

Studying protein ubiquitylation in yeast

Junie Hovsepian, Michel Becuwe, Oded Kleifeld, Michael Glickman, Sébastien

Léon

► To cite this version:

Junie Hovsepian, Michel Becuwe, Oded Kleifeld, Michael Glickman, Sébastien Léon. Studying protein ubiquitylation in yeast. Proteostasis: Methods and Protocols, pp.117-142, 2016, 10.1007/978-1-4939-3756-1_5 . hal-02322657

HAL Id: hal-02322657 https://hal.science/hal-02322657v1

Submitted on 22 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Studying protein ubiquitylation in yeast.

Junie Hovsepian¹, Michel Becuwe^{1,2}, Oded Kleifeld³, Michael H Glickman⁴, and Sébastien

Léon^{1,◊}

Institut Jacques Monod, UMR 7592 CNRS/Univ. Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris –
 France

(2) Present address: Department of Genetics and Complex Diseases, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA

(3) Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Monash University, Clayton, Australia

(4) Departement of Biology, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

(◊) Corresponding author :

15 Rue Hélène Brion Bât. Buffon 75205 Paris - Cedex 13 France

sebastien.leon@ijm.fr

Phone: + 33-(0)1 57 27 80 50. Fax + 33-(0)1 57 27 01 35.

Running head : Studying protein ubiquitylation in yeast.

1 i. Summary/Abstract

2	Ubiquitylation is a reversible post-translational modification that is critical for most, if not
3	all, cellular processes and essential for viability. Ubiquitin conjugates to substrate proteins
4	either as a single moiety (monoubiquitylation) or as polymers composed of ubiquitin
5	molecules linked to each other with various topologies and structures (polyubiquitylation).
6	This contributes to an elaborated ubiquitin code that is decrypted by specific ubiquitin-
7	binding proteins. Indeed, these different types of ubiquitylation have different functional
8	outcomes, notably affecting the stability of the substrate, its interactions, its activity or its
9	subcellular localization. In this chapter, we describe protocols to determine whether a
10	protein is ubiquitylated, to identify the site that is ubiquitylated, and provide direction to
11	study the topology of the ubiquitin modification, in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
12	
13	ii. Key Words
14	Yeast – ubiquitin – Histidine-tagged ubiquitin purification – immunoprecipitation in
15	denaturing conditions – ubiquitylation site mapping – ubiquitin chain topology
16	
17	
18	

22 1. Introduction

23 The conjugation of ubiquitin (hereafter referred to as "ubiquitylation") is a complex 24 modification that can alter a protein's ability to interact with other proteins, and as such 25 impacts on its stability, localization or function [1]. Ubiquitin is generally conjugated on the 26 ε-amino group of lysine residues of the target substrates, also called monoubiquitylation. 27 However, ubiquitin possesses itself 8 amino- groups (N-terminal + 7 lysines) that can be 28 used for ubiquitin conjugation, thereby generating а poly-ubiquitin chain 29 (polyubiquitylation). Hence, several factors will contribute to the signal generated by 30 ubiquitylation, such as the identity of the residue targeted by ubiquitin on the substrate, the 31 type of modification: mono- vs. polyubiquitylation, and in the latter case, the topology of the 32 chain (i.e. which lysine residue of ubiquitin is used for chain elongation) and its length [1]. 33 Various ubiquitin-binding domains contribute to the decoding of this modification [2]. 34 Consequently, different types of ubiquitylation create a wide range of molecular signals that 35 can lead to various functional outcomes, and altogether ubiquitylation contributes to many, 36 if not all, cellular pathways.

37 Ubiquitin is best known for its role as a signal for the recognition and subsequent 38 degradation of target proteins by the proteasome, which is mainly mediated by K48-linked 39 polyubiquitin chains. However, chains of all ubiquitin linkages have been identified and the 40 study of their structure and function is still undergoing [3]. K63-linked polyubiquitylation is 41 notably well known for its role in DNA repair [4-6], the regulation of signaling pathways [7] 42 or membrane trafficking [8,9]. Although K48-linked polyubiquitylation represents only a 43 fraction of the possible combinations of the ubiquitin code, it is the most abundant in all 44 cells studied to date [10-14]. This type of ubiquitylation is often a transient event in the cell, 45 occurring before the target protein is degraded, and can therefore be difficult to detect 46 experimentally.

