



HAL
open science

Cyclic indentation of polymers: Instantaneous elastic modulus from reloading, energy analysis, and cyclic creep

Olga Smerdova, Marina Pecora, Marco Gigliotti

► **To cite this version:**

Olga Smerdova, Marina Pecora, Marco Gigliotti. Cyclic indentation of polymers: Instantaneous elastic modulus from reloading, energy analysis, and cyclic creep. *Journal of Materials Research*, 2019, 34, pp.3688-3698. 10.1557/jmr.2019.289 . hal-02340042

HAL Id: hal-02340042

<https://hal.science/hal-02340042v1>

Submitted on 19 Feb 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Cyclic indentation of polymers: instantaneous elastic modulus from reloading, energy analysis and cyclic creep

Journal:	<i>Journal of Materials Research</i>
Manuscript ID	JMR-2019-0542.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Aug-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Smerdova, Olga; ISAE-ENSMA, Department of Physics and Mechanics of Materials Pecora, Marina; ISAE-ENSMA, Department of Physics and Mechanics of Materials Gigliotti, Marco; ISAE-ENSMA, Department of Physics and Mechanics of Materials
Key Words:	nano-indentation, polymer, viscoelasticity

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Cyclic indentation of polymers: instantaneous elastic modulus from reloading, energy analysis and cyclic creep.

Olga Smerdova*^a, Marina Pecora^a, Marco Gigliotti^a

Olga.smerdova@ensma.fr, marina.pecora@ensma.fr, marco.gigliotti@ensma.fr

^a Département Physique et Mécanique des Matériaux, Institut Pprime, CNRS, ISAE-ENSMA, Université de Poitiers, F-86962 Futuroscope Chasseneuil, France

*corresponding author

Full postal address: ISAE-ENSMA, Téléport 2, 1 Avenue Clément Ader, 86360 Chasseneuil-du-Poitou, FRANCE

Abstract

An analysis of indentation cyclic behaviour of polymers is carried out with the aim to tackle time-dependent behaviour of polymer at several time scales by one test. The method consists in cycling the load between a positive close to zero value and a maximum peak value (10 mN in this study) for long time with constant loading rate. The short time scale is characterised through the instantaneous elastic modulus determined from reloading curves at each cycle. The advantages of determination of instantaneous elastic modulus from reloading instead of commonly-used unloading curves are discussed. The energy dissipation describes viscoelasticity and plasticity at the time scale of one cycle. The evolution of both parameters with cycles along with the cyclic creep describes the long-time viscoelasticity. The cyclic indentation behaviour of PMMA, PR520 epoxy and HDPE polymers is analysed and the comparison with the macroscopic cyclic behaviour of HDPE is presented.

1
2
3
4 **Keywords** : nanoindentation; polymer; viscoelasticity
5
6
7
8
9

10 **Introduction**

11
12
13
14

15 Nano and micro instrumented indentation techniques are now common to characterise
16 metallic materials. Some of obvious advantages of these techniques are a small tested volume, which
17 enables characterisation of material gradients and heterogeneous materials, and a simple analysis
18 method that provides hardness and elastic modulus [1]. However, when it comes to polymers, the
19 application of the Oliver and Pharr method revealed to be questionable. Many authors [2-10] noticed
20 difficulties in fitting of the unloading curve, which is necessary to obtain material stiffness and contact
21 depth, since this curve presented a “nose”, *i.e.* negative stiffness at the beginning of the unloading.
22 This is usually attributed to viscoelastic behaviour of polymers, which results in a retardation of
23 material response to the loading phase superposed to the unloading recovery behaviour. There are
24 several ways to avoid the problem of negative stiffness and to improve the fitting of the unloading
25 curve. For instance, a holding period is often introduced at maximum load. However, the duration of
26 hold has significant impact on the values of elastic modulus and hardness [5-7]. A combination of
27 minimal duration of hold and minimal unloading speed was suggested by Feng and Ngan [8] to obtain
28 instantaneous elastic modulus clear of viscoelastic effects. Recently, Hardiman *et al.* [6] suggested to
29 fit the unloading curve and to evaluate the stiffness not at the maximal load, but at the point of zero
30 creep and relaxation drift, where the viscoelastic effects from loading disappeared. Chattaraj *et al.* [9]
31 suggested to use a better fitting procedure (smoothing LOESS) of the unloading curve from 20 to 95%
32 that minimizes the residuals. The part of the curve to fit is also not standardized for polymers. Beyaoui
33 *et al.* [10] demonstrated that the bounds of 20 to 100%, 20 to 98% and 50 to 100%, usually used by
34 other authors, give different results in terms of elastic modulus. Nevertheless, all these studies use the
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Oliver and Pharr method to obtain the stiffness and contact depth by deriving the fitted unloading
4
5 function.
6
7

8
9 Although the quality of fit can be improved by the cited above methods, the results obtained
10
11 by Oliver & Pharr method are not necessarily valid. Some authors [2, 6, 10, 11] highlighted the fact that
12
13 the fitting of the unloading curve obtained on polymers gives a power law coefficient inconsistent with
14
15 the elastic solution it is based on. Indeed, according to Sneddon's analytical solution [12] for
16
17 indentation of an elastic medium, the power law coefficient is 1 for flat punch, 1.5 for a paraboloid of
18
19 revolution and 2 for a conical indenter. However, for an elasto-plastic material indented with a cone
20
21 or pyramid, the experimentally determined power law coefficient is usually lower than its theoretical
22
23 value of 2. This is explained by Pharr and Bolshakov [13, 14] through finite element method simulations
24
25 both by the fact that the surface in contact is not flat as in Sneddon's case but matches the shape of
26
27 the indenter, and by the complex elasto-plastic deformation processes under the surface. In the case
28
29 of polymers, this coefficient is usually higher than 2 even after the holding period at maximum load or
30
31 the correction of unloading fitting procedure [6, 10, 11]. This inconsistency of Sneddon's analysis, and
32
33 therefore the Oliver and Pharr method, for polymers was already pointed out by several studies [2,
34
35 11]. Tranchida et al [11] even went further saying that using of the Oliver and Pharr method for
36
37 polymers is improper and the main reason is not in the contribution of pile up or viscoelastic effects.
38
39 Indeed, the use of Oliver & Pharr method assumes purely elastic behaviour at the beginning of
40
41 unloading, which is independent on rate or time of loading/unloading, and perfect contact conditions
42
43 assuming the material surface to fit closely the surface of indenter. Both these assumptions are
44
45 generally not true for polymers.
46
47
48
49

