

Wait and you shall see: sexual delay discounting in hypersexual Parkinson's disease

Romuald Girard, Ignacio Obeso, Stéphane Thobois, Seongmin Park, Tiphaine Vidal, Emilie Favre, Miguel Ulla, Emmanuel Broussolle, Paul Krack, Franck F Durif, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Romuald Girard, Ignacio Obeso, Stéphane Thobois, Seongmin Park, Tiphaine Vidal, et al.. Wait and you shall see: sexual delay discounting in hypersexual Parkinson's disease. Brain - A Journal of Neurology , 2019, 142 (1), pp.146-162. 10.1093/brain/awy298 . hal-02330936

HAL Id: hal-02330936 https://hal.science/hal-02330936v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Wait and you shall see: sexual delay discounting in hypersexual Parkinson's disease

Romuald Girard,^{1,2,3,*} Ignacio Obeso,^{1,2,4,*} Stéphane Thobois,^{5,6,7} Seongmin A. Park,^{1,2} Tiphaine
 Vidal,^{8,9} Emilie Favre,⁶ Miguel Ulla,⁸ Emmanuel Broussolle,^{2,6,7} Paul Krack,^{10,11} Franck Durif^{8,9} and
 Jean-Claude Dreher^{1,2}

7 *These authors contributed equally to this work.

- Patients with Parkinson's disease may develop impulse control disorders under dopaminergic treatments. Impulse control disorders include a wide spectrum of behaviours, such as hypersexuality, pathological gambling or compulsive shopping. Yet, the
 neural systems engaged in specific impulse control disorders remain poorly characterized. Here, using model-based functional
 MRI, we aimed to determine the brain systems involved during delay-discounting of erotic rewards in hypersexual patients
 with Parkinson's disease (PD+HS), patients with Parkinson's disease without hypersexuality (PD HS) and controls.
 Patients with Parkinson's disease were evaluated ON and OFF levodopa (counterbalanced). Participants had to decide
 between two options:
- 17 (i) wait for 1.5 s to briefly view an erotic image; or (ii) wait longer to see the erotic image for a longer period of time. At the 18 of decision-making, we investigated which brain regions were engaged with the subjective valuation of the delayed time 19 erotic reward. At the time of the rewarded outcome, we searched for the brain regions responding more robustly after 20 waiting longer to view the erotic image. PD+HS patients showed reduced discounting of erotic delayed rewards, compared 21 to both patients with Parkinson's disease and controls, suggesting that they accepted waiting longer to view erotic images for 22 a longer period of time. Thus, when using erotic stimuli that motivate PD+HS, these patients were less impulsive for the 23 immediate reward. At the brain system level, this effect was paralleled by the fact that PD+HS, as compared to controls and 24 PD—HS, showed a negative correlation between subjective value of the delayed reward and activity of medial 25 prefrontal cortex and ventral striatum. Consistent with the incentive salience hypothesis combining learned cue-reward 26 associations with current relevant physiological state, dopaminergic treatment in PD+HS boosted excessive 'wanting' of 27 rewards and heightened activity in the anterior medial prefrontal cortex and the posterior cingulate cortex, as reflected 28 by higher correlation with subjective value of the option associated to the delayed reward when ON medication as compared 29 to the OFF medication state. At the time of outcome, the anterior medial prefrontal/rostral anterior cingulate cortex 30 showed an interaction between group (PD+HS versus PD — HS) and medication (ON versus OFF), suggesting that 31 dopaminergic treatment boosted activity of this brain region in PD+HS when viewing erotic images after waiting for 32 longer periods of time. Our findings point to reduced delay discounting of erotic rewards in PD+HS, both at the behavioural 33 and brain system levels, and abnormal reinforcing effect of levodopa when PD+HS patients are confronted with erotic 34 stimuli.
- 35 36

- Neuroeconomics, Reward and Decision-making Team, Institut des Sciences Cognitives Marc Jeannerod, Centre National de la Recherche
 Scientifique, UMR 5229, 69675 Bron, France
- 39 2 University Claude Bernard Lyon, Lyon 1, 69100 Villeurbanne, France
- 40 3 Section of Neurosurgery, The University of Chicago Medicine and Biological Sciences, Chicago, IL 60637, USA
- 41 4 HM Hospitales Centro Integral en Neurociencias HM CINAC, 28938, Móstoles, Madrid, Spain
- 42 5 Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Faculté de Médecine Lyon Sud Charles Mérieux, 69921 Oullins, France
- 43 6 Neurologie C, Hôpital Neurologique Pierre Wertheimer, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France
- Physiopathology of basal ganglia, Institut des Sciences Cognitives Marc Jeannerod, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR
 5229, 69675 Bron, France
- 46 8 Neurology Department, CHU de Clermont-Ferrand, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France
- 47 9 University Clermont Auvergne, EA 7280, Clermont Ferrand, France
- 48 10 University Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble Institut des Neurosciences, Grenoble, France, Inserm U1216, Grenoble, France
- 49 11 Movement Disorders Unit, Neurology Department, CHU de Grenoble, Grenoble, France
- 50
- 51 Correspondence to: Dr Jean-Claude Dreher CNRS UMR 5229
- 52 Reward and Decision-making Team Centre de Neurosciences Cognitives 67 Bd Pinel, 69675 Bron, France
- 53 E-mail: dreher@isc.cnrs.fr
- 54 Correspondence may also be addressed to: Dr Ignacio Obeso Centro Integral en Neurociencias A.C. (CINAC)

55 Puerta del Sur HM Hospitales, 28938, Madrid, Spain E-mail: iobeso.hmcinac@hmhospitales.com http://www.somoshmcinac.com

56 Keywords: impulsivity; decision-making; delay discounting; functional MRI; hypersexuality

Abbreviations: GLM = general linear model; ICD = impulse control disorder; mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex; PD+/ HS = Parkinson's
 disease with/without hypersexuality; vmPFC = ventromedial prefrontal cortex

60 Introduction

59

Impulse control disorders (ICDs) are serious psychiatric complications in patients with Parkinson's disease treated with
dopaminergic agents. They comprise compulsive, repetitive and, ultimately, harmful behaviours including compulsive
gambling or shopping, sexual behaviours, binge-eating, as well as punding and excessive hobbyism. ICDs are mostly induced
by dopamine agonists in about 17% of patients with Parkinson's disease and more rarely by levodopa (6.9%) (Molina *et al.*,
2000; Weintraub *et al.*, 2010; Voon *et al.*, 2011b, 2017). ICDs can have dramatic consequences for patients and their families
with high risk of financial ruin, divorce, loss of employment or prosecu- tion (Weintraub and Claassen, 2017).

67 The role of an abnormal sensitization of the mesolimbic dopaminergic system has been constantly reported in ICDs (Voon et 68 al., 2017). In addition, several anatomical and functional MRI studies in patients with Parkinson's disease with ICDs have 69 shown dysfunctions in limbic and cortico- subcortical circuits engaged in risk-taking, reward-learning, reducing punishment 70 learning and outcome evaluation as well as cognitive control difficulties (Santangelo et al., 2017). These abnormalities mostly 71 consist of dysfunctions of the ventral striatum and cortico-limbic areas as well as abnormal connectivity between the 72 mesolimbic and meso- cortical regions (Cilia et al., 2010; Rao et al., 2010; van Eimeren et al., 2010; Voon et al., 2011b; 73 Politis et al., 2013: Cerasa et al., 2014: Piray et al., 2014: Biundo et al., 2015: Carriere et al., 2015: Claassen et al., 74 2017; Tessitore et al., 2017; Valli et al., 2017; Petersen et al., 2018). However, most of these studies have enrolled pa-tients 75 with Parkinson's disease with either various forms of ICDS or only pathological gambling (Steeves et al., 2009; Cilia et al., 2010, 2011; Rao et al., 2010; van Eimeren et al., 2010; O'Sullivan et al., 2011; Voon et al., 2011b, 2014; Joutsa et al., 2012; 76 77 Ray et al., 2012; Politis et al., 2013; Cerasa et al., 2014; Piray et al., 2014; Vriend et al., 2014; Biundo et al., 2015; Carriere et 78 al., 2015; Tessitore et al., 2017; Petersen et al., 2018).

The neural mechanisms that underlie each type of ICD specifically, such as hypersexuality, remain unclear. So far, only one functional MRI study has investigated the neural circuitry related to Parkinson's disease hypersexuality (Politis *et al.*, 2013). This study showed significant increased activity over limbic regions (i.e. orbitofrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex and ventral striatum) in patients with Parkinson's disease with hypersexuality (PD+HS) when passively viewing erotic images (Politis *et al.*, 2013). However, no study has, so far, investigated the brain networks associated with cost/benefit decisions related to sexual stimuli in PD+HS patients.

85 Decision-making consists of choosing among available options based on a valuation of their potential costs and benefits. 86 Models of decision-making used in neuroeco- nomics propose that the desirability of outcomes expected from alternative 87 options can be quantified by assigning a subjective value to each option under consideration. This valuation process allows the 88 brain to weight the likely benefits and costs resulting from an action and to select the option with the highest subjective 89 value. A domain in which the valuation process has proven particularly effi- cient in describing choice behaviour is delay 90 discounting, which refers to the finding that animals tend to value im- mediate rewards more than delayed rewards. Here we 91 hypothesized that hypersexuality in Parkinson's disease encompasses a dysfunctional valuation system when con-sidering 92 available options related to sexual stimuli.