Furthermore, ubiquitylation is a reversible modification. Several family of proteases act as ubiquitin isopeptidases that cleave ubiquitin off substrates and process ubiquitin chains, allowing a fine regulation of the ubiquitylation signal [15]. Therefore, another technical problem when studying protein ubiquitylation is due to the activity of these enzymes when preparing a protein extract in native conditions (eg. during an immunoprecipitation).

Here, we describe a protocol that allows the identification of ubiquitylated proteins in denaturing conditions in yeast. This is based on a construct allowing the expression of polyhistidine-tagged ubiquitin, which allows the purification of ubiquitylated proteins in denaturing conditions by immobilized metal-ion affinity chromatography (IMAC).

Evidence that a protein is ubiquitylated can also be obtained by performing the reverse experiment, in which a protein of interest is immunoprecipitated and the resulting sample is blotted with anti-ubiquitin antibodies. In this type of experiment, the immunoprecipitation should be performed on denatured samples, to ensure that the ubiquitylation signal detected originates from the protein considered, and not from its potential interactants. Therefore, we describe another protocol to achieve immunoprecipitation in denaturing conditions.

The identification of ubiquitylated sites on a protein of interest is often instrumental to understand the functional contribution of this modification. We describe two possible approaches that can lead to the identification of the ubiquitylation site on a protein. The first approach is a tandem purification procedure to purify the protein of interest in denaturing conditions. This may be helpful to identify ubiquitylation sites by mass spectrometry. We also describe a general, truncation-based genetic method to identify the ubiquitylated sites on a protein.

Finally, we will discuss on the possibilities offered to define the topology of ubiquitinmodification (linkage used within an ubiquitin chain on a substrate) and will provide an

71	example using a set of yeast strains carrying ubiquitin mutations, developed in the Finley lab
72	[5].

- 73
- 74

75 2. Materials

76 Prepare all solutions using ultrapure water (prepared by purifying deionized water to attain a

sensitivity of 18 M Ω cm at 25°C) and analytical grade reagents. Prepare and store all

reagents at room temperature (unless indicated otherwise).

79 **2.1** Components required for the visualization of ubiquitylation on crude extracts

80 (Section 3.1)

81	1.	Yeast culture medium (synthetic complete medium, SC): 1.7 g/L yeast nitrogen base
82		5 g/L ammonium sulfate , 20 g/L glucose). Autoclave. Add sterile-filtered amino
83		acid solution as required (e.g. dropout bases from Elis Solutions, Erpent, Belgium).
84	2.	Plasmid encoding His-tagged ubiquitin (see section 3.1)
85	3.	100% TCA (Trichloroacetic acid) solution (w/v, i.e. 6.1 M). Store at 4°C.
86	4.	10% TCA (Trichloroacetic acid) solution (w/v, i.e. 0.61 M). Store at 4°C.
87	5.	Vortex equipped with a microtube foam insert (placed in a cold room)
88	6.	Glass beads: 0.4-0.6 mm (BBI-8541701, Sartorius Mechatronics)
89	7.	Needles: 23G x 1" – 0.6 x 25 mm (Terumo Medical Corporation)
90	8.	5x Sample buffer (250 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 500 mM dithiothreitol , 10% SDS ,
91		0.01% bromophenol blue ,50% glycerol). Store at -20°C.
92	9.	1M Tris-base: 1M Tris, non-buffered, in water.

- 93 10. 1x Sample buffer for TCA precipitates: 1 vol. of 5x Sample buffer , 1 vol. of Tris94 base, and 3 vol. water. Store at -20°C.
- 95 11. General equipment for SDS-PAGE and western blotting (gel casting, solutions, tanks
 96 for migration/transfer, nitrocellulose membrane etc.)
- 97 12. Antibody directed against the protein of interest (or its tag if applicable)

