

## Brachypodium distachyon tar2l hypo mutant shows reduced root developmental response to symbiotic signal but increased arbuscular mycorrhiza

Luis Buendia, Camille Ribeyre, Sandra Bensmihen, Benoît Lefebvre

### ► To cite this version:

Luis Buendia, Camille Ribeyre, Sandra Bensmihen, Benoît Lefebvre. Brachypodium distachyon tar<br/>2l hypo mutant shows reduced root developmental response to symbiotic signal but increased arbuscular mycorrhiza. Plant Signaling and Behavior, 2019, 14 (10), pp.e1651608. <br/> 10.1080/15592324.2019.1651608. hal-02336105

## HAL Id: hal-02336105 https://hal.science/hal-02336105

Submitted on 18 Jul2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Short Commu	nication
---------------	----------

2	Brachypodium	distachyon tar2l <sup>hypo</sup>	mutant shows reduced	l root developmental
---	--------------	----------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------

- 3 response to symbiotic signal but increased arbuscular mycorrhiza
- 4 Luis Buendia<sup>1</sup>, Camille Ribeyre<sup>1</sup>, Sandra Bensmihen<sup>1</sup> and Benoit Lefebvre<sup>1,\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>LIPM, Université de Toulouse, INRA, CNRS, Castanet-Tolosan, France;
- 6 ORCID ID : Sandra Bensmihen: 0000-0003-1351-7220; Benoit Lefebvre: 0000-0002-3810-
- 7 605X
- 8
- 9 Key Words: lateral root formation, lipo-chitooligosaccharide, auxin, arbuscular mycorrhizal
  10 fungi
- 11
- 12 **\*Correspondence to:**
- 13 Benoit Lefebvre, Email: benoit.lefebvre@inra.fr
- 14
- 15

#### 16 Abstract

Auxin is a major phytohormone that controls root development. A role for auxin is also 17 emerging in the control of plant-microbe interactions, including for the establishment of root 18 19 endosymbiosis between plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF). Auxin perception is 20 important both for root colonization by AMF and for arbuscule formation. AMF produce symbiotic signals called lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCOs) that can modify auxin homeostasis 21 and promote lateral root formation (LRF). Since Brachypodium distachyon (Brachypodium) 22 has a different auxin sensitivity compared to other plant species, we wondered whether this 23 would interfere with the effect of auxin in arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis. Here we 24 tested whether  $tar2l^{hypo}$  a Brachypodium mutant with an increase in endogenous auxin content 25

is affected in LRF stimulation by LCO s and in AM symbiosis. We found that, in contrast to
control plants, LCO treatment inhibited LRF of the *tar2l<sup>hypo</sup>* mutant. However, the level of AMF
colonization and the abundance of arbuscules were increased in *tar2l<sup>hypo</sup>* compared to control
plants, suggesting that auxin also plays a positive role on both AMF colonization and arbuscule
formation in Brachypodium.

31

#### 32 **Results and discussion**

Auxin is a phytohormone with a major effect on plant root development (Overvoorde et al., 33 2010). More recently, auxin has been shown to play an important role for the establishment of 34 root endosymbioses such as arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) or nitrogen-fixing symbioses 35 (Etemadi et al., 2014; Champion et al., 2015; Kohlen et al., 2018). During AM symbiosis, auxin 36 signaling is activated in root cortical cells in which arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) form 37 38 branched exchange structures called arbuscules. Moreover, exogenous auxin application or down-regulation of auxin perception affects arbuscule formation (Etemadi et al., 2014). Since 39 lateral roots (LR) are also preferred sites for AMF colonization (Gutjahr and Paszkowski, 40 2013), auxin may also affect AM symbiosis through the control of lateral root formation (LRF). 41 The lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCOs) signal molecules produced by rhizobial bacteria 42 (Dénarié et al., 1996) and AMF (Maillet et al., 2011) induce LRF in various plant species, 43 including dicots and monocots (Olah et al., 2005; Maillet et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2015; Buendia 44 et al., 2019). We recently showed that this probably occurs through modification of auxin 45 homeostasis, leading to an increase of the auxin content in the part of the root containing the 46 LR initiation zone (Buendia et al., 2019). Whereas exogenous auxin treatment with auxin 47 analogs like Naphtalene-Acetic acid (NAA) increased LRF in most plant species including the 48 monocots rice (Zhao et al., 2015) and maize (Jansen et al., 2013), it failed to promote LRF in 49 Brachypodium distachyon (Brachypodium), and by contrast, tended to inhibit LRF even at low 50

