

Changes in activity of fast-spiking interneurons of the monkey striatum during reaching at a visual target

Kévin Marche, Paul Apicella

▶ To cite this version:

Kévin Marche, Paul Apicella. Changes in activity of fast-spiking interneurons of the monkey striatum during reaching at a visual target. Journal of Neurophysiology, 2017, 117 (1), pp.65-78. 10.1152/jn.00566.2016 . hal-02329102

HAL Id: hal-02329102 https://hal.science/hal-02329102

Submitted on 24 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Changes in activity of fast-spiking interneurons of the monkey striatum during reaching at a visual target Kévin Marche and Paul Apicella

4	Institut de Neurosciences de la Timone UMR 7289, Aix Marseille Université, CNRS
5	13005, Marseille, France

6	Corresponding author :	Paul Apicella
7		Institut de Neurosciences de la Timone UMR7289
8		Aix Marseille Université, CNRS
9		13005, Marseille
10		FRANCE
11		Fax: 00 33 491324056
12		paul.apicella@univ-amu.fr

13	Running Head:	Striatal FSIs and the control of movement
14		

15 Abstract

16 Recent works highlight the importance of local inhibitory interneurons in regulating the 17 function of the striatum. In particular, fast-spiking interneurons (FSIs), which likely 18 correspond to a subgroup of GABAergic interneurons, have been involved in the control of 19 movement by exerting strong inhibition on striatal output pathways. However, little is known 20 about the exact contribution of these presumed interneurons in movement preparation, 21 initiation, and execution. We recorded the activity of FSIs in the striatum of monkeys as they 22 performed reaching movements to a visual target under two task conditions: one in which the 23 movement target was presented at unsignaled left or right locations, and another in which 24 advance information about target location was available, thus allowing monkeys to react 25 faster. Modulations of FSI activity around the initiation of movement (53% of 55 neurons) 26 consisted mostly of increases reaching maximal firing immediately before or, less frequently, 27 after movement onset. Another subset of FSIs showed decreases in activity during movement 28 execution. Rarely did movement-related changes in FSI firing depend on response direction 29 and movement speed. Modulations of FSI activity occurring relatively early in relation to 30 movement initiation were more influenced by the preparation for movement, compared with 31 those occurring later. Conversely, FSI activity remained unaffected as monkeys were 32 preparing a movement toward a specific location and instead moved to the opposite direction 33 when the trigger occurred. These results provide evidence that changes in activity of 34 presumed GABAergic interneurons of the primate striatum could make distinct contributions 35 to processes involved in movement generation.

36

37 New & Noteworthy

We explored the functional contributions of striatal fast-spiking interneurons (FSIs), presumed GABAergic interneurons, to distinct steps of movement generation in monkeys performing a reaching task. The activity of individual FSIs was modulated before and during the movement, consisting mostly of increased in firing rates. Changes in activity also occurred during movement preparation. We interpret this variety of modulation types at different moments of task performance as reflecting differential FSI control over distinct phases of movement.

45 Keywords

46 basal ganglia, movement initiation, motor preparation, non-human primate

47

48 Introduction

49 The striatum is composed of a vast majority of projection neurons and several types of 50 interneuron among which GABAergic interneurons constitute a diverse group of cells that can 51 characterized by expression of different neurochemical markers and basic be 52 electrophysiological properties (Tepper et al. 2010; Silberberg and Bolam 2015). These 53 interneurons have been implicated in regulating the information processing within the striatal 54 network. Among them, the fast-spiking inhibitory interneurons (FSIs), that correspond to the 55 parvalbumin-containing group of GABAergic interneurons (Kawaguchi 1993; Mallet et al. 56 2005; Sharott et al. 2012), have received the most interest. This electrophysiologically 57 identifiable cell type is regarded as the main source of inhibition onto neighboring output 58 neurons, thus shaping the output of the striatum to the downstream nuclei of the basal ganglia 59 (Koós and Tepper 1999; Mallet et al. 2005). Given that these presumed interneurons exert a 60 strong inhibitory effect on the activity of output neurons, it has been suggested that disruption 61 of FSI function can result in the inability to control or inhibit inappropriate motor responses. 62 Experimental studies in animals provide evidence for specific involvement of these 63 interneurons in striatal-based movement disorders, including dystonia (Gernert et al. 2000) 64 and dyskinesia (Gittis et al. 2011). In addition, neuropathological studies in humans have 65 demonstrated reduced density of striatal GABAergic interneurons containing parvalbumin in 66 Tourette syndrome (Kalanithi et al. 2005; Kataoka et al. 2010) and Huntington's disease 67 (Reiner et al. 2013). It is therefore crucial to understand how FSIs contribute to normal 68 behavioral states to gain an insight into their role in the pathophysiology of movement 69 disorders.

Single-neuron recording studies in behaving rats have investigated how striatal FSIs
modulate their firing rate during performance in a variety of tasks. The results have shown

72 different patterns of task-related changes in FSI activity occurring at specific times, such as 73 reaching a goal in the context of spatial navigation tasks (Berke et al. 2004; 2008; Schmitzer-74 Torbert and Redish 2008) and selecting a particular action in the presence of a choice between 75 movement options (Gage et al. 2010). FSIs have also been shown to be sensitive to prediction 76 errors linked to changes in an action's expected value (Stalnaker et al. 2012) and to 77 expectation and receipt of rewards (Lansink et al. 2010). It therefore appears that FSIs might 78 make contributions to different aspects of task performance and information processing. In 79 addition, regional differences in the striatum are likely to be important for interpreting task-80 related changes in FSI activity, with modulations related to actions resulting in rewarded 81 outcomes preferentially occurring in the dorsolateral striatum, assumed to subserve motor 82 functions (Berke 2008; Schmitzer-Torbert and Redish 2008; Gage et al. 2010), whereas 83 changes in FSI activity related to reward outcomes are assumed to be found in dorsomedial 84 (Stalnaker et al. 2012) and ventromedial portions of the striatum (Lansink et al. 2010) which 85 have been proposed to be more involved in cognitive and motivational functions, respectively. 86 In the primate striatum, electrophysiologically identifiable cell types generally fall into 87 two categories, called phasically active neurons (PANs), assumed to be projection neurons, 88 and tonically active neurons (TANs), thought to be cholinergic interneurons. Recently, 89 recording studies have pointed to the presence of a rarely encountered category displaying 90 spike waveforms and firing rates similar to those described for striatal FSIs in rodents (Adler 91 et al. 2013; Yamada et al. 2016). Knowledge about the functional contributions of FSIs in the 92 striatum of behaving monkeys is however still limited. In a Pavlovian conditioning procedure, 93 in which monkeys were passively exposed to pairings of visual stimuli with different 94 outcomes, striatal FSIs have been reported to display changes in activity consisting of 95 increases in firing rate after presentation of conditioned stimuli and occurrence of outcome 96 (Adler et al. 2013). More recently, a study has emphasized that FSIs are sensitive both to the

97 actions resulting in rewarded outcomes as well as to the outcomes themselves in monkeys 98 performing arm or eye movement to obtain rewards (Yamada et al. 2016). However, the exact 99 nature of their contribution to behavioral processes carried out in the striatum remains obscure 100 and we still lack an understanding of how this population of presumed GABAergic 101 interneurons participate in distinct steps of movement generation.

102 The purpose of this study was to further characterize the functional properties of 103 striatal FSIs by examining their capacity to carry signals related to the preparation, initiation, 104 and execution of target-directed arm movements. Our results indicate that individual FSIs that 105 were not restricted to the motor part of the striatum changed their firing rate around the time 106 of movement initiation, with distinct groups that either increase or decrease their activity, 107 suggesting that they may exert differential control over distinct phases of movement.

