

Geochemistry of Sulfur in Mount Etna Plume

D Martin, I B Ardouin, G. Bergametti, J Carbonnelle, R Faivre-Pierret, G Lambert, M F Le Cloarec, G Sennequier

To cite this version:

D Martin, I B Ardouin, G. Bergametti, J Carbonnelle, R Faivre-Pierret, et al.. Geochemistry of Sulfur in Mount Etna Plume. Journal of Geophysical Research, 1986, 91, pp.249 - 261. hal-02326728

HAL Id: hal-02326728 <https://hal.science/hal-02326728v1>

Submitted on 22 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Geochemistry of Sulfur in Mount Etna Plume

D. MARTIN, I B. ARDOUIN, 2G. BERGAMETTI, 3 J. CARBONNELLE,'* R. FAIVRE-PIERRET, 5 G. LAMBERT,² M. F. LE CLOAREC,² AND G. SENNEQUIER¹

Aircraft measurements of sulfur compounds and trace elements including Po²¹⁰ were carried out in the **plume of the Mount Etna volcano, at distances 10 to 260 km from the crater. The experiment was performed in September 1983 following the large lava emission of March-August 1983. Trace elements,** particularly Po²¹⁶ and Si, were measured with the aim of calculating their atmospheric dilution and evaluating their outputs. This study enabled us to determine the SO_2 to SO_4 conversion rates to be between 2.2×10^{-6} and 4.0×10^{-5} s⁻¹ in a cloud free anticyclonic situation. The main process of SO_2 **removal in a volcanic plume should be a heterogeneous process of adsorption of this gas onto large** particles. Emission fluxes of SO_2 were found to vary between 27 and 38 kg s⁻¹, leading to a mean Po^{210} **output of 0.4 Ci/d.**

1. INTRODUCTION

SO₂ is one of the most important constituents of the dry **gases emitted by active volcanoes. Understanding of the output of this gas is therefore an important step to characterize their magmatic activity [Friend et al., 1982; Rose et al., 1982; Casaderail et al., 1983]. The climatological impact** of SO₂ is mainly due to its subsequent transformation into **sulfate aerosols, which are able to modify the radiative balance of the atmosphere. Moreover the flux of trace elements injected into the atmosphere from volcanoes is frequently** evaluated by reference to the $SO₂$ flux [*Carbonnelle et al.*, **1978; Buat Menard and Arnold, 1978; Quisefit et al., 1982; Bandy et al., 1982]. In such a calculation it is obviously neces**sary to take into account the rate of $SO₂$ loss by any process **and, more particularly, by oxidation. Therefore the kinetic** constant of SO₂ oxidation is a fundamental figure for evalu**ating the influence of volcanoes in the physicochemistry of the atmosphere.**

In a previous work by Jaeschke et al. [1982] the dilution of the Etna plume was evaluated by comparing the concentration of CO₂ (considered as chemically inert) to its initial **value, after deduction of the mean background atmospheric** concentration. However, it may be pointed out that a small **variation of this background figure leads to significant errors in determining the dilution. In addition, it was shown by Carbonnelle et al.** [1981] that $CO₂$ is injected into the atmosphere **not only from the Etna crater, but from a large part of the nearby mountain mass. For both these reasons, during the experiments further described, we preferred to evaluate the dilution of the Etna plume by two very different methods.** Besides gases such as SO₂ and CO₂, volcanic plumes are rich **in trace metals present as aerosols. These aerosols are either emitted as ashes and magma spray, the composition of which**

Paper number 5B5712. 0148-0227/86/005B-5712505.00 **is similar to that of magma, or arise from gas to particle conversion of volatile materials emitted as vapors and are characterized by high enrichment factors [Vie Le Sage, 1983; Phelan et al., 1982]. The abundance of a given element in different parts of the plume enables us to evaluate its dilution, since its possible chemical transformations do not interfere. Such a method is based upon the assumption that the aerosol residence time is very long compared with the transit time between the crater and the sampling point [Horst, 1977; Lambert et al., 1983]. This hypothesis will be discussed later (see section 3). Two different elements were used simultaneously: silicon, which is abundant in volcanic emissions [Vie Le Sage, 1983], and polonium 210, since this nuclide is considerably more concentrated in a volcanic plume than in the ambient air, according to Lambert et al. [1979].**

During a series of measurements performed by aircraft in the Etna plume in 1983, a set of data on the plume dilution and its SO₂ concentration was obtained. These data enabled us to calculate the oxidation rate of SO₂ and to evaluate the **output of this gas and of several trace elements by comparing** the element concentrations to that of SO₂.

