

The Henna pigment Lawsone activates the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor and impacts skin homeostasis

Laura Lozza, Pedro Moura-Alves, Teresa Domaszewska, Carolina Lage Crespo, Ioana Streata, Annika Kreuchwig, Andreas Puyskens, Marina Bechtle, Marion Klemm, Ulrike Zedler, et al.

To cite this version:

Laura Lozza, Pedro Moura-Alves, Teresa Domaszewska, Carolina Lage Crespo, Ioana Streata, et al.. The Henna pigment Lawsone activates the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor and impacts skin homeostasis. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9 (1), 10.1038/s41598-019-47350-x. hal-02324253

HAL Id: hal-02324253 <https://hal.science/hal-02324253>

Submitted on 5 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

[There are amendments to this paper](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47350-x)

Received: 11 October 2018 Accepted: 15 July 2019 Published online: 26 July 2019

The Henna pigment Lawsone OPEN activates the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor and impacts skin homeostasis

Laura Lozza¹, Pedro Moura-Alves 1,2, Teresa Domaszewska¹, Carolina Lage Crespo³, Ioana Streata⁴, Annika Kreuchwig⁵, Andreas Puyskens¹, Marina Bechtle¹, Marion Klemm¹, Ulrike Zedler¹, Bogdan Silviu Ungureanu⁶, UteGuhlich-Bornhof¹, Anne-Britta Koehler¹, Manuela Stäber¹, Hans-Joachim Mollenkopf^o, Robert Hurwitz⁸, Jens Furkert⁵, Gerd Krause⁵, JanuaryWeiner 3rd1, António Jacinto³, Ioana Mihai⁴, Maria Leite-de-Moraes ⁹, Frank Siebenhaar¹⁰, Marcus Maurer¹⁰ & Stefan H. E. Kaufmann 1,11

As a frst host barrier, the skin is constantly exposed to environmental insults that perturb its integrity. Tight regulation of skin homeostasis is largely controlled by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR). Here, we demonstrate that Henna and its major pigment, the naphthoquinone Lawsone activate AhR, both *in vitro* **and** *in vivo***. In human keratinocytes and epidermis equivalents, Lawsone exposure enhances the production of late epidermal proteins, impacts keratinocyte diferentiation and proliferation, and regulates skin infammation. To determine the potential use of Lawsone for therapeutic application, we harnessed human, murine and zebrafsh models. In skin regeneration models, Lawsone interferes with physiological tissue regeneration and inhibits wound healing. Conversely, in a human acute dermatitis model, topical application of a Lawsone-containing cream ameliorates skin irritation. Altogether, our study reveals how a widely used natural plant pigment is sensed by the host receptor AhR, and how the physiopathological context determines benefcial and detrimental outcomes.**

The skin acts as an important first barrier of the body, which is constantly exposed to diverse environmental and mechanical insults, such as pollution, infection, injury and radiation, amongst others¹. Additionally, the application of cosmetics and other agents can have a major impact on skin homeostasis¹. Among the most widely used skin dyes, are the extracts of *Lawsonia inermis*, commonly known as Henna2 . In traditional medicine, Henna has been widely used to treat bacterial and fungal infections, inflammation, cancer and various skin pathologies³, but the underlying mechanisms remain insufficiently understood. Major side effects of Henna preparations are caused by the additive para-phenylenediamine (PPD) that has been associated with allergic contact dermatitis^{4,5}. As natural product, Henna comprises a mixture of numerous compounds most of which are poorly characterized

1 Department of Immunology, Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology, Charitéplatz 1, D-10117, Berlin, Germany. ²Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ³CEDOC, NOVA Medical School, NOVA University of Lisbon, Lisbon, 1169-056, Portugal. ⁴Human Genomics Laboratory - University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Craiova, Romania. ⁵Leibniz-Forschungsinstitut fuer Molekulare Pharmakologie (FMP), Robert-Rössle-Strasse 10, 13125, Berlin, Germany. ⁶Research Center of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Craiova, Romania. ⁷Microarray Core Facility, Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology, Charitéplatz 1, D-10117, Berlin, Germany. ⁸Biochemistry and Protein Purifcation Core Facility, Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology. Charitéplatz 1, D-10117, Berlin, Germany. 9Laboratory of Immunoregulation and Immunopathology, INEM (Institut Necker-Enfants Malades), CNRS UMR8253, INSERM UMR1151 and Paris Descartes University, Paris, France. 10Department of Dermatology and Allergy, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany. 11Hagler Institute for Advanced Study, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA. Laura Lozza and Pedro Moura-Alves contributed equally. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.L. (email: [lozza@mpiib-berlin.mpg.de\)](mailto:lozza@mpiib-berlin.mpg.de) or P.M.-A. (email: [pedro.](mailto:pedro.mouraalves@ludwig.ox.ac.uk) [mouraalves@ludwig.ox.ac.uk\)](mailto:pedro.mouraalves@ludwig.ox.ac.uk) or S.H.E.K. (email: kaufmann@mpiib-berlin.mpg.de)

both chemically and functionally. The responsible pigment for the red colour after Henna application on skin, is the 1,4-naphthoquinone Lawsone, constituting 1–2% of the leaves^{6,7}.

Recently, we unveiled that bacterial pigmented virulence factors, such as phenazines produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and the 1,4-naphthoquinone Phthiocol (Pht) from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, bind to and activate the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor (AhR), leading to AhR mediated immune defenses and detoxification of these virulence factors⁸. AhR is an evolutionarily conserved transcription factor widely expressed by almost all types of cells⁹⁻¹¹. In its inactive state AhR resides in the cytoplasm in association with various chaperones. Upon activation, AhR binds to the AhR nuclear translocator (ARNT), and the resulting heterodimer induces the transcriptional regulation of multiple target genes, notably cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (*CYP1A1* and *CYP1B1*) and its own repressor, the AhR repressor (*AHRR*)11.

Earlier studies of AhR functions focused on detoxifcation of xenobiotic ligands such as benzo[a]pyrene, an ingredient of tobacco smoke¹² and the highly toxic 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD)¹³. The list of ligands is continuously expanding, encompassing endogenous molecules (*e*.*g*. tryptophan (Trp), kynurenine or formylindolo[3,2-b] carbazole (FICZ)), dietary compounds and bacteria-derived ligands, and others (*e*.*g*. Itraconazole, Lipoxin A4, Prostaglandin G2 and Quercetin)^{8,14-18}. In parallel with the increasing number of ligands, the biological functions attributed to this receptor are constantly growing rendering this receptor a 'moving target' of intense research^{14,19-21}.

In the skin, AhR-mediated signals are critical in tissue regeneration, pathogenesis, infammation and homeostasis^{9,22,23} and AhR emerged as crucial player in the maintenance of skin integrity and immunity^{9,11}. However, the outcome of AhR activation varies profoundly according to ligand properties, target cells and interactions with other signaling cascades $22-25$.

Here, we aimed to better characterize the efects of Lawsone, defning its mechanisms with an emphasis on skin, the central target tissue of Henna. We demonstrate that the main pigment of Henna, Lawsone, activates the AhR-transcriptional program and modulates skin homeostasis and recovery afer external insult. We show that Lawsone inhibits proliferation, and accelerates diferentiation of keratinocytes. Specifcally, experiments with human skin equivalents, zebrafsh and mice, reveal that Lawsone modulates tissue homeostasis and tissue regeneration, thereby interfering with the physiological process of wound healing. Despite its detrimental efect on wound healing, Lawsone's capacity to reduce proliferation and promote keratinocyte diferentiation, in parallel to modulation of skin infammation, renders it a promising candidate for therapy of hyperproliferative skin diseases.

Results

Henna and lawsone activate the AhR pathway in keratinocytes. AhR triggering depends on the quality and quantity of the activators as well as the intrinsic characteristics of the cell types 11 . Due to its similarity with known AhR ligands (Fig. 1A), such as TCDD and the mycobacterial pigment Pht^{8,24}, we hypothesized that Lawsone, the main pigment from Henna, modulates AhR activity. *In silico* modeling studies predicted that all three molecules fit into the AhR binding pocket, albeit with different affinities (Figs 1B and Supplement 1A). The key residues Thr289, His291, Phe295, Ser365 and Gln383 are involved in forming hydrogen bonds with each of the three ligands. Lawsone has similar interactions as Pht. Afer rescoring, the free binding energy was as follows: TCDD $(\Delta G$ Bind −47.568 kcal/mol), Pht (ΔG Bind −42.850 kcal/mol) and Lawsone (ΔG Bind −38.591 kcal/mol), with the lower value indicating a stronger binding in the ligand-receptor complex. Binding to AhR was confrmed in a previously established competition assay⁸, where Lawsone was able to displace radioactively labeled TCDD bound to AhR (Fig. Supplement 1B).

