

Seismic stratigraphy and depositional architecture of Neogene intraslope basins, offshore western Niger Delta

Kelvin Chima, Damien Do Couto, Estelle Leroux, Silvia Gardin, Nick Hoggmascall, Marina Rabineau, Didier Granjeon, Christian Gorini

▶ To cite this version:

Kelvin Chima, Damien Do Couto, Estelle Leroux, Silvia Gardin, Nick Hoggmascall, et al.. Seismic stratigraphy and depositional architecture of Neogene intraslope basins, offshore western Niger Delta. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 2019, 109, pp.449-468. 10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.06.030. hal-02329251

HAL Id: hal-02329251 https://hal.science/hal-02329251

Submitted on 30 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Seismic stratigraphy and depositional architecture of Neogene intraslope basins, offshore western Niger Delta

Kelvin I. Chima, Damien Do Couto, Estelle Leroux, Silvia Gardin, Nick Hoggmascall, Marina Rabineau, Didier Granjeon, Christian Gorini

PII: S0264-8172(19)30282-X

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.06.030

Reference: JMPG 3891

To appear in: Marine and Petroleum Geology

Received Date: 23 November 2018

Revised Date: 14 June 2019

Accepted Date: 16 June 2019

Please cite this article as: Chima, K.I., Do Couto, D., Leroux, E., Gardin, S., Hoggmascall, N., Rabineau, M., Granjeon, D., Gorini, C., Seismic stratigraphy and depositional architecture of Neogene intraslope basins, offshore western Niger Delta, *Marine and Petroleum Geology* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.06.030.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Seismic stratigraphy and depositional architecture of Neogene intraslope basins, offshore
 western Niger Delta

- 3 Kelvin I. Chima^{a,b}*, Damien Do Couto^a, Estelle Leroux^c, Silvia Gardin^d, Nick Hoggmascall^e,
- 4 Marina Rabineau^f, Didier Granjeon^g, Christian Gorini^a
- ^a Sorbonne Université-ISTeP UMR 7193, Paris (France)
- ^b Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Ndufu-Alike Ikwo, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.
- ^c IFREMER, ZI Pointe du Diable, Plouzane, France (France)
- ^d Centre de Recherche en paléontologie Paris, UMR 7207
- 9 ^e Shell Petroleum Development Company, Lagos (Nigeria).
- ¹⁰ ^fCNRS, UMR 6538, LGO (CNRS/UBO/UBS), Plouzane (France)
- 11 ^g IFPEN, Rueil-Malmaison (France)
- 12

13 Abstract

14 Located on a divergent margin dominated by gravity tectonics above overpressured marine shales, the Niger Delta slope has been described as having a stepped profile characterized by 15 'filled ponded basins' that are prone to erosion and sediment bypass. Previous studies based on 16 17 3D seismic data have described the depositional architecture of the western Niger Delta's upper 18 slope, but calibration of the seismic facies is lacking and the timing of major changes in 19 sedimentary record remains elusive. In this study, seismic sequence-stratigraphy, 3D geomorphological analyses of high-resolution 3D seismic data, and bio/chronostratigraphic 20 21 analyses from four boreholes, enabled the identification and characterization of the depositional 22 architecture in Neogene 'filled ponded basins'. Seven major seismic units were dated as

23 Chattian, Burdigalian, Serravallian, Tortonian, Middle Pliocene and Middle Pleistocene to the 24 present day. Major changes in the sedimentary record occurred in the Plio-Pleistocene, with the 25 onset of erosive channel levee systems (CLSs) and mass-transport deposits (MTDs) generally capped by a hemipelagic drape. Amalgamated CLSs characterize the Tortonian-Late Miocene 26 while erosive MTDs and CLSs characterize the Plio-Pleistocene units. Thick, laterally extensive 27 MTDs are associated with regional slope instability, while active mobile shale triggered local 28 29 spatially confined MTDs. Submarine channels evolved from moderate to highly sinuous. The 30 degree of channel confinement generally decreases downstream where they are characterized by abandoned meander loops and avulsion resulting from levee breaching. Channel fills and 31 32 levees/overbank deposits topped by hemipelagic drapes provide effective reservoir/seal (traps) for hydrocarbons. The alternation of channel deposits and hemipelagic layers indicate that 33 eustasy controlled depositional patterns at a regional scale, while the spatio-temporal switches in 34 35 submarine channel courses show that shale tectonics locally controlled deposition in intraslope basins. 36

- 37
- 38 Keywords: West Africa; Niger Delta; Seismic sequence stratigraphy; Filled ponded basins;
 39 Mass-transport deposits; Turbidite systems; Chronostratigraphy.¹
- 40 1. Introduction

^{a,b*} Corresponding author : Kelvin Ikenna Chima; Sorbonne University; *Institut des Sciences de la Terre (ISTeP)*, Case 129, Tour 56/66, fifth floor, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252, Paris Cedex. E-mail: kelvini.chima@gmail.com

41 The depositional architecture of the deep-water systems located on divergent margins 42 is predominantly controlled by gravity tectonics (e.g. Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Damuth, 1994; 43 Cohen and McClay, 1996; Morely and Guerin, 1996; Graue, 2000; Cobbold et al., 2009). 44 Gravity gliding and gravity spreading are the two main aspects of gravity tectonics that deform 45 the sedimentary wedges that prograde into deep-water environments (Morley et al., 2011). While gravity gliding is characterized by the rigid translation of a rock mass down a slope, gravity 46 47 spreading results from flattening and lateral spreading of a rock mass under its own weight 48 (Ramberg, 1981; Dejong and Sholten, 1973; Alves and Lourenço, 2010; Alves, 2015). In the 49 Niger Delta, gravity spreading driven by delta wedge progradation above overpressured marine 50 shales has been described as the primary control of accommodation and depositional patterns 51 (e.g. Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Damuth, 1994; Cohen and McClay, 1996; Morely and Guerin, 1996; Graue, 2000; Cobbold et al., 2009). 52

53 The slope of the Niger Delta, characterized by a lower subsidence rate than its regional 54 sedimentation rate, has been described as comprising a 'stepped profile', compared to the 'above-grade slope profile' typical of the central Gulf of Mexico-where the rate of salt driven 55 subsidence is always greater than that of sediment supply (Prather, 2003). Consequently, 56 57 'shallow ponded basins/perched accommodation' described by Prather et al. (2012a), but herein referred to as 'filled ponded basins', dominate the intra-slope regions of the Niger Delta (Booth 58 et al., 2000; Prather, 2000). These 'filled ponded basins' are dominated by healed-slope 59 60 accommodation that is always overfilled or bypassed compared to the underfilled ponded basins 61 in the central Gulf of Mexico (Prather, 2003). Although the lack of ponded accommodation in 62 the upper-and mid-slope regions of the Niger Delta renders it susceptible to erosion and bypass, thin reservoir facies associated with channel and overbank deposits in these areas could still be 63

good drilling targets (Prather, 2003). Furthermore, hydrocarbon traps are better developed in
filled ponded systems, where reservoir facies occur directly below mud-rich pelagic/hemipelagic
deposits (Prather, 2003).

Following the discovery of hydrocarbons in the deep-water Niger Delta in recent 67 decades, exploration is now focused on understanding the control on depositional architecture 68 69 and reservoir distribution in the deep-water setting of this region (e.g. Chapin et al., 2002; Adeogba et al., 2005). Consequently, the impact on submarine channel evolution and 70 71 depositional patterns by mobile shale structures has received considerable attention (e.g. Adeogba et al., 2005; Olusola et al., 2007; Clark and Cartwright, 2012; Prather et al., 2012a, 72 73 Jobe et al., 2015; Jolly et al., 2016; Hansen et al., 2017). The depositional architecture of the 74 Pliocene and Quaternary filled ponded basins on the western slope of the Niger Delta has already been described and includes MTDs and turbidite systems draped by hemipelagites (e.g. Adeogba 75 76 et al., 2005; Olusola et al., 2007). Similar depositional architectures have been described in the 77 deep-water fold-and-thrust belt of the Niger Delta (e.g. Posamentier and Kolla, 2003; Clark and Cartwright, 2012). Recently, academic seismic-stratigraphic studies were conducted on the 78 79 deeper Neogene stratigraphic records of the upper slope of the western Niger Delta, although 80 lacking calibration (Benjamin et al., 2015; Benjamin and Huuse, 2017). Industry-based study of Neogene stratigraphy reveals that highly amalgamated channel fill deposits are less 81 82 compartmentalized than less amalgamated overbank deposits (Chapin et al., 2002).

B3 Despite the plethora of observations on the upper slope of the western Niger Delta, lack b5 of published borehole and bio/chronostratigraphic data has limited seismic facies calibration and b5 age estimates for the entire Neogene succession in this region. Similarly, no study has b5 investigated the interactions between shale tectonics and submarine channels/reservoir

