

Does intraspecific variability matter in ecological risk assessment? Investigation of genotypic variations in three macrophyte species exposed to copper

Eva Roubeau Dumont, Camille Larue, Sophie Lorber, Hervé Gryta, Elise Billoir, Elisabeth Maria Gross, Arnaud Elger

▶ To cite this version:

Eva Roubeau Dumont, Camille Larue, Sophie Lorber, Hervé Gryta, Elise Billoir, et al.. Does intraspecific variability matter in ecological risk assessment? Investigation of genotypic variations in three macrophyte species exposed to copper. Aquatic Toxicology, 2019, 211, pp.29-37. 10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.03.012 . hal-02324925

HAL Id: hal-02324925 https://hal.science/hal-02324925

Submitted on 10 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Does intraspecific variability matter in ecotoxicological risk assessment?

2 Investigation of genotypic variations in three macrophyte species exposed to

3

copper

- Eva Roubeau Dumont¹, Camille Larue¹, Sophie Lorber² Hervé Gryta³, Elise Billoir⁴, Elisabeth
 Maria Gross⁴ & Arnaud Elger¹
- 6 ¹ ECOLAB, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS, France
- 7 ² Toxalim, Université de Toulouse, INRA, ENVT, INP-Purpan, UPS, Toulouse, France

8 ³ Laboratoire Evolution & Diversité Biologique (EDB UMR 5174), Université de Toulouse,

- 9 CNRS, IRD, UPS, 118 route de Narbonne, Bat 4R1, 31062 Toulouse, France
- ⁴LIEC, Université de Lorraine, CNRS, UMR 7360, Metz, Lorraine, France
- 11 **Corresponding author**: Eva Roubeau Dumont, <u>eva.roubeaudumont@ensat.fr</u>
- 12 EcoLab, Campus INPT-ENSAT. Avenue de l'Agrobiopole BP 32607 31326 Castanet
- 13 Tolosan Cedex, France
- 14

15 ABSTRACT

16 To limit anthropogenic impact on ecosystems, regulations have been implemented along with global awareness that human activities were harmful to the environment. Ecotoxicological risk 17 18 assessment is the main process which allows to assess the toxicity potential of contaminants, 19 through different steps of laboratory testing. This process evolves along with scientific 20 knowledge, to better predict the impact on an ecosystem. In this paper we address the 21 importance of intraspecific variability as a potential source of error in the laboratory evaluation 22 of harmfulness of pollutants. To answer this question, three aquatic macrophyte species with 23 different life-history traits were chosen to cover the main life-forms found in aquatic 24 ecosystems, Lemna minor and Myriophyllum spicatum, two OECD model species, and Ceratophyllum demersum. For each species, three or four genotypes were exposed to 7-8 copper 25 26 concentrations. To assess species sensitivity, growth-related endpoints such as Relative Growth 27 Rate (RGR), based either on biomass production or on length/frond production, and chlorophyll fluorescence F_v:F_m, were measured. For each endpoint, EC₅₀ was calculated. Our results 28 29 showed that all endpoints were affected by Cu exposure, F_v:F_m of *M. spicatum* excepted, and 30 significant differences were found among genotypes in terms of Cu sensitivity. L. minor 31 sensitivity to Cu significantly varied for F_v:F_m, which showed up to 35 % of variation in EC₅₀ 32 values among genotypes. Significant differences in EC₅₀ values were found for RGR based on 33 length for M. spicatum, with up to 72% of variation. Finally, C. demersum demonstrated 34 significant sensitivity differences among genotypes with up to 78 % variation for EC₅₀ based 35 on length. Overall, interspecific variation was higher than intraspecific variation, and explained 36 77% of the variation found among genotypes for RGR based on biomass, and 99% of the variation found for F_v:F_m. Our results highlight that depending on the endpoint, sensitivity can 37 vary greatly within a species, and not all endpoints should be considered relevant in risk 38

- 39 assessment.
- 40 Keywords: Genotype, copper toxicity, freshwater macrophyte, interspecific variation,
- 41 intraspecific variation

42 **1. Introduction**

Over the past decades, the increase of global population has led to an intensification of agricultural practices. To sustain a sufficient yield, many fertilizers and pesticides have been used. The extensive use of these chemicals triggers the progressive contamination of environment. Aquatic ecosystems are the final receivers of these contaminations, through different processes such as atmospheric deposition, runoff and soil leaching (Moss, 2008; Knauert *et al.*, 2010).

49 Organisms within these environments can therefore be exposed to many pollutants 50 (Gallagher, Johnston and Dietrich, 2001; Ribolzi et al., 2002). Some organic chemicals can be 51 degraded by biotic or abiotic processes, some can be modified and become even more harmful 52 through metabolization by living organisms and accumulated. Metals can also accumulate in 53 ecosystems, in particular in sediments, and can be further transferred into the food chain with possible biomagnification (Cardwell et al., 2013; Andresen et al., 2016). This process can lead 54 55 to the imbalance of aquatic ecosystems through the disruption of food webs, which are essential 56 for biogeochemical cycles (Nõges et al., 2016).

57 To limit environmental contaminations and increase waterbody quality, several regulations 58 have been implemented worldwide (e.g. REACH, the European Water Framework Directive, Hering et al., 2010; Voulvoulis, Arpon and Giakoumis, 2017). These regulations aim to 59 60 decrease the impact of chemicals, by controlling the quantity used and their toxicity through 61 risk assessment evaluations before giving a marketing authorization. Therefore, new threshold 62 concentrations and land management have been enacted in several countries to limit waterbody 63 contamination by pesticides and fertilizers. For instance, copper (Cu) concentration in organic 64 agriculture was limited in Europe with concentrations up to 6 kg/ha/year, averaged over 5 years 65 (regulation N° 889/2008, EFSA, 2008). Indeed, Cu is broadly used as a fertilizer and a biocide, 66 and have a dose-dependent toxicity on living organisms (Jiao et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2012).

To properly assess the potential impact of chemicals on the environment, new approaches have been implemented in ecotoxicological risk assessment to determine the impact of target molecules on aquatic biota. Among these approaches, Sensitivity Species Distribution (SSD) aims to compare the sensitivity of several species, which allows to determine a threshold concentration at which less than 5% of the species may be impacted (Del Signore *et al.*, 2016). Species used for risk assessment are usually subject to standardized toxicity tests (such as OECD protocols), to ensure the reproducibility of the results. These species are often vibiquitous, with a very wide repartition area and with a generalist strategy. Among the model species used for ecotoxicological risk assessment in aquatic environment, macrophytes are very important, as they play a fundamental role in aquatic ecosystems due to their involvement in biogeochemical cycles and their interactions with other organisms (Bornette and Puijalon 2011; Coutris et al. 2011). As such, pollution effects on aquatic macrophytes has the potential to strongly alter ecosystem structure and functioning (Bornette and Puijalon 2011).

80 However, species found across the globe could show variations in sensitivity among 81 populations. Indeed, populations growing under different environmental conditions (e.g. 82 pristine vs. polluted waters) can present genetic differentiation (Santamaría, 2002). Toxicity 83 tests in ecotoxicological risk assessment usually use one clonal strain per species and per 84 experiment, assuming that one strain is representative of the entire species. If these tests can 85 potentially be used to rank various species in terms of sensitivity to chemicals, such a ranking 86 may be biased by the sensitivity of given strains, and may result more from a sampling effect 87 than from real differences among species (Figure 1). Obviously, the greater the intraspecific 88 variation in sensitivity to chemicals, the higher is the risk of biased conclusions.

89 Intraspecific variation can be explained by two processes. The first is phenotypic plasticity, 90 which is the ability of one genotype to produce several phenotypes depending on its 91 environment (Vasseur and Aarssen, 1992; Barrett, Eckert and Husband, 1993). The second is 92 genotypic variation, which is the result of mutations over several generations and their selection 93 by biotic and abiotic pressures in a given environment, or by other processes such as genetic 94 drift (Silander, 1985; Ehlers, Damgard and Laroche, 2016). Some authors suggested that 95 intraspecific variation could increase ecosystem productivity and resilience when exposed to 96 disturbance (Loreau and Hector, 2001; Reusch and Hughes, 2006). However, intraspecific 97 variation, especially in aquatic plants, has so far been poorly investigated, particularly when it 98 comes to the sensitivity to contamination (Weyl and Coetzee, 2016). The few existing studies 99 have highlighted some differences in terms of sensitivity among strains of a same species, but 100 the importance of intraspecific variation was never compared to interspecific variation (Dalton 101 et al., 2013; Sree et al., 2015). Therefore, the extent of intraspecific variation needs to be studied 102 to properly understand the impact of chemicals on aquatic environments, and how genotypic 103 variability may inflect risk assessment results.

