

Visualizing reaction and diffusion in xanthan gum aerosol particles exposed to ozone

P. Alpert, P. Arroyo, J. Dou, U. Krieger, S. Steimer, J. Foerster, F. Ditas, C.

Poehlker, S. Rossignol, M. Passananti, et al.

To cite this version:

P. Alpert, P. Arroyo, J. Dou, U. Krieger, S. Steimer, et al.. Visualizing reaction and diffusion in xanthan gum aerosol particles exposed to ozone. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 2019, 21 (37), pp.20613-20627. 10.1039/c9cp03731d. hal-02331041

HAL Id: hal-02331041 <https://hal.science/hal-02331041v1>

Submitted on 18 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

PCCP

PAPER

Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,* 2019, 21, 20613

Received 2nd July 2019, Accepted 22nd August 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9cp03731d

rsc.li/pccp

Visualizing reaction and diffusion in xanthan gum aerosol particles exposed to ozone†

Peter A. Alpert, \mathbf{D}^* Pablo Corral Arroyo, \mathbf{D}^{ab} Jing Dou, \mathbf{D}^c Ulrich K. Krieger, \mathbf{D}^c Sarah S. Steimer, \mathbf{D}^d Jan-David Förster, \mathbf{D}^e Florian Ditas, \mathbf{D}^e Christopher Pöhlker, ^{De} Stéphanie Rossignol,^{fg} Monica Passananti, D^{fhi} Sebastien Perrier, \mathbf{D}^{\dagger} Christian George, \mathbf{D}^{\dagger} Manabu Shiraiwa, \mathbf{D}^{\dagger} Thomas Berkemeier, D^{ek} Benjamin Watts D^t and Markus Ammann D^{*a}

Atmospheric aerosol particles with a high viscosity may become inhomogeneously mixed during chemical processing. Models have predicted gradients in condensed phase reactant concentration throughout particles as the result of diffusion and chemical reaction limitations, termed chemical gradients. However, these have never been directly observed for atmospherically relevant particle diameters. We investigated the reaction between ozone and aerosol particles composed of xanthan gum and FeCl₂ and observed the in situ chemical reaction that oxidized Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ using X-ray spectromicroscopy. Iron oxidation state of particles as small as 0.2 um in diameter were imaged over time with a spatial resolution of tens of nanometers. We found that the loss off $Fe²⁺$ accelerated with increasing ozone concentration and relative humidity, RH. Concentric 2-D column integrated profiles of the Fe²⁺ fraction, α , out of the total iron were derived and demonstrated that particle surfaces became oxidized while particle cores remained unreacted at RH = 0–20%. At higher RH, chemical gradients evolved over time, extended deeper from the particle surface, and Fe^{2+} became more homogeneously distributed. We used the kinetic multi-layer model for aerosol surface and bulk chemistry (KM-SUB) to simulate ozone reaction constrained with our observations and inferred key parameters as a function of RH including Henry's Law constant for ozone, H_{O_3} , and diffusion coefficients for ozone and iron, D_{O_2} and D_{Fe} , respectively. We found that H_{O_2} is higher in our xanthan gum/FeCl₂ particles than for water and increases when RH decreased from about 80% to dry conditions. This coincided with a decrease in both D_{O_2} and D_{Fe} . In order to reproduce observed chemical gradients, our model predicted that ozone could not be present further than a few nanometers from a particle surface indicating near surface reactions were driving changes in iron oxidation state. However, the observed chemical gradients in α observed over hundreds of nanometers must have been the result of iron transport from the particle interior to the surface where ozone oxidation occurred. In the context of our results, we examine the applicability of the reacto-diffusive framework and discuss diffusion limitations for other reactive gas-aerosol systems of atmospheric importance. **PAPER View Articles (a)**
 CALINDER CONTROL CONTROL

a Laboratory of Environmental Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland. E-mail: Peter.Alpert@psi.ch, Markus.Ammann@psi.ch

^b Institute for Physical Chemistry, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

^c Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

^d Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 1EW, UK

 e^e Multiphase Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, 55128 Mainz, Germany

 f Univ. Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, IRCELYON, F-69626, Villeurbanne, France

^g Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, LCE UMR 7376, 13331 Marseille, France

h Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research/Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, 00710, Helsinki, Finland

ⁱ Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Torino, Via Giuria 5, 10125 Torino, Italy

^j Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-2025, USA

^k School of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

^l Laboratory for Synchrotron Radiation-Condensed Matter, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9cp03731d

1 Introduction

Organic matter in atmospheric aerosol stems from a variety of natural and anthropogenic sources and is found in significant quantities in almost every single particle. $1-3$ Aerosol particles can both scatter and absorb light to varying degrees depending on their morphology and chemical composition, and they significantly impact the global radiative balance. $4,5$ These particles also act as nuclei for liquid droplets and ice in clouds.⁶⁻⁸ The fate of aerosol particles residing in the atmosphere is largely dependent on physical processes such as rain out and gravitational settling, but also on chemical transformation.⁹ Atmospheric aerosol particles are largely composed of organic and inorganic species such as sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, sea salts and trace metals, and this mix of compounds can influence uptake and internal production of oxidants.¹⁰ In turn, reactions in the condensed aerosol phase can lead to significant chemical change over time. $11-13$ For example, chemical reactions can lead to more oxygenated functionalities in particles thus increasing hydrophilicity leading to more efficient cloud condensation nuclei.¹⁴ On the other hand, less hygroscopic sea spray aerosol particles can result from first condensing organic acids, then chlorine displacement and finally the formation of sodium-organic salts that take up less water than marine halides. $14,15$ Aerosol particles dominated by organic matter can be highly viscous with physical properties similar to glass or tar, or they can exist in a more liquid-like state depending on location, altitude, temperature and relative humidity.^{16,17} Reactions in highly viscous particles can be diffusion limited, *i.e.* when the transport of the involved parent species is slow. This results in a reduced overall rate of reaction.17,18 There is a wealth of research into the effects of this phenomenon on chemical and physical aerosol processes and includes, in part, aerosol growth after nucleation, $19-21$ condensed phase reactions in secondary organic aerosol, 22 water uptake and ice nucleation⁷ and preservation and transport of biomass burning tracer compounds²³ or pollutants.^{13,24} Paper
 Contained Commonstrate Constrained Commonstrate Commonst

Oxidants such as OH^{25-35} and O_3^{36-41} reacting with micrometer and submicrometer sized organic aerosol particles in a humidified environment have been previously observed and modeled to increase our understanding of how these small molecules may diffuse through and react within atmospheric particles. Further studies have made great strides in developing model frameworks to describe experimental data, including modeling of chemical reactions at the surface and within the bulk of aerosol particles.^{29,32,35,36,42-52} In Shiraiwa et al.,³⁶ the chemical half life of amino acids in thin films of bovine serum albumin (BSA) at a relative humidity, RH, of 90% and temperature, T, of 25 \degree C was determined to be about 5 min and increased to over an hour when RH $<$ 50%. These authors used the kinetic multilayer model for aerosol surface and bulk chemistry (KM-SUB) to attribute this RH dependence on inhomogeneous mixing of reactants and products and calculated that at RH = 50%, the BSA diffusion coefficient was 10^{-20} cm² s⁻¹ with a viscosity close to that of a glass,³⁶ typically greater than 10^{12} Pa s.⁵³⁻⁵⁵ Ozone had a diffusion coefficient of 10^{-9} cm² s⁻¹ and was predicted only to be present and reacting in the first tens of

nanometers of the BSA films.³⁶ Heine et $al.^{41}$ found that the decay of squalene reacting with $O₃$ over time was identical over the RH range of 0–60%, leading them to use a stochastic multilayer model, Kinetiscope, to reproduce their results having a 1 nm adsorption layer where the reaction with $O₃$ was predicted to mainly occur. This was supported by the authors' previous studies⁴⁰ and their calculations of the $O₃$ diffusion coefficient during reaction observed for particles with a 1–2 nm squalene coating.⁵⁶ In an earlier study by Steimer et $al.^{38}$ shikimic acid particles were chemically imaged with scanning transmission X-ray microscopy coupled to near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy (STXM/NEXAFS) during $O₃$ exposure as a function of RH. The authors directly probed the C=C 1s $\rightarrow \pi^*$ electronic transition and observed that reactive decay was highly dependent on RH, where shikimic acid lifetime increased 3 orders of magnitude when RH was decreased from 82 to 12%. Later, Steimer et al.³⁹ measured O_3 reactive uptake coefficients to shikimic acid in a comprehensive data set with high time resolution over seconds to 14 h at RH = 92, 83, 68, 45, 24 and 0%, a constant O₃ gas phase concentration, $[O_3]_g = 178$ ppb and additionally for various $[O_3]_g = 79, 178, 495$ and 1985 ppb at RH = 92 and 24%. This data set was a benchmark for a later modeling study by Berkemeier et $al.^{49}$ using KM-SUB, who were able to determine physico-chemical parameters with high confidence such as chemical reaction kinetics of shikimic acid and reactive oxygen intermediates as well as changes in particle phase and molecular transport of $O₃$, all of which would have otherwise been impossible under a narrow experimental range of RH, time and $[O_3]_{\varphi}$.

Observations and models mentioned above gave great insight to how molecules react and diffuse in aerosol particles, however there is a lack of direct observations of the internal chemical gradients throughout the bulk of a particle to compare against any model predictions until now. The term chemical gradient is defined here to describe a concentration gradient of a reactant inside an aerosol particle due to chemical reaction and diffusion. We use STXM/NEXAFS to image chemical gradients with 35 nm resolution inside organic aerosol particles in situ during O₃ reaction at different RH and $[O_3]_g$. Steimer *et al.*³⁸ were the first to attempt such a measurement. In a scenario where $O₃$ loss is fast compared to its rate of transport, referred to as a reacto-diffusive limitation, O_3 should not penetrate far into the particle interior. Instead, O_3 would be limited to a characteristic length scale known as the reacto-diffusive length, which depends on its diffusion coefficient and first order loss rate.³⁸ More precisely, it is the distance over which the O_3 concentration in the condensed phase, $[O_3]$, drops by a factor of $1/e$. In Steimer et al.,³⁸ imaged chemical gradients in C=C absorption signal were not discernible under dry conditions, while homogeneous degradation of $C=C$ occurred throughout the particles under humid conditions. Considering uncertainties due to image alignment, a low X-ray signal at thin particle edges and a limit on the contrast between $C=C$ absorption and total carbon, these authors hypothesized that shikimic acid loss was constrained to a too thin layer to become visible.³⁸ Berkemeier et $al.^{49}$ later calculated the extent of the chemical gradient

under low RH conditions to be well below 100 nm and beyond the resolution of STXM/NEXAFS.

