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Abstract

Hydrogen has concerned interest universally as an environmentally nontoxic and renewable fuel. Electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) is one of the utmost favorable methods for hydrogen creation on a vast scale; however, the high cost of Pt-based supplies, which demonstrate the highest activity for HER, forced investigators to look for cheaper electro-catalysts. Tungsten has been considered as an effective, active and low cost electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction, mostly in alkaline media, and we have investigated here its behavior in acid electrolytes. HER has been studied utilizing linear polarization technique and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). It happens on W at rather low overpotential (-0.32 V vs. NHE at 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\), in 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)), yet more cathodic than the widely used Pt/C catalyst, but not so far from more sophisticated systems developed recently. The effect of acid concentration on the HER rate and the electrode stability was investigated. Cathodic transfer coefficient and exchange current density were calculated for the HER from Tafel curves obtained in H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solution at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 3.0 M. EIS experiments were performed under both open circuit and/or cathodic polarization. It was found that the hydrogen evolution rate is relatively high under low overpotential, confirming that W is a possible applicant to substitute more expensive electrocatalysts usually used for the HER under acidic conditions. The process is economic and appropriate with no need for specific treatments, as supported by additional X-ray diffraction (XRD) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) characterization of the tungsten electrode surface.
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1. Introduction

Hydrogen production from water electrolysis is a significant work owing to its importance as an alternative to hydrogen energy manufacturing field [1-3]. “Hydrogen economy” is a possible substitute to energy systems using fossil derived fuels, either for its useful environmental influence or as a substitute for rapidly exhausting fossil fuels [4,5]. HER has been studied on different electrode materials with the goal to find more efficient conditions for H₂ production. The active materials for HER should be of large active surface area, highly intrinsic electrocatalytic activity, stable and of low cost [4,6].

It is well recognized that noble metals like platinum, Pt, and palladium, Pd (especially Pt/C and Pd/C) have high efficient catalytic performance for the H₂ production, good chemical stability, large surface area with low overpotential in acid media [7]. However, their high price reduces their utilization for industrial applications [8, 9]. Therefore, much effort has been exhausted to find cost-effective, earth-abundant metal based compounds as a highly efficient HER catalyst [10].

Recently, non-precious metals like Ni [11], Co [12], W [13] or Mo [14], and/or non-noble metal-based materials, such as transition metal sulfides, nitrides, phosphides, carbides and carbonaceous materials, which have been stated as efficient HER catalysts replacing noble metal catalysts [15-17]. This is not only due to their chemical stability, but also because of their low cost and low HER overpotential [13,14]. In the tungsten family, more sophisticated W-based systems have been also reported for HER, including for instance tungsten carbide, tungsten oxide, tungsten sulfide or phosphide, tungsten-based alloys, or their multicomponent composites [18-30]. Note that tungsten oxide can be also used as
electrocatalyst for oxygen reduction [28]. Finally, one noticed also recent efforts directed towards the photocatalytic hydrogen generation using tungsten-based (nano) materials [31-39].

W was utilized successfully to produce H₂ from KOH solutions at a reasonable rate and for a relatively long time [13,40]. This is due to its superior electronic conductivity [41] that makes it significant candidate for electrocatalytic HER. The disadvantages of H₂O alkaline electrolysis processes are mainly related to their low efficiency and high energy consumption [42-43].

Hence, W metal is inspected as a catalyst for the HER in this work in acid solution which provides a potential alternative to this issue. It is aimed to utilize it as an effective cathode for hydrogen evolution at low hydrogen overpotential in H₂SO₄ solution. This suggestion was done especially on tungsten owing to its low cost, superior electronic properties and easily available. The electrochemical and catalytic characteristics of this electrode were investigated here on the basis of potentiometry and linear scan voltammetry (LSV) measurements as well as electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). Also, composition and morphological or structural properties have been included on the basis of SEM, EDX, XRD and XPS measurements.