50
51 A recent paper by Herbert *et al.* [15] gives a critical review of current methods to characterise
52
53 viscoelastic materials. According to Herbert *et al.*, time-domain viscoelasticity can be characterised by
54
55 indentation creep or relaxation tests which give the creep compliance function or the stress relaxation
56
57 modulus at long time scale. However, among the most significant issues with these methods are the
58
59
60

1
2
3 thermal drift, which can become very significant in long tests, and experimental difficulties of a step
4 loading which requires a correction of the results [16, 17]. Moreover, the results of indentation creep
5 tests often do not superpose with macroscopic creep tests and demonstrate nonlinear viscoelastic
6 behaviour [18]. The stress relaxation test has an advantage of maintaining constant affected volume
7 and constant contact area during the test. However, a difficulty to conduct this test is that most of
8 commercially available nanoindentation apparatuses are load-controlled. The use of a feedback loop
9 is necessary to maintain the displacement constant, which results in a significant overshoot at the
10 beginning of the test [17]. The frequency-domain viscoelasticity is characterised by dynamic
11 indentation tests where the load is oscillated around some positive value with a constant and very
12 small amplitude. The phase lag is then measured and correlated through a single-degree of freedom
13 simple harmonic oscillator model to storage and loss moduli of the material. This experiment enables
14 to characterise short-time viscoelasticity at frequencies of 1 to 300 Hz. There is a significant number
15 of assumptions and experimental precautions to be made if this method is used to determine
16 viscoelastic moduli. Moreover, the obtained moduli are usually rather different from the results of
17 Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA) [15, 19, 20], which makes them difficult to validate.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 A possible explanation of difficulties to characterise time-dependency of polymers with
38 indentation is that the dynamic indentation and indentation creep tests are based on the assumptions
39 of linear viscoelasticity and absence of plasticity. Both assumptions seem strong in view of very high
40 local stresses and complex stress field in indentation with pyramidal indenter. To include plasticity in
41 viscoelastic model, some authors [21, 22] suggest to use simple rheological arrangements of spring,
42 dashpots and sliding frictional elements. This kind of models is rather simple to implement and the fit
43 they provide is very close to the experimental curves. However, the obtained viscoelastic and plastic
44 parameters are often far from the ones measured in macroscopic tests raising a problem of validation
45 of these results.

1
2
3 In view of highlighted difficulties of existing theoretical solutions to closely fit the experimental
4 data with a sufficient degree of freedom to represent all aspects of polymer behaviour, it seems that
5 the problem might be in the design of experiment. Although the loading-unloading experiment ignores
6 time-dependency of polymer providing hardness and elastic modulus, the dynamic indentation
7 experiment describes short-time viscoelasticity only, and the indentation creep experiment provides
8 long-time viscoelasticity failing to characterise short times due to non-zero loading time. Moreover,
9 none of these experiments provide results matching similar in nature macroscopic tests. It seems,
10 therefore, that one of the ways to improve the polymer characterisation with indentation is to use a
11 different indentation experiment that would tackle all aspects of constitutive behaviour in one test
12 combining the strengths of the existing methods and avoiding their difficulties. This is the subject of
13 the present paper.

14
15
16 In the present study, a new experimental method is suggested to probe time-dependent
17 behaviour of polymers by instrumented indentation. The method consists of application of many cycles
18 of loading/unloading to similar load with similar loading rate. The analysis of polymer time-dependent
19 behaviour is implemented by following the evolution of instantaneous elastic modulus determined
20 from reloading and unloading curves by the Oliver and Pharr method, hysteresis loops, ratcheting and
21 recovery. These parameters and their evolutions are compared qualitatively, and quantitatively where
22 possible, to the results of macroscopic cyclic tension and shear tests carried out on the same polymer
23 with the same frequencies. The cyclic indentation method is applied to study time-dependent
24 behaviour of a thermosetting epoxy resin PR520, an amorphous thermoplastic polymer PMMA and a
25 semi-crystalline polymer HDPE. The strength of the suggested analysis method is that it is not based *a*
26 *priori* on any assumption of constitutive behaviour and explore both short and long time scales.
27 However, the results obtained on three tested polymers indicate some signatures of viscoelastic and
28 plastic behaviour that are discussed throughout the paper.

Cyclic Indentation method – theoretical background

Fig. 1a presents the experiment carried out in this study. It comprises an initial hold for thermal drift correction, a number of loading-unloading cycles at constant and similar loading and unloading rate, and a load hold at the end of test to evaluate material recovery.

The unloading (E_{unl}^*) and reloading elastic moduli (E_{rel}^*) are calculated from unloading and reloading stiffness (S_{unl} and S_{rel}), as shown in Fig. 1b, according to the Oliver and Pharr [1] analysis method. This method makes use of Sneddon's elastic solution [12] to analyse the unloading curve assumed to be purely elastic at the initial part. The contact stiffness S is estimated as a derivative dF/dh at the maximum load from the power law fit of the unloading or reloading curve

$$F = A(h - B)^m \quad (\text{Eq.1})$$

where F and h are load and displacement, respectively, and A , B and m are fitting coefficients. Each reloading/unloading curve is fitted on 20% to 98% of maximum load range. The reduced elastic modulus E_r is determined from the contact stiffness S and the projected contact area A_p as follows

$$E_r = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\beta\sqrt{A_p}} S \quad (\text{Eq.2})$$

where β is the indenter square shape factor ($\beta = 1.034$ for Vickers indenter), and the projected contact area depends on the contact depth h_c as :

$$A_p = C_0 h_c^2 + C_1 h_c + C_2 h_c^{1/2} + C_3 h_c^{1/4} + C_4 h_c^{1/8} + C_5 h_c^{1/16} \quad (\text{Eq.3})$$

The coefficients $C_0 \dots C_5$ are determined through the area calibration procedure. For a perfectly elastic material, the surface outside the contact tends to sink in resulting in the contact depth lower than the maximal displacement (see Fig. 1b). The contact depth h_c is estimated as follows

$$h_c = h_{max} - \varepsilon \frac{F_{max}}{S} \quad (\text{Eq.4})$$

where h_{max} and F_{max} are the maximal displacement and force, respectively, of each unloading/reloading curve and ε is a constant related to the indenter geometry ($\varepsilon = 0.75$ for Vickers indenter). The reduced modulus E_r is then corrected by the elastic modulus E_i and Poisson's ratio ν_i of the diamond indenter to obtain the elastic modulus of tested material E^* as follows

$$E^* = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{E_r} - \frac{1 - \nu_i^2}{E_i}} \quad (\text{Eq.5})$$

This elasto-plastic solution for contact will be used for a time-dependent material by considering the elastic modulus E^* to be instantaneous at the moment of each top of the cycle. Thus, E^* will be referred to as instantaneous elastic modulus in the following. It is noteworthy that the hypothesis of instantaneously elastic unloading and reloading implies that all the plastic deformations are installed during the first loading.