In this study, we exposed particles composed of a mixture of xanthan gum (XG) and FeCl_2 to O_3 and observed internal chemical reaction gradients over time, t . Iron in FeCl₂ has an oxidation state of +2 and transitions to an oxidation state of +3 when reacted with O₃, *i.e.* from Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺.^{57–61} The use of iron in particles is a major benefit when employing STXM/ NEXAFS due to the fact that X-ray absorption peaks are strong, narrow and thus can be observed with much higher contrast to detect Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} compared to the absorption from carbon functionalities such as C $=$ C used in previous measurements.^{38,40} Additionally, we built on previous work 62 and developed novel experimental and analytical procedures to quickly and efficiently quantify Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} for thousands of individual particles with a well-constrained uncertainty. In general, Fe containing aerosol particles are important, e.g. for their role in generating reactive oxygen species and free radicals in lung fluid and potentially leading to detrimential human health effects.⁶³⁻⁶⁶ Iron deposition impacts ocean fertilization and stimulating the growth of phytoplankton.⁶⁷ Iron oxidation state can affect iron solubility, and iron can bind with organic ligands in atmospheric or oceanic particles influencing bioavailability in aquatic ecosystems.⁶⁸⁻⁷⁵ Moffet et al.⁶² used STXM/NEXAFS to quantify the Fe²⁺ fraction, α , out of the total iron in ambient particles and calculated an average mass weighted value of α = 0.33 \pm 0.08 during a pollution transport event from China to Japan, of which \sim 5% of particles contained detectable iron. As a polysaccharide and biopolymer, XG is a unique model compound of marine derived organic matter in atmospheric aerosol.76 The change in XG composition by a few percent in water is enough to result in large changes in solution viscosity, a property that is highly desired for additives used in the food industry.^{77,78} XG hygroscopicity is of particular interest because when RH decreases, XG water content decreases and its viscosity increases.76,79 We note that XG is a reference compound for quantifying what is known as ''transparent exopolymer particles'' in oceans⁸⁰⁻⁸² which have recently been found in ambient air at concentrations of 2 μ g m⁻³ over the North Atlantic.⁸³ For these reasons, the XG/Fe^{2+} system is an interesting proxy for understanding molecular diffusion and reaction limitations in atmospheric marine derived aerosol. PCCP

The metalline and Normal Downloade of the minimum of α_1, β_2 and were denoted with valence gradient metalline and

an interval and NOS and TRAFI-10 compared of a minimum of a sharehold data allows a nood constan

Here, we used iron as a tracer for STXM/NEXAFS to unambiguously identify chemical gradients in oxidation state as the direct result of reaction and molecular diffusion limitation. These data were used to experimentally derive 2-D projected α profiles within thousands of individual particles in situ yielding the first direct evidence of chemical gradients in viscous particles. We report on how gradients change when particles were dry $(RH = 0\%)$ or humidified at RH = 20, 40, 60 and 80%. KM-SUB was used to model molecular transport and reaction in spherical shells of aerosol particles and derived 3-D radial profiles of α using known chemical reaction rates. These 3-D α profiles were then used to calculate 2-D column integrated profiles of α for direct comparison with STXM/NEXAFS observations. Model parameters were diffusion coefficients for Fe and

 O_3 , D_{Fe} and D_{O_3} , and were described with a Vignes-type equation as a function of water mole fraction. Henry's Law constant for $O₃$ in the XG/FeCl $_2$ matrix, H_{O_3} , was also derived. Our STXM/NEXAFS spatio-chemical data allows a novel constraint for modeling aerosol internal chemical profiles, i.e. simultaneous reproduction of bulk Fe²⁺ depletion and the spatio-temporal evolution of O_3 and $Fe²⁺$ reaction. In the context of our results, we discuss the applicability of the reacto-diffusive limiting case and the importance of direct observational constraints on model predictions of atmospheric aerosol chemical aging.

2 Methods

Mixed XG and FeCl_2 particles were nebulized from dilute aqueous solution, dried and impacted onto silicon nitride membranes for exposure experiments and in situ STXM/NEXAFS analysis. Particle diameters on all samples were between 0.2 and 4.0 µm. Prior to impaction, silicon nitride substrates were preglued into removable sample exchange clips fitted to either of two microreactors named the PolLux environmental microreactor⁸⁴ and the MPI-C aerosol micro-reactor.⁸⁵ Both microreactors flow a stream of gas with controllable temperature, humidity and ozone content over particles remaining fixed on X-ray transparent membranes. Further details of the microreactors are provided in previous publications^{84,85} and specific operating conditions are provided in the ESI.† Particles were impacted onto the silicon nitride substrates and exposed to He, O_2 , H_2O and O_3 at a desired partial pressure and $T_p = 20$ °C, and thus RH and reactive gas exposure could be well-controlled.^{38,84} Helium was used as a carrier gas with a flow of 20 cm^3 min⁻¹ at standard temperature and pressure. A flow of O_2 at 5 cm^3 min^{-1} first passed through a custom built UV lamp O_3 generator then mixed with the humidified He flow. The concentration of O_3 over t was measured online using an absorption cell 38 and is given in Fig. S1 of the ESI.[†] The calculated water partial pressure and T_p was used to determine the RH the particles were exposed to using the saturation vapor pressure parameterizations following Murphy and Koop.⁸⁶ A total microreactor pressure of 150 mbar and flow rate of 25 $\text{cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ was maintained. The operating principle of the MPI-C aerosol micro-reactor is similar to the PolLux microreactor. The MPI environmental cell was coupled to the same gas flow system as the PolLux microreactor with equal gas flow rates. However, the cell pressure was maintained at \sim 450 mbar. This allowed for a greater concentration of O_2 in the O_3 generator, and thus a greater $[O_3]_g$ for experiment with higher exposure. Detailed experimental methods are further outlined in the ESI.†

The Fe oxidation state and carbon functionality was probed using STXM/NEXAFS at the PolLux endstation located at the Swiss Light Source $(SLS).$ ⁸⁷ The transmission of X-ray photons through the particle was measured and converted to optical density, OD = $-\ln(I/I_0)$, where I_0 and I are the incident and transmitted photon count, respectively, and their uncertainty is $\sigma_{I_0} = \sqrt{I_0}$ and $\sigma_I = \sqrt{I}$. X-ray light was focused to a 35 by 35 nm spot size while scanning a field of view (FOV) on the order of micrometers to generate an OD image at a single X-ray energy.

An image was made of hundreds to thousands of individually measured pixels, where each is the OD over an area of \simeq 10⁻³ μ m². Multiple OD images over the same FOV taken over a range of X-ray energies were aligned and processed using publicly available software.⁸⁸ We primarily investigated the X-ray energy range between 700–735 eV, which is the Fe L-edge absorption. When present in particles, peak absorption due to Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} occur at slightly different X-ray energies, allowing to differentiate between the two.^{89,90} The X-ray energy at Fe²⁺ peak absorption for FeCl₂ measured here was compared with previous literature⁶² and an energy offset was obtained as a calibration. Peak absorptions for ferrous and ferric chloride are at 707.8 and 709.5 eV, respectively 62 as seen in Fig. 1. Using the parameterization from Moffet et al , 62 α was determined from measuring the peak optical density, OD, corresponding to Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} indicated in Fig. 1 and taking their ratio, r. A more detailed description of our experimental methods, observations and how r and α is calculated is given in the ESI.[†] In addition, a careful assessment of X-ray exposure used to not damage the particles while maximizing signal is also included (see Fig. S2 and S3, ESI†). Paper

Access Article Content and Content

The KM-SUB model was used to simulate chemical reaction and molecular transport inside of our $XG/FeCl₂$ particles and infer diffusion and solubility parameters.^{45,49} A detailed description of KM-SUB can be found in Shiraiwa et al^{45} and Berkemeier et al.⁴⁹ Briefly, this model solves the system of nonlinear differential equations for the time rate of change of O_3 and $Fe²⁺$ in prescribed layers with defined thickness. It accounts for O_3 accommodation and absorption, the O_3 and Fe²⁺ diffusion from the surface and within bulk layers and finally, the chemical loss of O_3 and Fe²⁺. The Knudsen number for our flow conditions was on the order of 10⁰ and γ was on the order of 10⁻⁴-10⁻⁶ during reaction. These were used to determine the gas diffusion

Fig. 1 Near edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectra of oxidized XG/FeCl₂ particles at RH = 0 and 60% shown as the red and orange lines, respectively, along with measured FeCl₂ spectra as the blue line. Standards for ferrous and ferric chloride are shown as the blue and green shading, respectively.⁶² Spectra have been scaled and shifted vertically for clarity. Dashed lines indicate typical peak absorption for Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} at X-ray energies of 707.9 and 709.6 eV.

correction factor⁴² close to unity and thus was ignored. A single spherical aerosol particle geometry with $d_p = 0.5 \mu m$ was applied for all simulations. The model had 2 spatial regions where the first extended from the surface to a short distance into the bulk and hosted 100 to 500 finely spaced layers to resolve the O_3 concentration there. The surface typically had the strongest chemical gradients because O_3 molecules that entered the particle were rapidly consumed by reaction with Fe^{2+} . Care was taken to resolve $l_{\rm rd}$ for both O_3 and Fe^{2+} with multiple layers. The remaining particle bulk had evenly spaced layers with 0.5 to 2 nm thickness, which was sufficient to resolve observed $Fe²⁺$ gradients. The total number of layers in a simulation varied between 500–1500 depending on O_3 and Fe²⁺ gradients. α_0 was approximated to be uniform through the particle. The O_3 uptake coefficient, γ , was calculated over time using the O₃ surface coverage, the net flux from the gas phase to the surface and the collision flux^{45,49} shown in Fig. S9 in the ESI.[†] The model was modified to include a changing gas phase $O₃$ concentration over t.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 NEXAFS spectra

Fig. 1 shows example NEXAFS spectra of oxidized $XG/FeCl₂$ particles at dry and humidified conditions in comparison with the reference material FeCl_2 measured here and FeCl_2 and FeCl₃ from literature.⁶² Two absorption peaks at 707.8 and 709.6 eV were observed for oxidized XG/FeCl₂ particles and were in agreement with Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} peak absorption energies for $FeCl₂$ and $FeCl₃$, respectively. Although nearly identical peak positions were observed, we did not investigate how humidity and the organic polysaccharide matrix influences Fe electronic excitations in detail. Important to note from Fig. 1 is that only $Fe²⁺$ and $Fe³⁺$ peaks were observed in oxidized particles meaning that the total Fe concentration, $[Fe_{tot}] = [Fe²⁺] + [Fe³⁺]$, where $[Fe²⁺]$ and $[Fe^{3+}]$ is the concentration of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} species, respectively, and

$$
\alpha = [Fe^{2+}]/[Fe_{\text{tot}}].
$$
 (1)

We note that X-ray absorption at the Fe L-edge was observed to be distributed homogeneously throughout the particles and never in dense localized regions (i.e. as immersed iron nanoparticles) indicating that iron was well-mixed in our experiments.

