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BIN CHEN \& JIE WU


#### Abstract

Denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of all primes and by $P(n)$ the largest prime factor of integer $n \geqslant 1$ with the convention $P(1)=1$. Let $\eta_{0} \approx 2.1426$ be the unique positive zero of the equation $\eta-1-4 \eta \log (\eta-1)=0$ in $(1, \infty)$. Very recently Wu proved that for $\eta \in\left(\frac{32}{17}, \eta_{0}\right)$ there is a constant $c(\eta)>1$ such that for each fixed non-zero integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$ the set (0.1) $\mathcal{P}_{a, c, \eta}:=\left\{p \in \mathbb{P}: p=P(q-a)\right.$ for some prime $q$ with $\left.p^{\eta}<q \leqslant c(\eta) p^{\eta}\right\}$ has relative density $1 \mathrm{in} \mathbb{P}$. In this short note, we shall further extend the domain of $\eta$ at the cost of obtaining a lower bound in place of an asymptotic formula, by showing that for each $\eta \in\left[\eta_{0}, 1+\sqrt[4]{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ the set $\mathcal{P}_{a, c, \eta}$ has relative positive density in $\mathbb{P}$.


## 1. Introduction

Denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the set of all prime numbers and by $P(n)$ the largest prime factor of the positive integer $n \geqslant 1$ with the convention $P(1)=1$. Banks \& Shparlinski [1] proposed to estimate the number of primes $p$ that occur as the largest prime factor of a shifted prime $q-a$ when $q \in \mathbb{P}$ lies in a certain interval determined by $p$. This question has applications in theoretical computer science and has been considered by Vishnoi [8].

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}, c>1$ and $\eta>0$, we put

$$
\mathcal{P}_{a, c, \eta}:=\left\{r \in \mathbb{P}: r=P(q-a) \text { for some prime } q \text { with } r^{\eta}<q \leqslant c r^{\eta}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\pi_{a, c, \eta}(x):=\left|\left\{r \leqslant x: r \in \mathcal{P}_{a, c, \eta}\right\}\right|, \quad \pi(x):=|\{r \leqslant x: r \in \mathbb{P}\}|
$$

Banks \& Shparlinski [1, Theorem 1.1] proved that for each $\eta \in\left(\frac{32}{17}, 1+\frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2}\right)$, there exists a constant $c=c(\eta)>1$ such that the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{a, c, \eta}(x)=\pi(x)+O_{A, a, c, \eta}\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{A}}\right) \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every fixed non-zero integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$ and any constant $A>1$. Moreover for $2 \leqslant \eta<1+\frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2}$, this estimate holds for any constant $c>1$. Very recently, Wu [9] extended Banks-Shparlinski's interval $\left(\frac{32}{17}, 1+\frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2}\right)$ to $\left(\frac{32}{17}, \eta_{0}\right)$, where $\eta_{0}$ is the unique positive zero of the equation $\eta-1-4 \eta \log (\eta-1)=0$ in $(1, \infty)$.

In this short note, we shall further extend domain of $\eta$ at the cost of obtaining a positive proportion in place of the density 1 .

Our result is as follows.
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Theorem 1. Let $\eta \in(2,1+\sqrt[4]{\mathrm{e}})$ and $c>1$. There is a small positive number $\delta=\delta(\eta, c)$ such that for every fixed non-zero integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{a, c, \eta}(x) \geqslant \frac{(\log 2)(\eta-1)}{4 \eta}\left(1-4 \log (\eta-1)-8 \delta \frac{\log (\eta-1)}{c-1}\right) \pi(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
For comparison, we have

$$
1+\frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2} \approx 2.0606, \quad \eta_{0} \approx 2.142 \quad \text { and } \quad 1+\sqrt[4]{\mathrm{e}} \approx 2.284
$$

The improvement of Wu [9] comes from the following two simple observations:
(i) In many arithmetic applications, the linear sieve is more powerful than the sieve of dimension 2 ;
(ii) With the help of the Chen-Iwaniec switching principle [2, 3] and his theorem of Bombieri-Vinogradov type (see [9, Proposition 3.2] or (2.7) below), Wu can sieve the sequence of convolution:

$$
\left\{k \ell r+a: k \leqslant 2 c r^{\eta-2}, 2 \nmid(a+k), c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)\right\}
$$

for each fixed $r \in\left(\frac{1}{2} x, x\right] \cap \mathbb{P}$ by the linear sieve, instead of fixing $(k, r)$ and sieving $\{n(k n r+a)\}_{n}$ by the sieve of dimension 2 as in [1].
For every prime $r \in\left[\frac{1}{2} x, x\right]$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{1}(r):=\sum_{\substack{y<q \leqslant c y \\ q \equiv a(\bmod r)}} 1=\sum_{\substack{y<q \leqslant c y \\ P(q-a)=r}} 1-\mathcal{Q}_{2}(r), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{2}(r):=\sum_{\substack{y<q \leqslant c y \\ q \equiv a(\bmod r), P(q-a)>r}} 1 . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The observations above allowed Wu to obtain a better upper bound for $\mathcal{Q}_{2}(r)$ than $[1$, Formula (9) or Page 143, line 2] of Banks \& Shparlinski.