4

87	distribution in the study area (Fig. 1). Hence, the objectives of this study are to: (1) identify and
88	date major sequence-stratigraphic surfaces (Neogene to present day) preserved on the upper
89	slope of the western Niger Delta; (2) calibrate seismic facies by defining depositional
90	environments to better the understanding of depositional patterns and their controlling factors;
91	(3) investigate the interaction between shale tectonics and depositional patterns with a view to
92	predicting reservoir distribution in the associated 'filled ponded basins'. This study not only
93	provides age constraints on the Neogene stratigraphy of the western Niger Delta, but also
94	describes the effect of the interplay between autogenic and allogenic forcing on the depositional
95	architecture and potential reservoir distribution in 'filled ponded basins'.
96	
97	2. Geological setting
98	
98 99	The Niger Delta is located along the Equatorial Atlantic margin of West Africa (Fig.
98 99 100	The Niger Delta is located along the Equatorial Atlantic margin of West Africa (Fig. 1). The delta is underlain by sub-aerial and submarine sediments covering an area of ~140,000
98 99 100 101	The Niger Delta is located along the Equatorial Atlantic margin of West Africa (Fig. 1). The delta is underlain by sub-aerial and submarine sediments covering an area of ~140,000 km ² ; and its thickness reaches 12 km (Allen, 1965; Evamy <i>et al.</i> , 1978; Doust and Omatsola,
98 99 100 101 102	The Niger Delta is located along the Equatorial Atlantic margin of West Africa (Fig. 1). The delta is underlain by sub-aerial and submarine sediments covering an area of ~140,000 km ² ; and its thickness reaches 12 km (Allen, 1965; Evamy <i>et al.</i> , 1978; Doust and Omatsola, 1990). The progradation of this siliciclastic wedge since the Late Eocene has been estimated as
 98 99 100 101 102 103 	The Niger Delta is located along the Equatorial Atlantic margin of West Africa (Fig. 1). The delta is underlain by sub-aerial and submarine sediments covering an area of ~140,000 km ² ; and its thickness reaches 12 km (Allen, 1965; Evamy <i>et al.</i> , 1978; Doust and Omatsola, 1990). The progradation of this siliciclastic wedge since the Late Eocene has been estimated as ~300 km (Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Fig. 1). Known oil and gas resources rank the delta the
 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 	The Niger Delta is located along the Equatorial Atlantic margin of West Africa (Fig. 1). The delta is underlain by sub-aerial and submarine sediments covering an area of ~140,000 km ² ; and its thickness reaches 12 km (Allen, 1965; Evamy <i>et al.</i> , 1978; Doust and Omatsola, 1990). The progradation of this siliciclastic wedge since the Late Eocene has been estimated as ~300 km (Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Fig. 1). Known oil and gas resources rank the delta the twelfth largest petroleum province in the world (Tuttle <i>et al.</i> , 1999). The Niger Delta is sub-
 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 	The Niger Delta is located along the Equatorial Atlantic margin of West Africa (Fig. 1). The delta is underlain by sub-aerial and submarine sediments covering an area of ~140,000 km ² ; and its thickness reaches 12 km (Allen, 1965; Evamy <i>et al.</i> , 1978; Doust and Omatsola, 1990). The progradation of this siliciclastic wedge since the Late Eocene has been estimated as ~300 km (Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Fig. 1). Known oil and gas resources rank the delta the twelfth largest petroleum province in the world (Tuttle <i>et al.</i> , 1999). The Niger Delta is sub-divided into three major structural domains: (i) an extensional zone below the continental shelf,
 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 	The Niger Delta is located along the Equatorial Atlantic margin of West Africa (Fig. 1). The delta is underlain by sub-aerial and submarine sediments covering an area of ~140,000 km ² ; and its thickness reaches 12 km (Allen, 1965; Evamy <i>et al.</i> , 1978; Doust and Omatsola, 1990). The progradation of this siliciclastic wedge since the Late Eocene has been estimated as ~300 km (Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Fig. 1). Known oil and gas resources rank the delta the twelfth largest petroleum province in the world (Tuttle <i>et al.</i> , 1999). The Niger Delta is sub-divided into three major structural domains: (i) an extensional zone below the continental shelf, (ii) a transitional zone below the upper slope, and (iii) a compressional zone located at the toe of
 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 	The Niger Delta is located along the Equatorial Atlantic margin of West Africa (Fig. 1). The delta is underlain by sub-aerial and submarine sediments covering an area of ~140,000 km ² ; and its thickness reaches 12 km (Allen, 1965; Evamy <i>et al.</i> , 1978; Doust and Omatsola, 1990). The progradation of this siliciclastic wedge since the Late Eocene has been estimated as ~300 km (Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Fig. 1). Known oil and gas resources rank the delta the twelfth largest petroleum province in the world (Tuttle <i>et al.</i> , 1999). The Niger Delta is sub-divided into three major structural domains: (i) an extensional zone below the continental shelf, (ii) a transitional zone below the upper slope, and (iii) a compressional zone located at the toe of the slope (Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Damuth, 1994; Connors <i>et al.</i> , 1998; Morgan, 2004;

109 The stratigraphy of the Niger Delta is dominated by three major lithostratigraphic units: 110 the Akata, Agbada and Benin Formations (Short and Stauble, 1967; Evamy et al., 1978; 111 Avbovbo, 1978; Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Fig. 2). The Akata Formation comprises marine 112 shales that range in thickness from 7,000 m beneath the continental shelf to 2,000 m in the distal 113 portion (Doust and Omatsola, 1990). Its thickness reaches 5,000 m in the deep fold-and-thrust 114 belt due to the repeated occurrence of thrust ramps (Wu and Bally, 2000; Corredor et al., 2005). 115 The Agbada Formation constitutes the deltaic unit of the Niger Delta. The thickness of this 116 paralic unit reaches 3,500 m (Evamy et al., 1978; Avbovbo et al., 1978; Doust and Omatsola, 117 1990). The depositional setting of this lithostratigraphic unit ranges from delta-front, delta-topset 118 to fluvio-deltaic environments (Avbovbo, 1978). The Benin Formation consists of alluvial to 119 upper coastal plain deposits up to 2,000 m thick (Avbovbo, 1978). The Agbada and Benin Formations are associated with clay-filled channels that were incised during the Late Miocene 120 121 and Plio-Quaternary (Fig. 2).

122 The present study is focused on the mobile shale belt located on the upper continental slope downward the transition between the extensional and compressional belts, offshore western 123 Niger Delta (Fig. 1; red square). It covers 638 km^2 at a distance of ~120 km from the present day 124 125 coastline (Fig. 1), at depths ranging from 900 to 1,150 m within the Akata Formation, which is 126 located at water depths between ~810 to ~3240 m. The area is characterized by 'filled ponded 127 basins' located at the flanks of mobile shales and ~3 km wide NE-SW trending submarine 128 channel complex extending more than 25 km into the 3D block studied (Fig. 3). The reservoir 129 compartmentalization of the block has already been investigated by Chapin et al (2002) and seabed mounds and pockmarks by Benjamin et al. (2015); Benjamin and Huuse (2017). 130

131

132	3. D	ataset	and	methods

133

134 3.1 Dataset

135

The dataset used in this study includes high-resolution 3D seismic and borehole data,comprising well logs, core and biostratigraphic data.

138

139 3.1.1 Seismic data

140

141 The 3D seismic data were processed as a zero-phase source wavelet in American reverse 142 standard polarity. Thus, an increase in acoustic impedance corresponds to a trough (blue loop) in 143 the wavelet, while a decrease in acoustic impedance is represented by a peak (red loop) in the 144 wavelet. The horizontal resolution of the data is characterized by a stacking bin spacing of 25 m 145 x 25 m (in-line and cross-line spacing), and a seismic recording sampling interval of 4 milliseconds two-way travel time (TWT). The dominant frequency of the data is 30 Hz over the 146 147 first 3 seconds (TWT) but decreases between 20-25 Hz below a depth of 3 km. Using average interval seismic velocity of 1,639.4 m/s and applying the velocity-wavelength equation ($\lambda/4$; 148 149 Brown, 1999), the vertical resolution of the data was ~13.7 m over the Pliocene-Quaternary intervals but decreased between 25 and 30 m at depths below 3 km. 150

151

152 3.1.2 Borehole data

153

154	The lithology, facies and depositional environments of five boreholes, labelled FM-1,
155	FM-2, FM-3ST1, FM-4ST1 and FM-5 located in the southern part of the study area (Fig. 3),
156	were analyzed. The wells penetrated respective total depths of 4,154 m, 3,627 m, 2,924 m, 3,458
157	m and 3,497 m below the seafloor. Petrophysical (gamma-ray, resistivity, neutron, density and
158	sonic) logs were acquired mostly in the deeper stratigraphic intervals, e.g. between 1,140-4,154
159	m in the deepest FM-1 well and 1,718-2,119 m in the shallowest FM-3ST1 well. Four of the five
160	boreholes contain biostratigraphic data, whereas core data were only available in two boreholes.
161	
162	3.2 Methods
163	
164	3.2.1 Seismic and sequence stratigraphic analysis
165	
166	A standard seismic-stratigraphic analysis approach (Mitchum et al., 1977) was used for
167	the 3D seismic data based on the recognition of reflection termination patterns such as onlap,
168	erosional truncations, seismic facies/configuration and vertical stacking patterns. Previously
169	described depositional architectures include MTDs, turbidite systems and hemipelagic drapes. In
170	the present study, MTDs and turbidite deposits were identified based on seismic criteria and 3D
171	geomorphology (e.g. Posamentier and Kolla, 2003; Richardson, 2011). MTDs were recognized
172	(i) by their basal shear zone or basal continuous surface, which delimits the overlying deformed
173	materials and the underlying, relatively continuous materials (Frey Martinez et al., 2005; Alves
174	and Lourenço, 2010; Gamberi et al., 2011; 2015) and (ii) by their top, characterized by
175	rugose/irregular topographies (Richardson, 2011). In terms of internal architecture, MTDs may
176	be chaotic or transparent depending on (i) the nature of materials inherited from the source area

177	(Masson et al., 2006), and (ii) the distance from source area (e.g. Alves et al., 2009; Omosanya
178	and Alves, 2013; Rovere et al., 2014; Omosanya and Harishidayat, 2019). Turbidite systems
179	were recognized based on the presence of sandy submarine channel deposits, which are generally
180	characterized by high to moderate seismic reflectivity and high root mean square (RMS)
181	amplitudes on 3D paleo-geomorphological maps (see also Posamentier and Kolla, 2003;
182	Catuneanu, 2006). Drapes were interpreted as the result of a combination of pelagic/hemipelagic
183	suspension fall-out as well as from the fine-grained suspended portion of turbidity flows (Kneller
184	and McCaffrey, 1999).
185	
186	3.2.2 Well logs, lithofacies and depositional environment
187	
188	The standard approach to well-log analysis (e.g. Mitchum et al., 1977) is the display and
189	visual inspection of accurately scaled gamma-ray, neutron-density cross plots, resistivity and
190	sonic logs. Integrated analysis of these logs and calibration with core data helped delineate
191	lithofacies and associated depositional environments.
192	
193	3.2.3 Bio- and chronostratigraphic analyses
194	
195	We analyzed existing biostratigraphic data, chiefly calcareous nannofossils and
196	planktonic foraminifera, from ditch cutting samples available in four boreholes. This allowed us
197	to constrain the ages of Neogene stratigraphic intervals.
198	

199 3.2.4 3D Seismic geomorphology

200

In addition to 2D seismic stratigraphy, we conducted 3D seismic geomorphological analyses to identify erosional features and channel architectures, and to distinguish main depositional elements (mass-flow deposits, channel deposits, overbank deposits, mud volcanoes and hemipelagic units). This was achieved through a detailed analysis of seismic attributes, horizon slices and amplitude maps (after Posamentier and Kolla, 2003; Catuneanu, 2006).

206 Seismic attributes are all observations extracted from seismic data that directly or 207 indirectly facilitate hydrocarbon identification, lithological discrimination or geological 208 interpretation (Chopra and Marfurt; 2007; Taner et al., 1994). We generated RMS and Variance 209 Edge/Coherence attributes from the original 3D seismic data using PetrelTM software. The RMS 210 attribute computes the square root of the sum of squared amplitudes over the geological interval 211 of interest and facilitates lithofacies discrimination (e.g. Catuneanu, 2006). The variance attribute 212 (e.g. Fig. 3D) emphasizes the correlation of seismic traces by assigning light colors to areas 213 where seismic traces correlate (low variance) and dark colors to areas where there is no 214 correlation (high variance; Fig. 3D; see Catuneanu, 2006). This attribute was used to delineate 215 structural and stratigraphic discontinuities such as faults, mobile shales, turbidite systems, MTDs 216 etc.