3.2 Xanthan gum/FeCl₂ particles exposed to oxygen

We performed exposure experiments of $XG/FeCl₂$ particles to $O₂$ and observed no change in α as a function of t at any RH we investigated (see Fig. S4 in the ESI†). Therefore, any reaction taking place between O_2 and Fe^{2+} over our time scales was considered negligible. Further discussion of reaction kinetics of O_2 and O_3 is discussed later in Section 4.5. The scatter in α for O_2 exposure mostly fell within ± 0.07 , which was the standard deviation of α for individual particles with a diameter, d_p , seen in Fig. S5 in the ESI.[†] It is apparent that α values for larger particles have a smaller error. This is due to both the greater number of pixels and the greater signal statistics, i.e.

larger particles are thicker, absorb more and thus, have a better signal to noise ratio. Particles with $d_p < 0.2 \mu m$ (not shown) were discarded from our analysis because their error was typically larger than any physically realistic range in α . The standard deviation of each data set indicated in Fig. S5 and plotted in Fig. S4 (ESI†) was typically larger than the error propagated through quadrature. For the remainder of the manuscript, error bars on all α values are either ± 0.07 or the propagated error, whichever is greater.

3.3 Oxidation of xanthan gum/FeCl₂ particles by ozone

Fig. 2 shows α averaged over all particles as a function of time, ozone exposure, ϕ , and RH. The most striking result is that under dry conditions where RH = 0 and 20%, very little change in α was observed. At more humid conditions of RH = 40, 60 and 80%, average α values decreased noticeably over t. Although the green circles for RH = 60% shows the greatest decrease in α , this is a consequence of using higher $[O_3]_g$ (see Fig. S1 in the ESI†). At $RH = 0$, 20 and 60%, shown as red, pink and green circles, respectively, $[O_3]_g$ was on the order of 10^3 ppb which was about 10 times higher than for RH = 40 and 80%. Fig. 2b shows α as a function of $\phi = \int [O_3]_g(t) \cdot dt$, where $[O_3]_g(t)$ is taken from Fig. S1 (ESI†). Iron oxidation was greater at RH = 80% than at any other RH as a function of ϕ . This can be seen in particular at $\phi = 10^{-3}$ atm s, where the blue symbols reach $\alpha = 0.3$ while all other data at RH < 80% have higher α . In general, as RH or $[O_3]_{\alpha}$ increased, O3 heterogeneous reaction rates must have also increased.

We acquired chemical images with high spatial resolution to quantify α over the particles in two dimensions. To accomplish this, α was averaged over all pixels identified at the perimeter of particles irrespective of particle size. In other words, a was calculated from the particle perimeter to 1 pixel, or 35 nm, from the surface. Then, all adjacent concentric pixels toward the particle center (from 1 to 2 pixels from the particle surface or 35–70 nm) were identified and their corresponding α values averaged. This continued toward the center of particles and generated a 2-D concentric profile of α , which is also a column integrated profile.

Fig. 3 shows 2-D profiles of α as a function of time and the pixels (distance) from the perimeter of particles for all experiments.

More oxidation occurred at the perimeter than at the center of particles, and the chemical gradients were typically shallow for all RH. For example, α increased from 0.45 to 0.65 at RH = 40% and $t = 180$ min (orange symbol color in Fig. 3c) over ~ 0.5 µm. At RH = 80%, α increased from 0.25 to 0.35 for the same reaction time and distance. For RH = 0 and 20%, α increased only from the surface to \sim 0.2 µm in depth, implying a stronger $Fe²⁺$ concentration gradient under dry conditions than for more humid conditions. Prior to exposure, initial values of α were not equivalent to 1, implying that the short time spent in contact in ambient laboratory air was enough to trigger oxidation. However, we carefully quantified initial α prior to O_3 exposure to ensure a high enough value for experiments as shown by the grey symbols in Fig. 3.

Observed 2-D profiles were likely to be influenced by 4 factors. The first is the plasticizing effect water has on viscous XG-containing particles to the extent that a greater water content likely enhanced D_{O_3} . At low RH, D_{O_3} may have been low enough to limit penetration to the particle bulk for further reaction with Fe²⁺ in line with our observations that α was always less at particle surfaces than at their center. The glass transition temperature of XG was previously determined to be -16.4 and -23.3 °C for RH of 11 and 84%, respectively.⁷⁹ Although our experiments were performed at 20 \degree C which is significantly warmer than the glass transition temperature, we still expect sufficiently low diffusion coefficients as to limit reactions due to the fact that XG is thermally stable and its viscosity does not change much with temperature.^{78,91} Dawson et al.⁷⁶ extrapolated viscosity measurements in dilute XG aqueous solutions from Wyatt and Liberatore⁹² to higher concentrations and RH = 80% obtaining 10^{13} Pa s at 20 °C. We note that extrapolations beyond experimental conditions can be inaccurate. However, this high value is in line with our suggestion that XG remains highly viscous and possibly close to or in a glass-like state even at high humidity. As a consequence, slow molecular transport of O_3 through the glassy XG matrix should contribute to our observed gradients. PCCP

Report on the first one of the signal particle, the comparison of the chromic system of the signal particles are the proposition of the common particles are detective in the common particles are the common particles

> The second effect on observed 2-D profiles and RH-dependent oxidation kinetics is that $H_{O₃}$ may increase under dry conditions in XG compared to water where the condensed phase ozone

Fig. 2 Measured and modeled depletion of the Fe²⁺ fraction, α , as a function of (a) time, t, and (b) ozone exposure, ϕ , for RH = 0, 22, 43, 60 and 80% as red, pink, black, green and blue circles, respectively. Each data point was determined from approximately 5–25 particles each within a field of view imaged with STXM. The error bars are either ±0.07 or the propagated error from photon counting statistics, whichever is greater. Solid lines are calculations from the KM-SUB model using parameters given in Table 1. The dotted line and shadings in panel (a) are predictions applying the reactodiffusive framework described in more detail in the text. Shadings are not shown in panel (b) for clarity.

Published on 17 September 2019. Downloaded on 17 September 2019.

The common access Articles. Published on 17 September 2019. Downloaded to 17 September 2019.

2019 12:57:10 PM. This article. Published and Creative Common

Fig. 3 Observed and modeled 2-D profiles of the Fe²⁺ fraction, α , as a function of O₃ exposure time as the color scale at a RH of (a) 0%, (b) 22%, (c) 43%, (d) 60% and (e) 80%. Grey symbols are initial conditions prior to $O³$ exposure. Example error bars are included only on some data points for clarity. The bottom and top abscissa are the pixels and distance from the particle perimeter, respectively, where each pixel has a spatial dimension of 35 by 35 nm Solid lines are fit to this data with parameters given in Table 1.

concentration, $[O_3]$ = $H_{O_3}[O_3]_g$. It was observed previously that for pure shikimic acid, $H_{O₃}$ in organic material was about an order of magnitude higher than for O_3 in water.^{38,49} Solubility of O_3 is known to be higher in less polar solvents, thus it is expected that higher organic content (less polar than water) leads to an increase in O_3 solubility.⁹³ Higher values of H_{O_2} may increase condensed phase O_3 concentration near the surface, increase the first order reaction rate there and cause stronger chemical gradients at low RH. A third reason is the change in the diffusion coefficient of Fe as a function of RH. As XG is a hydrogel material that takes up water in subsaturated conditions, we hypothesize that the Fe diffusion coefficient, D_{Fe} , should increase with increasing RH. A higher or lower value of D_{Fe} should result in more homogeneous or inhomogeneous α distribution, respectively, which is in line with our observations.

The fourth factor influencing 2-D profiles is dilution with water at higher RH. Water uptake will increase particle volume and cause [Fe_{tot}] to decrease and thus decrease the first order loss rate. Accounting for the water content as a function of RH between 0–80% given by Dawson *et al.*,⁷⁶ [Fe_{tot}] varies by about 20%. This is small and expected to have little effect compared to changing Henry's Law constant or the diffusion coefficient, which is typically orders of magnitude over a relatively narrow range in RH.^{49,94} We argue that faster transport of Fe^{2+} out of the particle core and faster transport of $O₃$ into the particle from the surface brings them together more readily allowing reactions to proceed at a faster rate at higher RH.