108 Materials and Methods

109 Behavioral conditions.

110 The experimental protocol was in compliance with the National Institutes of Health's Guide 111 for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Comité d'éthique en 112 Neurosciences INT-Marseille (Protocol A2-10-12). Two adult male rhesus monkeys (Macaca 113 *mulatta*), F and T, were trained to make visually guided arm-reaching movements to obtain a 114 liquid reward. The behavioral apparatus was similar to that previously described (Deffains et 115 al. 2010). Each monkey was seated in a specially designed restraining box, facing a panel 30 116 cm from its head. The panel contained two metal knobs (10 x 10 mm) separated by 20 cm 117 horizontally, as well as two light-emitting diodes (two-color green/red LEDs), one above each 118 knob, at eye level of the animal. An unmovable metal bar was mounted at the center of the 119 panel at waist level. The temporal sequence of task events is schematically presented in 120 Figure 1A. The animals kept the hand on the bar until the illumination of a red LED either to the left or to the right of the panel, the location of the stimulus varying pseudorandomly across trials. In response to this stimulus, monkeys had to reach the target positioned just below the illuminated LED and the movements were rewarded by a small amount of fruit juice (0.3 ml) delivered immediately after touching the target. The monkey then brought the hand back on the bar and waited for the total duration of the trial (6 s) to elapse before the next trial started.

127 In the standard reaching task condition, called the *uncued condition*, the trigger stimulus 128 occurred at irregular time intervals and in the absence of any predictive cues, so that the 129 monkey could not predict the location of the target on each trial. In order to address the 130 question whether the state of preparation for movement influences neuronal activity, a cued 131 condition was also employed in which the monkeys received advance information about the 132 location of the trigger stimulus. In this case, the onset of a green light (duration: 500 ms) 133 presented either to the left or to the right served as a cue for the trigger stimulus which came 134 on 1.5 s later at the same location. In this condition, the cue allowed the animal to prepare arm 135 movement toward a specific location. In another version of the cued condition, called the 136 unreliable cued condition, advance information about target location was valid in 80% and 137 invalid in 20% of trials. On valid cued trials, the trigger stimulus was presented at the same 138 location as that indicated previously by the cue, whereas on invalid cued trials, the trigger 139 stimulus was presented on the side opposite to that indicated by the cue. In the latter case, a 140 motor reprogramming process is needed after trigger onset before the correct movement can 141 be executed. This condition was designed to test the monkeys' ability to inhibit the prepared response and replace it with the other one. Task performance was assessed in terms of 142 143 reaction time (RT) and movement time (MT) which were the time taken to release the bar in 144 response to trigger onset and to move from the bar to a target, respectively. Both monkeys 145 performed the task with their right arm and the different conditions were presented to the

animals in successive blocks of 30-50 trials, except for the unreliable cued condition which
was run in blocks long enough (about 80 trials) to ensure at least 15 invalid cued trials.

148 Neuronal recordings.

149 Data were collected after monkeys were well trained on the three task conditions. After 150 completion of training, surgery was carried out under gas anesthesia (isoflurane 2.5%) and 151 sterile conditions to implant a head-holding device and a recording chamber aimed to the left 152 striatum. We recorded the extracellular activity of single neurons with movable glass-153 insulated tungsten microelectrodes over a wide area of the anterior and posterior regions of 154 the striatum, mostly in the dorsal part of the putamen, some penetrations extending to the 155 ventral most part of this nucleus. The electrode was driven by a hydraulic microdrive (MO-156 95; Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) through a stainless steel guide tube, which was used to penetrate 157 the dura. The electrode was manually advanced until the spikes generated by a single neuron 158 were isolated. Neuronal signals were amplified (x 5000), filtered with a band pass of 0.3 to 159 1.5 kHz, and monitored with oscilloscopes. Single neuron activity was detected on-line by 160 threshold crossing using a time-amplitude window discriminator (Neurolog; Digitimer), the 161 waveform of each discriminated spike being monitored continuously during recording. The 162 resulting standard digital pulses were stored on a computer, together with markers of task 163 events. Neuronal signals were also digitized and stored on computer (Spike2; Cambridge 164 Electronic Design, Cambridge, UK) for off-line analysis of spike duration. We classified each 165 unit as belonging to one of three major classes of neurons in the striatum identified by spike 166 waveform and firing rate criteria, as described previously (Adler et al. 2013). The relationship 167 between neuronal activity and behavioral performance was assessed on-line in the form of 168 rasters aligned on the task events using a custom-designed computer software (LabVIEW, 169 National Instruments, Dallas, TX). The same software was used to present visual stimuli, to 170 deliver liquid reward, to measure behavioral parameters, and to collect neuronal data for off171 line analyses. Once a neuron was isolated, we usually first examined its activity in the uncued 172 condition. If the isolation could be maintained satisfactorily, the neuron was then tested in 173 another condition, either the cued or unreliable cued condition, the order of the conditions 174 being counterbalanced across trial blocks.

175 Data analysis.

176 The Student's t-test and one-way ANOVAs with Tukey's honestly significant difference 177 (HSD) post hoc tests were conducted to test for any significant differences in RT and MT 178 between task conditions (uncued, cued) and trial types (valid cued, invalid cued). As regards 179 neuronal activity changes, rasters and perievent time histograms of single-neuron activity 180 aligned to each of the three task events (trigger onset, movement onset, and target contact) 181 were first examined visually and then statistically analyzed. We determined whether neurons 182 showed significant changes in activity occurring at any particular moment during the 183 performance of the reaching task. The baseline firing rate was calculated during the 500 ms 184 preceding the presentation of the first visual stimulus (the trigger stimulus in the uncued 185 condition and the cue in the cued condition), defined as the control period. A test window of 186 100 ms duration was moved in steps of 10 ms, starting at the presentation of the trigger 187 stimulus, from 300 ms before movement onset, or from 300 ms before target contact. The 188 mean discharge rate in the 100-ms window was compared, at each step, with that in the 189 control period. The onset of a modulation was taken to be the beginning of the first of at least 190 5 consecutive steps showing a significant difference (P < 0.05, Dunn's test for multiple 191 nonparametric comparisons) as against the baseline activity. The offset of a modulation was 192 defined in the same manner by the first of at least 5 consecutive steps with activity back to 193 control. We then determined the onset and offset of the modulation in the firing of the neuron 194 centered on each task events with 10 ms resolution. In addition, task-related increases in 195 neuronal activity were evaluated in terms of the latency of the peak of activation. To do so,

196 we divided the trial into successive bins of 10 ms around each of the three task events and we 197 calculated the spike count in each bin. Peak latency was estimated, for each neuron, as the 10-198 ms interval showing the highest level of activity in the perievent time histogram referenced to 199 each task event. Based on these measures, we classified FSIs into distinct categories 200 according to the timing of peak activations relative to movement onset.

201 For each neuron, we also used a 300 ms window immediately before or after 202 movement onset to test for dependence of neuronal activity on target location (left, right), 203 condition (uncued, cued), and trial type (valid cued, invalid cued), on the basis of mean firing 204 rate measured in these windows. The assessment of statistical differences between conditions 205 was performed with the use of multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). Further details 206 on data analysis are given at the appropriate place in the Results section. To examine the 207 temporal relation between changes in FSI activity and behavioral indices of task performance 208 (i.e., RT and MT), we made a correlation analysis on a trial-by-trial basis (Pearson's 209 correlation, P < 0.05).