Keratinocytes are the most prominent cell type in the epidermis²³, which constitute the first contact with external agents, including Henna7 . We developed an AhR-luciferase reporter HaCaT (immortal human keratinocyte) cell line and measured AhR activation as readout of luciferase activity afer stimulation. As can be seen in Fig. 1C, both TCDD and Pht induced AhR activation in keratinocytes. Similarly, Henna and the 1,4-naphthoquinone Lawsone also activated AhR (Fig. 1C). Dose-dependent AhR activation was further confrmed in other cell types, using the AhR-luciferase reporter THP-1 (human macrophage) cell line⁸ (Fig. Supplement 1C). Extending our analysis to human primary keratinocytes (HEK cells), we evaluated whether the expression of AhR target genes was diferentially regulated. *CYP1A1* was induced upon stimulation with both Henna and Lawsone (Fig. 1D). AhR dependency was confrmed using the specifc AhR inhibitor, CH22319126 (CH, Fig. 1D). *CYP1A1* transcription increased afer stimulation with Henna containing 1 μM of Lawsone, while it decreased at higher concentrations (Fig. 1D, left). Henna preparations contain several components, aside from Lawsone², which would interfere with the kinetics of AhR activation. When cells were stimulated with Lawsone, *CYP1A1* was upregulated in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1D, right), without afecting cell viability (Fig. Supplement 1D–F). In keratinocytes obtained from diferent donors, *CYP1A1* and *AHRR* were consistently induced by Lawsone (Fig. 1E), Pht and TCDD (Fig. Supplement 1G). Notably, TLR2 stimulation (Pam2CSK4) did not activate AhR (Fig. Supplement 1G). Silencing of AhR in these cells by RNA interference (RNAi) was validated by Western Blotting (Fig. Supplement 1H), and led to reduced *CYP1A1* expression, further confrming that Lawsone induced *CYP1A1* in an AhR dependent manner (Figs 1F,G and Supplement 1I). Inhibition of CYP1A1 can lead to indirect AhR activation in a mechanism involving Trp19,27. Using the EROD assay28, CYP1A1 enzymatic activity was increased by Lawsone in HEK cells (Fig. 1H), as well as by the other ligands tested (Fig. Supplement 1J), thus excluding an indirect role of CYP1A1 in AhR induction in this context.

To further validate our fndings, we performed microarray analysis of HEK cells stimulated with Lawsone. We identified a set of AhR dependent genes (Table 1) and visualized the gene enrichment using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves²⁹. A high score of the area under the curve and low q value indicate a significant and specifc enrichment of AhR target genes upon stimulation with Lawsone (Figs 1I and Supplement 1K). Consistently, Ingenuity Pathway Analysis predicted the AhR canonical pathway amongst the top diferentially regulated genes (Fig. Supplement 1L). Since *NQO1* can also be regulated by the transcription factor Nrf230, we

Figure 1. Henna and Lawsone activate AhR in HaCaT and human primary keratinocytes. (**A**) Chemical structures of TCDD, Phthiocol (Pht) and Lawsone (Law) and (**B**) *in silico* modeling studies predicting binding of these molecules in the AhR binding pocket. Upper panel: 2D-interaction plot (LigandScout 4.1), hydrogendonor (green dashed), -acceptor (red dashed), hydrophobic (orange); lower panel: 3D-interaction models, hydrogen bonds (yellow dashed), potential halogen bond (green dashed). (**C**) Luciferase activity of AhR reporter HaCaT cells stimulated for 4hours (h) with TCDD, Phthiocol (Pht), Henna or Lawsone (Law). (**D**) Dose dependent *CYP1A1* expression of HEK cells stimulated for 4h, in the presence (black dots) or absence (red dots) of the AhR inhibitor CH223191 (CH, 12 µM) normalized to control DMSO in the absence of Lawsone. (**E**) *CYP1A1* and *AHRR* expression afer 4h Lawsone (10 µM) stimulation of HEK cells normalized to DMSO. Each dot represents one individual. (**F-G**) HEK cells were transiently transfected with AhR-siRNA (siAhR) or Scramble control (siScr) in diferent individuals (dots). Each color depicts results of the same individual. (**F**) AhR knockdown validation relative to non-transfected wild type (WT) cells. (**G**) *CYP1A1* expression afer 4h stimulation with Lawsone normalized to DMSO. (**H**) 48h CYP1A1 enzymatic activity in HEK cells treated with Lawsone (10 µM) normalized to DMSO. (**I**) AhR-target gene enrichment afer Lawsone stimulation (10 µM) relative to TLR2 stimulation (Pam2CSK4, 300ng/mL). Area under the curve (AUC), q-values and highly enriched genes are indicated. (**C**,**E**–**H**) Data from at least 3 independent experiments are shown. (**D**) Data from 1 representative experiment out of 2 is shown. (**C**) Mean+S.E.M., (**D**) Mean, (**E**–**H**) Floating bars, Mean Min to Max. and. (**E**,**H**) Student's t-test, (**F**,G) One-way ANOVA with Fisher's test. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Table 1. AhR dependent genes. The table includes AhR target genes containing the xenobiotic-responsive element (XRE) in the promoter region and genes described to be induced by AhR activation.

Table 2. Nrf2-related genes. The table includes Nrf2 target genes.

extended our analysis to the enrichment of genes associated with this pathway (Table 2). The area under the curve indicates that Nrf2-related genes were less enriched compared to AhR-related genes (Fig. Supplement 1M,N), pointing to a preferential activation of AhR. In summary, our results demonstrate that the 1,4-naphtoquinone Lawsone, the critical pigment in Henna, binds and activates the AhR pathway in keratinocytes. While the efects of Henna may be confounded by other components in the extract, Lawsone specifcally activates AhR without causing cell toxicity, at least at the conditions tested.

Lawsone stimulation modulates keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation. The AhR pathway impacts on epidermal diferentiation, and the consequences of AhR activation considerably depend on the properties of the ligands and the target cells^{22,25,31,32}. As demonstrated in Fig. 2A, Lawsone inhibited keratinocyte proliferation. Furthermore, microarray analysis of HEK cells stimulated with Lawsone pointed to a skewing towards diferentiation (Fig. Supplement 2A). ROC curve analysis of genes of the epidermal diferentiation

Figure 2. Lawsone stimulation modulates keratinocyte proliferation and diferentiation. (**A**) Nuc red Live 647 positive HEK cells at diferent time points afer stimulation with Lawsone (Law, 10 µM) and Phthiocol (Pht, 50 µM), compared to DMSO. (**B**) Epidermal diferentiation complex and keratin gene enrichment of HEK cells after Lawsone stimulation (10μM) and relative to TLR2 stimulation (Pam2CSK4, 0.236 μM) at (left) 4h and (right) 24h. Area under the curve (AUC), q-value and highly enriched genes are indicated. (**C**) *KRT2*, *CNFN*, *HRNR, LCE3D* and *FLG2* expression of HEK cells after 24h stimulation with Lawsone (10 µM) normalized to DMSO. Each color depicts results of the same individual. (**D**) *LCE3D*, *KRT2*, *HRNR* and *CNFN* expression on HEK cells transfected with AhR-siRNA (siAhR) or Scramble control (siScr) and further stimulated for 24h with Lawsone (10μM). Values are relative to siScr. Each color depicts results of the same individual. (**E**, top) Epidermal skin equivalents were stimulated for 5d with Lawsone (10 µM) or DMSO and stained with DAPI (blue) and the proliferation marker KI67 (purple). (**E**, bottom) Percentage of KI67 positive cells normalized to the total number of cells (DAPI). (**F**) Representative of an *in vitro* epidermis model experiment stained for Cornifelin (red) and Loricrin (green) and (**G**) protein expression of Filaggrin, Cornifelin and Loricrin at day 5 or 10 of culture afer stimulation with 10 or 100 µM of Lawsone (blots were cropped from the same gel. Full unedited gels are provided in Supplementary Data). (**A**,**C**) Data from 3 independent experiments are shown. (**D**) Data from 2 independent donors. (**E** top, **F**,**G**) One representative experiment out of 2 is shown. (**E**) Pooled data from 2 diferent experiments is shown. (**A**) Mean+S.E.M., (**C**–**E** bottom) Floating bars, Mean Min to Max. (**A**) Two-way ANOVA with Fisher's test, (**C**) One-way ANOVA with Dunn's test. (**E**, bottom) Student's t-test. $*P < 0.05$; $*P < 0.01$, $**P < 0.001$, $***P < 0.0001$.

complex (EDC), and family I and II keratins (Table 3) revealed a signifcant enrichment upon Lawsone stimulation (Fig. 2B). Tis was mainly due to upregulation of the genes involved in formation of the cornifed envelope (Supplementary Dataset File 1). Cornifelin (CNFN), hornerin (HRNR), late cornifed envelope 3D (LCE3D), keratin 2 (KRT2) and filaggrin 2 (FLG2) are critical for epidermal differentiation^{33,34}. qRTPCR analysis confirmed the induction of these genes in HEK cells upon Lawsone exposure (Fig. 2C). Tus, Lawsone modulates the expression of genes involved in cornifed envelope generation.

Table 3. Epidermal differentiation complex and keratin genes. The table includes genes of the epidermal diferentiation complex and keratins.

