

Condensed Mode Cooling for Ethylene Polymerization: Part V-Reduction of the Crystallization Rate of HDPE in the Presence of Induced Condensing Agents

Fabiana Andrade, René Fulchiron, Franck Collas, Timothy Mckenna

► To cite this version:

Fabiana Andrade, René Fulchiron, Franck Collas, Timothy Mckenna. Condensed Mode Cooling for Ethylene Polymerization: Part V-Reduction of the Crystallization Rate of HDPE in the Presence of Induced Condensing Agents. Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics, 2019, 220 (9), pp.1800563. 10.1002/macp.201800563. hal-02338091

HAL Id: hal-02338091 https://hal.science/hal-02338091

Submitted on 12 Nov 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

3 Full Paper

Condensed Mode Cooling for Ethylene Polymerization: Part V. Reduction of the crystallization rate of HDPE in the presence of Induced Condensing Agents

7 8

2

4

9 Fabiana N. Andrade^a, René Fulchiron^b, Franck Collas^c, Timothy F.L. McKenna^{a*}

10

^a C2P2-UMR 5265, Université de Lyon, Bâtiment ESCPE, 43 Blvd du 11 Novembre 1918, F 69616 Villeurbanne, France

^b CNRS UMR 5223, Université de Lyon, Ingénierie des Matériaux Polymères, F-69622
 Villeurbanne, France

^c Mettler Toledo, 18/20 avenue de la Pépinière, F-78222 Viroflay France

16

^{*} Author to whom correspondence may be addressed: <u>timothy.mckenna@univ-lyon1.fr</u>
 18

19

ABSTRACT

20

21 The crystallization of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) alone, and in the presence of n-22 hexane (a common induced condensing agent – ICA) was studied using Differential Scanning 23 Calorimetry (DSC). The presence of a non-crystallizable ICA which is partially soluble in the 24 amorphous phase of HDPE reduces the rate of crystallization. This was reflected by a shifting 25 of the crystallization and melting peaks of HDPE to lower temperatures when the ICA concentration in the medium increases. It is also observed that the rate of crystallization of 26 27 HDPE can be very slow when the ratio of ICA to HDPE increases from zero. This behavior is 28 useful to better understand the physical effects that can potentially occur at the beginning of 29 the gas phase polymerization of ethylene, and suggests that the crystallization of the nascent 30 polymer, and thus the properties of the polymer in the reactor will be quite different from 31 those of the powder at later stages of the reaction when running in condensed mode.

32

Keywords: induced condensing agents (ICA); isothermal crystallization; high density
 polyethylene; kinetic of crystallization.

1 Introduction

38 High density polyethylene (HDPE) can be produced on an industrial scale using different 39 types of processes, the two most widely used being in diluent slurry and a gas phase. In a gas 40 phase process, the polymerization takes place in a continuous fluidized bed reactor (FBR), 41 where a gas mixture is brought into contact with a supported catalyst. The mixture typically is 42 composed of ethylene, hydrogen, variable amounts of comonomers such as 1-butene or 1-43 hexene, inert gases to regulate partial pressures, and eventually alkanes to help with heat 44 transfer. These last compounds are often referred to as induced condensing agents (ICA), and are often isomers of butane, pentane, and hexane $^{1-3}$. 45

46

47 The monomer(s) diffuse from the continuous phase into the pores of the solid catalyst 48 particles, where they then polymerize at the active sites situated therein. As polymer builds 49 up, it creates hydraulic tensions that provoke the rupture or fragmentation of the original support ^{4–6}. The morphology of the final particles will depend strongly on this fragmentation 50 51 step because the way in which the particles rupture represents a trade-off between the rate of 52 generation of stress caused by the polymerization on the one hand, and the ability of the particle to dissipate the mechanical energy on the other ⁷⁻⁹. Thus, the physical properties of 53 the polymer during this part of the polymerization, and in particular the crystallinity ¹⁰ can 54 55 have a strong influence on fragmentation. In addition, the crystallinity can influence monomer solubility ¹¹, as well as the rates of diffusion ¹² through the growing polymer particle ^{13–15}. 56 57 These last two quantities (solubility and diffusivity of penetrants) will also be a function of 58 the type and quantity of ICA added to the reactor. For instance, it has recently been shown 59 that adding up to 0.8 bars of n-hexane to a gas phase polymerization of ethylene can lead to a significant enhancement of both monomer diffusivity and reaction rate with respect to what is 60 observed for a polymerization in the absence of ICA¹⁶. The authors of this last work noted 61

that the greatest deviation between experiment and model predictions was at the beginning of the reaction, where the free-volume based model apparently underestimated the effective diffusivity of ethylene in the amorphous polymer in the presence of n-hexane. It is entirely possible that this is because the fraction of amorphous material is underestimated during this phase of the polymerization. This in turns begs the question about how fast the formation of crystals is with respect to the formation of polymer chains – in other words, can we assume that the crystallinity of the nascent polymer is similar to that of the final reactor powder?