210 In addition to the quantitative assessment of task-related activities of individual FSIs, 211 we pooled activities across samples of neurons modulated during particular task conditions 212 and trial types and made population activity curves. For each neuron, a normalized perievent 213 time histogram was obtained by dividing the content of each bin by the number of trials and 214 the population activity was obtained by averaging all normalized histograms referenced to a 215 particular task event. Data were analyzed using custom-written MATLAB (version R2015b, 216 Mathworks, Natick, MA) scripts and statistical analyses were performed using JMP software 217 (version 11, Chicago, IL).

218 *Reconstruction of recording sites.*

Histological confirmation of recording sites is available in monkey T, but not in monkey Fwho is still participating in another study. Consequently, for this latter animal, approximated

221 recording sites were determined from the neuronal activity characteristic to the striatum and 222 electrophysiologic features of neighboring structures, including the external and internal 223 segments of the globus pallidus, the internal capsule, and the anterior commissure. In monkey 224 T, the block of tissue including striatal recording sites was cut into frontal sections of 40 μ m 225 thickness on a freezing microtome and every section was stained with cresyl violet. Based on 226 the location of recording sites identified histologically in this animal, FSIs were recorded 227 between 4 mm anterior and 8 mm posterior to the anterior commissure, over the entire lateral 228 to medial extent of the nucleus, including some penetrations in the most ventral portion of the 229 precommissural putamen. Based on the known topographic organization of cortical 230 projections, the region of the striatum explored in the present study is divided into a "motor" region, which predominantly includes the postcommissural part of the dorsal putamen, and an 231 232 "associative" region, which includes mainly the precommissural part of the dorsal caudate 233 nucleus and putamen. The most ventral region of the precommissural part of the caudate 234 nucleus and putamen is usually referred to the "limbic" striatum (Haber and McFarland 1999).

235 Results

237 As shown in Figure 1B, both monkeys had significantly shorter RTs in the cued condition 238 than in the uncued condition (Student's t-test, P < 0.01), suggesting that the presence of prior 239 information on location of the trigger stimulus prepared the animals for directing their 240 movement at a specific target leading therefore to a shortening of RT. There was also a 241 significant effect of condition on MTs in monkey T (P < 0.01) and monkey F (P < 0.05) with 242 the MT in cued trials being shorter than the MT in uncued trials. Both monkeys continued to 243 perform well the task in the unreliable cued condition (above 90% correct) when unexpected 244 invalid cued trials occurred in the course of a block, namely they reached the target accurately

²³⁶ Behavior

245 regardless of whether the cue was a valid predictor or not of target location. Figure 1C shows 246 the mean RTs and MTs for invalid cued trials and for trials immediately preceding and 247 immediately following them. In both monkeys, RTs for invalid cued trials were significantly 248 longer than for trials with a valid cue (one-way ANOVA, monkey F: F (2, 594) = 143.59, P < 249 0.01; monkey T: F (2, 702) = 124.45, P < 0.01), reflecting a slowness of movement initiation 250 when responding to the trigger stimulus which did not match the cued location. There was 251 also a significant effect of trial type on MT in monkey F (F (2, 594) = 12.19, P < 0.01) who 252 took longer to reach the target in invalid than in valid cued trials, whereas such a difference 253 was less evident in monkey T (F (2, 702) = 3.33, P < 0.05).

254 Neuronal data

255 We recorded from 701 neurons in two monkeys (413 and 288 neurons requiring 19 and 11 256 recording months in animals F and T, respectively). Of the 701 neurons, 83 (12%) were 257 judged to be FSIs and we report here on 64 of them (32 in each monkey) that were recorded 258 in at least one of the three task conditions employed in this study. Table 1 gives an overview 259 of the number of recorded FSIs in the different task conditions and the mean number of trials 260 per recording. Consistent with prior studies (Adler et al. 2013; Yamada et al. 2016), FSIs 261 were rarely encountered in awake monkeys compared to PANs and TANs. Despite their 262 relative scarcity, FSIs were clearly distinguishable from the other neuron types (i.e., PANs 263 and TANs) usually recorded in the monkey striatum. The patterns of neuronal discharge in the 264 striatum of our monkeys (Figure 1D) were similar to those described previously (Adler et al. 265 2013; Yamada et al. 2016). The FSIs had a mean baseline firing rate of 12.8 ± 8.9 spikes/s 266 (n=64), which is higher than that of the TANs (5.7 + 2.2, n=85) or PANs (1.4 + 1.8, n=87). 267 The duration of spikes of the FSIs, defined as the time interval between the first negative and 268 second positive peaks of the spike, was generally shorter $(424 + 57 \,\mu\text{s}, n=63)$ than that of the 269 TANs (919 \pm 198 µs, n=80) and PANs (754 \pm 80 µs, n=80) (Wilcoxon's rank sum test, P <

0.01). These spike waveform and firing rate characteristics are very similar to those of FSIs
recorded in the rodent striatum which have been closely associated with PV-containing
GABAergic interneurons.

273 We first examined temporal profiles of activity changes for each neuron recorded in 274 the uncued condition (n=55) using the sliding time window procedure (see Materials and 275 methods), starting at the onset of the trigger stimulus and at 300 ms before the movement 276 onset and the target contact. Here we focused on FSI activity just before and during 277 movement for analysis, the modulations at a later stage of the task (i.e., reward period) being 278 addressed in another report. We found that 53% (29 of 55) of neurons (11 and 18 in monkeys 279 F and T, respectively) showed statistically significant changes in activity in relation to movement initiation (Dunn's-test, P < 0.05). Among these, 17 neurons showed increases in 280 281 activity, 9 neurons showed decreases in activity, and 3 neurons had increases followed by 282 decreases. Figure 2 shows the activity profile for each of the 29 modulated FSIs, sorted 283 according to the latency of the peak of activation for neurons that showed increased activity 284 and to the earliest time of significant modulation for neurons that showed decreased activity 285 only. As it can be seen, increases in activity were more prominent before the onset of 286 movement, with neurons reaching their peak activation just after the presentation of the 287 trigger stimulus (3 neurons) or within a 300 ms window immediately preceding movement 288 onset (11 neurons). Other neurons reached their peak activation within a 300 ms window 289 immediately following movement onset (6 neurons). We classified the neurons that showed 290 increases in activity into two groups according to which of the two 300 ms windows was the 291 one in which the peak activation occurred. Neurons having peak activation time prior to or 292 following the initiation of arm movement were classified as early increase-type and late 293 increase-type, respectively. All neurons displaying decreased activity only were modulated 294 after movement onset and were included in a decrease-type category. Figure 3A shows

example neurons from each category. To give an overview of the modulations at the population level, averaged activities of all FSIs of each of the three categories are illustrated in Figure 3B. Neurons with no modulation in relation to movement initiation are also shown for comparison.

299 We next examined whether FSIs were sensitive to the target location and/or the 300 direction of the arm movement. We performed this analysis by comparing the spike counts in 301 the 300 ms window before (early increase-type neurons) or after the movement onset (late 302 increase-type and decrease-type neurons) between leftward and rightward movements, for 303 each modulated neuron in our sample. Of the 29 modulated FSIs, 7 (24%) showed activity 304 that differed significantly between the two directions of movement (Wilcoxon's test, P < P305 0.05), of which one half showed stronger activity associated with movements directed to the 306 side ipsilateral to the moving arm and the other half with movements directed to the 307 contralateral side (Figure 4A). Although FSI modulations dependent on the direction of 308 movement were found in all three categories of neurons, they seem to be most frequent in 309 early increase-type neurons, but the sample sizes were too small to allow for adequate 310 statistical analysis. We also examined whether FSI activity was concerned with the 311 fluctuations of reaching performance. For each modulated neuron, we calculated the trial-by-312 trial correlation (Pearson's r) between movement parameters (RT and MT) and FSI activity, 313 using the same time windows defined before. Across the sample of studied neurons, 17% (5 314 of 29) was sensitive to the RT and 17% (5 of 29) to the MT, and there was no trend toward a 315 more pronounced relationship between FSI modulation and motor variables in one category of 316 neuron than another (Figure 4B), significant correlations being either in the same or opposite 317 direction (i.e., the FSI activity increased or decreased as RT or MT increased). In sum, we 318 have provided evidence for FSIs increasing their firing rates around the initiation of 319 movements, most of them having their peak activations that preceded movement onset whereas a comparatively lower number of FSIs had peak activations that followed movement onset. We also found neurons that had opposite changes in activity (i.e., decreases in firing rate) after movement onset. It therefore appears that striatal FSI can display a variety of patterns of modulation as the monkeys initiated reaching movements in response to the trigger stimulus.