Epidermal differentiation occurs after activation of the AP-1 transcription factor³⁵. To interrogate whether epidermal diferentiation requires AP-1 activity, keratinocytes were stimulated with Lawsone in the presence of the AP-1 inhibitor tanshinone IIA (TIIA)³⁶. Efficient blocking of AP-1 activity was shown by inhibition of CSF3 expression (Fig. Supplement 2B)36. Lawsone induced upregulation of *CNFN*, *HRNR*, *LCE3D* and *KRT2* (Fig. Supplement 2C), and of the AhR-target genes *CYP1A1* and *AHRR* even in presence of TIIA indicating an AP-1 independent activation. Moreover, inhibiting AhR by RNAi reduced expression of these genes upon Lawsone

Figure 3. Henna and Lawsone activate AhR in zebrafsh larvae. (**A**,**B**) Fold induction of *CYP1A*, *AhRRa* and *AhRRb* transcripts from zebrafsh larvae (2 days post-fertilization, dpf) treated (red squares) or not (black circles) for 2h with 5 µM of AhR inhibitor CH223191, followed by further 4h stimulation with (**A**) Henna (equivalent to 10 μM Lawsone), (**B**) Lawsone (10 μM) or DMSO vehicle control. Triplicates of 12 larvae depicted in each data point. (**C**) Scheme of the semi-high throughput experimental design developed to measure zebrafsh larvae CYP1A enzymatic activity. (**D**) Representative images obtained upon CYP1A activity measurements using an Array Scan TM XTI Live High Content Platform. (**E**) CYP1A enzymatic activity expressed as total intensity of resorufn detected per larva (each dot represents one larva). 1 representative experiment out of 3 are shown (n=36 larvae per condition). (**A**,**B**) Data from 1 representative experiment out of 3 is shown. (**A**,**B**) Floating bars, Mean Min to Max. (**A**,**B**) Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's test. (**E**) Twoway ANOVA with Fisher's test. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001; ****P<0.0001.

Figure 4. Lawsone inhibits wound healing and skin regeneration *in vivo*. (**A**) Representative images of zebrafsh fn regeneration 3 days post amputation (dpa) and exposure to diferent stimuli. Regenerated area depicted in red. (**B**) Quantifcation of the zebrafsh tail fn area regenerated, normalized to DMSO treated larvae. (**C**) Neutrophil migration to zebrafsh tailfn wounds visualized in DMSO or Lawsone-treated transgenic larvae Tg(mpeg.mCherryCAAX SH378 mpx:GFP i114). Frames from representative movies of migrating leukocytes in the wounded tail fin are shown. The lines indicate tracking of individual neutrophils over the indicated time point of the experiment. Wound is represented with a white dashed line. (**D**) 2D tracks of individual neutrophils migrating in the tail fin of wounded neutrophil-GFP zebrafish 3dpf larvae exposed to 10μ M Lawsone (n = 8) or DMSO (n=23). (**E**) Quantifcation of 2D directionality, Forward migration index (FMI), accumulated distance and speed of individual leukocytes in the wounded tailfn. (**B**) Pooled data from 4 independent experiments with at least 24 larvae per condition per experiment, Mean+S.E.M., (**E**) Data from 2 pooled experiments, Mean+S.E.M. (**B**) One-way ANOVA with Fisher's test, (**E**) Student's t-test. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; n.s.-not signifcant.

exposure (Fig. 2D). Tus, Lawsone requires AhR activation to induce the expression of genes involved in the formation of the cornifed envelope independently of AP-1 activity.

To validate our fndings, we treated fresh skin biopsies from individuals afer skin surgical excision with Lawsone and confrmed the upregulation of *CYP1A1* and *AHRR* (Fig. Supplement 2E), but not of *KRT2*, *CNFN*, *FLG* and *LCE3D* (Fig. Supplement 2E). We reasoned that fully diferentiated skin obtained in biopsies may mask subtle diferences of Lawsone on epidermal layers containing proliferating keratinocytes. Hence, we visualized epidermal differentiation over time in human epidermis equivalent models^{23,34}. Keratinocytes were treated daily with Lawsone, and tissue diferentiation was analyzed afer 5 or 10 days of culture (Fig. Supplement 2F). As shown in Fig. 2E, the percentage of Ki67 positive cells afer 5 days of treatment was slightly reduced, although not significantly, pointing to inhibition of proliferation, as observed *in vitro* (Fig. 2A). Importantly, treatment with 10μM Lawsone increased the thickness of the *stratum corneum* afer 5 and 10 days (Fig. 2F) and correlated with higher expression of loricrin (at 5 days), cornifelin (at 10 days) and flaggrin (at 10 days) measured by immunofuorescence and Western blotting (Fig. 2F,G). At higher concentrations, Lawsone further boosted the diferentiation of the *stratum corneum* resulting in a disorganized epidermal structure (Fig. 2F). Hence, Lawsone impacts epidermal diferentiation in human skin.

Lawsone activates the AhR pathway in zebrafsh larvae and modulates tissue regeneration. In order to further evaluate consequences of Lawsone exposure during tissue regeneration *in vivo*, we took advantage of a previously established zebrafish model^{37–39}. This model organism has been extensively used in toxicology, including studies with AhR 37 , as well as in skin wound healing and re-epithelization studies $38,40$. The epidermis and dermis layers occur in zebrafsh larvae as early as 1 day post fertilization (dpf)40. 2dpf larvae were exposed to Henna and Lawsone for 4 hours and AhR dependent gene expression was evaluated (Fig. Supplement 3A). Zebrafsh express three isoforms of AhR (*AHR1a*, *AHR1b* and *AHR2*)37,39 and 2 isoforms of AhRR (*AHRRa* and *AHRRb*)40. As in humans, the expression of *CYP1A*, as well as the repressors *AHRRa* and *AHRRb*, are regulated in an AhR dependent manner³⁹. The expression of the three genes was increased upon stimulation with Henna, Lawsone (Fig. 3A,B) or TCDD (Fig. Supplement 3B). Gene induction was reversed by the AhR inhibitor, validating AhR dependency. Similar to human cells (Figs 1H and Supplement 1J), larvae exposed to TCDD, Henna or Lawsone increased CYP1A enzymatic activity (Fig. 3C–E), which was reversed by CH223191 (Figs 3D,E and Supplement 3C). Under these conditions, no toxicity was observed (Fig. Supplement 3D). Tus, these *in vivo* results further substantiate our *in vitro* fndings demonstrating that Lawsone activates AhR signaling.

We then performed tail fin regeneration assays and found that fin regeneration was inhibited in the presence of Lawsone (Figs 4A,B, Supplement 4A) as observed previously with $Dioxin^{41,42}$. Tissue damage induces the early recruitment of leukocytes to restore barrier integrity and tissue homeostasis, which critically determines the regenerative outcome⁴³. Using a transgenic zebrafish line expressing GFP-labeled neutrophils (mpeg.mCherry-CAAX SH378 mpx:GFP i114)^{44,45} we observed that upon exposure of the tailfin wound to Lawsone, neutrophils moved (i) more randomly, (ii) for longer distances and (iii) with decreased directionality, as compared to controls (Figs 4C–E, Supplement 4B and Movie Supplement 1). Moreover, neutrophils continued to patrol around in a "zig-zag" fashion and were not arrested at the wound (Fig. 4C,D and Movie Supplement 1). Notably, Lawsone exposure did not afect the speed of mobilizing cells (Fig. 4E). We conclude that Lawsone inhibits early steps of tissue regeneration by afecting physiological leukocyte attraction.

We extended our studies to a mouse wound healing model⁴⁶. Application of 10 μ M of Lawsone on the wound for 5 consecutive days delayed wound healing (Fig. Supplement 4C,D). In sum, Lawsone interferes with the natural process of wound healing in diferent models.

Lawsone ameliorates skin recovery in a model of contact skin irritation. Besides the induction of genes of epidermal diferentiation, the analysis of keratinocytes stimulated with Lawsone revealed that genes related to psoriasis, dermatitis and infammation were also afected (Table 4). Accordingly, we evaluated whether Lawsone ameliorates skin disorders characterized by irritation, infammation and epidermal hyper-proliferation, in a human model of acute irritant contact dermatitis⁴⁷. Skin irritation was induced by a single application of 30 µL of 5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) using self-adhesive patches which had been identifed as reliable dose to induce an irritant contact dermatitis⁴⁷. Lawsone was dissolved in base cream at different concentrations (0.5%, 1%, and 3%) and topically applied on the skin of the forearm of healthy volunteers 24h upon exposure to SDS. Images of the irritation spot and blood fux were taken daily. Decreased intensity of the fux was detected upon exposure to Lawsone, with slight diferences between the concentrations and individuals tested (Fig. 5A,B). Time dependent resolution of irritation was observed in all individuals, but a strikingly faster reduction in blood fux was detected upon Lawsone exposure (Fig. 5C). Thus, Lawsone dose dependently inhibits human skin responses to irritation suggesting that detrimental or benefcial efects of Lawsone on the skin depend not only on its intrinsic nature but also on the context of skin (dys)function.

Discussion

Despite the widespread use of the Henna plant *Lawsonia inermis* as a cosmetic dye for hair and skin, and its broad exploitation in traditional medicine due to assumed benefcial efects, little is known about the underlying mechanisms and role of its essential pigment, Lawsone².

In our study, Lawsone emerged as an AhR ligand, directly binding to this receptor and eliciting AhR dependent responses in diferent *in vitro* and *in vivo* models. Moreover, we demonstrated that Lawsone interferes with the physiological skin regeneration processes. Lawsone modulated epidermal cell proliferation and diferentiation in the skin, profoundly afecting wound healing. Nevertheless, in acute irritant contact dermatitis, Lawsone ameliorated irritation and accelerated healing.