69

70 Note also that laboratory scale polymerization of ethylene in the presence of an ICA (pentant 71 or hexane) revealed that adding the ICA led to the formation of an HDPE that had a higher 72 degree of crystallinity than a polymer made with ethylene alone under otherwise identical 73 conditions. In addition, adding the ICA also led to significant increases in the average molecular weight of HDPE.¹⁶ The increase in the average molecular weight can be (at least in 74 75 large part) attributed to an increase in the ethylene concentration at the active sites due to the 76 comonomer effect, and the higher crystallinity in the presence of an ICA was mainly 77 attributed to the mechanism of crystallization of polymer chains in the presence of solubilized ICA which can act to promote solvent vapor annealing 17 . It is possible that this combination 78 79 of rapidly forming chains and higher molecular weight leads to a slowing down of the 80 crystallization process, allowing the chains more time to reorganize and form more crystalline 81 polymers.

82

The crystallization of the polymer is governed by the extent and type of branching, the comonomer composition, and external factors such as the presence of plasticizers like ICA. In general, the crystallization of polymers is a kinetic phenomenon: in the molten state, all of the polymer chains are disordered (amorphous), and they need time to organize in an ordered 87 structure (crystalline polymer). There will therefore be a characteristic time for chain
88 crystallization, just as there is a characteristic time for chain formation.

89

All of these points suggest that it would be useful for us to understand what, if any, influence the presence of an ICA has the rate of crystallization during PE production – is it rapid with respect to the fraction of a second that the polymer chains grow? Or is it a slower process?

93

94 Polymer crystallization theory

95 Whenever particles crystallize out of solution, two fundamental processes are involved: 96 crystal nucleation and growth. A unified theory of crystallization does not exist, but a set of 97 complementary theories have been developed that can help us to understand the impact of 98 sorbed alkanes on PE crystallization. Morphological theories generally calculate an 99 equilibrium morphology based on the energetic interactions of different crystal faces in order 100 to estimate its final form, and thus do not take into consideration the nucleation phase, which is in fact useful to understand the role that ICA might play in crystallization ¹⁸⁻²⁰. 101 All 102 morphological theories consider two barriers to crystal growth: one that accounts for the 103 thermodynamic barrier to include a crystalline stem on the growing lamella, and another that 104 accounts for the diffusion of a molecule towards the lamella. Inclusion of a stem into a 105 growing crystal is enhanced by decreasing temperatures whereas the second barrier becomes 106 more significant. The purpose here is not to discuss the differences between the theories, but 107 rather to understand the points they have in common to assess what affects the rate of 108 crystallization. Moreover, for temperatures far above the glass transition temperature (T_g) , 109 which is the case in the present work, polymer diffusion is fast enough that it is not the 110 limiting mechanism. Therefore, only the crystalline stem deposit mechanism has to be 111 considered.

113 In this case, the crystallization rate is controlled by the free energy difference between the 114 liquid and the solid phases. This difference is commonly considered proportional to the 115 degree of supercooling, represented by the difference between the equilibrium melting temperature (T_m^{0}) and the temperature at which we are observing the system. T_m^{0} is the 116 temperature at which the crystal would melt if it was of infinite dimensions, ²¹ and it is thus 117 118 the limiting temperature for the crystallization process. More rarely, a "zero growth" temperature instead of T_m^{0} is considered as the limit ²². Regardless of the definition chosen, 119 120 crystallization kinetics are governed by the temperature difference between this limiting 121 temperature and the actual crystallization temperature, and this degree of supercooling is in 122 the denominator of a negative exponential function. This means that a small variation of the 123 supercooling drastically modifies the crystallization kinetics. In addition to the crystallization 124 temperature, the crystallization kinetics can be alternatively modified by the concentration of a diluent that alters the equilibrium melting temperature.²³ 125

126

127 This last point can be illustrated using Flory-Huggins theory to calculate the free energy of the 128 fringed micelle model, in which the crystallization processes occur only when the system is supersaturated. In general, supersaturation can be imposed on a system by cooling, solvent 129 evaporation, the addition of an anti-solvent or by chemical reaction 24 . Supersaturation is the 130 131 driving force of the crystallization process, meaning that crystallization occurs only if the 132 system is supersaturated. This implies that in order for a crystallization process to occur 133 spontaneously, it needs to be accompanied by decrease in the free energy. Thus the increase of entropy due to presence of a diluent means that T_m^{0} decreases, and this must be 134 135 compensated for by a decrease in the crystallization temperature. The Flory-Huggins

equation describes this dependence of the equilibrium melt temperature on the concentration
of a diluent ²⁵.

138

151

139 Figure 1 shows the relationship of the free energy with temperature, where it compares the 140 effect of the presence of the diluent on the free energy. The equilibrium melt temperature will 141 be lower for a dilute system, and this has been observed in the case of polyethylene in the presence of heavier alkanes 26 , xylenes and o-dichlorobenzene $^{27-29}$, and other diluents 25,30,31 . 142 Teymouri et. al. ³² evaluated LDPE and n-hexane thermograms with different swelling times 143 144 and observed that although there were no major changes in equilibrium melt temperature, 145 therewas a significant shift in the lowest melt peak in the presence of the solvent (from 90 °C 146 for pure LDPE to 70 °C for LDPE swollen by Hexane). It was observed that the rate of 147 crystallization decreased at temperatures near the melting point, and was kinetically controlled under thermodynamic conditions far from equilibrium ³³. Some kinetic studies of 148 polyethylene with xylene ³⁴ and o-dichlorobenzene ³⁵, show that the rate of crystallization 149 150 decreases as we approach the melting point.



