

Tandem Chiral Cu(II) Phosphate-Catalyzed Deoxygenation of Nitrones/Enantioselective Povarov Reaction with Enecarbamates

Coralie Gelis, Guillaume Levitre, Vincent Guerineau, David Touboul, Luc Neuville, Geraldine Masson

▶ To cite this version:

Coralie Gelis, Guillaume Levitre, Vincent Guerineau, David Touboul, Luc Neuville, et al.. Tandem Chiral Cu(II) Phosphate-Catalyzed Deoxygenation of Nitrones/Enantioselective Povarov Reaction with Enecarbamates. European Journal of Organic Chemistry, 2019, 2019 (31-32), pp.5151-5155. 10.1002/ejoc.201900547. hal-02324659

HAL Id: hal-02324659

https://hal.science/hal-02324659

Submitted on 25 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Chiral Cu(II) Phosphate-Catalyzed Enantioselective Tandem Deoxygenation / [4+2] Cycloaddition of Nitrones with Enecarbamates

Coralie Gelis, Guillaume Levitre, Vincent Guérineau, David Touboul, Luc Neuville, and Géraldine Masson*

Abstract: A new catalytic enantioselective tandem deoxygenation / aza-Diels-Alder reaction of nitrones with enecarbamates was serendipitously discovered in the presence of chiral copper(II) diphosphate complexes. This process affords a wide range of 4-aminotetrahydroquinolines in respectable yields under mild conditions with good to excellent ee values.

Introduction

Nitones are valuable and versatile building blocks in organic synthesis because of their ready accessibility and high reactivity in various transformations. [1] In particular, 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction of nitrones with various dipolarophiles, has been extensively investigated especially in its catalytic asymmetric version. [2,3] Surprisingly, enamides and enecarbamates, which commonly served as dienophiles in cycloadditions, [4] have been rarely used as dipolarophiles in the inverse electron demand [3+2]-cycloaddition. [2b,5] To the best of our knowledge, no catalytic enantioselective version has been reported yet.

Scheme 1. Catalytic enantioselective [3+2]-cycloaddition synthesis of trisubstituted isoxazolidines.

In continuation of our research program in catalytic asymmetric cycloadditions with enecarbamates, [6] we became interested in exploring their reactivity in connection with nitrones. We speculated that chiral phosphoric acid catalysts^[7] or their corresponding metal salts^[7b,8] could promote enantioselective

[3+2] cycloaddition to deliver valuable isoxazolidines. Indeed, as the nitrones and enecarbamates can be activated by these catalysts via hydrogen bonding[3b] and/or metal chelation, reactivity and high stereoselectivity might be achieved (Scheme 1). However, our first attempts with chiral phosphoric acids were totally unsuccessful, the starting materials being mainly recovered. Therefore, we turned our attention to their corresponding more active phosphate metal salts as viable catalysts for this cycloaddition. Alkali or alkaline earth metal-phosphoric acid complexes were not more effective as catalysts. [7b] But to our surprise, when copper(II) phosphate salt Cu^{II}[3a]₂ was used, the reaction took a completely different course. [9] No isoxazolidine was observed but instead a 4-amino-tetrahydroquinoleine 5a was isolated in low yield albeit with excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivity (Scheme 2). To explain this, we reasoned that product arose from two events, namely a copper promoted deoxygenation^[10] and copper phosphate catalyzed inverse electron demand aza-Diels-Alder (IEDADA) reaction (also called Povarov reaction)^[6c,g,i-k, 11, 12] to give a 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline.

Scheme 2. Copper phosphate catalyzed deoxygenation and enantioselective IEDADA reaction.

Results and Discussion

Being interested in this unexpected^[13] enantioselective tandem deoxygenation/IEDADA reaction, we decided to optimize the reaction conditions, with the aim of increasing the yield to a synthetically-useful level while maintaining the high levels of stereocontrol. The catalytic asymmetric methods do not require isolation and prior purification of copper complex; catalyst freshly prepared, can be utilized directly (entry 1, Table 1). Initial experiments showed that the catalysts formation is sensitive to a number of parameters. Presence of water during the copper(II) phosphate complex preparation was crucial for obtaining the 4-amino-tetrahydroquinoline 5a. Only starting materials were isolated when the reaction was performed in dry solvents (entry 2). We hypothesized that water can hydrolyze Cu(OMe)₂ to