In the skin, AhR plays a fundamental role in the maintenance of skin integrity in face of continuous environmental insults25 and the outcome of its activation is fne-tuned by the interplay of the individual ligand properties and the physiological state of the skin²⁵. Exposure to Lawsone induced the expression of AhR dependent genes not only in human primary keratinocytes and keratinocytic cell lines, but also in zebrafsh larvae and human skin biopsies. AhR dependency was validated by RNAi and by using the pharmacologic AhR inhibitor CH223191. Activation of AhR can be related to inhibition of CYP1A1 activity, increasing expression of Trp metabolites activating AhR¹⁹. Here, Lawsone did not inhibit the enzymatic activity of CYP1A, neither in zebrafish nor in human keratinocytes.

AhR has been shown to affect epidermal differentiation^{22,34}. Under homeostatic conditions, AhR KO mice sufer from impaired barrier formation with enhanced transepidermal water loss and reduced expression of proteins involved in epidermal differentiation^{22,32}. Similar results were obtained after exposure of keratinocytes to AhR antagonists²², pointing to an essential role of the AhR in the physiological development of the skin barrier. Accordingly, endogenous Trp metabolites (e.g. FICZ) modulate keratinocyte functions and differentiation¹⁵, while exogenous AhR-activators such as TCDD upregulate genes of epidermal differentiation^{33,48,49}. Although FICZ and TCDD are both high-affinity AhR ligands, TCDD resists Cyp1-mediated degradation¹³, while FICZ is efficiently degraded⁵⁰, suggesting that both ligand affinity and stability, shape the action on target cells. Consistent with this, TCDD favors keratinocyte differentiation but also gives rise to chloracne in overexposed humans 51 , characterized by the appearance of pustules and cysts in the skin52. Constitutive AhR activation in keratinocytes also causes inflammed skin lesions⁵³. Hence, depending on ligand and context, AhR modulation can act as a "double-edged sword", leading to benefcial or detrimental outcomes on skin regeneration.

In our studies, Lawsone diferentially regulated distinct genes and proteins involved in keratinocyte differentiation. In agreement, proliferation of primary keratinocytes in a human organotypic skin model was decreased. Notably, the expression of specifc keratinocyte diferentiation genes upon Lawsone exposure was

Figure 5. Lawsone ameliorates skin recovery in a model of human contact skin irritation. (**A**) Representative images of blood fux measured using the MoorFLPI-2 Full_Field Laser Perfusion Imager V1.1 sofware at 48-72-96h and 7 days upon application of 0.5% SDS. Cream containing increasing concentration of Lawsone (% of Lawsone=weight of Lawsone (g) per 100 g of cream) was applied 24h afer SDS treatment. (**B**) Example of (top) irritation spots and (bottom) blood fux quantifcation. Afer SDS applicationall individuals were treated as follow: far lef: control cream, lef: 0.5%; right 1%; far right 3% Lawsone cream. (**C**) Percentage of fux reduction at diferent time points normalized to the respective average fux intensity measured at 48h post-SDS application. (**A**) Representative responses of 2 out of 9 volunteers are shown. (**C**) Data from 9 individuals are shown. One-way ANOVA with Fisher's test. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

AhR dependent. Although Lawsone did not afect survival of keratinocytes, high concentrations profoundly shuffed the epidermal layers, giving rise to a thick and fragile cornifed structure. Cell proliferation in regenerating zebrafish larval caudal fins in response to Dioxin has been shown to decrease⁴². Similarly, here we showed that Lawsone impairs zebrafsh larval fn regeneration. Moreover, wound healing experiments in zebrafsh and mouse models revealed a delay in this process caused by Lawsone. In sum, diferent *in vitro* (cell lines and human skin model) and *in vivo* (mouse and zebrafsh) approaches conclusively demonstrate that Lawsone impacts tissue proliferation, diferentiation and regeneration.

AhR mediated efects can result from diferent interactions between this receptor and other intracellular signaling pathways, such as $Nrf2^{11,54}$. Here, we showed that Lawsone upregulated the expression of the antioxidant enzyme *NQO1*, a gene also regulated by Nrf2. Nrf2 is known to protect against reactive oxygen species^{30,54} and AhR and Nrf2 interactions were found crucial for the cytoprotective efects of the fungicide ketoconazole in keratinocytes⁵⁵. In our microarray analyses, AhR dependent responses were induced more profoundly, and occurred earlier, than Nrf2 responses suggesting an important role of AhR in initiating cell responses. Yet, it is tempting to speculate that some of the elicited efects on skin may involve AhR and other molecules, such as Nrf2.

Chronic infammatory skin disorders emerge as outcome of diverse environmental and immune factors, and diseases such as psoriasis and atopic dermatitis are characterized by dysbalanced AhR signaling. Accordingly, therapeutic interventions by AhR-targeting strategies have been suggested^{25,33,36}. For example, coal tar has been widely used for treatment of atopic dermatitis and was shown to induce AhR dependent responses in the skin³⁴. Coal tar is composed of

Table 4. Psoriasis and dermatitis differentialy regulated genes. The table includes the genes involved in psoriasis and dermatitis that are diferentialy regulated upon stimulation with Lawsone.

.

a mixture of organic compounds, and their safety and carcinogenicity have not been completely elucidated⁵⁶. Similarly, Henna extracts contain hundreds of diferent components, including phenolic compounds, terpenes, steroids and alkaloids2 , but a comprehensive investigation validating the biological activities of these compounds is still missing. The effects of Henna can result from synergistic and antagonistic properties of numerous active substances. In fact, adverse events of Henna have been described, for example after ingestion and mucosal contact⁵⁷, although it appears nontoxic when applied to the skin². Henna has been used for treating radiation-induced dermatitis, as well as for anti-carcinogenic, anti-microbial and anti-infammatory purposes, although underlying mechanisms and molecules involved remain elusive^{2,3,58}. Given its low cell toxicity, Lawsone has clinical potential for treatment of skin disorders characterized by hyperproliferation and infammation. Indeed, our results demonstrate that topical administration of a cream containing small amounts of Lawsone ameliorates the irritation by a chemical insult. Similarly, topical application of FICZ ointment reduces the inflammation in a mouse model of chronic mite-induced dermatitis⁵⁹ while intraperitoneal injection of FICZ reduces inflammation in a psoriasis-like skin model³¹. Current strategies to ameliorate psoriasis explore potential therapies by modulating expression of infammatory cytokines, including IL-1760. Curiously, in an Imiquimod-induced psoriasis model in mice, we observed a consistent reduction of IL-17 expression upon Lawsone topical exposure (unpublished data), pointing to potential therapeutic applications of Lawsone in skin disorders involving IL-17. Therefore, as an alternative to treatments using an undefined mixture of compounds (e.g. coal tar or Henna), we propose the Henna pigment Lawsone, and other naturally occurring naphthoquinones, as promising therapeutic candidate medicines for skin diseases. The 1,4-naphthoquinones form a family of natural pigments isolated from plants and fungi, widely used for staining food, clothing, skin and hair and in traditional medicine⁶¹. These include Vitamin K, Shikonin from the Chinese herb *Lithospermum erythorhizon*⁶² and Juglone from the Black Walnut tree⁶³ that also activate AhR (unpublished data).

In conclusion, we demonstrate that the worldwide used natural product Henna and its pigment Lawsone, are sensed by AhR thereby impacting skin homeostasis. Therefore, although different AhR ligands may act as "double-edged sword" and pose harm or beneft depending on the structure and pathophysiological context, such features should be explored as future treatment options for specifc dermatologic pathologies.

Materials and Methods

1,4-naphthoquinone compounds and AhR agonists/antagonist. Lawsone (2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone), Dioxin (TCDD, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin), Phthiocol (Pht, 2-hydroxy-3-methyl-1,4-naphthoquinone) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, and CH223191 from Santa Cruz Biotech. All compounds were solubilized in DMSO. Henna was acquired in a conventional shop and dissolved in water. To ensure that the concentration of Lawsone in the Henna preparation was comparable to that of the purifed pigment employed in our experiments, we quantifed the amount of Lawsone contained in the commercial Henna powder preparation by thin-layer chromatography (TLC).

In silico **homology modeling.** A BLAST search with the sequence of hAhR PASB as a template revealed 58 hits in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) of experimental crystal structures. Based on sequence alignment, similarities, as well as bound ligands, 7 crystal structures were selected for a multiple sequence alignment and used to build a multiple template-based homology model of hAhR PASB. Apart from X-ray complex of HIF2α/ARNT, previously used as single template^{64–66}, we additionally downloaded HIF2 α complexed with agonists and antagonists (PDB ID: 3F1O, 4GHI, 4GS9, 4H6J, 5TBM (chainA)), homologous complexes of HIF1α (4ZPR (chain B)) and of Clock/BMAL1 (4F3L) from the PDB and isolated the respective chains. Modeller 9.17 was used to create the multiple template-based homology model of hAhR. The resulting models were ranked by DOPE scoring. The best scoring model was selected for all subsequent modeling activities. Subsequently, model quality was checked, and the Protein Preparation wizard included in Maestro11v0 sofware (Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2018) was used to adjust structural defects using default values. All ligands were downloaded from Pubchem and thereafer analyzed by the Ligand Preparation Wizard to correct improper connectivity.