2. Experimental

The W rod (Sigma Aldrich of cross-section area of 0.2 cm²) was embedded in epoxy resin and then polished using successive grades of emery papers down to 2000 grit then washed with triple distilled water and transferred quickly to the electrolytic cell. The W working electrode was held using a PTFE holder. Its electrochemical response was evaluated in H₂SO₄ medium (Sigma Aldrich) using
the Voltalab PGZ 100 workstation, most often with a three-electrode cell as stated previously in Badawy et al. [13] work. Triple distilled water was used.

The open circuit potential, OCP, was recorded for 1 h and all potential values are referred to the saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE, +0.241 V vs. NHE). All experiments were conducted at room temperature (25 ± 1 °C). Linear sweep voltammetry was performed at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. EIS tests were performed at OCP potential and at different overpotentials in the H₂ evolution region with a 10 mV amplitude at frequency ranging from 1 mHz to 100 kHz. The polarization and EIS tests were repeated three times. Pt electrode was used for comparison purposes.

Surface morphology and characterization was achieved utilizing the scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Model Quanta 250 Field Emission Gun) coupled with energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) Unit (FEI Company, Netherlands). XPS was collected on K-ALPHA (Themo Fisher Scientific, USA) with monochromatic X-ray Al K-alpha radiation -10 to 1350 eV spt size 400 micro m at pressure 10-9 mbar with full spectrum pass energy 200 eV and at narrow spectrum 50 eV. XRD instrument was … Surface roughness was measured using the Nanosurf C3000 atomic force microscopy (AFM) apparatus.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Preliminary characterization of W electrodes

The polished W rod electrode exhibited a flat surface (its roughness has been estimated from AFM imaging at about 45 nm), yet with noticeable polishing striations (see SEM micrograph on Fig. 1A), without any other detectable elements (as pointed out from EDX analysis, see Fig. 1B). XPS examination of its surface state (see the narrow scan corresponding to the W region on Fig. 1C)
reveals the presence of two main contributions of W(4f) at binding energies of respectively 31.3 and 33.5 eV, which are characteristics of metallic W\(^0\), and two small peaks located at 35.6 and 37.8 eV generally attributed to tungsten oxidized to its hexavalent state (WO\(_3\)) [44-46]. The small amount of oxidized tungsten was even less after contacting a 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solution (i.e., the medium in which most of electrochemical measurements will be performed, as described below), which should be due to some wet etching of WO\(_3\) likely to occur in very acidic medium [46,47]. The residual WO\(_3\) signature might be also due to the fact that the W sample was briefly exposed to air prior to XPS analysis, and might therefore not reflect exactly the surface state of the electrode in the electrolyte medium (as otherwise reported for air-sensitive materials [48]).

Both crude W electrode and W electrode treated with 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) have been characterized by XRD. The results (Fig. 2) indicate the expected body-centered cubic phase characteristic of W [49]. The same diffraction lines were observed for both crude and treated electrodes, with the typical reflections shown as (002), (110), (200), (211) and (220), respectively located at 2\(\theta\) values of 29°, 40°, 58°,73° and 87°. No noticeable difference can be seen after W treatment in 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), and no crystalline WO\(_3\) can be detected, suggesting that the small amount of oxide evidenced from XPS is either too low to be detected by XRD or amorphous.

3.2. Open circuit potential

The open-circuit potentials, E\(_{OCP}\), of W (Fig. 3a) were monitored over 1 h in aerated H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) solution of various concentrations. E\(_{OCP}\) was reached in ~ 30 minutes at all acid concentrations, It shifted positively at first few minutes and then a gradual increase of potential with time until a steady state value is obtained (the relatively steady values are in the range of 0 to 0.50 mV). This means a
passivation and healing of W in aqueous media even with using a high acid concentration. By increasing the H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration from 0.1-0.5 M, there is no appreciable change in the steady state potential, $E_{ss}$. At higher concentration of H$_2$SO$_4$ (> 0.5 M), the $E_{OCP}$ of the W electrode shifts toward more positive values indicating healing (cf. Fig. 3a). For comparison, the $E_{OCP}$ for Pt in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution was also outlined and the results of both Pt and W are set in Fig. 3b. The results indicate much more negative steady-state potential for W compared to Pt.