104 To address this question, we have performed toxicity tests on three different species of aquatic 105 macrophytes with different life-history traits. For each of the three species, several clonal strains 106 from different populations were tested. We chose the lesser duckweed (*Lemna minor* L.), which

107 is free floating at the water surface, the Eurasian watermilfoil (Myriophyllum spicatum L.),

108 which is rooted and submerged in the water column, and the common hornwort (Ceratophyllum

109 demersum L.), which is submerged but has no root, and can be attached to the sediment or freely

110 sustained in the water column. The use of the two first species in chemical risk assessment is

111 standardized in OECD protocols, n°221 for L. minor and n°238-239 for M. spicatum (OECD,

112 2006, 2014). Copper (Cu) was used as a model contaminant, as it is broadly used in industry

113 and agriculture, and therefore found at high concentrations in some aquatic environments.

114

115

2. Materials and methods

116 2.1 Species and chemicals studied

117 Three species (L. minor, M. spicatum, C. demersum) with three to four distinct clonal strains 118 were randomly harvested from 2013 to 2016 in natural freshwater rivers in France and one 119 strain of *M. spicatum* was regrown from an axenic culture established from material collected 120 in Germany in 1990, following the protocol of Gross, Meyer and Schilling, (1996) (Table 1 for 121 geographic origin of strains).

122 Each strain of *M. spicatum* and *C. demersum* was grown in 210 L outdoor containers with quartz 123 sediments enriched with Osmocote® for at least six months before experiments were 124 conducted. L. minor strains were grown under axenic conditions in the lab, and were placed 125 under non-axenic environment one month prior to the experiments (Table 2).

126 Copper sulfate from Merck KGaA (CAS number 7758-98-7, Darmstadt, Germany) was prepared in ultrapure water at a concentration of 1 g/L Cu^{2+} , and diluted in the different media. 127

128

129 2.2 Genetic characterization of strains by ISSR

130 Inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) molecular typing method was used to verify that clonal 131 strains corresponded to different genotypes.

132 DNA was extracted and purified from about 100 mg of plant fragments by using the WIZARD

133 Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega) and following the procedure described in Carriconde

134 et al., (2008). Out twenty-two ISSR primers (Table S1) previously used with the three species

135 studied (Triest et al., 2010; Xue et al., 2012; Cao, Mei and Wang, 2017) or with other organisms (Hantula, Dusabenyagasani and Hamelin, 1996; Carriconde *et al.*, 2008), 13, 9 and 20 were
selected for their ability to produce clear banding patterns and polymorphic bands with the
strains of *M. spicatum*, *C. demersum* and *L. minor*, respectively (Table S1). ISSR amplification
procedure, banding patterns analysis and calculation of genetic distances among strains were
modified and adapted from Carriconde *et al.*, (2008), and are detailed in Supplemental Material
I.

142

143 **2.3 Effective Cu concentrations**

Three Cu concentrations (the lowest, intermediate and highest) were sampled at the beginning
of Cu exposure, to assess effective concentrations in the media. These were measured using
inductively coupled plasma with optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Thermo Electron,
IRIS INTREPID II XLD).

148

149 **2.4** Growth experiments

Prior to exposure, plants were acclimatized during 5 days under the same environmental conditions as during exposure (Table 1). Different media were used for each species as they had different life-history traits to ensure maximal growth. Media were adapted from OECD protocols for the two model species, *L. minor* and *M. spicatum*. Exposure times differed among species according to their growth rates under control conditions.

155 2.4.1 Lemna minor

156 Each experimental unit was composed of a plastic glass of 500 mL, containing 300 mL of 157 Steinberg medium at pH 6.5 ± 0.1 and between ten to fourteen fronds of *L. minor*. The exposure 158 phase lasted seven days, and eight Cu concentrations were tested, from 0 to 1.25 mg/L Cu. The 159 number of fronds was counted at the beginning and at the end of the exposure to calculate the 160 relative growth rate (RGR) based on frond number (section 3.f for formula). Fresh mass per 161 frond at the beginning of exposure was estimated by weighting different bunches of fronds from 162 the different clonal strains. At the end of the exposure phase and for each experimental unit, 163 plants were placed on blotting paper to be dried softly before fresh mass measurements to 164 calculate RGR based on biomass production. Three genotypes were tested.

166 2.4.2 Myriophyllum spicatum and Ceratophyllum demersum

167 Each apical shoot was cut at a length of 6 cm before the one week acclimatization in medium 168 Smart & Barko pH 6.5 \pm 0.1, with 400 mL medium per experimental unit containing 50 mL of 169 quartz sediments enriched with 66.6 mg Osmocote ® (granulated slow-release fertilizers, NPK: 170 16-8-12, KB) for *M. spicatum*, and in half strength Steinberg medium at pH 6.5 \pm 0.1 for *C*. 171 demersum. For exposure, one apical shoot was placed in each experimental unit with quartz 172 sediments during 12 days for *M. spicatum* and 14 days for *C. demersum*, with renewal of the 173 medium at day 6 or day 7, respectively. Seven copper concentrations were used, ranging from 174 0 to 2 mg/L for M. spicatum and from 0 to 0.5 mg/L for C. demersum. Length was measured at 175 the beginning and at the end of exposure to calculate the RGR based on shoot length, and fresh 176 mass was recorded at the same time after having placed the plants on blotting paper, to calculate 177 the RGR based on biomass production. Three genotypes of C. demersum and four genotypes 178 of *M. spicatum* were used.

179

180

2.5 Maximum quantum yield of photosystem II $(F_v:F_m)$ experiments

181 F_v:F_m ratio, which is the maximal ability of the plant to harvest light, calculated by using the 182 Kautsky effect, was measured (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000; Murchie and Lawson, 2013). 183 Measures were conducted using a Diving-PAM fluorometer (Heinz Walz GmbH, Germany). 184 The basic settings of the Diving-PAM, namely intensity of measuring light (50: MEAS-INT) 185 and amplification factor (49: GAIN) were set to 8 and 2, respectively. An exposure period of 186 96 h was used, and Cu concentrations were higher than in growth experiment to obtain sufficient 187 inhibition. For each species, F_v:F_m measurements were taken before and after Cu exposure, in 188 a dark chamber, 30 minutes after dark acclimatization of the plant to ensure that all reaction 189 centers were opened for new photons. The same media as those used for growth experiments 190 were used, except for *M. spicatum*, which had no sediment (presumably not necessary for the 191 short duration of the experiment). Each species was acclimatized during three days under 192 similar environmental conditions as used during exposure, and shoots of *M. spicatum* and *C.* 193 demersum were cut at 6 cm length at the beginning of acclimatization. Three genotypes of L. 194 minor were tested, four genotypes of M. spicatum, and two genotypes of C. demersum due to 195 the lack of available biomass. At the end of the experiments, the DIVING-PAM parameters 196 were adjusted (increase in intensity of measuring light and amplification factor, up to 11 over 197 12) when plants were too chlorotic to emit sufficient signal for accurate measurement of F_{y} : F_{m} .

Eight concentrations of Cu (0 - 2 mg/L) were used for *L. minor*. Four replicates containing ten to fourteen fronds were used for each concentration. $F_v:F_m$ was measured at the beginning of the experiment on fifteen randomly-chosen *L. minor* bunches of three-four fronds within each clonal strain. Three measurements per experimental unit were taken at the end of the experiment.

Seven concentrations of Cu ranging from 0 to 35 mg/L were used for *M. spicatum*. Eight concentrations of Cu ranging from 0 to 2 mg/L were used for *C. demersum*. For these two species, five replicates containing one apical shoot each were used per concentration.

206

207 **2.6** Calculations and statistics

Relative growth rates based on biomass production, frond number, or shoot length werecalculated for each experimental unit as follows:

$$RGR_{i-i} = (\ln(Nj) - \ln(Ni))/t$$

where RGR_{i-j} is the relative growth rate from time i to j, N_i and N_j are the endpoint (frond number, fresh mass or length) in the test or control vessel at time i and j, respectively, and t is the time period from i to j.