93 Previous delay discounting experiments in Parkinson's disease and ICD have used monetary rewards as incentives (Steeves 94 et al., 2009; Cilia et al., 2010, 2011; Rao et al., 2010; van Eimeren et al., 2010; O'Sullivan et al., 2011; Voon et al., 2011b, 95 2014; Joutsa et al., 2012; Ray et al., 2012; Politis et al., 2013; Cerasa et al., 2014; Piray et al., 2014; Vriend et al., 2014; 96 Biundo et al., 2015; Carriere et al., 2015; Tessitore et al., 2017; Petersen et al., 2018). Patients with Parkinson's disease with 97 ICD tested with monetary rewards showed elevated discounting over short delays (immediate option is preferred) but not those 98 with- out ICD (Voon *et al.*, 2010). In a study using a Kirby delay discounting questionnaire with future monetary rewards, 99 patients with Parkinson's disease with ICD (mixed sub- types) also showed higher delay discounting, suggesting less 100 tolerance for delay gratification (Housden et al., 2010), replicated in ICD with pathological gambling (Voon et al., 2010). 101 However, these previous studies have not considered the role that specific reward types may play on a specific ICD, such as 102 hypersexuality.

103 According to the incentive sensitization theory of addiction, there is a 'sensitization' or hypersensitivity to the incentive salience of 104 drugs and drug-associated stimuli (Robinson and Berridge, 1993). Incentive sensitization produces a bias of at-tentional processing 105 towards drug-associated stimuli and pathological motivation for drugs (compulsive 'wanting') (Robinson and Berridge, 1993, 2000). In behavioural addic- tions, by extension, excessive 'wanting' and compulsive pursuit of specific reward types may result, triggered 106 107 by cues previ- ously learned to be associated with rewards (Berridge and Robinson, 1998; Grant and Kim, 2001; Everitt and Wolf, 2002; Fadardi and Cox, 2009; Brevers et al., 2011a). This hypothesis is supported by clinical observations indicating that exposure 108 109 to addiction-related cues induces specific atten- tional biases and feelings of craving in addicted populations (Fadardi and Cox, 2009; Brevers et al., 2011b). For example, abstaining smokers attribute higher reward value to cigarette cues than to neutral cues that are 110

- equally predictive of reward (Freeman *et al.*, 2013), and there is a motivational bias fa-vouring monetary rewards in pathological
- gamblers as com- pared to erotic stimuli (Sescousse *et al.*, 2013). Similarly, here, we tested whether PD+HS patients are
- 113 hypersensitive to erotic cues, related to their specific behavioural addiction, leading to a critical imbalance in incentive motivation
- for erotic rewards. We used a model-based functional MRI and a delay dis- counting paradigm with real delay (waiting for a few
- seconds) as a cost and viewing erotic stimuli (i.e. stimuli that drive their excessive 'wanting' of rewards) for longer as the benefits to
- specifically assess the behavioural and neural mechanisms engaged in valuation of primary rewards but, this time, in PD+HS. We previously demonstrated that healthy young het-erosexual males accepted waiting longer to see the erotic images for longer, and
- 117 previously demonstrated that healthy young het- erosexual males accepted waiting longer to see the erotic images for longer, and we 118 identified the ventral striatum and ventro- medial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) as the core components engaged in delay discounting of
- erotic images (Prevost *et al.*, 2010). Using a similar paradigm, we hypothesized that Parkinson's disease with hypersexuality would show reduced delay discounting due to enhanced incentive salience of erotic rewards lasting for longer (i.e. PD+HS should prefer to
- 120 show 1 121 choose

to wait for longer to view erotic images for longer) and differ- ential activity in the valuation system relative to Parkinson's disease without
 hypersexuality (PD — HS) and healthy controls. Moreover, because ICDs, including hypersexuality, are often considered as side effects of
 dopaminergic therapy (Lim *et al.*, 2008; Weintraub *et al.*, 2010), we tested whether dopaminergic medication in PD+HS would modulate
 subjective valuation of the option leading to the delayed erotic reward as well as the erotic reward outcome following a longer delay
 duration.

127 128

129 Materials and methods

130 Patients

Twenty-seven right-handed and non-demented male patients with idiopathic Parkinson's disease were enrolled in the study.
 All patients met UK Brain Bank diagnostic criteria for Parkinson's disease (Hughes *et al.*, 1992) and, because of the difficulty

- 133 in recruiting this cohort, three University Hospital Movement Disorders clinics participated in the recruitment (Lyon,
- 134 Clermont-Ferrand and Grenoble, France). Thirteen ex- hibited ongoing hypersexual ICD (PD+HS; n = 13, mean age = 58.5 135 T 8.3 years), more or less associated with other ICDs as assessed by the Ardouin Scale of Behaviour in Parkinson disease
- 136 (ASBPD, sexual items scores 42 of 4)
- 137 (Rieu *et al.*, 2015). Diagnosis and presence of ICD was estab-
- lished by a clinical interview with an experienced neurologist (S.T., P.K., F.D., E.B.) and then further confirmed by the
 neuropsychologist (E.F., T.V.) using the ASBPD.
- 140 A group of patients with Parkinson's disease without HS (PD HS; n = 14, mean age = 57.0 T 9.0 years) were also 141 included as a disease control group. Absence of ICD was also confirmed by a clinical interview and using the ASBPD. In 142 both patient groups, absence of dementia [Mattis Dementia
- Rating Scale (DRS) score 4 123; Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) score 4 16 (Dubois *et al.*, 2000), and depression (Hamilton Anxiety and Depression Scale; HADS) score 5 18 (Zigmond and Snaith, 1983)] were considered as inclusion
- criteria. Patients' impulsivity levels were evaluated using the Barratt Impulsivity Scale-III (Patton *et al.*, 1995). Psychiatric
 history was evaluated with the MINI International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) (Sheehan *et al.*, 1998) to control for
- 148 potential confounding factors in patients' history (no history of alcohol or drug abuse). Motor symptoms were assessed with
- the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale Part III in ON and OFF medication conditions during a levodopa challenge.
 Patients received chronic levodopa (L-DOPA) treat- ment in combination with dopamine agonists (ropinirole, pra-mipexole,
- 150 rations received enrolle revolupa (E-DOLA) treat ment in comona 151 rotigotine) and/or rasagiline (Table 1).
 - Fourteen healthy male volunteers were recruited as con- trols (right handed, mean age = 54.4 T 5.0 years). None had any neurological disorder, psychiatric illness, head injury, or history of alcohol or drug abuse (as measured with the above neuropsychological tools). The study was approved by the Joint Ethics Committee of the Hôpital Neurologique and informed consents were obtained from all participants prior to the beginning of the study (registration number 22036S).
 - 157 Delay discounting task

- Subjects had to weight the cost and benefit of each option based on both the fuzzy cue and the proposed level of waiting period (delay). The levels of delays (n = 5) were randomly pre- sented across sessions with an average of 12 trials per level.
- Each trial started with the presentation of a cue (0.5 s) repre- senting an erotic fuzzy picture of a naked woman (Fig. 1A). The instruction cue 'Wait?' along with a graduated thermom- eter indicated a level of delay period of between 3 and 9 s. Subjects had to decide whether they chose the costly option (i.e. wait longer) to see the fuzzy cue clearly for 3 s (large reward), or chose the default option (i.e. wait for a fixed short period of time of 1.5 s) to view the picture only for 1 s (small reward).
- 165 Subjects pressed a response button using their fore- finger to accept the costly option and the middle finger to reject it.

167	in a blue rectangle otherwise. Subjects then waited until the required time had elapsed and the thermometer was filled up to the
168	indicated level. At the outcome, the erotic pic- ture was displayed clearly for 3 s or 1 s according to subject's choice (i.e. costly
169	or default option). The duration of the dis- play of the cue plus the proposition (i.e. instruction screen) was a fixed time of 4 s
170	T 1 s. If the subject did not make a decision during the allocated time, the trial was aborted and the instruction 'Pay
171	attention' was displayed for 2 s. The trial ended with an intertrial interval of 1.5 s plus a jitter of T1s when subjects accepted
172	the offer to wait for longer and
173	3.5 sT1 s when they decided to reject this offer.
174	During the functional MRI scanning, three sessions (lasting around 9 min) were performed, composed of 20 trials each. After
175	each functional MRI scanning acquisition all subjects were asked to rate the 60 fuzzy cues displayed during the experi- ment. For
176	each fuzzy image the participants had to consider 'How much would Llike to see this fuzzy picture in clear?' and rate the cue
177	using a visual—analogue scale ranging from 1 ('I do not want to see the fuzzy picture in clear at all') to 9 ('I extremely want to
178	see the fuzzy picture in clear') with an incre- ment of 0.1. This rating score was then used to assess the sub- jective value for each
179	image displayed
180	For all patients with Parkinson's disease, the functional MRI
181	acquisition was performed twice. To counterbalance the order of the acquisition half of the patients with Parkinson's disease
182	were
102	
183	of that option ($V_{\rm I}$ for immediate option; $V_{\rm D}$ for the delayed option) where p is a parameter representing the degree of
164	stochasticity of the subject's benaviour.
185	e^{bV_I}
186	$P_I \stackrel{1}{\sim}_{ebV_I b e bV_D} $ $\delta 1 \dot{P}$
187	We used a discounted utility model to compute the subject- ive value associated with each option and to provide an accur-
188	ate fit to subjects' choices in this task (Kable and Glimcher, 2007). This model states that the discounted utility (V) of a
189	reward of magnitude (R) associated to a delay (d) can be ex- pressed as follows:
100	neward of magnitude (K) associated to a denay (a) can be ex- pressed as follows.
190	K V 1/4
191	$V \frac{\sqrt{4}}{1} \text{ b } Kd$
192	by which the utility is discounted in a standard hyperbolic fashion (Mazur, 1987). K is a discount rate parameter, which
193	quantifies an individual's tendency to discount the delay. That is, a person with a high K shows a steep devalu- ation of
194	rewards as they become more delayed. According to traditional models of intertemporal choice valuation, impulsiv- itv—the
195	propensity to choose the immediate option leading to smaller rewards—can be captured by a function of K .
196	We used each participant's trial-by-trial choice behaviour to fit the free parameters of the model and asked to what extent
197	the model explains the participant's choices on trial $t(C)$ Model fitting was estimated with log posterior density (lpd) given
198	the parameters & $(\& = \{K, h\})$ The lpd was measured based on the probabilities to the choices of each participant
199	on each of the T trials computed as: scanned 1 h after a levodona challenge (single supraliminar levo- dona dose intake
200	corresponding to 150% of the usual morning dose) and then the following day after at least 12-h overnight
200	1 11/
202	$P^{a_{1/4}}$
203	
204	
205	$t^{1/4}$
386	$\log p_{post} \delta C_1 \delta P^{-1/4}$
207	1
209	
210	$t^{1/4}$
-	Z
211	log
212	ndCikbn Akbdk A3bantinarkinsonian drugs withdrawal. The other half were scanned the same day: after 12 h overnight
212	antiparkinsonian drugs with drawal and 1 h after a levodona challenge (single supraliminar levodona dose intake
213	corresponding to 150% of the usual morn- ing dose). Controls had one functional MRI session
217	corresponding to 19070 of the dodd morning dose). Controls had one functional torter session.
215	
216	
217	
218	
219	Statistical methods
220	The behavioural data analyses were performed using a two- way ANOVA with medication (ON versus OFF) and group