217

218 **4. Results and interpretation**

219

This section presents the results of seismic facies analysis and lithofacies description, depositional environments and depositional architectures within the stratigraphic intervals we

- studied. Seismic artefacts (sensu Chopra and Marfurt, 2007; Alves, 2015) in the studied 3D
 volume is characterized by seismic wipe out in mobile shales zones (e.g. Fig. 5).
- 224

4.1. Seismic facies analysis and seismic stratigraphic units

226

227 4.1.1 Seismic facies analysis

228

229 Six seismic facies were identified in the studied 3D volume (Fig. 4) using the seismic 230 facies classification schemes proposed by Prather et al. (1998) and Hanson et al. (2017). These 231 include: (1) chaotic, discontinuous low amplitude seismic facies (B₁), generally characterized by 232 an erosive base, irregular top and deformed internal architecture interpreted as mass-transport deposits (MTDs); (2) chaotic, discontinuous, high amplitude seismic facies (B_h) interpreted as 233 234 turbidite feeder channels; (3) inclined, coherent/moderately deformed, high amplitude seismic 235 facies interpreted as slides/slump blocks; (4) parallel, continuous/convergent, high amplitude seismic facies (C_{bh}) interpreted as amalgamated channels/lobes; (5) convergent thinning high 236 amplitude seismic facies (Cth) flanked by seismic packages that onlap negative topographic relief 237 238 features interpreted as levees and channel fill deposits, respectively; (6) transparent, continuous seismic facies (D) interpreted as hemipelagic drapes. 239

- 240
- 241 4.1.2 Seismic stratigraphic units
- 242

Seven major seismic stratigraphic units were identified in the Neogene stratigraphic
records of the upper slope of the western Niger Delta (Fig. 5).

245

246 Unit 1

247 This is the oldest stratigraphic unit identified in the study area. This unit has not been 248 drilled. It is characterized by high amplitude seismic packages whose base corresponds to the top 249 of the reflection-free (low reflectivity) seismic unit (Fig. 5). Seismic reflectivity in Unit 1 250 generally decreases toward the north corresponding to a vertical, irregular, seismic wipeout zone 251 that extends upwards from 6 to 1.8 seconds (twt) deforming the overlying seabed (Fig. 5). This 252 feature was interpreted as mobile shale. Unit 1 thins from north and south toward the mobile 253 shale zone in the north (Fig. 5). The overall thinning of seismic Unit 1 from the south to the 254 north toward mobile shale indicates syn-kinematic deformation linked to the movement of 255 mobile shale during the deposition of Unit 1 (Fig. 5).

256

257 Unit 2

The base of Unit 2 shows baselap (apparent onlap) surface overlain by parallel to subparallel, moderate to high amplitude seismic packages that generally decrease in reflectivity northward due to the presence of mobile shale (Fig. 5). Seismic packages within this unit are generally subparallel and thin northward except within a landward dipping normal fault near the mobile shale zone (Fig. 5).

263

264 Unit 3.

The base of unit 3 is also a baselap (apparent onlap) surface overlain by parallel continuous, high amplitude seismic packages whose reflectivity decreases northward toward the mobile shale zone (Fig. 5). Although Unit 3 reaches maximum thickness within a fault-

268 controlled accommodation in the southern part, it thins northward toward the mobile shale zone269 (Fig. 5).

270

271 Unit 4

The base of unit 4 is locally erosional and marks a transition from underlying parallel, continuous high amplitude seismic packages to overlying moderate to high amplitude strata (Fig. 5). Unit 4 is characterized by localized high amplitude seismic packages that generally converge or thin away from their central parts toward their lateral limits (Fig. 5). These seismic packages are interpreted as amalgamated channel levee deposits (dotted purple circles in Fig. 5). Although Unit 4 also reaches maximum thickness in fault-controlled accommodation in the southern part, it thins northward toward the mobile shale zone (Fig. 5).

279

280 Unit 5

281 Unit 5 is marked at the base by an erosional event overlain by thin, discontinuous/chaotic, low amplitude seismic packages that grade to relatively continuous, high amplitude seismic 282 283 packages near the mobile shale zone (Fig. 5). Seismic geometries in Unit 5 vary from sub-284 parallel (north and south) in the mobile shale zone to convergent/thinning toward the mobile 285 shale zone (Fig. 5). Unit 5 is characterized by amalgamated, high amplitude seismic packages 286 corresponding to the channel levee deposits described above (dotted purple circles in Fig. 5). An 287 over 80 m-thick transparent seismic unit interpreted as an hemipelagic drape overlies this unit 288 (Fig. 5). This hemipelagic layer was truncated by younger channel levee systems (CLSs) that locally incised the underlying deposits by up to 40 m (Fig. 5). The thickness of Unit 5 remains 289 290 uniform in the south but thins northward toward the mobile shale zone.

291

292 Unit 6

The base of Unit 6 is erosional and marks a major change with the onset of erosive MTDs and CLSs (Fig. 5). This erosional event can be traced throughout the studied 3D block except in the northeast, where it could not be interpreted due to the combined effect of signal wipe out (artefacts) and erosion (Fig. 5). Three erosive mass-transport deposits labelled lower, middle and upper MTDs were identified in unit 6 (Figs. 5, 6).

The lower MTD covers an area of $\sim 155 \text{ km}^2$ and extends 3-5 km laterally with a maximum thickness of ~ 148 m (using an average interval velocity of 1,639.4 m/s). Its upper limit is relatively gentle with localized erosional scours (Fig. 5). This MTD truncates the underlying CLS, reaching its maximum thickness at the northern and southern flanks, but drapes the central area, where the CLS thins out (e.g. Figs. 5, 6 C, D, G).

The middle MTD covers an area of ~175 km² with a lateral distance of 6-8 km and reaches maximum thickness of ~246 m in the hanging-wall block bounded by normal faults labelled P, Q (see also Doust and Omatsola, 1990; Cohen McClay, 1996; Graue, 2000; Figs. 5, 6B, F). The middle MTD is followed by relatively continuous/chaotic, high amplitude seismic packages interpreted as turbidite feeder channels. Unlike the lower MTD, the middle MTD is highly deformed by synthetic and antithetic normal faults with inferred offsets of a few meters, and 20-25 m relief incised into the underlying CLS (Figs. 5, 6F).

The upper MTD is the third and most laterally extensive MTD in Unit 6 (Fig. 5). It covers an area of 187 km^2 with a lateral distance of 12 km and reaches a maximum thickness of ~205 m downstream (Fig. 6A, E). This MTD also incises the underlying CLS, but was truncated by younger turbidite feeder channels and affected by extensional deformation (Figs. 5, 6A, E).

The upper MTD is overlain by CLSs, ultimately draped by a hemipelagic layer that was truncated by younger CLSs (Fig. 5).

316

317 Unit 7

Unit 7 is the youngest seismic unit identified in the study area. Its base marks another major change in the sedimentary record, characterized by overall high-frequency and highamplitude seismic packages (Fig. 5). These seismic packages are interpreted as amalgamated channel levee/lobe deposits and alternate with transparent seismic packages corresponding to hemipelagites (Fig. 5). The amalgamated channel levee/lobe deposits are locally truncated by turbidite feeder channels (Fig. 5). Unit 7 is topped by the seafloor, which is directly underlain by a thick hemipelagic deposit (Fig. 5).

325

326 4.2 Lithofacies and depositional environment

327

The integrated analysis of well logs in the study area shows that the intervals characterized by average to moderately high gamma-ray log values, low resistivity, high neutrondensity separation and high sonic values correspond to hemipelagic layers, overbanks and/or mud-rich turbidites (e.g. Fig. 7). However, intervals defined by low gamma-ray, high resistivity, low neutron-density separation and low sonic values are interpreted as amalgamated channel deposits (Fig. 7). Facies vary from amalgamated channel sands at the base of submarine channel deposits to overbank and/or hemipelagic drape at the top (Fig. 7).

335

4.3 Bio/chronostratigraphic analyses and correlation with seismic data

337

338 Despite the location of the study area on the upper slope, which is prone to mass-wasting 339 and sediment reworking, the integration of calcareous nannofossil and planktonic foraminifera 340 biohorizons increased the reliability of the age model presented in this study.

341 We fixed the first occurrences (FOs), last occurrences (LOs), and the corresponding 342 depths of key Neogene planktonic foraminifera (pf) and calcareous nannofossils (cn), in the biostratigraphic distribution sheet of the FM-1, FM-2, FM-3ST1 and FM-4 boreholes. We 343 344 assigned ages to these fossil markers using equivalent ages derived from recently published 345 studies (Anthonissen and Ogg, 2012; Backman et al., 2012; Agnini et al., 2014; Raffi et al., 346 2016). Accordingly, the FO of Sphenolithus ciperoensis (cn) was assigned to ~24.4 Ma; the LO 347 of Cyclicargolithus abisectus (cn) to ~23.5 Ma; the FO of Globoquadrina dehiscens (pf) to ~22.4 Ma; the FO and LO of Sphenolithus heteromorphus (cn) to ~17.5 Ma and ~13.53 Ma 348 349 respectively; the LO of *Neogloboquadrina acostaensis* (pf) to ~9.8 Ma; the LO of *Cartinaster* 350 coalitus (cn) to ~9.7 Ma (Fig. 7).

With the aid of time-depth relationship (checkshot) data, we depth-matched this bio/chronostratigraphic information with their corresponding seismic horizons. Finally, we attributed relative ages to the identified seismic reflectors based on: (i) the presence of fossil markers in at least two wells, with the exception of the LOs of *S. ciperoensis* (24.4 Ma) and *C. abisectus* (23.5 Ma), which were only recorded in the deepest FM-1 borehole. (ii) Relative depths of the identified seismic reflectors with respect to the nearest biohorizons (Figs. 5, 7, 8).

The basal limit of Unit 1 has not been drilled and could not be assigned a precise age (Figs. 5, 8). It tops the transparent seismic unit previously interpreted as deep-marine shales deposited during Eocene sea-level highstand e.g. Doust and Omatsols (1990). Also, it marks a

transition from the transparent seismic unit to the high amplitude seismic packages interpreted as turbidite deposits (amalgamated channels/lobes; e.g. Figs. 9, 13). The base of Unit 1 could be associated with an increase in sediment supply to the Niger Delta following the regional uplift that affected the area in the Oligocene (~34-28 Ma; Chardon *et al.*, 2016; Grimaud *et al.*, 2017).

364 The baselap (apparent onlap) associated with the base of Unit 2 (purple line in Fig. 5) 365 occurs 200 m below the FO of Sphenolithus ciperoensis (~24.4 Ma), which occurs at the depth of 366 4,017.3 m in the FM-1 borehole (Fig. 7). The base of Unit 2 also could not be assigned a precise 367 age since it has not been drilled (Fig. 7). The geometries of successive apparent onlaps (Fig. 5) suggests it is associated with a non-deposition period in the deep basin probably during 368 369 maximum flooding of the shelf. Also, since it lies 200 m below the 24.4 Ma biohorizon, it could 370 be a good candidate for the sea-level transgression described in the Chattian (Haq et al., 1987; 371 Fig. 8).