2.2 Components required for the purification of ubiquitin conjugates using poly-Histagged ubiquitin (Section 3.2)

- 100 1. Yeast culture medium (synthetic complete medium, SC): 1.7 g/L yeast nitrogen base,
- 101 5 g/L ammonium sulfate, 20 g/L glucose). Autoclave. Add sterile-filtered amino acid
- solution as required (e.g. dropout bases from Elis Solutions, Erpent, Belgium).
- 103 2. Plasmid encoding His-tagged ubiquitin (see section 3.1)
- 104 3. 100% TCA (Trichloroacetic acid) solution (w/v, i.e. 6.1 M). Store at 4°C.
- 4. 10% TCA (Trichloroacetic acid) solution (w/v, i.e. 0.61 M). Keep at 4°C.
- 106 5. Glass beads: 0.4-0.6 mm (BBI-8541701, Sartorius Mechatronics)
- 107 6. Vortex equipped with a microtube foam insert (placed in a cold room)
- 108 7. Needles: 23G x 1" 0.6 x 25 mm (Terumo Medical Corporation)
- 109 8. 1M Tris-base: 1 M Tris, non-buffered, in water.
- 1109. Buffer A: 6 M Guanidium-HCl, 20mM Tris pH8.0, 100 mM K2HPO4, 10 mM
- 111 imidazole, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100 (v/v).
- 112 10. Ni-NTA superflow (Qiagen)
- 113 11. Micro Bio-SpinTM Chromatography Column (Bio-Rad)
- 114 12. Wash1 buffer: 20 mM Tris pH8.0, 100 mM K₂HPO₄, 20 mM imidazole, 100 mM
 115 NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100 (v/v).
- 116 13. Wash2 buffer: 20mM Tris pH8.0, 100 mM K₂HPO₄, 10 mM imidazole, 1 M NaCl,
- 117 0.1% Triton X-100 (v/v).

- 118 14. Elution buffer: 20 mM Tris pH8.0, 100 mM K₂HPO₄, 500 mM imidazole, 100 mM
 119 NaCl. Store at 4°C for no longer than a month.
- 120 15. 5x Sample buffer: Tris-HCl 250 mM pH 6.8, dithiothreitol 500 mM, SDS 10%,
 121 bromphenol blue 0.01%, glycerol 50%. Store at -20°C.
- 122 16. 100% acetone, ice-cold.
- 123 13. Ponceau S solution: 0.2% ponceau S (w/v), 3% TCA (w/v). Store at 4°C.
- 124 14. General equipment for SDS-PAGE and western blotting (gel casting, solutions, tanks
 125 for migration/transfer, nitrocellulose membrane etc.)
- 126 15. Antibody directed against ubiquitin (e.g. mouse monoclonal P4D1 antibody: sc-
- 127 8017, Santa Cruz Biotech; use at 1/10000) and the protein of interest (or its tag if
 128 applicable). Store at 4°C or -20°C.
- 129 2.3 Components required for immunoprecipitation in denaturing conditions (Section130 3.3)
- Yeast culture medium (synthetic complete medium, SC): yeast nitrogen base 1.7 g/L,
 ammonium sulfate 5g/L, glucose 2% w/v). Autoclave. Add sterile-filtered amino
- acid solution as required (e.g. dropout bases from Elis Solutions, Erpent, Belgium).
- 134 2. 10% TCA (Trichloroacetic acid) solution (w/v, i.e. 0.61 M). Keep at 4°C.
- 135 3. Vortex equipped with a microtube foam insert (placed in a cold room)
- 136 4. Glass beads: 0.4-0.6 mm (BBI-8541701, Sartorius Mechatronics)
- 137 5. Needles: 23G x 1" 0.6 x 25 mm (Terumo Medical Corporation)
- 138 6. Protein-G-coupled Sepharose (e.g. GammaBind[™] G sepharose[™], GE Healthcare),
- 139 or if using an HA-tag, anti-HA affinity matrix (Roche)
- 140 7. IP dilution buffer: 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1.2 % Triton
- 141 X-100, 0.5 % Bovine Serum Albumin, yeast protease inhibitor cocktail (e.g. Sigma