Following the subject's choice, the thermometer was framed in a red rectangle if they decided to accept the costly option, and

166

221 (PD+HS, PD — HS and controls) for delay discounting rates (logK) and acceptance rates (Supplementary Figs 1 and 2). In

addition, a three-way ANOVA was performed using subjective values associated to the levels of delay, medication and group
 as variables. *Post hoc* follow-up tests were performed using Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) to correct for multiple
 comparisons. The betas estimated extracted for each group were compared using a two-sample *t*-test. Statistical analyses were
 conducted using SPSS statistical software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

227 Computational model and parameter estimation

228 We implemented the softmax decision rule to assign a prob- ability (P_l) to choose a given option given the subjective value 229 We fitted the parameters of the model to each participant's

choice data. To facilitate model fitting, we used a regularizing prior that favoured realistic values for the parameters (Daw, 2011). Concretely, both *K* and *b* were searched within the boundary of positive values (0-). To do this, we set the prior distribution of *K* as the probabilistic density function (PDF) of inverse gamma (0.001, 0.001) and the prior distribu- tion of *b* as the PDF of inverse gamma (2, 3). We optimized the model parameters by minimizing the hegative log posterior density (lpd) of the data given different settings of the model parameters (& = {*K*, *b*}) using a Bayesian statistical model with STAN (Carpenter *et al.*, 2017). We finally normalized the sub- jective value among the different levels of delay and the groups using a min-max normalization approach.

238 Functional MRI data analysis

239 The functional MRI neuroimaging datasets were preprocessed and analysed using SPM8 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive 240 Neurology, London, UK). The four initial scans of each functional MRI series were discarded. Images were spatially realigned 241 to the first image from the first session using a six-parameter, rigid-body transformation, and unwrapped to correct for 242 geometric distortions. Scan artefacts were detected and repaired using average intensity and scan-to-scan motion performing 243 the artefact repair algorithm implemented in ArtRepair SPM toolbox. For each participant, the T₁-weighted anatomical image 244 was co-registered to the mean EPI (echo planar imaging), and segmented into white and grey matter. The grey matter and EPI 245 were then normalized using standard Montreal Neurological Institute space template conforming to the Talairach orientation 246 system by applying a 12-parameter affine transformation followed by a non-linear warping. The computed transformation 247 parameters were applied to all of the functional images, interpolated to a final isotropic voxel size of 3 mm³. Finally, a spatial 248 smoothing was performed using a Gaussian kernel with full-width at half-maximum of 8 mm and finally, scaled across scans. 249 After a quality check of the post- processing images, two controls, one PD+HS and three PD HS patients ON L-DOPA 250 and two PD+HS and three PD HS patients OFF L-DOPA were discarded from the func- tional MRI analysis due to motion 251 artefacts during data ac- quisition or because functional MRI data were not acquired during all blocks (participants not being 252 able to perform the task entirely).

After preprocessing, statistical parametric maps were com- puted from local magnetic resonance signals, as an event- related design using linear multiple regression. Because we focused on discounting of the delayed reward, only trials where the subjects chose the delayed reward were analysed.

GLM1: functional MRI data statistical analysis at the decision-making and outcomephases

259 For each subject, functional MRI time series were regressed onto a main linear regression model (GLM) modelling three 260 phases including the decision-making stage, delay and out- come. The decision-making phase was represented by an im- pulse 261 function convolved with a canonical haemodynamic response function (HRF). The delay and the outcome phases were 262 modelled using a boxcar function, whose duration was equal to the duration of the corresponding event, convolved with a 263 HRF. The decision-making regressor was parametrically modulated by the estimated subjective value of the option associated 264 with the delayed reward, while the outcome regres- sor was modulated by the level of delay (to investigate whether outcomes 265 associated with longer delays engage specific brain regions). The linear contrasts of regression coefficients were computed for 266 each subject. The data were then high-pass fil-tered (128 s cut-off) to remove low-frequency drifts and serial correlations were 267 accounted for by an autoregressive model of the first order.

268 The linear contrasts of regression coefficients associated with the parametric modulation of the subjective value of 269 the delayed option and the blood oxygen level-de- pendent (BOLD) activity at the decision-making phase were then taken 270 to a group level random-effects analysis. A second-level ANOVA was performed to assess the differ- ences in parametric 271 modulation of subjective value and BOLD activity between controls and Parkinson's disease pa- tients with and without 272 hypersexuality regardless of their medication. To study the effect of dopaminergic treatment in patients with Parkinson's 273 disease, we also assessed the differences in the parametric modulation of subjective value conducting a mixed-effect 274 ANOVA analysis including the two groups of patients (PD HS and PD+HS) and their medication state (ON and OFF) as 275 factors. Finally, the same

276 mixed-effect ANOVA analysis was also conducted at the outcome phase.

277

226

237

256

GLM2 and GLM3: functional MRI data statistical analysis at the decision- making phase

280 We performed two additional GLMs to study the effects of the incentive value of the cue (i.e. ratings) and the level of pro-281 posed delay (i.e. costs) in the brain regions correlating with the subjective value of the delayed erotic rewards. These additional 282 GLMs were performed to plot the graphs of parameter esti- mates as a function of the levels of delay (GLM2) and as a function 283 of categories of rating of the fuzzy cue (GLM3). For GLM2, five regressors were used to account for each of the delay cost-284 enduring level at the time of the decision. For GLM3, we collapsed the ratings into four categories (bins) to ensure a 285 sufficient number of repetitions in each bin and to generate robust statistics. Thus, four regressors were used to account for 286 each of these bins at the decision-making phase. In addition, the delay cost-enduring, and the outcome phases were modelled 287 for each trial in both GLM2 and GLM3 (Prevost et al., 2010).

All phases were modelled using a canonical HRF convolved whether with an impulse function for the decision phase, or
 using a boxcar function, whose duration is equal to the dur- ation of the stimuli, for the cost-enduring and the outcome phases.
 For additional details on the methods, see the online Supplementary material.

292 Data availability

293 The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not 294 publicly available because of local ethical restrictions and pro- tection of privacy of study participants. 295

297 Results

291

296

298 Clinical characteristics

Antiparkinsonian treatments and demographic or clinical characteristics (age, gender, disease duration, UPDRS-III ON and OFF scores) were similar between PD+HS and PD — HS patients. Both patient groups showed significant differences between their medication (*P*'s **5** 0.001). Except

302 two patients, most PD+HS exhibited, in addition to hyper-

sexuality, other ICDs [compulsive shopping (n = 1); binge eating (n = 8); hobbyism (n = 9); hyperactivity (n = 5)]. In the PD+HS group, one patient had one score greater than hypersexuality (hobbyism). Higher impulsivity levels (mea- sured by BIS-III) and hypersexuality behaviours (assessed by ASBPD) were found in PD+HS as compared to PD — HS patients (Table 1). Psychiatric history (MINI) between groups showed different percentages across two variables showing larger percentages in PD+HS major depressive dis- order and social phobia history (Supplementary material). Behavioural results

- 308 An ANOVA with delay discounting rates, group and medi- cation as factors revealed a significant group effect [F(1,36) = 6.65, P = 0.003]. *Post hoc* group comparisons demonstrated that PD+HS patients had lower discount rates during delay
- 310 discounting task than controls $[\log k: t(24) = -3.80, P = 0.001; t(24) = -2.12, P = 0.04$ for ON
- and OFF medication, respectively] (Fig. 1B). While medi- cated, PD+HS patients showed significantly lower discount- ing rates than PD — HS patients [log k: t(24) = -2.20, P = 0.03]. No difference in discounting rates was observed between the two groups while OFF medication. The results show that PD+HS accepted to wait for longer to see erotic images for longer while
- medicated. Discount rates of PD+HS showed no significant correlations with hypersexual behav- iours and impulsive clinical scores. An ANOVA with the subjective value and group (controls, PD — HS and PD+HS), delay levels and medication as factors revealed a significant subjective value effect [F(1,36) = 32.00, P = 50.001] suggesting decreased choices when the delay to see the erotic
- 317 rewards increased (Fig. 1C). In addition, an inter-
- 318 action between level of delay ~ group ~ medication [F(1,36) = 2.15, P = 0.03] was observed. To follow-up on such an inter- action, 319 *post hoc* comparisons showed significant differences between controls and PD+HS across delay levels [ON medica- tion: level 1, 320 t(25) = 2.39, P = 0.02; level 2, t(25) = 2.19,
- 321 P = 0.03; level 3, t(25) = 2.30, P = 0.03; level 4, t(25) = 2.35,
- $P = 0.02; \text{ level 5, } t(25) = 2.49, P = 0.02] \text{ without significant dif- ferences with PD} \text{HS versus controls } (P's 4 0.05) \text{ suggesting specific} \\ \text{behavioural effect on the increased subjective value of erotic images in hypersexuality. No differences were detected between PD+HS and \\ PD \text{HS patients.} \\ \text{325}$

326 Functional MRI results

327 Subjective valuation phase

328 The vmPFC correlated with subjective value of the delayed erotic rewards in controls and PD—HS compared to PD+HS

329 We first identified brain activity differentially correlating with the subjective value of the option leading to the delayed reward at