### 3.3. Electrocatalytic evolution of hydrogen on W electrode

The HER electrocatalytic activity for W electrode was estimated by the cathodic current-potential curves in different H$_2$SO$_4$ acid concentrations (Fig. 4a) and examined in comparison with commercial Pt electrode. The increase of H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration from 0.1 to 3.0 M resulted in different current densities related to the HER increasing from 95 to 592 mA cm$^{-2}$ at -1.0 V and from 182 to 1470 mA cm$^{-2}$ at -1.5 V. This means that the H$_2$ production can be controlled by the electrolyte concentration. Fig. 4b illustrates the hydrogen evolution behavior of W, in comparison to Pt in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution, where the Pt catalyst exhibits the highest HER catalytic activity in the same solution, larger than that recorded on W electrode. These values are estimated from Fig. 4b, after enlargement of the current scale at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ are -0.32 V and -0.08 V vs. NHE (in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$), respectively, for W and Pt electrodes. The steady state potentials of Pt and W are 485 and -2 mV, respectively, (cf. Fig. 3b). The potential at which H$_2$ starts to evolve in the same solution on the two different electrodes is -310 and -596 mV for Pt and W, respectively (cf. Fig. 4b). Thus, the potential jump for HER on W amounts to -594 mV whereas that on Pt is -795 mV. So, W is an effective catalyst for H$_2$ production in H$_2$SO$_4$ solutions. To compare between W and Pt according to
HER rate using similar polarization circumstances, the current density on W at -1.0 V from the steady-state potential amounts to 210 mA cm$^{-2}$, i.e. only twice as less than on Pt (410 mA cm$^{-2}$). The presented data were extracted from Fig. 4b at a concentration of 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ only. It was found that the hydrogen evolution rate is relatively high under low overpotential (-1 V). This confirms well confirming that W is a possible applicant as low cost electrocatalyst for the HER under acidic conditions. At higher concentration the rate of hydrogen evolution on W will be higher (cf. Fig. 4a). It means that even for practical applications when one needs to work in higher acid concentrations, W can act effectively as a catalyst for the HER in acidic electrolytes, yet achieving 50% of the performance in terms of current density when compared to Pt electrode. A more detailed comparison to other electrocatalysts investigated in the past few years (Table 1) shows that the overpotential values for W from the present study remains quite high, but not that much in comparison to other systems [50-87] that are often more sophisticated in terms of composition, (nano)structure or preparation methods, than a simple W rod as used here.

Fig. 5 presents the polarization scans of the HER on W electrode at different H$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations fitted well with the Tafel equation [13]. The kinetic parameters were derived by the extrapolation method and are summarized in Table 2. The slope of the cathodic Tafel line, $b$, is given by: $b=2.3RT/(1-\alpha)F$, where it is inversely proportional to the cathodic transfer coefficient, (1-$\alpha$). The exchange current density, $i_o$, is obtained from the intersection of Tafel lines. Generally, to convert H$^+$ protons to H$_2$ in acidic electrolytes, three elementary reaction steps are involved [88,89], as follow.