142	P821520, diluted 1:100), 20 mM N-ethylmaleimide. Store at 4°C for no more than a
143	week.
144	8. Buffer B0: SDS 2% (w/v), bromophenol blue 0.05 % (w/v).
145	9. Buffer B1: 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1 % Triton X-100, 0.2
146	% SDS. Store at 4°C.
147	10. Buffer B2: 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 % Triton X-100,
148	0.5 % SDS, 0.5 % Na-deoxycholate. Store at 4°C.
149	11. Buffer B3: 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1 % Triton X-100.
150	Store at 4°C.
151	12. Buffer B4: 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl. Store at 4°C.
152	13. 5x Sample buffer: Tris-HCl 250 mM pH 6.8, dithiothreitol 500 mM, SDS 10%,
153	bromphenol blue 0.01%, glycerol 50%. Store at -20°C.
154	16. 100% acetone, ice-cold.
155	17. 1x Sample buffer: 1 vol. 5x Sample buffer, 4 vol. water. Store at -20°C.
156	18. General equipment for SDS-PAGE and western blotting (gel casting, solutions, tanks
157	for migration/transfer, nitrocellulose membrane etc.)
158	19. Antibody directed against the protein of interest (or its tag if applicable) and against
159	ubiquitin (e.g. mouse monoclonal P4D1 antibody: sc-8017, Santa Cruz Biotech; use
160	at 1/10000 ; or sc-8017 HRP, Santa Cruz Biotech; use at 1/2000). Store at 4° C or -
161	20°C.
162	2.4. Components required for the tandem purification of a protein in denaturing
163	conditions for the identification of ubiquitin-conjugated sites (Section 3.4)
	······································
164	1. Yeast culture medium: synthetic complete medium (SC): yeast nitrogen base 1.7 g/L,

- ammonium sulfate 5g/L, glucose 2% w/v). Autoclave. Add required aminoacid as
- 166 sterile-filtered solutions (e.g. CSM-Ura dropout, Elis Solutions, Erpent, Belgium).

167	2.	Yeast culture medium: synthetic complete raffinose medium: yeast nitrogen base 1.7
168		g/L, ammonium sulfate 5g/L, raffinose (2% w/v), 0.02% glucose (w/v) (see <u>Note 1</u>).
169	3.	Galactose solution, 20% (w/v), sterile-filtered.
170	4.	pBG1805-based plasmid containing the protein of interest (commercially available at
171		OpenBiosystems/GE Healthcare Dharmacon).
172	5.	Protein-G-coupled Sepharose (e.g. GammaBind TM G sepharose TM , GE Healthcare).
173	6.	IP lysis buffer: 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH7.5, 0.25M NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1mM
174		EDTA. Filter sterilize and store at 4°C.
175	7.	Protease inhibitors: yeast protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma P821520; use at 1:100
176		dilution, store at -20°C), phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (100 mM stock in
177		EtOH; store at -80°C), N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) (1 M stock in EtOH; store at -
178		80°C), and MG-132 (Enzo Life Sciences; 100 mM stock in DMSO; store at -80°C).
179	8.	Antibodies directed against the HA tag (e.g. mouse monoclonal F7 antibody: sc-
180		7392, Santa Cruz Biotech). Store at 4°C or -20°C.
181	9.	Glass beads: 0.4-0.6 mm (BBI-8541701, Sartorius Mechatronics)
182	10	Vortex equipped with a microtube foam insert (placed in a cold room)
183	11	Needles: 23G x 1" – 0.6 x 25 mm (Terumo Medical Corporation)
184	12	Triton X-100 solution: 25% (v/v) in water.
185	13	Urea buffer: urea 8M, 10 mM Tris pH 6.3; 5 mM imidazole, 10 mM beta-
186		mercaptoethanol, 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide, 100 µM MG-132.
187	14	Ni-NTA superflow (Qiagene)
188	15	5x Sample buffer: 250 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 500 mM dithiothreitol, 10% SDS,
189		0.01% bromphenol blue, 50% glycerol. Store at -20°C.
190	16	Urea elution buffer: 8M urea , 10 mM Tris pH 6.3, 600 mM imidazole. Store at 4°C.
191	17	General equipment for SDS-PAGE and western blotting (gel casting, solutions, tanks

192	for migration/transfer, nitrocellulose membrane etc.)
193	18. Antibodies directed against ubiquitin (e.g. mouse monoclonal P4D1 antibody: sc-
194	8017, Santa Cruz Biotech; use at 1/10000 ; or sc-8017 HRP, Santa Cruz Biotech; use
195	at 1/2000). Store at 4°C or -20°C.
196	

