in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [MP17] Thibault Manneville and Vincent Pilaud. Compatibility fans for graphical nested complexes. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 150:36–107, 2017.
- [Pil13] Vincent Pilaud. Signed tree associahedra. Preprint, [arXiv:1309.5222](http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.5222), 2013.
- [Pos09] Alexander Postnikov. Permutohedra, associahedra, and beyond. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (6):1026– 1106, 2009.
- [PPP17] Yann Palu, Vincent Pilaud, and Pierre-Guy Plamondon. Non-kissing complexes and τ-tilting for gentle algebras. Preprint, [arXiv:1707.07574](http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.07574), 2017.
- [PPS17] Vincent Pilaud, Pierre-Guy Plamondon, and Salvatore Stella. A τ-tilting approach to dissections of polygons. Preprint, [arXiv:1710.02119](http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.02119), 2017.

- [PS12] Vincent Pilaud and Francisco Santos. The brick polytope of a sorting network. European J. Combin., 33(4):632–662, 2012.
- [PS15] Vincent Pilaud and Christian Stump. Brick polytopes of spherical subword complexes and generalized associahedra. Adv. Math., 276:1–61, 2015.
- [Rea06] Nathan Reading. Cambrian lattices. Adv. Math., 205(2):313–353, 2006.
- [Rea07] Nathan Reading. Sortable elements and Cambrian lattices. Algebra Universalis, 56(3-4):411–437, 2007.
- [RS09] Nathan Reading and David E. Speyer. Cambrian fans. J. Eur. Math. Soc., 11(2):407–447, 2009.
- [SS93] Steve Shnider and Shlomo Sternberg. Quantum groups: From coalgebras to Drinfeld algebras. Series in Mathematical Physics. International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1993.
- [Sta63] Jim Stasheff. Homotopy associativity of H-spaces I, II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 108(2):293–312, 1963. [Ste13] Salvatore Stella. Polyhedral models for generalized associahedra via Coxeter elements. J. Algebraic Combin., 38(1):121–158, 2013.
- [Tam51] Dov Tamari. Monoides préordonnés et chaînes de Malcev. PhD thesis, Université Paris Sorbonne, 1951. [Zel06] Andrei Zelevinsky. Nested complexes and their polyhedral realizations. Pure Appl. Math. Q., 2(3):655– 671, 2006.
- [Zie95] Günter M. Ziegler. Lectures on Polytopes, volume 152 of Graduate texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

(Thibault Manneville) LIX, Ecole Polytechnique ´ E-mail address: thibault.manneville@lix.polytechnique.fr URL: <http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/~manneville/>

(Vincent Pilaud) CNRS & LIX, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau ´ E-mail address: vincent.pilaud@lix.polytechnique.fr URL: <http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/~pilaud/>