The inhibition percentage of RGR was also calculated on each experimental unit, to assess the sensitivity of genotypes to Cu exposure regardless of their growth performance, following the formula:

217
$$\% Ir = \left(\frac{\overline{RGR}c - RGRt}{RGRc}\right) * 100$$

where %*Ir* is the inhibition percentage of the average specific growth rate, \overline{RGR}_c is the mean value for RGR in the control and RGR_t is an individual value for RGR in the treatment group.

220 Results were analyzed using the R studio software (R Core Team (2016) V 3.3.1). 221 Homoscedasticity was tested using Bartlett test. Data normality was tested with Shapiro test on 222 ANOVA residuals, with log-transformation when normality assumption was not met with raw 223 data. One-way ANOVAs were performed on results showing normal and homoscedastic 224 distribution, with or without log transformation, to assess the differences among genotypes for 225 control vessels. Tukey HSD post-hoc tests were used to identify which genotypes differed from 226 others in absence of contamination. Non-linear log-logistic models with 3 or 4 parameters were 227 used to calculate the half maximal effective concentration (EC_{50}), or exponential decay model 228 for the F_v:F_m experiment of C. demersum species, using the drm() function from the drc R 229 package (Ritz et al., 2015). Coefficients of variation among genotype EC₅₀ values were 230 calculated by dividing standard deviation by mean. Comparison of non-linear models among 231 genotypes within species were performed using Akaike information criterion (AIC), through 232 the comparison of models with or without the genotype considered as factor. The best model 233 was selected as the one with the lowest AIC value, and models were considered different when 234 a difference of at least 2 in AIC values was observed. Interspecific variability in EC_{50} (in %) was assessed using the R^2 obtained from one-way ANOVA testing the species effect on EC₅₀ 235 values collected for all genotypes during the experiments. 236

237

238 **3. Results**

239 **3.1** Effective concentrations in the exposure media

240 At the beginning of the experiments, effective concentrations varied between 98.9 % and 99.3 241 % of nominal concentration for L. minor between 94.4 % and 105.5 % for M. spicatum, and 242 between 97.9 % and 112.0 % for C. demersum. At the end of exposures, effective concentrations 243 were measured, and time-averaged concentrations were calculated using effectives 244 concentrations at the beginning and at the end of exposure, as well as at media renewal. Time-245 averaged concentrations were used for the result analysis. In average on both experiments, the 246 time-averaged concentrations were 77.4 % of nominal concentrations for L. minor, 69.5 % and 247 74.1 % for *M. spicatum* and *C. demersum*, respectively.

248

249 **3.2** Intraspecific variations

250 *3.2.1 Lemna minor*

Without Cu exposure, differences among genotypes were found for RGR_{fm}, showing that some genotypes were more efficient than others in terms of biomass production, with RGR_{fm} ranging from 0.349 d⁻¹ for the "Canal" genotype to 0.434 d⁻¹ for the "Metz" genotype (1-way ANOVA, $F_{2,15}$ = 5.12, P = 0.0327). Similar observation was realized for F_v:F_m, with the "Authume" genotype being slightly less performing than other genotypes regarding light harvesting (1-way ANOVA, $F_{2,9}$ = 9.003, P = 0.0027). 257 Based on growth parameters, Cu exposure did not highlight a strong difference in sensitivity or 258 resistance patterns among genotypes, although biomass production significantly differed 259 among genotypes, with the "Canal" genotype being inhibited by 4.2 % at low Cu concentration 260 (0.05 mg/L), against 16.2 % for the two other genotypes. At higher Cu concentration (0.5 mg/L)261 differences in sensitivity were less observable, with RGR_{fm} being inhibited from 88.4 % to 98.0 262 % (Figure 2A). Confirming those results, EC₅₀ values for RGR_{fm} ranged from 0.133 to 0.154 263 mg/L Cu, and showed 7.14% of variation among genotypes (Table 3). The genotype effect on 264 Cu sensitivity was significant according to the concentration-response model, exhibiting an 265 AIC of -508.9, against -499.4 for the model without genotype effect. The RGR_{fronds} varied as 266 well, although differences were not significant (Figure 2B). At 0.5 mg/L it was inhibited by 267 67.7 % for "Canal" genotype, and by 75.37 % for "Authume", and EC₅₀ values ranged from 268 0.127 to 0.157 mg/L Cu, showing 10.9 % of variation among genotypes (Table 3).

269 The F_v:F_m showed stronger variations among genotypes, and a pattern of resistance was 270 observable for the "Canal" genotype (Figure 2C). Indeed, at 0.5 mg/L, the F_v:F_m was inhibited 271 by 8% for the "Canal" genotype, and by 40% for the "Authume" genotype. The pattern was 272 even more contrasted at 1 mg/L Cu, with F_v:F_m being inhibited by 44.73 % for the "Canal" 273 genotype, and by 97.67 % for the "Authume" genotype. Those results are consistent with the 274 EC₅₀ values ranging from 0.39 to 0.72 mg/L Cu, and showing 35% of variation among 275 genotypes (Table 3). The genotype effect on Cu sensitivity was significant according to the 276 concentration-response model, showing an AIC of -161.6, against -97.9 for the model without 277 genotype effect. However, these differences were apparently not linked to differences in the 278 sensitivity to Cu in terms of RGR, as the "Canal" genotype did not show a higher tolerance in 279 terms of growth compared to the other genotypes.

280 3.2.2 Myriophyllum spicatum

No significant difference among genotypes was found for growth-related endpoints in absence of contamination, however a trend was observed with the "Doubs" genotype, which appeared to grow the fastest, especially in length, with a RGR_{length} of 0.0258 d⁻¹ against 0.0187 d⁻¹ on average for the others (Figure 3B). The $F_v:F_m$ was slightly different among controls, varying from 0.71 for "Doubs" to 0.76 for "Tarn" (1-way ANOVA, $F_{3, 16} = 9.356$, P < 0.001), and was thus not correlated with growth trends found among genotypes.

Copper exposure revealed strong variations in sensitivity within and among genotypes forgrowth related endpoints (Figure 3). However, those variations were only significantly different

289 for RGR_{length}, which concentration-response model exhibited an AIC of -1095.2, against -290 1075.3 for the model without genotype effect. The "Schöhsee" genotype was the most resistant 291 genotype to Cu. For instance, at 0.1 mg/L Cu the RGR_{length} was inhibited by 33.1 % for 292 "Schöhsee", and by 58.3 % for the other genotypes. Furthermore, EC₅₀ ranged from 0.042 293 mg/L Cu for "Dordogne", which was the most sensitive genotype, to 0.296 mg/L Cu for 294 "Schöhsee" genotype. A variation coefficient of 93.8 % was found among the EC₅₀ values of 295 those genotypes, highlighting the broad range of sensitivity found among those genotypes for 296 this endpoint (Table 3, Figure 3B). Although no difference in sensitivity was significant, the 297 RGR_{fm} exhibited variations among genotypes and some trends were observed. For instance, at 298 0.1 mg/L Cu the "Schöhsee" was inhibited by 17.9 %, and the "Doubs" by 52.9 %. Accordingly, 299 EC₅₀ values varied from 0.077 for "Doubs" which was the most sensitive, to 0.46 mg/L Cu for 300 "Schöhsee" genotype which was the most resistant. EC₅₀ values showed a coefficient of 301 variation of 72%, although a high standard deviation was observed for those EC₅₀ values, 302 partially explained by the high variability among replicates (Table 3, Figure 3A).

303 Contrasting with the growth-related endpoints, $F_v:F_m$ was not much impacted by Cu exposure, 304 and a decrease by 50% of this ratio was not reached, even with a Cu concentration up to 35 305 mg/L (Figure 3C). Therefore, no concentration-response curve was produced and no EC₅₀ value 306 could be calculated. No difference in sensitivity was identified among genotypes, as this 307 endpoint was obviously insensitive to Cu exposure in the case of *M. spicatum*.