325 Effect of motor preparation on FSI activity

326 In order to determine whether the activity of FSIs was influenced by the presence of spatial 327 cues that allowed the monkeys to prepare themselves to react more quickly to the trigger 328 stimulus, the activity of 32 neurons was tested in the cued condition (14 and 18 in monkeys F 329 and T, respectively). In this condition, short-lasting increases in FSI activity in response to the 330 cue onset were seen in 4 neurons, whereas 2 other neurons exhibited increases in activity 331 which began at 200-410 ms before the trigger and terminated in close temporal relation to its 332 presentation, one neuron being activated both after cue onset and before trigger. Among FSIs 333 that were tested, none showed sustained changes in activity throughout the whole delay period 334 (i.e., the 1.5-s interval between cue and trigger presentations). Figure 5A (*left part*) shows an 335 example of early increase-type neuron displaying a phasic increase in activity in response to 336 the trigger stimulus in the uncued condition. When tested in the cued condition, the same 337 neuron responded to the cue, while the trigger stimulus became ineffective for eliciting a 338 response, as though the sensitivity was shifted from the trigger to the cue containing 339 information about the upcoming direction of movement. Figure 5A (right part) shows an 340 example of late increase-type neuron with a phasic increase in activity after the trigger 341 stimulus on both uncued and cued trials, the activation being well aligned to movement onset 342 in the two conditions.

To give an overview of changes in activity of the whole sample of neurons tested in the two task conditions, we averaged separately the activity from early increase-type (n=11)

345 and late-increase type neurons (n=5). As can be observed in Figure 5B (*left part*), when early 346 increase-type neurons were grouped together, a brief activation in response to the cue was 347 visible at the population level. Also, the population level analysis for this category revealed 348 that the magnitude of activation in response to the trigger stimulus was affected by the task 349 condition, being higher in uncued trials than in cued trials (MANOVA during the 500 ms 350 period after the trigger onset, P < 0.05). On the other hand, the activity across the sample of 351 late increase-type neurons was not significantly modulated during the cue period and the 352 magnitude of activation after the trigger onset was not significantly different between the two 353 conditions (MANOVA during the 500 ms period after the trigger onset, P > 0.05) (Figure 5B, 354 right part).

355 To address the influence of motor preparation more quantitatively, we compared, for 356 each neuron, the magnitude of activity in the uncued and cued conditions. We performed this 357 analysis on averaged spike counts during the same 300 ms intervals defined before. If the 358 activity change was significantly larger (MANOVA during the 300 ms period after the trigger 359 onset, P < 0.05) in one condition than the other, the neuron was judged to be modulated by the 360 task condition. A total of 13 of the 20 movement-related neurons (65%) showed statistically 361 significant differences in their modulations between the two conditions. As shown in Figure 362 6A, it was found that neurons displaying a preferential sensitivity for either uncued or cued 363 condition occurred more frequently in the early increase-type category than other categories 364 (early increase-type: 73% (8 of 11) 3 and 5 in monkeys F and T, respectively; late increase-365 type: 60% (3 of 5) all in monkey T; decrease-type 50% (2 of 4) both in monkey F). Figure 6B 366 shows the superimposed averaged activity for the FSIs tested in the two conditions, separately 367 for the three categories of neurons. For the early increase-type category, the two curves were 368 virtually identical during the period immediately preceding the onset of the trigger stimulus 369 with a rise in activity starting just after the trigger onset and reaching a peak before the

370 movement onset, the level of activation during the cued trials being significantly less than 371 during uncued trials, as mentioned before (MANOVA during the 300 ms period after the 372 trigger onset, P < 0.05). To estimate the timing of when FSI activity in uncued trials differed 373 from that in the cued trials, we performed time-series analysis for every 50-ms window 374 moved in 10-ms steps over the period from 300 ms before to 300 ms after the trigger and 375 movement onsets. Statistical analyses revealed that differences between uncued and cued 376 trials became significant (MANOVA, P < 0.05) 160 ms after the trigger presentation and were 377 maintained until 60 ms before movement onset (Fig. 6B). For the late increase-type category, 378 the population average showed a gradual increment for both cued and uncued trials which 379 reached a peak very close to movement onset. There was an extensive overlap between the 380 two curves, without significant differences in the level of activation between the two 381 conditions (MANOVA, P > 0.05). Although the small number of decrease-type neurons tested 382 in both conditions makes analysis of the data less reliable, the average activity was very 383 similar between uncued and cued trials for this category of neurons.

384 Finally, we further investigated the impact of motor preparation on FSI activity by 385 testing the monkeys on the unreliable cued condition, in which a prepared action was 386 unexpectedly replaced by the other movement option when the trigger occurred. As 387 mentioned in the behavioral results, both monkeys took longer to respond to the trigger 388 stimulus in invalid cued trials than in valid cued trials. This condition thus appeared well 389 suited for examining whether an increase in demands for response initiation would elicit 390 differences in FSI activity. A statistical analysis using the same two 300 ms windows as 391 previously defined was performed to examine, for each neuron in our sample, whether the 392 activity differed as a function of trial types. This comparison indicated that the activity of only 393 4 neurons of the 45 movement-modulated neurons (9%) varied significantly depending on the 394 trial type, these few neurons being assigned to all three categories. Among 58 neurons that

395 were tested in the unreliable cued condition, 45 (78%) showed significant changes in activity 396 surrounding the initiation of movement. Of these, 17, 12, and 16 were categorized as early 397 increase-type, late increase-type, and decrease-type, respectively, based on the temporal 398 profiles of their activity changes in the valid cued trials. The activity modulation occurred in 399 relation to any of the three classes of FSIs we have categorized (Figure 7A) (6% (1/17)) 400 monkey T; 8% (1/12) monkey F; 13% (2/16) monkey T). We further examined FSI activity 401 across the population. Figure 7B shows that FSI activity averaged over all neurons of each 402 category overlapped almost completely in the two types of trials.

403 In sum, FSI activity did not vary markedly during the preparatory period preceding the 404 initiation of the movement. Specifically, the level of activity of early increase-type neurons 405 appeared to be influenced by motor preparation, thus indicating that cognitive demands, and 406 not just movement initiation, might be encoded by changes in FSI activity. The similarity of 407 the level of changes in FSI activity in the valid and invalid cued trials seen at the population 408 level indicates that increased latency of movement initiation was not associated with altered 409 FSI activity when an action should be re-specified at the time the trigger became available for 410 selection.

