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A framework for robot learning during child-robot interaction with human engagement as reward signal

M. Khamassi1,2 and G. Chalvatzaki1 and T. Tsitsimis1 and G. Velentzas1 and C. Tzafestas1,3

Abstract—Using robots as therapeutic or educational tools for children with autism requires robots to be able to adapt their behavior specifically for each child with whom they interact. In particular, some children may like to be looked into the eyes by the robot while some may not. Some may like a robot with an extroverted behavior while others may prefer a more introverted behavior. Here we present an algorithm to adapt the robot’s expressivity parameters of action (mutual gaze duration, hand movement expressivity) in an online manner during the interaction. The reward signal used for learning is based on an estimation of the child’s mutual engagement with the robot, measured through non-verbal cues such as the child’s gaze and distance from the robot. We first present a pilot joint attention task where children with autism interact with a robot whose level of expressivity is pre-determined to progressively increase, and show results suggesting the need for online adaptation of expressivity. We then present the proposed learning algorithm and some promising simulations in the same task. Altogether, these results suggest a way to enable robot learning based on non-verbal cues and to cope with the high degree of non-stationarities that can occur during interaction with children.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In this short paper, we present recent progresses in developing robot learning abilities for the adaptation to human-specific requirements during child-robot interaction. In particular, we aim at enabling the robot to vary the level of expressivity of its actions in order to increase the child’s mutual engagement with the robot and thus contribute to further develop children’s social interaction skills. Mutual engagement can be defined as “the process by which interactors start, maintain and end their perceived connection to each other during an interaction” [1].

Researchers in the field of social robotics have recently shown a growing interest in monitoring human and robot gaze during social interaction [2], [3]. Results show that gaze following improves intention readout, efficiency of joint action, and arouses on human partners the illusion of a social intelligence. Conversely, it has been proposed that monitoring the level of engagement of the human during the task, for instance through the monitoring of body posture and gaze, may provide the robot with crucial information to assess how it is perceived by the human, how this perception changes according to the behaviors shown by the social robot, and hence to improve the quality of human-robot interaction [4]. However, to our knowledge no one has yet proposed a way to make the robot learn on the fly in response to changes in human engagement. Previous researches having applied reinforcement learning to human-robot interaction have most of the time employed discrete action spaces (e.g. [5]), hence preventing generalization to more complex tasks requiring continuous motor actions.

II. PILOT CHILD-ROBOT INTERACTION STUDY WITH CHILDREN WITH AUTISM

The general experimental paradigm adopted here consists in having a small humanoid robot interact with children (one at a time), under the supervision of an observing human adult, and finding the appropriate robot behavior to maximize children’s engagement in the task. This paradigm follows the objectives defined in the framework of the EU-funded project BabyRobot (H2020-ICT-24-2015-6878310), where a set of child-robot interaction use-cases have been designed and implemented to study the development of specific socio-affective, communication and collaborative skills in typically developing children as well as children with Autistic Spectrum Disorders (ASD). In this framework, we have set up a pilot experiment1 where the NAO robot is interacting with a child (Fig. 1), and repeatedly points at an unreachable object while varying the level of expressivity of its pointing gesture (i.e., opening-and-closing hand for a certain duration, bending its torso with a certain angle in the direction of the object, gazing at the child for a certain duration) until the child understands the “intention” of the robot and engages himself/herself into joint action in order to help the robot grasp the object. The engagement estimation, in this pilot study, was provided in real-time by an expert who observed the child during the interaction with the robot, considering five discrete levels of engagement (0 to 4, with 0 meaning absence of engagement and 4 meaning full engagement and attempt to offer help).

We present here some preliminary results for this real HRI task for which we have yet performed the experiment only with a small number of children with mild and moderate ASD symptoms, plus a few children with severe symptoms (12 children in total so far). First, children with severe symptoms expressed no interest in the task, neither in the condition with the robot nor in a control condition where the child interacts with a human expert rather than with the
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robot. In contrast, children with mild symptoms displayed great enthusiasm and interest in playing with the robot as well as with the researcher and enjoyed the whole process. These children were able to respond quite well to the task and completed the experiment with success. Overall, we found that two out of eight children with mild symptoms successfully maximized their engagement in joint attention with the robot and gave the object to the robot spontaneously. The remaining six children successfully increased their engagement, although not optimally, ending up moving the object closer to the robot but not handing it in. The two children with moderate symptoms also increased engagement and ended up exploring the object pointed at by the robot. Finally, again children with severe symptoms did not respond to the task.