372 The base of Unit 3 (pink in Fig. 5) occurs 195 m above the LO of Cyclicargolithus 373 abisectus (~23.5 Ma) and the FO of Globoquadrina dehiscens (~22.4 Ma; Figs. 5, 7). The base 374 of this unit, defined by apparent onlaps, could also be a good candidate for a sea-level 375 transgression in the Burdigalian (Haq et al., 1987; Fig. 8). The older fossil marker-the LO of C. 376 abisectus (~23.5 Ma), which was only recorded in the FM-1 borehole, occurs at the same depth 377 as the younger fossil marker-the FO of G. dehiscens (~22.4 Ma), recorded in both FM-1 and FM-378 2 boreholes. These fossil markers are separated by ~1.1 Ma and should not coexist. Hence, this 379 datum may represent a condensed interval or alternatively, C. abisectus could represent a 380 reworked component. Since, the base of seismic Unit 3 lies 195 m above the FO of G. dehiscens 381 ~22.4 Ma-Aquitanian (Figs. 7, 8), it could be related to the long-term Burdigalian sea-level 382 transgression. It was tentatively assigned to the ~18.5 Ma (see Haq et al., 1987; Fig. 8).

383	The base of seismic Unit 4 occurs 160 m above the LO of Sphenolithus heteromorphus
384	(~13.53 Ma) and corresponds to a regional erosional truncation. This limit could be linked to the
385	short-term sea-level fall in the Serravallian (~13.8 Ma) according to the Haq et al. (1987; Fig. 8),
386	but probably occurred a little later in the Niger Delta. Since it is younger than 13.53 Ma, it was
387	tentatively assigned to the Serravallian (~12.5 Ma).

The base of Unit 5 corresponds to a regional truncation and occurs 120 m above the LO of *Catinaster coalithus* (~9.7 Ma). Since this erosional event is younger than 9.7 Ma, it was assigned a tentative age of ~9.5 Ma on the assumption that it could be associated with the major progradation event recorded in the eastern Niger Delta during the Tortonian ~9.5 Ma (Jolly *et al.*, 2016).

393 On top of seismic Unit 5, we identified a transparent unit characterized in the FM-3ST1 borehole by abundant and diverse nannofossils and foraminifera. The LO of Discoaster 394 395 quinqueramus (~5.5 Ma) allowed us to confidently date this hemipelagic layer as uppermost 396 Messinian. At the top of this hemipelagic layer lies a barren interval, which is followed by an 397 interval characterized by the simultaneous occurrence of *Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilicus* (cn), 398 Globorotalia plesiotumida (pf), Globorotalia miocenica (pf), Discoaster pentaradiatus (cn), 399 Globorotalia exilis (pf), Discoaster brouweri (cn) and Gephyrocapsa spp (cn). The co-400 occurrence of D. brouweri and D. pentaradiatus, whose extinction levels should be distinct in 401 the uppermost Pliocene (Backman et al., 2012), together with the Pleistocene markers such as H. 402 sellii and Gephyrocapsa spp, suggests that the top of this interval has been affected by 403 reworking. The presence of a regional erosional event corresponds to this interval on seismic 404 sections (e.g. red line in Figs. 5, 9B, 10C, 11C). It is characterized by the onset of erosive CLSs 405 and MTDs.

406	Although we were unable to precisely define the Messinian-Pliocene transition due to
407	poor biostratigraphic data, the onset of sediment-gravity flows following the hemipelagic drape
408	dated ~5.5 Ma could be associated with sea-level fall following the transgressive event of the
409	uppermost Messinian (Haq et al., 1987). Therefore, the base of Unit 6 could be related to a sea-
410	level fall in the Middle Pliocene (~3.7 Ma; see Haq et al., 1987 curve recalibrated by Gorini et
411	al; 2014; Fig. 8). This interpretation seems to agree with the progradation event recorded in the
412	eastern Niger Delta during the Middle Pliocene (3.7 Ma; Jolly et al., 2016).

413 Similarly, assuming that the base of the laterally extensive (upper MTD) and associated 414 CLS, mark the onset of the major cooling event at the base of the Gelasian ~2.6 Ma (see Miller 415 et al., 2005; Fig. 8), the base of Unit 7, characterized by erosive CLSs and the onset of strongly 416 reflective seismic packages could be associated with a sea-level fall during the Middle Pleistocene. It was assigned ~?1.9-0.9 Ma on the assumption that it could mark the onset of the 417 418 high-frequency/high-amplitude sequence cycles (Miller et al., 2005; Fig. 8). The assigned 1.9 419 Ma seems to agree with a published line located a few kilometers from our study area (see 420 Bellingham et al., 2014).

421

422 4.4. 3D seismic geomorphology and depositional architecture

423

Detailed analysis of interval attribute maps, horizon and time slices focused on Units 5, 6 and 7 (Fig. 5). It allowed us to characterize the depositional architecture within the younger stratigraphic units in detail. RMS amplitude extraction at 3.3 seconds (twt) at the top of parallel, continuous high amplitude seismic packages (C_{bh} facies), revealed the presence of NE-SW trending sinuous channels (Fig. 9A). These channels are characterized by their low amplitudes in

429 their axes, showing high amplitudes in their overbanks (Fig. 9A). They are interpreted to have 430 incised older amalgamated channel/lobe deposits but were draped with mud during their 431 abandonment (see also Chapin et al., 2002; Jobe et al., 2015). A ~5 m core data retrieved in the 432 FM-1 borehole within the (C_{bb}) facies revealed high net-to-gross channel sand, characterized by blocky gamma-ray log patterns (Fig. 9B). Downstream, a ~2 m core data retrieved in the FM-433 434 3ST1 borehole is characterized by interbedded sand and shales that grade downward to dominantly shales characterized by irregular gamma-ray log patterns (Fig. 9B, D). Lateral 435 436 variation in the gamma-ray log from blocky in the FM-1 borehole to irregular patterns in the FM-437 3ST1 borehole points to an overall decrease in grain size in this case from the channel axis to 438 overbank deposits characterized by thin interbedded sands and shales.

439 The RMS amplitude map of the erosive event at the base of Unit 6 revealed the presence of a ~2 km wide, E-W oriented, low-amplitude, moderate sinuosity submarine channel complex 440 441 (labelled 1; Fig. 10A, B) and three channel levee systems (CLSs labelled 2, 3 and 4), confined to 442 the southern part of the mobile shale zone (Fig. 10 A, B). The main CLS developed tripartite, moderate sinuosity networks with overall E-W paleo-flow direction (Fig. 10A, B). CLS 1 443 444 terminated on a moderate amplitude, lobe-like feature (Fig. 10 B). CLSs 3 and 4 are 445 characterized by higher amplitudes and crossed paleo-relief associated with a buried fold/shalecored anticline (Fig. 10A, B). 446

RMS amplitude extraction at the base of Unit 7 reveals the presence of a submarine
channel complex (SE in Fig. 11B; labelled channel 1), CLSs 2, 3 and 4 (SE in Fig. 11B) and
bifurcating, moderate sinuosity CLSs located in the NW corner of the 3D volume (Fig. 11B).
The NW CLSs are interpreted as bypass channels that incised older sand-rich amalgamated

451 channels/lobe deposits. The updip continuity of the main submarine channel complex is obscured452 by mobile shale/erosion (Fig. 11B).

Furthermore, the horizon slice at the base of the high reflectivity seismic packages in Unit 7 reveals the presence of erosive submarine channel complex 1 and high sinuosity CLSs 2 and 3 (Fig. 12A, B). A seismic line through this channel complex revealed its erosive character and wide U-shaped geometry. This stage marks a significant increase in the width of the channel, the development of higher sinuosity, a northward shift toward the mobile shale zone and overall NE-SW orientation compared to the previous stages (Figs. 10, 11 and12).

459 An RMS amplitude extraction at 1.9 seconds within the high amplitude seismic packages 460 revealed a NE-SW trending, moderate sinuosity submarine channel complex (labeled 1; Fig. 13B) and four E-W oriented sinuous CLSs (labelled 2, 3, 4 and 5; Fig. 13B). These channels are 461 all confined to the southern part of the mobile shale zone (Fig. 13). The submarine channel 462 463 complex 1 captured the CLSs 2 and 3 downslope, where the latter developed abandoned meander 464 loops (Fig. 13). CLS 4 is bordered by a high amplitude sediment wedge interpreted as levee deposits (Fig. 13). The linear, high amplitude channel-like feature located downslope of CLS 4 is 465 interpreted as an avulsion channel (see also Posamentier and Kolla, 2003; Fig. 13). 466

The horizon slice at 1.8 seconds (below the present day seafloor) shows the presence of irregular, low coherent seismic areas in the north, flanked by planar radial discontinuities interpreted as radial faults (Fig. 14A). These low coherent features were interpreted as mobile shales (Figs. 2, 5, 12-14). Linear scars were also observed in a hanging-wall fault block adjacent to the mobile shale zone (Fig. 14). A seismic line through these lineated features revealed coherent to slightly deformed high amplitude seismic packages with a somewhat erosive base. These lineaments were interpreted as scars associated with mobile shale-derived MTDs (Fig.

474 14D). This MTD shows a lateral distance, area and maximum thickness of ~2.3 km, ~13 km² and
475 ~60 m, respectively (Table 1). The presence of an E-W oriented, moderate sinuosity submarine
476 channel complex characterized by rough edges and entrenched CLSs can also be observed on
477 this map (Fig. 14).

478

479 **5. Discussion**

480

Here, we focus on the sedimentary processes in intraslope basins, interactions between shale tectonics and submarine channel evolution, depositional patterns and their implications for reservoir distribution. We prefer the term 'filled ponded basins' to 'shallow ponded basins' used by Prather *et al.* (2012a) in the description of the depositional architecture in the intraslope basins we studied. We think this term is more appropriate as the 3D block we studied is located in a supply-dominated deep-basin between 900-1,150 m, where deep-water processes dominate, and where the high rate of sediment supply filled the accommodation created by shale tectonics.

489 5.1 Interaction between shale tectonics and submarine channel complex

490

Detailed seismic and 3D geomorphological analyses (Fig. 15) revealed that the main
submarine channel complex described in Units 6 and 7 (Figs. 10-15) evolved in three stages from
the Pliocene to the present day. The evolution of the submarine channel from relatively moderate
to high sinuosity over the Pliocene and the Pleistocene could be related to shale tectonics (Figs.
5, 10-15; see also Olusola *et al.*, 2007; Clark and Cartwright, 2012; Jolly *et al.*, 2016; Hansen *et al.*, 2017).

497 During stage 1 (Middle Pliocene-Early Pleistocene), the channel was characterized by 498 narrow morphology, low sinuosity and confined to the south of mobile shale in an E-W 499 orientation (Figs. 10-11, 15). The narrow low sinuosity of the channel during this stage is typical 500 of young submarine channels with a relatively high slope gradient (see also Posamentier and 501 Kolla, 2003; Ferry et al., 2005; Heiniö and Davies, 2007; Jobe et al., 2015; Jolly et al., 2016; 502 Hansen et al., 2017). The E-W orientation of the channel south of the mobile shale zone suggests 503 that the later was active from the Middle Pliocene to the Early Pleistocene, leading to the 504 channel's confinement (Fig. 10-11, 15).