3.4 Prediction of molecular diffusion and solubility

We have employed the KM-SUB model⁴⁹ to infer values of H_{O_3} , D_{O_2} and D_{Fe} shown in Fig. 4 which reproduce observed 2-D profiles in a. Although KM-SUB has been previously constrained with data relating to the uptake of gas phase oxidants by particles, our data set is novel in that it is the first study to

Fig. 4 Fitted parameters from the KM-SUB model. (a) The diffusion coefficient for ${\rm O}_{3}$ (white circles), $D_{{\rm O}_{3^{\prime}}}$ and the diffusion coefficient for Fe (solid symbols), D_{Fe} , are shown as a function of RH for mixed xanthan gum and FeCl₂ particles. A Vignes type parameterization for D_{O_7} and D_{Fe} are the dotted and solid lines, respectively. (b) Henry's Law constant for O₃, H_{O₃, as} a function of RH is shown as white circles. Error bars indicate the fit sensitivity described in the text and the ESI.† The dotted and solid line is determined from a volume mixing rule and a Vignes type parameterization, respectively.

present spatially-resolved condensed-phase concentration profiles. We reiterate that KM-SUB has predicted aerosol particle internal radial composition profiles^{46,49,95} but up until now, there has been no direct observational evidence that they existed. For direct comparison with our observed profiles in Fig. 3, radial profiles from KM-SUB were converted to 2-D hemispherical projections of α described in more detail in the ESI† and shown in Fig. S6. We have fit modeled 2-D profiles to our observations for all RH each having 4 optimized parameters, H_{O_3} , D_{O_3} and D_{Fe} , as well as the initial Fe^{2+} fraction, α_0 . We note that the value of α_0 was constrained by our observations, i.e. it was forced to be within our measurement and uncertainty range. All other parameters used in KM-SUB were taken from previous literature⁴⁹ for shikimic acid and involve surface processes with O_3 . Although the authors did not use iron, we assume that the iron in combination with the xanthan gum matrix behaves as shikimic acid in Berkemeier et al.⁴⁹ Parameter values and their descriptions can be found in Table 1 and described in the ESI.†

A rate coefficient for O_3 and FeCl₂ was derived from previous studies $57-61$ following,

$$
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{FeO}^{2+} + \text{O}_2,\tag{R1}
$$

and

$$
FeO^{2+} + Fe^{2+} + 2H^{+} \rightarrow 2Fe^{3+} + H_{2}O.
$$
 (R2)

The rate coefficient, k , for reaction (R1) has been reported^{57-61,96} in a range, $k = (1.7$ –8.2) \times 10⁵ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ between a temperature of 20 and 25 °C. Logager et al.⁵⁹ claimed that for an excess of Fe²⁺, reaction (R2) should be the dominant sink for FeO^{2+} (ferryl iron) with $k = 1.4 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Production of OH[•] and reaction with FeO $^{2+}$ is also negligible with an excess of Fe $^{2+}$.⁵⁹ In general, FeO $^{2+}$ is a very selective reactant and only oxidizes electron-rich organics, 97 thus we suspect XG plays no role in oxidation of iron with O_3 . Aerosol particles used in our experiments had $[Fe²⁺]$ on the order of 1 M implying that reaction (R2) is very fast. Therefore, we suggest the net reaction

$$
2\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} + \mathrm{O}_3 \xrightarrow{2\mathrm{H}^+} 2\mathrm{Fe}^{3+} + \mathrm{O}_2 + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O},\tag{R3}
$$

where $k_{\text{R1}} = k_{\text{R3}}$. We use $k_{\text{R3}} = 3.7 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (which is the geometric mean of reported k_{R1} values) equivalent to 6.2 \times 10^{-16} cm³ s⁻¹ indicated in Table 1 to model bulk O₃ reaction in our particles. It is important to note that the measured temperature dependence⁹⁶ of k_{R1} between 20 and 25 °C is negligible compared to measurement variability given above.

Fig. 3 shows that the optimization of model-generated 2-D α profiles (solid lines) agree well with our measurements (circles), which is remarkable considering the large differences in $[O_3]_{\alpha}$ and the long exposure time on the order of hours. Consistently, Fe was predicted to remain more reduced in particle cores than at particle surfaces. Although not explicitly fit, the modeled α averaged over the entire particle, shown as solid lines in Fig. 2, captures the observed decay and reveals the consistency between modeled and measured α . The fitting parameters, H_{O_3} , D_{O_3} and D_{Fe} are given in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 4. We note that there is an obvious and expected trend that as RH decreases, both D_{O_2} and D_{Fe} values decrease and H_{O_2} increases. A model sensitivity analysis on H_{O_3} , D_{O_3} and D_{Fe} is detailed in the ESI† (Fig. S10 and S11). Following previous work, 49 we calculated the parameter range at which the sum of the squared residual values between observed and model derived 2-D profiles in α varied by 20%, indicated as error bars in Fig. 4.

With regard to O_3 transport, D_{O_2} at RH = 0% was equal to 2.48×10^{-16} cm² s⁻¹ and increased about 8 orders of magnitude to 4.17×10^{-8} cm² s⁻¹ at RH = 80%. At this high humidity, D_{O_3} was 3 orders of magnitude less than for water, $\overline{D}_{\text{O}_3}^{\circ} = 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ 10^{-5} cm² s⁻¹ taken from previous work.^{49,98} Following the Stokes–Einstein relation, the molecular diffusion coefficient is inversely proportional to the viscosity of the matrix that the molecule moves through and inversely proportional to the molecular radius.^{99,100} In pure (dry) shikimic acid for example, D_{O_3} = 2.90 \times 10⁻¹² cm² s⁻¹, which is 4 orders of magnitude faster than for dry $XG/FeCl₂$ particles. Since $D_{O₃}$ for pure XG is less than for pure shikimic acid, its viscosity should also be higher. It should be noted, however, that for some organic components or when particle viscosity is in excess of 10 Pa s , ^{94,101,102} the Stokes– Einstein relation may not necessarily be accurate. Again applying the Stokes–Einstein relation, the viscosity of an aqueous $XG/FeCl₂$

Table 1 Parameters and their units used in the KM-SUB model. Parameter descriptions are found in the ES

		$RH = 0\%$	$RH = 22\%$	$RH = 43%$	$RH = 60\%$	$RH = 80%$
k_{R3}	$\rm cm^3~s^{-1}$			$6.20\,\times\,10^{-16}$		
$k_{\rm slr1}$	$\rm cm^2~s^{-1}$			6.27×10^{-14}		
$k_{\rm slr2}$	$\rm cm^2~s^{-1}$			4.31×10^{-17}		
$k_{\rm bs, O_3}$	$\rm cm~s^{-1}$	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.69	1.60
$k_{\rm sb,Fe}$	s^{-1}	9.22×10^{-5}	$3.34\,\times\,10^{-4}$	6.95×10^{-4}	8.83×10^{-4}	$4.20\,\times\,10^{-4}$
D_{O_3}	$\rm cm^2~s^{-1}$	$2.48\,\times\,10^{-16}$	$1.48\,\times\,10^{-15}$	1.66×10^{-10}	3.50×10^{-10}	4.17×10^{-8}
$D_{\rm Fe}$	$\rm cm^2~s^{-1}$	$5.52\,\times\,10^{-18}$	$2.84\,\times\,10^{-15}$	3.94×10^{-14}	1.46×10^{-13}	$2.24\,\times\,10^{-13}$
H_{O_3}	mol cm ⁻³ atm^{-1}	2.21×10^{-2}	4.80×10^{-3}	1.49×10^{-3}	5.87×10^{-4}	3.60×10^{-4}
α_0		0.90	0.86	0.83	0.70	0.78
$K_{\rm bs}$	cm	2.41×10^{-9}	1.99×10^{-9}	2.21×10^{-9}	$2.95\,\times\,10^{-9}$	$5.82\,\times\,10^{-9}$
$\tau_{\rm d,O_3}$	S			5.32×10^{-4}		
$\alpha_{\rm s,0}$	$\rm cm^2$			0.50 2.19×10^{-16}		
$\sigma_{\rm Fe}$	$\rm cm^{-3}$	6.15×10^{21}	6.06×10^{21}	$5.93\,\times\,10^{21}$	$5.74\,\times\,10^{21}$	4.99×10^{21}
[Fe _{tot}]	$\rm cm^{-3}$	1.91×10^{16}	5.71×10^{15}	9.08×10^{13}	7.72 \times 10 ¹⁴	1.58×10^{13}
$[O_3]_{\text{max}}$ σ_{O_3}	cm^2			$2.42\,\times\,10^{-14}$		
$D_{\rm g,O_3}$	$\rm cm^2~s^{-1}$			0.91		
	for water. However, the difference in viscosity of XG at $RH = 80\%$ (previously suggested to be glass-like at 10^{13} Pa s) and water			knowledge, this is the first report of O_3 solubility in XG. In some polymers, H_{O_3} can be greater or less than $H_{O_3}^{\circ}$ such as Hyflon AD80	and Teflon, having $H_{\text{O}_3} = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ and 4×10^{-6} mol cm ⁻³ atm ⁻¹ ,	
	viscosity $(10^{-3}$ Pa s) is 16 orders of magnitude. We speculate that this discrepancy may be due to the porous nature of XG, and that pores may have swelled or expanded during water uptake ¹⁰³			respectively. In $XG/FeCl2$ particles, the optimized H_{O3} parameter is higher than expected for polymers, and the reason is not yet		
	similar to other hydrogels. ¹⁰⁴ Therefore, O_3 mobility may be enhanced in hydrogels that swell compared to what is predicted			entirely clear. It may have to do with our optimization and		
	from the Stokes-Einstein relation.			model sensitivity described in the ESI. [†] We suggest further		
	For iron transport, D_{Fe} was similar to D_{O_3} at low RH, and a			measurements should be performed in dilute and concentrated		
	few orders of magnitude less than D_{O_2} at higher RH. Values of			XG solutions to understand and quantify H_{O_2} in XG and the trends with RH and water content.		
	D_{Fe} had a range of about 5 orders of magnitude from dry to					
	$RH = 80\%$. We note that we have not reported on a self-			Fig. 5 shows 3-D profiles of α and $[O_3]$ normalized to its		
	diffusion coefficient of XG in aqueous solution, but it can			maximum concentration, $[O_3]_{\text{max}}$, at each RH derived from the		
				KM-SUB model. Values of $[O^3]_{\text{max}}$ are given in Table 1. The 3-D		
	be found for dilute aqueous solution in previous literature, ¹⁰⁵ e.g. for a 10 g L ⁻¹ solution (having a water activity > 0.99)			profiles of α in Fig. 5 were used to derive the 2-D derived profiles seen in Fig. 3 (solid lines). Gradients in α at RH = 0%		

For iron transport, D_{Fe} was similar to D_{O_2} at low RH, and a few orders of magnitude less than D_{O_2} at higher RH. Values of D_{Fe} had a range of about 5 orders of magnitude from dry to RH = 80%. We note that we have not reported on a selfdiffusion coefficient of XG in aqueous solution, but it can be found for dilute aqueous solution in previous literature,¹⁰⁵ e.g. for a 10 g L⁻¹ solution (having a water activity > 0.99) attaining 10^{-8} cm 2 s $^{-1}$ which is about 3 orders of magnitude less than $D_{\mathrm{O}_3}^{\circ}$ or D_{Fe}° . We suggest that XG self-diffusion coefficients should be less than D_{O_3} or D_{Fe} at all RH. A caveat of our analysis is that Fe²⁺ may complex with XG^{106,107} and therefore, D_{Fe} may be considered an apparent transport of free ions and those in complex which may have higher and lower diffusion coefficient values, respectively.