We shall prove Theorem 1 by introducing a new idea into Wu's refinement on BanksShparlinski's argument. Our key point is Proposition 3.1 below, which gives a good upper bound for the mean value of the counting function $Q_{2}(r)$ :

$$
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{2}(r)
$$

For this, we shall sieve the sequence of convolution defined as in (4.1) below by the RosserIwaniec linear sieve. The lower bound in Theorem 1 can be increased with more work, but this does not improve the point when the bound becomes trivial.

## 2. Some preliminary lemmas

In this section, we cite three lemmas, which will be useful later.

### 2.1. The Rosser-Iwaniec linear sieve.

The first lemma is due to Iwaniec $[4,5]$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $D \geqslant 2$ and let $\mu(n)$ be the Möbius function. Then there are two sequences $\left\{\lambda_{d}^{ \pm}\right\}_{d \geqslant 1}$, vanishing for $d>D$ or $\mu(d)=0$, verifying $\left|\lambda_{d}^{ \pm}\right| \leqslant 1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{d \mid n} \lambda_{d}^{-} \leqslant \sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) \leqslant \sum_{d \mid n} \lambda_{d}^{+} \quad(n \geqslant 1) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{d \mid P_{\mathcal{P}}(z)} \lambda_{d}^{+} \frac{w(d)}{d} \leqslant \prod_{\substack{p \leq z \\
p \in \mathcal{P}}}\left(1-\frac{w(p)}{p}\right)\left\{F(s)+O\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{L}-s}}{\sqrt[3]{\log D}}\right)\right\}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \sum_{d \mid P_{\mathcal{P}}(z)} \lambda_{d}^{-} \frac{w(d)}{d} \geqslant \prod_{\substack{p \leqslant z \\
p \in \mathcal{P}}}\left(1-\frac{w(p)}{p}\right)\left\{f(s)+O\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{L}-s}}{\sqrt[3]{\log D}}\right)\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $z \in[2, D], s=(\log D) / \log z$, set of prime numbers $\mathcal{P}$ and multiplicative function $w$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<w(p)<p \quad(p \in \mathcal{P})  \tag{2.4}\\
\prod_{u<p \leqslant v, p \in \mathcal{P}}\left(1-\frac{w(p)}{p}\right)^{-1} \leqslant \frac{\log v}{\log u}\left(1+\frac{L}{\log u}\right) \quad(2 \leqslant u \leqslant v) \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $P_{\mathcal{P}}(z):=\prod_{p \leqslant z, p \in \mathcal{P}} p$ and the implied $O$-constants are absolute. Here $F, f$ are defined by the continuous solutions to the system

$$
\begin{cases}(s F(s))^{\prime}=f(s-1) & (s>2) \\ (s f(s))^{\prime}=F(s-1) & (s>2)\end{cases}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{cases}s F(s)=2 \mathrm{e}^{\gamma} & (1 \leqslant s \leqslant 2) \\ s f(s)=0 & (0<s \leqslant 2)\end{cases}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the Euler constant.

### 2.2. A mean value theorem of Bombieri-Vinogradov type.

As usual, for $(a, d)=1$ define

$$
\pi(x ; d, a):=\sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x \\ p \equiv a(\bmod d)}} 1 .
$$

The Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem can be stated as follows: For any $A>0$, there exists a constant $B=B(A)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{d \leqslant x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{-B}} \max _{z \leqslant x} \max _{(a, d)=1}\left|\pi(z ; d, a)-\frac{\pi(z)}{\varphi(d)}\right|<_{A} \frac{x}{(\log x)^{A}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \geqslant 2$, where $\varphi(n)$ denotes the Euler totient function and the implied constant depends on $A$ only.