197 **3. Methods**

198 **3.1. Obtaining evidence of ubiquitylation on crude extracts.**

199 When detecting the protein of interest by western blot, higher molecular weight species are 200 sometimes observed, which may be caused by post-translational modifications such as 201 ubiquitylation. The expression of a tagged (i.e. heavier) ubiquitin causes a shift in all 202 ubiquitin-conjugated species, which can sometimes be sufficient to obtain a first indication 203 that a given protein is ubiquitylated. Several plasmids available for this application have 204 been described in the literature, either driving the expression of His-tagged ubiquitin under 205 the control of a copper inducible promoter (pJD421, pYEp96–6His-Ub)[16,17] or the 206 strong ADH1 promoter (m886) [11]. Note that His-tagged ubiquitin was previously shown to 207 be functionally comparable to non-tagged ubiquitin [18]. For expression of His-tagged 208 ubiquitin driven by the CUP1 promoter, we noticed that the traces of copper in the synthetic 209 medium (DO) are sufficient to drive the expression of His-Ub to a level comparable as 210 endogenous Ub and therefore we recommend not to add extra copper in the medium to avoid 211 potential artifacts that may be due to a massive ubiquitin overexpression. 212 Therefore, this protocol describes a classical method to prepare crude extracts from cells 213 expressing tagged ubiquitin or not, that may allow to determine whether a shift in molecular

weight is due to ubiquitylation. The example of a result is illustrated in **Figure 1.**

215	1.	DAY 1. In the morning, inoculate freshly streaked yeast cells in synthetic medium
216		(SC) medium supplemented with the required amino-acids (preculture). Use a strain
217		expressing your protein of interest together with His-tagged ubiquitin, and as a
218		control, use the same strain but that does not contain the His-tagged ubiquitin
219		plasmid.
220	2.	In the evening, measure the OD_{600} of the cultures and inoculate yeast cells in 5 mL
221		SC medium, at OD_{600} = 0.001. Grow overnight under agitation (200 rpm) at 30°C
222	3.	DAY 2. Measure the OD_{600} of the cultures. The cultures should have reached an
223		OD ₆₀₀ of ~0.3-0.5. (<i>see <u>Note 2</u></i>).
224	4.	Take 1 mL of culture and place in a 1.5 mL tube.
225	5.	Add 100 μ L of a 100% (w/v) TCA solution
226	6.	Incubate on ice for 10 min.
227	7.	Spin down the cells by centrifugation for 1 min at $13,000 g$ at room temperature
228	8.	Discard the supernatant.
229	9.	Resuspend yeast cells in 100 μ L of a 10% TCA solution
230	10.	Add glass beads up to 1-2 mm below the meniscus
231	11.	Lyse cells on a vortex equipped with a microtube foam insert for 10 min at 4°C.
232	12.	After lysis, open the tube, poke a small hole at the bottom of the tube using a needle
233		(Terumo 23 G x 1" - 0.6 x 25 mm), place into a clean 1.5-mL tube to collect the
234		lysate, and close the cap
235	13.	Centrifuge for a few seconds on a mini-centrifuge to collect the lysate and leave the
236		beads in the upper tube. Discard the tube with the beads (they should be dry).
237	14.	Centrifuge the lysate for 1 min at 13 000 g at RT.

238 15. Remove as much supernatant as possible.

- 23916. Resuspend the pellet in 1x Laemmli buffer for TCA precipitates, considering that 1
- 240 OD_{600} unit should be resuspended in 50 μ L
- 241 17. Denature at the desired temperature (37°C-95°C) for 5-10 min.
- 242 18. Use 7 μL for SDS-PAGE and transfer onto nitrocellulose membrane.
- 19. Blot with antibodies directed against the protein of interest.

245 **3.2.** Purification of ubiquitin conjugates using polyHis-tagged ubiquitin

In this protocol, total ubiquitin conjugates from cells will be purified by immobilized metalion affinity chromatography. The presence of the protein of interest in this fraction is addressed using a specific antibody (against the protein of interest or a tag). The advantage of this purification is that it does not involve antibodies during the purification procedure, which may interfere when revealing the presence of the protein of interest by western blotting. It is also amenable to proteome-wide, mass spectrometry-based studies either alone [11,19] or in combination to additional purification procedures [20-22].