In dry XG/FeCl₂ particles, H_{O_3} = 2.21 \times 10⁻² mol cm⁻³ atm⁻¹, which is about 3 orders of magnitude higher than for pure water, $H_{\text{O}_3}^{\circ} = 1.20 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol cm}^{-3} \text{ atm}^{-1}$. Greater solubility of O₃ in organic liquids compared to water is well known^{38,49,93} and can be explained by a ''salting-in'' effect. This is characterized by an increase in the product of ionic strength and activity coefficients of the solution (i.e. decreasing water content) and thus causes an increase in gas solubility. We note that a similar result is found for $O₂$ solubility, which is generally higher in organic liquids than in water.^{108,109} The degree to which activity of $XG/FeCl₂$ solutions changes as a function of RH is not known. Polymer chains in XG can overlap, aggregate and cross-link 105 giving rise to anomalous behavior of XG and oxygen diffusion coefficients in aqueous XG solution.^{105,110} It may be possible that our ternary XG–FeCl₂-water

Fig. 5 shows 3-D profiles of α and $[O_3]$ normalized to its maximum concentration, $[O_3]_{max}$, at each RH derived from the KM-SUB model. Values of $[\mathrm{O}^3]_{\mathrm{max}}$ are given in Table 1. The 3-D profiles of α in Fig. 5 were used to derive the 2-D derived profiles seen in Fig. 3 (solid lines). Gradients in α at RH = 0% (Fig. 5a) spanned a few nanometers, but extended hundreds of nanometers through the particles at RH = 80% (Fig. 5i). Despite the extent of gradients in α , O_3 was found only in the first picometer to 2.7 nm at RH = 0 to 80%, respectively. We note that a length of 1 pm is much smaller than the molecular scale and the Fe^{2+} ionic diameter of 0.7 Å. However, constraining layer thickness to 0.3 nm as previously done⁴⁹ could not resolve $O₃$ chemical gradients in our case. We defined layer thickness in KM-SUB without a lower limit to satisfy continuum conditions. This had no consequence, however, because bulk transport follows Fick's Law treating an ensemble of molecules. In general, oxidation reactions can have only taken place where O_3 was present at or near the surface of particles, and so gradients in α extending far past O_3 penetration depths to nanometers and hundreds of nanometers must have been the result primarily of Fe transport.

3.5 A case for using a reacto-diffusive framework

Our observations allowed us to test assumptions for predicting a: the most basic is that our particles were well-mixed for both Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} and also for O_2 and O_3 in equilibrium with

PCCP Paper

Fig. 5 Model derived 3-D radial profiles of the Fe²⁺ fraction, α and normalized O₃ concentration, $[O_3]_{norm} = [O_3]/[O_3]_{max}$, where $[O_3]_{max}$ is the maximum O_3 concentration for RH = 0% (a and b), 22% (c and d), 43% (e and f), 60% (g and h) and 80% (i and j). The color scale from 0-1 is the same for both α shown in the left panels (a, c, e, g and i) and $[O_3]_{\text{norm}}$ shown in the right panels (b, d, f, h and j). The distance from the particle surface is the ordinate Note that the scales for all panels can be different.

Henry's Law. Oxidation of Fe^{2+} due to O_2 exposure follows the reaction

$$
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{O}_2^{\bullet -}, \tag{R4}
$$

where k_{R4} = 0.3 M $^{-1}$ s $^{-1}$ taken from previous literature $^{111-114}$ and note that the temperature dependence is negligible for measurements between 20 and 25 °C. Assuming that Henry's Law constant for O₂ in water, $H_{\text{O}_2}^{\circ} = 1.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M Pa}^{-1}$,¹¹⁵ applies to our $XG/FeCl₂$ with a $O₂$ partial pressure of 30 mbar (for the PolLux environmental microreactor at a total pressure of 150 mbar), the equilibrium condensed phase $O₂$ concentration was 3.9 \times 10 $^{-5}$ M. Then, the first order loss rate for Fe $^{2+},$ k^I , in reaction R4 is $k_{\text{R4}}^I = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$. We compare this to k^I = 1.6 \times 10⁻² s⁻¹ calculated using $H_{\rm O_3}^\circ$ for water¹¹⁵ and [O₃]_g = 150

ppb or 0.015 Pa partial pressure. Since $k_{\text{R}3}^{I}$ is 3 orders of magnitude larger than k_{R4}^{I} , it comes as no surprise that to achieve a similar decay of α due to O_3 observed over hours, an experiment with only $O₂$ would need to last thousands of hours longer. This is consistent with our finding that $O₂$ reaction in our system is negligible. Applying $k_{\text{R}4}^I = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, we calculate the loss of $\alpha = \alpha_0 \exp(k_{\text{R4}}^I t)$. After $t = 2$ h, α would be depleted by 8%. Using k_{R3}^I , α would be 100% depleted. Clearly, this is in disagreement with our observations. If XG acted as a ligand for Fe^{2+} , this may change the rate of reaction and whether reaction rates are enhanced or reduced may depend on the specific system involved. A previous study 114 found that rate coefficients of O₂ with a Fe²⁺-fulvic acid complex was 100 M⁻¹ s⁻¹, which is over 100 times greater than k_{R4}^{I} . Another previous study found that iron oxidation with OH, H_2O_2 and HO_2 was observed to be only 3 times higher with humic acid ligands from waste water than without.¹¹⁶ In contrast, it was found that iron in steel was complexed with XG in dilute solution and that oxidation and corrosion due to HCl was inhibited 107 implying a reduction in reaction rate. Due to a lack of observations of $O₃$ oxidation of xanthan gum ligands with Fe, we maintain our choice of k_{R3} , and argue decreasing molecular transport of Fe and $O₃$ leads to a significant decrease in the loss rate of Fe^{2+} far lower than expected when considering particles are well-mixed and in Henry's Law equilibrium.

We test the applicability of a reacto-diffusive framework described in Steimer et al^{38} to predict ozone transport and reaction into our particles. This is an analytical solution to the chemical loss as a function of t , which assumes that O_3 reaction occurs in a thin shell or over a much smaller length scale than the particle diameter and that Fe^{2+} is homogeneously mixed. Following Steimer *et al.*³⁸ the time rate of change of α is written as

$$
\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = -k^D \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{[Fe_{tot}]}},
$$
\n(2)

where

$$
k^D = -H_{\text{O}_3}[\text{O}_3]_g R T_p \sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3} k_{\text{R}3}} \frac{6}{d_p},\tag{3}
$$

is the reacto-diffusive rate constant,³⁸ R is the universal gas constant, and $6/d_p$ is the surface to volume ratio of our particles assuming they are half spheres. Substituting eqn (3) into (2) and rearranging gives the following relationship

$$
\frac{d_{\rm p}}{3RT_{\rm p}} \frac{\left[\text{Fe}_{\text{tot}} \right] \left(\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\alpha_{\circ}} \right)}{\phi(t) \sqrt{k_{\rm R3}}} = H_{\text{O}_3} \sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3}}.
$$
 (4)

The left hand side of eqn (4) is entirely dependent on measurable and available quantities while the right hand side is in terms of the fitted parameters used in KM-SUB. Therefore, this provides a point of comparison to evaluate the suitability of the reacto-diffusive framework to predict Fe oxidation reaction and can be calculated for each individually probed particle. Fig. 6 shows a box plot of experimentally derived $H_{\text{O}_3} \sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3}}$ for all particles investigated as a function of RH. The median and quartile range of $H_{\text{O}_3} \sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3}}$ values for thousands of particles are shown. Values for individual particles are shown in Fig. S12 in the ESI.† Also included in Fig. 6 is the value of $H_{\text{O}_3} \sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3}}$ derived using optimized parameters from the KM-SUB model for comparison. The 25 and 75 percentiles of $H_{\text{O}_3}\sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3}}$ data capture the values of the fitting parameters from KM-SUB (Table 1). Median values are indicated by a horizontal solid line within the boxes. It is important to note that for some particles, mainly those investigated within minutes of the start of reaction, depletion of α is small and scatters around α_0 . This leads to negative values of $\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\alpha_0}$ and thus negative values of $H_{\text{O}_3} \sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3}}$ (see Fig. S12 in the ESI†), which is physically unrealistic. However, we choose to include these in Fig. S12 (ESI†) as they contribute to the scatter in our data. If a data point falls outside of three times the median absolute deviation, it is

Fig. 6 A box plot of the product of Henry's Law constant for ozone, $H_{\text{O}_{3'}}$ and the square root of the diffusion coefficient of ozone, $D_{\text{O}_{3'}}$ or $H_{\text{O}_3} \sqrt{\text{D}_{\text{O}_3}}$ as a function of RH. The bottom and top of the boxes represent 25 and 75 percentiles of about 1550 particles. Horizontal lines within boxes are median values. Upper error bars indicate $3x$ the median absolute deviation (MAD). The lower $3 \times$ MAD interval extends to negative values, and thus lower error bars are not depicted. All data for individual particles is shown in Fig. S12 (ESI†). Solid diamonds are derived from fitted parameters in Table 1.

considered an outlier and shown as a symbol with a cross in Fig. S12 (ESI†).