- the time of the decision-making phase. The com- parison between controls and parkinsonian patients with and without
- 331 hypersexuality, regardless of medication, showed that activity in the medial PFC, including the vmPFC (Fig. 2A and Table

- 2) was differently correlated with the subjective value of the delayed reward. Indeed, the activity in the vmPFC [Brodmann area
 (BA) 8 and 10] was negatively correlated with the subjective value of the delayed reward in PD+HS, while it was positively
 correlated with this subjective value in the controls and PD—HS groups (Fig. 2A).
- To understand the correlations with subjective value better, we plotted the parameter estimates as a function of the levels of delay (GLM2) and categories of rating of
- the fuzzy cues (GLM3). The activity of the vmPFC for both controls and PD HS increased for greater ratings of the fuzzy
 cue and decreased with longer delays (Fig. 2A). On the other hand, activity in the vmPFC of the PD+HS showed a distinct
 pattern since it did not decrease for both longer delays and greater rating.
- 341 Ventral striatum correlated with subjective value of the delayed reward in PD—HS
- The comparison of the parametric regression of subjective value and the BOLD activity between controls and patients with Parkinson's disease with and without hypersexuality showed, regardless of medication state, that activity in the right ventral striatum was significantly positively correlated with the subject- ive value of delayed erotic rewards in both controls and PD — HS (Fig. 2B and Table 3), but not in PD+HS. The par- ameter estimates as a function of the levels of delays (GLM2) and categories of rating of the fuzzy cue (GLM3) showed the same pattern in the right ventral striatum for both controls and PD — HS patients (Fig. 2B). Indeed, activity in these regions increased for higher ratings and decreased with longer delays. However, the activity in the right ventral striatum decreased for higher ratings in PD+HS (while the relationship with longer delay was not significant).
- 350 Medial prefrontal and posterior cingulate cortices were differentially modulated by dopaminergic medication
- A significant interaction between medication and group (PD+HS and PD HS) was observed over the medial pre- frontal cortex (BA 10), extending in the orbitofrontal cortex (BA 10, 47) and the lateral PFC (BA 9, 45, 46), the posterior cingulate (BA 31), and the bilateral insula (BA 13) (Fig. 3 and Table 3).
- In addition, activity in the anterior medial prefrontal (BA 8, 9 and 10) and posterior cingulate cortices of PD+HS participants ON medication showed higher correlation with subjective value of the delayed option compared to the OFF medication condition (Fig. 3 and Table 3).
- 358 Outcome phase

340

349

357

368 369

- 359 Medial prefrontal cortex correlated with increasing delay in PD+HS on medication
- An interaction between medication (ON and OFF medica- tion) and group (PD+HS and PD HS) showed that view- ing erotic rewards after waiting for a longer period of time induced a robust mPFC activation (BA 9 and 10). Activation of this region was positively correlated with the delay at the time of rewarded outcome (i.e. when view- ing the erotic image in clear) in medicated PD+HS. Conversely, PD+HS OFF medication showed a negative correlation with delay, similar to what is observed in PD—HS patients (Fig. 4 and Table 4).
- 365 In addition, direct comparison between ON and OFF
- medication conditions in PD+HS, revealed that a more dorsal mPFC region showed higher correlation with subjective value
 of the delayed erotic rewards when
- 370 Discussion
- 371 Using for the first time a decision-making paradigm specif- ically dedicated to hypersexual behaviours in Parkinson's
- 372 disease, we found that PD+HS patients ON medication ac- cepted waiting longer to view erotic images compared to PD — 373 HS patients. At the brain system level, we provide evidence of an altered valuation process in PD+HS influ- enced by sexual 374 stimuli and dopaminergic medication in the anterior medial prefrontal and posterior cingulate cortices as well as ventral 375 striatum. More precisely, PD+HS showed differential correlation with subjective value in the vmPFC and ventral striatum 376 compared to PD — HS and controls, due to altered representations of delay cost in the vmPFC and to the incentive of the cue 377 in the ventral striatum. Moreover, dopaminergic treatment increased the correl- ation between the subjective value of the 378 delayed option and medial prefrontal as well as posterior cingulate cortices in PD+HS only. Finally, at the outcome phase, 379 when PD+HS patients were ON medication, activity in the medial prefrontal cortex was positively correlated with the 380 delay duration previously experienced.
- 381
- 382 383

.

- 384
- 385

386 Delay discounting in patients with Parkinson's disease with hypersexuality

387 Our behavioural results clearly demonstrate that PD+HS patients accepted to wait longer to view erotic images for longer. 388 Thus, they discounted less delayed erotic stimuli, compared to PD — HS and controls. This result could seem contradictory 389 with several lines of evidence showing that patients with Parkinson's disease suffering from ICD have increased monetary 390 delay discounting, perhaps reflecting higher impulsivity to earn fast money for subsequent gam- bling activities (Housden et 391 al., 2010; Djamshidian et al., 2011; Vitale et al., 2011; Voon et al., 2011b, 2017; Leroi et al., 2013; Claassen et al., 2015). 392 However, all these studies have used money as a reward. Although such sec- ondary reward may be well suited for specific 393 ICD such as pathological gambling, monetary reward appears less ap- propriate to determine the neural mechanisms 394 underlying hypersexuality in Parkinson's disease. Indeed, hypersexual patients with Parkinson's disease are more likely to be 395 motivated by sexual images and to show changes in choice behaviour specifically related to their hypersexual- ity. Our 396 findings emphasize that ICDs cannot be con- sidered as a unitary class of psychiatric disorder characterized by impulsivity, 397 but that Parkinson's disease patients with hypersexuality, compulsive shopping or

- 398 pathological gambling behave differently in distinct delay discounting tasks using different types of rewards (Voon et al., 399 2011a). This underlines the great interest of our study that associates specific stimuli to a specific ICD. One possible reason 400 explaining why there is enhanced delay discounting in pathological gamblers for monetary rewards but reduced delay 401 discounting for erotic rewards in PD+HS is the use of a specific reward for a particular ICD. While small amounts of money 402 now may be seen as a better option than larger amounts later to pathological gamblers because they need fast cash to gamble 403 immedi- ately, PD+HS patients may be prepared to wait a few se- conds to experience erotic images for longer. Consistent 404 with this interpretation, patients with Parkinson's disease with binge-eating also do not show enhanced delay dis- counting, 405 whereas pathological gamblers or compulsive shoppers with Parkinson's disease do (Voon et al., 2011b). This indicates that 406 both behaviour and patho- physiology may differ depending on the type of ICD, pos- sibly as different neurobiological 407 substrates correspond to different reward types (Sescousse et al., 2013). Although several cognitive dysfunctions in ICD are 408 detected when formal evaluations are carried out, such as set-shifting or abstraction abilities (Santangello et al., 2017), we 409 suggest that under ICD-relevant stimuli, PD+HS patients improve performance and may bypass impulsive-related or frontal-410 type deficits and are prepared to wait a few seconds to see erotic images for longer. Therefore, our results reinforce the 411 interest of carefully dissociating the different forms of ICD and to assess each type of ICD (such as hypersexu- ality here) 412 using dedicated rewards.
- 414 Neural signature of delayed dis- counting in hypersexual Parkinson's disease

413

415 We observed altered medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) func- tioning in patients with hypersexuality while evaluating the option to wait to view erotic images for longer. Indeed, this brain region showed a negative correlation with subjective value of the 416 417 delayed reward in PD+HS (Fig. 2A, top right). When investigating the respective contribution of the effects of delay and 418 rating of the cues (subjective value being ap- proximately the ratio of rating divided by the delay cost), this negative 419 correlation with subjective value observed in the mPFC in PD+HS patients was mainly guided by a posi- tive correlation with 420 delay duration. This may reflect that in PD+HS patients, the vmPFC does not code increasing delay as a cost but rather as a 421 means to obtain erotic rewards for longer. In contrast to PD+HS patients, the an- terior mPFC activation was positively 422 correlated with sub- jective value of the delayed reward in PD-ICD and controls. Furthermore, in both controls and PD — HS 423 patients, activity in this region correlated negatively with longer delays to get the reward, reflecting that delay was effect- ively 424 valued as a cost in this region. In addition, a positive correlation was observed between vmPFC activity and rating of the fuzzy 425 cues in all groups, consistent with the role of this area in coding the incentive value of such cues, as demonstrated in young 426 controls (Prevost et al., 2010) and PD+HS patients (Politis et al., 2013). Altogether, these findings may point to a 427 neurobiological marker of hypersexual patients with Parkinson's disease, in which mPFC value enduring the delay not as a 428 cost but as a means to view the erotic reward for longer.

Similar to the vmPFC response pattern, the ventral striatum activity correlated negatively with subjective value in PD+HS
 patients, but positively in PD — HS patients and controls. When inspecting the respective contribution of the rating of the cue and
 of the delay to get the reward, ventral striatal activity decreased as a function of the rating of the cues in PD+HS, contrary to what
 was observed in controls and PD — HS patients who showed increasing activity with higher ratings (Fig. 2B, bottom). This
 indicates a ventral

striatal dysfunction in the evaluation of incentive value of the fuzzy cue in PD+HS, despite increased willingness to wait to
view the erotic reward for longer. This finding is of great interest with respect to the role of the ventral striatum in motivation
since it is known to normally correlate posi- tively with subjective value in healthy subjects (Kable and Glimcher, 2007; Prevost *et al.*, 2010; Tricomi and Lempert, 2015). Increased risk-taking and default of risk evaluation in patients with Parkinson's disease
with ICD (gambling or compulsive shopping) has been associated with decreased ventral striatum activity (Voon *et al.*, 2011*a*).
Taken together, the present results suggest that PD+HS patients exhibit changes of ventral striatum activity that may reflect
blunted sensitivity to reward predicting cues, known as a reward de- ficiency syndrome (Comings and Blum, 2000; Volkow *et al.*,

2002). This fits well with functional connectivity and ana- tomical studies showing a disconnection between ventral stri- atum and
a large network including orbitofrontal cortices (Cilia *et al.*, 2011; Petersen *et al.*, 2018) as well as reduced accumbens nucleus
volume (Biundo *et al.*, 2015) in patients with Parkinson's disease with ICDs.