[a] Dr. C. Gelis, Mr. G. Levitre, Mr V. Guérineau, Dr D. Touboul, Dr. L. Neuville. Dr. G. Masson

Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles CNRS UPR 2301, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 1, av. de la Terrasse, 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

Fax: (+33)1-6907-7247

E-mail: Geraldine.masson@cnrs.fr

Homepage: http://www.icsn.cnrs-gif.fr/spip.php?article848

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.((Please delete this text if not appropriate))

Table 1. Synthesis of 4-aminotetrahydroquinoline: a survey of reaction conditions.^[a]

Entry	CuL _n	Ar	Additive	Solvent	Yield [%] ^[b]	ee [%] ^[c]
1	Cu(OMe) ₂	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ (3a)	-	DCM	31	97
2	Cu(OMe) ₂	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ (3a)	-	DCM ^[d]	0	-
3	Cu(OH) ₂	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ (3a)	-	DCM	28	94
4	Cu(OH) ₂	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ (3a)	H ₂ O ^[e]	DCM	38	92
5	CuCl ₂	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ (3a)	$H_2O^{[e]}$	DCM	17	61
6	CuO	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ (3a)	H ₂ O ^[e]	DCM	28	93
7	Cu(OH) ₂	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ (3a)	H ₂ O ^[e]	DCM	56 ^[f]	96
8	Cu(OH) ₂	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ (3a)	H ₂ O ^[e]	Toluene	57	96
9	Cu(OH) ₂	4-CIC ₆ H ₄ (3a)	H ₂ O ^[e]	CHCl ₃	54	91
10	Cu(OH) ₂	C_6H_5 (3b)	H ₂ O ^[e]	DCM	59	98
11	Cu(OH) ₂	C ₆ H ₅ (7a)	H ₂ O ^[e]	DCM	48	95
12	Cu(OH) ₂	2,4,6- (<i>i</i> Pr) ₃ C ₆ H ₅ (7b)	H ₂ O ^[e]	DCM	39	96
13	Cu(OH) ₂	$C_6H_5({f 3b})^{[g]}$	H ₂ O ^[e]	DCM	59	98

[a] General conditions: CuL_n (0.01 mmol), **3** (0.02 mmol) in DCM/MeOH (1/1, 2 mL), RT, 1.5 h and evaporation followed by addition of **1** (0.2 mmol), **3** (0.1 mmol) in DCM (2 mL), RT, 1 h. [b] Yields refer to chromatographically pure products. The d.r. were determined by ¹H NMR analysis to be higher than 98:2 in all cases. [c] Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase: see the Supporting Information for details. [d] Freshly distilled DCM. [e] With 0.5 equiv of H₂O. [f] With 0.3 mmol of **1a**. [g] Cu^{II}[**3b**]₂ prepared in 10 min.

Cu(OH)₂, a copper source subsequently able to allow copper phosphate complex formation.^[14] Indeed, when the complex was prepared from Cu(OH)₂ in the absence of H₂O in dry DCM/MeOH,

the desired product was formed (entry 3). However, the yield was further improved by adding 0.5 eq of water as a protic additive (entry 4).^[15] Then, other copper salts were screened and showed lower activity compare to Cu(OH)₂ (entry 5 and 6). The yield increased from 38% to 56% when 3 equiv. of nitrone **1a** was used as the partner of enecarbamate **2a** (entry 7). Next, we studied the reactivity in different solvents, and no improvement was observed when toluene, or CHCl₃ were used (entry 8 and 9).

Table 2. Scope of the organocatalytic enantioselective [3+2] cyclization. [a]

[a] General conditions: Cu(OH)₂ (0.01 mmol), **3b** (0.02 mmol) in DCM/MeOH (1/1, 2 mL), RT, 10 min and evaporation followed by addition of **1** (0.3 mmol), **3** (0.1 mmol) in DCM (2 mL), RT, 1 h. [b] Yields refer to chromatographically pure products. The d.r. were determined by ¹H NMR analysis to be higher than 98:2 in all cases. [c] Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase.