Direct comparison of data and model (eqn (4)) validate the use of the reacto-diffusive framework. An important feature of eqn (2) and (3) is that D_{Fe} is not required, because reactodiffusive framework assumes a homogeneous distribution in α . Despite that we observed chemical gradients (Fig. 3), this assumption is ''good enough'' within our experimental conditions due to agreement in Fig. 6. We rearrange eqn (4) to calculate $\alpha(t)$ following

$$
\alpha(t) = \left(\sqrt{\alpha_o} - \frac{3\left(H_{\text{O}_3}\sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3}}\right)RT_{\text{p}}\sqrt{k_{\text{R}3}}\phi(t)}{\sqrt{[\text{Fe}_{\text{tot}}]}d_{\text{p}}}\right)^2, \tag{5}
$$

shown in Fig. 2 as dotted lines, where the shading represents the quartile range of observed $H_{\text{O}_3} \sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3}}$ and using the geometric mean of d_p respective to each experiment. We note that eqn (5) used only our observations and no fitted parameters. There is generally good agreement between our data, the reactodiffusive framework and the KM-SUB model considering all uncertainties. At $RH = 0$ and 20%, there is a significant data scatter and the 25th percentile level goes below zero. It is important to note that the product $H_{\text{O}_3}\sqrt{D_{\text{O}_3}}$ should not depend on particle surface to volume ratio (Fig. S12 in the ESI†) if the reacto-diffusive framework is valid. This was generally the case for all RH within the scatter of our data, expect for experiments at RH = 60% when $[O_3]$ was relatively high and α approached close to zero. Eqn (5) does not take into account all size dependent factors $117,118$ and will miss out on those induced by the diffusion limitation of Fe. This may lead to an over-prediction of the decay of α by the reacto-diffusive framework. For example, the lifetime of $Fe²⁺$ calculated using

the reacto-diffusive framework is about 22 and 3 times shorter than for KM-SUB at RH = 0 and 80%, respectively, using the same parameters as in Table 1 and constant $[O_3]_g = 50$ ppb. Eqn (3) describes how, in the reacto-diffusive regime, the rate constant is inversely dependent on d_p . Increasing or decreasing the modeled d_p from 1 µm will tend to over or under predict α , respectively. In other words, particles that are expanding due to water uptake would appear to have a lower rate of iron oxidation. Note that the model employs a fixed d_p at different RH and thus corresponds to effectively different dry diameters. The same applies to the experiment, due to the fact that different particles are probed at each time (a different field of view) under different RH. A new random population of particles is imaged at each time point. These humidity effects should not significantly affect our parameter optimization because the scatter of our data shown in Fig. S12 (ESI†) is generally larger than effects due to particle size. The vast number of particles and the high time resolution used in our observation ensures enough data is available to effectively conclude that the reactodiffusive framework is an acceptable approximation for the KM-SUB model to describe O_3 oxidation in our experiments. PCCP

The reactor diffusive framework is about 22 and 3 times shorter can be experimented of reactions are considered to the SM. This area of the secondary the consider procedure as a consider procedure in the secondary o

We argue that a reacto-diffusive limitation may apply to $O₂$ as it does for O_3 . We observed no loss of Fe^{2+} during O_2 exposure over hours. At RH = 80%, α_0 = 0.78, and 2-4 hours of O_2 reaction assuming homogeneous mixing of both O_2 and Fe²⁺ would result in α = 0.71–0.65. We should have been able to observe this decay considering our uncertainty in α , especially when using a three times higher $O₂$ particle pressure for experiments at RH = 0%, 20% and 60%. Assuming that D_{O_2} was identical to that of D_{O_3} , we determined the reacto-diffusive length scale, $l_{\rm rd} =$ ffi $\sqrt{D_{\Omega}/(\alpha_0[\text{Fe}_{\text{tot}}]k_{\text{R4}})}$, at dry conditions and RH = 80% for O_2 on the order of 0.1 nm to 1 µm. These estimates indicate that O_2 reaction may have also been diffusion limited similar to O_3 . However, to fully evaluate this, time scales of days would be required to study $O₂$ transport and reaction in this system.

Our findings that O_3 reaction is diffusion limited led us to speculate that other condensed phase reactions involving organic species can also be slowed due to low diffusion coefficients. There is increasing evidence that predicting secondary organic aerosol (SOA) mass must take into account condensed phase chemical reactions that include in part, oligomerization or acid catalyzed and accretion reactions that significantly increase molecular weight, reduce re-evaporation of organic compounds to the gas phase and thus add to the total mass of SOA.^{11,13,119,120} Examples of these are glyoxal uptake and reaction, 121 oligomerization of volatile condensed phase molecules,¹²² aldol condensation and esterification.20,123 Isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX) are major gas phase products of isoprene oxidation that are highly soluble and reactive in the condensed phase.^{11,13} When depleted in the condensed phase due to chemical reaction there, a net reactive uptake of IEPOX has been shown to lead to increasing organic and organosulfate formation contributing significantly to aqueous SOA mass in atmospheric environments.¹²⁴ However, Zhang et al ¹²⁵ showed in a laboratory study that when organic

coatings were present on acidic aerosol particles, IEPOX reactive uptake decreased as coating thicknesses increased up to 32 nm and when RH was decreased from $50 - 15\%$. We speculate that irreversible uptake of IEPOX may also be diffusion limited. In general, diffusion limitations slow reactions compared to predictions considering homogeneous mixing and equilibrium with Henry's Law. For this reason, we suggest that aerosol chemical studies should be evaluated with the reacto-diffusive framework described here and in previous work $38,117,118$ to test its predictive capability under a range of atmospheric conditions. We call for a database of diffusion coefficients and Henry's Law constants applicable for oxidants and reactants in highly concentrated aerosol particles.⁹⁴ This would preferably be for a wide range of temperature and humidity and for materials such as secondary organic aerosol and their proxies.¹⁶ Doing so would allow further evaluation of when reaction and diffusion limitations apply and elucidate how pervasive they are to atmospheric multi-phase chemistry.

4 Conclusions

We have measured chemical gradients within individual aerosol particles containing xanthan gum and FeCl_2 due to heterogeneous reaction with O_3 . Oxidation from Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ was imaged in 2-D using STXM/NEXAFS prior to and during in situ O_3 exposure for hours. Using a novel 2-D concentric perimeter analysis and careful error propagation, we were able to derive 2-D reaction profiles with well-defined uncertainties. Thousands of particles were probed, each with hundreds of pixels that provided quantitative spatial and chemical information about the reaction with O_3 . We found that during O_3 exposure, increased oxidation occurred with increasing RH and $[O_3]_g$. At all reaction time and at particle perimeters, *i.e.* the outer most pixels of all particles, α was consistently less than concentric pixels toward the particle center. Therefore, we conclude that O_3 oxidized particle surfaces more than interiors. Observed 2-D gradients in α from perimeter to center were shallow and ranged roughly by about 0.2 over the first 200 nm at RH = 0 and 22%. At RH = 43, 60 and 80%, a difference of 0.2 in α occurred for \geq 500 nm. We conclude that changes in O₃ and Fe transport must have occurred and were driven by the water content in xanthan gum, which is known to increase with increasing RH. To test this conclusion, we used the kinetic multi-layer model for aerosol surface and bulk chemistry, KM-SUB. Using previous literature values where possible and fitting parameters for diffusion coefficients of O_3 and Fe²⁺, as well as Henry's Law constants for O_3 as a function of RH, we were able to predict 2-D concentration profiles. This is the first time that a direct comparison between observed and predicted internal aerosol spatio-chemical changes has been achieved. Resulting diffusion coefficients increased exponentially as a function of RH and was parameterized using a Vignes-type equation. $H_{O₃}$ decreased exponentially as a function of RH and could also be described using a Vignes-type equation. A volume mixing rule over-predicted H_{O_2} and is advised not to be used for

this system. Our findings may apply for ozone in marine derived organic aerosol due to XG being a proxy of polysaccharide and exopolymer particles found to be aerosolized from oceans.

We have used a limiting case in heterogeneous aerosol chemistry referred to as a reacto-diffusive kinetic regime to describe our results following a square root dependent loss rate of α . The corresponding framework described oxidation by O_3 to occur in a thin layer at the aerosol surface with uniformly distributed (or well-mixed) condensed phase $Fe²⁺$ concentration in the bulk. However, this was not supported because shallow gradients in Fe^{2+} were, in fact, observed. Despite this, we tested the appropriateness of this approximation using only observed values to derive the product of the Henry's Law constant and the square root of the diffusion coefficient for O_3 , or $H_{O_3}\sqrt{D_{O_3}}$, at all experimental conditions. This product could be directly compared with those derived from KM-SUB. It was found that within experimental uncertainties, total depletion of Fe^{2+} could be reproduced for all experiments, and we conclude that the reacto-diffusive framework was applicable to describe the chemical gradients observed here. This approximation makes predicting the loss rate of aerosol components significantly easier with no computational expense compared to the KM-SUB or any other multilayer reaction and diffusion models. Furthermore, important chemical and physical parameters in the reacto-diffusive framework such as diffusion coefficients, Henry's Law constants, and second order bulk reaction rates are currently capable of being derived in a laboratory setting or a controlled setting in the ambient atmosphere. We have derived these parameters for O_3 and Fe using KM-SUB in xanthan gum, a proxy for a natural biogenic source of organic matter emitted directly into the marine atmosphere. Their use should give better representation of condensed phase loss and production rates of reactants and products in marine aerosol with $O₃$. Furthermore, we also suggest that the reacto-diffusive framework should be evaluated for various oxidants and reactants under a wide range of temperature and humidity to further validate its use in atmospheric heterogeneous chemical reactions. Paper

Open emotion the most being a proporation on the most consistent of this voltain and the one interest are interest and the second by the sumport interest are equilibrate interest and interest are forest and interes

Author contributions

P. A. A. envisioned this project, conducted experiments, performed data analysis, modeled results and wrote the paper. P. A. A. and M. A. oversaw the entire project. P. C. A., J. D., S. S. S., J.-D. F., F. D., S. R., M. P. and S. P. acquired data and performed data analysis. M. S. and T. B. wrote the KM-SUB model and evaluated model results. T. B. supervised the modeling process. B. W. evaluated data analysis and maintained operation of experiment. U. K. K., C. P., C. G., M. S., B. W. and M. A. supervised the project. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

We declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant 163074) and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skodowska-Curie grant agreement (No 701647). J.-D. F., F. D. and C. P. acknowledge support by the Max Planck Society. P. A. A., S. R., M. P., S. P. and C. G. received support from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP/2007-2013)/ERC Grant Agreement (290852- AIRSEA). M. S. acknowledges funding from the National Science Foundation (AGS-1654104). T. B. acknowledges support by the Eckert Postdoctoral Fellowship from Georgia Tech School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering. The PolLux end station was financed by the German Ministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) through contracts 05KS4WE1/6 and 05KS7WE1.