411 *Recording positions*

412 Finally, we took into account the functional specialization within the striatum and examined 413 whether the responses of FSIs could be related to their location in the ventral and dorsal 414 striatal regions. Recording sites were verified histologically in monkey T (Figure 8A), while 415 we confirmed that our recordings were in the striatum based on characteristic patterns of 416 neuronal activity in monkey F. The majority of the neurons were recorded in the putamen, 417 some of them being in the rostroventral putamen, which was identified as part of the ventral 418 striatum (see Material and Methods). Figure 8B illustrates the distribution of the neurons we 419 recorded. Among 64 neurons, 50 were considered to be located within the dorsal part (28 and

420 22 in monkeys F and T, respectively) and 14 within the ventral part (4 and 10 in monkeys F 421 and T, respectively). The three categories of FSIs were present in equal proportions and 422 showed a considerable overlap in their distributions throughout the anteroposterior extent of 423 the striatum explored. In particular, we found that FSIs with movement-related activity were 424 not only restricted to the posterior putamen, which subserves motor functions, but were also 425 found in the anterior putamen, including its ventral part. Early increase-type and late increase-426 type neurons were found in the dorsal (14 of 64 neurons; 22%) and ventral striatum (8 of 64 427 neurons; 13%). The frequency of neurons with a sensitivity to movement failed to vary 428 significantly between the two regions ($\gamma 2 = 0.411$, df = 1, P > 0.05).

429 **Discussion**

430 This study provides the first detailed analysis of the potential contribution of fast-spiking 431 interneurons (FSIs) in the monkey striatum to information processing during movement 432 preparation, initiation, and execution. Our analysis of changes in FSI activity highlighted the 433 following points. First, the variety of changes in FSI activity, in terms of timing relative to 434 movement initiation and the direction of these changes, suggests that these presumed 435 interneurons do not provide a homogeneous signal at a particular moment during reaching 436 task performance. Second, modulations of FSI activity occurring relatively early in relation to 437 movement initiation all consisted of increases in firing rate, whereas those that followed the 438 onset of movement consisted of either increases or decreases in firing rate. Third, increases in 439 FSI activity reaching a peak before movement onset appear to be more influenced by the state 440 of motor preparation, compared with those which peaked after movement onset. Fourth, 441 movement-related changes in FSI firing were not influenced by an unexpected change in 442 target location when monkeys were preparing a movement toward a specific location and 443 instead elicited a movement in the opposite direction. We interpret the variety of these

444 modulation types as reflecting a contribution of presumed GABAergic interneurons to
445 different aspects of motor performance through an inhibitory influence on striatal output
446 circuits.

447 Characterization of FSIs in the monkey striatum

448 In line with previous single-neuron recording studies in the striatum of behaving monkeys 449 (Adler et al. 2013; Yamada et al. 2016), we found that a particular class of neurons can be 450 identified as FSIs on the basis of spike waveform and firing rate criteria. In particular, they 451 are clearly distinguishable from the two other electrophysiologically determined types of 452 neurons in the primate striatum, i.e., PANs and TANs (Kimura et al. 1984; Aosaki et al. 1994; 453 Apicella 2002). It is noteworthy that studies of the functional properties of striatal FSIs, particularly in behaving monkeys, have been limited due to the difficulty in targeting these 454 455 rarely encountered neurons for recordings. In the present study, the proportion of FSIs that we 456 detected (12%) is higher than reported in previous studies (~3-5%) (Adler et al. 2013; 457 Yamada et al. 2016) possibly because we paid special attention to the detection of these 458 neurons. Studies in freely moving rats have reported that the proportions of striatal FSIs may 459 vary from 11% (Gage et al. 2010) to 33% (Stalnaker et al. 2012), highlighting the fact that 460 extracellular recordings can be subjected to considerable sampling biases. Putative FSIs in the 461 monkey striatum have firing properties resembling those of FSIs described in the rodent 462 striatum, which are thought to correspond to the parvalbumin-containing group of 463 GABAergic interneurons and are the source of strong inhibitory influences on striatal output 464 pathways (Koós and Tepper 1999; Gittis et al. 2010). However, given the variety of striatal 465 GABAergic interneurons that have not been characterized in vivo, we cannot rule out that 466 neurons classified electrophysiologically into FSIs include, at least in part, one or more other 467 classes of interneurons.

468 The role of FSIs in the initiation and execution of movement

469 Although there is considerable interest in the role of FSIs in regulating the excitability of 470 striatal output pathways, the exact nature of their contribution to movement generation 471 remains unclear. Accordingly, the present study was designed to determine how FSIs 472 modulate their firing in monkeys performing a reaching task that allows to distinguish 473 between initiation and execution of movements. We found that the modulation of FSI firing 474 rate were heterogeneous, with some neurons modulated at the presentation of the trigger 475 stimulus and others being modulated later during the execution of movement. Most 476 modulations of FSI firing around the time of movement initiation consisted of increases, 477 whereas other FSIs changed their firing in the opposite direction. The variety of these 478 modulation types may indicate the presence of distinct FSI subgroups involved in the 479 processing of different movement-related information.

480 There are only a few studies in behaving monkeys that have reported changes in FSI 481 activity consisting in phasic increases in activity after cue presentation and outcome delivery 482 in a Pavlovian conditioning protocol (Adler et al. 2013) or more complex changes related to 483 actions and outcomes in instrumental conditioning paradigms (Yamada et al. 2016). A 484 comparatively large number of studies in rats trained in a variety of behavioral procedures 485 have shown that FSIs can display changes in activity at certain phases of task performance, 486 such as stimulus presentation, initiation of movement, position in space, and outcome delivery 487 (Berke 2008; Schmitzer-Torbert and Redish 2008; Gage et al. 2010; Lansink et al. 2010; 488 Stalnaker et al. 2012). It therefore appears that FSIs do not modify their activity in a 489 homogeneous manner during task performance in behaving animals, suggesting that they are 490 involved in different processes depending on the task demands. As discussed further below, 491 variations in the location of the recording sites could also account for differences in task-492 related activities of FSIs in the striatum.

493 Although the sample of FSIs recorded in the present study was heterogeneous in terms 494 of the timing of activity changes and the direction of these changes (i.e., increased or 495 decreased in firing rates), the limited diversity of FSI modulations around the time of 496 movement contrasted with the wide variety of task-related activations observed in output 497 neurons of the striatum (i.e., PANs). Numerous studies in behaving monkeys have reported 498 that PANs display transient or sustained increases in firing rate occurring in several 499 distinctive forms during the initiation and execution of actions (Kimura 1990; Crutcher and 500 Alexander 1990). PAN activations may also reflect representational processes, such as 501 preparation of movement, expectation of a trigger stimulus for movement (Alexander and 502 Crutcher 1990; Apicella et al. 1992), or the motivational value of reward obtained at trial end 503 (Hollerman et al. 1998; Hassani et al. 2001; Cromwell and Schultz 2003).

504 In rats performing a nose-poke task with a choice between two movement options, a 505 relatively uniform increase in FSI activity has been reported at the time of the initiation of 506 movement, with most activations reaching their peak just after choice execution (Gage et al. 507 2010). These findings have led to the hypothesis that changes in FSI activity constitute signals 508 for selecting between competing actions, by suppressing unwanted action commands to 509 facilitate the selection of the appropriate response. Our task design did not allow us to 510 examine whether FSI activity can be preferentially modulated in the presence of a choice 511 requirement, an issue which remains to be investigated with a task designed specifically to 512 add demands for decision making.

We found that the target location and/or the direction of movement associated with reaching influenced the firing rate changes of about one-third of the FSIs (24%), with no systematic preference for one against the other movement direction. This modest selectivity for target location is somewhat different from the study of Gage et al. (2010) showing that a large majority of FSIs (78%) displayed directional selectivity with either ipsilateral or 518 contralateral movements. It is possible that we recorded from fewer direction selective FSIs 519 because our task design did not require sufficient demands on response selection. In addition, 520 we found only few FSIs whose magnitude of changes in activity was correlated with latency 521 or duration of the reaching movement, indicating that the firing level of FSIs may not be 522 particularly predictive of variability in the monkey's performance speed.