In silico **docking studies.** Molecular docking was performed using Glide included in Maestro 11v0 sofware. Glide docking methodologies use hierarchical flters searching for possible ligand positions in the receptor binding-site region. Initially we set up the receptor grid defning the shape and properties of the receptor binding site important for scoring the ligand poses in later steps. Ligand fexibility was accounted by exhaustive sampling of ligand torsions during the docking process and suitable poses selected for further refnement of torsional space in the feld of the receptor. Finally, in a post-docking minimization the selected poses were minimized with full ligand flexibility. The docking results were ranked by GlideScore.

The receptor grid for the hAhR homology models was set up using default parameters. Flexible ligand docking was carried out in a standard precision (SP) approach. The resulting GlideScore is an estimate of the binding affinity. Molecular mechanics application Prime MM-GBSA was used for rescoring the docking poses. MM-GBSA binding energies (MMGBSA ΔG Bind) are approximate free binding energies of protein-ligand complexes, with a more negative value indicating stronger binding.

AhR binding studies. AhR binding experiments were performed as described previously67. Briefy, livers from WT mice were collected and minced in MDEG bufer (25mM MOPS, 1mM DTT, 1mM EDTA and 10% Glycerol, pH 7.5). Lysates were further homogenized, ultracentrifuged (100,000 g, 1h) and the cytosolic fraction collected. Protein concentration was determined and diluted to a fnal concentration of 5mg of cytosol protein/mL. Binding studies were performed upon overnight 4°C incubation with [3H] TCDD, in the presence or absence of an excess of unlabeled TCDD. Afer incubation, charcoal Norit A suspension was added into the reaction mixture and incubated on ice. Afer centrifugation (25,000g, 15min at 4°C), radioactivity was measured in a scintillation counter.

Cell culture and stimulation. Human epidermal keratinocytes (HEK) (Life Technologies) were grown in Epilife medium containing human keratinocyte growth supplement (Life technologies) and 1% (v/v) penicillin–streptomycin-gentamycin (GIBCO). Cells were used between 50–70% of confuence to avoid spontaneous diferentiation due to dense cultures and up to three passages. Cells were trypsinized 15minutes (min) at 37 °C, washed with blocking buffer (PBS + 1% FCS) at 180 g for 7 min, counted and plated overnight. HEK cells were then incubated with Lawsone or positive controls as indicated in the text, in the absence of epidermal growth factor, and analyzed at diferent time points. For AhR inhibition 12 µM of the AhR inhibitor CH223191 was added to HEK cells 1 hour (h) before stimulation with the AhR activators. Alternatively, HEK cells were treated for 24h with ON-TARGET plus siRNA AHR (NM_001621) and ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Pool (Table S1, Dharmacon), according to manufacturer's instructions. Cells were then stimulated with ligands, and *CYP1A1* transcripts analyzed afer 4 or 24 h. *CYP1A1* expression was normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) and results shown as fold induction (2^{−88Ct}) against non-transfected cells treated with the vehicle control (DMSO).

In some experiments, cells were pretreated for 15 min with $1 \mu M$ of the the AP-1 inhibitor TIIA (Sigma-Aldrich) before stimulation with AhR activators. The time was selected by measuring the inhibition of CSF3 expression (target of AP1)³⁶.

HaCaT cells (Human keratinocyte cell line provided by DKFZ, Heidelberg and CLS)⁶⁸ and THP1 cells (human monocytes, ATCCTIB-202, Wesel, Germany) were grown in DMEM and RPMI 1640, respectively. Both media were supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 1% (v/v) penicillin–streptomycin, 1% (v/v) gentamycin, 1% (v/v) sodium pyruvate, 1% (v/v) L-glutamine, 1% (v/v) non-essential amino acids, 1% (v/v) HEPES bufer and 0.05% M2-mercaptoethanol (all reagents provided by GIBCO). Cells were kept at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. THP-1 cells were diferentiated into macrophages by treatment with 200nM of phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA, Sigma-Aldrich).

Lentiviral infection and reporter cell line development. The construct for generation of the AhR reporter cell lines was obtained from SABiosciences ([http://www.sabiosciences.com/reporter_assay_product/](http://www.sabiosciences.com/reporter_assay_product/HTML/CLS-9045L.html) [HTML/CLS-9045L.html\)](http://www.sabiosciences.com/reporter_assay_product/HTML/CLS-9045L.html). Briefy, the Cignal™ Lenti XRE Reporter is a replication incompetent, VSV-g pseudotype lentivirus expressing the frefy luciferase gene under the control of a minimal (m) CMV promoter and tandem repeats of the dioxin-responsive element (DRE). Upon stimulation of the AhR pathway, induction of luciferase expression can be used as readout of activation. Lentiviral infection was performed according to the protocols available at RNAi Consortium website ([https://www.broadinstitute.org/genome_bio/trc/publicProto](https://www.broadinstitute.org/genome_bio/trc/publicProtocols.html)[cols.html\)](https://www.broadinstitute.org/genome_bio/trc/publicProtocols.html). 2.2×10^4 cells per well in a 96 well plate (NUNC) were plated overnight. Following day, medium was removed and lentiviruses were added to the cells in medium containing 8mg/ml of polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich). Plates were spun down for 90min at 2200 rpm at 37 °C. Transduced cells were further selected using puromycin (Calbiochem; 5mg/ml) 2 days (d) afer infection.

Luciferase assay. AhR reporter cell lines were stimulated for specifed time and concentration of the ligand. Cells were harvested in reporter lysis bufer (Promega) and supernatant used to determine luciferase activity using Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions. Luciferase activity was normalized to the amount of protein determined by Bradford reaction (Protein Assay Kit, Pierce). Results are shown as fold induction by normalizing the activation of the diferent compounds against non-stimulated or vehicle control.

Ex vivo stimulation of skin biopsies. Skin was cut in small pieces (1 cm²) and treated 24h with vehicle control (DMSO) or 10μM of Lawsone followed by cell disruption and lysis in Trizol.

Stimulation and development of human epidermal skin equivalents. Undiferentiated human epidermal skin equivalents (EpiDerm model, EPI-201, MatTek Corporation) were cultured at the air–liquid interface for 10d. Cells were daily treated with 10μM or 100μM of Lawsone or DMSO.

Immunostaining of HEK cells or human skin equivalents and image analysis. Cytotoxicity of Lawsone was measured by phosphorylation at Ser139 residues of the H2A.X histone. Phosphorylation of the H2A.X histone occurs at the site of DNA damage afer exposure to polyaromatic hydrocarbons, hydroxyl radicals or ionizing radiation⁶⁹. 4 h after Lawsone exposure, HEK cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldeyde for 20 min at room temperature (RT) and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton for 5 min at RT. Afer 30 minutes in blocking bufer, cells were stained with α-phospho-Histone H2A.X (Millipore) for 1 h at RT, followed by staining with the α-rabbit IgG AlexaFluor488 (Dianova,) for 1 h at RT. Nuclei were stained using Nuc red Live 647 (Life technologies). Cell image acquisition and analysis was perfomed using Arrayscan XTI Live High Content Platform (ThermoFisher Scientific).

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded skin equivalents were stained either with hematoxylin and eosin (Sigma-Aldrich) or anti-human cornifelin (Sigma) and loricrin (Abcam), followed by anti-rabbit AlexaFluor555 and 488 respectively. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Images were acquired using a Leica DMRB fuorescent microscope and analyzed with ImageJ (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>).

RT–PCR and RT-PCR multiplex gene expression profling. Total RNA was extracted using 500 µL of trizol (Life technologies), followed by chloroform (1:5) and isopropanol (1:2) phase separation. RNA was washed with ethanol and resuspended in RNase free water. RNA quality and concentration were determined by spectrophotometry (Nanodrop 2000c, ThermoFischer Scientific). Complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis was generated using Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen), according to manufacturer's instructions.

Quantitative RT–PCR was performed using TaqMan master mix (Life technologies). In some experiments multiplex gene expression profling was performed using the Biomark HD of Fluidigm as previously described70. Gene expression was normalized to *GAPDH*. The average threshold cycle of triplicate reactions was employed for all subsequent calculations as 2−δδCt relative to vehicle control (DMSO). Taqman probes (Life technologies) are listed in Table S1.

Western blotting analysis. Proteins of human skin equivalents were isolated with radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer and protein concentrations analyzed with the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientifc), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Anti-human cornifelin and flaggrin were purchased from Sigma and anti-human loricrin from Abcam.

AhR protein in HEK was detected afer cell lysis with RIPA bufer. Protein amount was quantifed using Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Termo Fisher Scientifc), according to the manufacturer's instructions. 30μg protein were diluted in Laemmli bufer containing β-mercaptoethanol and loaded on a Mini Protean TGX Stain Free precast Gel. AhR protein was detected by ECL, using anti-AhR polyclonal antibody. (Enzo Life sciences) and β-Actin expression (Abcam) was used as a loading control.