As shown in Fig. 1b, a hysteresis is observed between reloading and following unloading. Tracing the amount of dissipated, or absorbed, energy U_{diss} throughout the cycles of each test is a way to describe the viscoelasticity or, more generally, time dependency. The area between each reloading and unloading is calculated through numerical integration with a trapezoidal method. The ratio of dissipated energy to the total energy U_{tot} , which is the sum of absorbed and recovered energies calculated as the integral of loading curve, provides a part of absorbed energy at each cycle as follows:

$$\eta = \frac{U_{diss}}{U_{tot}} * 100\% \quad (\text{Eq.6})$$

Results and discussion

Cyclic behaviour

The described above analysis was applied to a range of materials to evaluate their time-dependency from the indentation experiment. Firstly, the experimental method were tested on fused silica since this material is known to exhibit perfectly elasto-plastic behaviour and no hysteresis [1, 23].

1
2
3 The resulting load-displacement curves, shown in Supplementary Material, confirm superposition of
4 loadings and unloadings of 20 cycles on fused silica approving the use of the developed method on the
5 given equipment. Three different materials were chosen to study indentation time-dependent
6 behaviour of polymers. These were a thermoset resin PR520 epoxy, an amorphous thermoplastic
7 PMMA and a semi-crystalline thermoplastic HDPE. While at room temperature the former two
8 polymers are significantly below their glass transition, the amorphous phase of HDPE is at its rubbery
9 state. Therefore, the time-dependency of this material is expected to be more pronounced at room
10 temperature and will be studied at three different loading rates. Fig. 2 outlines the maximal and
11 minimal displacements of cyclic tests with constant loading/unloading rate. The tests of 300 cycles of
12 9.6 s per cycle on PR520 epoxy and PMMA and the tests of 1200 cycles of 1s per cycle, 300 cycles of
13 9.6 s per cycle and 60 cycles of 95 s per cycle on HDPE are presented.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 It is clear that all these polymers present hysteresis under cyclic indentation in contrast with
29 fused silica. The hysteresis seems to reduce with cycles, but does not disappear at the last tested cycle
30 for all materials and rates. The HDPE seems less hard than the epoxy and PMMA since its maximum
31 depths of the first cycle is significantly higher, which is in consistency with their macroscopic
32 mechanical properties. The HDPE also distinguishes by a significant shift of the loops to the higher
33 depths with cycling. Moreover, the loading/unloading rate has significant effect on the shape and
34 position of indentation loops of HDPE. In the following sections, these loops will be analysed
35 quantitatively and the material and rate effects will be discussed. In addition, the cyclic behaviour of
36 HDPE will be discussed with respect to its macroscopic tensile and shear cyclic behaviour measured on
37 a sample cut from the same pipe as in this study and published by Nguyen *et al.* in 2014 [24].
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Instantaneous elastic moduli**

52
53
54
55 The unloading and reloading instantaneous elastic moduli of three polymers calculated with
56 the procedure described above are presented in Figs. 3 a,b as a function of the number of cycles. One
57 can see that both the values and the evolutions with cycles of unloading modulus are rather different
58
59
60

1
2
3 from those of reloading modulus. In this section, the arguments in favour of and against both moduli
4
5 will be presented and discussed.
6
7

8 The elastic or elasto-plastic solution for contact assumes time-independency of the response
9 and gives superposition of unloading and reloading. In this case, the contact depth h_c and the contact
10 stiffness S are similar for reloading and unloading. Since both moduli are calculated at the same
11 penetration depth h_{max} , the projected contact area must be the same. However, the hysteresis loops
12 observed on polymers result in the different instantaneous elastic moduli calculated from reloading
13 and unloading at the same moment of time. This might be due to both an inaccuracy of evaluation of
14 contact stiffness and a difference of material response to reloading and unloading.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Both loading and unloading are affected by viscoelasticity of polymer, but in a different way.
25 During the unloading, the lag between applied force and displacement response manifests itself
26 through an addition of retardation phenomena after loading to the fast recovery due to unloading.
27 This is well known in the literature experimentally [2-4] and was shown with analytical and finite
28 element models for linear viscoelastic materials [3, 25]. A change of regime during unloading (rapid
29 decrease of retardation component) makes it difficult to fit the unloading curve accurately with the
30 power law, especially at the maximal load where the stiffness and modulus are evaluated. Fig. 4a
31 presents the plot of residuals between the loading and unloading curves and the fitted power law
32 function (Eq. 1) for the first cycle. It is clear that the power law fit is poor for unloading of all three
33 polymers. The rate effect on the quality of fit is also observed: slowest tests are the farthest from the
34 power law, which is consistent with the viscoelastic behaviour [3, 25]. It is also possible that the first
35 values of unloading displacement and force are affected by a loading overshoot, i.e. the incapacity of
36 the indentation equipment to follow exactly the required change of loading slope.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 For all these reasons, the unloading curves are inconsistent with Sneddon's elastic solution,
55 which manifests itself in high power law coefficient m , see Fig. 4b. Indeed, for three tested polymers
56 the power law coefficient m is higher than 2, which is not possible theoretically since it means concave
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 shape of the indenter. Fig. 4b demonstrates that only PMMA and PR520 epoxy enter in the zone of
4 theoretically possible solutions of $1 < m < 2$, but only after several cycles. All unloading curves from all
5 tests on HDPE are inconsistent with Sneddon's solution, and therefore the method of Oliver and Pharr,
6 even for very high loading rate and high number of cycles. Both the quality of fit and its consistency
7 with the elastic contact solution (Fig. 3a and b) improve with cycles, which can be explained by a
8 stabilization of the viscoelastic behaviour and an establishment of a stable contact area. A similar effect
9 of cycling on the m coefficient and unloading elastic modulus E^*_{unl} was observed on PMMA and PC by
10 Beyaoui *et al.* [10] despite differences in loading cycles with the present method. The authors applied
11 30 cycles of indentation load-hold-unload with constant loading rate/load ratio and long holding time
12 at each cycle arguing that in these conditions, the loading and unloading were purely elastoplastic and
13 the creep during holding period was viscoelastic. However, the decrease of the m coefficient from
14 more than 3.5 to less than 2 with cycles witnesses that even in this loading history, where all
15 precautions were taken to avoid viscoelastic contributions on unloading, the unloading behaviour is
16 still inconsistent with Sneddon's solution.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35 A reloading curve describes the response of the material to an increase in load superposed
36 with a retarded viscoelastic recovery after the unloading. The load overshoot has no influence on it.
37 The imprint is already formed during previous loading and the indenter does not lose the contact
38 between cycles. This results in a significantly better quality of power law fit for reloading curves than
39 for unloading, which is supported by Fig. 4a. The residuals are very small and similar for all tested
40 polymers and rate conditions. Fig. 4b shows that the power law coefficient m of reloading fit is close
41 to 1 for three tested polymers at all used loading rates and all cycles. Moreover, it is possible to obtain
42 $m = 1$ if the fitting range is adapted (e.g. 50 - 100%) for the sake of reducing viscoelastic effects from
43 the unloading even further. According to the concept of the equivalent indenter shape introduced by
44 Pharr *et al.* [13], this means that the reloading is close to the flat punch condition: contact stiffness
45 independent of penetration and constant contact area.