308

309 *3.2.3 Ceratophyllum demersum*

No significant difference was observed among genotypes both in their $F_v:F_m$ and biomass production in absence of Cu exposure, although some variations were observed for RGR_{fm}, ranging from 0.019 d⁻¹ for "Garonne" to 0.029 d⁻¹ for "Tarn" genotype (Figure 4A). However, significant differences were observed in their elongation rate, ranging from 0.017 d⁻¹ for "Tarn" to 0.037 d⁻¹ for "Garonne" genotype (Figure 4B). This showed an inverse relationship between RGR_{fm} and RGR_{length}, as the most productive genotype in terms of biomass exhibited the lowest elongation rate.

All endpoints were impacted by Cu exposure, and significant differences in sensitivity were highlighted among genotypes despite the high variation among replicates demonstrated for growth-related endpoints (Figures 4A, B, and C). For instance, at 0.1 mg/L Cu, RGR_{fm} was inhibited by 31.2 % to 82.9 % for "Garonne" and by "Tarn" genotypes, respectively. At the 321 same Cu concentration, the RGR_{length} was inhibited by 46.1 % for "Dordogne", up to 76.3 % 322 for "Tarn" genotype. EC₅₀ values varied among genotypes, from 0.06 to 0.086 mg/L Cu for 323 RGR_{fm} and showed a coefficient of variation of 19 %. The genotype effect in Cu sensitivity of 324 biomass production was confirmed by the concentration-response model, which exhibited an 325 AIC value of -547.9, against -515.5 for the model without genotype effect. For RGR_{length}, EC₅₀ 326 varied from 0.006 to 0.067 mg/L Cu, and exhibited a coefficient of variation of 75.9 %. The 327 genotype effect in Cu sensitivity for RGR_{length} was confirmed by the most negative AIC value 328 for the response-model with genotype effect (-661.8, against -653.9).

329 The F_v : F_m was not impacted enough by Cu exposure to reach a decrease of 50% of the signal; at 2 mg/L, this endpoint was inhibited by 41.5 % for "Dordogne" genotype and by 46.8 % for 330 331 "Garonne" genotype (Figure 4C). The EC_{50} values were predicted by the model to be between 332 2.15 and 2.2 mg/L depending on the genotype, showing a low variation coefficient of 1.9 %. 333 This highlights that, as for *M. spicatum*, this endpoint only responds to very high Cu 334 concentration for this species and do not appear relevant as an exposure biomarker.

335

336

3.3 Relative importance of intraspecific vs. interspecific variations

337 Interspecific variability was the main source of variation among species as indicated by a 338 comparison of the EC_{50} values obtained for the various genotypes of each species (Table 3). 339 Indeed, 78.3 % and 99% of the variation in EC₅₀ values for RGR_{fm} and F_v:F_m, were due to 340 interspecific variability, respectively. EC50 values based on RGR_{length} were only compared 341 among C. demersum and M. spicatum as this endpoint was not used for L. minor, and 66 % of 342 the variability was explained by interspecific differences. RGR_{length} was three times more 343 sensitive to Cu for C. demersum than for M. spicatum, however up to tenfold differences in 344 sensitivity were observed among genotypes. Furthermore, this endpoint demonstrated the most 345 variability among genotypes for both species compared to the other endpoints.

346 For RGR_{fm}, C. demersum was the most sensitive species to Cu, with an average EC₅₀ value of 347 $0.077 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg/L Cu}$, against 0.144 ± 0.001 and $0.237 \pm 0.09 \text{ mg/L Cu}$ for *L. minor* and *M.* spicatum, respectively (Figure 5). For F_v:F_m, L. minor was the most sensitive species with an 348 349 average EC₅₀ value of 0.513 \pm 0.1 mg/L Cu, against 2.18 \pm 0.03 for C. demersum, and no 350 calculated EC₅₀ for *M. spicatum*, as no significant inhibition of this endpoint could be observed 351 during the experiment. The comparison among species showed that high variation occurred 352 depending on the endpoint considered. For instance, EC₅₀ values for RGR_{length} of *M. spicatum* and *C. demersum* demonstrated a coefficient of variation above 90 and 75 %, against 72 % and 19 % for RGR_{fm} , respectively. It suggests that shoot elongation is more subject to variations among genotypes than biomass production, or even light harvesting capacities.

356

357 **4. Discussion**

358 4.1 Endpoint sensitivity

359 Species sensitivity to Cu was strongly linked to the endpoints considered, and F_v:F_m was the least sensitive for all species. This suggests that F_v:F_m is not relevant to reveal Cu 360 361 contamination of aquatic environments for these species, and that growth-related endpoints 362 would be more consistent to use in the case of biomonitoring, as they are more sensitive. 363 However, several studies have shown for different aquatic plant species that F_v:F_m was relevant 364 for very short term exposure to pesticides (few hours), but showed some recovery over time (Macinnis-Ng and Ralph, 2003; Choi, Berges and Young, 2012). The fact that Fv:Fm was not 365 366 relevant to reveal the sensitivity of *M. spicatum* highlights the importance of selecting proper 367 endpoints for each species. One mechanism which might explain the F_v : F_m signal of M. spicatum at so high concentrations, and despite a brownish appearance of plants, would be the 368 replacement of Mg²⁺ ions by Cu²⁺ ions in chlorophyll, resulting in a fluorescent signal even if 369 370 the plant was dead (Pádua et al., 2010). However, no further experiment has been conducted to 371 explore this mechanism, but it could be a further step in the understanding of Cu toxicity on M. 372 spicatum.

373 The high variability in growth among replicates for *M. spicatum* and *C. demersum* exposed 374 to Cu might be explained by the fact that fragments were not completely identical at the start 375 of the experiment, despite using the same length. The morphology between fragments showed 376 more variation for these species e.g. in stem thickness and capacity to elongate than L. minor 377 individuals, which have a completely different growth form with floating leaves. Another 378 explanation would be that Cu is an essential element for living organisms. It is the element for 379 which most chelators are found at natural state in cell cytosol, and as such, it already has 380 metabolic pathways and transporters with regulation paths (Huffman and O'Halloran, 2001; 381 Printz et al., 2016). All these elements increase the possibility for variation among individuals 382 and replicates, as numerous pathways to regulate Cu exists at the cellular level, and may vary 383 from one shoot to another. Furthermore, even among clonal individuals some variations can be observed, due to alternative splicing, post-translational modifications or preferential gene
expression (Grativol *et al.*, 2012).

386

387 *4.2 Intraspecific variation*

All three species showed statistically significant differences in sensitivity among genotypes, depending on the endpoint considered. The high variability within genotypes among replicates, especially for *C. demersum* and *M. spicatum* in growth-related endpoints, slightly interfered with the significance of the results, and resulted in EC_{50} values with high standard deviations. However, the robustness of the concentration-response approach managed to highlight differences in sensitivity among genotypes.

394 Traits showing significant differences in productivity among genotypes in absence of 395 contamination always resulted in significant differences in sensitivity to Cu. Furthermore, 396 genotypes which were the most performing were also the most sensitive to contamination, 397 exhibiting the lowest EC₅₀ values, as it was demonstrated in other studies at an interspecific 398 level (Cedergreen et al., 2004; Coutris et al., 2011). Indeed, actively growing individuals will 399 be more impacted when facing a chemical stress, both as they are more exposed to 400 contamination via a rapid uptake of elements, and as they preferentially allocate their resources 401 to biomass production and/or elongation, than to stress defense processes such as antioxidant 402 balance (Delmail et al., 2010).

403 It was interesting to notice that for *L. minor*, the difference in sensitivity of F_v:F_m among 404 genotypes did not confer any growth advantage in terms of sensitivity to the genotype that had 405 a more tolerant F_v:F_m. On the contrary, *M. spicatum* did not show significant growth difference 406 in absence of contamination, but Cu stress highlighted significant differences in sensitivity, as 407 demonstrated by the different EC₅₀ values in RGR_{length} among genotypes. This suggests that 408 genetic variations among those genotypes might influence their response to chemicals, and 409 therefore their susceptibility and their resilience capacity. Genetic diversity within ecosystems 410 may enhance their resilience to abiotic factors, as well as their productivity (Reusch and 411 Hughes, 2006; Sgrò, Lowe and Hoffmann, 2011; Sjöqvist and Kremp, 2016).

