Characterization of the electrical behaviour of thin dielectric films at nanoscale using methods derived from Atomic Force Microscopy: application to plasma deposited AgNPs-based nanocomposites
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Abstract—Recent advances in the development of micro- and nano-devices call for applications of thin nanocomposite dielectric films (thickness less than few tens of nanometers) with tuneable electrical properties. For optimization purposes, their behaviour under electrical stress needs to be probed at relevant scale, i.e. nanoscale. To that end electrical modes derived from Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) appear the best methods due to their nanoscale resolution and non-destructive nature which permits in-situ characterization. The potentialities of electrical modes derived from AFM are presented in this work. The samples under study consist of plasma processed thin dielectric silica layers with embedded silver nanoparticles (AgNPs). Charge injection at local scale, performed by using AFM tip, is investigated by Kelvin Probe Force Microscopy (KPFM). Modulation of the local permittivity induced by the presence of AgNPs is assessed by Electrostatic Force Microscopy (EFM).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Scaling-down the dimensions of micro- and nano-devices requires performant and reliable dielectric layers although their thickness becomes less than 100 nm. An important issue of the insulating parts of devices under electrical stress is the injection and retention of charges that can lead to a breakdown and consequently to a loss of control of the device. For example, the dielectric charging is the main mechanism responsible for the failure and the low reliability of capacitive switches with electrostatic actuation [1], [2]. Control of charge transport in dielectrics is important for many applications: laser printers, electrets, non-volatile memories, solar cells, plasmonic based devices, power electronics, high voltage DC (HVDC) applications, etc., [3]–[5]. Independently on the considered application field, it is actually necessary to implement means for diagnosing the charging state, fitting to the stresses, environment, nature and geometry of materials and to perform the studies under conditions representative of the real ones. Identification and understanding of the underlying physical phenomena require diagnostic techniques providing information at the scale level where the physical processes occur.

The best suited technique to investigate the dielectric charging phenomenon at nanoscale level is the Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM). Since the AFM was developed, it was used for charge injection in both contact mode [6], [7] and tip-to-sample space mode [8], and for electrostatic lithography [9], [10] of very thin layers. Equally, the AFM was applied for detection of charges by means of its derived electrical modes as Electrostatic Force Microscopy (EFM) [6], [11], [12] or Kelvin Force Microscopy (KFM) [8], [13] and quite recently the Electrostatic Force Distance Curve (EFDC) [14].

The questions still open to debate when dealing with thin dielectric layers, are about the origin of the dielectric charging and the modulation of electrical properties of the dielectric layers. The aim of this work is to present the potentialities of thin nanocomposite dielectric films with tuneable electrical properties and the methodology for their study by using electrical modes derived from AFM.

II. EXPERIMENTS

Aiming at a rational engineering of the electrical properties of thin dielectric layers the studied samples consist of a single layer of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) embedded in a SiO₂ dielectric layer at different distances from the surface. The nanostructured dielectric layers were deposited on Si substrates in the plasma of an axially-asymmetric radio-frequency (RF) diode discharge sustained at low gas pressure [4], [15]. The discharge powered electrode (smaller electrode) was an Ag-made target to bear the sputtering in order to obtain the AgNPs. The metal sputtering was controlled through the self-bias voltage $V_{db}$, induced on the powered electrode due to the axially-asymmetric design of that RF discharge. The plasma silica (SiO$_2$) dielectric layers
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were obtained in the same reactor with argon-hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDSO, [(CH₃)₆SiO]₂-O₂) mixture. A strong originality of this process is the pulsed injection of HMDSO which allows fine tuning of the plasma properties; hence elaboration of high quality plasma silica layers. For both AgNPs and silica layers the thickness was controlled through the deposition time. More details on the reactor, the plasma process, the deposition procedure, and the plasma input parameters are given elsewhere [4], [16]. The structural characterization of the samples was performed by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) in cross-sectional and plan-views, Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and spectroscopic ellipsometry.

AFM measurements were performed on a Bruker MultiMode 8 using Pt-coated Si-tip with resonance frequency f₀ of 66.1 kHz, curvature radius Rₘ of 25nm and a spring constant k of 2.32 N/m. EFM measurement of the resonance frequency shift Δf₀ was done at 20nm-lift with an applied potential on the tip equal to 0 V or 5 V. Charges were injected using AFM tip in contact mode (contact force of around 30 nN) during 1 min at various voltages between −40V and +40V. The resulting surface potential was measured in AM-KPFM mode using a lift of 50nm.