Figure 1 shows one child performing the task, looking at the NAO robot (moderate engagement) while the latter moved its arm down after pointing at the object on the small white table. The psychologist who can be seen near the red door is manually annotating the child’s engagement so that the robot can adapt its behavior. These results are promising and stimulating in that eight children that we interviewed after the task said that they would like to play more often and stimulating in that eight children that we interviewed after the task said that they would like to play more often.

III. ROBOT LEARNING ALGORITHM

The proposed algorithm is summarised in Algorithm 1. It is based on reinforcement learning with parameterized action spaces [6], [7]. It employs a set of discrete actions \( A_d = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_k\} \), where each action \( a \in A_d \) features \( m_a \) continuous parameters \( \{\theta^a_1, ..., \theta^a_{m_a}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_a} \), which enables benefit to the simplicity of task decomposition into a small set of discrete actions while at the same time being able to exploit the precision of continuous motor execution. Learning the value of discrete action \( a_t \in A_d \) selected at timestep \( t \) in state \( s_t \) is done through Q-Learning [8]:

\[
\Delta Q_t(s_t, a_t) = \alpha_Q \left( r_t + \gamma \max_a (Q_{t+1}(s_{t+1}, a)) - Q_t(s_t, a_t) \right)
\]

where \( \alpha_Q \) is a learning rate and \( \gamma \) is a discount factor. The probability of executing discrete action \( a_j \) at timestep \( t \) is given by a Boltzmann softmax equation:

\[
P(a_t | s_t, \beta_t) = \frac{\exp(\beta_t Q_t(s_t, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\beta_t Q_t(s_t, a'))}
\]
where $\beta_t$ is a dynamic inverse temperature meta-parameter which will be tuned through meta-learning (see below).

In parallel, continuous parameters $\theta_t(s_t)$, with which action $a$ is executed at timestep $t$ are selected from a Gaussian exploration function centered at the current values $\theta_t^a(s_t)$ in state $s_t$ of the parameters of this action:

$$P(\theta_t^a(s_t, a_t, \sigma_t)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_t} \exp \left( -\frac{(\theta_t^a - \theta_t^a(s_t))^2}{2\sigma_t^2} \right)$$

(3)

where the width $\sigma_t$ of the Gaussian is tuned through meta-learning (see below) and continuous action parameters $\theta_t^a(s_t)$ are learned with the CACLA algorithm [9]. A reward prediction error is computed from the critic: $\delta_t = r_t + \gamma V_t(s_{t+1}) - V_t(s_t)$ and is used to update the critic and the actor:

$$V_{t+1}(s_t) = V_t(s_t) + \alpha_C \delta_t$$

(4)

$$\theta_{t+1}(s_t) = \theta_t^a(s_t) + \alpha_A \delta_t$$

(5)

where $\alpha_C$ and $\alpha_A$ are learning rates.

In order to perform active exploration, we apply a noiseless version of the meta-learning algorithm of [10], which tracks online variations of the agent’s performance measured by short-term $\bar{r}_t$ and long-term $\bar{r}_t$ reward running averages. At each timestep, we use the difference between the two averages to simultaneously tune the inverse temperature $\beta_t$ used for selecting between discrete actions $a$, and the width $\sigma_t$ of the Gaussian distribution from which each continuous action parameter $\theta_t^a$ is sampled around its current value. The main idea is that when the performance is better than average, exploration should be decreased in order to reach optimality levels. In contrast, sudden drops in the performance should lead to increases in exploration in order to adapt to environmental non-stationarities.