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assay. LDH was purchased from PierceTM (Thermo Scientific) and used according to the manufacturer's instruction. Percentage (%) of cytotoxicity was calculated as:

> (compound treated LDH activity – spontaneous LDH activity) \times 100 (maximum LDH activity - spontaneous LDH activity)

Ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase (EROD) activity. The enzymatic activity of CYP1A1 was used as readout of AhR activation. The EROD assay detects the CYP1A1 enzymatic activity by measuring the conversion of ethoxyresorufin into resorufin⁷¹ in the medium of HEK cells. Briefly after 48h of stimulation with AhR activators, 4 µM resorufn ethyl ether (EROD, Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 µM dicoumarol (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to HEK culture for 1h and activity measured with the Fluoroskan Ascent Microplate Fluorometer (Thermo Labsystem). The activity was corrected to the amount of protein measured by Bradford assay and normalized to the vehicle control (DMSO).

In vivo **zebrafsh experiments.** Fertilized embryos were used for all experiments. One day post fertilization (dpf) larvae were manually dechorionated under a Leica MZ6 Stereomicroscope. Each experimental group consisted of 12 larvae unless stated otherwise.

Larval exposure experiments. In larval exposure experiments, 2dpf AB strain larvae were exposed to different ligands for 4h, in the presence or absence of CH223191 (5µM). Afer exposure, larvae were euthanized with Tricaine (MS-222, 300 μ g/mL SIGMA)⁷² and placed in Trizol for RNA isolation or used for EROD experiments performed as described previously73. Briefy, Afer exposure, zebrafsh larvae were washed and placed in medium containing 0.4µg/mL of 7-ethoxyresorufn (Cayman Chemical) for 5min. Non-fuorescent 7-ethoxyresorufn diffuses into the embryo and is O-deethylated into resorufin, a fluorescent product that can be measured⁷³. Embryos were anesthetized with Tricaine (MS-222 168 μ g/mL, SIGMA)⁷⁴, placed in black 96 well plates with clear bottom (Thermo Fisher) and imaged in an Array Scan TM XTI Live High Content Platform (Thermo Fisher). Brightfield images were used to identify shape of fsh and fuorescence (flters excitation: 549/15nm, emission: 590–624nm) was determined per fsh as a readout of CYP1A activation. Syber-green primers (Eurofns) are listed in Table S1.

Larval tail fin regeneration. 2dpf AB larvae were anesthetized with Tricaine (MS-222, 200 µg/mL, Sigma) and tail fin was amputated as described previously⁴¹. After amputation, larvae were exposed to different ligands for 1h. Afer exposure and several washes with embryo medium, larvae were kept for 3d in an incubator at 28 °C with cycles of 14 h of light and 10 h of darkness. Aferwards, larvae were anesthetized with Tricaine (MS-222, 168 µg/mL, Sigma) and visualized in an M205 Leica stereomicroscope. Data analysis was performed on ImageJ software (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>).

Zebrafish cell migration. The transgenic line used in the study was mpeg.mCherryCAAX SH378 mpx:GFP i114, where neutrophils stably express GFP^{44,45}. Imaging was performed on 3dpf larvae treated, wounded and mounted as reported previously⁷⁵. Briefly, embryos were pretreated with 10µM Lawsone or DMSO, in E3-tricaine solution (E3/T; Sigma; 200 μg/mL) for 1 h. Fish were anaesthetized in Lawsone-containing E3/T, and a section of the tail was cut using a razor blade. Fish were then embedded lateral side down in 1% low melting point agarose (dissolved in E3/T), over MatTek glass bottom culture dishes and overlaid with the drug in E3/T. Time-lapse fuorescence images were acquired with an Andor Revolution spinning-disk confocal unit equipped with an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope and an XYZ motorized stage, coupled to an EMCCD camera (Andor) and a Yokogawa CSU-X1 scanning head and driven by Andor iQ 2.5.1 sofware. GFP imaging was performed using 488-nm laser line.

Image sequences were generated every minute using a 20X NA 0.75/20X Super Fluor objective and 3.44 µm step size. Bright feld images were taken at low-level illumination with a halogen lamp. Where indicated, images were processed with Manual Tracking module (ImageJ software, NIH) on maximum intensity projection. Upon background subtraction for each fuorescence channel, a Gaussian blur flter was applied. Brightness and contrast were set and then multi-channel image sequences were overlaid.

Zebrafish cell dynamics analysis. Neutrophils were tracked with the Manual Tracking plugin (ImageJ). The resulting 2D coordinates were analyzed using the Chemotaxis Tool plugin (Ibidi, Germany).

([http://ibidi.com/fleadmin/products/sofware/chemotaxis_tool/IN_XXXXX_CT_Tool_2_0.pdf](http://ibidi.com/fileadmin/products/software/chemotaxis_tool/IN_XXXXX_CT_Tool_2_0.pdf)).

Directionality of the path represents a measurement of the straightness of cell trajectories and is calculated as:

$$
D = \frac{d_{euclid}}{d_{accum}}
$$

where d_{accum} is the accumulated distance of the cell path and the d_{eudid} is the length of the straight line between cell start and end point⁷⁶.

The forward migration index represents the efficiency of the forward migration of cells towards the wound, and is calculated as:

$$
FMI^{wound} = \frac{x_{end}}{d_{accum}}
$$

where x_{end} is the cell end position in the axis towards the wound.

Mouse wound healing experiments. C57BL/6 mice were bred and housed in community cages at the Animal Care Facilities of the MPIIB, Marienfelde, Berlin. Mice were used at 7–8 weeks of age. An excision of 6 mm was performed at the back of the mice anesthetized with Isofluran. The wound was immediately treated with Lawsone (10μM) or DMSO in PBS. Treatment was followed up for 5 consecutive days. Pictures were taken daily until day 6 using a Fujifilm FinePix S5800 camera. Analysis of the data was performed using ImageJ software (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>). To calculate the size of the wounds the circumference was normalized to the length of an internal control (1 cm of the ruler in the picture) and results were further normalized to day 0.

Contact skin irritation model. Skin irritation was induced with 5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in conserved water DAC (NRF S.6) on 4 spots of the volar forearm of 9 subjects using round self-adhesive patches with a diameter of 1.2 cm (Curatest®F, Lohmann & Rauscher, Germany). Patches were removed afer 24h and the skin was carefully cleaned with water. Lawsone was applied to the SDS treated sites at concentrations of 0.5%, 1% and 3% (g/g) in base cream. Pure base cream served as an intra-individual control. Treatment sites were covered by self-adhesive patches for another 24 h. Te extent of skin irritation was assessed by using Moor Full-feld Laser Perfusion Imager (FLPI-2, Moor Instruments, Axminster, UK) at time points 2, 3 and 7 days afer induction of skin irritation.

Microarray hybridization protocol, data preprocessing and analysis. Gene expression microarray studies were carried out as dual-color hybridization of HEK cells from one donor. RNA labeling was performed with the Quick Amp Labeling Kit, two-color (Agilent Technologies). In brief, mRNA was reverse transcribed and amplifed using an oligo-dT-T7 promoter primer, T7 RNA Polymerase and Cyanine 3-CTP or Cyanine 5-CTP. Afer precipitation, purifcation, and quantifcation, 300 ng cRNA of both samples were pooled, fragmented and hybridized to custom-commercial whole genome human 8×60 k multipack microarrays (Agilent-048908) according to the supplier's protocol (Agilent Technologies). Scanning of microarrays was performed with 3 μm resolution using a G2565CA high-resolution laser microarray scanner (Agilent Technologies). Microarray image data were processed with the Image Analysis/Feature Extraction sofware G2567AA v. A.11.5.1.1 (Agilent Technologies) using default settings and the GE2_1105_Oct12 extraction protocol.

The extracted single-color raw data txt files were analyzed using R and the associated BioConductor *limma* R package^{77,78} for differential expression analysis. The data set was background corrected and normalized using *loess* method. Microarray data were deposited in the NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, accession number GSE99901).

We used the lmFit function to ft a linear model which included the factors stimulus type (Lawsone and Pam2CSK4) and treatment (stimulated/control) as well as an interaction term. The p-values were calculated based on moderated t statistics and most diferentially regulated genes were retrieved with *topTable* function from *limma* package.

Genes associated with AhR and Nrf2 activation or keratinocyte diferentiation were manually chosen on the basis of literature, and three custom gene lists were created: AhR dependent-genes (Table 1), Nrf2-related genes (Table 2) and EDC-keratin genes (Table 3). Gene set enrichment analysis was performed and visualized using R-package *tmod* for analysis of transcriptional modules⁷⁹. In the first step, CERNO statistical test was applied to the list of genes contained in the linear ft model with *tmodLimmaTest* function. Next, a ROC curve was plotted for the respective modules using *evidencePlot* function from *tmod* package^{29,78}. Genes presenting highest influence on the module enrichment were identifed and labeled on the ROC curve. Statistical script in R including all steps of the microarray analysis can be obtained by request.

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis [\(https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis/,](https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis/) version 33559992) was performed to identify the top canonical pathways diferentially regulated upon 4h stimulation of HEK cells with Lawsone (10μ M) as compared to DMSO. Pathway analysis was performed using $log2$ fold changes and p-values obtained from comparisons between the diferent stimuli.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism v7.03 (GraphPad sofware Inc., USA). P-values were calculated using student's t-test, One-Way or Two-Way ANOVA as stated for each experiment. The confidence interval used is 95%. P-value (P) *<0.05; **<0.01; ***<0.001; ***<0.0001.