2. SAMPLING AND MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES

The aircraft utilized was a twin engine Piper Seneca II, flying at a speed of about 100 knots (50 m s^{-1}) during the experiment. A good determination of altitude and geograph**ical position was possible with instruments on board.**

Sampling was completed using three types of equipment: (1) a meteorological probe fixed under the right wing, making continuous measurements of wet and dry temperatures, (2) a correlation spectrometer (Cospec IV) for remote sensing of the integrated concentration path length of SO₂, and (3) an iso**kinetic probe shown in Figure 1 for sampling gases and particles.**

Wind and temperature data were obtained from radiosoundings at Trapani (West Sicily).

Sampling Line

The sampling line is a 20-mm ID Teflon (PTFE) tube, the aperture of which consists of an isokinetic probe of 10 mm diameter, made of chemically treated stainless steel and situated 1.5 m in front of the nose of the aircraft, outside of the boundary layer. The probe is, according to our calculation, in isokinetic conditions at a velocity of 50 m s^{-1} , with a flow rate of 15 m^3 h⁻¹. A schematic diagram of the installation is **shown in Figure 1.**

Laboratory experiments have been performed to measure the rate of collection of neutral and charged aerosols through

¹ Etablissement d'Etudes et de Recherches Météorologiques, Centre de Recherches en Physique de l'Atmosphère, Magny-les-**Hameaux, France.**

² Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Centre des Faibles Radioactivités, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

³ Laboratoire de Chimie Minérale des Milieux Naturels, ERA **889 CNRS, Universit6 Paris VII, France.**

⁴ Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires **de Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.**

⁵ Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires **de Grenoble, Laboratoire d'Etudes d'Environnement et de S6curit6 Industrielle, Grenoble Cedex, France.**

Copyright 1986 by the American Geophysical Union.

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the onboard instrumentation.

the Teflon line. It has been shown [Burghoffer and Charuau, 1985] that 75% $(\pm 5\%)$ of the 1.4- μ m monodispersed neutral aerosol and only 50% of the 1.4- μ m electrically charged aero**sol are collected on the filter. The collection rates decrease to** 15% for 5- μ m-diameter particles. The isokinetic probe was **controlled qualitatively by two aerosol electric mobility analyzers, one on the top of a water tank in a rural area and the other in the aircraft flying at the same altitude, by low wind** velocity. For aerosol diameters of 0.01 to 1 μ m, we found good **agreement between both measurements. Assuming that mineral aerosols (SO4, Si, Fe, etc.) are neutral and of appropriate** size and that the Po²¹⁰ aerosols are positively charged (J. **Charuau, personal communication, 1984), the measured aerosol concentrations reported here are then minimum values, 75% and 50%, respectively, of the true concentrations.**

Aerosol Sampling and Analysis Techniques

Two types of filters were used for aerosol collection. The samples devoted to elemental and ionic analysis were collected by filtration on 0.4-μm Nucleopore filters, which have an efficiency of 90% for particle diameters as small as $0.04 \mu m$. The sampling time was about 1 hour, at a flow rate of about 1 m³ **h-•**

The elemental analyses have been performed by wavelength dispersive X ray fluorescence spectrometry (Compagnie Générale de Radiologie *x*10) according to the method described by *Elichegaray et al.* [1981]. Afterwards, the samples **were washed for 1 day in deionized water in a mechanical stirrer. Complementary analyses have been made by ion chromatography (Cunow C403), consisting of an anionic**