III. ELECTRIC FIELD AND PERMITTIVITY MODELLING

Interpretation of the obtained results through KPFM and EFM measurements was achieved after computing the electric field and electric force for the experimental dielectric structures by using a 2D-axysymmetrical Finite Element Model (FEM) on COMSOL platform. Classical approximation was used to describe the AFM tip, with the later consisting in a truncated cone of 10 μm height and 14° aperture angle, ending by a semi-spherical apex (curvature radius Rₘ) [17]. The tip was surrounded by an air box with large enough dimensions to avoid edge effects. The relative dielectric permittivity of SiO₂ and Si were taken equal to 3.9 and 11.4 respectively.

For correspondence to the experimental arrangement the potential was applied on the tip and the silicon substrate back side was grounded. No charge conditions (zero potential) were applied as boundary conditions on the free boundaries of the simulation box.

The electric field E was computed in air and in the dielectric matrix using Poisson’s equation. The electrostatic force Fₑ was obtained after integration of the electric field E over the AFM tip surface according to the equation [18]:

\[ Fₑ = \int_s \frac{\epsilon_0 E^2}{2} n \cdot ds \] (1)

where \( \epsilon_0 \) is the dielectric permittivity.

IV. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

A. Nanostructured dielectric layers characteristics

A view of the general structure of the nanostructured thin dielectric layers containing AgNPs is presented in Fig. 1. The AgNPs are not percolated and uniformly distributed (Fig.1a), organized in a single layer (Fig. 1b). Their shape is rather prolate spheroid with randomly oriented major axis. The main characteristics of the studied samples are summarized in Table I: total thickness (E₁), major axis of the AgNPs and thickness of the SiO₂ cover layer (E₅).

The AgNPs are exposed on the surface of a SiO₂ layer or embedded at different distances from the SiO₂ surface. Two sizes of AgNPs are considered. The SiO₂ cover layer is conformal to the shape of AgNPs imposing slight waviness of the surface for the thinner cover layers (5.0 and 7.0 nm).
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Table I. SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: SAMPLE TOTAL THICKNESS (E₁), AGNPs MAJOR AXIS (D) AND SiO₂ COVER LAYER THICKNESS (E₅)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E₁ (nm)</th>
<th>D (nm)</th>
<th>E₅ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Charges injection

First of all, charge injection in thin nanostructured layers was studied. Fig.2 shows the surface potential profiles modified by injected charge recorded on the three types of structures: SiO₂ layer alone and SiO₂ layer with AgNPs embedded at different positions in the volume. From this typical bell-like shape profile two relevant parameters are extracted: (i) the Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) which reflects charge lateral spreading and (ii) the area under the potential profile (labelled Iₚ) which represents in first approximation (dielectric layers within the same thickness range) the amount of injected charges [19].

Nanoparticles influence on injected charge is already emphasized on Fig. 2. Indeed, smaller charge amount seems to be injected in nanocomposite layer with AgNPs far from surface whereas quite the same behavior is observed for no AgNPs or nanoparticles embedded close to the surface.

Fig. 3a shows the evolution of potential profile FWHM as a function of the lateral electric field applied during charge injection. The FWHM increases with the electric field for both polarities, and for all samples. So electrons and holes exhibit quite the same spreading dynamics regardless the nanoparticle position in the dielectric layer.

As to the charge spreading, Fig. 3b shows that the area under the peak increases with the electric field at the injection point. This implies that the amount of injected charges increases with the electric field in the same way for electrons and holes. Moreover, the amount of injected charges seems a little bit higher when the AgNPs are closer to the surface. One of the hypothesis to explain this already observed phenomenon [4], is that the AgNPs act as traps for the injected charges. But in this configuration, the contribution of this phenomenon seems to be weaker than the electric field enhancement due to the presence of nanoparticles. To emphasize this possibility, effective dielectric permittivity of the structure needs to be probed at nanoscale.
where z is the vertical distance and k is the stiffness of the cantilever. The quantity dC/dz^2 is determined using the electrostatic force F_e, computed at different lift positions and different permittivities using the FEM model, leading to a theoretical relation between ε_r and a_{Δf}. In a first approximation, this relation was computed for a homogeneous dielectric matrix with different relative permittivities in the range from 1 to 10. Using this, the plasma deposited SiO_2 samples alone exhibit a relative permittivity of 3.7 which is close to the dielectric permittivity of stoichiometric SiO_2.