Phosphate screening revealed that the 3,3'-substituent on the octahydrogenated-BINOL scaffold had a little effect on the chemical yield, but did impact the enantioselectivity (entry 7 vs 10-

12). Indeed, the catalyst bearing less-hindered 3,3'-substituents on the BINOL such as phenyl group gave best result (entry 10). The same effect was observed when BINOL **7a** and **7b** were used as a ligand (entry 10 vs 11). However, these phosphates led to lower yield and enantioselectivity than **3a** and **3b** (entry 9-12). Although the yield remained moderate, we deemed it respectable in light of this complex tandem process. Finally, the reaction time for the Cu(II) complex formation was reduced to 10 min without affecting the overall process (entry 13).

With the optimal reaction conditions established (Table 1, entry 14), we next investigated the substrate scope of the reaction by employing a variety of N-aryl nitrones 1. The reaction proceeded well with decent yields and enantioselectivity in almost all cases when nitrones derived from electron poor or electron rich aromatic aldehydes were employed (5b to 5e). However, the reaction gave a lower yield in the case of nitrone substituted at the ortho-position 5e, possibly due to steric effects. Remarkably, nitrones derived from heteroaldehydes such as thiophene-3-aldehyde and 3furaldehyde were successfully engaged in this tandem deoxygenation/IEDADA process. Next, the N-substituent effect of 1 was also examined and it was found that its electronic property had little vield effect. For instance, nitrones bearing both electronwithdrawing (5h) and weak electron-donating (5i) substituents afforded the desired products in better yield than strong electrondonating (5i) substituent such as MeO group. An array of \(\beta \)substituted enecarbamates 2 including linear and branched ones. were tested, resulting in the formation of the expected 4-aminotetrahydroquinolines (5k to 5m) with excellent enantioselectivities. It is also noteworthy that the silyl ether group was untouched in this process. N-Fmoc carbamate and benzylthiocarbamate were tolerated giving rise to the final cycloadducts 5n and 5o, respectively, with slightly lower yields.

Scheme 3. Control experiments.

In order to gain some mechanistic insight, control experiments were carried out. No reaction took place with only Cu(OH)₂ hence indicating the crucial role of phosphates ligands on the deoxygenation step of nitrones. To clarify which species participates in the deoxygenation of product, the reaction of nitrone 1a with Cu(II)-phosphate Cu^{II}[3b]₂ was performed in DCM at RT. After 1h, nitrone 1a totally disappeared, reveling the

presence of N-arylimine 6a, benzaldehyde and nitroso compound 9a in the following 45/45/10 ratio, respectively (eq 1). Partial hydrolysis of the nitrone 6a would account for the presence of aldehyde. By that, N-arylhydroxylamine could be released and subsequent oxidation by Cu(II) would to furnish 9a. The resulting Cu(I) complex produce during this last event is next suitable in promoting the deoxygenation of nitrone to imine.[16,17] Possible implication of N-arylhydroxylamine 10 was established by control experiment showed in eq 2. Indeed, when one equivalent of Narylhydroxylamine was added to the reaction mixture, the number of nitrone equivalent could be reduced in this cycloaddition keeping overall yield constant. Moreover, when N-arylimine 6a was used as the reactant in place of nitrone 1a, under otherwise conditions, the same desired tetrahydroquinolines 5a was obtained (eq 3). The fact that this enantiomer has an opposite configuration to the one obtained in our previous work with chiral phosphoric acid derived from the same axially chiral (S)-BINOL[6k,18] suggest that a chiral copper(II) phosphate complex rather than free phosphoric acid acts as active catalyst in this IEDADA reaction.

On the basis of the results obtained above and the previous literature, [15]Erreur! Signet non défini. a plausible mechanism is proposed in Scheme 4. In the initial step, Cu(II) is probably reduced by the *N*-arylhydroxylamine generated, during a partial hydrolysis of 1 to form Cu(I) complex. This latter is able to reductively deoxygenate the nitrone 2 to the corresponding *N*-arylimine 6 and gives the catalytically active Cu(II) phosphate complex.[10] While its exact structure awaits further study, the MS spectra of complex show a peak at *m*/*z* 1214.93 which indicates the formation of copper bis(phosphate) complex.[19] Then, Cu^{II}[3b]₂ could simultaneously activate both *N*-arylimine 9 and enecarbamate 2 *via* metal ion coordination and hydrogen bonding. Finally, an enantioselective Mannich reaction would occur to form a transient imine 12, which would further undergo an intramolecular Friedel—Crafts reaction to deliver the (2*S*,3*R*,4*S*)-4-aminotetrahydroquinoline 5.[6i-k,11]