Stage 2 (Early to Middle Pleistocene) marks a northward shift of the submarine channel complex toward the mobile shale zone and a transition from an E-W to a NE-SW orientation (Figs. 12, 15). Its higher sinuosity, broad U-shaped morphology are typical features of mature submarine channels with relatively gentle slope gradients (Fig. 12; see also Posamentier and Kolla, 2003; Ferry *et al.*, 2005; Jobe *et al.*, 2015; Heiniö and Davies, 2007; Jolly *et al.*, 2016; Qin *et al.*, 2016; Hansen *et al.*, 2017). The channel's northward adjustment toward the mobile shale zone (Figs. 12, 15) suggest relative quiescence of mobile shales.

512 Stage 3 (Middle Pleistocene to present day) marks a return of the channel to its original 513 E-W paleo-flow direction, south of the mobile shale zone (Figs. 1D, 14-15). The downward shift 514 of the submarine channel complex suggests that renewed activity of the mobile shale led to the 515 deflection of the submarine channel complex southward away from the mobile shale zone. The 516 presence of NE-SW oriented, high sinuosity submarine channel complexes 1 and 2 at 1.9 517 seconds (twt; middle part of Unit 7; Fig. 13) suggests that shale tectonics and the final southward 518 deflection of the channel complex occurred a little later than the Middle Pleistocene. The 519 presence of mud volcanoes on the present day seafloor supports the ongoing activity of the

520	mobile shale (Fig. 5). The presence of entrenched sinuous channel levee system within a valley
521	(Fig. 14) indicates increasing confinement due to successive erosional and aggradational
522	(depositional) stages (Fig. 13; channel complexes 1 and 2). A large valley containing the
523	channel develops complex geometries as the valley wall becomes unstable (large scars Fig.
524	14A), with the development of inner terraces and a central sinuous channel (labeled in part B).
525	The modification of the channel valley could result from the increasing confinement and focused
526	energy of turbidity currents (see also Gee and Gawthorpe 2007, and others) during their activity.
527	The present day hemipelagic drape (Fig. 14 C) shows that the last channel complex described in
528	this study was not active in the Holocene.
529	
530	5.2 Reservoir distribution in filled ponded basins
531	
531 532	Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit
531532533	Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit 7. The generally high amplitudes on the upstream bank of channel levee system (e.g. CLS 4; Fig.
531532533534	Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit7. The generally high amplitudes on the upstream bank of channel levee system (e.g. CLS 4; Fig.13) suggest that sand-rich sediment was deposited both in the channel axis and on the overbank.
 531 532 533 534 535 	Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit7. The generally high amplitudes on the upstream bank of channel levee system (e.g. CLS 4; Fig.13) suggest that sand-rich sediment was deposited both in the channel axis and on the overbank.In addition, the chaotic high amplitude reflection packages (HARPs) at the erosional base of the
 531 532 533 534 535 536 	 Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit 7. The generally high amplitudes on the upstream bank of channel levee system (e.g. CLS 4; Fig. 13) suggest that sand-rich sediment was deposited both in the channel axis and on the overbank. In addition, the chaotic high amplitude reflection packages (HARPs) at the erosional base of the main submarine channel complexes (e.g. Figs. 13, 14; labeled HARPs) are interpreted as coarse
 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 	Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit 7. The generally high amplitudes on the upstream bank of channel levee system (e.g. CLS 4; Fig. 13) suggest that sand-rich sediment was deposited both in the channel axis and on the overbank. In addition, the chaotic high amplitude reflection packages (HARPs) at the erosional base of the main submarine channel complexes (e.g. Figs. 13, 14; labeled HARPs) are interpreted as coarse grained turbiditic channel fill deposits (after Prather <i>et al.</i> , 1998; Heiniö and Davies, 2007;
 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 	Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit 7. The generally high amplitudes on the upstream bank of channel levee system (e.g. CLS 4; Fig. 13) suggest that sand-rich sediment was deposited both in the channel axis and on the overbank. In addition, the chaotic high amplitude reflection packages (HARPs) at the erosional base of the main submarine channel complexes (e.g. Figs. 13, 14; labeled HARPs) are interpreted as coarse grained turbiditic channel fill deposits (after Prather <i>et al.</i> , 1998; Heiniö and Davies, 2007; Gamboa and Alves, 2016). However, the occurrence of high RMS amplitudes downstream of the
 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 	Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit 7. The generally high amplitudes on the upstream bank of channel levee system (e.g. CLS 4; Fig. 13) suggest that sand-rich sediment was deposited both in the channel axis and on the overbank. In addition, the chaotic high amplitude reflection packages (HARPs) at the erosional base of the main submarine channel complexes (e.g. Figs. 13, 14; labeled HARPs) are interpreted as coarse grained turbiditic channel fill deposits (after Prather <i>et al.</i> , 1998; Heiniö and Davies, 2007; Gamboa and Alves, 2016). However, the occurrence of high RMS amplitudes downstream of the channels (e.g. CLS 4 in Fig.13A, B; labelled avulsion channel) show that turbidity flows
 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 	Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit 7. The generally high amplitudes on the upstream bank of channel levee system (e.g. CLS 4; Fig. 13) suggest that sand-rich sediment was deposited both in the channel axis and on the overbank. In addition, the chaotic high amplitude reflection packages (HARPs) at the erosional base of the main submarine channel complexes (e.g. Figs. 13, 14; labeled HARPs) are interpreted as coarse grained turbiditic channel fill deposits (after Prather <i>et al.</i> , 1998; Heiniö and Davies, 2007; Gamboa and Alves, 2016). However, the occurrence of high RMS amplitudes downstream of the channels (e.g. CLS 4 in Fig.13A, B; labelled avulsion channel) show that turbidity flows periodically spilled sandy deposits on the overbank environment. The presence of high
 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 	Fig. 13 is a detailed example of the recent entrenched channel levee system (CLS) in Unit 7. The generally high amplitudes on the upstream bank of channel levee system (e.g. CLS 4; Fig. 13) suggest that sand-rich sediment was deposited both in the channel axis and on the overbank. In addition, the chaotic high amplitude reflection packages (HARPs) at the erosional base of the main submarine channel complexes (e.g. Figs. 13, 14; labeled HARPs) are interpreted as coarse grained turbiditic channel fill deposits (after Prather <i>et al.</i> , 1998; Heiniö and Davies, 2007; Gamboa and Alves, 2016). However, the occurrence of high RMS amplitudes downstream of the channels (e.g. CLS 4 in Fig.13A, B; labelled avulsion channel) show that turbidity flows periodically spilled sandy deposits on the overbank environment. The presence of high amplitudes associated with the avulsion channel (Fig. 13 A, B) compared to lower amplitudes in

from the main channel during the avulsion event. Similar observations have been reported in the De Soto Canyon, Gulf of Mexico, where upstream avulsion due to a breached levee resulted in the deposition of the avulsion lobe and concomitant decrease in both water and sediment discharge downstream of the main channel (Posamentier and Kolla, 2003).

547 As a first conclusion, these detailed images of reservoir distribution in Unit 7 are a good analog for the Middle to Late Miocene turbidite deposits described by previous authors as 548 549 amalgamated channels and overbank deposits (Chapin et al., 2002). However, our study shows 550 that reservoirs topped by hemipelagic layers and/or mud-rich turbidites are Late Oligocene to present day in age (see Figs. 5, 7, 9). Our study also shows that the geometries and the 551 552 distribution of reservoir could be controlled by both shale tectonics and sediment supply, with prevalence of hemipelagic deposition during the periods of sea level rise (see Figs. 7 and 8). 553 Reservoir facies were confirmed by borehole data (see Fig. 9). Lobe-like deposits (e.g. Fig. 10 B) 554 555 are generally rare and not discussed further in this paper due to lack of sufficient evidence to 556 fully characterize their architecture.

557

558 5.3 Shale tectonics vs MTD types and distribution:

559

560 Two types of MTDs were recognized based on seismic facies, internal architecture and aerial 561 extent (Figs. 5, 6, 14 D and Table 1): (1) Mobile shale-derived MTDs and (2) regional MTDs. 562 Detailed analysis of kinematic indicators enabled us to infer the source area of the locally 563 derived type 1 MTDs, but the limited size of the 3D volume we studied did not allow us to 564 observe the headwall scars of the type 2 MTDs. However, analysis of their thickness maps 565 helped us to infer their provenance. 566

567 Type 1 (Mobile shale-derived MTDs)

The type 1 MTDs described in seismic Unit 7 are thin and laterally confined adjacent to mobile shales (Fig. 14. D; Table 1). Their strong reflectivity distinguishes them from the type 2 MTDs, and their overall coherent internal architecture indicates that they are close to their source area (mobile shales).

572 Type 2 (Regional MTDs)

573 The type 2 MTDs described in Unit 6 (labelled lower, middle and upper MTDs; Figs. 5, 574 6; Table 1) are characterized by thick, chaotic, discontinuous low amplitude/transparent seismic 575 packages that are bounded at the base by laterally extensive erosional scours. Their thick, lateral 576 distribution, low amplitudes and chaotic internal architecture indicate that they were reworked 577 far from their source areas (shelf-margin/upper slope).

578 The distribution of the regional MTDs could be controlled by general slope (Fig. 6), syn-579 depositional faulting (Fig. 6 B) and differential compaction/cementation in the underlying 580 substratum (Fig. 6 D).

The lower MTD increases in thickness from the NE downslope to the SW suggesting possible source area upslope (Fig. 6C; see also Alves *et al.*, 2009). However, the local increase in MTD thickness on both side of CLSs (Figs. 5, 6C, G), suggest that the degree of compaction/cementation in the underlying CLSs could be a controlling factor at local scale. The differential erosion in sandy CLSs and muddy deposits could also explain the observed variation in thickness of the lower MTD (see erosional truncations at its base, Fig. 6 G).