523 The role of FSIs in the preparation for movement

524 Until now, studies of the role of striatal FSIs have not examined in detail their possible 525 involvement in the preparation of movements and the expectation of task events. In the 526 present study, we tested if FSI activity was sensitive to the preparation component of the task 527 when the monkeys could improve the speed of their movements by using advance information 528 about target location. We found that 65 % of the recorded FSIs exhibited transient changes in 529 firing during the cue-trigger interval. Furthermore, these changes were mostly observed in the 530 subset of FSIs that we classified as early increase-type, whereas late increase-type neurons did 531 not change the magnitude of their movement-related activity, with or without motor 532 preparation. Although the functional implications of these distinct groups of FSIs remain to be 533 determined, our findings suggest that perhaps the FSIs are functionally more heterogeneous 534 than currently appreciated, with the activity of early increase-type neurons time-locked to the 535 trigger onset being more influenced by the state of preparation for movement, compared to 536 late increase-type neurons. Specifically, modulation by predictive cues indicates that 537 cognitive processes, and not just the process of movement initiation, might influence FSI 538 activity.

539 Some FSIs showed a transient activation (less than 500 ms in duration) toward the end 540 of the delay period of the task, just before trigger presentation, but none of them displayed 541 activity changes that were maintained for a longer duration through the cue-trigger interval. 542 This suggests that even if the preparatory period may introduce additional demands for

543 behavioral inhibition (i.e., active withholding of movement until trigger onset), sustained 544 changes in FSI activity did not appear to be necessary for the behavioral expression of that 545 preparation. On the other hand, short-lasting activations immediately preceding the trigger 546 onset might represent a FSI signal in adjusting the movement preparation to the initiation of 547 the subsequent movement. In the monkey putamen, it is relatively common to find striatal 548 PANs displaying sustained activations that are thought to reflect movement preparation 549 and/or stimulus expectation during delayed-response tasks (Alexander and Crutcher 1990; 550 Apicella et al. 1992), while other PANs are phasically activated during movement initiation 551 and execution (Kimura 1990; Crutcher and Alexander 1990). Different populations of PANs 552 are therefore activated in sequence during the delay period and during the motor components 553 of the task. It is possible that the observed changes in FSI activity may be required to facilitate 554 the transition between subsets of PANs representing distinct steps of movement generation.

555 To further examine the influence of motor preparation on FSI activity, we also tested 556 monkeys on a condition in which they were preparing a movement toward a specific location 557 and instead must move in the opposite direction when the trigger occurred. Both monkeys 558 slowed down in the trials where the cue was invalid, indicating that the recalibration of the 559 movement direction places increased demands on the timing of movement initiation. We then 560 examined whether this movement recalibration was accompanied by a change in FSI activity. 561 We found that very few FSIs were differentially modulated on invalid and valid cued trials, 562 despite the fact that the stimulus-response remapping had a strong impact on task 563 performance. This finding indicates that the firing level of FSIs around movement initiation 564 was not correlated with how quickly the monkey responds to the trigger stimulus, further 565 confirming that FSI activity was not directly correlated with changes in the monkey's 566 performance. However, in our task design, the switch in movement direction occurred when 567 the prepared movement was not yet initiated and it is possible that FSIs are critical for the

behavioral adjustment when the decision on the movement direction has to be replaced byanother after the movement onset.

570 Functional properties of FSIs and regional specializations of the striatum

571 Based on evidence of regional specializations of information processing within the striatum, 572 we examined the possibility that the functional properties of FSIs could be related to their 573 location in different parts of the striatum. Neuronal recording in behaving rats have outlined 574 differences in the way striatal FSIs are modulated during task performance according to their 575 anatomical location, namely FSIs in the dorsolateral striatum may be important for controlling 576 motor functions (Gage et al. 2010), whereas those in the dorsomedial and ventromedial 577 striatum may contribute to outcome processing (Stalnaker et al. 2012; Lansink et al. 2010). In 578 our study, no difference was found in the distribution of movement-related FSIs over the 579 extent of the putamen sampled, encompassing motor putamen caudal to the anterior 580 commissure and associative putamen rostral to it. This appears to confirm findings from 581 previous recording studies in behaving monkeys (Adler et al. 2013; Yamada et al. 2016) in 582 which FSI modulations related to task events were evenly distributed throughout the explored 583 striatum. Interestingly, we found that a subset of FSIs located in the most ventral part of the 584 anterior putamen, which is to say in limbic striatum, also exhibited movement-related activity. 585 This differs from PANs recorded in the ventral striatum which have been reported to be 586 specialized in processing motivational information (Apicella et al. 1991). However, given the 587 low neuron number obtained in the present study, it is premature to draw any firm conclusions 588 concerning a variation of functional properties of FSIs that may be related to the regional 589 specializations of the striatum. In addition, it remains to be determined, in our study, whether the impact of reward on FSI activity may be particularly strong in the ventral striatum, as has 590 591 been observed in behaving rats (Lansink et al. 2010).

592

593 How FSI signals are used by the striatal output pathways that control action?

594 It is thought that FSIs form a local inhibitory circuit that targets selectively the output of the 595 striatum to downstream targets (Szydlowski et al. 2013). Increases in FSI activity are 596 supposed to lead to inhibition of striatal output pathways and therefore suppression of 597 movements by decreasing inhibition of basal ganglia output structures. One can assume that 598 the reverse may occur in the case of decreases in FSI activity, resulting in facilitation of 599 movements. This suggests that the two types of FSI modulations described in the present 600 study might be differently involved in movement generation, one suppressing and the other 601 facilitating movements. Our findings have shown that increases in FSI activity can occur 602 sufficiently early to be involved in preparation and initiation of movements. It is possible that 603 this type of FSI modulation may reflect an enhanced inhibitory level necessary for the 604 expression of the correct response by preventing inappropriate motor commands from being 605 selected. On the other hand, we observed a prevalence of decreases in FSI activity after the 606 onset of movement, suggesting that these modulations may play a role in ongoing motor 607 performance. This may be associated with a release of output neurons from inhibition and 608 therefore facilitation of motor commands. This late FSI signal may be related to the inhibition 609 of competing responses when the action has already been initiated. It is still an open question 610 whether increased and decreased in FSI firing rates might reflect differential influences on 611 functionally distinct output pathways thought to exert opposing effects on motor behavior 612 (Gittis et al. 2010; Planert et al. 2008).

In conclusion, evidence in favour of prominent involvement of striatal FSIs in motor control has come from inactivation studies in animals (Gernert et al. 2000; Gittis et al. 2011; Burguière et al. 2013; Xu et al. 2016), as well as from clinical studies in humans (Kalanithi et al. 2005; Kataoka et al. 2010; Reiner et al. 2013). Our results are the first demonstration of modulations of activity from individual FSIs during the successive stages of movement 618 generation, reflecting contributions to different aspects of motor performance, rather than an 619 exclusive involvement in movement initiation. Although it is still unclear what features are 620 precisely encoded by these changes, it is conceivable that disturbed FSI signaling might 621 interfere with action selection, resulting in an impaired ability to inhibit inappropriate 622 behaviors.

623

624 Author contributions

P.A. designed research, K.M. and P.A. performed research, analyzed data, and wrote thepaper.