Ar-N=0
9

Ar-N=0
9

Ar-N=0
$$P_0$$
 Cu^{11}
 P_0
 R^2
 R^2
 R^2
 R^4

NHCO₂R³
 R^4
 R^2
 R^4
 R^4

Scheme 5. Assumed mechanism for the enantioselective tandem deoxygenation/IEDADA reaction.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a highly efficient asymmetric synthesis of chiral 4-aminotetrahydroquinolines through the copper(II)/phosphate-catalyzed tandem deoxygenation/IEDADA reaction of nitrones with enecarbamates. This process allows the synthesis of various tetrasubstituted 4-aminotetrahydroquinolines bearing 3 contigous stereocenters with excellent diastereo and enantioselectivities. Further investigation of the mechanistic insights is currently underway in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

Experimental Details.

Acknowledgments ((optional))

We thank ICSN and CNRS for financial support; C.G. and G.L. thank Saclay University for the doctoral fellowships;

Keywords: Copper phosphate • deoxygenation • aza-Diels-Alder reaction • enecarbamate • heterocycle

- [1] For a recent review, see: S.-I. Murahashi, Y. Imada, Chem. Rev. 2019, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00476.
- [2] J. W. Grate, G. C. Frye in Sensors Update, Vol. 2 (Eds.: H. Baltes, W. Göpel, J. Hesse), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1996, pp. 10-20. a) A. Brandi, F. Cardona, S. Cicchi, F. M. Cordero, A. Goti, Org. React. 2017, 94, 1;
 b) M. Berthet, T. Cheviet, G. Dujardin, I. Parrot, J. Martinez, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 15235; c) T. Hashimoto, K. Maruoka, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 5366; d) L. M. Stanley, M. P. Sibi, Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2887; e) K. V. Gothelf, K. A. Jørgensen, Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 863.
- [3] For selected examples, see: a) P. Jakubec, M. E. Muratore, I. Aillaud, A. L. Thompson, D. J. Dixon, *Tetrahedron Asymm.* 2015, 26, 251; b) P. Jiao, D. Nakashima, H. Yamamoto, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 2411; *Angew. Chem.* 2008, 120, 2445; c) W. S. Jen, J. J. M. Wiener, D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, 122, 9874; d) K. B. Simonsen, P. Bayón, R. G. Hazell, K. V. Gothelf, K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999, 121, 3845; e) K. B. Jensen; R. G. Hazell; K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 64, 2353; f) J. P. G. Seerden, M. M. M. Boeren, H. W. Scheeren, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, 53, 11843; g) J. P. G. Seerden, M. M. M. Kuypers, H. W. Scheeren, *Tetrahedron Asymm.* 1995, 6, 1441; h) J. P. G. Seerden, A. W. A. Schotte op Reimer, H. W. Scheeren, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 4419.
- [4] For representative reviews, see: a) T. Courant, G. Dagousset, G. Masson, Synthesis 2015, 13, 1799; b) K. Gopalaiah, H. B. Kagan, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4599; c), R. Matsubara, S. Kobayashi, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 292; d) D. R. Carbery, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3455.
- a) T. B.Nguyen, A. Martel, R. Dhal, G. Dujardin, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73,
 2621; b) T. B. Nguyen, C. Gaulon, T. Chapin, S. Tardy, A. Tatibouet, P.
 Rollin, R. Dhal, A. Martel, G. Dujardin, Synlett, 2006, 3255.
- [6] a) L. Jarrige, D. Glavač, G. Levitre, P. Retailleau, G. Bernadat, L. Neuville, G. Masson, Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 3765; b) C. Gelis, G. Levitre, J. Merad, P.Retailleau, L. Neuville, G. Masson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 12121; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 12297; c) L. Jarrige, F. Blanchard, G. Masson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 10573; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 10709; d) C. Gelis, M. Bekkaye, C. Lebée, F. Blanchard, G. Masson, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3422; e) J. Pous, T. Courant, G. Bernadat, B. I. lorga, F. Blanchard, G. Masson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11950; f) C. Lebée, A. Kataja, F.Blanchard, G. Masson, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21,