References

- 1 Q. Zhang, J. L. Jimenez, M. R. Canagaratna, J. D. Allan, H. Coe, I. Ulbrich, M. R. Alfarra, A. Takami, A. M. Middlebrook, Y. L. Sun, K. Dzepina, E. Dunlea, K. Docherty, P. F. DeCarlo, D. Salcedo, T. Onasch, J. T. Jayne, T. Miyoshi, A. Shimono, S. Hatakeyama, N. Takegawa, Y. Kondo, J. Schneider, F. Drewnick, S. Borrmann, S. Weimer, K. Demerjian, P. Williams, K. Bower, R. Bahreini, L. Cottrell, R. J. Griffin, J. Rautiainen, J. Y. Sun, Y. M. Zhang and D. R. Worsnop, Geophys. Res. Lett., 2007, 34, L13801.
- 2 L. M. Russell, L. N. Hawkins, A. A. Frossard, P. K. Quinn and T. S. Bates, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2010, 107, 6652–6657.
- 3 A. Laskin, M. K. Gilles, D. A. Knopf, B. Wang and S. China, Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem., 2016, 9, 117–143.
- 4 J. Haywood and O. Boucher, Rev. Geophys., 2000, 38, 513–543.
- 5 O. Boucher, D. Randall, P. Artaxo, C. Bretherton, G. Feingold, P. Forster, V.-M. Kerminen, Y. Kondo, H. Liao, U. Lohmann, P. Rasch, S. K. Satheesh, S. Sherwood, S. B. and Z. X.-Y., in Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, ed. S. Fuzzi, J. Penner, V. Ramaswamy and C. Stubenrauch, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013, ch. 8, Clouds and Aerosols, pp. 571–657.
- 6 T. Storelvmo, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 2017, 45, 199–222.
- 7 D. A. Knopf, P. A. Alpert and B. Wang, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2018, 2, 168–202.
- 8 Z. A. Kanji, L. A. Ladino, H. Wex, Y. Boose, M. Burkert-Kohn, D. J. Cziczo and M. Krämer, Meteor. Mon., 2017, 58, 1.1-1.33.
- 9 J. H. Seinfeld and S. N. Pandis, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics - From Air Pollution to Climate Change, John Wiley & Sons., Hoboken, NJ, 2nd edn, 2006.
- 10 A. Tilgner, P. Bräuer, R. Wolke and H. Herrmann, J. Atmos. Chem., 2013, 70, 221–256.
- 11 H. Herrmann, T. Schaefer, A. Tilgner, S. A. Styler, C. Weller, M. Teich and T. Otto, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 4259–4334.
- 12 B. Ervens, in Multiphase Environmental Chemistry in the Atmosphere, ed. S. W. Hunt, A. Laskin and S. A. Nizkorodov, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 2018, ch. Progress and problems in modeling chemical processing in cloud droplets and wet aerosol particles, pp. 327–345. PCCP

12 B. Events, in Molecular Common Common Access Articles. The Common Access Articles. Published on 17 September 2019. New York Common Access Articles. Published on 17 September 2019. New York Common Access Articles.
	- 13 M. Shrivastava, S. Lou, A. Zelenyuk, R. C. Easter, R. A. Corley, B. D. Thrall, P. J. Rasch, J. D. Fast, S. L. Massey Simonich, H. Shen and S. Tao, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2017, 114, 1246–1251.
	- 14 D. K. Farmer, C. D. Cappa and S. M. Kreidenweis, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 4199–4217.
	- 15 A. Laskin, R. C. Moffet, M. K. Gilles, J. D. Fast, R. A. Zaveri, B. Wang, P. Nigge and J. Shutthanandan, J. Geophys. Res., 2012, 117, D15302.
	- 16 M. Shiraiwa, Y. Li, A. P. Tsimpidi, V. A. Karydis, T. Berkemeier, S. N. Pandis, J. Lelieveld, T. Koop and U. Pöschl, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 15002.
	- 17 J. P. Reid, A. K. Bertram, D. O. Topping, A. Laskin, S. T. Martin, M. D. Petters, F. D. Pope and G. Rovelli, Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 15002.
	- 18 B. R. Bzdek and J. P. Reid, J. Chem. Phys., 2017, 147, 220901.
	- 19 V. Perraud, E. A. Bruns, M. J. Ezell, S. N. Johnson, Y. Yu, M. L. Alexander, A. Zelenyuk, D. Imre, W. L. Chang, D. Dabdub, J. F. Pankow and B. J. Finlayson-Pitts, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2012, 109, 2836–2841.
	- 20 M. Shiraiwa, L. D. Yee, K. A. Schilling, C. L. Loza, J. S. Craven, A. Zuend, P. J. Ziemann and J. H. Seinfeld, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2013, 110, 11746–11750.
	- 21 L. Renbaum-Wolff, J. W. Grayson, A. P. Bateman, M. Kuwata, M. Sellier, B. J. Murray, J. E. Shilling, S. T. Martin and A. K. Bertram, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2013, 110, 8014–8019.
	- 22 M. Kuwata and S. T. Martin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2012, 109, 17354–17359.
	- 23 J. H. Slade and D. A. Knopf, Geophys. Res. Lett., 2014, 41, 5297–5306.
	- 24 J. Socorro, P. S. J. Lakey, L. Han, T. Berkemeier, G. Lammel, C. Zetzsch, U. Pöschl and M. Shiraiwa, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 13749–13754.
	- 25 J. D. Smith, J. H. Kroll, C. D. Cappa, D. L. Che, C. L. Liu, M. Ahmed, S. R. Leone, D. R. Worsnop and K. R. Wilson, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2009, 9, 3209–3222.
	- 26 M. N. Chan, H. Zhang, A. H. Goldstein and K. R. Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 28978–28992.
	- 27 A. M. Arangio, J. H. Slade, T. Berkemeier, U. Pöschl, D. A. Knopf and M. Shiraiwa, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2015, 119, 4533–4544.
	- 28 J. F. Davies and K. R. Wilson, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 7020–7027.
	- 29 H. Fan, M. R. Tinsley and F. Goulay, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2015, 119, 11182–11190.
	- 30 M. M. Chim, C. T. Cheng, J. F. Davies, T. Berkemeier, M. Shiraiwa, A. Zuend and M. N. Chan, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2017, 17, 14415–14431.
	- 31 M. M. Chim, C. Y. Chow, J. F. Davies and M. N. Chan, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2017, 121, 1666–1674.
- 32 M. J. Liu, A. A. Wiegel, K. R. Wilson and F. A. Houle, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2017, 121, 5856–5870.
- 33 K. C. Kwong, M. M. Chim, E. H. Hoffmann, A. Tilgner, H. Herrmann, J. F. Davies, K. R. Wilson and M. N. Chan, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2018, 2, 895–903.
- 34 M. M. Chim, C. Y. Lim, J. H. Kroll and M. N. Chan, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2018, 2, 1323–1329.
- 35 Z. Li, K. A. Smith and C. D. Cappa, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2018, 18, 14585–14608.
- 36 M. Shiraiwa, M. Ammann, T. Koop and U. Pöschl, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2011, 108, 11003–11008.
- 37 S. Zhou, M. Shiraiwa, R. D. McWhinney, U. Pöschl and J. P. D. Abbatt, Faraday Discuss., 2013, 165, 391–406.
- 38 S. S. Steimer, M. Lampimäki, E. Coz, G. Grzinic and M. Ammann, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2014, 14, 10761–10772.
- 39 S. S. Steimer, T. Berkemeier, A. Gilgen, U. K. Krieger, T. Peter, M. Shiraiwa and M. Ammann, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2015, 17, 31101–31109.
- 40 M. I. Jacobs, B. Xu, O. Kostko, N. Heine, M. Ahmed and K. R. Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2016, 120, 8645–8656.
- 41 N. Heine, F. A. Houle and K. R. Wilson, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 13740–13748.
- 42 U. Pöschl, Y. Rudich and M. Ammann, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2007, 7, 5989–6023.
- 43 M. Ammann and U. Pöschl, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2007, 7, 6025–6045.
- 44 C. Pfrang, M. Shiraiwa and U. Pöschl, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2010, 10, 4537–4557.
- 45 M. Shiraiwa, C. Pfrang and U. Pöschl, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2010, 10, 3673–3691.
- 46 M. Shiraiwa, C. Pfrang, T. Koop and U. Pöschl, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2012, 12, 2777–2794.
- 47 T. Berkemeier, A. J. Huisman, M. Ammann, M. Shiraiwa, T. Koop and U. Pöschl, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2013, 13, 6663–6686.
- 48 F. A. Houle, W. D. Hinsberg and K. R. Wilson, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2015, 17, 4412–4423.
- 49 T. Berkemeier, S. S. Steimer, U. K. Krieger, T. Peter, U. Pöschl, M. Ammann and M. Shiraiwa, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 12662–12674.
- 50 A. A. Wiegel, M. J. Liu, W. D. Hinsberg, K. R. Wilson and F. A. Houle, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2017, 19, 6814–6830.
- 51 F. A. Houle, A. A. Wiegel and K. R. Wilson, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2018, 52, 13774–13781.
- 52 F. A. Houle, A. A. Wiegel and K. R. Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2018, 9, 1053–1057.
- 53 C. A. Angell, Science, 1995, 267, 1924–1935.
- 54 P. G. Debenedetti and F. H. Stillinger, Nature, 2001, 410, 259–267.
- 55 T. Koop, J. Bookhold, M. Shiraiwa and U. Pöschl, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 19238–19255.
- 56 L. Lee and K. Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2016, 120, 6800–6812.
- 57 T. J. Conocchioli, E. J. Hamilton and N. Sutin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 926-927.
- 58 J. Hoigné, H. Bader, W. Haag and J. Staehelin, Water Res., 1985, 19, 993–1004.
- 59 T. Løegager, J. Holcman, K. Sehested and T. Pedersen, Inorg. Chem., 1992, 31, 3523–3529.
- 60 O. Pestovsky and A. Bakac, Inorg. Chem., 2006, 45, 814–820.
- 61 S. Enami, Y. Sakamoto and A. J. Colussi, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2014, 111, 623–628.
- 62 R. C. Moffet, H. Furutani, T. C. Rödel, T. R. Henn, P. O. Sprau, A. Laskin, M. Uematsu and M. K. Gilles, J. Geophys. Res., 2012, 117, D07204.
- 63 S. Stohs and D. Bagchi, Free Radical Biol. Med., 1995, 18, 321–336.
- 64 N. Li, C. Sioutas, A. Cho, D. Schmitz, C. Misra, J. Sempf, M. Wang, T. Oberley, J. Froines and A. Nel, Environ. Health Persp., 2003, 111, 455–460.
- 65 M. Shiraiwa, K. Selzle and U. Pöschl, Free Radical Res., 2012, 46, 927–939.
- 66 H. Tong, P. S. J. Lakey, A. M. Arangio, J. Socorro, C. J. Kampf, T. Berkemeier, W. H. Brune, U. Pöschl and M. Shiraiwa, Faraday Discuss., 2017, 200, 251–270.
- 67 T. D. Jickells, Z. S. An, K. K. Andersen, A. R. Baker, G. Bergametti, N. Brooks, J. J. Cao, P. W. Boyd, R. A. Duce, K. A. Hunter, H. Kawahata, N. Kubilay, J. laRoche, P. S. Liss, N. Mahowald, J. M. Prospero, A. J. Ridgwell, I. Tegen and R. Torres, Science, 2005, 308, 67–71. Paper WebMer 2019