The following proposition is a mean value theorem of Bombieri-Vinogradov type and will play a key role in the proof of Proposition 3.1 below.
Proposition 2.2. Let $\kappa_{1}(m)$ and $\kappa_{2}(m)$ be the characteristic functions of the odd integers and of even integers, respectively. Then for any $A>0$, there is a constant $B=B(A)>0$ such that the inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{\substack{d \leqslant x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{-B} \\
2 \nmid d}} \max _{z \leqslant x} \max _{(a, d)=1}\left|\sum_{\substack{m p \leqslant z \\
m \equiv \equiv a(\bmod d)}} \kappa_{i}(m)-\frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{m p \leqslant z \\
(d, m p)=1}} \kappa_{i}(m)\right| \ll A \frac{x}{(\log x)^{A}} \\
\sum_{\substack{d \leqslant x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{-B} \\
2 \nmid d}} \max _{z \leqslant x} \max _{(a, d)=1}\left|\sum_{\substack{m p_{1} p_{2} \leqslant z \\
m p_{1} p_{2} \equiv a(\bmod d)}} \kappa_{i}(m)-\frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{m p_{1} p_{2} \leqslant z \\
\left(d, m p_{1} p_{2}\right)=1}} \kappa_{i}(m)\right|<_{A} \frac{x}{(\log x)^{A}} \tag{2.8}
\end{array}
$$

hold for all $x \geqslant 3$.
Proof. The first inequality is Proposition 3.2 of [9]. With the help of Motohashi's convolution argument [7], (2.8) follows from (2.7) and the classical Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem (see (2.6) below).

### 2.3. An asymptotic formula.

The next lemma is [9, Lemma 3.3].
Lemma 2.3. For each positive integer $n \geqslant 1$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(n):=\prod_{2<p \mid n} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $x \geqslant 2$, we have

$$
\sum_{n \leqslant x} \psi(n)=\frac{x}{\Xi}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right\},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi:=\prod_{p>2}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Banks-Shparlinski's argument and sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

As in [9], the letters $p, q, r$ and $\ell$ are always used to denote prime numbers, and $d, m$, and $n$ always denote positive integers. In what follows, let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$ and $\eta \in\left[\eta_{0}, 1+\sqrt[4]{\mathrm{e}}\right)$. Let $\delta$ be a sufficiently small positive constant and let $c>1$ be a parameter to be chosen later. Let $x_{0}(A, a, c, \eta, \delta)$ be a large constant depending on $A, a, c, \eta, \delta$ at most. For $x \geqslant x_{0}(A, a, c, \eta, \delta)$ and $r \in\left(\frac{1}{2} x, x\right]$, put $y:=r^{\eta}$.

For every prime $r \in\left[\frac{1}{2} x, x\right]$, recall the definition of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{1}(r):=\sum_{\substack{y<q \leqslant c y \\ P(q-a)=r}} 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{Q}_{2}(r):=\sum_{\substack{y<q \leqslant c y \\ q \equiv a(\bmod r), P(q-a)>r}} 1 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\eta>2$, we have $x \leqslant 2 r=2 y^{1 / \eta} \leqslant y^{1 / 2}(\log y)^{-B}$. Thus the Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem (2.6) allows us to write

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{1}(r) & =\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x}\left(\sum_{\substack{y<q \leqslant c y \\
q \equiv a(\bmod r)}} 1-\mathcal{Q}_{2}(r)\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& =(c-1) \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \frac{\pi(y)}{\varphi(r)}+O\left(\frac{y}{(\log y)^{A}}\right)-\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{2}(r) .
\end{align*}
$$

The following result constitutes the key to prove Theorem 1.
Proposition 3.1. Under the previous notation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{2}(r) \leqslant 4(c-1+2 \delta) \log (\eta-1) \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \frac{\pi(y)}{\varphi(r)}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\log x}}\right)\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \rightarrow \infty$, where the implied constant depends on $c, \eta$ and $\delta$.
In Section 4, we shall prove this proposition. Now we suppose this proposition and complete the proof of Theorem 1.

From (3.2), (3.3) and the following simple asymptotic formula

$$
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \frac{1}{\varphi(r)}=\frac{\log 2}{\log x}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right\},
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{1}(r) \geqslant C_{1}(c, \eta, \delta) \frac{\pi(y)}{\log x}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\log x}}\right)\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(c, \eta, \delta):=(\log 2)(c-1)\left(1-4 \log (\eta-1)-\delta \frac{\log (\eta-1)^{8}}{c-1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the Brun-Titchmarsh inequality (see [6]) give us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_{1}(r) & \leqslant \sum_{\substack{y<q \leqslant c y \\
q \equiv a(\bmod r)}} 1 \leqslant \frac{2(c-1) y}{\varphi(r) \log ((c-1) y / r)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{4(c-1) y}{(\eta-1) x \log x}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all primes $r \in\left(\frac{1}{2} x, x\right]$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{1}(r) \leqslant \frac{4(c-1) y}{(\eta-1) x \log x}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right\} \sum_{\substack{x / 2<r \leqslant x \\ Q_{1}(r) \neq 0}} 1 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.6), it follows that