152 Figure 1 - Relation between free energy and temperature for pure polymer and diluent systems.153

154 If one considers crystallization caused by cooling, it can be assumed that crystallization in the 155 presence of a diluent will occur for an equivalent degree of supercooling with respect to the 156 crystallization temperature of pure polymer.

157

In conclusion, since the presence of alkanes in of polyethylene causes a decrease in the equilibrium melting temperature, it must also lead to a depression of the crystallization temperature and rate. It is therefore possible that the crystallization rate of polyethylene is slower in the presence of an ICA (with respect of a system without ICA) at temperatures between 90 - 115 $^{\circ}$ C.

163

164

2 Experimental section

165

166 **2.1 Materials**

167

The high-density polyethylene (HDPE) used in this work was produced in gas phase polymerization experiments performed in the spherical stirred-bed semi batch reactor, as described elsewhere¹⁵. Polymerizations were run at a pressure of 7 bars of ethylene at 70 °C without hydrogen and 80 °C in presence of 1 and 3 bar hydrogen. Molecular weight data are shown in Table 1. N-Hexane was used as the induced condensing agent (minimum purity 99%, from Sigma-Aldrich ICN - Germany). The ICA properties are shown in Table 2.

174

175 Table 1. HDPE types

~ • •)	Treactor	PEthylene	P _{Hydrogen}	Yield	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{n}}$	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{w}}$	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{z}}$	
Samples "	°C	bar g	bar g	-1 gpol•gcat	kg.mol ⁻¹	kg.mol ⁻¹	kg.mol ⁻¹	
HDPE70	70	7	0	1,575	114	751	2,936	
HDPE-1H ₂	80	7	1	2,158	59	278	955	
$HDPE-3H_2$	80	7	3	1,312	33	145	448	

a) The sample name is identified by HDPE + polymerization temperature [°C]
 177

ICA	P ^{vap} bar	BP °C	M_w kg. mol ⁻¹
	70 – 120 °C	at 1 atm	0
<i>n</i> -Hexane	1.034 - 3.987	69	86.18

- 180
- 181

2.2 Preparation of sample blends

183 In order to evaluate the impact of ICA on the crystallization process, HDPE and blends of 184 HDPE plus different levels of ICA were placed in 120 µL medium pressure steel capsules, 185 and analyzed by DSC. In the case of the blends, a given mass (5 - 20 mg) of HDPE was weighed and placed in the medium pressure capsule. Then a specific volume (varying from 10 186 187 - 60 μ L) of n-hexane (ICA) was added to the capsule using a micropipette. Due to the volatile 188 nature of n-hexane, the amount of ICA finally enclosed in the capsule was determined 189 gravimetrically. The capsules were weighed before and after the DSC analyses to verify if any 190 ICA had evaporated during the procedure, thus correcting the ICA mass. These limits of 191 polymer weight plus ICA concentration were chosen to represent different moments in the 192 semi-bath, lab scale polymerization process. Note that in a semi-batch reactor the ratio of ICA 193 to polymer is actually quite high during the very early stages of polymerization (zero polymer 194 in a salt seed bed at the beginning of polymerization), and decreases as polymer is formed.

195

196

2.3 Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

- 197
- 198 The DSC analyses were carried out using a Mettler Toledo DSC 3+ model in two different 199 ways: i) an non-isothermal evaluation of the impact of different blend composition on the

- 200 crystallization temperatures and degree of crystallinity of the polymer samples; ii) a study of
- 201 the isothermal crystallization kinetics to assess the crystallization times at different values of
- 202 T_c . The ICA concentration in the blends for each study phase is shown in Table 3.
- 203

204	Table 3. ICA:HDPE blends (weight percent ICA:HDPE)								
	Samples	Non-isothermal	Isothermal						
		0.00.07.00.00.00	0 17 05 05 01						
	HDPE/0	0 - 22 - 87 - 99 - 99.8 %	0-17-25-85 %						
	HDPE-1H ₂	-	0-15-28-86 %						
	HDPE-3H ₂	-	0-15-28-86 %						

All analyses were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere - 30 mL/min. The following
 procedure was used for the non-isothermal analyses:

- 208 (i) Cooling of the sample from room temperature to -20 °C at a rate of 10 °C / min;
- 209 (ii) Heating from -20 °C to 180 °C at a rate of 2 °C / min;
- 210 (iii) Isothermal hold at 180 °C for 120 min;
- 211 (iv) Cooling from 180 $^{\circ}$ C to -20 $^{\circ}$ C with rates of 2 $^{\circ}$ C / min;
- 212 (v) Isothermal hold at -20 °C for 120 min;
- 213 (vi) Heating from -20 °C to 180 °C at a rate of 2 °C / min.
- 214

The parameters of interest in the first non-isothermal studies are the range of crystallization temperatures (T_c), melting temperatures (T_m - corresponding to the second heating), total heat of crystallization (Q_c - measurement of the area under the endotherm divided by the polymer mass in the blend), total heat of fusion (Q_m - measurement of the area under the exotherm also divided by the polymer mass in the blend), and degree of crystallinity (w_c - calculated in relation to 100% crystalline HDPE).