1
2
3 Based on these considerations and results presented in Fig. 3a and b, we can conclude that
4 the determination of elastic modulus from reloading curve is more meaningful than from unloading
5 curve for polymers. The advantages of determination of reloading modulus instead of unloading on
6 metals were discussed by Shuman *et al.* [23]. They observed that while up to 100 mN the reloading
7 and unloading elastic moduli were similar, there was a significant difference beyond this range,
8 unexpectedly for elastoplastic materials. The hysteresis measured on the metals was explained by the
9 reverse plasticity, which affected unloading. For a range of tested metals, the reloading modulus was
10 significantly closer to the tensile modulus. Although the conclusion of the work of Shuman *et al.* and
11 ours are similar, the reasons of better reloading behaviour differ due to the physics at atomic or
12 macromolecular scale.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 There are at least two ways to improve the accuracy of the instantaneous elastic modulus
27 further. Firstly, the contact depth, which intervenes in the calculation of projected contact area,
28 depends on either the material exhibits sink-in or pile-up behaviour. Since at this moment, to our
29 knowledge, there is no reliable method to measure pile-up of polymers at maximum load during
30 indentation, the sinking-in behaviour is assumed in this study. However, one should have in mind that
31 if such a method appears in the future, the instantaneous elastic moduli obtained by the cyclic
32 indentation method would need to be simply shifted to lower values by correcting the contact depth
33 in Eq. 4 and the projected area in Eq. 3. Secondly, the confinement effect of the material under
34 indenter has an effect on the measured elastic modulus [6, 11]. In particular, hydrostatic pressure is
35 known to increase the elastic modulus as by Birch equation. This correction is not used in the present
36 work since the knowledge of the constraint factor and Poisson's ratio is required.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Once the unloading elastic modulus was discarded, it is interesting to discuss the evolution of
52 the reloading instantaneous elastic modulus with cycles presented in Fig. 3b. This evolution describes
53 long-time viscoelasticity at the time scale of the test.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Comparison of indentation with tension and shear cyclic response

The periodic zigzag loading with a high amplitude and low frequency makes this test very different from the dynamic indentation test or DMA. Therefore, the evolution of the instantaneous elastic modulus with cycles is compared with the macroscopic tests with similar loading history. Macroscopic cyclic tension and shear tests on the HDPE used in this study were conducted by Nguyen *et al.* [24]. The frequency of 0.01, 0.05 and 0.1 Hz and maximum stress lower than yield were imposed. It revealed an initial increase of dynamic elastic modulus, describing the tilt of the loop, before the stabilisation after around 20 cycles for both types of loading. The stabilized state meant that the area of hysteresis loop and the dynamic elastic modulus remained constant for all cycles after the 20th. The mean strain, however, continued to increase shifting the loops to the right on the stress-strain plots. The stabilization of the loop is explained by the equilibrium between several processes of internal dissipation as defined by viscoelasticity theory. In the cyclic indentation tests presented here, the instantaneous elastic modulus continues to increase until the end of the test for three tested polymers (see Fig. 3b). However, this increase is faster for the first 10 cycles and rather constant furthermore. The origin of this difference with macroscopic behaviour is still unclear, but some possible explanation could be in the small volume of indentation test comparable with the size of microstructure, the complex stress and strain distribution with local plasticity and the force-controlled mode of test. Nevertheless, the dependency of the instantaneous elastic modulus on frequency is very similar to the one observed in macroscopic tests and consistent with viscoelastic theory: macromolecules become stiffer with higher loading rate. It is also interesting to note that the reloading instantaneous elastic modulus, at the end of the test of 1s/cyc, or 1 Hz, is 1.56 GPa, which is very close to 1.58 GPa measured by DMA at 1 Hz and 20°C.

Energy analysis

In addition to the unloading or reloading curves that enable to evaluate instantaneous elastic properties, the whole hysteresis loop can be analysed with the aim to shed light on material constitutive behaviour without any *a priori* assumption. Some of significant advantages of this analysis are that it does not depend on pile up or sink in phenomena or on a fitting procedure as previously discussed parameters. As detailed in Cheng and Cheng [3], the energy ratio η (Eq. 6) brings very important information for elasto-plastic materials to describe a part of plastic dissipation. Their finite element simulations and experimental results showed that the energy ratio η is directly proportional to a ratio between final and maximal depths h_f/h_{max} when $h_f/h_{max} > 0.4$ independently of the indenter cone angle. Same authors used finite element simulations to find that this energy ratio η is also proportional to the ratio of hardness and reduced elastic modulus H/E^* . This means that the material properties can be indirectly probed by this ratio.

The energy ratio η is plotted in Fig. 5a for three polymers as a function of cycles. It shows that at the first cycle from 50 to 70% of total energy is dissipated for three tested polymers, which is similar to the amounts measured on metallic materials. However, since the dissipative processes involved in the indentation of polymers are different from those examined by Cheng and Cheng, the relationship between the energy ratio and mechanical properties as E^* or H cannot be applied directly, but the evolution of dissipated energy with cycles might be related to material viscoelasticity and plasticity.