A primary discharge step (Volmer reaction):
\[ M + H_3O^+ + e^- \rightarrow M-H_{ads} + H_2O \left( b = \frac{2.3RT}{aF} \approx 120 \text{ mV} \right) \] (1),

An electrochemical-desorption step (Heyrovsky reaction):

\[ M-H_{ads} + H_3O^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2 \uparrow + M + H_2O \left( b = \frac{2.3RT}{(1+a)F} \approx 40 \text{ mV} \right) \] (2)

and A recombination step (Tafel reaction):

\[ 2M-H_{ads} \rightarrow H_2 \uparrow + 2M \left( b = \frac{2.3RT}{2F} \approx 30 \text{ mV} \right) \] (3)

Molecular H\(_2\) can be produced when reaction (1) is combined with reaction (2), or reaction (3). For materials that are good catalysts toward H\(_2\) production (HER), the Tafel reaction is the rate-determining step (rds) at low overpotentials, while at higher overpotentials, the Heyrovsky reaction becomes the rds [90]. The right reaction mechanism can’t be simply known. As example, the Tafel slope for the HER on the Pt(110) was 28 mV/dec, indicating the reaction pathway to the Tafel-Volmer mechanism [91]. By knowing the evaluated values, we can assume that the route for HER on W (\( b = 25 \text{ mV dec}^{-1} \) cf. Table 1) should follow Volmer–Tafel steps, where Tafel reaction is the rds. It is also evaluated that the exchange current density increases with the H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) concentration. To know the actual meaning of the Tafel slope, the right value of the transfer coefficient \( \alpha \) should be known. The transfer coefficient for the HER is given to be between 0 and 1 [92]. The cathodic transfer coefficient decrease by a small value with increasing the H\(^+\) concentrations with an increase of exchange current density \( i_o \), leading to an overall effect of HER enhancement [93]. Comparison to other HER catalysts indicates that Tafel slope achieved here with W electrode is amongst the smallest values reported to date for other electrode materials (Table 1).
3.4. EIS investigations of W electrode.

Metallic catalysts are likely to be corroded, inducing a decrease in its performance when utilized in industrial applications (activity and lifetime drops). Hence, EIS is an appropriate technique to characterize such behavior [94-99]. The Bode plots of W electrode after 1 h immersion in an aerated solution of different H₂SO₄ concentrations were given in Fig. 6. They show a broad two time constants with a maximum phase angle of ~ 90° demonstrating that the corrosion reaction is mostly controlled by a charge transfer process. The phase diagram shape or phase angle maximum does not vary with the H₂SO₄ concentration [99,100] ensuring the same reaction mechanism (Fig. 6). The data are fitted well utilizing an equivalent circuit model constituting a solution resistance, $R_s$ in series with a two parallel combinations consisting of a resistor representing the charge transfer resistance of outer and inner layer, $R_1$, $R_2$, respectively, and a constant phase element (CPE), $CPE_1$, $CPE_2$, respectively, representing the double layer capacitance of the outer and inner layer (cf. Fig. 6 (inset)). The CPE is introduced to account for the non-ideality of the surface [101-103] including $\alpha$ as an empirical parameter ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$) and $f$ as the frequency in Hz [104,105]. The experimental results were fitted to the circuit inset in Fig. 6 and the calculated parameters are given in Table 3. The total charge transfer resistance ($R_T$) (Table 3) was found to be increased with increasing the concentration of H₂SO₄ indicating a higher stability of W electrode at higher acidic solutions due to the formation of less soluble heteropolytungstates [100].

Fig. 7 presents the Bode and Nyquist plots of the W catalyst in H₂SO₄ solutions at various concentrations, as polarized cathodically at a potential of -750 mV, where the HER is taking place at a measurable rate. Generally, the total
impedance, $Z$, under cathodic polarization, is lower (cf. Fig. 7a) owing to the activation of the W surface due to the HER. The $\theta_{max}$ value shifts toward lower values and the impedance, $Z$, value decreases in more concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ solutions, indicating an increase in the rate of H$_2$ generation (HER). There is no broadening of phase diagram meaning that the rate of hydrogen production is appreciably high. Only one depressed semicircle was observed in Nyquist plot for W catalyst at all H$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations (cf. Fig. 7b), which becomes smaller in more concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ due to the fact that the adsorption process is facilitated and the charge transfer process controls the mechanism as the concentration increases. The data can be adjusted utilizing the simple Randles model (Fig. 7b) and fitting values [106-110] are presented in Table 4. The charge-transfer resistance decreases as the acid concentrations increases. This confirms well the increase in the current density, i.e. the increase in HER rate. Fig. 8 presents the Bode and Nyquist plots performed after different intervals of electrode immersion in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ at a cathodic potential of -750 mV. $R_T$ increases due to a progressive adsorption of H$^+$ on the electrode surface [7] as given in Table 5. The resistance of the adsorbed layer increases with increasing immersion time indicating increased adsorption of hydrogen on the electrode surface [111].