221	The isothermal crystallization of the ICA+HDPE mixtures was performed at different					
222	crystallization temperatures (T_c) . The procedure starts by cooling the sample from room					
223	temperature to -20 °C at a rate of 10 °C / min and continues with a cycle of five sequential					
224	steps:					
225	(i)	Heating from -20 °C to 180 °C at a rate of 2 °C / min;				
226	(ii)	Cooling from 180 °C to T_c at rates of 50 °C / min;				
227	(iii)	Isothermal hold at T_c for 120 min;				
228	(iv)	T_c cooling to -20 °C with rates of 10 °C / min;				
229	(v)	Heating from -20 °C to 180 °C at a rate of 2 °C / min.				
230						
231	T_c values of 91, 94, 97, 100 and 115 °C were employed in this study. The thermograms were					
232	analyzed to obtain the time and degree of crystallization for a given T_c isotherm, and the					
233	melting temperature.					
234						
235	All data o	obtained in the analysis were from the thermograms treated using STARe Software				
236	Evaluatio	n, version 14.00. The enthalpy of 100% crystalline polymer was taken as $\Delta H^{\circ} = 293$				
237	J / g ³⁶ . Fo	or validation, the method was repeated for different concentrations of the blend, such				
238	as HDPE	70-85% for the non-isothermal and HDPE70-1%, HDPE70-80% for the isothermal.				
239	All runs s	howed a standard deviation of less than 10%.				
240						
241	3	Results and discussions				
242	5	Kesuns and discussions				
243	Non-isoth	nermal analyses				
244	In the first series of experiments, the non-isothermal evolution of the crystallization and					

245 melting points of the different mixtures of HDPE and ICA are shown in Figure 2, and a

summary of the properties of these same runs are presented in Table 4. If one considers the thermograms in Figure 2, it is immediately (and perhaps intuitively) obvious that the crystallization temperature of mixtures of HDPE and ICA decrease as the relative concentration of the latter increases. As pointed out by Yamamoto ³³, the rate of crystallization decreases as the system approaches its melting point. In other words, one might suspect from these results that the characteristic time for crystallization would be different in the samples with different ICA:HDPE ratios.





254 Figure 2 - Non-isothermal thermograms from HDPE70 samples: Left are the peaks of the exothermic crystallization curves (T_c) and right are the peaks of the endothermic melting curves (2a heating – (T_m) .

257 The curve size of the 99% blend is multiplied by ten, and for the blend 99.8% is multiplied by fifty.

258

In addition, and as shown in Table 4, all the samples show the same (expected) tendencies

260 with the increase of ICA concentration in the blends:

- T_c and T_m , estimated by the peak of the exothermic and endothermic curves respectively, decrease. T_m decreases by 33 °C over the range of compositions studied,
- 263 and T_c by 34°C in the case of HDPE70;

- There is an increase in the total heat of crystallization (Q_c) , of melting (Q_m) , and of the 264 265 degree of crystallinity (w_c) . At high ICA to polymer ratios, the polymer is almost 266 entirely crystalline at the end of the cooling step.
- 267

268 Note that in case of very diluted system, it can be reasonably assumed that there is no longer 269 entanglement between polymer chains, entanglements which would be trapped and excluded 270 from the crystallizable entities. Hence, the final attainable crystallinity is higher.

271

272 The difference between Q_c and Q_m remained approximately invariant, which shows that the 273 presence of ICA has a very strong influence on the total amount of crystalline phase in the 274 polymer, the HDPE that recrystallizes from dilute solutions having a higher overall 275 crystallinity than those recrystallizing from solutions more concentrated in polymer.

276

Samples	Parameters	ICA:HDPE blends								
HDPE70	У іса	0%	22%	87%	99%	99.8%				
	y polymer	100%	78%	13%	1%	0.2%				
	w_c (%)	55.7	56.2	67.5	86.3	97.3				
	T_c on (°C)	122	111	97	92	90				
	T_c peak (°C)	120	105	95	89	86				
	T_c end (°C)	115	95	90	84	82				
	T_m (°C)	134	122	106	103	101				
	$Q_c \left(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{g} ight)$	163	165	198	253	285				
	$Q_m(J / g)$	-165	-165	-197	-250	-282				
	ΔQ_{m-c}	1.2%	0.3%	0.5%	1.1%	1.1%				

279 Figure 3a shows that the melting point depression is more pronounced when there is more ICA in the capsule, as expected ³⁷. Figure 3b shows the peak of the crystallization temperature 280 281 and the crystallization range. It can be seen from this figure that crystallization can be seen at

temperatures below 100 °C for blends containing more than 20% ICA. For levels of less than
20% ICA by weight, the range is around 115 - 122 °C.

284

These initial observations support the idea that when ICA are present during the nascent stage of polymerization in a semi batch reactor, they can promote a decrease in rate of crystallization during the first instants of the polymerization before significant levels of polymer begin to accumulate, and the equilibrium melt temperature can eventually approach the temperature of the reactor.

290

In order to better understand the behavior of the crystallization rate, the isotherms were evaluated in the range 90 - 115 °C for comparison of the different blends. An isotherm at 130 °C (chosen as no crystallization is expected at this temperature) was also measured and used to subtract any imperfections from the curves analyzed.