444

445 Impact of dopaminergic medication

446 A negative correlation between subjective value of the delayed reward and medial prefrontal and posterior cingu- late cortices 447 activity was observed in PD+HS patients while OFF dopaminergic medication (Fig. 3). This correlation became positive under 448 medication in PD+HS patients. In contrast, PD — HS patients did not show a reverse pattern of correlation with subjective 449 value when ON versus OFF L-DOPA. Previous studies have linked activation of the mPFC to anticipation of rewards and 450 explicit ratings of anticipated pleasure (Kringelbach, 2005; Sescousse et al., 2015) and engagement of the posterior cingulate 451 cortex to cue specificity in reward desire (Garavan et al., 2000). The mPFC has also been associated with subjective valuation 452 of delayed primary/secondary rewards and cognitive control mechanisms during adaptive decisions (Kable and Glimcher, 453 2007; Isoda and Hikosaka, 2008; Prevost

et al., 2010; Cho *et al.*, 2013). However, we cannot rule out the possibility of ICD being a consequence of reduced prefrontal
 top-down inhibitory control deficits (van Eimeren *et al.*, 2010) in controlling enhanced reward desire received from ventral
 striatum (for review see Napier *et al.*, 2015).

457 The particular impact of dopaminergic medication in PD+HS patients may relate to the incentive salience hypoth- esis, 458 which combines learned conditioned-unconditioned stimuli associations with current relevant physiological states (Robinson 459 and Berridge, 1993, 2001; Berridge, 2007; Zhang et al., 2009). According to this hypothesis, modulation of incentive 460 salience adaptively guides moti-vated behaviour to appropriate rewards. Yet, when the mesolimbic circuitry, including the 461 mPFC and ventral stri- atum go awry, such as in behavioural addiction, excessive 'wanting' and compulsive pursuit of rewards 462 may result, triggered by cues previously learned to be associated with rewards (Grant and Kim, 2001; Everitt and Wolf, 2002; 463 Berridge and Robinson, 2003; Fadardi and Cox, 2009; Brevers et al., 2011a). In our sample, while medicated, PD+HS patients 464 showed significantly lower discounting rates than PD — HS patients, indicative of increased want- ing of the erotic rewards. 465 Consequently, while taking med- ication, PD+HS patients accepted to wait for longer to see the erotic images. Hence, 466 dysfunctional bottom-up limbic (with probable top-down dysfunction) inputs may boost the excessive wanting seen in 467 PD+HS. Overall, our study adds evidence to the incentive salience hypothesis role in ICD.

468 Animal studies have shown that there is a synergy be- tween elevated dopamine levels and phasic encounters with the 469 Pavlovian cue (Zhang et al., 2009; Berridge, 2012) and sensitization by dopaminergic drugs can lead to exagger- ated pursuit 470 of sexual rewards (Fiorino and Phillips, 1999; Nocjar and Panksepp, 2002; Afonso et al., 2009; Pfaus, 2010; Frohmader et 471 al., 2011; Stolzenberg and Numan, 2011). Consistent with these findings, we observed that mPFC activity was potentiated by 472 dopaminergic medication in PD+HS patients at the time of the decision (Fig. 3). That is, patients with PD+HS while 473 medicated, who were willing to wait to view erotic rewards for longer, showed a signifi- cant difference in the correlation with 474 subjective value of the delayed reward in the mPFC and posterior cingulate cortices (as compared to OFF medication). This 475 interaction between medication and presence/absence of hypersexuality observed in the mPFC and posterior cingulate cortices re-flects differential valuation of the delayed reward in PD+HS patients according to medication condition (Fig. 3). Similarly, 476 477 consumption of the psychostimulant metham- phetamine, which leads to increased dopamine release, is often associated with 478 heightened sexual desire, arousal and pleasure and these factors have been identified as primary motivation for drug use 479 (Semple et al., 2002; Schilder et al., 2005; Green and Halkitis, 2006). Methamphetamine abuse is also commonly associated 480 with loss of inhibitory control of sex behaviour or sexually compulsive behaviour (Halkitis et al., 2001; Rawson et al., 2002; 481 Green and Halkitis, 2006).

482 Our findings support the hypothesis that PD+HS patients show heightened mesocorticolimbic response (especially in the 483 mPFC and posterior cingulate cortices) while ON L- DOPA therapy, but also blunted reward system reactivity when OFF 484 medication. Consistent with these findings, in the only published study to date on PD+HS, dopaminergic administration 485 increased activity in a brain network includ- ing the mPFC and posterior cingulate cortex during passive viewing of sexual 486 stimuli (Politis et al., 2013). This increased activity correlated with higher sexual desire under dopaminergic medication. 487 Interestingly, increased ventral striatum activity triggered by dopaminergic drugs as well as increased mesolimbic dopamine 488 release have also been correlated with ICD severity (Joutsa et al., 2012; Claassen et al., 2017). Furthermore, previous 489 neuroi- maging studies with different types of ICD have reported increased activity in the mPFC in patients with Parkinson's 490 disease with ICD under dopamine agonists using arterial- spin labelling MRI measures of cerebral blood flow at rest (Claassen 491 et al., 2017). Studies focusing on risk anticipation have also shown that dopamine agonists increase risk- taking in patients 492 with Parkinson's disease with ICDs that is accompanied by lower ventral striatal, orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate activity 493 (Voon et al., 2011a).

496 Brain system engaged at the time of the rewarded outcome

497 At the time of the rewarded outcome, activity in the mPFC was positively correlated with the experienced delay to obtain this 498 reward in the PD+HS group ON medication. Meanwhile, an opposite pattern was found OFF medication. This indicates that 499 while PD+HS patients view the erotic image for a longer period, medication boosts the relationship between the duration of 500 the waiting period and mPFC activity. It has been suggested that dopa- mine drugs may release inhibition in a local mPFC 501 circuit that may contribute to excessive seeking of sexual behav- iour (Politis et al., 2013). In a previous functional MRI study, 502 while ON medication, PD+HS patients passively viewing erotic images showed increased activity over a net- work including 503 the anterior mPFC (Politis et al., 2013). These results parallel animal studies reporting exaggerated sexual behaviour with 504 increased sexual searching as a con- sequence of dopaminergic drug administration (Fiorino and Phillips, 1999; Afonso et al., 505 2009).

506 Our findings support the hypothesis that dopaminergic medication increased mPFC sensitivity to erotic rewards both at the 507 time of choice and outcome, which may con- tribute to hypersexuality. The fact that drug-induced hyper- sexuality in 508 Parkinson's disease only develops in the context of repeated medication use and that it does not occur acutely, but 509 progressively in *de novo* patients both suggest a causal role of dopaminergic medication on hyper- sexuality (Giladi *et al.*, 510 2007; Smith *et al.*, 2016).

511 The current study needs further replication with larger cohorts. Our sample was limited to a precise clinical char- acteristic 512 with the obvious difficulties of recruitment. Moreover, being a medication-related problem, some pa- tients are under frequent 513 modification of medication re- gimes that excludes them from research programmes. Hence, the current sample is small but 514 with highly defined clinical and neurophysiological features (several clinical neuropsychological and neurological measures, 515 behavioural and neuroimaging data) that makes a homogeneous, unique and highly valuable sample.

The implication of our results is to characterize the brain networks that turn aberrant in ICD to later guide potential interventions over specific targets circuits or brain regions (such as vmPFC). The use of brain stimulation protocols to revert cortical and subcortical activity may turn key in stopping excessive behaviour, a trend already showing posi- tive results in addiction (Diana *et al.*, 2017). Hence, if de- limiting with further tasks and ICD cohorts is completed, specific brain stimulation treatments could arise as plausible therapeutic tools.

523 Conclusion

The present study, focusing on hypersexuality in Parkinson's disease using dedicated erotic stimuli, reveals large commonalities with other ICDs in terms of dysfunc- tional brain system. This relates to exaggerated activity in response to appetitive stimuli in the ventral striatum, anter- ior mPFC and posterior cingulate cortex (Schott *et al.*, 2008; O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2011). However, such neural activ- ity has often been associated with artificial or experimental scenarios where patients were not actively deciding, hence with little behavioural participation. Rather, the use of sti- muli that specifically corresponds to one ICD subtype and require active participation of patients, seems the way for- ward to guarantee maximal closeness to the current problematic.

531

522

532 533

534 References

- Afonso VM, Mueller D, Stewart J, Pfaus JG. Amphetamine pretreat- ment facilitates appetitive sexual behaviors in the female
 rat. Psychopharmacology 2009; 205: 35–43.
- Berridge KC. The debate over dopamine's role in reward: the case for incentive salience. Psychopharmacology 2007; 191: 391–
 431.

Berridge KC. From prediction error to incentive salience: mesolimbic computation of reward motivation. Eur J Neurosci 2012;
 35: 1124–43.

- 541 Berridge KC, Robinson TE. Parsing reward. Trends Neurosci 2003; 26: 507–13.
- 542 Berridge K, Robinson T. What is the role of dopamine in reward: hedonic impact, reward learning, or incentive salience? Brain
- 543 Res Brain Res Rev 1998; 28: 309–69.
- Biundo R, Weis L, Facchini S, Formento-Dojot P, Vallelunga A, Pilleri M, et al. Patterns of cortical thickness associated with
 impulse con- trol disorders in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2015; 30: 688–95. Brevers D, Cleeremans A, Tibboel H,
 Bechara A, Kornreich C, Verbanck P, et al. Reduced attentional blink for gambling-related stimuli in problem gamblers. J
 Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry 2011a;

548 42: 265–9.