concentrations. Only exogenous treatment with low concentrations (10<sup>-9</sup>M) of the auxin 51 52 precursor Indole-3-Butyric Acid (IBA) promotes LRF in Brachypodium (Buendia et al., 2019). Similarly, whereas LCOs act synergistically with exogenous auxin for stimulation of LRF in 53 the model legume *Medicago truncatula* (Herrbach et al., 2017), combination of 10<sup>-9</sup>M IBA and 54 10<sup>-7</sup>M LCO fails to stimulate LRF in Brachypodium (Buendia et al., 2019). This suggests that 55 Brachypodium is highly sensitive to exogenous auxin treatment for LRF, probably because 56 endogenous auxin content is close to the optimal concentration required for LRF. Indeed, 57 Brachypodium shows some specificities for auxin production and accumulation such as a 58 different ethylene-auxin crosstalk wiring controlling auxin biosynthesis gene expression and a 59 60 different AUX1 mutant phenotype compared to Arabidopsis (Pacheco-Villalobos et al., 2013; van der Schuren et al., 2018). These differences in auxin sensitivity and homeostasis compared 61 to other plant species raise the question of the role of auxin during AM symbiosis in 62 63 Brachypodium.

64

## 65 Brachypodium roots with higher endogenous auxin content display less lateral roots in 66 response to exogenous auxin or LCO treatments

In order to answer this question, we used  $tar2l^{hypo}$ , an hypomorphic mutant of TAR2L, a gene 67 involved in auxin biosynthesis in Brachypodium (Pacheco-Villalobos et al., 2013). This mutant 68 shows reduced transcript level of TAR2L but a higher endogenous IAA content in primary root 69 as well as a modified root architecture with higher number of LRs (Pacheco-Villalobos et al., 70 2013). Similarly, in our conditions, tar2l<sup>hypo</sup> had more LR than the control in non-treated 71 72 condition (Fig. 1A and B). We tested the effect of IBA or LCO on LRF in the wild type control (Fig. 1A), plants of the Bd21 genotype regenerated from embryogenic calli. Similarly to what 73 was observed with the Bd21.3 genotype (Buendia et al., 2019), 10<sup>-9</sup>M IBA and 10<sup>-7</sup>M LCO 74 significantly increased LRF by 37 % and 32%, respectively (from a LR mean number of 13.1 75 in the control condition to 17.9 or 17.3 upon IBA and LCO treatments respectively). By 76

contrast, in the tar2l<sup>hypo</sup> mutant (Fig. 1B), both 10<sup>-9</sup>M IBA and 10<sup>-7</sup>M LCO treatments 77 significantly reduced LRF by 31% and 25% (from a LR mean number of 25 in the control 78 condition to 17.3 or 18.8 upon IBA and LCO treatments respectively). These results confirm 79 our initial hypothesis that Brachypodium is highly sensitive to exogenous auxin treatment likely 80 because the endogenous auxin concentration in wild type plants is close to the optimum 81 concentration required to stimulate LRF, and that any higher auxin content inhibits LRF 82 (Buendia et al., 2019). The negative effect of LCO on the number of LR in the  $tar2l^{hypo}$  mutant 83 also fits with the mechanism we suggested whereby LCO application can enhance endogenous 84 auxin level in roots (Buendia et al., 2019), that might lead to a negative effect on LRF in 85 tar2l<sup>hypo</sup>. 86