295



296

Figure 3 - a) Melt temperature and b) Crystallization temperature as a function of the mass fraction of
 ICA in an ICA-HDPE mixture

299

300 Isothermal analyses

301 The isotherms used in this part of the study began after abrupt cooling. According to Zhang et al. ³⁶ if the cooling is rapid enough under the right conditions, there is not sufficient time for 302 crystal nucleation and growth. We therefore considered that the quantity of crystallization that 303 304 occurred during the cooling phase was negligible, and that the vast majority crystallization 305 occurred during the isothermal phase. Nevertheless, when the degree of supercooling is high 306 enough, the crystallization can be quite rapid. Even though we used the cooling rate of 50 $^{\circ}C$ / 307 min, a preliminary study showed that some of the samples might begin to crystallize during 308 the initial phase cooling before the temperature is stabilized. Thus we will only present results 309 for the pure polymer and 17% ICA:HDPE at $T_c = 115$ °C, 25% ICA:HDPE at $T_c = 100$ °C and 85% ICA:HDPE at $T_c = 94$ °C, and for samples of polymers with hydrogen (lower molecular 310 weight) at $T_c = 115$ °C. The ICA in each blend can be solubilized in the amorphous phase of 311 312 the available polymer mass and also present as a vapor. If enough ICA is present, it can be 313 also be present as a separate liquid phase.

314

From the data obtained by DSC, the study of crystallization kinetics was carried out using the classical model of Avrami ^{38,39} and an evaluation of the equilibrium melt temperature $(T_m^{\ 0})$ with the procedure of Hoffman and Weeks ⁴⁰.

318

The Avrami approach aims at calculating the volume of material that crystallizes as a function of time. The model states that the crystallinity developed by a heated isothermal material for a time t can be correlated with the type and kinetics of nucleation and crystalline growth. The main assumptions on which the Avrami equation is based are that the sample is large enough to neglect the edge effects, the nuclei distribution is spatially random, and the activation of the nuclei and the growth rate of the crystalline lamellae are independent of time (the last two assumptions being verified in isothermal mode). This model, described by equation 1,
 provides parameters for the kinetics of total crystallization under isothermal conditions ^{36,41}.

327

328
$$\alpha(t) = 1 - \exp(-kt^n)$$
 Equation 1

329

Where $\alpha(t)$ is the relative (fractional) crystallinity as a function of time, and *n* and *k* are the 330 331 Avrami parameters. n is related to the type of nucleation, morphology, and size of crystals 332 developed during crystallization, and k is related to the nucleation rate and growth of the 333 crystalline phase. The evaluation of the relative crystallinity as a function of time is carried 334 out from the ratio between the partial (at time t) and total areas of the crystallization isotherm 335 (in relation to the baseline, which represents the zero-heat flux), generating a typical 336 sigmoidal curve. By plotting equation 1 in double log form, we obtain a straight line whose 337 slope gives the values of n, and the intercept (at log(t) = 0) gives the values of k.

338

339 All isotherms shown in Figures 4 and 5 have a sigmoid shape characteristic of a phase 340 transformation process. The results show that an increase of T_c corresponded to a shift to 341 longer crystallization times, indicating a decrease in the rate of crystallization for all of the 342 blends studied with respect to pure polymer. This is a consequence of reducing the 343 supercooling, thus reducing the driving force of the crystallization process. Analyzing the specific behavior of the mixtures (Figure 4) at 0 and 17% of ICA at $T_c = 115$ °C (all ICA 344 345 absorbed in the polymer), and as the ICA concentration in the capsule increases 346 (corresponding to the presence of a liquid phase) it was found that the presence of ICA affects 347 the crystallization behavior of the polymer, both in terms of the temperature and the time of 348 crystallization. It is clear that the blends have a much slower crystallization relative to the 349 pure polymer. The hexane molecules act as a diluent, slowing down the crystallization process

by modifying the liquid free energy (see Figure 1) and consequently reducing the supercooling for a given crystallization temperature. Table 6 shows a significant increase of the $t\frac{1}{2}$ values observe (the time it takes to reach 50% of the total crystallization for a given sample blend) in the presence of ICA.



356

354 355

357 When we evaluate the impact of the molecular weight on the rate of crystallization, we see 358 that the crystallization time increases as the molecular weight increases. HDPE-1H₂ (278 kDa) 359 and HDPE-3H₂ (145 kDa) pure, 15% ICA:HDPE-H₂ and 86% ICA:HDPE-H₂, the 360 crystallization time is faster for the lower molecular weight polymer. However, 28% 361 ICA:HDPE-H₂ exhibit almost the same behavior (Figure 5). Indeed, for such dilution, the 362 decrease in molecular mobility caused by an increase of molecular weight is negligible. The 363 variation of $t^{1/2}$ as a function of molecular weight is displayed in Table 6.

364





A study of the melting behavior of the samples in the presence of ICA was then performed on the samples that were isothermally crystallized at different temperatures. The melting occurs over a wide range of temperatures because of different sizes and degrees of perfection of the crystals present. If T_m is defined as the peak of the curve, its value does not truly represent an intrinsic property of the material. For this reason, T_m^{o} , which represents the melting temperature of infinitely large crystals, constitutes a more appropriate parameter for evaluating the differences in thermal stability of the various compositions ³⁹.

The calculation of T_m^{o} was performed according to the Hoffman-Weeks procedure, in which the measured melting temperature T_m (obtained in the last step of the thermal characterization procedure) of each isothermally crystallized sample is plotted against its T_c . The line of equation $T_m = T_c$ is also plotted and the intersection of the two lines gives the value of T_m^{o} .