The fact that genotypes were coming from relatively similar environments in terms of temperature, light, eutrophication levels and water flows, with no highly contaminated nor pristine environments, decreased the probability to harvest a genotype with a contrasted 415 sensitivity to chemicals (Cao, Mei and Wang, 2017). Indeed, diffuse contamination in an 416 ecosystem may trigger a selection pressure on populations, and only individuals able to thrive 417 under this contamination would remain. Individuals with increased resistance or adaptive 418 plasticity to contamination would progressively be selected due to the chemical pressure 419 (Brown *et al.*, 2009). This is depicted by the pollution-induced-tolerance concept, or PICT, 420 which evaluates the selection pressure applied by chemicals on natural populations (Tlili *et al.*, 421 2016).

422 In our case, it could partially explain the low difference in sensitivity among genotypes, 423 except for *M. spicatum*. Here, we can assume that no strong difference in selection pressure 424 was applied in the environments from which the genotypes were harvested, and therefore no 425 structuration was found in term of sensitivity to contamination, reducing the probability to 426 collect resistant strains. It has been well documented that plant resistance to environmental 427 pressures (metals, pathogens...) is a costly process which may decrease fitness when the 428 pressure considered is removed, and thus is only maintained successfully under stressful 429 conditions (Huot et al., 2014).

430

431 **4.3 Interspecific variation in Cu sensitivity**

432 Overall, interspecific variation was more important than intraspecific variation. Indeed, 433 total variation in EC₅₀ values among genotypes of the various species was explained by 434 interspecific variation at 77% for RGR_{fm} and 99% for F_v:F_m, although *M. spicatum* had no EC₅₀ 435 value for the last endpoint. Based on RGR_{fm}, C. demersum was the most sensitive species, and 436 *M. spicatum* was the most tolerant once EC_{50} values were averaged among genotypes. The 437 duckweed L. minor was in the middle of the sensitivity range covered by the three species, 438 however our EC_{50} values were lower than those found in literature. Khellaf and Zerdaoui (2010) 439 have found an EC₅₀ of 0.47 mg/L for Cu on L. minor on RGR_{fronds} against 0.25 mg/L Cu in our 440 study; however the pH used in their experiment was lower (6.1) and the duration was over four 441 days.

These three species are found across the globe, which denotes a certain ability to tolerate and adjust to a wide range of environments (Grenier, Barre and Litrico, 2016). In this study, whatever the species, no evidence of a relation between intergenotype genetic distance and geographic distance of their origin was found (ISSR analyses, supplementary data). However, the number of genotypes used per species and per population, as well as the number of polymorphic ISSR primers, do not allow to assess the relative importance of geographic
distance in the genetic structure. Several studies have investigated the importance of geographic
distance in shaping the genetic structure of populations, and have demonstrated contrasting
results depending on the species (Pollux *et al.*, 2009; Honnay *et al.*, 2010; Wu *et al.*, 2016).
Phenotypic plasticity could play an important role in this tolerance to abiotic factors (including
chemical stress) and has been widely investigated as a response to environmental variations
(Bradshaw, 2006; Vitasse *et al.*, 2010; Steam, 2012).

Finally, only *M. spicatum* showed a significantly high range of EC_{50} values for both RGR values among genotypes. It might require further investigations to assess the importance of genotypic variability in its sensitivity to chemicals, and whether or not this variability should be taken into account in risk assessment during lab tests.

458 **5.** Conclusion

459 In this study, we assessed the importance of intraspecific variation in the sensitivity of 460 aquatic macrophytes to chemicals. We focused on genotypic variation, which is one source of 461 intraspecific variability. Our results demonstrated that despite some differences in sensitivity 462 among genotypes within species, interspecific variation remained much higher than 463 intraspecific variation. SSD approaches, as well as OECD standardized protocols, are thus not 464 questioned by our results. As the species studied can be found across a broad range of 465 environmental conditions, phenotypic plasticity, which occurs during the life time of an 466 individual, may thus play a more important part in intraspecific variation than genotypic 467 variation. However, supplementary investigations, on more genotypes, are required to assess variability in the sensitivity of M. spicatum to chemicals. Indeed, further studies have 468 469 demonstrated that this species shows broad variations in its life-history traits and genetic shape 470 among populations. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that environmental conditions (e.g. 471 light, nutrients) strongly affect macrophyte phenotypes, and should therefore be considered as 472 a potential source of variation in sensitivity.

473

474 **6. Acknowledgments**

This research was funded by the EC2CO program from the National Institute of Sciences of the
Universe (CNRS/INSU), granted to the VIRMA project, and by the French Ministry of research
and higher education through a Doctoral Fellowship awarded to ER. We thank David Baqué

478 (R&D Engineer in ICP-OES and ICP-MS analysis techniques) for his support for ICP-AES
479 metal analyses, as well as Maëlle Beriou for technical assistance on *C. demersum* experiments.
480 HG is member of the EDB laboratory that is supported by the French Laboratory of Excellence
481 project TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41:ANR-11-IDEX-0002-02) and by an Investissement
482 d'Avenir grant of the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (CEBA : ANR-10-LABX-25-01).

483

484 **7. References**

- Andresen, E. *et al.* (2016) 'Cadmium toxicity investigated at the physiological and biophysical
 levels under environmentally relevant conditions using the aquatic model plant *Ceratophyllum demersum*', *New Phytologist*, 210(4), pp. 1244–1258. doi: 10.1111/nph.13840.
- Barrett, S. C. H., Eckert, C. G. and Husband, B. C. (1993) 'Evolutionary processes in aquatic
 plant populations', *Aquatic Botany*, 44(2–3), pp. 105–145. doi: 10.1016/0304-3770(93)900688.
- Bornette, G. and Puijalon, S. (2011) 'Response of aquatic plants to abiotic factors: A review', *Aquatic Sciences*, 73(1), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.1007/s00027-010-0162-7.
- Bradshaw, A. D. (2006) 'Unravelling phenotypic plasticity why should we bother?', *New Phytologist*, 170(4), pp. 644–648. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01758.x.
- 495 Brown, A. R. et al. (2009) 'Genetic variation, inbreeding and chemical exposure--combined 496 effects in wildlife and critical considerations for ecotoxicology', Philosophical Transactions of 497 Society B: Biological Sciences, 364(1534), 3377-3390. the Roval pp. doi: 498 10.1098/rstb.2009.0126.
- Cao, Q. J., Mei, F. F. and Wang, L. (2017) 'Population genetic structure in six sympatric and
 widespread aquatic plants inhabiting diverse lake environments in China', *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(15), pp. 5713–5723. doi: 10.1002/ece3.3141.
- 502 Cardwell, R. D. et al. (2013) 'Do Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn Biomagnify in Aquatic Ecosystems?',
- 503 Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 226, pp. 101–122. doi: 10.1007/978-
- 504 1-4614-6898-1.
- 505 Carriconde, F. *et al.* (2008) 'High sexual reproduction and limited contemporary dispersal in
 506 the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Tricholoma scalpturatum*: New insights from population genetics