$$
\sum_{\substack{x / 2<r \leqslant x \\ Q_{1}(r) \neq 0}} 1 \geqslant C_{2}(c, \eta, \delta) \pi(x)\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\log x}}\right)\right\}
$$

with

$$
C_{2}(c, \eta, \delta):=\frac{(\log 2)(\eta-1)}{4 \eta}\left(1-4 \log (\eta-1)-\delta \frac{\log (\eta-1)^{8}}{c-1}\right)
$$

This implies the required inequality (1.2). The proof of Theorem 1 is completed assuming Proposition 3.1.

## 4. Proof of Proposition 3.1

For simplicity of notation, we put

$$
c_{1}:=1-\delta \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}:=c+\delta .
$$

If a prime number $q$ is counted in $\mathcal{Q}_{2}(r)$, then we can write $q-a=k \ell r$, where $\ell$ is the largest prime factor of $q-a$. Since $\ell>r \in\left(\frac{1}{2} x, x\right]$ and $y<q \leqslant c y$, we have $k \leqslant(c y-a) /(\ell r) \leqslant$ $2 c r^{\eta-2}$. On the other hand, noticing that $\ell, r$ and $q=k \ell r+a$ are odd, we must have $2 \nmid(a+k)$. By the Chen-Iwaniec switching principle (see [2, 3]), we see that $\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{2}(r)$ does not exceed the number of primes in the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{k \ell r+a: \frac{1}{2} x<r \leqslant x, k \leqslant 2 c r^{\eta-2}, 2 \nmid(a+k), c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)\right\} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall sieve this sequence by the set of primes $\mathcal{P}_{2}:=\{p \in \mathbb{P}: p>2\}$. Define

$$
P_{m}(z):=\prod_{p<z, p \nmid m} p \quad \text { with } \quad z:=y^{1 / 4}(\log y)^{-B(3) / 2}<r .
$$

The inversion formula of Möbius allows us to write that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{2}(r) & \leqslant \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{k \leqslant 2 c r^{\eta-2}, 2 \nmid(a+k) \\
k \ell r+a \text { is prime }}} \sum_{\substack{c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)}} 1 \\
& \leqslant \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{k \leqslant 2 c r^{\eta-2}, 2 \nmid(a+k) \\
\left(k \ell r+a, P_{2}(z)\right)=1}} 1 \\
& =\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{k \leqslant 2 c r^{\eta-2}, 2 \nmid(a+k)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)} \sum_{c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r) d \mid\left(k \ell r+a, P_{2}(z)\right)} \mu(d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} Q_{2}(r) \leqslant \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{k \leqslant 2 c r r^{-2}, 2 \nmid(a+k)} \sum_{c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)} \sum_{d \mid\left(k \ell r+a, P_{2}(z)\right)} \lambda_{d}^{+} .
$$

By inversion of summations, we can write

$$
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{2}(r) \leqslant \sum_{d \mid P_{2}(z)} \lambda_{d}^{+} \mathcal{K}(y ; d,-a),
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{K}(y ; d, b):=\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{k \leqslant 2 c r r^{\eta-2}, 2 \nmid(a+k) c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)} 1 .
$$

Introducing the notation

$$
\mathcal{K}_{d}(y):=\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{k \leqslant 2 c r^{\eta-2}, 2 \nmid(a+k) c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r) \\(k \ell r, d)=1}} 1
$$

and

$$
E(t ; d, b):=\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{k \leqslant 2 c r \eta-2,2 \nmid(a+k) \\ k \ell r \equiv b(\bmod d)}} \sum_{\ell \leqslant t /(k r)} 1-\frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{x / 2<r \leqslant x}} \sum_{\substack{k \leqslant 2 c r \eta-2,2 \nmid(a+k) \\(k \ell r, d)=1}} 1
$$

we write

$$
\mathcal{K}(y ; d,-a)=\frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \mathcal{K}_{d}(y)+E\left(c_{2} y ; d,-a\right)-E\left(c_{1} y ; d,-a\right) .
$$