-	1 abic 5.	1 mic	or mar	i ci yst	amzan	OII = i/	2.							
	T [ºC]	ፕ ጮርገ		HDPE70			HDPE-1H ₂				HDPE-3H ₂			
		0%	17%	25%	85%	0%	15%	28%	86%	0%	15%	28%	86%	
	91				73									
	94				108				112				92	
	97			54	240				322				212	
	100		49	143					1470				998	
	115	36	313				174	2.303			143	2.303		
	120					62				49				

381 Table 5. Time of half crystallization - $t^{1/2}$

382

383

The evaluation of T_m° according to the Hoffman-Weeks method (Figure 5.5), allows one to 384 compare T_m° of the pure HDPE with that of the blends. For pure HDPE average value of T_m° is 385 approximately 135.5 °C. While this value it is lower than the theoretical melting point for 386 HDPE $(T_m^{\circ} \approx 142 \text{ °C}^{40})$, this value lies within the range 133 - 138 °C typically observed for 387 real HDPE⁴². These differences are due to possible experimental error, but all points present 388 errors less than 5%. T_m° for blends of ICA and HDPE is lower than that of pure HDPE, 389 390 typically near 130 °C for 15-17% ICA:HDPE, and 110 °C for 85% ICA:HDPE. A decrease in 391 the equilibrium melting temperature is observed for both two samples. This shows that there 392 is miscibility between HDPE and ICA.



394 395

396

397

398 Considering the Flory-Huggins theory, the equilibrium melting temperature variation with the 399 diluent concentration can be expressed by:

$$401 \qquad \frac{1}{T_m^0} - \frac{1}{T_{m,melt}^0} = \frac{RV_u}{\Delta h_u V_d} (\phi_d - \chi \phi_d^2)$$
 Equation 2

402

403 where T_{m}^{0} and $T_{m,melt}^{0}$ are the equilibrium melting temperatures of respectively the polymer in 404 solution and the bulk polymer $T_{m,melt}^{0}$ =414.6K and V_{d} is the molar volume of the diluent (for 405 hexane this is 113 Å³), V_{u} is the molar volume of the repeat unit (for ethylene 38 Å³) ⁴³, ΔH_{u} 406 is the heat of fusion of the repeat unit (4,096 J.mol⁻¹), ϕ_{d} is the volume fraction of the diluent 407 in the blend and χ is the Flory-Huggins interaction parameter. An interaction parameter value 408 lower than 0.5 indicates a total miscibility while the miscibility is only partial for χ greater 409 than 0.5. The experimental equilibrium melting temperature was considered in equation.

410

411 Figure 7, the obtained values of T^0_m are plotted against the volume fraction of ICA. Clearly 412 (especially for HDPE 70), a value of χ lower than 0.5 leads to a theoretical description of the 413 equilibrium melting temperature for all ICA fractions. This leads to the conclusion that (as 414 one would expect) the PE and ICA are totally miscible over range of ICA fractions 415 investigated here.

416



418 Figura 7 - Evaluation Flory equation. a) HDPE70 - fraction ICA. b) HDPE-1H₂ - fraction ICA. c) 419 HDPE-3H₂ - fraction ICA.

420

417

- 421 4 **Conclusions**
- 422

In the current study, the isothermal crystallization kinetics of blends of n-hexane and HDPE 423 424 were analyzed by differential scanning calorimetry. The main conclusions are summarized 425 below:

ICA:HDPE ratios form miscible blends 426

427 An equilibrium melt temperature depression (i.e. lower T_m), and an increase in the 428 attainable crystallinity of HDPE were observed with increasing quantities of ICA;

- 429 The crystallization time is significantly higher for the blends compared to the pure 430 polymer.
- 431

432 The impact on the crystallization time could have a significant impact on several process-433 related issues. First of all, if a virgin catalyst particle is injected into the reactor without 434 prepolymerization, the ratio of ICA to polymer on the particle will be very high as the initial 435 chains are formed. This means that the diffusivity and solubility of ethylene will be higher during the initial instants, and thus the concentration of monomer at the active sites and rate of
polymerization will also be higher with respect to polymer formed on "mature" particles.
This is important, especially if we wish to interpret lab-scale data in terms of a kinetic model,
since it is essential to be able to distinguish between physical phenomena (e.g. sorption or
diffusion) and intrinsic catalyst kinetics.

441

In addition, the slower crystallization rate in the presence of ICA implies that the polymer particles can, in some instances undergo a fragmentation step where the polymer is essentially all amorphous in the presence of ICA, whereas in dry mode, it is possible that the polymer crystallizes much more quickly and the particle fragmentation (and transport processes) is quite different in dry mode. One also suspects the melting point depression caused by the ICA would lead the fresh particles to be much stickier than the "older" ones, and perhaps increase the possibility of agglomeration in the reactor.

449

Finally, while slurry phase polymerization has not been discussed in the current paper, it should be clear from the results presented here that polymer swelling, crystallization and morphogenesis will all probably be quite different if one compares slurry to gas phase processes.

454

455

456 Acknowledgements: Financial support of this work from the National Council of Scientific
457 and Technological Development (CNPq), branch of the Ministry of Science, Technology,
458 Innovation and Communications (MCTIC) of Brazil.