- and spatial autocorrelation analysis', *Molecular Ecology*, 17(20), pp. 4433–4445. doi:
 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03924.x.
- 509 Cedergreen, N., Streibig, J. C. and Spliid, N. H. (2004) 'Sensitivity of aquatic plants to the
- 510 herbicide metsulfuron-methyl', *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 57, pp. 153–161. doi:
- 511 10.1016/S0147-6513(02)00145-8.
- 512 Choi, C. J., Berges, J. A. and Young, E. B. (2012) 'Rapid effects of diverse toxic water
- 513 pollutants on chlorophyll a fluorescence: Variable responses among freshwater microalgae',
- 514 *Water Research*. Elsevier Ltd, 46(8), pp. 2615–2626. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2012.02.027.
- 515 Coutris, C. et al. (2011) 'Can we predict community-wide effects of herbicides from toxicity
- 516 tests on macrophyte species?', Aquatic Toxicology, 101, pp. 49-56. doi:
- 517 10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.08.017.
- 518 Dalton, R. L. *et al.* (2013) 'Comparing the sensitivity of geographically distinct *Lemna minor* 519 populations to atrazine', *Ecotoxicology*, 22, pp. 718–730. doi: 10.1007/s10646-013-1064-y.
- 520 Delmail, D. *et al.* (2010) 'Differential responses of *Myriophyllum alterniflorum DC*521 (Haloragaceae) organs to copper: Physiological and developmental approaches',
 522 *Hydrobiologia*, 664(1), pp. 95–105. doi: 10.1007/s10750-010-0589-9.
- 523 Didierjean, L. *et al.* (2002) 'Engineering Herbicide Metabolism in Tobacco and Arabidopsis
 524 with CYP76B1, a Cytochrome P450 Enzyme from Jerusalem Artichoke', *Plant physiology*,
 525 130, pp. 179–189. doi: 10.1104/pp.005801.
- 526 EFSA (2008) 'Commission regulation (EC) no 889/2008 of 5 September 2008 laying down 527 detailed rules for the implementation of council regulation (EC) no 834/2007 on organic 528 production and labelling of organic products with regard to organic production, labelling and 529 co', *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 250(834), pp. 1–84.
- Ehlers, B. K., Damgard, C. F. and Laroche, F. (2016) 'Intraspecific genetic variation and
 species co-existence in plant communities', *Biology Letters*, 12(1), p. 20150853. doi:
 10.1098/rsbl.2015.0853.
- 533 Fones, H. N. and Preston, G. M. (2013) 'Trade-offs between metal hyperaccumulation and
- 534 induced disease resistance in metal hyperaccumulator plants', *Plant Pathology*, 62(S1), pp. 63–
- 535 71. doi: 10.1111/ppa.12171.
- 536 Gallagher, D. L., Johnston, K. M. and Dietrich, A. M. (2001) 'Fate and transport of copper-

- based crop protectants in plasticulture runoff and the impact of sedimentation as a best
 management practice', *Water Research*, 35(12), pp. 2984–2994. doi: 10.1016/S00431354(00)00594-7.
- 540 Grativol, C. et al. (2012) 'Genetic and epigenetic regulation of stress responses in natural plant
- 541 populations', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1819(Plant gene regulation in response to abiotic
- 542 stress. *), pp. 176–185. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.08.010.
- Grenier, S., Barre, P. and Litrico, I. (2016) 'Phenotypic Plasticity and Selection: Nonexclusive
 Mechanisms of Adaptation', *Scientifica*. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2016, p. 9. doi:
 10.1155/2016/7021701.
- 546 Gross, E. M., Meyer, H. and Schilling, G. (1996) 'Release and ecological impact of algicidal
- 547 hydrolysable polyphenols in *Myriophyllum spicatum*', *Phytochemistry*, 41(1), pp. 133–138.
 548 doi: 10.1016/0031-9422(95)00598-6.
- 549 Hantula, J., Dusabenyagasani, M. and Hamelin, R. C. (1996) 'Random amplified microsatellites
- 550 (RAMS) a novel method for characterizing genetic variation within fungi', European
- 551 *Journal of Forest Pathology*, 26, pp. 159–166. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0329.1996.tb00720.x.
- 552 Hering, D. et al. (2010) 'The European Water Framework Directive at the age of 10: A critical
- review of the achievements with recommendations for the future', *Science of the Total Environment*. Elsevier B.V., 408(19), pp. 4007–4019. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.05.031.
- 555 Honnay, O. *et al.* (2010) 'Patterns of population genetic diversity in riparian and aquatic plant
- species along rivers', *Journal of Biogeography*, 37(9), pp. 1730–1739. doi: 10.1111/j.13652699.2010.02331.x.
- Huffman, D. L. and O'Halloran, T. V. (2001) 'Function, structure, and mechanism of
 intracellular copper trafficking proteins.', *Annual review biochemistry*, 70, pp. 677–701.
- Huot, B. *et al.* (2014) 'Growth-defense tradeoffs in plants: A balancing act to optimize fitness', *Molecular Plant.* The Authors. All rights reserved., 7(8), pp. 1267–1287. doi:
 10.1093/mp/ssu049.
- Jiao, W. *et al.* (2012) 'Environmental risks of trace elements associated with long-term
 phosphate fertilizers applications: A review', *Environmental Pollution*. Elsevier Ltd, 168, pp.
 44–53. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2012.03.052.
- 566 Khellaf, N. and Zerdaoui, M. (2010) 'Growth, photosynthesis and respiratory response to

- 567 copper in *Lemna minor*: a potential use of duckweed in biomonitoring', *J. Environ. Health.*568 *Sci. Eng*, 7(2), pp. 299–306.
- Knauert, S. *et al.* (2010) 'Phytotoxicity of atrazine, isoproturon, and diuron to submersed
 macrophytes in outdoor mesocosms', *Environmental Pollution*. doi:
 10.1016/j.envpol.2009.07.023.
- Kupper, H., Kupper, F. and Spiller, M. (1996) 'Environmental relevance of heavy metalsubstituted chlorophylls using the example of water plants', *Journal of Experimental Botany*,
 47(295), pp. 259–266.
- Loreau, M. and Hector, A. (2001) 'Partitioning selection and complementary in biodiversity
 experiments', *Nature*, 412(July), pp. 72–76. doi: 10.1038/35083573.
- 577 Macinnis-Ng, C. M. O. and Ralph, P. J. (2003) 'Short-term response and recovery of Zostera
- 578 *capricorni* photosynthesis after herbicide exposure', *Aquatic Botany*, 76(1), pp. 1–15. doi:
- 579 10.1016/S0304-3770(03)00014-7.
- Maxwell, K. and Johnson, G. N. (2000) 'Chlorophyll fluorescence a practical guide', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 51(345), pp. 659–668.
- 582 Moss, B. (2008) 'Water pollution by agriculture', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal* 583 *Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1491), pp. 659–666. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2007.2176.
- 584 Murchie, E. H. and Lawson, T. (2013) 'Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis: A guide to good
- 585 practice and understanding some new applications', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 64(13),
- 586 pp. 3983–3998. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert208.
- 587 Nei, M. and Li, W.-H. (1979) 'Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of
- restriction endonucleases.', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 76(10), pp. 5269–5273. doi: 10.1073/pnas.76.10.5269.
- 590 Nõges, P. et al. (2016) 'Quantified biotic and abiotic responses to multiple stress in freshwater,
- 591 marine and ground waters', *Science of the Total Environment*, 540, pp. 43–52. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.06.045.
- 593 OECD (2006) 'OECD 221 Guidelines for testing of chemicals : Lemna sp. Growth Inhibition594 Test'.
- 595 OECD (2014) 'OECD TG 239 Guidelines for the testing of chemicals water-sediment

- 596 Myriophyllum spicatum toxicity test INTRODUCTION'.
- 597 Pádua, M. *et al.* (2010) 'Effects of copper on the photosynthesis of intact chloroplasts:
 598 Interaction with manganese', *Physiologia Plantarum*, 138(3), pp. 301–311. doi:
 599 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2009.01335.x.
- 600 Peng, H. et al. (2012) 'Differences in copper accumulation and copper stress between eight
- 601 populations of *Haumaniastrum katangense*', *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 79, pp.
- 602 58–65. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.12.015.
- Pollux, B. J. A. *et al.* (2009) 'Gene flow and genetic structure of the aquatic macrophyte *Sparganium emersum* in a linear unidirectional river', *Freshwater Biology*, 54(1), pp. 64–76.
 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2008.02100.x.
- Printz, B. *et al.* (2016) 'Copper Trafficking in Plants and Its Implication on Cell Wall
 Dynamics', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7(May), pp. 1–16. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00601.
- Reusch, T. B. H. and Hughes, a R. (2006) 'The Emerging Role of Genetic Diversity for
 Ecosystem Functioning : Estuarine Macrophytes as Models', *Estuaries and Coasts*, 29(1), pp.
 159–164. doi: 10.1007/BF02784707.
- Ribolzi, O. *et al.* (2002) 'Speciation and origin of particulate copper in runoff water from a
 Mediterranean vineyard catchment', *Environmental Pollution*, 117(2), pp. 261–271. doi:
 10.1016/S0269-7491(01)00274-3.
- 614 Ritz, C. *et al.* (2015) 'Dose-response analysis using R', *PLoS ONE*, 10(12), pp. 1–13. doi:
 615 10.1371/journal.pone.0146021.
- Santamaría, L. (2002) 'Why are most aquatic plants widely distributed? Dispersal, clonal
 growth and small-scale heterogeneity in a stressful environment', *Acta Oecologica*, 23(3), pp.
 137–154. doi: 10.1016/S1146-609X(02)01146-3.
- 619 Sgrò, C. M., Lowe, A. J. and Hoffmann, A. A. (2011) 'Building evolutionary resilience for
- 620 conserving biodiversity under climate change', *Evolutionary Applications*, 4(2), pp. 326–337.
- 621 doi: 10.1111/j.1752-4571.2010.00157.x.
- 622 Del Signore, A. et al. (2016) 'Development and application of the SSD approach in scientific
- 623 case studies for ecological risk assessment', Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 35(9),
- 624 pp. 2149–2161. doi: 10.1002/etc.3474.