Date	Sample	Sampling Level. m	Sampling Distance From Etna. km	SO, Filter ment. mg m ^{-3}	SO, Melov Measure- Measure- ment. $mg \, m^{-3}$	SO_4 μ g m	Total Particulate Sulfur. μ g m ⁻³	Po^{210} . dph m	Si, μ g m ⁻³
Sept. 22, 1983	blank	2900	9 to 150	N.D.	0.02	$1.2\,$	0.2		0.89
	4	2900	155	N.D.	0.22	ND.	N.D.	5.3	N.D.
	5	2835	257	N.D.	0.08	3.7	1.5	2.3	2.1
Sept. 23, 1983	6	3230	9	0.53	0.55	6.8	3.2	8.1	1.39
	7	3050	276	0.35	0.26	5.4	2.1	4.4	0.49
	blank	3500	10 to 150	0.01	0.02	0.5	0.1	0.3	2.48
Sept. 25, 1983	8	3500	11	1.86	1.26	9.1	4.1	27.5	17.22
	10	3780	164	0.36	0.39	4.8	2.1	12.1	5.97

TABLE 1. Results of Etna Aircraft Measurements in 1983

Concentrations are given for local m³ (without P or T corrections). N.D., not determined; dph, **disintegration per hour.**

TABLE 2. SO₂ Loss Rates (s^{-1})

Date	Dilution Coefficient	Transfer Time. hours	k Mean Value, $s - 1$
Sept. 22, 1983	0.44	4.9	8×10^{-6} (M)
Sept. 23, 1983	0.54	14.8	3.7×10^{-6} (F) 2.2×10^{-6} (M)
Sept. 25, 1983	0.44	5.6	4.0×10^{-5} (F) 1.8×10^{-5} (M)

F, from SO₂ filter measurements; M, from SO₂ Meloy measure**ments.**

column (Vidal 302IC4.6) for the determination of sulfates and chlorides.

The aerosols collected for Po²¹⁰ measurements were sam**pled on Poellman-Schneider cellulose filters, with a flow rate** of 15 m³ h⁻¹ for about 1 hour. The Po²¹⁰ analysis by gross α **counting has been described elsewhere [Polian and Lambert, 1979]. The uncertainties in the analytical and volumetric determinations are less than 15%. Pressure and temperature corrections (less than 3%) have not been performed.**

SO 2 Sampling and Analysis

SO2 was measured by two methods. First, a zinc acetate filter was used to collect SO₂ gas, and a colorimetric method was used for SO₂ chemical analysis [Faivre-Pierret, 1983]. Next, a continuous analysis of SO₂ using a flame photometric **detector (FPD) was made. The sampled air was prefiltered. The detector, being nonspecific, indicated the concentration of sulfur in the gaseous state, which is assumed to be essentially SO2 [Berresheim and Jaeschke, 1983' Bandy et al., 1982; Jaeschke et al., 1982].**

The range of concentrations measured was from 0.0f to 4 mg m-3. A calibration was made before each flight using three permeation tubes, which generated known concentrations of SO₂. Previous tests showed a good stability of the measure**ments. We also tested the influence of altitude and compensated for the decay of the baseline due to pressure decrease with altitude during the flight. The pressure was found to have** no influence on the SO₂ measurements. A filter was put in parallel with the SO₂ filter for pressure balancing because of **the high sensitivity of the FPD to pressure. In both devices the** measurement accuracy is about ± 15 %.

3. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

The field experiments were made during the daytime on September 21, 23, and 25, 1983, following an important period of lava flow in March-August 1983 [Romano, 1983]. On September 25 an "ash deposit" was observed as far away as the city of Catania.

During the experiment, Sicily was under the influence of an anticyclonic center situated to the west of the Mediterranean Basin, giving N and NE sector winds. A subsidence inversion at 2300 m altitude prevented convective exchanges between the boundary layer and the free troposphere. Consequently, there was no interaction between the Etna plume and the industrial emissions from Catania and Syracuse. The sky was clear and cloud free. The relative humidity of ambient air was very low (less than 20%), implying a relative humidity inside the plume of about 45-60% (see Table 1).