459

460 Received: XXXX XX, 2018; Revised: Month XX, XXXX; Published online:

461	((For PPP,	use	"Accepted:	Month	XX,	XXXX"	instead	of	"Published	online"));	DO)I:
-----	------------	-----	------------	-------	-----	-------	---------	----	------------	------------	----	-----

462 10.1002/marc.((insert number)) ((or ppap., mabi., macp., mame., mren., mats.))

463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	
469	
470	
471	
472	
473	
474	
475	TOC
476	
477	The crystallization rate of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) was studied using Differential
478	Scanning Calorimetry (DSC), and it was shown that the presence of an alkane (or induced
479	condensing agent) reduces the rate of polymer crystallization, in some cases to time scales on
480	the order of minutes under conditions characteristic of lab-scale experiments.

482	Refe	rences
483	(1)	J. R. Parrish, G. A. Lambert, D. N. Thomas, "Gas Phase Polymerization and Method
485		of Controlling Same," Patent US 7625987 B2, 2009.
486	(2)	R. Y. Banat, F. Al-Obaidi, A. K. Malek, "Olefin Gas Phase Polymerisation," US
487		2013/0066027 A1, 2013 .
488	(3)	R. Y. Banat, F. Al-Obaidi, A. K. Malek, "Olefin Gas Phase Polymerisation," US
489		2014/0148563 A1, 2014 .
490	(4)	W. L. Carrick; G. L. Karapinka; R. J. Millington. Polymerization Process. US
491		3324095, 1967 .
492	(5)	H. B. Irvin; F. T. Sherk. Polyolefin Reactor System. US 4121029, 1978.
493	(6)	A. R. Miller. Fluidized Bed Reactor. US 4003712, 1977.
494	(7)	T. F. L. McKenna, A. Di Martino, G. Weickert, J. B. P. Soares. Particle Growth during
495		the Polymerisation of Olefins on Supported Catalysts, 1 - Nascent Polymer Structures.
496		Macromol. React. Eng. 4 (1), 40–64, 2010.
497	(8)	A. Di Martino, G. Weickert, F. Sidoroff, T. F. L. McKenna. Modelling Induced
498		Tension in a Growing Catalyst/Polyolefin Particle: A Multi-Scale Approach for
499		Simplified Morphology Modelling. Macromol. React. Eng. 1 (3), 338–352, 2007.
500	(9)	B. Horáčková, Z. Grof, J. Kosek. Dynamics of Fragmentation of Catalyst Carriers in
501		Catalytic Polymerization of Olefins. Chem. Eng. Sci. 62 (18-20), 5264-5270, 2007.
502	(10)	A. Alizadeh, T. F. L. McKenna. Particle Growth during the Polymerization of Olefins
503		on Supported Catalysts. Part 2: Current Experimental Understanding and Modeling
504		Progresses on Particle Fragmentation, Growth, and Morphology Development.
505		Macromol. React. Eng. 12 (1), 2018.
506	(11)	V. Kanellopoulos, D. Mouratides, P. Pladis, C. Kiparissides. Prediction of Solubility of
507		α -Olefins in Polyolefins Using a Combined Equation of State - Molecular Dynamics
508		Approach. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 45 (17), 5870–5878, 2006.
509	(12)	A. G. Fisch, J. H. Z. dos Santos, N. S. M. Cardozo, A. R. Secchi. Mass Transfer in
510		Olefin Polymerization: Estimative of Macro- and Microscale Diffusion Coefficients
511		through the Swollen Polymer. Chem. Eng. Sci. 63 (14), 3727–3739, 2008.
512	(13)	M. Namkajorn, A. Alizadeh, E. Somsook, T. F. L. McKenna. Condensed Mode
513		Cooling for Ethylene Polymerisation : The Influence of Inert Condensing Agent on the
514		Polymerisation Rate. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 1918 (215), 873-878, 2014.
515	(14)	A. Alizadeh, M. Namkajorn, E. Somsook, T. F. L. McKenna. Condensed Mode