4. Conclusions

This work has investigated the electrocatalytic properties of tungsten electrode in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ for hydrogen evolution reactions. Polarization and impedance results have shown that the hydrogen production occurs at a reasonable overpotential on W compared to other HER catalysts, yet more cathodic than the most efficient used to date (i.e., Pt/C or novel nanostructured catalysts), however, from cost
comparison W is better economically. Tungsten catalyst exhibited high HER activity with an overpotential of -0.32 V vs. NHE (at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$), large cathodic current, and a Tafel slope as small as 25 mV/decade, which makes them perspective for application in acidic electrolyzers for hydrogen production.
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**Figures captions:**

**Fig. 1.** (A) SEM micrograph and (B) EDX spectrum corresponding to a freshly polished W electrode. (C) High resolution XPS spectra for W (4f) recorded with W electrode, respectively, before (C1) and after contacting a 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution (C2).

**Fig. 2.** XRD patterns for A) a crude W electrode (without polishing) and B) W electrode treated with 0.5 M H₂SO₄ (with polishing).

**Fig. 3.** (a) Variation of the open circuit potential with time for W electrode immersed in H₂SO₄ solutions of different concentrations at 25 °C. (b) Variation of the open-circuit potential with time for W and Pt electrodes in a stable natural aerated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution.

**Fig. 4.** (a) Influence of H₂SO₄ solution concentration on the catalytic activity of W electrode at 25 °C. (b) Cathodic polarization curves for HER on W and Pt in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at 25 °C.

**Fig. 5.** Cathodic Tafel lines for HER on W electrode immersed in aerated H₂SO₄ solution of different concentrations at 25 °C.

**Fig. 6.** Bode plots for W electrode immersed in H₂SO₄ solutions of different concentrations after 60 min of electrode immersion at 25 °C. (inset): Equivalent circuit model used in the impedance data fitting. Where $R_s$= solution resistance, $R_p$= polarization resistance, $C_{dl}$= double layer capacitance.

**Fig. 7.** (a) Bode plots for W electrode at E= -750 mV vs SCE, for HER at different concentrations of H₂SO₄ solutions at 25 °C. (b) Nyquist plots for W electrode at E= -750 mV vs SCE, for HER at different concentrations of H₂SO₄ solutions at 25 °C.