87

## 88 Brachypodium roots with higher endogenous auxin content show increased AMF 89 colonization and arbuscule formation

In parallel, we inoculated the  $tar2l^{hypo}$  mutant and the wild type control with 200 spores of the 90 AMF species Rhizophagus irregularis (DAOM197198, Agronutrition, Carbonne, France), and 91 analyzed fungal colonization by two different methods. We first performed RT-qPCR in order 92 93 to quantify at 3 week post inoculation (wpi) the amount of AMF in the root system and the amount of arbuscules. We did so by measuring a fungal housekeeping gene (RiGAPDH) as in 94 (Buendia et al., 2016) and plant genes whose expressions are highly induced in AMF colonized 95 plants (Bradi2g51930 and Bradi2g45520). Bradi2g51930 codes for a LysM-domain containing 96 97 protein (ortholog of the rice AM3 marker gene induced during AMF colonization (Gutjahr et 98 al., 2008)) and Bradi2g45520 codes for a phosphate transporter specifically expressed in arbuscule-containing cells (Hong et al., 2012). We observed a significantly higher expression 99 of RiGAPDH and Bradi2g51930 in the mutant (Fig. 2A) suggesting that tar2l<sup>hypo</sup> is more 100 colonized than the control. In addition, we observed significantly higher expression of 101 Bradi2g45520, suggesting that there were more arbuscules formed in the mutant than in the 102

103 control. To better understand the effect of the  $tar2l^{hypo}$  mutation on AMF colonization, in an 104 independent experiment, we used the mycocalc method (Trouvelot et al., 1986) to score by 105 microcopy root systems at 3wpi. We observed that  $tar2l^{hypo}$  had a significantly higher i) 106 frequency of AMF colonization, ii) AMF colonization intensity and iii) arbuscule abundance 107 (Fig. 2B and 2C) compared to the wild type control. Altogether, this shows that the  $tar2l^{hypo}$ 108 mutation leads to both an increase in AMF colonization and in arbuscule formation.

109

This is likely due to the increase in root endogenous auxin content since similar effects were 110 observed after treatments with exogenous auxin or interference with auxin signaling in tomato 111 and *M. truncatula* (Etemadi et al., 2014). Interestingly both AMF colonization and arbuscule 112 formation were increased in the Brachypodium  $tar2l^{hypo}$  mutant as in tomato and *M. truncatula* 113 after exogenous auxin treatment while only arbuscule formation was increased after exogenous 114 auxin treatment in rice (Etemadi et al., 2014). This role of auxin on AMF colonization might 115 116 occur directly by auxin promoting penetration and/or spreading of AMF in roots and/or indirectly by controlling root architecture. Indeed, we cannot rule out that the increased AMF 117 colonization of *tar2l<sup>hypo</sup>* roots is linked to the increased LR number or to other developmental 118 phenotypes that might result from the mutation in *TAR2L*. Our results open interesting questions 119 on the possible combined effect of LCOs and auxin on arbuscule formation in planta. 120

121

#### 122 Acknowledgements

Seeds of *tar2l<sup>hypo</sup>* and the wild type control were kindly provided by Christian Hardtke (University of Lausanne, Switzerland). This work was supported by the 'Laboratoire d'Excellence (LABEX)' TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41), by the ANR 'WHEATSYM' (ANR-16-CE20-0025-01) and by an INRA/SPE project 'LCAux'. LB was funded by a PhD fellowship from the French 'Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche'.