Two complete sets of SO₂ and aerosol concentrations were **measured on September 23 and 25; they are shown in Table 1, together with data recorded on September 22.**

Dilution Coefficients

Under the assumption that aerosol removal is negligible during the short atmospheric travel time (0.4 to 15.3 hours, compared with residence times of 5 to 35 days evaluated by Slinn $[1983]$ for particles of less than 10 μ m diameter), the **dilution coefficient D can be directly deduced from the concentration ratios of a trace element at two different sampling sites.**

On September 23 the dilution between 9 and 276 km from the crater is found to be $0.49/1.39 = 0.35$ for silicon and $4.4/8.1 = 0.54$ for Po²¹⁰. The dilution on September 25 between 11 and 164 km is $5.97/17.22 = 0.35$ for silicon and $12.1/27.5 = 0.44$ for Po²¹⁰. The value on September 22 for **Po 2•ø between 155 and 257 km is 2.3/5.3 = 0.44.**

The values deduced for both elements are comparable, although the silicon values are systematically smaller. This difference is attributed to the fact that silicon is mainly a terrigeneous element in volcanic plumes and that the presence of large silicon particles cannot be ruled out. By contrast, Po²¹⁰ **aerosols are certainly mostly produced by gas-to-particle conversion and are therefore preferentially fixed on the finest aerosol component, as indicated by measurements of the size** distribution of volcanic Po²¹⁰ using cascade impactor (G. **Lambert, unpublished results, 1983).**

Thus in the following discussion, we use the dilution coefficients given by Po²¹⁰. It is worthwhile to point out that these **dilution coefficients should not be compared with one another.**

Date Distance SO_2 SO_2 SO_2 From the Transit Wind Measured Corrected Crater, Time, Velocity, k, Fluxes, Fluxes, **Crater, Time, Velocity, k, Fluxes, Fluxes,** km hours ms^{-1} s^{-1} $kg s^{-1}$ $kg s^{-1}$ **Sept. 22, 1983** 9 0.35 7 8 × 10⁻⁶ 31 31
 Sept. 23, 1983 9 0.50 5 3×10^{-6} 26 26
 Sept. 25, 1983 11 0.40 7.5 30×10^{-6} 38 39 **Sept. 23, 1983** 9 0.50 5 3×10^{-6} 26
 Sept. 25, 1983 11 0.40 7.5 30×10^{-6} 38 **Sept. 25, 1983** 11 0.40 7.5 30×10^{-6} 38 39
164 6 7.5 30×10^{-6} 31 58 30×10^{-6}

TABLE 3. Measured and Corrected Fluxes of SO:

The corrected fluxes are calculated using the formula $F_{SO_2}^c = F_{SO_2}^{\text{mea}}$ exp (kt). SO₂ fluxes are given **_+ 20% except the measurement made at 164 km, which is calculated from only one flight traverse, and** for which the accuracy is lower, of the order of $\pm 40\%$.

Date	Sample	Distance From Source, km	Po^{210} dph m^{-3}	Si	Al	P	CI.	Cl^-	K	Ca	Ti	. Fe
Sept. 22, 1983	blank			0.89	0.19	0.04	0.15	0.20	0.05	0.04	0.05	- 0.3
Sept. 25, 1983	blank		0.3	2.48	0.17	0.03	0.13	0.17	0.05	0.03	0.04	- 0.3
Sept. 23, 1983	6	9	8.1	1.39	0.25	0.05	1.25	1.20	2.55	0.24	0.02	023
Sept. 25, 1983	8	11	27.5	17.22	7.63	0.32	0.33	0.2	2.01	4.04	0.47	3.53
Sept. 25, 1983	10	164	12.1	5.97	2.73	0.12	0.08	0.2	0.66	1.34	0.17	1.28

TABLE 4. Elemental Aerosol Concentrations (μ g m⁻³)

Here, dph is disintegration per hour.

In effect, the gaseous emissions from an active volcano are essentially discontinuous, and even after long-range transport the plume could be heterogeneous, depending on atmospheric stability.

S02 Loss Rate

Most of the physical and chemical processes described for explaining the removal of atmospheric SO₂ assume first-order **reactions [Moller, 1980; Turco et al., 1979]. The variation of** SO₂ concentration with time can be written as follows:

$$
(\mathrm{SO}_2)_t = D(\mathrm{SO}_2)_{t_0} \exp(-kt)
$$

where D is the dilution coefficient and k the loss rate. The five sets of data given in Table 1 lead to the values of k shown in Table 2.