39 Y. Leegager, J. Holman, K. Scheeler, article. 2017, 1914

40 Y. Leegage, D. C. Leegage, A. Leegage, A. Leegage, A. Leegage, A. Leegage, A. Leegage, A. Nemeting and S. A. 2011, 1920-201

40 Y. C. A. 20
	- 68 M. T. Maldonado and N. M. Price, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 1999, 46, 2447–2473.
	- 69 A. Baker and P. Croot, Mar. Chem., 2010, 120, 4–13.
	- 70 Z. Shi, M. D. Krom, T. D. Jickells, S. Bonneville, K. S. Carslaw, N. Mihalopoulos, A. R. Baker and L. G. Benning, Aeolian Res., 2012, 5, 21–42.
	- 71 H. Chen and V. H. Grassian, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2013, 47, 10312–10321.
	- 72 R. Paris and K. V. Desboeufs, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2013, 13, 4895–4905.
	- 73 A. Ito and Z. Shi, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2016, 16, 85–99.
	- 74 N. M. Mahowald, R. Scanza, J. Brahney, C. L. Goodale, P. G. Hess, J. K. Moore and J. Neff, Curr. Clim. Change Rep., 2017, 3, 16–31.
	- 75 M. Kanakidou, S. Myriokefalitakis and K. Tsigaridis, Environ. Res. Lett., 2018, 13, 063004.
	- 76 K. W. Dawson, M. D. Petters, N. Meskhidze, S. S. Petters and S. M. Kreidenweis, J. Geophys. Res., 2016, 121, 11803–11818.
	- 77 I. A. Challen, in Food Hydrocolloids: Structures, Properties, and Functions, ed. K. Nishinari and E. Doi, Plenum Press, New York, NY, USA, 1993, ch. Xanthan Gum: A Multifunctional Stabiliser for Food Products, pp. 135–140.
	- 78 B. Katzbauer, Polym. Degrad. Stab., 1998, 59, 81–84.
	- 79 S. Basu, U. S. Shivhare and A. S. Mujumdar, Dry. Technol., 2007, 25, 1581–1586.
	- 80 U. Passow and A. L. Alldredge, Limnol. Oceanogr., 1995, 40, 1326–1335.
	- 81 U. Passow, Prog. Oceanogr., 2002, 55, 287–333.
	- 82 A. Engel, in Practical Guidelines for the Analysis of Seawater, ed. O. Wurl, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2009, ch. 7. Determination of Marine Gel Particles, pp. 125–142.
	- 83 J. Y. Aller, J. C. Radway, W. P. Kilthau, D. W. Bothe, T. W. Wilson, R. D. Vaillancourt, P. K. Quinn, D. J. Coffman,

B. J. Murray and D. A. Knopf, Atmos. Environ., 2017, 154, 331–347.

- 84 T. Huthwelker, V. Zelenay, M. Birrer, A. Krepelova, J. Raabe, G. Tzvetkov, M. G. C. Vernooij and M. Ammann, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 2010, 81, 113706.
- 85 J.-D. Förster, C. Pöhlker, C. Gurk, M. Lamneck, M. Ammann, U. Pöschl and M. O. Andreae, Atmos. Mech. Tech., 2019, to be submitted.
- 86 D. M. Murphy and T. Koop, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 2005, 131, 1539–1565.
- 87 J. Raabe, G. Tzvetkov, U. Flechsig, M. Böge, A. Jaggi, B. Sarafimov, M. G. C. Vernooij, T. Huthwelker, H. Ade, D. Kilcoyne, T. Tyliszczak, R. H. Fink and C. Quitmann, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 2008, 79, 113704.
- 88 R. C. Moffet, T. Henn, A. Laskin and M. K. Gilles, Anal. Chem., 2010, 82, 7906–7914.
- 89 G. Cressey, C. M. B. Henderson and G. van der Laan, Phys. Chem. of Miner., 1993, 20, 111–119.
- 90 L. A. J. Garvie, A. J. Craven and R. Brydson, Am. Mineral., 1994, 79, 411–425.
- 91 R. A. Speers and M. A. Tung, J. Food Sci., 1986, 51, 96–98.
- 92 N. B. Wyatt and M. W. Liberatore, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2009, 114, 4076–4084.
- 93 A. K. Bin´, Ozone-Sci. Eng., 2006, 28, 67–75.
- 94 F. H. Marshall, R. E. H. Miles, Y.-C. Song, P. B. Ohm, R. M. Power, J. P. Reid and C. S. Dutcher, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 1298–1308.
- 95 M. Shiraiwa, U. Pöschl and D. A. Knopf, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2012, 46, 6630–6636.
- 96 F. Jacobsen, J. Holcman and K. Sehested, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 1998, 30, 215–221.
- 97 D. T. Sawyer, H. Sugimoto and T. S. Calderwood, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1984, 81, 8025–8027.
- 98 R. S. Smith and B. D. Kay, Nature, 1999, 398, 788–791.
- 99 A. Einstein, Ann. Phys., 1905, 17, 549–560.
- 100 W. Sutherland, Philos. Mag., 1905, 9, 781–785.
- 101 D. M. Lienhard, A. J. Huisman, U. K. Krieger, Y. Rudich, C. Marcolli, B. P. Luo, D. L. Bones, J. P. Reid, A. T. Lambe, M. R. Canagaratna, P. Davidovits, T. B. Onasch, D. R. Worsnop, S. S. Steimer, T. Koop and T. Peter, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2015, 15, 13599–13613.
- 102 R. M. Power and J. P. Reid, Rep. Prog. Phys., 2014, 77, 074601.
- 103 J. Sujja-areevath, D. Munday, P. Cox and K. Khan, Eur. J. Pharm. Sci., 1998, 6, 207–217.
- 104 R. A. Gemeinhart and C. Guo, in Reflexive Polymers and Hydrogels: Understanding and Designing Fast Responsive PolymericSystems, ed. N. Yui, R. J. Mrsny and K. Park, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fl, 2004, ch. 13: Fast Swelling Hydrogel Systems, pp. 245–257.
- 105 P. D. Oliveira, R. C. Michel, A. J. A. McBride, A. S. Moreira, R. F. T. Lomba and C. T. Vendruscolo, PLoS One, 2013, 8, 1–7.
- 106 D. Bergmann, G. Furth and C. Mayer, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2008, 43, 245–251.
- 107 M. Mobin and M. Rizvi, Carbohydr. Polym., 2016, 136, 384–393.
- 108 R. Battino, T. R. Rettich and T. Tominaga, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 1983, 12, 163–178.
- 109 P. Corral Arroyo, T. Bartels-Rausch, P. A. Alpert, S. Dumas, S. Perrier, C. George and M. Ammann, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2018, 52, 7680–7688.
- 110 L.-K. Ju and C. S. Ho, Can. J. Chem. Eng., 1989, 67, 471–477.
- 111 F. J. Millero, S. Sotolongo and M. Izaguirre, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 1987, 51, 793–801.
- 112 J. W. Moffett and R. G. Zika, Environ. Sci. Technol., 1987, 21, 804–810.
- 113 D. W. King, H. A. Lounsbury and F. J. Millero, Environ. Sci. Technol., 1995, 29, 818–824.
- 114 A. L. Rose and T. D. Waite, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2002, 36, 433–444.
- 115 R. Sander, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2015, 15, 4399–4981.
- 116 Y. P. Lee, M. Fujii, K. Terao, T. Kikuchi and C. Yoshimura, Water Res., 2016, 103, 160–169.
- 117 D. R. Hanson and E. R. Lovejoy, Science, 1995, 267, 1326–1328.
- 118 D. R. Worsnop, J. W. Morris, Q. Shi, P. Davidovits and C. E. Kolb, Geophys. Res. Lett., 2002, 29, GL015542.
- 119 J. H. Kroll and J. H. Seinfeld, Atmos. Environ., 2008, 42, 3593–3624.
- 120 M. Hallquist, J. C. Wenger, U. Baltensperger, Y. Rudich, D. Simpson, M. Claeys, J. Dommen, N. M. Donahue,

C. George, A. H. Goldstein, J. F. Hamilton, H. Herrmann, T. Hoffmann, Y. Iinuma, M. Jang, M. E. Jenkin, J. L. Jimenez, A. Kiendler-Scharr, W. Maenhaut, G. McFiggans, T. F. Mentel, A. Monod, A. S. H. Prévôt, J. H. Seinfeld, J. D. Surratt, R. Szmigielski and J. Wildt, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2009, 9, 5155–5236. PCOP

100 R. Barticle. Compare and T. Fourished articles. Published on 11. Euler and 17. Histopher 2019. Access Articles. Published on 11. Euler and 1919. Downloaded and June 2019. Downloaded on 11/17. Access Article is li

- 121 R. Volkamer, F. San Martini, L. T. Molina, D. Salcedo, J. L. Jimenez and M. J. Molina, Geophys. Res. Lett., 2007, 34, L19807.
- 122 X. Zhang, A. T. Lambe, M. A. Upshur, W. A. Brooks, A. Gray Bé, R. J. Thomson, F. M. Geiger, J. D. Surratt, Z. Zhang, A. Gold, S. Graf, M. J. Cubison, M. Groessl, J. T. Jayne, D. R. Worsnop and M. R. Canagaratna, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 5932–5940.
- 123 T. P. Riedel, Y.-H. Lin, Z. Zhang, K. Chu, J. A. Thornton, W. Vizuete, A. Gold and J. D. Surratt, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2016, 16, 1245–1254.
- 124 V. F. McNeill, J. L. Woo, D. D. Kim, A. N. Schwier, N. J. Wannell, A. J. Sumner and J. M. Barakat, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2012, 46, 8075–8081.
- 125 Y. Zhang, Y. Chen, A. T. Lambe, N. E. Olson, Z. Lei, R. L. Craig, Z. Zhang, A. Gold, T. B. Onasch, J. T. Jayne, D. R. Worsnop, C. J. Gaston, J. A. Thornton, W. Vizuete, A. P. Ault and J. D. Surratt, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 2018, 5, 167–174.