627

628 Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. I. Balansard and L. Renaud for assistance with surgery, Dr. M. Esclapez for providing the histological micrograph reported in Figure 8, and E. Legallet for designing the computer programs. This work was supported by Association Française du Syndrome de Gilles de la Tourette and by Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

633

634

- 635 References
- 636 Adler A, Katabi S, Finkes I, Prut Y, Bergman H. Different correlation patterns of cholinergic
- and GABAergic interneurons with striatal projection neurons. *Front Syst Neurosci* 7: 47,
 2013.
- 639 Alexander GE, Crutcher MD. Preparation for movement: Neural representations of intended
- direction in three motor areas of the monkey. *J Neurophysiol* **64**: 133–150, 1990.
- 641 Aosaki T, Tsubokawa H, Ishida A, Watanabe K, Graybiel AM, Kimura M. Responses of
- 642 tonically active neurons in the primate's striatum undergo systematic changes during
- 643 behavioral sensorimotor conditioning. *J Neurosci* 14: 3969–3984, 1994.
- 644 Apicella P. Tonically active neurons in the primate striatum and their role in the processing of
- 645 information about motivationally relevant events. *Eur J Neurosci* **16**: 2017–2026, 2002.
- 646 Apicella P, Scarnati E, Ljungberg T, Schultz W. Neuronal activity in monkey striatum related
- to the expectation of predictable environmental events. *J Neurophysiol* **68**: 945–960, 1992.
- 648 Apicella P, Ljungberg T, Scarnati E, Schultz W. Responses to reward in monkey dorsal and
- 649 ventral striatum. *Exp Brain Res* **85**: 491-500, 1991.
- 650 Berke JD. Uncoordinated firing rate changes of striatal fast-spiking interneurons during
- behavioral task performance. *J Neurosci* **28**: 10075–10080, 2008.
- 652 Berke JD, Okatan M, Skurski J, Eichenbaum HB. Oscillatory entrainment of striatal neurons
- 653 in freely moving rats. *Neuron* **43**: 883–896, 2004.
- 654 Burguière E, Monteiro P, Feng G, Graybiel AM. Optogenetic stimulation of lateral
- orbitofronto-striatal pathway suppresses compulsive behaviors. *Science* 340: 1243–1246,
 2013.
- 657 Cromwell HC, Schultz W. Effects of expectations for different reward magnitudes on
- neuronal activity in primate striatum. *J Neurophysiol* **89**: 2823-2838, 2003.

- 659 Crutcher MD and Alexander GM. Movement-related neuronal activity selectively coding
 660 either direction or muscle pattern in three motor areas of the monkey. *J Neurophysiol* 64:
 661 151–163, 1990.
- 662 Deffains M, Legallet E, Apicella P. Modulation of neuronal activity in the monkey putamen
- associated with changes in the habitual order of sequential movements. J Neurophysiol 104:
- 664 1355–1369, 2010.
- Gage GJ, Stoetzner CR, Wiltschko AB, Berke JD. Selective activation of striatal fast-spiking
 interneurons during choice execution. *Neuron* 67: 466–479, 2010.
- 667 Gernert M, Hamann M, Bennay M, Löscher W, Richter A. Deficit of striatal parvalbumin-
- 668 reactive GABAergic interneurons and decreased basal ganglia output in a genetic rodent
- model of idiopathic paroxysmal dystonia. *J Neurosci* **20**: 7052–7058, 2000.
- 670 Gittis AH, Nelson AB, Thwin MT, Palop JJ, Kreitzer AC. Distinct roles of GABAergic
- 671 interneurons in the regulation of striatal output pathways. *J Neurosci* **30**: 2223–2234, 2010.
- 672 Gittis AH, Leventhal DK, Fensterheim BA, Pettibone JR, Berke JD, Kreitzer AC. Selective
- 673 inhibition of striatal fast-spiking interneurons causes dyskinesias. J Neurosci 31: 15727-
- 674 15731, 2011.
- Haber SN, McFarland NR. The concept of the ventral striatum in nonhuman primates. Ann N
- 676 *Y Acad Sci* **877**: 33–48, 1999.
- 677 Hassani OK, Cromwell HC, Schultz W. Influence of expectation of different rewards on
- behavior-related neuronal activity in the striatum. *J Neurophysiol* **85**: 2477-2489, 2001.
- 679 Hollerman JR, Tremblay L, Schultz W. Influence of reward expectation on behavior-related
- neuronal activity in primate striatum. *J Neurophysiol* **80**: 947-963, 1998.
- 681 Kalanithi PS, Zheng W, Kataoka Y, DiFiglia M, Grantz H, Saper CB, Schwartz ML,
- 682 Leckman JF, Vaccarino FM. Altered parvalbumin-positive neuron distribution in basal

- ganglia of individuals with Tourette syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 13307–13312,
 2005.
- 685 Kataoka Y, Kalanithi PS, Grantz H, Schwartz ML, Saper C, Leckman JF, Vaccarino FM.
- 686 Decreased number of parvalbumin and cholinergic interneurons in the striatum of individuals
- 687 with Tourette syndrome. *J Comp Neurol* **518**: 277–291, 2010.
- 688 Kawaguchi Y. Physiological, morphological, and histochemical characterization of three
- classes of interneurons in rat neostriatum. *J Neurosci* **13**: 4908–4923, 1993.
- 690 Kimura M. Behaviorally contingent property of movement-related activity of the primate
- 691 putamen. J Neurophysiol 63: 1277–1296, 1990.
- 692 Kimura M, Rajkowski J, Evarts EV. Tonically discharging putamen neurons exhibit set
- dependent responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **81**: 4998–5001, 1984.
- 694 Koós T, Tepper JM. Inhibitory control of neostriatal projection neurons by GABAergic
- 695 interneurons. *Nat Neurosci* **2**: 467–472, 1999.
- 696 Lansink CS, Goltstein PM, Lankelma JV, Pennartz CM. Fast-spiking interneurons of the rat
- 697 ventral striatum: temporal coordination of activity with principal cells and responsiveness to
- 698 reward. Eur J Neurosci 32: 494–508, 2010.
- 699 Mallet N, LeMoine C, Charpier S, Gonon F. Feedforward inhibition of projection neurons by
- fast-spiking GABA interneurons in the rat striatum in vivo. J Neurosci 25: 3857–3869, 2005.
- 701 Planert H, Szydlowski SN, Hjorth JJ, Grillner S, Silberberg G. Dynamics of synaptic
- transmission between fast-spiking interneurons and striatal projection neurons of the direct
- 703 and indirect pathways. *J Neurosci* **30**: 3499–3507, 2010.
- Reiner A, Shelby E, Wang H, Demarch Z, Deng Y, Guley NH, Hogg V, Roxburgh R, Tippett
- 705 LJ, Waldvogel HJ, Faull RL. Striatal parvalbuminergic neurons are lost in Huntington's
- disease: implications for dystonia. *Mov Disord* 28: 1691–1699, 2013.