587 We observed a NE-SW decrease in thickness of the middle MTD downstream (6B, F) 588 due to its confinement within fault bounded accommodation (Hanging-Wall; HW; Fig. 6F). This

observation suggests that the deposition of MTD in the intraslope basins of the Niger Delta was

590	controlled by syn-depositional normal faults (P, Q? Fig. 6 F) that were active during the
591	emplacement of MTD (Fig. 6B, F).
592	The thickness of the upper MTD increases downstream and was locally controlled by the
593	degree of compaction in the underlying CLSs (Fig. 6A). The overall downstream increase in
594	thickness of this MTD suggests a response to regional accommodation development (see also
595	Alves et al., 2009). The foregoing observations strongly suggest that the type 2 MTDs originate
596	from shelf-margin and/or upper slope.
597	
598	5.4 Control of depositional systems in filled ponded basins:
599	
600	Our results show that the depositional architecture of the filled ponded basins in the
601	western Niger Delta is controlled by shale tectonics, sediment supply and eustasy.
602	At local scale, basin tectonics is associated with mobile shale deformation and syn-
603	depositional faults, controlling submarine channel morphology and depositional patterns in these
604	filled ponded basins. Inferred high uplift/subsidence rates driven by the mobile shale in the
605	Middle Pliocene and Early Pleistocene resulted in high slope gradients characterized by
606	erosionally confined channels with low sinuosity (e.g. Figs. 10B, C, D; 11 A, C). However,
607	relative quiescence and/or low subsidence/uplift rates from the Early to Middle Pleistocene
608	resulted in more mature CLSs characterized by complex terraces, overbank deposits and channel
609	avulsion (e.g. Fig. 13). The erosional nature of the main submarine channel complex during
610	mobile shale deformation suggest that a dynamic equilibrium was maintained between changing
611	local slope gradients and sedimentation rates (see also Adeogba et al., 2005; Clark and

612 Cartwright, 2012; Jolly *et al.*, 2016; Hansen *et al.*, 2017). Similar observations have been made
613 in the adjacent lower Congo Basin (Ferry *et al.*, 2005).

In addition, the control of the distribution of MTDs by syn-depositional faults suggests that mass-wasting was coeval with the growing faults. Equivalent observations have been reported in the lower Congo Basin (Ferry *et al.*, 2005).

617 At a basin scale, the depositional sequence is characterized by a succession of MTDs and 618 turbidite deposits topped by hemipelagic layers (see Fig. 6G). Moreover, the Plio-Pleistocene 619 sedimentary records show that eustasy and sediment supply could control the depositional 620 architecture at regional scale (e.g. Figs. 5, 6E, G, H; 10-13C). The absence of thick hemipelagic 621 drape above the older submarine channel complex (e.g. CLS 1 Fig 10 C, D) suggests that this 622 hemipelagic drape could have been eroded during stage 1 of the submarine channel complexes or that the former was below resolution. However, the presence of a thick hemipelagic layer above 623 624 the present day submarine channel complex (just below the present day seafloor; Figs. 5, 10 C, 625 D; 12-14 C) indicates that it was draped and abandoned during the last postglacial sea level rise. These observations suggest that channel complexes were most active during the low eustatic sea 626 level but that hemipelagic sedimentation prevailed during high eustatic sea level. 627

628

629 Conclusions

630

The Neogene stratigraphy of the western Niger Delta's slope is characterized by seven
major seismic units dated as Chattian, Burdigalian, Serravallian, Tortonian, Middle Pliocene,
Middle Pleistocene and present day. Major changes in the sedimentary record occurred in the
Pliocene-Pleistocene, characterized by erosional MTDs, turbidites and channel levee systems

draped by hemipelagites. In the Plio-Pleistocene upper sequences, we observed changes in the
morphology of submarine channel complexes over time (low sinuosity/highly erosive versus
high sinuosity/depositional) that were controlled by the interaction between shale tectonics
(creating accommodation, and controlling the seafloor profile) and sediment supply.

639 Younger sequences of the Niger Delta upper slope could be a good analog for 640 sedimentary processes in intraslope basins; at the margin scale, depositional architecture in the 641 filled ponded basins on the upper slope of the western Niger Delta is controlled by the interaction 642 between local basin tectonics, eustasy and sediment supply. Reservoir facies are characterized by amalgamated channel fills and overbank deposits. Periodic levee breaching by high-energy 643 644 turbidity currents results in channel avulsion and diversion of sand-rich sediments downstream of 645 avulsion channels. Our detailed analysis of the depositional architecture of the Tortonian and Plio-Pleistocene sedimentary units provides useful analog for deeper exploration of the older 646 647 stratigraphic units.

648

649 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Federal Republic of Nigeria for funding the PhD of 650 651 Kelvin Ikenna Chima under the auspices of the Petroleum Technology Development Fund 652 (PTDF) Scholarship Scheme. We are indebted to Shell Nigeria for providing data and permission 653 to publish this study. The first author thanks the management and staff of Alex Ekwueme Federal 654 University Nudfu-Alike Ikwo (AE-FUNAI), Ebonyi State, Nigeria for their continued support 655 and encouragement. Many thanks to Olusegun Obilaja, Adekemi Afolayan, Babatope Atitebi, Richard Streatfield and Timi Tralagba for their invaluable support with data. The Institute des 656 657 Sciences de la Terre (ISTeP), Paris provided the computer workstation used in data analysis and

658 interpretation. Many thanks to Schlumberger for providing the Petrel (TM) Software used in 3D 659 data interpretation. Many thanks to Dia Ninkabou, Quentin Soulet, Douglas Lisboa (Sorbonne 660 University) and David Anomneze (Camac Petroleum, Nigeria) for software support. This paper benefited from insightful discussion with Miguel Mora-Glukstad (Shell Nigeria). Mark Newall, 661 Celestine Ugwu, Otuka Umahi, Adebukonla Kalejaiye, Segun Akinrolabu, Obobi Onwuka, 662 Ifekelunma Umeogu, Jerome Odiwanor and Jude Omuije (Shell Nigeria) are thanked for 663 664 organizing a discussion session during manuscript drafting. Bilal Haq provided insightful review 665 comments on the first draft. Marzia Rovere and Kamaldeen Olakunle Omosanya are thanked for their high quality and constructive review comments/suggestions, which greatly improved the 666 667 quality of this paper. Daphne Goodfellow proof read the revised manuscript. We thank the invited editor Tiago M. Alves not only for granting us sufficient time to make revisions, but also 668 669 for pointing out subtle errors in the final submission.

670

675

680

683

671 **References**

- Adeogba, A.A., McHargue, T.R., Graham, S.A., 2005. Transient fan architecture and
 depositional controls from near-surface 3D seismic data, Niger Delta continental slope.
 AAPG Bulletin 89, 627–643.
- Agnini, C., Fornaciari, E., Raffi, I., Catanzariti, R., Pälike, H., Backman, J., Rio, D., 2014.
 Biozonation and biochronology of Paleogene calcareous nannofossils from low and middle latitudes. Newsletters on Stratigraphy 47, 131–181.<u>https://doi.org/10.1127/0078-0421/2014/0042</u>
- Allen, J. R. L., 1965. Late Quaternary Niger Delta and adjacent areas: sedimentary environments
 and lithofacies. AAPG Bulletin 49, 547-600.
- Alves, T.M., Cartwright, J., Davies, R., 2009. Faulting of salt-withdrawal basins during early
 halokinesis: effects on the Palaeogene Rio Doce Canyons System (EspiritoSanto Basin,
 Brazil). AAPG Bulletin 93, 617-652.
- 687
- Alves, T.M., Lourenço, S.D.N., 2010. Geomorphologic features related to gravitational collapse:

689	submarine landsliding to lateral spreading on a Late MioceneeQuaternary slope (SE
690	Crete, eastern Mediterranean). Geomorphology 123, (1-2), 13-33,
691	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.04.030
692	
693	Alves, T. M., 2015. Submarine slide blocks and associated soft-sediment deformation in deep-
694	water basins: A review. Marine and Petroleum Geology 67, 262-285.
695	
696	Anthonissen, D.E., Ogg, J.G., 2012. Cenozoic and Cretaceous Biochronology of Planktonic
697	Foraminifera and Calcareous Nannofossils, in: The Geologic Time Scale. Elsevier, pp.
698	1083-1127. https://doi.org/10.1106/B978-0-444-59425-9-15003
699	
700	Avbovbo, A. A., 1978, Tertiary lithostratigraphy of the Niger Delta: AAPG Bulletin, 62, 295–
701	300.
702	
703	Backman, J., Raffi, I., Rio, D., Fornaciari, E., Pälike, H., 2012. Biozonation and biochronology
704	of Miocene through Pleistocene calcareous nannofossils from low and middle latitudes.
705	Newsletters on Stratigraphy 45, 221–244. https://doi.org/10.1127/0078-0421/2012/0022
706	
707	Bellingham, P., Connors, C., Haworth, R., Barbara, R., Danforth, Al., 2014. The Deep-water
708	Niger Delta: An Underexplored World Class Petroleum Province. Petroleum Geoscience
709	Magazine 11, 1-9.
710	
711	Benjamin, U. K., Huuse, M., Hodgetts, D., 2015. Canyon-confined pockmarks on the western
712	Niger Delta slope. Journal of African earth Sciences 107, 15-27.
713	
714	Benjamin, U. K., Huuse, M., 2017. Seafloor and buried mounds on the western Niger Delta
715	Slope.
716	Marine and Petroleum Geology, 83, 158-173.
717	
718	Bilotti, F. D., J. H. Shaw, R. M. Cupich, and R. M. Lakings, 2005. Detachment fold, Niger Delta,
719	in J. H. Shaw, C. Connors, and J. Suppe, eds., Seismic interpretation of contractional
720	fault related folds: AAPG Studies in Geology 53, 103–104.
721	
722	Booth, J. R., Dean, M. C., DuVernay III, A. 2003. E., Styzen, M. J Paleo-bathymetric controls on
723	stratigraphic architecture and reservoir development of confined fans in the Auger Basin:
724	central Gulf of Mexico slope. Journal of Marineand Petroleum Geology 20, 563-586.
725	doi:10.1016/i.marpetgeo.2003.03.008.
726	
727	Burke, K., 1972. Longshore drift, submarine canyons and submarine fans in development of
728	Niger Delta: AAPG, Bulletin, 56, 1975-1983.
729	
730	Brown, A.R., 1999. Interpretation of Three-dimensional Seismic Data. fifth ed. American
731	Association of Petroleum Geologists, Oklahoma
132	
733	Brownfield, M. E., 2016. Assessment of undiscovered oil and gas resources of the Niger Delta
134	Province, Nigeria and Cameroon, Africa, in Brownfield, M. E., compiler, Geologic
133	assessment of undiscovered hydrocardon resources of Sub-Saharan Africa. U.S.