549 Brevers D, Cleeremans A, Bechara A, Laloyaux C, Kornreich C, Verbanck P, et al. Time course of attentional bias for gambling

- information in problem gambling. Psychol Addict Behav 2011b; 25: 675–82.
- Carpenter B, Gelman A, Hoffman MD, Lee D, Goodrich B, Betancourt M, et al. Stan: a probabilistic programming language. J
 Stat Softw 2017; 76: 32.
- Carriere N, Lopes R, Defebvre L, Delmaire C, Dujardin K. Impaired corticostriatal connectivity in impulse control disorders in
 Parkinson disease. Neurology 2015; 84: 2116–23.
- Cerasa A, Salsone M, Nigro S, Chiriaco C, Donzuso G, Bosco D, et al. Cortical volume and folding abnormalities in Parkinson's
 disease patients with pathological gambling. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2014; 20: 1209–14.
- Cho SS, Pellecchia G, Aminian K, Ray N, Segura B, Obeso I, et al. Morphometric correlation of impulsivity in medial prefrontal
 cortex. Brain Topogr 2013; 26: 479–87.
- Cilia R, Cho SS, van Eimeren T, Marotta G, Siri C, Ko JH, et al. Pathological gambling in patients with Parkinson's disease is
 asso- ciated with fronto-striatal disconnection: a path modeling analysis. Mov Disord 2011; 26: 225–33.
- 561 Cilia R, Ko JH, Cho SS, van Eimeren T, Marotta G, Pellecchia G, et al. Reduced dopamine transporter density in the ventral
 562 striatum of patients with Parkinson's disease and pathological gambling. Neurobiol Dis 2010; 39: 98–104.
- Claassen DO, Stark AJ, Spears CA, Petersen KJ, van Wouwe NC, Kessler RM, et al. Mesocorticolimbic hemodynamic response
 in Parkinson's disease patients with compulsive behaviors. Mov Disord 2017; 32: 1574–83.
- Claassen DO, van den Wildenberg WP, Harrison MB, van Wouwe NC, Kanoff K, Neimat JS, et al. Proficient motor impulse
 control in Parkinson disease patients with impulsive and compulsive behav- iors. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2015; 129: 19–
 25.
- 568 Comings DE, Blum K. Reward deficiency syndrome: genetic aspects of behavioral disorders. Prog Brain Res 2000; 126: 325–41.
- 569 Daw ND. Trial-by-trial data analysis using computational models. In Decision making, affect, and learning: attention and 570 performance
- 571 XXIII. Vol. 23. Oxford Scholarship Online; 2011. 3–38. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199600434.001.0001.
- 572 Diana M, Raij T, Melis M, Nummenmaa A, Leggio L, Bonci A. Rehabilitating the addicted brain with transcranial magnetic
 573 stimu- lation. Nat Rev Neurosci 2017; 18: 685–693.
- 574 Djamshidian A, O'Sullivan SS, Wittmann BC, Lees AJ, Averbeck BB. Novelty seeking behaviour in Parkinson's disease.
 575 Neuropsychologia 2011; 49: 2483–8.
- 576 Dubois B, Slachevsky A, Litvan I, Pillon B. The FAB: a frontal assess- ment battery at bedside. Neurology 2000; 55: 1621–6.
- 577 Everitt BJ, Wolf ME. Psychomotor stimulant addiction: a neural sys- tems perspective. J Neurosci 2002; 22: 3312–20.
- Fadardi JS, Cox WM. Reversing the sequence: reducing alcohol con- sumption by overcoming alcohol attentional bias. Drug
 Alcohol Depend 2009; 101: 137–45. Fiorino DF, Phillips AG. Facilitation of sexual behavior and enhanced dopamine efflux in
- the nucleus accumbens of male rats after D-am- phetamine-induced behavioral sensitization. J Neurosci 1999; 19: 456–63.
- Freeman TP, Morgan CJA, Brandner B, Almahdi B, Curran HV. Dopaminergic involvement in effort-based but not impulsive
 reward processing in smokers. Drug Alcohol Depend 2013; 130: 109–14.
- 583 Frohmader KS, Lehman MN, Laviolette SR, Coolen LM. Concurrent exposure to methamphetamine and sexual behavior 584 enhances subse- quent drug reward and causes compulsive sexual behavior in male rats. J Neurosci 2011; 31: 16473–82.
- Garavan H, Pankiewicz J, Bloom A, Cho JK, Sperry L, Ross TJ, et al. Cue-induced cocaine craving: neuroanatomical specificity
 for drug users and drug stimuli. Am J Psychiatry 2000; 157: 1789–98.
- Giladi N, Boroojerdi B, Korczyn AD, Burn DJ, Clarke CE, Schapira AH, et al. Rotigotine transdermal patch in early Parkinson's
 disease: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study versus placebo and ropinirole. Mov Disord 2007; 22: 2398–404.
- 589 Grant JE, Kim SW. Demographic and clinical features of 131 adult pathological gamblers. J Clin Psychiatry 2001; 62: 957–62.
- Green AI, Halkitis PN. Crystal methamphetamine and sexual sociality in an urban gay subculture: an elective affinity. Cult
 Health Sex 2006; 8: 317–33.
- Halkitis PN, Parsons JT, Stirratt MJ. A double epidemic: crystal meth- amphetamine drug use in relation to HIV transmission
 among gay men. J Homosex 2001; 41: 17–35.
- Housden CR, O'Sullivan SS, Joyce EM, Lees AJ, Roiser JP. Intact reward learning but elevated delay discounting in Parkinson's
 dis- ease patients with impulsive-compulsive spectrum behaviors. Neuropsychopharmacology 2010; 35: 2155–64.
- Hughes AJ, Daniel SE, Kilford L, Lees AJ. Accuracy of clinical diag- nosis of idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a clinico pathological study of 100 cases. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992; 55: 181–4.
- Isoda M, Hikosaka O. A neural correlate of motivational conflict in the superior colliculus of the macaque. J Neurophysiol
 2008; 100: 1332–42.
- Joutsa J, Martikainen K, Vahlberg T, Kaasinen V. Effects of dopamine agonist dose and gender on the prognosis of impulse
 control dis- orders in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2012; 18: 1079–83.
- Kable JW, Glimcher PW. The neural correlates of subjective value during intertemporal choice. Nat Neurosci 2007; 10: 1625–
 33.
- Kringelbach ML. The human orbitofrontal cortex: linking reward to hedonic experience. Nat Rev Neurosci 2005; 6: 691–
 702.
- 606 Leroi I, Barraclough M, McKie S, Hinvest N, Evans J, Elliott R, et al. Dopaminergic influences on executive function and

- 607 impulsive behav- iour in impulse control disorders in Parkinson's disease. J Neuropsychol 2013; 7: 306–25.
- Lim S-Y, Evans AH, Miyasaki JM. Impulse control and related dis- orders in Parkinson's disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2008;
 1142: 85–107.
- Mazur JE. An adjusting procedure for studying delayed reinforcement. In Commons ML, Mazur JE, Nevin JA, Rachlin H,
 editors. Quantitative analyses of behavior. The effect of delay and of inter- vening events on reinforcement value. Vol. 5.
 Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.; 1987. p. 55–73.
- Molina JA, Sainz-Artiga MJ, Fraile A, Jimenez-Jimenez FJ, Villanueva C, Orti-Pareja M, et al. Pathologic gambling in Parkinson's disease: a behavioral manifestation of pharmacologic treatment? Mov Disord 2000; 15: 869–72.
- Napier TC, Corvol J-C, Grace AA, Roitman JD, Rowe J, Voon V, et al. Linking neuroscience with modern concepts of impulse
 control dis- orders in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2015; 30: 141–9.
- Nocjar C, Panksepp J. Chronic intermittent amphetamine pretreatment enhances future appetitive behavior for drug- and natural reward: interaction with environmental variables. Behav Brain Res 2002; 128: 189–203.
- Teward, interaction with environmental variables, behav brain Kes 2002, 126, 169–20
- 619 O'Sullivan SS, Wu K, Politis M, Lawrence AD, Evans AH, Bose SK, et al. Cue-induced striatal dopamine release in
 620 Parkinson's disease- associated impulsive-compulsive behaviours. Brain 2011; 134 (Pt 4):
 621 969–78.
- 622 Patton JH, Stanford MS, Barratt ES. Factor structure of the Barratt impulsiveness scale. J Clin Psychol 1995; 51: 768–74.
- Petersen K, Van Wouwe N, Stark A, Lin YC, Kang H, Trujillo-Diaz P, et al. Ventral striatal network connectivity reflects reward
 learning and behavior in patients with Parkinson's disease. Hum Brain Mapp 2018; 39: 509–21.
- Pfaus JG. Dopamine: helping males copulate for at least 200 million years: theoretical comment on Kleitz-Nelson et al. (2010).
 Behav Neurosci 2010; 124: 877–80; discussion 81–3.
- Piray P, Zeighami Y, Bahrami F, Eissa AM, Hewedi DH, Moustafa AA. Impulse control disorders in Parkinson's disease are
 associated with dysfunction in stimulus valuation but not action valuation. J Neurosci 2014; 34: 7814–24.
- Politis M, Loane C, Wu K, O'Sullivan SS, Woodhead Z, Kiferle L, et al. Neural response to visual sexual cues in dopamine
 treatment- linked hypersexuality in Parkinson's disease. Brain 2013; 136 (Pt 2): 400–11.
- Prevost C, Pessiglione M, Metereau E, Clery-Melin ML, Dreher JC. Separate valuation subsystems for delay and effort decision
 costs. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 14080–90.
- Rao H, Mamikonyan E, Detre JA, Siderowf AD, Stern MB, Potenza MN, et al. Decreased ventral striatal activity with impulse
 control disorders in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2010; 25: 1660–9.
- Rawson RA, Gonzales R, Brethen P. Treatment of methamphetamine use disorders: an update. J Subst Abuse Treat 2002; 23:
 145–50. Ray NJ, Miyasaki JM, Zurowski M, Ko JH, Cho SS, Pellecchia G,
- 637 et al. Extrastriatal dopaminergic abnormalities of DA homeostasis in Parkinson's patients with medication-induced 638 pathological gam- bling: a [11C] FLB-457 and PET study. Neurobiol Dis 2012; 48: 519-25.
- Rieu I, Martinez-Martin P, Pereira B, De Chazeron I, Verhagen Metman L, Jahanshahi M, et al. International validation of a be havioral scale in Parkinson's disease without dementia. Mov Disord 2015; 30: 705–13.
- Robinson TE, Berridge KC. The neural basis of drug craving: an in- centive-sensitization theory of addiction. Brain Res Brain
 Res Rev 1993; 18: 247–91.
- Robinson TE, Berridge KC. The psychology and neurobiology of ad- diction: an incentive-sensitization view. Addiction 2000;
 95 (Suppl 2): S91–117.
- 645 Robinson TE, Berridge KC. Incentive-sensitization and addiction. Addiction 2001; 96: 103–14.
- 646 Schilder AJ, Lampinen TM, Miller ML, Hogg RS. Crystal metham- phetamine and ecstasy differ in relation to unsafe sex among
 647 young gay men. Can J Public Health 2005; 96: 340–3.
- Santangelo G, Raimo S, Barone P. The relationship between impulse control disorders and cognitive dysfunctions in Parkinson's
 Disease: a meta-analysis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2017; 77: 129–47.
- Schott BH, Minuzzi L, Krebs RM, Elmenhorst D, Lang M, Winz OH, et al. Mesolimbic functional magnetic resonance imaging
 activations during reward anticipation correlate with reward-related ventral stri- atal dopamine release. J Neurosci 2008; 28:
 14311–19.
- Semple SJ, Patterson TL, Grant I. Motivations associated with meth- amphetamine use among HIV+ men who have sex with
 men. J Subst Abuse Treat 2002; 22: 149–56.
- Sescousse G, Barbalat G, Domenech P, Dreher JC. Imbalance in the sensitivity to different types of rewards in pathological
 gambling. Brain 2013; 136 (Pt 8): 2527–38.
- 657 Sescousse G, Li Y, Dreher JC. A common currency for the computa- tion of motivational values in the human striatum. Soc
 658 Cogn Affect Neurosci 2015; 10: 467–73.
- 659 Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, et al. The mini-international neuropsychiatric 660 interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10.
- 661 J Clin Psychiatry 1998; 59 (Suppl 20): 22–33; quiz 4–57.
- Smith KM, Xie SX, Weintraub D. Incident impulse control disorder symptoms and dopamine transporter imaging in Parkinson
 disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2016; 87: 864–70.
- 664 Steeves TD, Miyasaki J, Zurowski M, Lang AE, Pellecchia G, Van Eimeren T, et al. Increased striatal dopamine release in