253 This protocol (based on [11], and slightly modified in [23]) requires the prior transformation 254 of the yeast strain with a plasmid expressing His-tagged ubiquitin, described in the previous 255 section. Other protocols using slightly different procedures have been described in the past 256 [24,25]. Please note that this technique is not suitable to study the ubiquitylation of proteins 257 that contains an endogenous His-stretch, as their purification on the Ni-NTA column will 258 not depend on their ubiquitylation. A list of the S. cerevisiae proteins containing endogenous 259 poly-histidine (\geq 5) stretches is displayed in table 1. Of note, Figure 2 shows the purification 260 of one of these proteins, Snf1, on Ni-NTA beads even in the absence of His-tagged ubiquitin.

261	1.	DAY 1. Preculture: inoculate freshly streaked yeast cells in synthetic medium (DO)
262		medium supplemented with the required amino-acids in the morning. Use a strain
263		expressing your protein of interest together with His-tagged ubiquitin, and as a
264		control, use the same strain but that does not contain the His-tagged ubiquitin
265		plasmid.
266	2.	In the evening, measure the OD_{600} of the cultures and inoculate yeast cells in 100 mL
267		DO medium, at OD_{600} = 0.001. Grow overnight under agitation (200 rpm) at 30°C.
268	3.	DAY 2. Measure the OD_{600} of the cultures. The cultures should have reached an
269		OD ₆₀₀ of ~0.3-0.5. (<i>see <u>Note 2</u></i>). Spin down the cells in 2x50 mL tubes (3000 g, 3
270		min), remove the supernatant, place on ice.
271	4.	Resuspend each pellet in 500 μL 10% TCA (w/v; i.e. 0.61 N), pool into a 1.5 mL
272		tube.
273	5.	Leave on ice for at least 10 min (up to a few days).
274	6.	Pellet cells: 1 min, 13 000 g at room temperature.
275	7.	Resuspend the precipitated cells with 100 μ L of 10% TCA by pipetting.
276	8.	Add glass beads up to 1-2 mm below the meniscus.
277	9.	Lyse cells on a vortex equipped with a microtube foam insert for 20 min at 4°C.
278	10.	After lysis, open the cap, poke a small hole at the bottom of the tube using a needle,
279		place into a clean 1.5-mL tube to collect the lysate, and close the cap.
280	11.	Centrifuge for a few seconds on a mini-centrifuge to collect the lysate and leave the
281		beads in the upper tube. Discard the tube with the beads (they should be dry). For
282		complete recovery, the glass beads can be washed with 200 μL of cold 10% TCA if
283		necessary, in this case, repeat the spin and pool with the first lysate.
284	12.	Centrifuge the lysate for 10 min at 13 000 g at 4° C.
285	13.	Remove as much supernatant as possible.

- 14. Wash the pellet with 100% ice-cold acetone, and centrifuge again for 10 min at 13
 000 g at 4°C.
- 288 15. Neutralize the residual TCA present in the pellet by adding 30 μL of 1M Tris (non289 buffered) to the pellet. (*see Note 4*).
- 290 16. Add 200 µl of buffer A.
- 291 17. Resuspend the pellet. Add a few glass beads that will help to break the pellet, and
 292 vortex. (*see Note 5*).
- $18. \text{ Add } 800 \ \mu\text{L}$ buffer A to the resuspended pellet.
- 294 19. Let solubilize further by rotating for 1h at room temperature. Resuspension should be295 complete.
- 296 20. Transfer the lysate to a new tube and centrifuge for 10 min at 13 000 g, at room
 297 temperature. (*see Note 6*).
- 298 21. During the centrifugation, prepare Ni-NTA beads (Qiagene): transfer 200µL slurry to
- 2-mL tubes, wash the beads twice with 1.8 mL buffer A. Centrifuge for 1 min at 1
 300 000 g between each wash. (*see Note 7*).
- 301 22. From the centrifugation (step 20), keep the supernatant, which corresponds to the
- 302 solubilized lysate, and transfer to a new tube. Keep 25 µL aside, at room
- 303 temperature, for TCA precipitation ("input fraction") (see step 35).
- 304 23. Add the remaining sample to the tube containing the washed beads (see step 21), and
 305 rotate for 2h at RT.
- 306 24. Centrifuge for 30 sec at 500 g to spin down the beads. Collect 25 μ L of unbound
- 307 fraction and keep at room temperature for TCA precipitation ("Unbound fraction")
- 308 (see step 35). Discard remaining supernatant.
- 309 25. Resuspend the beads in 1.8 mL buffer A