Study approval. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. All experimental protocols were approved by the respective licensing committees. Skin biopsies were obtained from healthy human volunteers under ethical approval of the Committee of Ethics and Academic and Scientifc Deontology, Ministry of Education and Scientifc Research, University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Romania (Number 117/27.05.2015). Skin irritation experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines set out by the State Agency for Health and Social Afairs (LaGeSo, Berlin, Germany), project number EA1/1855/17. Informed consent was obtained from all the subjects participating to the study.

Mouse experiments were performed in accordance with guidelines set out by LaGeSo, project number Reg 0222/16.

Zebrafish and embryos were raised and maintained according to standard protocols⁷². Experiments at the MPIIB were approved by, and conducted in accordance with, the guidelines set out by the LaGeSo. The Vivarium at NMS|FCM‐UNL is licensed for animal work by DGAV, complying with the European Directive 2010/63/UE and the Portuguese Decree Law Number 113/2013, following the FELASA guidelines and recommendations concerning laboratory animal welfare.

Data Availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its Supplementary Information fles). If additional details are desired, they are available from the corresponding author on request.

References

- 1. Krutmann, J., Bouloc, A., Sore, G., Bernard, B. A. & Passeron, T. Te skin aging exposome. *J Dermatol Sci* **85**, 152–161 (2017).
- 2. Badoni, S. R., Semwal, D. K., Combrinck, S., Cartwright-Jones, C. & Viljoen, A. Lawsonia inermis L. (henna): ethnobotanical, phytochemical and pharmacological aspects. *J Ethnopharmacol* **155**, 80–103 (2014).
- 3. Pradhan, R. *et al*. From body art to anticancer activities: perspectives on medicinal properties of henna. *Curr Drug Targets* **13**, 1777–1798 (2012).
- 4. Ip, N. & Hoddes, J. Henna tattoo: infection or allergy? *Lancet* **383**, 1436 (2014).
- 5. Goldenberg, A. & Jacob, S. E. Paraphenylenediamine in black henna temporary tattoos: 12-year Food and Drug Administration data on incidence, symptoms, and outcomes. *J Am Acad Dermatol* **72**, 724–726 (2015).
- 6. de Groot, A. C. Side-efects of henna and semi-permanent 'black henna' tattoos: a full review. *Contact Dermatitis* **69**, 1–25 (2013).
- 7. Kraeling, M. E., Bronaugh, R. L. & Jung, C. T. Absorption of lawsone through human skin. *Cutan. Ocul. Toxicol* **26**, 45–56 (2007).
- 8. Moura-Alves, P. *et al*. AhR sensing of bacterial pigments regulates antibacterial defence. *Nature* **512**, 387–392 (2014).
- 9. Esser, C., Bargen, I., Weighardt, H., Haarmann-Stemmann, T. & Krutmann, J. Functions of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor in the skin. *Semin. Immunopathol* **35**, 677–691 (2013).
- 10. Hahn, M. E., Karchner, S. I., Shapiro, M. A. & Perera, S. A. Molecular evolution of two vertebrate aryl hydrocarbon (dioxin) receptors (AHR1 and AHR2) and the PAS family. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 13743–13748 (1997).
- 11. Stockinger, B., Di, M. P., Gialitakis, M. & Duarte, J. H. Te aryl hydrocarbon receptor: multitasking in the immune system. *Annu. Rev Immunol* **32**, 403–432 (2014).
- 12. Mandal, P. K. Dioxin: a review of its environmental efects and its aryl hydrocarbon receptor biology. *J Comp Physiol B* **175**, 221–230 (2005).
- 13. Miniero, R., De, F. E., Ferri, F. & di, D. A. An overview of TCDD half-life in mammals and its correlation to body weight. *Chemosphere* **43**, 839–844 (2001).
- 14. Murray, I. A., Patterson, A. D. & Perdew, G. H. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligands in cancer: friend and foe. *Nat Rev Cancer* **14**, 801–814 (2014).
- 15. Fritsche, E. *et al*. Lightening up the UV response by identifcation of the arylhydrocarbon receptor as a cytoplasmatic target for ultraviolet B radiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 8851–8856 (2007).
- 16. Opitz, C. A. *et al*. An endogenous tumour-promoting ligand of the human aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Nature* **478**, 197–203 (2011). 17. Nguyen, L. P. & Bradfeld, C. A. Te search for endogenous activators of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Chem Res Toxicol* **21**,
- 102–116 (2008).
- 18. Li, Y. *et al*. Exogenous stimuli maintain intraepithelial lymphocytes via aryl hydrocarbon receptor activation. *Cell* **147**, 629–640 (2011).
- 19. Schiering, C. *et al*. Feedback control of AHR signalling regulates intestinal immunity. *Nature* **542**, 242–245 (2017).
- 20. Esser, C. & Rannug, A. Te aryl hydrocarbon receptor in barrier organ physiology, immunology, and toxicology. *Pharmacol Rev* **67**, 259–279 (2015).
- 21. Mulero-Navarro, S. & Fernandez-Salguero, P. M. New Trends in Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Biology. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **4**, 45 (2016).
- 22. van den Bogaard, E. H. *et al*. Genetic and pharmacological analysis identifies a physiological role for the AHR in epidermal diferentiation. *J Invest Dermatol* **135**, 1320–1328 (2015).
- 23. Eckert, R. L. & Rorke, E. A. Molecular biology of keratinocyte diferentiation. *Environ Health Perspect* **80**, 109–116 (1989).
- 24. Poland, A., Glover, E. & Kende, A. S. Stereospecifc, high afnity binding of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin by hepatic cytosol. *Evidence that the binding species is receptor for induction of aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase. J Biol Chem* **251**, 4936–4946 (1976).
- 25. Haarmann-Stemmann, T., Esser, C. & Krutmann, J. Te Janus-Faced Role of Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Signaling in the Skin: Consequences for Prevention and Treatment of Skin Disorders. *J Invest Dermatol* **135**, 2572–2576 (2015).
- 26. Kim, S. H. *et al*. Novel compound 2-methyl-2H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (2-methyl-4-o-tolylazo-phenyl)-amide (CH-223191) prevents 2,3,7,8-TCDD-induced toxicity by antagonizing the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Mol. Pharmacol* **69**, 1871–1878 (2006).
- 27. Wincent, E. *et al*. Biological efects of 6-formylindolo[3,2-b]carbazole (FICZ) *in vivo* are enhanced by loss of CYP1A function in an Ahr2-dependent manner. *Biochem Pharmacol* **110-111**, 117–129 (2016).
- 28. Schiwy, A. *et al*. Determination of the CYP1A-inducing potential of single substances, mixtures and extracts of samples in the micro-EROD assay with H4IIE cells. *Nat Protoc* **10**, 1728–1741 (2015).
- 29. Weiner, J. III. & Domaszewska, T. tmod: an R package for general and multivariate enrichment analysis. *PeerJ Preprints* **e2420v1** (2016).
- 30. Baird, L. & Dinkova-Kostova, A. T. Te cytoprotective role of the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway. *Arch. Toxicol* **85**, 241–272 (2011).
- 31. Di Meglio, P. *et al*. Activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor dampens the severity of infammatory skin conditions. *Immunity* **40**, 989–1001 (2014).
- 32. Haas, K. *et al*. Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor in Keratinocytes Is Essential for Murine Skin Barrier Integrity. *J Invest Dermatol* **136**, 2260–2269 (2016).
- 33. Sutter, C. H., Bodreddigari, S., Campion, C., Wible, R. S. & Sutter, T. R. 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin increases the expression of genes in the human epidermal diferentiation complex and accelerates epidermal barrier formation. *Toxicol Sci* **124**, 128–137 (2011).
- 34. van den Bogaard, E. H. *et al*. Coal tar induces AHR-dependent skin barrier repair in atopic dermatitis. *J Clin. Invest* **123**, 917–927 (2013).
- 35. Eckert, R. L. *et al*. AP1 transcription factors in epidermal diferentiation and skin cancer. *J Skin Cancer* **2013**, 537028 (2013).
	- 36. Tseng, H. C. *et al*. IL-1beta promotes corneal epithelial cell migration by increasing MMP-9 expression through NF-kappaB- and AP-1-dependent pathways. *PLoS. One* **8**, e57955 (2013).
	- 37. King-Heiden, T. C. *et al*. Reproductive and developmental toxicity of dioxin in fsh. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **354**, 121–138 (2012).
	- 38. Rakers, S. *et al*. 'Fish matters': the relevance of fsh skin biology to investigative dermatology. *Exp Dermatol* **19**, 313–324 (2010). 39. Jonsson, M. E., Jenny, M. J., Woodin, B. R., Hahn, M. E. & Stegeman, J. J. Role of AHR2 in the expression of novel cytochrome P450 1 family genes, cell cycle genes, and morphological defects in developing zebra fsh exposed to 3,3′,4,4′,5-pentachlorobiphenyl or