736 737	Geological <u>http://dx.doi.o</u>	Survey rg/10.3133/	Digital /ds69GG.	Data	Series	69–GG,	chap.	5,	20.
738 739	Catuneanu, 2006. Prir	nciples of se	equence stra	atigraphy,	first editi	on, the Neth	erlands.		
740 741 742	Chapman, M. R., chep extinction of Paleogeograph	pstow-Lust the discoas 1y, Paleocli	y, A. J., 199 ters: an ind matology, I	97. Late P lependent Plaleoecol	liocene cli assessme logy 134,	mate change nt using oxy 109-125.	e and the gygen isoto	global ope reco	ords.
743									
744 745 746	Chapin, M., Swinburr C., Wilkie, J	n, P., van de ., Brentjen	er Weiden, l s, E., Blaa Field offe	R., Skalou uw, M., hore Nige	id, D., Ad 2002. In ria Tha I	esanya, S., S tegrated sei	smic and	., Varle subsu	y, rface
740 747	Chardon, D., Grimau	d, JL., Roi	i Field, offs	auvais, A.	, Christop	houl, F., 201	6. Stabili	-115.	of
748 749	large drainage growth, and t	basins ove he Niger I	er geologica River: hot s	al time sc spot swel	ales: Ceno 1 growth	and Niger	Africa, ho River. Ge	t spot s ochemi	swell istry,
750	Geophysics, G	Beosystems	17, 1164–1	181.					
752	Chopra S Marfurt k	ZI 2007 9	Seismic attr	ibutes for	nrospect	identification	n and rese	rvoir	
752 753 754	characterizatio	on. Tulsa, C	oklahoma, 4	57.	prospect	lucitification	in and rese	rvon	
755	Clark, I. R. and Cartw	right, J. A.	, 2012. Inter	ractions b	etween co	eval sedime	ntations a	nd	
756 757	deformation fr	rom the Nig	ger Delta de	ep-water	fold belt. S	SEPM 3, 243	3-267.		
758 759 760	Cobbold, P. R., Clark and seepage for	., B. J., and orces in the	Loseth, H., outer thrust	, 2009. St t belt of th	ructural co ne Niger D	onsequences elta. EAGE	of fluid o 15, 3-15.	verpres	sure
761 762 763	Cohen, H.A., McClay Delta front. M	y, K., 1996. Earine and P	Sedimentat etroleum G	ion and sl eology 13	hale tector 3, 313–328	nics of the no 3.	orthwester	n Nige	r
764 765 766	Connors, C. D., Dense anticlines of th	on, D. B., k ne mid-oute	Kristiansen, r slope, cen	G. and A tral Niger	ngstadt, D r Delta (ab	. M., 1998. (s.) AAPG B	Compressi Sulletin, 82	lve 2, 1903	
767 768 769	Corredor, F., Shaw, J. of the Niger D	H., Bilotti, Delta. AAPO	F., 2005. S Bulletin 8	tructural s 9, 753780	styles in th). <u>https://d</u>	e deep-wate oi.org/10.13	r fold and 06/02170:	thrust 504074	belts
770 771 772	Damuth, J. E., 1994. I Delta continen	Neogene gr ntal margin:	avity tecton Marine and	ics and de le	epositiona Im Geolog	l processes o y, 11, 320–3	on the deej 346.	p Niger	•
773 774	DeJong, K.A., Scholte	en, R., 1973	3. Gravity a	nd Tector	nics. John	Wiley, New	York.		
775 776 777	Doust, H., and E. Om Divergent pass	atsola, 1990 sive margin). Niger De basins. AA	lta, in J. I APG Bulle	D. Edward etin 48, 23	s and P. A. S 9-248.	Santogross	i, (eds.);
778 779 780	Evamy, B.D., Haremb P.H., 1978. Hy	ooure, J., Ka ydrocarbon	ammerling, habitat of T	R., Knaa [°] ertiary N	p, W.A., N iger Delta	Iolloy, F.A. . AAPG Bul	, and Row letin 62, 1	lands, -39.	

781	Ferry, J. N., Mulder, J., Praize, O., and Raillad, S., 2005. The concept of equilibrium profile in
782	Deep-water turbidite systems: effects of local physiographic changes on the natural
/83	sedimentary process and geometries of deposits. The Geological Society of London 244,
784	181-193.
785	
786	Frey Martinez, J., Cartwright, J., Hall, B., 2005. 3D seismic interpretation of slump complexes:
787 788	examples from the continental margin of Israel. Basin Research 17, 83-108.
780	Combori E Powere M Maroni M.D. 2011 Mass transport complex evolution in a
709	testeriesly
790	tectonically
791	active margin (Giora Basin, Southeastern Tyrrneman Sea). Marine Geology 279, (1-4),
792 702	98-110. 10.1016/j.margeo.2010.10.015
793	
794	Gamberi, F., M. Rovere, Marani, M.P., Dykstra, M., 2015. Modern deep-sea fan facies
795	development along a tectonically active margin. <i>Geosphere</i> , 11, 307-319.
796	10.1130/GES01030
797	
798	Gamboa, D., Alves, T.M., 2016. Classifications of submarine confluences and implications for
799	intra-canyon sediment distribution. GSA Annual Meeting, Denver, 2016.
800	10.1130/abs/2016AM-281380
801	
802	Gee, J. M. R., Gawthorpe, R. L., 2007. Early Evolution of submarine channels offshore
803	Angola revealed by three-dimensional seismic data. The Geological Society of London
804	Special Publication, 277: 223-236.
805	
806	Gorini C. Hag B.U. dos Reis A.T. Silva C.G. Cruz A.M. Soares E. and Grangeon D.
807	2014 Late Neogene sequence stratigraphic evolution of the Foz do Amazonas Basin
808	Brazil Terra Nova 26 (3) 179–185 https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12083
809	$\underline{\text{Hups.//doi.org/10.1111/toi.12005}}$
810	Graue K 2000 Mud volcanoes in deen water Nigeria, Marine and Petroleum Geology 17, 050
811	974 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264.8172/00)00016.7
Q12	974. <u>mtps://doi.org/10.1010/50204-8172(00)00010-7</u>
012	Crimoud I I Douby D. Charden D. Beauvois A. 2017 Canazaia sodiment hudget of West
015	Africe and the Nices date Desin Desecute 1, 19
014 015	Africa and the Niger dena. Basin Research, 1-18.
815	
810	Hansen, L., Janocko, M., Kane, I., Kneller, B., 2017. Submarine channel evolution, terrace
817	development, and preservation of intra-channel thin-bedded turbidites: Mahin and Avon
818	channels, offshore Nigeria. Marine Geology 383, 146–167.
819	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2016.11.011
820	
821	Haq, B.U., Hardenbol, J., Vail, P.R., 1987. Chronology of fluctuating sea levels since the
822	triassic. Science, 235, 1156–1167.
823	
824	Heiniö, P., Davies, R. J., 2007. Knickpoint migration in submarine channels in response to fold
825	growth, western Niger Delta. Marine and Petroleum Geology 24, 434-449.
826	doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2006.09.002

827	
828	Jobe, Z.R., Sylvester, Z., Parker, A.O., Howes, N., Slowey, N., Pirmez, C., 2015. Rapid
829	adjustment of submarine channelarchitecture to changes in sediment supply. Journal of
830	Sedimentary Research 85, 729–753.
831	20 million of the test of
832	Jolly, B.A., Lonergan, L., Whittaker, A.C., 2016, Growth history of fault-related folds and
833	interaction with seabed channels in the toe-thrust region of the deen-water Niger Delta
834	Marine and Petroleum Geology 70, 58–76
835	
836	Kneller B. McCaffrey W 1999 Depositional effects of flow nonuniformity and stratification
837	within turbidity currents approaching a bounding slope. deflection reflection and facies
838	variation Journal of Sedimentary Research 69(5) 980-991
839	variation. Journal of Beambinary Research, 09(3), 900 991.
840	Masson D.G. Watts A.B. Gee M.I.R. Urgeles R. Mitchell N.C. Le Bas T.P. Canals M
841	2002 Slope failures on the flanks of the western Canary Islands Earth-Science Reviews
8/12	57 (1-2) 1-35
8/13	57 (1 2), 1 55
844	Miller K.G. Kominz M.A. Browning I.V. Wright I.D. Mountain G.S. Katz M.F.
845	Sugarman P.I. Cramer B.S. Christie-Blick N. Pekar S.F. 2005 The Phanerozoic
8/16	Record of Global Sea Level Change Science Magazine 310, 1293-1298
8/17	Record of Global Sea Level Change. Science Magazine 510, 1255-1250.
848	Mitchum R M Vail P R 1977 Seismic Stratigraphy and Global Changes of Sea Level
849	Part 7: Seismic Stratigraphic Interpretation Procedure: Seismic Stratigraphy: Applications
850	to Hydrocarbon Exploration A APG Memoir 26, 135–143
851	to Hydrocarbon Exploration. AAT O Memon 20, 155–145.
852	Morgan R 2004 Structural controls on the positioning of submarine channels on the lower
853	slopes of the Niger Delta in: Davies R I Cartwright I Stewart S A Underhill IR
854	Lappin M (Eds.) 3D Seismic Technology: Application to the Exploration of
855	Sedimentary Basing Geological Society of London Memoir 29, 45, 51
856	Sedmentary Basins. Geological Society of London Memori 29, 43-51
857	Morley C. K. and Guerin, G. 1996. Comparison of gravity-driven deformation styles and
858	hebayior associated with mobile shales and salt. Tectonics 15, 1155-1170
859	behavior associated with mobile shares and saft. Tectomes 19, 1155-1170.
860	Morley C K King R Hillis R Tingay M Backe G 2011 Deep water fold and thrust helt
861	classification tectonics, structure and hydrocarbon perspectivity. Earth Sciences Reviews
867	104 41 01
863	104, 41-91.
864	Olusola B. Hurlay, N. McHargue, T. 2007, Effect of Growing Structures on
865	Stratigraphic Evolution Channel Architecture and Submarine Ean Distribution Niger
866	Dalta West
000	Africa AADC Conference Droccodings California
00/	Ainca. AAPG Comerence Proceedings, Camorina.
000 860	Omosanya K.O. Alves T.M. 2013 A 3 dimensional solution method to assess the provenance
007 970	of Mass Transport Deposite (MTDs) on solt rich continental clones (Egnérice Sente Desir
07U 071	SE Progil) Marine and Detroloum 44, 222, 220
0/1	5L DIazii). Mainie and reuoleuni 44, 225-259.
012	