The evolution of dissipated energy with cycles for three studied polymers is presented in Fig. 5b. All three polymers demonstrate similar dissipative behaviour: the dissipation in the first cycle comprising the initial loading and first unloading is high, then it drops significantly at the second cycle and continue to decrease smoothly up to the end of test. It seems that the dissipated energy tends to zero for all polymers, but it is difficult if not impossible to verify it experimentally. The drop of energy between first and second cycle can be explained by the change of dissipative regime from viscoelasto-

1
2
3 plastic to viscoelastic. The continuing decrease of dissipated energy from the second to last cycle
4
5 indicates no other change of dissipative mechanism.
6
7

8 The dissipative behaviour of HDPE can again be compared with the results of tension and shear
9
10 macroscopic cyclic tests [24], where the loop area decreased in first 20 cycles and then stayed constant
11
12 and positive for the rest of the test up to 1000 cycles. In contrast with the loop area in macroscopic
13
14 tests, the dissipated energy in indentation does not stabilise at the end of the test, but its rate of
15
16 change also slows after around 10 cycles. This is a part of the same phenomenon that was described
17
18 for the instantaneous elastic modulus. The frequency effect on the loop area on macroscopic stress-
19
20 strain curves and dissipated energy in indentation is also similar and consistent with viscoelasticity:
21
22 higher loading rate provides thinner loops due to limited macromolecular movements.
23
24
25
26

27 **Displacement analysis: Cyclic indentation creep and recovery**

28
29

30 Many materials, and in particular the HDPE used in this study, present ratcheting behaviour in
31
32 macroscopic cyclic tests under tension, compression, shear and multiaxial loading [24, 26, 27]. This
33
34 consists in the continuing increase of the mean strain with cycles even if the loop is stabilised. The
35
36 most probable reason of it, as explained by Kang *et al.* [26], is the non-zero mean stress during a cycle
37
38 (positive load ratio), which creates an accumulation of viscoelastic deformations with cycles. For
39
40 polymers, it might be enhanced by a non-linear viscoelasticity [24, 27]. Since the maximal stress in the
41
42 tension and shear cyclic tests on HDPE was lower than yield and recovery after tests were almost
43
44 complete, the plastic deformations were discarded by Nguyen *et al.* [24]. However, when compared
45
46 to a creep behavior at the mean stress, it was shown that the cyclic ratcheting strain is higher. The
47
48 indentation loops confirm the ratcheting behavior in cyclic indentation of HDPE, since they shift
49
50 continuously to higher displacement with cycles. This behavior is analysed here with comparison to a
51
52 constant load indentation test, commonly called indentation creep.
53
54
55
56
57

58 The displacement evolution with time in the cyclic indentation test at 9.6 s/cyc and two
59
60 indentation creep tests, at 5mN and 10 mN, on the HDPE polymer are shown in Fig. 6. One can notice

1
2
3 that, similarly to the macroscopic ratcheting, the mean displacement evolution is different in
4
5 indentation cyclic test from both creep at maximum load and creep at mean load. This difference is
6
7 quantified through a simple spring and dashpot viscoelastic model presented in Zhang et al [22], which
8
9 fitted well the results of indentation creep test on several polymers. This model results in following
10
11 equation for displacement h as a function of time t
12
13

$$h = h_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \left(1 - \exp\left(-t/\tau_i\right)\right) + \frac{t}{\mu_0} \quad (\text{Eq.8})$$

14
15
16
17
18 where h_0 describes instantaneous displacement after the loading, h_i and τ_i correspond to amplitude
19
20 and retardation time of each of n terms and μ_0 is a viscous flow constant. The results of this fitting with
21
22 three viscoelastic terms to describe three time decades are presented in Table I. These numbers reveal
23
24 that at short times none of creep tests can describe the mean displacement evolution in cyclic test due
25
26 to the time of a cycle comparable to this time-scale. At longer times, the cyclic indentation creep is
27
28 close but not identical to the creep at 5 mN, corresponding to the mean load in cyclic test. However,
29
30 the viscous flow is governed by the maximal load at each cycle since the μ_0 coefficient is close to the
31
32 one from the creep at 10 mN experiment.
33
34
35

36
37 A load hold period at 0.1 mN was introduced after the unloading to study viscoelastic recovery.
38
39 These recovery periods after the cyclic indentation test and creep indentation test at 10 mN are
40
41 presented in Fig. 7 with a time axis starting just after the unloading to 0.1 mN. It is noteworthy, that
42
43 the viscoelastic recovery is slower after the indentation cyclic test than after the creep test at 10mN.
44
45 This can be explained by a different state of local equilibrium reached after both tests: while in cyclic
46
47 test, the macromolecular network had time to partially recover after each cycle, in the indentation
48
49 creep test, the flow was continuous during the whole test. Unfortunately, due to instrument limitations
50
51 it was not possible to conclude if the final recovery was complete and similar in two tests. It is likely,
52
53 however, that a residual plastic deformation due to very high local stresses in the vicinity of contact
54
55 will stay after the recovery.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Based on the experimental observations presented above, the stress field under cyclic loading
4 equivalent to viscoelastic-plastic behaviour is schematized in Fig. 8. It is based on the cavitation model
5 of Johnson [28] for elasto-plastic materials and the elastic-viscoelastic-plastic indentation field
6 proposed by Oliviera *et al.* [5]. Both pile up and sink in scenarios are presented in this figure since
7 current literature supports that the occurrence of one or the other is not directly related to viscoelastic
8 behaviour of the polymer [28]. The shape of the polymer surface around the contact changes with
9 time due to viscoelastic processes underneath the surface, but the plastic zone, *i.e.* permanently
10 deformed polymer chains or damaged crystals in semi-crystalline polymer, is formed during the first
11 loading and is unaffected by unloading and further cycling. The material straight under the contact is
12 under hydrostatic pressure equal to mean contact pressure. The stress decreases progressively with
13 the distance from the contact, and when it goes below the yield the deformations become viscoelastic,
14 *i.e.* reversible and time-dependent. The stress continues to decrease in the viscoelastic zone until the
15 zero value in the unaffected material. This viscoelastic zone is constantly in expansion or contraction
16 during cycling, but these processes are not in phase with the increase or decrease of the load. The
17 delay in the retardation and relaxation deformation after the loading and unloading, respectively,
18 results in ratcheting behaviour. The relations between retardation rate and loading rate, and between
19 relaxation rate and unloading rate, define if the maximal and minimal displacements increase with
20 cycles, respectively. When the indenter is completely unloaded, the polymer chains continue to
21 recover up to a certain level where only plastic deformation remains.

22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

A new cyclic indentation method adapted for polymers has been presented in this paper. This method enables to tackle time-dependency by assessing the evolutions of instantaneous elastic modulus, the dissipative behaviour and ratcheting of polymers. The short time scale is covered by the instantaneous elastic modulus, the energy dissipation describes the time scale of one cycle and the evolution of these parameters characterises the time dependency at the time scale of the whole test.