	- 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. *Toxicol Sci* **100**, 180–193 (2007). 40. Li, Q., Frank, M., Tisse, C. I., Tisse, B. V. & Uitto, J. Zebrafsh: a model system to study heritable skin diseases. *J Invest Dermatol*
	- **131**, 565–571 (2011). 41. Mathew, L. K., Andreasen, E. A. & Tanguay, R. L. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor activation inhibits regenerative growth. *Mol Pharmacol*
	- **69**, 257–265 (2006).
	- 42. Zodrow, J. M. & Tanguay, R. L. 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin inhibits zebrafsh caudal fn regeneration. *Toxicol Sci* **76**, 151–161 (2003).
	- 43. Cordeiro, J. V. & Jacinto, A. The role of transcription-independent damage signals in the initiation of epithelial wound healing. Nat *Rev Mol Cell Biol* **14**, 249–262 (2013).
	- 44. Bojarczuk, A. *et al*. Cryptococcus neoformans Intracellular Proliferation and Capsule Size Determines Early Macrophage Control of Infection. *Sci Rep* **6**, 21489 (2016).
	- 45. Renshaw, S. A. *et al*. A transgenic zebrafsh model of neutrophilic infammation. *Blood* **108**, 3976–3978 (2006).
	- 46. Grose, R. & Werner, S. Wound-healing studies in transgenic and knockout mice. *Mol Biotechnol* **28**, 147–166 (2004).
	- 47. Lammintausta, K., Maibach, H. I. & Wilson, D. Susceptibility to cumulative and acute irritant dermatitis. An experimental approach in human volunteers. *Contact Dermatitis* **19**, 84–90 (1988).
	- 48. Geusau, A. *et al*. 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin impairs diferentiation of normal human epidermal keratinocytes in a skin equivalent model. *J Invest Dermatol* **124**, 275–277 (2005).
	- 49. Osborne, R. & Greenlee, W. F. 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) enhances terminal diferentiation of cultured human epidermal cells. *Toxicol Appl. Pharmacol* **77**, 434–443 (1985).
	- 50. Bergander, L. *et al*. Metabolic fate of the Ah receptor ligand 6-formylindolo[3,2-b]carbazole. *Chem. Biol. Interact* **149**, 151–164 (2004).
	- 51. Caputo, R. *et al*. Cutaneous manifestations of tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in children and adolescents. Follow-up 10 years afer the Seveso, Italy, accident. *J Am. Acad. Dermatol* **19**, 812–819 (1988).
	- 52. Ju, Q., Zouboulis, C. C. & Xia, L. Environmental pollution and acne: Chloracne. *Dermatoendocrinol* **1**, 125–128 (2009).
	- 53. Tauchi, M. *et al*. Constitutive expression of aryl hydrocarbon receptor in keratinocytes causes infammatory skin lesions. *Mol Cell Biol* **25**, 9360–9368 (2005).
	- 54. Yeager, R. L., Reisman, S. A., Aleksunes, L. M. & Klaassen, C. D. Introducing the "TCDD-inducible AhR-Nrf2 gene battery". *Toxicol Sci* **111**, 238–246 (2009).
	- 55. Tsuji, G. *et al*. Identifcation of ketoconazole as an AhR-Nrf2 activator in cultured human keratinocytes: the basis of its antiinfammatory efect. *J Invest Dermatol* **132**, 59–68 (2012).
	- 56. Van Ruissen, F. *et al*. Induction of normal and psoriatic phenotypes in submerged keratinocyte cultures. *J Cell Physiol* **168**, 442–452 (1996)
	- 57. Kok, A. N., Ertekin, V., Bilge, Y. & Isik, A. F. An unusual cause of suicide: henna (Lawsonia inermis Linn.). *J Emerg Med* **29**, 343–344 (2005)
	- 58. Ansari, M. *et al*. Efcacy of topical alpha ointment (containing natural henna) compared to topical hydrocortisone (1%) in the healing of radiation-induced dermatitis in patients with breast cancer: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Iran J Med. Sci* **38**, 293–300 (2013).
	- 59. Kiyomatsu-Oda, M., Uchi, H., Morino-Koga, S. & Furue, M. Protective role of 6-formylindolo[3,2-b]carbazole (FICZ), an endogenous ligand for arylhydrocarbon receptor, in chronic mite-induced dermatitis. *J Dermatol Sci* **90**, 284–294 (2018).
	- 60. Lowes, M. A., Russell, C. B., Martin, D. A., Towne, J. E. & Krueger, J. G. Te IL-23/T17 pathogenic axis in psoriasis is amplifed by keratinocyte responses. *Trends Immunol* **34**, 174–181 (2013).
	- 61. Grolig, J. & Wagner, R. In *Ulmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry* Vol. 23 733 (2000).
	- 62. Huang, C. S., Chen, H. W., Lin, T. Y., Lin, A. H. & Lii, C. K. Shikonin upregulates the expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters in primary rat hepatocytes. *J Ethnopharmacol* **216**, 18–25 (2018).
	- 63. Seigler, D. S. *Plant Secondary Metabolism*. 76–93 (Springer US, 1998).
	- 64. Bessede, A. *et al*. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor control of a disease tolerance defence pathway. *Nature* **511**, 184–190 (2014).
	- 65. Perkins, A. *et al*. A Structural Switch between Agonist and Antagonist Bound Conformations for a Ligand-Optimized Model of the Human Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Ligand Binding Domain. *Biology (Basel)* 3, (645–669 (2014).
	- 66. Tkachenko, A. *et al*. Nuclear transport of the human aryl hydrocarbon receptor and subsequent gene induction relies on its residue histidine 291. *Arch Toxicol* (2017).
	- 67. Montaldo, E. *et al*. Human RORgammat(+)CD34(+) cells are lineage-specifed progenitors of group 3 RORgammat(+) innate lymphoid cells. *Immunity* **41**, 988–1000 (2014).
	- 68. Boukamp, P. *et al*. Normal keratinization in a spontaneously immortalized aneuploid human keratinocyte cell line. *J Cell Biol* **106**, 761–771 (1988).
	- 69. Muslimovic, A., Johansson, P. & Hammarsten, O. In Current Topics in Ionizing Radiation Research (ed M. Nenoi) Ch. 1 (2012).
	- 70. Lozza, L. *et al*. Crosstalk between human DC subsets promotes antibacterial activity and CD8+ T-cell stimulation in response to bacille Calmette-Guerin. *Eur. J Immunol* **44**, 80–92 (2014).
	- 71. Mohammadi-Bardbori, A. Assay for quantitative determination of CYP1A1 enzyme activity using 7-Ethoxyresorufn as standard substrate (EROD assay). Protocol Exchange,<https://doi.org/10.1038/protex.2014.043>(2014).
	- 72. Nüsslein-Volhard, C. & Dahm, R. Zebrafsh: a practical approach. (Oxford University Press 2002).
	- 73. Nacci, D. *et al*. Nondestructive indicator of ethoxyresorufn-O-deethylase activity in embryonic fsh. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **17**, 2481–2486 (1998).
	- 74. Westerfeld, M. Te Zebrafsh Book. A Guide for the Laboratory Use of Zebrafsh (Danio rerio). 5th edn, (University of Oregon Press, 2007).
	- Crespo, C. L. et al. The PAR complex controls the spatiotemporal dynamics of F-actin and the MTOC in directionally migrating leukocytes. *J Cell Sci* **127**, 4381–4395 (2014).
	- 76. Petrie, R. J., Doyle, A. D. & Yamada, K. M. Random versus directionally persistent cell migration. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 538–549 (2009).
	- 77. Ritchie, M. E. *et al*. limma powers diferential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e47 (2015).
	- 78. 3rd, J. W. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
	- 79. Weiner, J. Functional Multivariate analysis with the tmod package (2015).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Mary Louise Grossman and Souraya Sibaei for excellent editorial assistance, and Philippe Saikali for technical help (Department of Immunology, Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology, Charitéplatz 1, D-10117 Berlin, Germany). We also thank Sabrina Hadam, Eva Katharina Barbosa Pfannes and Annika Vogt for fruitful discussions (Department of Dermatology and Allergy, Clinical Research Center for Hair and Skin Science, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany). We acknowledge Anne Diehl (Leibniz-Forschungsinstitut fuer Molekulare Pharmakologie, Berlin, Germany) for mouse liver protein preparation. The zebrafsh mpeg.mCherryCAAX SH378 mpx:GFP i114 line was kindly provided by Dr. Stephen Renshaw, Te University of Sheffield, UK (http://www.sheffield.ac.uk/iicd/profiles/renshaw).

Author Contributions

L.L. and P.M.A. designed the study and performed the majority of experiments; T.D., J.W., H.J.M. and M.B. performed microarray analyses; A.K. and G.K. performed *in silico* analysis. J.F. performed binding studies. C.C. and A.J. performed and analyzed zebrafsh wound healing experiments; I.S., S.B.U. and I.M. performed human skin biopsies experiments; A.P., R.H., M.K., U.G.B., U.Z., A.B.K. and M.S. performed experiments; M.L.M. supervised mouse experiments; F.S. and M.M. supervised the mouse wound healing experiments and performed contact skin irritation experiments; S.H.E.K. proposed and supervised project; L.L., P.M.A. analyzed data and wrote manuscript with major input from S.H.E.K.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47350-x>.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Co O Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2019$