It is clear that the SO₂ loss rate is not at all constant from **one day to another. For instance, the values found on Septem**ber 23 are extremely low, of the order of 1×10^{-6} s⁻¹, which is comparable to the value indicated in the case of homoge**neous gas phase conversion [Moller, 1980; Turco et al., 1979; McKeen et al., 1984]. The higher values on the other 2 days may correspond to the existence of heterogeneous processes working in addition to the homogeneous reactions. In effect, on September 25, the plume was particularly rich in particles, as shown by analysis of its elemental composition.**

It is noteworthy that Jaeschke et al. [1982] found values of 1.7×10^{-4} and 5×10^{-4} s⁻¹, which are about 1 order of **magnitude larger than ours. This discrepancy could be ac**counted for either by the fact that our measurements were **performed farther from the crater than theirs (0-20 km), where coarse particles were possibly present, or by the low accuracy**

TABLE 5. Atmospheric Particulate Matter Emissions From Etna Volcano

		September 25			
Element	September 23, 9 km	11 km	164 km		
Po ²¹⁰	0.26	0.43	0.43		
SO ₄ Si	29	19.2	22.4		
	5.9	35.9	28.2		
A ₁	1.1	15.9	12.9		
P	0.2	0.7	0.5		
K	10.8	4.2	3.1		
Ca	0.99	8.4	6.3		
Fe	0.97	7.6	6.0		
Τi	0.1	1.0	0.8		

Values are tons per day, except Po²¹⁰, which is in curies per day.

of measurements due to their use of CO₂ as a dilution tracer **[Carbonnelle et al., 1981].**

At this stage we must emphasize that we have poor knowledge of the actual SO₂ removal processes. However, there is a general agreement that the final product of SO₂ transformation is SO₄. Therefore a significant loss of SO₂ should result in a corresponding increase of SO₄ concentration in order to **balance the sulfur budget, an important topic to be examined in an later section.**

S02 Flux

The principle of the Cospec method, described by Stoiber et al. [1983] and classically utilized for evaluating volcanic outputs [Zettwooq and Hauler, 1978; Malinconico, 1979; Friend et al., 1982; Casadevall et al., 1983; Stoiber et al., 1983], consists of simultaneous measurement of the SO₂ concentration path **length integrated along a vertical plume section and the wind velocity at the same place. The results of our study are shown** in Table 3, in which the SO₂ values were corrected for losses **by using the values of k indicated in Table 2. It is clear that, due to the low values of k, the corrections are generally small at distances from the crater of the order of 10 km. On the contrary, in the range of 100-200 km, it is necessary to make this correction. The observed variations of the flux are due to discontinuous emissions from the volcano. In previous studies, Zettwooq and Hauler [1978], Malinconico [1979], and Faivre-**Pierret et al. [1980] found mean values of the order of 2000 to 6000 tons per day, which are comparable to our findings.

Particulate Matter Flux

The particulate matter flux may be calculated from the concentration ratio of a given element to that of SO₂, multiplied by the SO₂ flux at the same place. It is not necessary to take into account the SO_2 losses, as the SO_2 flux and con**centration are measured simultaneously. The concentrations of the measured elements are shown in Table 4, and the fluxes in Table 5. These values agree rather well with results reported previously by Vie Le Saqe [1983].**

It is noteworthy that the flux values determined on September 25 are almost identical at 11 and 164 km from the crater, at least for the elements measured here. It is especially the case for sulfate aerosols and for silicon, which is obviously present as more or less complex silicates. Therefore it is clear that further than a few kilometers from the crater, the removal rate of the aerosols is very low. Consequently, it seems valid to use the small sized Po aerosols as a measurement of plume dilution.

Fig. 2. Percentage of sulfur as SO₄ relative to the total sulfur as particulates versus atmospheric travel time.