- 707 Schmitzer-Torbert NC, Redish AD. Task-dependent encoding of space and events by striatal
- neurons is dependent on neural subtype. *Neuroscience* **153**: 349–360, 2008.
- 709 Sharott A, Doig NM, Mallet N, Magill PJ. Relationships between the firing of identified
- striatal interneurons and spontaneous and driven cortical activities in vivo. *J Neurosci* 32:
- 711 13221–13236, 2012.
- 712 Silberberg G, Bolam JP. Local and afferent synaptic pathways in the striatal microcircuitry.
- 713 *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **33**: 182–187, 2015.
- 714 Stalnaker TA, Calhoon GG, Ogawa M, Roesch MR, Schoenbaum G. Reward prediction error
- signaling in posterior dorsomedial striatum is action specific. J Neurosci 32: 10296–10305,
- 716 2012.
- 717 Szydlowski SN, Dorocic IP, Planert H, Carlen M, Meletis K, Silberberg G. Target selectivity
- of feedforward inhibition by striatal fast-spiking interneurons. *J Neurosci* **33**: 1678–1683,
- 719 2013.
- 720 Tepper JM, Tecuapetla F, Koós T, Ibáñez-Sandoval O. Heterogeneity and diversity of striatal
- 721 GABAergic interneurons. *Front Neuroanat* **4**: 150, 2010.
- 722 Yamada H, Inokawa H, Hori Y, Pan X, Matsuzaki R, Nakamura K, Samejima K, Shidara M,
- 723 Kimura M, Sakagami M, Minamimoto T. Characteristics of fast-spiking neurons in the
- striatum of behaving monkeys. *Neurosci Res* **105**: 2-18, 2016.
- 725 Xu M, Li L, Pittenger C. Ablation of fast-spiking interneurons in the dorsal striatum,
- recapitulating abnormalities seen post-mortem in Tourette syndrome, produces anxiety and
- relevated grooming. *Neuroscience* **324**: 321–329, 2016.
- 728

32

730 Figure 1. Behavioral task conditions, reaching performance, and classification of striatal 731 neurons. A. The monkey kept the hand on a bar while waiting for the presentation of a visual 732 stimulus (red light) on either the right or the left side of a panel in front of it. In response to 733 this signal, the animal was required to release the bar and reach for the target located below 734 the light, which it had to touch to receive a liquid reward. There were three task conditions 735 with the same basic structure: (1) an uncued condition, in which the trigger stimulus occurred 736 at irregular time intervals and in the absence of any predictive cues; (2) a cued condition, in 737 which a visual stimulus (green light) gave advance information regarding the location of the 738 forthcoming trigger presented 1.5 s later; (3) an unreliable cued condition in which the 739 specification of trigger location was invalid on a small percentage of trials (invalid cued 740 trials). In the example shown, a rightward movement is cued and the trigger stimulus is 741 presented on the opposite side thereby eliciting a leftward movement. The three conditions 742 were tested in separate blocks of trials. **B.** Reaction times and movement times for the uncued 743 and cued conditions. Values are means + SEM (numbers of trials: 283-317 for monkey F, 238-403 for monkey T). **P<0.01, *P<0.05. C. Reaction times and movement times from the 744 745 invalid cued trials and the valid cued trials immediately before and after the invalid ones. 746 Each value was obtained by calculating the mean + SEM (199 and 235 trials of each type for 747 monkey F and monkey T, respectively). **P<0.01, *P<0.05. D. Comparison of the 748 electrophysiological characteristics of the three main types of neurons found in the striatum of 749 the awake macaque monkey. Left part Sample spike trains from each category of neuron. 750 *Middle part* Mean waveforms for one representative striatal neuron of each category. ptp, 751 peak-to-peak, i.e., time elapsed between the first negative peak and the following positive 752 peak. Right part Scatter plots of mean spike waveform durations (x-axis, firing rate

753 (logarithmic scale); y-axis, peak-to-peak time). Each dot represents a single neuron colored754 according to its category.

755 Figure 2. Time courses of change in FSI activity around the initiation of reaching movement. 756 The scheme shows the temporal profile of activity changes for all FSIs tested in the uncued 757 condition (n = 29), separately referenced to trigger onset (left), movement onset (middle) and 758 target contact (right). Each horizontal line represents the duration of statistically significant 759 changes in activity for a single neuron. Red and blue indicate neuronal activity above and 760 below the baseline firing rate, respectively. The small losanges on red lines indicate the onset 761 time of the peak activation. Lines are ordered according to the timing of the peak for neurons 762 with increased activity and to the timing of the onset of modulation for neurons with 763 decreased activity only.

764 Figure 3. FSI activity during the initiation and execution of reaching movements. A. Activity 765 of three example neurons with significant changes in activity around the initiation of 766 movements. Data are shown as corresponding raster displays and perivent histograms aligned 767 on the onsets of the trigger stimulus (left) and the movement (right). Each dot in the raster 768 displays represents a single spike, and each line of dots corresponds to the activity during one 769 trial. Trials were ordered off-line according to the latency of movement. Trigger and 770 movement onsets are indicated by red and gray markers in raster displays, respectively. 771 Binwidth for histograms, 20 ms. B. Population activities for each of the 3 categories of 772 movement-related FSIs. Colored curves depict mean activity (\pm SEM) for the three categories 773 of FSIs: early increase-type (n=14), late increase-type (n=6), and late decrease-type (n=9). 774 FSIs that were not activated during movement initiation are indicated for comparison (n=26). 775 Shading indicates SEM. Activity is aligned to the onset of the trigger (*left*) and movement 776 (right), which are marked by vertical dashed lines.

Figure 4. FSI activity in relation to the location of the target stimulus and motor variables. A. Changes in FSI activity as a function of target location (left or right). Significant differences (P<0.05) are indicated by black lines. **B.** Correlation of movement latency and duration with changes in FSI activity. Black dots indicate the data with a significant r value (P<0.05). RT, reaction time; MT, movement time.

Figure 5. Effect of movement preparation on FSI activity. **A.** Comparison of the activity of two individual neurons during uncued and cued trials. Conventions are the same as in Fig. 3A, except that the superimposed average firing rate for the two types of trial is plotted as colored curves smoothed by a three-point median filter. **B.** Time courses of the population activity preceding the trigger onset under the uncued and cued conditions for early increase-type neurons (n=11) and late increase-type neurons (n=5) recorded in both conditions.

788 Figure 6. Comparison of FSI activity in the presence or absence of movement preparation. A. 789 Relative proportions of neurons with statistically significant differences in movement-related 790 activity between the uncued and cued conditions. The percentages are calculated from the 791 total number of recorded neurons of each category. n, number of neurons. B. Population 792 average activity included all FSIs recorded in the two task conditions. Each panel shows the 793 averaged activity for uncued and cued trials for a category of FSIs aligned on trigger 794 presentation and movement onset. The orange horizontal lines below the curves indicate the 795 periods when neuronal activity during uncued trials differed significantly from that during 796 cued trials.

Figure 7. Comparison of FSI activity during invalid and valid trials. **A.** Relative proportions of neurons with statistically significant differences in movement-related activity between the invalid and valid trials. The percentages are calculated from the total number of recorded neurons of each category. n, number of neurons. **B.** Same conventions as in Fig. 3B. 801 Figure 8. Recording positions of FSIs. A. Coronal section stained with cresyl violet at the 802 level of the striatum, showing traces of electrode paths above the putamen. B. Sagittal view of 803 positions of recorded neurons plotted along the reconstructed electrode penetrations. Each dot 804 corresponds to the position of a single FSI. For each neuron, the anteroposterior location (zero 805 indicates the approximate level of the anterior commissure) is plotted against the depth of the 806 electrode at which it was recorded. The recording depth was measured from a zero reference 807 point as determined by using microdrive depth readings. Color and gray dots indicate neurons 808 that were or were not modulated during movement, respectively. The dashed line indicates the 809 approximate boundary between dorsal and ventral striatum. Cd, caudate nucleus; Put, 810 putamen; GPe, globus pallidus external segment; GPi, globus pallidus internal segment.

811

task conditions	Ν	increase early late		decrease	number of recorded trials	range of recorded trials
uncued	55	14	6	9	33 <u>+</u> 6	21-49
cued	32	11	5	4	34 <u>+</u> 8	15-54
unreliable cued	58	17	12	16	43 <u>+</u> 11	22-70

Table 1. Numbers of recorded neurons and recorded trials in the different task conditions

N: total number of neurons recorded in each task condition; "increase" and "decrease" denote neurons that are activated and depressed around the time of movement, respectively; "early" and "late" denote neurons whose activations peaked before and after movement onset, respectively; number of recorded trials per condition is mean \pm SD.





monkey T

























В