128

130	Buendia L, Maillet F, O'Connor D, van de-Kerkhove Q, Danoun S, Gough C, Lefebvre
131	<b>B</b> , Bensmihen S (2019) Lipo-chitooligosaccharides promote lateral root formation and
132	modify auxin homeostasis in Brachypodium distachyon. New Phytol 221: 2190-2202
133	Buendia L, Wang T, Girardin A, Lefebvre B (2016) The LysM receptor-like kinase
134	SILYK10 regulates the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in tomato. New Phytologist
135	<b>210:</b> 184-195
136	Champion A, Lucas M, Tromas A, Vaissayre V, Crabos A, Diédhiou I, Prodjinoto H,
137	Moukouanga D, Pirolles E, Cissoko M, Bonneau J, Gherbi H, Franche C, Hocher V,
138	Svistoonoff S, Laplaze L (2015) Inhibition of auxin signaling in Frankia species-infected
139	cells in Casuarina glauca nodules leads to increased nodulation. Plant Physiol 167: 1149-
140	1157
141	Dénarié J, Debellé F, Promé JC (1996) Rhizobium lipo-chitooligosaccharide nodulation factors:
142	signaling molecules mediating recognition and morphogenesis. Annu Rev Biochem 65:
143	503-535
144	Etemadi M, Gutjahr C, Couzigou JM, Zouine M, Lauressergues D, Timmers A, Audran
145	C, Bouzayen M, Bécard G, Combier JP (2014) Auxin perception is required for
146	arbuscule development in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Physiol 166: 281-292
147	Gutjahr C, Banba M, Croset V, An K, Miyao A, An G, Hirochika H, Imaizumi-Anraku
148	H, Paszkowski U (2008) Arbuscular mycorrhiza-specific signaling in rice transcends the
149	common symbiosis signaling pathway. Plant Cell 20: 2989-3005
150	Gutjahr C, Paszkowski U (2013) Multiple control levels of root system remodeling in
151	arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Front Plant Sci 4: 204
152	Herrbach V, Chirinos X, Rengel D, Agbevenou K, Vincent R, Pateyron S, Huguet S,
153	Balzergue S, Pasha A, Provart N, Gough C, Bensmihen S (2017) Nod factors

- 154 potentiate auxin signaling for transcriptional regulation and lateral root formation in
- 155 Medicago truncatula. J Exp Bot **68:** 569-583

156 Hong JJ, Park YS, Bravo A, Bhattarai KK, Daniels DA, Harrison MJ (2012) Diversity of

- 157 morphology and function in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses in Brachypodium
- 158 distachyon. Planta **236**: 851-865
- Jansen L, Parizot B, Beeckman T (2013) Inducible system for lateral roots in Arabidopsis
  thaliana and maize. Methods Mol Biol 959: 149-158
- 161 Kohlen W, Ng JLP, Deinum EE, Mathesius U (2018) Auxin transport, metabolism, and
- signalling during nodule initiation: indeterminate and determinate nodules. J Exp Bot 69:
  229-244
- 164 Maillet F, Poinsot V, André O, Puech-Pages V, Haouy A, Gueunier M, Cromer L,
- 165 Giraudet D, Formey D, Niebel A, Martinez EA, Driguez H, Bécard G, Dénarié J
- 166 (2011) Fungal lipochitooligosaccharide symbiotic signals in arbuscular mycorrhiza.
- 167 Nature **469**: 58-63
- 168 Olah B, Briere C, Bécard G, Dénarié J, Gough C (2005) Nod factors and a diffusible factor
- 169 from arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi stimulate lateral root formation in Medicago truncatula

via the DMI1/DMI2 signalling pathway. Plant J **44**: 195-207

- 171 Overvoorde P, Fukaki H, Beeckman T (2010) Auxin Control of Root Development. Cold
- 172 Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 2: a001537
- 173 Pacheco-Villalobos D, Sankar M, Ljung K, Hardtke CS (2013) Disturbed local auxin
- homeostasis enhances cellular anisotropy and reveals alternative wiring of auxin-ethylene
- 175 crosstalk in Brachypodium distachyon seminal roots. PLoS Genet 9: e1003564
- 176 Sun J, Miller JB, Granqvist E, Wiley-Kalil A, Gobbato E, Maillet F, Cottaz S, Samain E,
- 177 Venkateshwaran M, Fort S, Morris RJ, Ané JM, Dénarié J, Oldroyd GE (2015)