873	Omosanya, K. O., Harishidayat, D., 2019. Seismic geomorphology of Cenozoic slope deposits
874	and deltaic clinoforms in the Great South Basin (GSB) offshore New Zealand. Geo-
875	Marine Letters. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-018-00558-8
876	
877	Prather, B. E. 2000. Calibration and visualization of depositional process models for above-grade
878	slopes: a case study from the Gulf of Mexico Marine and Petroleum Geology 17(5)
870	610 638
880	019-030.
00U QQ1	Prother P. F. 2002 Controls on recervoir distribution prohitecture ad stratigraphic transing in
001	slope settings. Morine and Petroleum 20, 520, 545
002	slope settings. Marine and Perforeuni 20, 529-545.
003	Prother D.E. Dirmon C. Sulveston Z. and Prother D.S. 2012a Strationarhie Descences to
004	Framer, B.E., Firmez, C., Sylvester, Z., and Framer, D. S., 2012a. Strangraphic Response to
883	Evolving Geomorphology in a Submarine Apron Perched on the Upper Niger Delta
886	Slope. SEPM 99, 145-161.
88/	
888	Posamentier, H.W., Kolla, V., 2003. Seismic geomorphology and stratigraphy of depositional
889	elements in deep-water settings. Journal of sedimentary research 73, 367–388.
890	
891	Qin, Y., Alves, T. M., Constantine, J., and Gamboa, D., 2016. Quantitative seismic
892	geomorphology of a submarine channel system in SE Brazil (Espirito Santo Basin): Scale
893	comparison with other submarine channel systems. Marine and Petroleum Geology 78,
894	455-473. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.09.024</u>
895	
896	Raffi, I., Agnini, C., Backman, J., Catanzariti, R., and Pälike, H., 2016. A Cenozoic calcareous
897	nannofossil biozonation from low and middle latitudes: A synthesis.
898	
899	Ramberg, 1981. Gravity, deformation and the Earth's crust in theory, experiments and geological
900	application 2nd Edition, Academic Press, London.
901	
902	Rouby, D., Nalpas, T., Jermannaud, P., Robin, C., Guillocheau, F., Raillard, S., 2011. Gravity
903	driven deformation controlled by the migration of the delta front: The Plio-Pleistocene of
904	the Eastern Niger Delta. Tectonophysics 513, 54–67.
905	https://doi.org/10.1130/GEOSO1426.1
906	
907	Richardson S.E.J, Davies R. J, Allen M.B., Grant S.F., 2011 Structure and evolution of mass
908	transport deposits in the South Caspian Basin, Azerbaijan. Basin Research 23:702-719.
909	
910	Rovere, M., Gamberi, F., Mercorella, A., leidi, Elisa., 2014. Geomorphometry of a submarine
911	mass-transport complex and relationships with active faults in a rapidly unlifting margin
912	(Gioja Basin, NE Sicily margin). Marine Geology
913	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.margeo.2013.06.003
914	Short K C. Stauble A I 1967 Outline of geology of Niger Delta: AAPG Bulletin 51 761_
915	779
916	· · · ·
917	Taner M.T. Schuelke, I.S. O'Doherty, R. Baysal, F. 1994. Seismic attributes revisited. In-
918	SEG technical program expanded abstracts 1994 https://doi.org/10.1100/1.1822700
/10	526 teenneur program expanded abstracts 1777. <u>https://doi.org/10.1170/1.1022707</u> .

919
920 Tuttle, W.L.M, Brownfield, E.M. and Charpentier, R.R. 1999. The Niger Delta Petroleum
921 System. Chapter A: Tertiary Niger Delta (Akata-Agbada) Petroleum System, Niger Delta
922 Province, Nigeria, Cameroon and Equatorial Guinea, Africa. USGS, Open File Report.
923 99-50-H, 4-44.
924

Wiener, R.W., Mann, M. G., Angelich, M. T., Molyneux, J. B., 2010. Mobile shale in the Niger Delta: Characteristics, structure, and evolution. AAPG, 93, 145-161.

Whiteman, A.J., 1982. Nigeria: Its petroleum geology resources and potential Parts II and I:
 Edinburgh/London, Graham and Trotman, 39.

927

930

935

Wu, S., Bally, A. W 2000. Slope tectonics. Comparisons and contrasts of structural styles of salt
and shale tectonics of the northern Gulf of Mexico with shale tectonics of offshore
Nigeria in Gulf of Guinea, in W. Mohriak and M. Talwani, eds., Atlantic rifts and
continental margins: Washington, D.C., American Geophysical Union, 151-172.

36

Name	Length (m)	Thickness (m)	Area (km ²)
Mud-derived MTD	2.3	75	13
Upper MTD	12	205	187
Middle MTD	6-8	246	175
Lower MTD	3-5	148	155

Table 1: Summary of statistical measurements on MTDs



Fig. 1. A: Superposed relief and bathymetric map of the Niger Delta showing the extensional, translational and compressional zones. B: Schematic map showing progradation of the delta from the Late Eocene to the present day. The red box in inset map B shows the location of the Niger Delta in the Gulf of Guinea. Red box and black circles in A show the limit of the 3-D block we studied and the locations of the boreholes, respectively. Yellow arrow points to the downstream section of the submarine channel complex that crossed the study area. A, B modified after (Rouby *et al.*, 2011).

- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21



Fig. 2. Schematic diagram (not drawn to scale) of the three lithostratigraphic units underlying the
Niger Delta (redrawn after Brownfield, 2016, on the right). Representative deep borehole (FM-1
well) that penetrated more than 4 km into the deeper Neogene sedimentary records in the Akata
Formation and the bio-chronostratigraphycally dated intervals in this study (on the left).

41



Fig. 3. Physiography of the study area. A, B: Uninterpreted and uninterpreted time slice (at 4.9 seconds twt) showing mobile shales and filled-ponded basins in the block studied. C: Time-structure map near the level of time slice illustrating the same features as in panels A and B. D: Variance attribute map of the seabed showing the presence of mud volcanoes and submarine channel complex. Black dots show the location of the boreholes.

66	Prather <i>et al.</i> (1998);Basin floor/slope depositional environments	Seismic facies	Line drawing	Depositional Architecture
68 69	Mass-flow deposits with variable lithology	B		MTD
70 71	Turbidite feeder channel systems, amalgamated channel sands	Bh		Turbidite feeder channels
72 73 74	Submarine slide /slumps	1 km		Slide blocks/slumps
75 76	Basin-floor and slope, submarine fan complexes with sand sheets and levees	Coh		Amalgamated channels/ lobe deposits
77	Fine-grained suspension fallout, Muddy/overbank turbidites and hemipelagic drapes on slopes	Cut		Channel fill and levees/ overbank deposits
79	Muddy turbidites to condensed section and hemipelagic drape	Seafloor D		Hemipelagic drape

80 Fig. 4: Overview of the seismic facies observed in this study, corresponding line drawings and

81 interpretations of the depositional environment.



107 the study area. Note the lateral discontinuities (erosional truncations) and the juxtaposition of

108 different seismic facies.



Fig. 6. A, B, C: Isochron maps of the lower, middle and upper MTD showing respective spatial variations in sediment thickness. D: Horizon slice at the top of the amalgamated channel levee deposits underlying the lower MTD showing the presence of sinuous channel. E-G: Seismic lines illustrating the architecture of the MTDs. Variations in the thickness of the middle MTD on the

132 footwall and hanging wall blocks are indicated by double-headed arrows (panel F). See text for



134



Neogene series. The identified fossil markers, data and estimated ages are shown on the left. The
well logs used for lithology, facies and depositional environment analysis are shown on the right.
Note the vertical succession of facies from channel axis, overbank and/or hemipelagic deposits.
See text for a detailed explanation.



Fig. 8: Chronostratigraphic chart showing standard stages, long-term and short-term sea level
curves (after Haq *et al.*, 1987); the Late Miocene-Quaternary cycles according to Haq *et al.*,
(1987) curve recalibrated by Gorini *et al.* (2014). The Plio-Pleistocene cycles according to Miller *et al.* (2005).

170

171



Fig. 9. A: RMS amplitude map at 3.3 seconds (twt) in the C_{bh} facies showing channel
architectural patterns. B: Corresponding seismic line illustrating the associated seismic facies. C,
D: Core data from the FM-1 and FM-3ST1 wells showing the lithological content.



Fig. 10. A: Uninterpreted horizon (variance attribute) slice of the erosional event at the base of 207 Unit 6. B: RMS amplitude extraction on the base of Unit 6 draped on panel A. The main 208 submarine channel complex (labeled 1) and channel levee systems (labelled CLSs 2, 3 and 4) are 209 illustrated. C, D: Interpreted seimic line and line drawing showing the architecture of the 210 channels. Yellow arrow (panel B points to paleo-flow direction), while red arrow (part D) points 211 212 to the level of amplitude extraction. Note the narrow, low sinuosity, erosional character and E-W orientation of the main submarine channel complex 1. Note also the ability of the CLSs 2 and 3 213 to cross paleo-relief features associated with the buried shale-cored anticline/fold. 214



Fig. 11. A: Uninterpreted RMS amplitude map of a channel levee system near the top of Unit 7
draped on the horizon (variance attribute) slice. B: Interpretation of panel A illustrating narrow,

erosional low sinuosity submarine channel complex 1 and moderate sinuosity CLSs (labeled 2, 3
and 4). C: Seismic line and line drawing illustrating the architectures of the channels. Red arrow
(panel C) points to the level of amplitude extraction. Note the prsence of bifurcating, bypass CLS
that incised older amagamated channel/lobe deposits in the NW corner of the map and the
truncation of amalgmated channel levee deposits by the lower and middle MTDs.



above the base of Unit 7 (blue line). Note the presence of wide, highly sinuous submarine

channel complex (labeled 1 in panels B and C), and highly sinuos CLSs (labeled 2 and 3). Note
also the erosional character and northward shift of the main submarine channel complex 1
toward the mobile shale zone in the NE and its NE-SW orientation.



Fig. 13. A: Uninterpreted RMS amplitude map at 1.9 seconds (twt) draped on horizon (variance attribute) slice. B: 3D geomorphological interpretation of panel A showing the architectures of submarine channel complex (labeled 1), CLSs 2 to 5 and faults. C: Seismic line and line drawing

illustrating the complex cut and fill architecture/lateral aggradation of the main submarine channel complexes 1. Note: (I) The erosive nature of the main channel complex compared to the aggrading CLSs 3 to 5. (II) The presence of abandoned meander loops associated with the meandering CLS 2. (III) The presence of an avulsion channel downstream of levee deposit adjacent to CLS 4. (IV) The overall decrease in RMS amplitude downstream along the CLS 4 and the corresponding increase upstream along the avulsion channel.



Fig. 14. A, B: Uninterpreted and interpreted horizon (variance attribute) slice at 1.8 seconds (twt)
showing the presence of submarine channel complexes (yellow arrow), sinuous CLSs, mobile

305	shales, radial faults and lineated scars associated with mobile shale-derived MTDs. C: Seismic
306	lines illustrating the erosional character and rough edges of the submarine channel complex. D:
307	Seismic line showing the conformable/slightly deformed, high amplitude seismic packages
308	associated with mobile-derived MTDs. Note the E-W orientation, presence HARPs (panel C),
309	terraces and entrenched CLS in the main submarine complex.



Fig. 15. Shale tectonics and submarine channel complex evolution from Middle Pliocene to present day. Note E-W orientation of the channel complex during the Middle Pliocene-Early

Pleistocene (purple polygon; Unit 6); the NE-SW orientation during the Early-Middle Pleistocene (green polygon; Unit 7) and the E-W orientation from the Middle Pleistocene to present day (brown polygon; Unit 7). Note the confinement of mobile shales in the NE of the study area during the Plio-Pleistocene intervals. See text for more detailed explanation.

CER MAN

Highlights

- The Neogene stratigraphy of the western Niger Delta slope dates to the Chattian
- Major changes in sedimentary record occurred in the Tortonian and Plio-Pleistocene
- Depositional architecture is controlled by eustasy, sediment supply and shale tectonics
- Depositional sequence in intraslope basins constitutes MTDs, turbidites and hemipelagites
- Channel fills and overbank deposits act as reservoirs while hemipelagites act as seals