**Fig. 8.** (a) Bode plots of W after 1 h of electrode immersion in stagnant naturally aerated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution at -750 mV and 25 °C. (b) Nyquist plots for W after 1 h of electrode immersion in stagnant naturally aerated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution at -750 mV and 25 °C.
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### Table 1- Comparative performance of various HER electrocatalysts in acidic media (0.5 M H₂SO₄).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode material</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV dec⁻¹)</th>
<th>Overpotential (at 10 mA/cm²) (mV)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core-shell MoO₃-MoS₂ nanowires</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS₂ nanoparticles on Au electrode</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defect-rich MoS₂ nanosheets</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanostructured Ni₃P</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS₂</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoP nanocrystals on C nanotubes</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSe₂ film on glassy carbon electrode</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendritic WSe₂ on carbon nanofiber</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO₂-carbon mesoporous nanowires</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC nanocrystals on C nanotubes</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO₃ (precipitated on glassy carbon)</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO₃ (annealed on glassy carbon)</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/carbon</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoN on N-doped C nano-octahedrons</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSe₂ nanorods on graphene oxide nanosheets</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt nanoparticles on MoS₂ nanosheets</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC hybrid nanowires on carbon cloth</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiS₂/MoS₂ on glassy carbon electrode</td>
<td>58-83</td>
<td>204-284</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiTe/NiTe₂ nanosheets on carbon rod</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS₃ electrodeposited on Cu foam</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt nanoparticles on N-doped carbon nanofiber</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoPS nanoparticles on N-doped carbon</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanostructured CoP on C fiber paper</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS₂ nanoflowers on carbon cloth</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoSe₂ on carbon nanotube arrays</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrazine-incorporated graphdiyne film</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-doped porous carbon</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoP₂ nanowire arrays on carbon cloth</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material Description</td>
<td>Efficiency (η)</td>
<td>Capacitance (C)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt on porous TiN nanorod array</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyoxometalate-encapsulated Ag-tetrazole nanocage frameworks</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd$_{13}$Cu$_5$S$_7$ Nanoplates</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Ni$_x$P nanospheres on carbon cloth</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgPd alloy decorated MoS$_2$ nanosheets</td>
<td>82-109</td>
<td>215-229</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoporous CoS</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>P nanosheet array</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Bi/Cu nano-architectures</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow Cu/Cu$_2$O/Cu$_2$S nanotubes</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W$_2$C nanodots on C nanotube networks</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtMo nanosponge wrapped with graphene dots</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>[86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiMo/NiMoO$_4$ polyhedron on Ni foam</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W electrode</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This work
Table 2- Tafel parameters for the HER on W electrode in H$_2$SO$_4$ solution with different concentrations at 25 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc /M</th>
<th>$i_0$ /mA cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$-b$ /mVdec$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>1-$\alpha_c$</th>
<th>Onset pot. / V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1168</td>
<td>0.02677</td>
<td>0.1668</td>
<td>0.8332</td>
<td>-0.5965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1182</td>
<td>0.02535</td>
<td>0.1202</td>
<td>0.8798</td>
<td>0.5874-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1185</td>
<td>0.02552</td>
<td>0.1258</td>
<td>0.8742</td>
<td>0.5828-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1337</td>
<td>0.02592</td>
<td>0.1395</td>
<td>0.8605</td>
<td>-0.5783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1345</td>
<td>0.02563</td>
<td>0.1296</td>
<td>0.8704</td>
<td>-0.5691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3- Equivalent circuit parameters for W electrode measured after 1 h of electrode immersion in H$_2$SO$_4$ solution with different concentrations at 25 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. /M</th>
<th>$R_s$ /Ω cm$^2$</th>
<th>$R_t$ /kΩ cm$^2$</th>
<th>$C_t$ /µF cm$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>22.27</td>
<td>129.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>22.84</td>
<td>123.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>24.71</td>
<td>108.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>42.31</td>
<td>49.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>69.63</td>
<td>26.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4- Equivalent circuit parameters for W recorded after 1 h of electrode immersion in H$_2$SO$_4$ solution of different concentration under -750 mV cathodic polarizations at 25 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. /M</th>
<th>$R_s$ /Ω cm$^2$</th>
<th>$R_{ct}$ /Ω cm$^2$</th>
<th>$C_{ct}$ /µF cm$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>69.33</td>
<td>91.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>26.61</td>
<td>75.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>80.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>64.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>75.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5- Equivalent circuit parameters for W electrode measured after different intervals of electrode immersion in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution under -750 mV at 25 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time /min</th>
<th>$R_s$ /Ω cm$^2$</th>
<th>$R_{ct}$ /Ω cm$^2$</th>
<th>$C_{at}$ /µF cm$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>70.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>65.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>75.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>17.26</td>
<td>73.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>72.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>