- Parkinsonian patients with pathological gambling: a [11C] raclo- pride PET study. Brain 2009; 132 (Pt 5): 1376–85.
- Stolzenberg DS, Numan M. Hypothalamic interaction with the meso- limbic DA system in the control of the maternal and sexual
 behav- iors in rats. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2011; 35: 826–47.
- Tessitore A, De Micco R, Giordano A, di Nardo F, Caiazzo G, Siciliano M, et al. Intrinsic brain connectivity predicts impulse
 con- trol disorders in patients with Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2017; 32: 1710–19.
- Tricomi E, Lempert KM. Value and probability coding in a feedback- based learning task utilizing food rewards. J Neurophysiol 2015;
 113: 4–13.
- Valli M, Mihaescu A, Strafella AP. Imaging behavioural complications of Parkinson's disease. Brain Imaging Behav 2017, in
 press. doi: 10.1007/s11682-017-9764-1.
- van Eimeren T, Pellecchia G, Cilia R, Ballanger B, Steeves TD, Houle S, et al. Drug-induced deactivation of inhibitory networks
 predicts pathological gambling in PD. Neurology 2010; 75: 1711–16.
- Vitale C, Santangelo G, Trojano L, Verde F, Rocco M, Grossi D, et al. Comparative neuropsychological profile of pathological
 gambling, hypersexuality, and compulsive eating in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2011; 26: 830–6.
- Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ. Role of dopamine in drug re- inforcement and addiction in humans: results from imaging
 studies. Behav Pharmacol 2002; 13: 355–66.
- Voon V, Gao J, Brezing C, Symmonds M, Ekanayake V, Fernandez H, et al. Dopamine agonists and risk: impulse control disorders in Parkinson's disease. Brain 2011a; 134 (Pt 5): 1438–46.
- Voon V, Mole TB, Banca P, Porter L, Morris L, Mitchell S, et al. Neural correlates of sexual cue reactivity in individuals with
 and without compulsive sexual behaviours. PLoS One 2014; 9: e102419. Voon V, Napier TC, Frank MJ, Sgambato-Faure V,
 Grace AA, Rodriguez-Oroz M, et al. Impulse control disorders and levodopa- induced dyskinesias in Parkinson's disease: an
 update. Lancet
- 686 Neurol 2017; 16: 238–50.
- Voon V, Pessiglione M, Brezing C, Gallea C, Fernandez HH, Dolan RJ, et al. Mechanisms underlying dopamine-mediated
 reward bias in compulsive behaviors. Neuron 2010; 65: 135–42.
- Voon V, Reynolds B, Brezing C, Gallea C, Skaljic M, Ekanayake V, et al. Impulsive choice and response in dopamine agonist related impulse control behaviors. Psychopharmacol. 2010; 207: 645–59.
- Voon V, Sohr M, Lang AE, Potenza MN, Siderowf AD, Whetteckey J, et al. Impulse control disorders in Parkinson disease: a
 multicenter case–control study. Ann Neurol 2011b; 69: 986–96.
- Vriend C, Nordbeck AH, Booij J, van der Werf YD, Pattij T, Voorn P, et al. Reduced dopamine transporter binding predates
 impulse con- trol disorders in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2014; 29: 904–11. Weintraub D, Claassen DO. Impulse
 control and related disorders in
- Parkinson's Disease. Int Rev Neurobiol 2017; 133: 679–717. Weintraub D, Koester J, Potenza MN, Siderowf AD, Stacy
 M, Voon
- V, et al. Impulse control disorders in Parkinson disease: a cross- sectional study of 3090 patients. Arch Neurol 2010; 67: 589–
 95.
- Zhang J, Berridge KC, Tindell AJ, Smith KS, Aldridge JW. A neural computational model of incentive salience. PLoS Comput
 Biol 2009; 5: e1000437.
- 702 Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983; 67: 361–70.
- 703 704

Table 1 Demographics of parkinsonian patients and control subjects

	Control	PD+HS	PD — HS	Control versus PD+HS	Control versus PD—HS	PD+HS versus PD — HS
Demographics						
Number of subjects	14	13	14			
Age (TSD)	54.4 (T5.0)	58.5 (T8.3)	57 (T9.0)	0.1	0.3	0.5
Disease duration (TSD)	NA	7.5 (T2.1)	6.8 (T2.6)	NA	NA	0.2
Clinical and neuropsychological test scores (TSD)						
UPDRS III Off	NA	33.2 (T11.2)	28.4 (T9.1)	NA	NA	0.2
UPDRS III On	NA	11.1 (T5.1)	12.6 (T6.0)	NA	NA	0.5
LEDD _{total}	NA	973.1 (T422.6)	1068.7 (T398.8)	NA	NA	0.5
LEDD _{L-DOPA}	NA	709.7 (T361.3)	779.8 (T412.0)	NA	NA	0.6
LEDD _{DA}	NA	282.1 (T185.1)	295.1 (T161.3)	NA	NA	0.8
HADS _{Depression}	4.0 (T4.3)	6.3 (T2.7)	5.3 (T4.4)	0.1	0.4	0.4
HADS _{Anxiesty}	5.9 (T3.1)	8.5 (T3.2)	7.3 (T2.8)	0.05	0.2	0.3
BIS-III	56.4 (T4.6)	62.7 (T8.0)	54 (T8.7)	0.01*	0.3	0.007*
FAB	16.9 (T1.1)	15.9 (T1.6)	16.5 (T1.2)	0.1	0.4	0.4
ASBPD (sexual item)	0	2.1 (T0.5)	0	0.001	1	0.001
MDRS	137 (T3.8)	134.2 (T5.8)	137.3 (T4.0)	0.1	0.8	0.9

*Significant statistical difference.

ASBPD = Ardouin Scale of Behaviour in Parkinson Disease; BIS-III = Barratt Impulsivity Scale; FAB = Frontal Assessment Battery; HADS = Hamilton Anxiety Depression Scale; LEDD = levodopa equivalent daily dose; MDRS = Mattis Dementia Rating Scale; NA = not applicable; SD = standard deviation; UPDRS-III = Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale part III.



Figure 1 Delay-discounting task. (A) In each trial, a fuzzy erotic picture briefly appeared on the screen and was followed by the instruction 'Wait?', along with a thermometer indicating one of five possible levels of the proposed delay period to wait, ranging from 3 to 9 s for the delay. Subjects had to decide between the costly option and a default option having a minimal cost (1.5 s of waiting), depending on the incentive cue and the level of waiting proposed. If they accepted to wait the cost proposed, they had to wait passively during the proposed delay period before seeing the erotic picture a longer time (large reward). Otherwise, if they refused, they had to wait passively for a shorter delay period before seeing the erotic picture clearly for a short time period (small reward). The outcome and the intertrial interval lasted for a total of 4.5 s plus a jitter of T 1 s in both options, avoiding that subjects adopted the strategy of choosing the default option more often to see more pictures. (B) Behavioural results. The mean average of the delay discounting rates (logK) did not show any difference between controls and PD — HS patients either ON or OFF medication. However, the mean delay discounting rates were higher in PD+HS patients both ON (P = 0.001) and OFF (P = 0.04) medication than in controls. In addition, the PD+HS patients ON medication showed higher delay discounting rates than PD — HS patients ON medication (P = 0.03). No difference was observed when both parkinsonians groups were OFF medication. (C) In every group, the normalized subjective value decreased when the subjects had to wait longer to see the erotic image clearly ($P \le 0.001$). * $P \le 0.05$, *** $P \le 0.001$. Results are shown as box-and-whisker plots with each box representing the 2.5–97.5 percentile. The line within the box indicates the median. The plus symbol within the box represents the mean.