4. CONCLUSION

Sulfate Puzzle

As mentioned above, particulate sulfur was measured in each experiment. It may be seen in Figure 2 that about 80% of this sulfur consists of SO,•, which is in agreement with the usual observations. In fact it may bc observed in Figure 2 that the percentage of sulfur as SO_4 in particulate sulfur increases **with time lag between emission from the crater and sampling.** This is consistent with the idea that SO₂ losses are essentially **due to its oxidation into SO,•. In such a case the sulfur budget should be balanced, which means that after correction for** dilution (measured through the Po²¹⁰ concentration) the con**centration of total sulfur (gaseous and particulate) should be approximately constant. However, this is not what happened.** In Table 6 we compare the loss of sulfur as SO₂ and its **increase as SO4.**

It appears that the loss is between 0 and 230 μ g m⁻³, whereas the increase is less than $1 \mu g$ m⁻³. Sulfur losses have **been computed by using filters and FDP measurements. On** September 23 the low value of k (3×10^{-6} s⁻¹) and the low **flux emission of solid material are critical for the accuracy of**

TABLE 6. SO₂-SO₄ Balance

Date and Distance	Dilution Coefficient	Sulfur Loss as $SO_2 = X$, $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$	Sulfur Income as $SO_4 = Y$, $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Sept. 23, 1983 $d2 = 276$ km	0.54	$32 \times 10^{-3*}$	0.58×10^{-3}
$d1 = 9$ km		-18.5×10^{-3}	
Sept. 25, 1983 $d2 = 164$ km	0.44	$-230 \times 10^{-3*}$	0.26×10^{-3}
$d1 = 11$ km		-82×10^{-3} ⁺	

 $X = (SO_2(a_2) - D \times SO_2(a_1)) \times M_S/M_{SO_2}$, $Y = (SO_4(a_2) - D \times SO_2(a_2))$ \times SO₄(d1)) \times M_S/M_{SO_4} , where M_S and M_{SO_2} are molecular weight.

***Filter measurements.**

'•FDP measurements.

the SO₂ filter measurements. On September 25, both measure**ments show a strong discrepancy between the total loss of** sulfur as SO₂ and its total increase as SO₄.

This discrepancy could be accounted for by a very short residence time of sulfate aerosols in the plume. The calculated values of the residence time are of the order of 6×10^{-2} to 1.7 **hours. This result is in _total disagreement with the values usually admitted, which are of the order of 1 week [Lambert et al., 1983]. Morever, we have pointed out previously that the aerosol fluxes are approximately constant during time intervals of the order of 10 to 15 hours. Therefore it seems that sulfate removal from the plume cannot account for the discrepancy.**

Jaeschke et al. [1982] have also discussed this problem and concluded that data suggest aerosol residence times as short as 0.3 to 0.6 hours. Possible explanations could be the dry deposition of SO,• aerosols and the sedimentation of these particles. The first possibility is ruled out because the plume stayed at about 2800 m above sea level and above the temperature inversion, which prevented any exchange with the mixing layer; consequently, the plume could not reach ground level.

The sedimentation velocities of particles vary between 0.014 cm s⁻¹ for 1- μ m-diameter particles and 1.3 cm s⁻¹ for 10- μ m **particles [Kasten, 1968]. This shows to what extent sedimentation could affect particles. Keeping in mind that parti**cles with diameters larger than $5 \mu m$ are collected with poor efficiency, it is reasonable to suggest that the missing sulfur **was absorbed on larger particles.**

This observation supports the idea that homogeneous SO_2 **to SO,• conversion, which should lead to sulfur concentration in small particles [Bonsang et al., 1980], is not the dominant process, at least in a volcanic plume. The presence of sulfur in** large particles could result from SO₂ adsorption on these **preexisting particles, according to the observations made in industrial desulfuration processes [Kyte, 1981]. This explanation is in agreement with the fact that the higher the particle concentration in the plume, the more important the sulfur losses, as can be seen by comparing the results obtained on September 23 and 25.**

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank J. Lebronec, D. Cheymol, and P. Borrel for technical assistance and the pilot, M. Beaulieu, for his understanding during the flights. Several other persons made helpful contributions, and we thank them warmly, particularly J. Dubois (EDF, Direction des Etudes et Recherches), G. Cara (IRV), M. Orlando (SIO), C1. Daude (Ambassade de France), C. Manoni (Aviation Civile Italienne), R. Patane (Catania Airport), and the staff of the meteorological service of Catania. The authors are particularly grateful to M. Zephoris for many helpful discussions and to C. Regnault for typing the manuscript. The research was partly supported by CNRS/PIREN under grant 83/53 and CNRS/PIRP-SEV. CFR contribution 748.