Inserting into the preceding formula, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \mathcal{Q}_{2}(r) \leqslant \mathcal{M}+\mathcal{E} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{M}:=\sum_{d \mid P_{2}(z)} \frac{\lambda_{d}^{+}}{\varphi(d)} \mathcal{K}_{d}(y), \quad \mathcal{E}:=\sum_{d \mid P_{2}(z)} \lambda_{d}^{+}\left(E\left(c_{2} y, d,-a\right)-E\left(c_{1} y, d,-a\right)\right) .
$$

With the help of (2.8) of Proposition 2.2 with $D:=z^{2}=y^{1 / 2}(\log y)^{-B(3)}$, we can derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{E}| \leqslant \sum_{d \leqslant y^{1 / 2}(\log y)^{-B(3)}}\left(\left|E\left(c_{2} y, d,-a\right)\right|+\left|E\left(c_{1} y, d,-a\right)\right|\right) \ll \frac{y}{(\log y)^{3}} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to evaluate $\mathcal{M}$. By inversion of summations, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}=\sum_{d \mid P_{2}(z)} \frac{\lambda_{d}^{+}}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{ }} \sum_{k \leqslant 2 c r-2,2 \nmid(a+k)} 1 \\
&=\sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} 1 \\
&(k \ell r, d)=1 \\
& c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r) \\
& \sum_{k \leqslant 2 c r \eta-2,2 \nmid(a+k)} \sum_{c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)} \sum_{d \mid P_{2 k \ell r}(z)} \frac{\lambda_{d}^{+}}{\varphi(d)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.1 with $w(d)=d / \varphi(d), D=z^{2}$ and $\mathcal{P}=\{p \in \mathbb{P}: p \nmid 2 k \ell r\}$, it follows that

$$
\mathcal{M} \leqslant\left\{F(2)+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\log x}}\right)\right\} \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{k \leqslant 2 c r \eta-2 \\ 2 \nmid(a+k)}} \sum_{\substack{c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)}} \prod_{\substack{p \leqslant z \\ p \nmid 2 k \ell r}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p-1}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, the Mertens formula allows us to deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{p \leqslant z, p \nmid 2 m}\left(1-\frac{1}{p-1}\right) & =\prod_{2<p \leqslant z}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{2<p \mid m} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \prod_{2<p \leqslant z}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \Xi \psi(m) \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma}}{\log z}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log z}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Xi$ and $\psi(m)$ are defined as in (2.10) and (2.9), respectively. Inserting this into the preceding relation and using the fact that $F(2)=\mathrm{e}^{\gamma}$, we find

$$
\mathcal{M} \leqslant\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\log x}}\right)\right\} \frac{2 \Xi}{\log z} \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{k \leqslant 2 c r^{\eta-2} \\ 2 \nmid(a+k)}} \sum_{c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)} \psi(k \ell r) .
$$

Noticing that $\ell>r>\frac{1}{2} x$ and that $\ell$ and $r$ are primes, we have

$$
\psi(k \ell r) \leqslant \psi(k) \psi(\ell) \psi(r)=\left\{1+O\left(x^{-1}\right)\right\} \psi(k) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M} & \leqslant\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\log x}}\right)\right\} \frac{2 \Xi}{\log z} \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{k \leqslant 2 c r \eta-2 \\
2 \nmid(a+k)}} \psi(k) \sum_{c_{1} y /(k r)<\ell \leqslant c_{2} y /(k r)} 1 \\
& =\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\log x}}\right)\right\} \frac{2 \Xi\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right) y}{\log z} \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \frac{\mathcal{S}(r)}{r \log (y / r)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{S}(r):=\sum_{\substack{k \leqslant 2 c c^{\eta-2} \\ 2 \nmid(a+k)}} \frac{\psi(k)}{k(1-(\log k) / \log (y / r))} .
$$

With the help of Lemma 2.3, a simple partial integration leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}(r) & =\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \leqslant c r^{\eta-2}} \frac{\psi(k)}{k(1-(\log k) / \log (y / r))} \\
& =\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{2 \Xi} \int_{1}^{c r^{\eta-2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t(1-(\log t) / \log (y / r))} \\
& =\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right)\right\} \frac{\log (\eta-1)}{2 \Xi} \log (y / r),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Xi$ is defined as in (2.10). Combining it with the preceding formula, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M} & \leqslant\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\log x}}\right)\right\} \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \frac{\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right) y}{r \log z} \log (\eta-1) \\
& \leqslant\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\log x}}\right)\right\} 4(c-1+2 \delta) \log (\eta-1) \sum_{x / 2<r \leqslant x} \frac{\pi(y)}{\varphi(r)} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting (4.4) and (4.3) into (4.2), we obtain the required inequality (3.3).
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