- Silander, J. A. (1985) 'The genetic basis of the ecolgical amplitude of Spartina patens. II.
 Variance and correlation analysis', *Evolution*, 39(5), pp. 1034–1052.
- 627 Sjöqvist, C. O. and Kremp, A. (2016) 'Genetic diversity affects ecological performance and
- 628 stress response of marine diatom populations', *The ISME Journal*, 10(11), pp. 2755–2766. doi:
- 629 10.1038/ismej.2016.44.
- Sree, K. S. *et al.* (2015) 'Natural variance in salt tolerance and induction of starch accumulation
 in duckweeds', *Planta*, 241(6), pp. 1395–1404. doi: 10.1007/s00425-015-2264-x.
- 632 Steam, S. C. (2012) 'The Evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity', *BioScience*, 39(7),
 633 pp. 436–445.
- Tlili, A. et al. (2016) 'Pollution-induced community tolerance (PICT): towards an ecologically
- 635 relevant risk assessment of chemicals in aquatic systems', *Freshwater Biology*, 61(12), pp.
- 636 2141–2151. doi: 10.1111/fwb.12558.
- Triest, L. *et al.* (2010) 'Genetic differentiation of submerged plant populations and taxa between
 habitats', *Hydrobiologia*, 656(1), pp. 15–27. doi: 10.1007/s10750-010-0434-1.
- Vasseur, L. and Aarssen, L. W. (1992) 'Phenotypic plasticity in *Lemna minor* (Lemnaceae)', *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, 180, pp. 205–219. doi: 10.1007/BF00941151.
- Vitasse, Y. *et al.* (2010) 'Quantifying phenological plasticity to temperature in two temperate
 tree species', *Functional Ecology*, 24(6), pp. 1211–1218. doi: 10.1111/j.13652435.2010.01748.x.
- Voulvoulis, N., Arpon, K. D. and Giakoumis, T. (2017) 'The EU Water Framework Directive:
 From great expectations to problems with implementation', *Science of the Total Environment*.
 The Authors, 575, pp. 358–366. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.228.
- Weyl, P. S. R. and Coetzee, J. A. (2016) 'Morphological variations in southern African
 populations of *Myriophyllum spicatum*: Phenotypic plasticity or local adaptation?', *South African Journal of Botany*. South African Association of Botanists, 103, pp. 241–246. doi:
 10.1016/j.sajb.2015.07.016.
- Wu, Z. *et al.* (2016) 'Influence of geography and environment on patterns of genetic
 differentiation in a widespread submerged macrophyte, Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum L.*, Haloragaceae)', *Ecology and Evolution*, 6(2), pp. 460–468. doi:
 10.1002/ece3.1882.

- 655 Xue, H. et al. (2012) 'Genetic diversity and geographic differentiation analysis of duckweed
- using inter-simple sequence repeat markers', *Molecular Biology Reports*, 39(1), pp. 547–554.
- 657 doi: 10.1007/s11033-011-0769-3.
- 658
- 659

Table 1: GPS coordinates of collecting sites for the different genotypes within species used in copper exposure experiments.

Species	Genotypes	GPS coordinates			
	Authume	47.12256, 5.50560			
Lemna minor	Canal	43.56515, 1.47148			
	Metz	49.02943, 5.71536			
	Tarn	43.89067, 1.50656			
M	Doubs	47.23153, 6.02252			
Myriopnyllum spicalum	Dordogne	44.84584, 0.90596			
	Schöhsee	54.16624, 10.44114			
Ceratophyllum demersum	Tarn	44.11785, 1.15908			
	Garonne	44.01804, 1.07639			
	Dordogne	44.83811, 0.73947			

Table 2: Environmental conditions and experimental design of copper exposure experiments
 conducted on three different species (L. minor, M. spicatum, C. demersum). RGR: Relative Growth Rate, based on fresh mass (RGR_{fm}), frond number (RGR_{fronds}) or length (RGR_{length}); $F_v:F_m$: maximum quantum yield of PSII, n = number of replicates. S&B: Smart and Barko medium, Stb: Steinberg medium, Sed. + Osm: Sediments + Osmocote®, for growth experiment with M. spicatum, 50mL of quartz sediments were enriched with 66.6 mg Osmocote®, NPK 16-8-12, KB. Light intensity was measured at the bottom of the water column for M. spicatum and C. demersum.

Species	L. minor		M. spi	catum	C. demersum		
EC50 Endpoints	RGR _{fm} RGRf _{ronds}	Fv:Fm	RGR _{fm} RGR _{length}	Fv:Fm	RGR _{fm} RGR _{length}	Fv:Fm	
Copper	per $\begin{array}{c} 0 - 1.25 \text{ mg/L} & 0 - 2 \text{ n} \\ n=6 & n=4 \end{array}$		0-2 mg/L n=5	0-35 mg/L n=5	$\begin{array}{c} 0-0.5 \text{ mg/L} \\ n=5 \end{array}$	0-2 mg/L n=5	
Exposure time	7 days	96 h	12 days	96h	14 days	96h	
Experimental conditions	$23.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ}C$ Stb pH 6.5 ± 0.1	$23.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ}C$ Stb pH 6.5 ± 0.1	$23.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ}C$ S & B Sed. + Osm. pH 6.5 ± 0.1	$23.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ}C$ S & B pH 6.5 ± 0.1	23.0 ± 0.1 °C Stb $\frac{1}{2}$ pH 6.5 ± 0.1	$23.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ}C$ Stb $\frac{1}{2}$ pH 6.5 ± 0.1	
675	105.4 ± 9.3 μE	121.4 ± 2.3 μΕ	98.3 ± 1.7 μΕ	98.7 ± 2.1 μE	94.7 ± 1.3 μΕ	97.0 ± 2.0 μE	

683 **Table 3**: Half maximal effective concentrations (EC₅₀, mean \pm SD) for different genotypes of 684 three macrophyte species: L minor, M. spicatum and C. demersum exposed to Cu. Maximal 685 Quantum Yield of PSII (F_v:F_m) experiment lasted for 96 h. Growth experiments (relative growth 686 rates, RGR) lasted for 7, 12 and 14 days for L. minor, M. spicatum and C. demersum 687 respectively. CV: coefficient of variation among EC₅₀ values in %, calculated within species 688 (based on averaged EC₅₀ values per genotype and endpoint). Interspecific variability was assessed from the R² value from ANOVA. * For RGR_{length}, interspecific variability was only 689 690 compared between C. demersum and M. spicatum, as this endpoint was not used for L. minor.

691

Spacios	Ganatypas	EC50 values	EC ₅₀ values EC ₅₀ values		
species	Genotypes	$\mathrm{RGR}_{\mathrm{fm}}$	RGR _{fronds} /RGR _{length}	$F_v:F_m$	
$\begin{array}{c} \textit{L. minor} \\ (n = 4 \text{ for} \\ RGR, n = 6 \\ \text{for } F_v:F_m) \end{array}$	Metz	0.133 ± 0.01	0.127 ± 0.02	0.423 ± 0.02	
	Doubs	0.154 ± 0.02	0.157 ± 0.03	0.394 ± 0.02	
	Canal	0.146 ± 0.02	0.151 ± 0.02	0.72 ± 0.04	
	Average	$\textbf{0.144} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	0.145 ± 0.01	0.513 ± 0.1	
	EC50 CV %	7.1	10.9	35.2	
<i>M. spicatum</i> (n = 5)	Schöhsee	0.46 ± 0.11	0.296 ± 0.23	NA	
	Doubs	0.077 ± 0.07	0.042 ± 0.03	NA	
	Tarn	0.271 ± 0.15	0.132 ± 0.25	NA	
	Dordogne	0.137 ± 0.09	0.043 ± 0.19	NA	
	Average	0.237 ± 0.09	$\boldsymbol{0.128 \pm 0.06}$	NA	
	EC50 CV %	72.0	93.8	NA	
<i>C. demersum</i> (n = 5)	Dordogne	0.059 ± 0.01	0.051 ± 0.06	2.21 ± 0.28	
	Garonne	0.086 ± 0.05	0.006 ± 0.004	2.15 ± 0.27	
	Tarn	0.085 ± 0.02	0.067 ± 0.03	NA	
	Average	$\boldsymbol{0.077 \pm 0.01}$	0.042 ± 0.02	$\textbf{2.18} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	
	EC50 CV %	19.4	76.0	1.9	
% of interspecific variability		78.3	66.0*	99.8	

693 Legends

Figure 1: Sensitivity to chemicals for five hypothetic species determined using individuals from a single population per species (in black). In this kind of approach, the real variability of the species response to contamination is ignored, and interspecific differences which are highlighted here may be spurious and result from a "sampling effect" (*i.e.* these differences may be related more to the sensitivities of the populations sampled than to intrinsic characteristics of the species).