178 Activation of symbiosis signaling by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in legumes and rice.

179 Plant Cell **27:** 823-838

#### 180 Trouvelot A, Kough J, Gianinazzi-Pearson V (1986) mesure du taux de mycorhization VA

181 d'un système radiculaire. Recherche de méthodes d'estimation ayant une signification

182 fonctionnelle. Physiological and Genetical Aspects of Mycorrhizae V. Gianinazzi-

183 Pearson and S. Gianinazzi, S (eds): 217-221

#### 184 van der Schuren A, Voiniciuc C, Bragg J, Ljung K, Vogel J, Pauly M, Hardtke CS

- 185 (2018) Broad spectrum developmental role of Brachypodium AUX1. New Phytol **219**:
- 186 1216-1223
- 187 Vierheilig H, Coughlan AP, Wyss U, Piche Y (1998) Ink and vinegar, a simple staining
- technique for arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi. Applied and Environmental Microbiology
  64: 5004-5007
- **Zhao H, Ma T, Wang X, Deng Y, Ma H, Zhang R, Zhao J** (2015) OsAUX1 controls lateral
- 191 root initiation in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Plant Cell Environ **38:** 2208-2222



#### 193 Figure 1

# 194 IBA and LCO stimulate lateral root formation in *Brachypodium* control plants and inhibit 195 lateral root formation in the auxin overproducer mutant *tar2l<sup>hypo</sup>*.

lateral root formation in the auxin overproducer mutant *tar2l<sup>hypo</sup>*.
 Effect of IBA (10<sup>-9</sup>M) and LCO-V(C18:1,NMe,S) (10<sup>-7</sup>M) on *Brachypodium* emerged lateral

root number observed at 10 day post-transplantation in wild type control plants (A) or  $tar2l^{hypo}$ 

198 plants (B). Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis followed by a post-hoc Van-Werden test was used

for statistical analyses. Different letters represent statistically different categories. Data are from

200 3 biological replicates (60 to 90 individuals in total).



#### 202 Figure 2

201

## The auxin overproducer mutant $tar2l^{hypo}$ is more colonized by AMF than the wild type control.

A) Relative expression of a fungal housekeeping gene (*RiGAPDH*) and plant AM marker genes 205 (*Bradi2g51930* and *Bradi2g45520*) in wild type control plants or  $tar2l^{hypo}$  plants, in absence 206 (Myc-) or presence (Myc+) of AMF. RNA extraction (from entire root systems collected at 3 207 wpi) and qRT-PCR were performed as described in Buendia et al., 2016. Expression was 208 normalized to the plant housekeeping gene  $BdEF1\alpha$  expression. Dotplots show the distribution 209 of 2 to 10 pools of five plants, from 1 (Myc-) or 3 (Myc+) biological replicates. The median is 210 shown for the Myc+ samples. \*\* = t- test p < 0.01. B) Frequency of AMF colonization (% of the 211 root system), intensity of AMF colonization (arbitrary unit, AU) and arbuscule abundance (AU) 212 in wild type control plants and  $tar2l^{hypo}$  plants. 18 individuals of each genotype were grown in 213 50 ml tubes filled with attapulgite as described in Buendia et al., 2016. Thirty root pieces were 214 randomly collected from each plant at 3 wpi, stained and phenotyped according to the mycocalc 215 method (Trouvelot et al., 1986). F= frequency of colonization in the root system; m = intensity 216 217 of colonization in the root fragments; a = arbuscule abundance in the root fragments. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean. \* = T-test p value < 0.05; \*\*\* = T-test p value < 0.001. C). 218 Bright field images of control (upper panel) and  $tar2l^{hypo}$  (lower panel) roots stained with ink 219 as described in (Vierheilig et al., 1998). AMF stained in blue. Bars, 100 µm. 220