516		Cooling for Ethylene Polymerization: Part II. From Cosolubility to Comonomer and
517		Hydrogen Effects. Macromol. Chem. Phys. No. 216, 985-995, 2015.
518	(15)	F. N. Andrade, T. F. L. McKenna. Condensed Mode Cooling for Ethylene
519		Polymerization: Part IV. The Effect of Temperature in the Presence of Induced
520		Condensing Agents. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 218 (20), 1-8, 2017.
521	(16)	M. Namkajorn, A. Alizadeh, D. Romano, S. Rastogi, T. F. L. McKenna. Condensed
522		Mode Cooling for Ethylene Polymerization: Part III. The Impact of Induced
523		Condensing Agents on Particle Morphology and Polymer Properties. Macromol. Chem.
524		Phys. 217 (13), 1521–1528, 2016.
525	(17)	J. Mark, K. Ngai, W. Graessley, L. Mandelkern, E. Samulski, J. Koenig and G.
526		Wignall. Physical Properties of Polymers, Third Ed.; Cambridge University Press,
527		2003.
528	(18)	R. Boistelle, J. P. Astier. Crystallization Mechanisms in Solution. J. Cryst. Growth 90
529		(1–3), 14–30, 1988 .
530	(19)	R. J. Kirkpatrick, Crystal Growth from the Melt: A Review. Am. Miner. 60, 798-814,
531		1975.
532	(20)	M. Zhang, BH. Guo, J. Xu. A Review on Polymer Crystallization Theories. Crystals;
533		Vol. 7, 4, 2017 .
534	(21)	J. D. Hoffman, R. L. Miller. Kinetic of Crystallization from the Melt and Chain
535		Folding in Polyethylene Fractions Revisited: Theory and Experiment. Polymer. 38
536		(13), 3151–3212, 1997 .
537	(22)	G. Strobl, T. Y. Cho. Growth Kinetics of Polymer Crystals in Bulk. Eur. Phys. J. E 23
538		(1), 55–65, 2007 .
539	(23)	L. Mandelkern, A. L. Allou Jr. The fusion of polyethylene single crystals. Academic
540		Press, Polymers, 4 447-452, 1973.
541	(24)	A. G. Jones, Crystallization Process Systems. Cryst. Process Syst. 341, 2002.
542	(25)	F. Toquet, L. Guy, B. Schlegel, P. Cassagnau, R. Fulchiron. Effect of the Naphthenic
543		Oil and Precipitated Silica on the Crystallization of Ultrahigh-Molecular-Weight
544		Polyethylene. Polym. (United Kingdom) 97, 63-68, 2016.
545	(26)	A. Nalcajima, F. Hamada. Estimation of Thermodynamic Interactions between
546		Polyethylene and N-Alkanes by Means of Melting Point Measurements. Kolloid-
547		Zeitsehrift und Zeitschrift für Polymere, Band 205 82, 55-61, 1965.
548	(27)	G. Valdecasas, The Crystallization of Polymer-Diluent Mixtures. J. Polym. Sci. Phys

- 549 *13*, 2103–2115 **1975**.
- 550 (28) E. Riande, J. M. G. Fatou. Effect of Solvent on the Crystallization from Dilute
 551 Polyethylene Solutions. *Polymer.* 17 (9), 795–801, **1976**.
- 552 (29) E. Riande, J. M. G. Fatou. Crystallzation of Dilute Polyethylene Solutions: Influence of
 553 Molecular Weight. *Polymer.* 17, 99–104, **1976**.
- 554 (30) E. Riande, J. M. G. Fatou. Crystallization Kinetics of Polyethylene Paraffin Mixtures.
 555 19, 1295–1299, 1978.
- 556 (31) W. Cobbs Jr, R. Burton. Crystallization of Polyethylene Terephthalate. J. Polym. Sci.
 557 10 (3), 275–290, 1953.
- 558 (32) Y. Teymouri, A. Adams, B. Blümich. Compact Low-Field NMR: Unmasking
 559 Morphological Changes from Solvent-Induced Crystallization in Polyethylene. *Eur.*560 *Polym. J.* 80, 48–57, 2016.
- 561 (33) T. Yamamoto. Computer Modeling of Polymer Crystallization Toward Computer562 Assisted Materials' Design. *Polymer 50* (9), 1975–1985, **2009**.
- 563 (34) A. J. McHugh, W. R. Burghardt, D. A. Holland. The Kinetics and Morphology of
 564 Polyethylene Solution Crystallization. *Polymer.* 27 (10), 1585–1594, **1986**.
- 565 (35) S. Chew, J. R. Griffiths, Z. H. Starchurski. The Crystallization Kinetics of Polyethylene
 566 under Isothermal and Non-Isothermal Conditions. *Polymer 30* (5), 874–881, **1989**.
- 567 (36) J. Zhang, S. Chen, J. Su, X. Shi, J. Jin, X. Wang, Z. Xu. Non-Isothermal
 568 Crystallization Kinetics and Melting Behavior of EAA with Different Acrylic Acid
- 569 Content. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 97 (3), 959–967, 2009.
- 570 (37) T. F. L. McKenna. Condensed Mode Cooling of Ethylene Polymerization in Fluidized
 571 Bed Reactors. *Macromol. React. Eng. 1800026*, **2018**.
- 572 (38) Z. Zhang, F. Yu, H. Zhang. Isothermal and Non-Isothermal Crystallization Studies of
 573 Long Chain Branched Polypropylene Containing Poly(Ethylene-Co-Octene) under
 574 Quiescent and Shear Conditions. *Polymers (Basel)*. 9 (6), **2017**.
- 575 (39) H. Marand, J. Xu, S. Srinivas. Determination of the Equilibrium Melting Temperature
 576 of Polymer Crystals: Linear and Nonlinear Hoffman-Weeks Extrapolations.
 577 *Macromolecules 31* (23), 8219–8229, **1998**.
- 578 (40) J. D. Hoffman, J. J. Weeks. Melting Process and the Equilibrium Melting Temperature
 579 of Polychlorotrifluoroethylene. *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. Sect. A Phys. Chem.* 66A (1),
 580 13–28, 1962.
- 581 (41) E. Koscher, R. Fulchiron. Influence of Shear on Polypropylene Crystallization:

- 582 Morphology Development and Kinetics. *Polymer 43* (25), 6931–6942, **2002**.
- 583 (42) E. Benham, M. McDaniel. Polyethylene, High Density; 2004; Vol. 1936.
- 584 (43) Anesthetic Structure Database | Ethene (Ethylene)
- 585 http://asd.molfield.org/php/view_details.php?id=45&java=false (accessed Nov 23, **2018**).
- 586