- 8399; g) J. Brioche, T. Courant, L. Alcaraz, M. Stocks, M. Furber, J. Zhu, G. Masson, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 1719; h) L. He, G. Laurent, P. Retailleau, B. Folléas, J.-L. Brayer, G. Masson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11088; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 11294; i) G. Dagousset, P. Retailleau, G. Masson, J. Zhu, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 5869; j) G. Dagousset, J. Zhu, G. Masson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 14804; k) H. Liu, G. Dagousset, G. Masson, P. Retailleau, J. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 4598.
- For recent reviews on phosphoric acid catalysis, see: a) J. Merad, C. Lalli, G. Bernadat, J. Maury, G. Masson, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 3925; b) D. Parmar, E. Sugiono, S. Raja, M. Rueping, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 10608; Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 9047; c) S. Schenker, A. Zamfir, M. Freund, S. B. Tsogoeva, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 2209; d) M. Rueping, A. Kuenkel, I. Atodiresei, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4539; e) D. Kampen, C. M. Reisinger, B. List, Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 291, 395; f) M. Terada, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2010, 83, 101; g) M. Terada, Synthesis 2010, 1929; h) M. Terada, Chem. Commun. 2008, 0, 4097; i) T. Akiyama, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5744; j) T. Akiyama, J. Itoh, K. Fuchibe, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 999.
- For selected reviews combining metals and Brønsted acids, see: a) Z.-P. Yang, W. Zhang, S.-L. You, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 7785; b) D.-F. Chen, Z.-Y. Han, X.-L. Zhou, L.-Z. Gong, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 2365; c) M. Mahlau, B. List, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 518; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 540; d) K. Brak, E. N. Jacobsen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 534; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 558; e) A. Parra, S. Reboredo, A. M. Martín Castro, J. Aleman, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 5001; f) R. J. Phipps, G. L. Hamilton, F. D. Toste, Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 603; g) A. S. K. Hashmi, C. Hubbert, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1010; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 1026; h) M. Rueping, R. M. Koenigs, I. Atodiresei, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9350; i) Z. H. Shao, H. B. Zhang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2745; j) J. Lacour, D. Moraleda, Chem. Commun. 2009, 7073.
- [9] For selected example using chiral copper(II) phosphate catalyst, see: a)
 K. Saito, Y. Kajiwara, T. Akiyama, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13284; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 13526; b) V. Rauniyar, Z. J. Wang, H. E. Burks, F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 8486; For selected example using chiral copper(I) phosphate catalyst, see: c) J.-S. Lin, T.-T. Li, J.-R. Liu, G.-Y. Jiao, Q.-S. Gu, J.-T. Cheng, Y.-L. Guo, X. Hong, X.-Y. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 1074; d) J.-S. Lin, X.-Y. Dong, T.-T. Li, N.-C. Jiang, B. Tan, X.-Y. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 9357; e) Y.-F. Cheng, X.-Y. Dong, Q.-S. Gu, Z.-L. Yu, X.-Y. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 8883; f) J.-S. Lin, F.-L. Wang, X.-Y. Dong, W.-W. He, Y. Yuan, S. Chen, X.-Y. Liu, Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 14841.
- For selected examples of copper catalyzed-deoxygenation *N*-oxides, see: a) A. Saini, S. Kumar, J. S. Sandhu, *Synlett*, **2006**, 395; b) S. K. Singh, M.; Mangle, M. Srinivasa Reddy, K. Ravi Ganesh, *Tetrahedron*, **2007**, 63, 126; c) J. Jeong, D. Lee, S. Chang, *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, 51, 7035; d) Y. Kong, Y. Liu, B. Wang, S. Li, L. Liu, W. Chang, J., Li, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2018**, 360, 1240; e) O. V. Larionov, D. Stephens, A. Mfuh, G. Chavez, *Org. Lett.* **2014**, 16, 864; f) Y. Shen, J. Chen, M. Liu, J. Ding, W. Gao, X. Huang, H. Wu, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, 50, 4292; g) R. Odani, K. Hirano, T. Satoh, M. Miura, *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, 80, 2384; h) B. Du, P. Qian, Y. Wang, H. Mei, J. Han, Y. Pan, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, 18, 4144; h) C. S. Wang, T. Roisnel, P. H. Dixneuf, J. F. Soulé, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, 19, 6720; i) S. Yu, H. L. Sang, S. Ge, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, 56, 15896; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, 129, 10691.
- 11] Selected recent reviews on the Povarov reaction: a) V. A. Glushkov, A. G. Tolstikov, Russ. Chem. Rev. 2008, 77, 137; b) V. V. Kouznetsov, Tetrahedron, 2009, 65, 2721; c) D. Bello, R. Ramón, R. Lavilla, Curr. Org. Chem. 2010, 14, 332; d) V. Sridharan, P. A. Suryavanshi, J. C. Menendez, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 7157; e) X. X. Jiang, R. Wang, Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 5515; d) G. Masson, C. Lalli, M. Benohoud, G. Dagousset, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 902; e) X. X. Jiang, R. Wang, Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 5515; f) M. Fochi, L. Caruana, L. Bernardi, Synthesis, 2014, 46, 135; G) V. Eschenbrenner-Lux, K. Kumar, H.

- Waldmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11146; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126. 11326.
- For recent selected examples on catalytic enantioselective Povarov reaction: a) W. Dai, X.-L. Jiang, J.-Y. Tao, F. Shi, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 185; b) X.-L. Yu, L. Kuang, S. Chen, X.-L. Zhu, Z.-L. Li, B. Tan, X.-Y. Liu, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6182; c) J. Yu, H.-J. Jiang, Y. Zhou, S.-W. Luo, L.-Z Gong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11209; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 11361; d) C. S. Luo, Y. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8193; e) Z. L. Chen, B. L. Wang, Z. B. Wang, G. Y. Zhu, J. W. Sun, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2027; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 2081; f) L. Caruana, M. Fochi, S. Ranieri, A. Mazzanti, L. Bernardi, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 880; g) C. Min, N. Mittal, D. X. Sun, D. Seidel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14084; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 14334; h) F. Shi, G. J. Xing, R. Y. Zhu, W. Tan, S. J. Tu, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 128; i) F. Shi, G.-J. Xing, Z.-L. Tao, S.-W. Luo, S.-J. Tu, L.-Z. Gong, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 6970; j) L. He, M. Bekkaye, P. Retailleau, G. Masson, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3158; k) J. H. Lin, G. Q. Zong, R. B. Du, J. C. Xiao, S. B. Liu, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 7738.
- [13] H.-G. Imrich, J. Conrad, U. Beifuss Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 5706.

- [14] a) K. J. Nelson, I. A. Guzei, G. S. Lund, R. W. Mcgaff, *Polyhedron*, **2002**, 21, 2017; b) K. J. Tubbs, A. L. Fuller, B. Bennett, A. M. Arif, L. M. Berreau, *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 4790.
- [15] Reducing or increasing the amount of H_2O resulted in lower yield of ${\bf 5a}$.
- [16] For a review, see S. E. Allen, R. R. Walvoord, R. Padilla-Salinas, M. C. Kozlowski, Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 6234.
- [17] For copper shuttle between Cu(II) and Cu(I) in catalyzed disproportionation of PhNHOH to PhNO and PhNH₂ see: Ho, C.-M.; Lau, T.-C. New J. Chem. 2000, 24, 859; b) R. S. Srivastava, N. R. Tarver, K. M. Nicholas J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 15250.
- [19] Absolute configuration determined by comparison of the specific rotation and HPLC peak intensities with our previous work reported, see ref 5k.
- [20] Cu(I) complex was seen in MS (MALDI-TOF), but matrice could be responsible for reduction (See supporting information).



Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)

Layout 1:

COMMUNICATION

Text for Table of Contents (about 350 characters)



Key Topic*

Coralie Gelis, Guillaume Levitre, Vincent Guérineau, David Touboul, Luc Neuville, Geraldine Masson*

Page No. - Page No.

Chiral Cu(II) Phosphate-Catalyzed Enantioselective Tandem Deoxygenation / [4+2] Cycloaddition of Nitrones with Enecarbamates

Layout 2:

COMMUNICATION

((Insert TOC Graphic here; max. width: 11.5 cm; max. height: 2.5 cm; NOTE: the final letter height should not be less than 2 mm.))

Text for Table of Contents (about 350 characters)

Key Topic*

Author(s), Corresponding Author(s)*

Page No. - Page No.

Title

*one or two words that highlight the emphasis of the paper or the field of the study

^{*} Cut and joint together: double role of copper phosphate in tandem deoxygenation/[4+2] cycloaddition