REFERENCES

- **Bandy, A. R., P. J. Maroulis, L. A. Wilner, A. L. Torres, Estimates of** the fluxes of NO, SO₂, H₂S, CS₂, and COS from Mount St. Helens **deduced from in situ plume concentration measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 9, 1097-1100, 1982.**
- **Berresheim, H., W. Jaeschke, The contribution of volcanoes to the global atmospheric sulfur budget, J. Geophys. Res., 88, 3732-3740, 1983.**
- **Bonsang, B., B.C. Nguyen, A. Gaudry, and G. Lambert, Sulfate enrichment in marine aerosols owing to biogenic gaseous sulfur compounds, J. Geophys. Res., 85, 7410-7416, 1980.**
- **Buat Menard, P., and M. Arnold, The heavy metal chemistry of atmospheric particulate matter emitted by Mount Etna volcano. Geophys. Res. Lett., 5, 245-248, 1978.**
- Burghoffer, P., and J. Charuau, Sonde de prélèvements aéroportée; essais expérimentaux de la rétention des particules, internal report, **Commis. fi l'Energ. At./IPSN/DPT/SPIN, Gif-sur-Yvette, France, 1985.**
- **Carbonnelle, J., D. Dajlevic, R. Faivre-Pierret, M. Gantes, and J. C. Sabroux, Les emissions gazeuses et particulaires du volcan Etna 1976-1977, Note CEA 2057, Commis. fi l'Energ. At., Gif-sur-Yvette, France, 1978.**
- **Carbonnelle, J., D. Dajlevic, and P. Zettwoog, Contribution of Mount** Etna and Mount Stromboli to atmospheric CO₂ and SO₂: recent **results, paper presented at IAMAP Conference, Hamburg, Aug. 17-28, 1981.**
- **Casadevall, T., W. Rose, T. Gerlach, L. P. Greenland, J. Ewert, R. Wunderman, and R. Symonds, Gas emissions and the eruptions of Mount St. Helens through 1982, Science, 221(4618), 1383-1385, 1983.**
- **Elichegaray, C., A. Dutot, A. Grubis, and R. Vie Le Sage, Dosage par** fluorescence X des aérosols atmosphériques: Détermination des **facteurs de correction, Analysis, 9(10), 492-497, 1981.**
- Faivre-Pierret, R., SO₂, HCl, and HF detection and dosing in the **volcanic gas phase, in Forecasting Volcanic Events, edited by H. Tazieff and J. C. Sabroux, Elsevier, New York, 1983.**
- **Faivre-Pierret, R., D. Martin, and J. C. Sabroux, Etude de la physicochimie du parlache volcanique, Bull. Volcanol., 43(3), 473-485, 1980.**
- **Friend, J.P., A. R. Bandy, J. L. Moyers, and W. H. Zoller, R. E. Stoiber, A. L. Torres, W. I. Rose, M.P. McCormick, and D.C. Woods, Research on atmospheric volcanic emissions: An overview, Geophys. Res. Lett., 9, 1101-1104, 1982.**
- **Horst, T. W., A surface depletion model for deposition from a Gaussian plume, Atmos. Environ., 11, 41-46, 1977.**
- **Jaeschke, W., H. Berresheim, and H. W. Georgii, Sulfur emissions from Mount Etna, J. Geophys. Res., 87(C9), 7253-7261, 1982.**
- **Kasten, F., Falling speed of aerosol particles, J. Appl. Meteorol., 7, 944-947, 1968.**
- **Kyte, W. S., Some chemical and chemical engineering aspects of flue gas desulphurisation, Trans. Chem. E, 59, 219-228, 1981.**
- **Lambert, G., A. Buisson, J. Sanak, and B. Ardouin, Modification of**

the atmospheric polonium 210 to lead 210 ratio by volcanic emission, J. Geophys. Res., 84(C11), 6980-6986, 1979.