C. Influence of nanoparticles on permittivity

In this part the effective permittivity map is extracted from the frequency shift measured by EFM using a method derived that of C. Riedel et al. [12]. EFM provides in the same time surface topography and resonance frequency shift Δf_0 (V) for a voltage V applied to the tip. In this study, the frequency shift is probed at 0V and 5V, and the resulting frequency shift parameter a_{Δf} is determined using the following equation:

\[ a_{Δf} = \frac{Δf_0 (5V) - Δf_0 (0V)}{5V} \]  

(2)

From a theoretical point of view this parameter is related to capacitance C by:

\[ a_{Δf} = \frac{f_0}{4k} \frac{dC}{dz^2} \]  

(3)

Fig. 4a presents the obtained results for SiO_2 layers with AgNPs exposed on the surface (Figs. 4a-c) and with AgNPs embedded at 5nm under the surface (Figs. 4d-f). Fig. 4a shows that the AgNPs exposed on the surface of SiO_2 are visible on the topography map. Following the methodology described above, the obtained frequency shift parameter a_{Δf} (Fig. 4b) permits to determine the permittivity map (Fig. 4c). The results emphasize that the permittivity is lower on the AgNPs and increases in-between the AgNPs imposing an average value of around 4.4 which is higher than the one for SiO_2 alone. When the AgNPs are embedded at 5nm beneath the surface their influence is less visible either on topography (Fig. 4d), frequency shift parameter (Fig. 4e) and permittivity (Fig. 4f) maps. Indeed, the contrast between the permittivity above and between AgNPs is lower and its mean value around 4.2 is closer to that of matrix (Fig. 4f).

Computing the electric field is needed to understand the above described effect. Fig. 5 compares the electric field distribution in different configurations and highlights that the presence of AgNPs close to the surface enhances the electric field. This effect is further amplified if the AFM tip is localized on the nanoparticles compared to a position between them. The theoretical relation between ε_r and a_{Δf} was computed for each of these configurations. Table II summarizes the effective dielectric permittivity deduced
from the experimental results after employing the appropriate model. Now, the permittivity above the AgNPs appears close to 1 which actually corresponds to the dielectric permittivity of air. The AgNPs screen completely the material contribution under them. The permittivity between nanoparticles appears to be around 3, a value close to the dielectric permittivity of the matrix. This lower value is probably due to capacitive contribution of neighboring nanoparticles. The same phenomenon is observed for AgNPs embedded at 5nm from the surface. Indeed, taking into account the real geometry, the obtained relative permittivity is around 2.7 and 4, on the AgNPs and between the nanoparticles, respectively.

**TABLE II. COMPARISON OF THE RELATIVE PERMITTIVITY DETERMINED BY DIFFERENT MODEL CONFIGURATIONS TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE SAMPLE GEOMETRY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Over NPs</th>
<th>Between NPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modeled ε</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same effect is observed for AgNPs embedded at 7nm from the surface over a thicker SiO₂ layer (Figs. 6a and 6b). When the nanoparticles are embedded far from the surface (Figs. 6c and 6d), their contribution to the effective dielectric permittivity becomes negligible as the contrast due to nanoparticles decreases and the determined effective dielectric permittivity is close to 3.8, which is actually close to the dielectric permittivity of the matrix.

This observed screening effect in presence of AgNPs is due to their metallic nature. Indeed, computational results show that dielectric nanoparticles with permittivity of 80 (TiO₂) or 7.5 (SiN₂) influence slightly the electric field distribution, even if they are situated close to the layer surface. No modification on the theoretical relation between ε and ε₀ is observed.

**V. CONCLUSION**

Results from KPFM and EFM measurements on plasma deposited AgNP-based nanostructured dielectric layers show that when the AgNPs are embedded in the SiO₂ plasma deposited dielectric layer they completely screen the influence of the dielectric matrix under them. This results in lower effective relative permittivity when the metallic nanoparticles are embedded close to the dielectric surface (less than 7nm under). Moreover, the presence of AgNPs induces electric field enhancement which increases the amount of injected charges for a fixed applied bias (i.e. for the macroscopic electric field). Further investigations are needed to determine the influences of AgNPs on the charge localization in the volume.

The results obtained in this work emphasize that electrical modes derived from AFM are powerful tools to characterize the electrical properties of thin dielectric layers either for homogeneous or nanostructured (with embedded AgNPs) ones. However, the real sample geometry should be taken into account in the interpretation of the recorded experimental results.
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