1
2
3 The method was tested at thermoset, amorphous thermoplastic and semi-crystalline polymers, and
4 revealed the results consistent with viscoelastic-plastic behaviour. It was shown that the evaluation of
5 instantaneous elastic modulus from reloading rather than from unloading curve is less prone to errors
6 for polymers. The reloading behaviour of three tested polymers under three loading rates was close
7 to the flat punch condition, implying constant contact area during reloading. The energy analysis
8 revealed a change of tendency from the first to other cycles, which is attributed to the change of
9 dissipative mechanisms from plastic to viscoelastic. The simplicity of the energy analysis and the
10 absence of underlying assumptions suggest its regular use in the characterisation of polymers by
11 instrumented indentation.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Furthermore, this work showed that the indentation cyclic behaviour is similar to macroscopic
25 tension behaviour. To this extent, the indentation cyclic method might replace macroscopic cyclic tests
26 if the material is rare or expensive. Moreover, the local cyclic behaviour of a heterogeneous material
27 can be studied with the cyclic indentation test to better understand and model its macroscopic
28 response.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Although the developed analysis method provides several indicators of polymer constitutive
37 behaviour at different time scales, a quantitative characterisation of these aspects would require some
38 modelling, which is our intention for the future work.
39
40
41
42

43 **Materials and Equipment**

44 **Materials**

45
46
47
48
49
50
51 Three polymers used in this study are the PR520 epoxy from Cycom, the high density
52 polyethylene (HDPE) PE100 and the Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) from GoodFellow. The HDPE
53 sample was cut from a 1 cm thick pipe in radial direction to obtain a 3mm thick sample with 1 by 2 cm
54 sides. The glass transition temperature of -116°C and cristallinity of 61% of the HDPE were measured
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) with a ramp of 10°C/min [29]. A DMA frequency sweep test
4
5 in tension revealed a slight evolution of the storage elastic modulus from 1.58 GPa at 1Hz to 1.65 GPa
6
7 at 60Hz at the temperature of 20°C [29]. A classical stress relieving treatment was conducted on the
8
9 HDPE sample at a temperature below its glass transition. The Cycom PR520 epoxy resin was moulded
10
11 in a thick 1 cm plate and cut perpendicularly to its surface to obtain 3 mm-thick 1 cm by 2 cm samples.
12
13 The DSC of PR520 epoxy with a ramp of 10°C/min provided a glass transition temperature of 146°C
14
15 and confirmed the complete curing. The manufacturer's datasheet gives tensile strength of 82.1 MPa
16
17 and tensile modulus of 4 GPa, compressive strength of 128 MPa and compressive modulus of 3.7 GPa.
18
19 The PMMA sample was bought from GoodFellow in a form of 3mm thick plate and used directly.
20
21 According to the manufacturer, its tensile modulus is 2.4 - 3.3 GPa and its tensile strength is around 80
22
23 MPa. The epoxy and PMMA materials were not subjected to any thermal treatment prior to
24
25 indentation tests.
26
27
28
29
30

31 Equipment and corrections

32
33
34
35 This study was carried out on Fischerscope H100C ultra-microindenter equipped with a Vickers
36
37 diamond tip. This equipment allows to perform force-controlled tests in a range between 0.1 and
38
39 1000 mN with an uncertainty of 0.02 mN. The maximal measurable depth is 700 µm and the
40
41 uncertainty on the displacement values is 2 nm. During the tests, load and displacement data are
42
43 recorded every 0.1 s. The area function and frame compliance were calibrated through standard
44
45 procedure. The surface roughness of all samples was minimized by a careful polishing up to $Ra = 1.3$
46
47 nm and the surface tilt was verified to be less than 1°.
48
49
50

51
52 The minimum and maximum load for cycles were 0.5 and 10 mN. The load hold at the end of
53
54 test to evaluate material recovery was performed at 0.1 mN. The number of cycles varied between 20
55
56 and 1200 for different tests described here and was limited by the 6000s of maximum time recording
57
58 allowed by the equipment. Since some of cyclic tests were very long, a particular attention was paid
59
60

1
2
3 to a drift which origin is not in material behaviour, commonly called thermal drift. This drift is explained
4
5 by small environmental variations of temperature and electromagnetic heating of the indenter
6
7 actuator that cause small but significant on the scale of test displacements of the indenter tip. These
8
9 displacements interfere with the sample response and need to be corrected to obtain material
10
11 properties properly. It is common to evaluate thermal drift by introducing a hold period at a very low
12
13 load, before the loading. This displacement-time data should be fitted with a linear law and the slope
14
15 correction coefficient is propagated to the whole indentation data. Although this procedure is
16
17 commonly adapted, some authors suggest to imply other procedures of thermal drift correction which
18
19 provide better results. For instance, Chattaraj *et al.* [9] used “quadratic correction method” based on
20
21 holding periods before and after the experiment, which are fitted separately with a linear law. The
22
23 slopes of these curves are combined in a quadratic equation, which is used to correct all data.
24
25
26
27

28
29 In our opinion, since the thermal drift nature depends on an interaction between the
30
31 equipment components, local environment and the sample, the correction method is not universal
32
33 and should be adapted in each case for the best reduction of the scatter of measured displacements.
34
35 With polymers, the challenge is to separate the instrument drift from the time-dependent response of
36
37 material. If the holding period is introduced at the beginning of the test, it might be affected by
38
39 polymer creep, but at the end of the test it would be affected by the recovery. In this work, a holding
40
41 period was introduced at the beginning of the test and a low load was chosen to avoid significant creep
42
43 behaviour. A careful study was conducted on different materials (polymers and others) in order to
44
45 choose the load level, the duration of the holding period and the fitting function of time-displacement
46
47 data to be used for thermal drift evaluation. The choice was the best compromise between the
48
49 reduction of the standard deviation among the results and the applicability to a large range of tests of
50
51 different durations. The load value retained is 0.1 *mN* and the duration is 600s. It has been observed
52
53 that the use of a power law fitting instead of a linear fitting of displacement-time data during holding,
54
55 results in a better correction for the longer tests. This reduction of variation was observed on long
56
57 holding tests, *i.e.* indentation creep tests, but also on cyclic tests. For instance, this correction results
58
59
60

1
2
3 in a standard deviation of 0.05 μm from 9 tests around the mean value of 3.83 μm at the end of a creep
4
5 test of 6000s at 10mN on the HDPE. An example of the effect of thermal drift correction is given in the
6
7 **Supplementary Material**.
8
9