Figure 2: Concentration-response curves for three genotypes of *L. minor* exposed to copper, with relative growth rates (RGR) based on fresh mass (A) and frond number (B) after 7 days of exposure, and (C) $F_v:F_m$ after 96h. Curves were fitted with non-linear log-logistic models with 4 parameters (A and B) and 3 parameters (C).

Figure 3: Concentration-response curves for four genotypes of *M. spicatum* exposed to copper,
 relative growth rates (RGR) based on fresh mass (A) and shoot length (B) after 12 days of
 exposure. Curves were fitted with non-linear log-logistic models with 3 parameters.

Figure 4: Concentration-response curves for two to three genotypes of *C. demersum* exposed to copper, with relative growth rates (RGR) based on fresh mass (**A**) and shoot length (**B**) after 14 days of exposure, and $F_v:F_m$ (**C**) after 96h. Curves were fitted with non-linear log-logistic models with 4 parameters for growth related endpoints (**A**, **B**) and exponential decay models with 2 parameters for $F_v:F_m$ (**C**).

712Figure 5: EC_{50} values for Relative Growth Rates based on fresh mass of three species, *L. minor*,713*M. spicatum* and *C.* demersum exposed to copper. From three to four genotypes of each species714were exposed during 7 days (L. minor), 12 days (M. spicatum) or 14 days (C. demersum) to715concentrations from 0 to 1.25 mg/L, 0 to 2 mg/L and 0 to 0.5 mg/L Cu, respectively. Same716letters within a given species indicate genotypes whose EC_{50} values do not differ significantly.

717



732 Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4







756 SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

757 Supplemental material I. Details of ISSR amplification procedure, of banding pattern analysis
758 and of genetic distance calculation.

759 ISSR amplifications were carried out in a final volume of 25 µl containing 1X of GoTaq green 760 buffer (Promega), 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 1 µM of primer, 0.25 U of GoTaq G2 Hot Start 761 polymerase (Promega) and 10 ng of template DNA. Reactions were performed in a 762 MasterCycler Pro S (Eppendorf) thermal cycler with an initial denaturation step of 3 min at 763 95°C, followed by 37 cycles of 55 s at 95°C, 1 min at annealing temperature required for the 764 primer (Table S1) and 3 min at 72°C, and a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C. A negative 765 control without DNA was included in each run. Amplified fragments were separated by 766 electrophoresis in 0.5X TAE buffer on 1.4% agarose gel including ClearSightDNA 767 (Euromedex) to reveal ISSR banding patterns. Images of patterns were then captured under UV 768 light. The reproducibility of ISSR patterns was assessed by repeating twice the amplifications 769 for each primer and, also, by comparing patterns obtained with two independent DNA 770 extractions of the samples.

771 For each plant species, resulting ISSR patterns were compared to discriminate the strains. In 772 order to estimate genetic relationships among strains within each species, clear and well-773 separated ISSR fragments were retained and scored as present (1) or absent (0). A matrix of 774 pairwise genetic distance was constructed by calculating for all pairs of samples the DICE 775 dissimilarity index GD = 1 - 2nXY/(nX + nY) where 2nXY is the number of fragments shared 776 by two strains X and Y, and nX and nY are the numbers of present fragments in strain X and in 777 strain Y respectively. Cluster analyses based on UPGMA were performed with GD matrices 778 and dendrograms were constructed to visualize genetic differences among strains of each 779 species. Computation of GD matrices and of UPGMA clusters were done with FAMD 1.30 software (Schülter et al., 2006, Molecular Ecology Notes, 6, pp. 569-572; 780 781 http://www.famd.me.uk/famd.html) and dendrograms were edited with MEGA 7 (Kumar et al., 782 2016, Molecular Biology and Evolution, 33, pp. 1870-1874).

783

784

785

	Myriophyllum spicatum			Ceratophyllum demersum			Lemna minor			
Sequences		No.	No.		No.	No.		No.	No.	
	sequences	т	scored	polymorph	т	scored	polymorph	T	scored	polymorph
Primers*	(51.21)	IA	fragment	ic	IA	fragment	ic	IA	fragment	ic
	(3-5)		S	fragments		S	fragments		S	fragments
ISSR5	(CA) ₈ GT	46°C	7	4	46°C	7	2	50°C	6	4
ISSR8	(CA)7 ATCC	46°C	4	1	46°C	7	3	50°C	4	2
ISSR9	(CA) ₇ GTCT	46°C	7	3	46°C	6	2	50°C	5	3
ISSR12	GGTC(AC	-	-	-	53°C	5	1	53°C	7	2
UBC811	(GA) ₈ C	53°C	8	3	52°C	6	3	-		
UBC827	$(AC)_8 G$	-	-	-	52°C	4	2	53°C	9	4
UBC845	(CT) ₈ GG	-	-	-	-	-	-	53°C	8	5
UBC849	(GT) ₈ CA	53°C	6	2	-	-	-	53°C	8	4
UBC855	$(AC)_8 CT$	53°C	7	5	52°C	4	2	53°C	6	4
UBC856	(AC) ₈ CA	53°C	6	2	-	-	-	53°C	6	3
UBC857	(AC) ₈ TG	-	-	-	-	-	-	53°C	11	6
UBC861	$(ACC)_6$	-	-	-	-	-	-	53°C	7	4
R1	DHB(CG A) ₅	-	-	-	-	-	-	53°C	7	2
R2	DDB(CCA	53°C	8	2	-	-	-	53°C	10	1
R3	BDB(ACA	53°C	5	1	-	-	-	53°C	6	2
R5	(CCA) ₅ S	53°C	5	2	50°C	4	1	53°C	6	1
R6	(ACA) ₅ S	53°C	8	3	-			53°C	11	4
RP1	$(AC)_8 YT$	-	-	-	53°C	4	1	53°C	13	7
RP2	(CA) ₆ RY	-	-	-	-	-	-	53°C	7	2
RP5	(CTC) ₄ RC	-	-	-	-	-	-	53°C	10	5
RP6	(GTG) ₃ GC	53°C	8	3	-	-	-	-		
RP7	(CAC) ₄ RC	53°C	5	4	-	-	-	53°C	9	1
All			84	35		47	17		156	66

Table S1 Primers used to amplify ISSR fragments in each species, annealing temperatures (T_A),
 number of scored fragments and number of polymorphic fragments.

* References for primers : ISSR5 to ISSR12 : Triest *et al.* (2010) ; UBC811 to UBC861 :
Primers designed by the University of British Columbia Biotechnology Laboratory (Canada)
and used by Xue *et al.* (2012) with *Lemna* and by Cao *et al.* (2017) with *Myriophyllum* and *Ceratophyllum* ; R1 to R3 : Hantula *et al.* (1996) ; R5 and R6 : Carriconde *et al.* (2008) ; RP1
to RP7 : Liang *et al.* (2005).

794 **With B = T, C or G ; D = A, T or G ; H = A, C or T ; R = A or G ; S = C or G and Y = C or 795 T.

- Fig. S1. UPGMA cluster analysis based on ISSR data showing genetic relationships among
 strains of *Myriophyllum spicatum*, *Ceratophyllum demersum* and *Lemna minor*. The scale refers
- to genetic distances (Nei and Li, 1979).