Finally, we need to define a reward function for human-robot interaction tasks. This is not an easy task since during interaction the actions performed by a robot may have delayed effects on the human’s behavior and on his engagement. To mimic this, we chose a reward component to be given by a dynamical system which is based on the virtual engagement $E$ of the human in the task. In our simulations, the quantified engagement arbitrarily starts at 5, increases up to a maximum $E_M = 10$ when the robot performs the appropriate actions with the appropriate parameters, and decreases down to a minimum $E_m = 0$ otherwise:

$$E_{t+1} = \begin{cases} 
E_t + \eta_1(E_M - E_t)H(\theta_t^\sigma), & \text{if } a_t = a^* \text{ and } H(\theta_t^\sigma) \geq 0 \\
E_t - \eta_2(E_m - E_t)H(\theta_t^\sigma), & \text{if } a_t = a^* \text{ and } H(\theta_t^\sigma) < 0 \\
E_t + \eta_2(E_m - E_t), & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}$$

(6)

where $\eta_1 = 0.1$ is the increasing rate, $\eta_2 = 0.05$ is the decreasing rate, and $H(x)$ is the reengagement function given by $H(x) = 2 \left( \exp \left( -\frac{(x-a)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) - 0.5 \right)$ where $a^*$, $\mu^*$ and $\sigma^*$ are respectively the optimal action, action parameter and variance around $a^*$.

The reward function is then computed as $r_{t+1} = E_{t+1} + \lambda \Delta E_t$ where $\lambda = 0.7$ is a weight and $\Delta E_t = E_{t+1} - E_t$. This reward function ensures that the algorithm gets rewarded in cases where the engagement $E_{t+1}$ is low but nevertheless has just been increased by the action n-tuple $(a_t, \theta_{t+1}^1, \theta_{t+1}^2, \ldots, \theta_{t+1}^m)$ performed by the robot.

IV. SIMULATIONS

We performed numerical simulations of the learning algorithm in a task identical to the child-robot interaction pilot task described above. We implemented the simulations in the virtual robot experimentation platform (V-REP). In the considered scenario, the NAO robot points at an object on a table with different degrees of action expressivity so as to catch the child’s attention and thus increase mutual engagement (Fig.2(b)). We parameterized the simulated pointing action of the robot with two parameters $(t_1, t_2)$ corresponding to the time in seconds the robot would spend iteratively opening-closing its hand during pointing, and the time spent exchanging glances with the child. Examples of different levels of expressivity defined by these parameters are shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pointing gesture</th>
<th>expressivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>point + open-close</td>
<td>$t_1 \neq 0, t_2 \neq 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>point + exchange glance</td>
<td>$t_1 = 0, t_2 \neq 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>point + open-close hand</td>
<td>$t_1 \neq 0, t_2 = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>point</td>
<td>$t_1 = 0, t_2 = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I

ROBOT’S POINTING ACTION WITH PARAMETERS CORRESPONDING TO INCREASING LEVELS OF EXPRESSIVITY.

We initialized the algorithm based on the parameters obtained on average during previous interactions with simulated children. This way, the algorithm started from a meaningful average value of action parameters/durations $(t_1, t_2)$, rather than being initialized randomly, and then adapted to each specific child. We defined a time range from 0 to 10 seconds. Fig. 2(b) shows the average performance over 10 simulations. The robot firstly interacted with an “average child”, meaning that the child engaged optimally with parameters $(t_1, t_2)$. Then, at timestep 40, the experiment involved another child (child 1) with different optimal parameters. The engagement of child 1 was initially low but progressively re-increased as the robot was finding the optimal continuous action parameters. The figure also illustrated the increased variance in executed action parameters during exploration followed by a re-focus around the learned parameters during exploitation. Similarly, at timestep 80 child 2 took the place of child 1 and the robot readjusted its parameters. Importantly, we observe that in less than 10 timesteps the robot found the optimal parameter values for the different children whose engagement reached 8 in just a few timesteps. This thus illustrates a sufficiently fast adaptation process to work online during real child-robot interactions.
and engages in joint action. The preliminary results suggest that the level of expressivity does play a role in engaging the child, but should nevertheless be adapted through on-line learning to each interacting child. We then presented a learning algorithm based on reinforcement learning in parameterized action spaces [6], [7] – to benefit from the simplicity of task decomposition into a small set of discrete actions while at the same time being able to exploit the precision of continuous motor execution – to which we added active exploration so as to cope with the frequent non-stationarities that can occur during human-robot interaction. We presented simulation results showing that the algorithm can adapt in a sufficiently small number of trials to be applied to adaptation in real-time during interaction.

In future work, we plan to test the learning algorithm during real child-robot interaction. We moreover plan to study whether the average parameters over different interacting children is efficient or whether there exists distinct clusters of parameters – especially within the data obtained in the real experiments – that should be used as separate initialization points for the learning algorithm.
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