10 Acknowledgements

11
12
13
14 The authors would like to acknowledge the Poitou-Charentes region for financing the PhD
15
16 scholarship of M. Pecora, and the financial help of CNRS through financing PEPS INSIS MICMA
17
18 project. This work pertains to the French government programs "Investissements d'Avenir" LABEX
19
20 INTERACTIFS (reference ANR-11-LABX-0017-01).
21
22

23 Supplementary material

24
25
26
27 The individual cycles analysed in this paper and the thermal drift correction data are presented in
28
29 **Supplementary material**.
30
31

32 References

- 33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
1. W. Oliver, G. Pharr: An improved technique for determining hardness and elastic modulus using load and displacement sensing indentation experiments. *J. Mater. Res.* **7**(6), 1564 (1992).
 2. B. J. Briscoe, K. S. Sebastian: The Elastoplastic Response of Poly(Methyl Methacrylate) to Indentation. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **452**, 439 (1996).
 3. Y.-T. Cheng, C.-H. Cheng: General relationship between contact stiffness, contact depth, and mechanical properties for indentation in linear viscoelastic solids using axisymmetric indenters of arbitrary profiles. *Appl. Phys. Lett* **87**, 111914 (2005).
 4. Y.-T. Cheng, C.-H. Cheng: Scaling, dimensional analysis, and indentation measurements. *Mater. Sci. Eng., R* **44**(4–5), 91 (2004).

- 1
2
3 5. G. L. Oliveira, C. A. Costa, S. C. S. Teixeira, M.F. Costa: The use of nano- and micro-instrumented
4
5 indentation tests to evaluate viscoelastic behavior of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF). *Polym.*
6
7 *Test.* **34**, 10 (2014).
8
9
- 10 6. M. Hardiman, T. J. Vaughan, C. T. McCarthy: The effects of pile-up, viscoelasticity and
11
12 hydrostatic stress on polymer matrix nanoindentation. *Polym. Test.* **52**, 157 (2016).
13
- 14 7. T. Chudoba, F. Richter: Investigation of creep behaviour under load during indentation
15
16 experiments and its influence on hardness and modulus results. *Surf. Coat. Tech* **148** (2–3), 191
17
18 (2001).
19
- 20 8. G. Feng, A. Ngan: Effects of Creep and Thermal Drift on Modulus Measurement Using Depth-
21
22 sensing Indentation. *J. Mater. Res.* **17**(3), 660 (2002)
23
- 24 9. S. Chattaraj, P. Pant, H. Nanavati: Inter-relationships between mechanical properties of glassy
25
26 polymers from nanoindentation and uniaxial compression. *Polymer* **144**, 128 (2018).
27
- 28 10. M. Beyaoui, P.-E. Mazeran, Arvieu M.-F., M. Bigerelle, M. Guigon: Analysis of nanoindentation
29
30 curves in the case of bulk amorphous polymers. *Int. J. Mat. Res.* **100**(7), 943 (2009).
31
32
- 33 11. D. Tranchida, S. Piccarolo, J. Loos, A. Alexeev: Mechanical Characterization of Polymers on a
34
35 Nanometer Scale through Nanoindentation. A Study on Pile-up and Viscoelasticity.
36
37 *Macromolecules* **40** (4), 1259 (2007).
38
- 39 12. I. N. Sneddon: The relation between load and penetration in the axisymmetric Boussinesq
40
41 problem for a punch of arbitrary profile. *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* **3**(1), 47 (1965).
42
43
- 44 13. G. Pharr, A. Bolshakov: Understanding nanoindentation unloading curves. *J. Mater. Res.*
45
46 **17**(10), 2660 (2002).
47
- 48 14. A. Bolshakov, G. Pharr: Influences of pile up on the measurement of mechanical properties by
49
50 load and depth sensing indentation techniques *J. Mater. Res.* **13**(4), 1049 (1998).
51
52
- 53 15. E. Herbert, P. S. Phani, K.E. Johanns: Nanoindentation of viscoelastic solids: A critical
54
55 assessment of experimental methods. *Curr. Opin. Solid St. M.* **19**(6), 334 (2015).
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 16. M. R. VanLandingham, N.-K. Chang, P. L. Drzal, C. C. White, S.-H. Chang: Viscoelastic
4 characterization of polymers using instrumented indentation. I. Quasi-static testing. *J. Polym.*
5
6 *Sci. B Polym. Phys.* **43** 1794 (2005).
7
8
9
10 17. P. Baral, G. Guillonneau, G. Kermouche, J.-M. Bergheau, J.-L. Loubet: Theoretical and
11
12 experimental analysis of indentation relaxation test. *J. Mater. Res.* **32**(12), 2286 (2017).
13
14 18. C. Tweedie, K. Van Vliet: Contact creep compliance of viscoelastic materials via
15
16 nanoindentation. *J. Mater. Res.* **21**(6), 1576 (2006).
17
18
19 19. E. G. Herbert, W. C. Oliver, G. M. Pharr: Nanoindentation and the dynamic characterization of
20
21 viscoelastic solids. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **41**(7), 1 (2008).
22
23 20. C. C. White, M. R. VanLandingham, P. L. Drzal, N.-K. Chang, S.-H. Chang: Viscoelastic
24
25 characterization of polymers using instrumented indentation. II. Dynamic testing. *J. Polym. Sci.*
26
27 *B Polym. Phys.* **43**, 1812 (2005).
28
29
30 21. M. Oyen, R. Cook: Load–displacement behavior during sharp indentation of viscous–elastic–
31
32 plastic materials. *J. Mater. Res.* **18**(1), 139 (2003).
33
34 22. C. Y. Zhang, Y. W. Zhang, K. Y. Zeng, L. Shen: Characterization of mechanical properties of
35
36 polymers by nanoindentation tests. *Philos. Mag.* **86**(28), 4487 (2006).
37
38
39 23. D. J. Shuman, A. L. M. Costa, M. S. Andrade: Calculating the elastic modulus from
40
41 nanoindentation and microindentation reload curves. *Mater. Charact.* **58**(4), 380 (2007).
42
43 24. S. T. T. Nguyen, S. Castagnet, J.-C. Grandidier: Nonlinear viscoelastic contribution to the cyclic
44
45 accommodation of high density polyethylene in tension: Experiments and modelling. *Int. J.*
46
47 *Fatigue* **55**, 166 (2013).
48
49
50 25. M. Vandamme, F.-J. Ulm: Viscoelastic solutions for conical indentation. *Int. J. Solids Struct.*
51
52 **43**(10), 3142 (2006).
53
54 26. G. Kang: Ratchetting: Recent progresses in phenomenon observation, constitutive modeling
55
56 and application. *Int. J. Fatigue* **30**(8), 1448 (2008).
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 27. X. Chen X, S. Hui: Ratcheting behavior of PTFE under cyclic compression. *Polym. Test.* **24**(7),
4
5 829 (2005).
6
7 28. S. Sills, R. M. Overney: Probing macromolecular dynamics and the influence of finite size
8 effects, in *Applied Scanning Probe Methods III. NanoScience and Technology*, edited by B.
9 Bhushan, H. Fuchs (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006).
10
11
12
13
14 29. S.T.T. Nguyen: *Caractérisation expérimentale et modélisation thermo-mécanique de*
15 *l'accommodation cyclique du polyéthylène. PhD Thesis (ISAE-ENSMA Poitiers, 2013).*
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Figure Captions