Figure 2 Comparison between controls and parkinsonian subjects with and without hypersexuality showed distinct correlated activity in the vmPFC regardless of the medication condition. (A) *Top*: The activity in the vmPFC was differently correlated between controls and parkinsonian patients with and without hypersexuality, regardless of their medication state ($P \leq 0.001$, uncorrected; SVC FWE cor- rected; for display purposes extended threshold = 27 voxels). The activity in the vmPFC was negatively correlated with the subjective value of delayed erotic rewards in PD+HS patients, while it was positively correlated in the control subjects and PD—HS patients. *Bottom*: The percentage

BOLD change as a function of the five levels of costs (*top*) or four categories of rating of the cue (*bottom*) showed increased activity for greater ratings while it decreased with longer delays in controls and PD—HS patients. However, PD+HS patients showed a distinct pattern where the activity in the vmPFC increased for both longer delays and greater ratings. (B) *Top*: The activity in the right ventral striatum was differently correlated between controls and parkinsonian patients with and without hypersexuality, regardless of their medication state ($P \leq 0.001$, uncorrected; SVC FWE corrected; for display purposes extended threshold = 88 voxels). The activity in the right ventral striatum was not correlated with the subjective value of delayed erotic rewards in PD+HS patients, but it was positively correlated in controls and PD—HS patients. *Bottom*: The percentage BOLD change as a function of the levels of delay (*top*) and rating of the fuzzy cues grouped in four categories (*bottom*) showed an increased activity for greater ratings while it decreased with longer delays in controls and PD—HS patients. However, the BOLD activity decreased for both higher rating and levels in PD+HS patients. * $P \leq 0.001$, *** $P \leq 0.001$. Results are shown as box-and-whisker plots with each box representing the 2.5–97.5th percentile. The line within the box indicates the median. The plus symbol within the box represents the mean.



Figure 2 Continued.

Anatomical structure (Brodmann area)	X	у	Z	T-value
Frontal				
Right medial frontal gyrus (BA 10)*	3	58	15	4.02
Right superior frontal gyrus (BA 8 and 9)	15	53	23	3.83
Right inferior frontal gyrus (BA 47)	36	31	—8	3.52
Right precentral gyrus (BA 44)	48	17	6	3.31
Left medial frontal gyrus (BA 10)*	0	57	1	3.77
Left superior frontal gyrus (BA 9)*	—12	50	29	3.92
Left inferior frontal gyrus (BA 44 and 46)	—50	29	16	3.57
Left precentral gyrus (BA 6)	—50	—3	13	3.43
Left middle frontal gyrus (BA 8)	—27	36	46	3.42
Hippocampus				
Left hippocampus	—33	—44	6	3.6
Insula				
Insula (BA 13)	27	—32	16	3.2
Cingulate				
Right anterior cingulate	0	37	—1	3.48
Right posterior cingulate (BA 23)	3	—46	23	3.18
Left anterior cingulate (BA 32 and 33)	—6	9	25	3.37
Left cingulate gyrus (BA 24)	—9	6	28	3.48
Thalamus				
Right thalamus	9	—27	2	3.77
Right cerebellum				
Right culmen	6	—28	—10	3.48
Basal nuclei				
Left caudate	—9	8	14	3.32
Brainstem				
Left red nucleus	0	—16	—3	3.7
Right substantia nigra	12	—25	—5	3.33

Table 2 Brain regions showing their activity differently correlated with subjective value of the cost reward between healthy controls and parkinsonian patients

*P 50.05 FWE cluster-wise corrected.

The coordinates are given within the framework standardized stereotaxic brain area atlas of Talairach and Tournoux. All areas were significant at P 5 0.001, uncorrected.

Anatomical structure (Brodmann area)	х	у	Z	T-value
Frontal				
Right medial frontal gyrus (BA 6 and 9)	9	44	26	4.63
Right paracentral lobule (BA 6)*	12	—22	46	4.43
Right postcentral gyrus (BA 4)	15	—36	58	3.83
Right superior frontal gyrus (BA 6 and 8)	27	31	52	3.74
Right inferior frontal gyrus (BA 44, 45, 46 and 47)*	36	22	—10	4.72
Right precentral gyrus (BA 4, 6 and 44)*	53	—4	48	4.38
Left paracentral lobule (BA 31)	0	—16	46	4.25
Left sub gyrus (BA 6)	—18	2	53	3.18
Left superior frontal gyrus (BA 8 and 10)	—24	34	49	3.61
Left middle frontal gyrus (BA 6, 8, 9, 46 and 47)	—30	—10	43	3.86
Left precentral gyrus (BA 9)	-42	18	36	3.51
Left inferior frontal gyrus (BA 9, 45 and 46)	—50	29	16	4.11
Temporal				
Right superior temporal gyrus (BA 22, 39 and 41)	48	—4	—7	4.11
Right middle temporal gyrus (BA 39)	56	64	21	3.20
Left angular gyrus (BA 39)	-45	72	29	3 49
Left superior temporal gyrus (BA 38)*	50	-4	9	4 54
Left middle temporal gyrus (BA 21 and 39)	59	10	9	3.95
Left superior temporal gyrus (BA 22)	59	47	17	3 50
Parietal	57	- 77	17	5.50
Right precupeus (BA 7)	15	60	48	3 73
Right inferior parietal lobule (BA 40)	30	28	41	3.54
Right nostcentral gyrus (BA 3 and 43)	18	— <u>15</u>	41	3.82
Left precupeus (BA 7)	-21	54	18	4.07
Left superior parietal lobule (BA 7)	21 27	— <u>6</u> 3	40	3.57
Left postcentral gyrus (BA 2)	-45		45 36	3.57
Left angular gyrus $(BA 39)$	45		38	3.18
Left supremarginal gyrus $(BA 40)$	-45	43	31	3.10
Left inferior periotal lobula $(\mathbf{B} \land 40)$		-43	27	3.51
Lett Interior partetal lobule (BA 40)			21	5.47
Right insula $(B \land 13)$ *	27	20	10	1 19
L off insula (DA 12)*	27		2	4.49
Cinculate		22	5	4.15
Right cingulate gyrus (BA 31)	12	37	33	3 18
L oft onterior circulate	12		55	5.18
	—9	40	2	4.72
Light thelemus	0	27	2	2.07
	9	27	2	3.97
	—9	21	—1	5.90
Dight perchippocempel gurus (PA 28)	24	20	0	2 17
Left membring a comment comment (DA 27 and 20)	24	28	—o	3.17
Leit paranippocampai gyrus (BA 27 and 50)	24	—30	—ð	3.34
Basal nuclei	15	4	7	2 17
Right fateral globus paindus	13		7	3.47
Right planet	33	-18	2	3.51
Kight claustrum	36	5	-2	4.45
Lett putamen	27	5	—2	4.01
	2	1.0		4.50
Left red nucleus*	—3	—16	—6	4.52

Table 3 Brain regions correlated with subjective value of the cost reward in the interaction between medication <u>condition (ON and OFF dopaminergic medication)</u> and group (PD+HS and PD — HS), at the decision phase

*P 50.05 FWE cluster-wise corrected.

The coordinates are given within the framework standardized stereotaxic brain area atlas of Talairach and Tournoux. All areas were significant at P 5 0.001 uncorrected



Figure 3 Interaction between medication (ON versus OFF) and group (PD—HS versus PD+HS) at the time of the valuation of the option leading to the delayed reward. Difference in correlation between the subjective values of the delayed erotic rewards and the BOLD signal were observed over the mPFC and the posterior cingulate (P50.001, uncorrected, SVCFWE corrected). Only the PD+HS subjects ON medication showed a significant increased correlated activity with subjective value in the prefrontal (P50.03) and posterior cingulate (P50.01) cortices compared to OFF medication. Controls are included in the parameters estimates for viewing purposes. *P50.05. Results are shown as box-and-whisker plots with each box representing the 2.5–97.5 percentile. The line within the box indicates the median. The plus symbol within the box represents the mean.



Figure 4 Activity in the prefrontal cortex is modulated by dopaminergic treatment during the outcome phase. A mixed-effect ANOVA analysis including the two groups of patients (PD—HS and PD+HS) and their medication state (ON and OFF dopaminergic medication) showed a difference at the outcome phase in the correlation between the activity of the mPFC and the duration of the delay to view the erotic reward ($P \le 0.001$, uncorrected). Region of interest showed a positive correlation with the duration of the delay to view the erotic reward and the activity in the mPFC and a negative correlation while OFF medication (P = 0.01) in medicated PD+HS patients only. Controls are included in the parameters estimates for viewing purposes. * $P \le 0.05$. Results are shown as boxand-whisker plots with each box representing the 2.5–97.5 percentile. The line within the box indicates the median. The plus symbol within the box represents the mean.

Table 4 Brain regions correlated with the level of the expected reward in the interaction between medication condition and group at the outcome phase

Anatomical structure (Brodmann area)	х	у	z	T-value
Frontal				
Right medial frontal gyrus (BA 10)*	3	55	17	3.51
Left superior frontal gyrus (BA 9)	—15	56	29	3.42
Parietal				
Left angular gyrus (BA 39)	45	61	32	3.71
Cingulate				
Right cingulate gyrus (BA 32)	3	29	24	3.20
Right anterior cingulate (BA 24)	9	31	2	3.38
Left anterior cingulate (BA 24 and 32)*	6	48	—2	3.53
Basal nuclei				
Right caudate	9	9	17	3.31
Left caudate	6	8	3	3.35

*P 5 0.05 FWE cluster-wise corrected.

The coordinates are given within the framework standardized stereotaxic brain area atlas of Talairach and Tournoux. All areas were significant at P 5 0.001, uncorrected.