- **Lambert, G., J. Sanak, and G. Polian, Mean residence time of the submicrometer aerosols in the global troposphere, in Precipitation Scavenging, Dry Deposition and Resuspension, pp. 1353-1359, Elsevier, New York, 1983.**
- Malinconico, L. L., Fluctuations in SO₂ emission during recent erup**tions of Etna, Nature, 278, 43-45, 1979.**
- **McKeen, S. A., S.C. Liu, and C. S. Kiang, On the chemistry of** stratospheric SO₂ from volcanic eruptions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **89(D3), 4873-4881, 1984.**
- Moller, D., Kinetic model of atmospheric SO₂ oxidation based on **published data, Atmos. Environ., 14, 1067-1076, 1980.**
- **Phelan, J. M., D. L. Finnegan, D. S. Ballantine, W. H. Zoller, M. A. Mart, and J. L. Moyers, Airborne aerosol measurements in the quiescent plume of Mount St. Helens, Geophys. Res. Lett., 9, 1093- 1096, 1982.**
- **Polian, G., and G. Lambert, Radon daughters and sulfur output from Erebus volcano (Antarctica), J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 6, 125- 137, 1979.**
- **Quisefit, J.P., G. Bergametti, R. Vie Le Sage, D. Martin, P. Zettwoog, and R. Faivre-Pierret, Nouvelle 6valuation des flux particulaires de l'Etna, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. II, 295, 943-945, 1982.**
- **Rose, W. I., R. L. Chuan, D.C. Woods, Small particles in plumes of Mount St. Helens, J. Geophys. Res., 87(C7), 4956-4962, 1982.**
- **Romano, R., Etna (Sicily): Lava from south flank fissure; central crater enlarged, Sean Bull., 8(3), 5-6, 1983.**
- **Slinn, W. G., Air to sea transfer of particles, in Air-Sea Exchange of Gases and Particles, edited by P.S. Liss and W. G. Slinn, pp. 299-405, D. Reidel, Hingham, Mass., 1983.**
- **Stoiber, R. E., L. L. Malinconico, Jr., and S. N. Williams, Use of the correlation spectrometer at volcanoes, in Forecasting Volcanic Events, edited by H. Tazieff and J. C. Sabroux, Elsevier, New York, 1983.**
- **Turco, R., P. Hamill, and O. Toon, A one-dimensional model describing aerosol formation and evolution in the stratosphere, I, Physical processes and mathematical analogs, J. Atmos. Sci., 36, 699-717, 1979.**
- **Vie Le Sage, R., Chemistry of the volcanic aerosol, in Forecasting Volcanic Events, edited by H. Tazieff and J. C. Sabroux, Elsevier, New York, 1983.**
- Zettwoog, P., and R. Haulet, Experimental results on the SO₂ transfer **in the Mediterranean obtained with remote sensing devices, Atmos. Environ., 12, 795-796, 1978.**

B. Ardouin, G. Lambert, and M. F. Le Cloarec, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Centre des Faibles Radioactivités, 91190 **Gif-sur-Yvette, France.**

G. Bergametti, Laboratoire de Chimie Minérale des Milieux Natu**reis, ERA Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 889, Universit6 Paris VII, Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France.**

J. Carbonnelle, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay, Service SPTN Bât. 93, 91190 Gif-sur-**Yvette, France.**

R. Faivre-Pierret, Commissariat à l'Energie: Atomique, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Grenoble, Laboratoire d'Etudes d'Environnement et de Sécurité Industrielle, DPR/SHI/LESI 85 X, 38041, Greno**ble Cedex, France.**

D. Martin and G. Sennequier, Etablissement d'Etudes et de Recherches Météorologiques, Centre de Recherches en Physique de l'Atmosphère, 78470 Magny-les-Hameaux, France.

> **(Received July 8, 1985; revised January 30, 1986; accepted April 1, 1986.)**