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 FIG. 1. (a) Loading path of the cyclic indentation test and (b) schematic of the cycle analysis. <<color
10 online>>

11
12
13
14 FIG. 2. Maximal and minimal displacement evolutions during indentation cycling of PR520 epoxy,
15 PMMA and HDPE. <<color online>>

16
17
18
19 FIG. 3. (a) Unloading and (b) reloading instantaneous elastic moduli deduced from the cyclic
20 indentation tests on PR520 epoxy, PMMA and HDPE at three loading rates. <<color online>>

21
22
23
24 FIG. 4. (a) Plot of residuals for the first unloading and first reloading and (b) power law coefficients
25 for the whole test of loading and unloading curves fitted with Eq. 1. <<color online>>

26
27
28
29 FIG. 5. (a) The energy ratio and (b) dissipated energy evolutions with cycles for PR520 epoxy, PMMA
30 and HDPE at three loading rates. <<color online>>

31
32
33
34 FIG. 6. Cyclic indentation test at 9.6 s/cyc and indentation creep tests at the same maximal load and a
35 half of maximal load on HDPE. <<color online>>

36
37
38
39 FIG. 7. Viscoelastic recovery after indentation cyclic test of 9.6 s/cyc and indentation creep test at 10
40 mN on HDPE. The upper and lower bounds represent variability between 9 tests. <<color online>>

41
42
43
44 FIG. 8. Schematic distribution of Von Mises stress under the indenter at maximal displacement (on
45 the right) and minimal displacement (on the left) with pile up and sink in scenarios. <<color online>>

Tables

Table I. Fitting parameters of Eq. 8 for indentation cyclic test at 9.6 s/cyc and $F_{max} = 10$ mN and indentation creep tests at 5 and 10 mN on HDPE

	h_0 (μm)	h_1 (μm)	τ_1 (s)	h_2 (μm)	τ_2 (s)	h_3 (μm)	τ_3 (s)	μ_0 (s/ μm)
Cyclic test	2.50	0.21	15	0.24	98	0.24	707	$2.3 \cdot 10^4$
creep at 5 mN	1.66	0.16	10	0.20	100	0.21	843	$1.5 \cdot 10^5$
creep at 10 mN	2.50	0.33	5	0.31	65	0.34	546	$2.5 \cdot 10^4$




FIG. 1. (a) Loading path of the cyclic indentation test and (b) schematic of the cycle analysis. <<color online>>

741x328mm (96 x 96 DPI)




FIG. 2. Maximal and minimal displacement evolutions during indentation cycling of PR520 epoxy, PMMA and HDPE. <<color online>>

246x190mm (150 x 150 DPI)




FIG. 3. (a) Unloading and (b) reloading instantaneous elastic moduli deduced from the cyclic indentation tests on PR520 epoxy, PMMA and HDPE at three loading rates. <<color online>>

339x151mm (150 x 150 DPI)




FIG. 4. (a) Plot of residuals for the first unloading and first reloading and (b) power law coefficients for the whole test of loading and unloading curves fitted with Eq. 1. <<color online>>

357x172mm (150 x 150 DPI)




FIG. 5. (a) The energy ratio and (b) dissipated energy evolutions with cycles for PR520 epoxy, PMMA and HDPE at three loading rates. <<color online>>

327x148mm (150 x 150 DPI)




FIG. 6. Cyclic indentation test at 9.6 s/cyc and indentation creep tests at the same maximal load and a half of maximal load on HDPE. <<color online>>

159x141mm (150 x 150 DPI)




FIG. 7. Viscoelastic recovery after indentation cyclic test of 9.6 s/cyc and indentation creep test at 10 mN on HDPE. The upper and lower bounds represent variability between 9 tests. <<color online>>

158x139mm (150 x 150 DPI)






FIG. 8. Schematic distribution of Von Mises stress under the indenter at maximal displacement (on the right) and minimal displacement (on the left) with pile up (in orange) and sink in (in green) scenarios. <<color online>>

246x189mm (116 x 113 DPI)


Supplementary Material




(a)





(b)



(c)



(d)



(e)

(f)

FIG. S1. Several indentation cycles of (a) fused silica, (b) PR520 epoxy resin, (c) PMMA and HDPE at (d) 1 s/cyc, (e) 9.6 s/cyc and (f) 95 s/cyc.

Fig. S1a presents 20 cycles on Fused silica confirming the absence of hysteresis. Figs. S1b, S1c show several indentation cycles from the indentation tests of 300 cycles on PR520 epoxy and PMMA with a constant loading/unloading rate corresponding to a time of 9.6 s per cycle. Figs. S1d-f present the indentation cycles of HDPE with 1200 cycles of 1 s each, 300 cycles of 9.6 s each and 60 cycles of 95 s each, corresponding to the loading/unloading rates of 20 mN/s, 2.08 mN/s and 0.21 mN/s, respectively. For the sake of distinguishability, only 5 cycles are presented in these figures.




FIG. S2. Displacement drift data for load hold at 0.1 or 0.5 mN on fused silica, PR520 epoxy and HDPE without any correction.

A huge and rather arbitrary scatter between indentation curves for load hold at small value (0.1 and 0.5 mN) can be seen in FIG.2 on all tested materials, including fused silica. Since the indentation equipment is not isolated from the environment, the thermal effects have very significant impact on the indentation results and have to be corrected. The correction procedure, described in the main body of the paper, gives excellent results on creep, cyclic and quasistatic tests. An example of the corrected data is presented in FIG S3.




FIG. S3. Effect of the thermal drift correction procedure on long creep test on HDPE at 5 mN: (a) before the correction, (b) after the correction