- 310 26. Transfer to a micro chromatography column placed in a tube holder (eg. floating
- foam rack placed on top of a beaker), and let the wash solution drop by gravity.
- 312 27. Wash again with 1.8 mL buffer A, twice
- 313 28. Wash with 1.8 mL Wash1 buffer, three times
- 314 29. Wash with 1.8 mL Wash2 buffer, three times
- 315 30. Place the micro chromatography column in a 1.5 mL tube, centrifuge for 10 sec at
 316 1000 g.
- 317 31. Place the micro chromatography column in a clean 1.5 mL tube, and add 100 μ L
- elution buffer to the beads.
- 319 32. Incubate for 5 min at RT.
- 320 33. Spin down eluate for 1 min at 1 000 g, at room temperature.
- 321 34. Add 25 μL of 5x SDS sample buffer and denature at the desired temperature (37°C322 95°C) for 5-10 min.
- 323 35. To prepare the "input" (see step 22) and "unbound" (see step 24) fraction, proceed as
- 324 follows. Dilute the 25 μL -samples with 1.8 mL water, add 200 μL of 100% TCA and
- keep on ice for 10 min.
- 326 36. Spin down for 10 min at 13 000 g, at 4°C.
- 327 37. Discard supernatant. Wash the pellet with 1 mL ice-cold acetone (100%).
- 328 38. Spin down for 1 min at 13 000 g, at 4°C.
- 329 39. Discard supernatant. Let at room temperature with cap open until acetone has330 evaporated completely.
- 40. Resuspend in 25 μL of sample buffer for TCA precipitates and denature at the
 desired temperature (37°C-95°C) for 5-10 min.

- 41. For SDS-PAGE, use 4 μL of input / unbound fractions as prepared above, and 3-7
 μL of the eluate (depending on the expression level of the protein and its rate of
 ubiquitylation). (*see Notes 8 and 9*).
- 42. Transfer on nitrocellulose membrane. After transfer, performing a staining of the
 transferred proteins with a Ponceau S solution should allow to see His-Ub and a
 ladder of ubiquitylated proteins (Figure 3A).
- 339 43. Blot with the antibody used to detect the protein of interest and with anti-Ubiquitin
 antibodies (e.g. mouse monoclonal P4D1 antibody: sc-8017, Santa Cruz Biotech;
 1/10000) to reveal Ub conjugates.
- 342
- 343

344 3.3. Assessment of protein ubiquitylation after immunoprecipitation in denaturing 345 conditions

346 The previous method involves the expression of tagged ubiquitin and the affinity 347 purification of ubiquitin conjugates, prior to detecting the presence of the protein of interest 348 within this fraction. Performing the opposite experiment, i.e. by immunoprecipitating the 349 protein of interest and studying the presence of ubiquitin in the immunoprecipitate, can also 350 provide evidence of the ubiquitylation of a given protein. A main caveat of this approach is 351 the fact that the ubiquitin signal detected in the immunoprecipitated sample may either be 352 due to the protein that was immunoprecipitated, or to potential interactants that were co-353 immunoprecipitated. Therefore, we provide a protocol that allows the immunoprecipitation 354 of proteins in denaturing conditions, that was successfully used in the past [26,27]. These 355 immunoprecipitates can be used to reveal the ubiquitylation of the protein of interest.

An advantage of this technique is that is does not involve the use of overexpressed taggedubiquitin, and as such may be more physiologically relevant. However, it depends on the use of anti-ubiquitin antibodies, which also have their limits since some are specific of the topology of the ubiquitin modification. We routinely use the P4D1 monoclonal antibody, which is a good compromise for the detection of both mono- and poly-ubiquitin modifications, although the monoubiquitylation signal is sometimes weak (see for instance [28]).

DAY 1. Preculture: inoculate freshly streaked yeast cells in synthetic medium (DO)
 supplemented with the required amino-acids in the morning. As a control, use a
 strain which does not express the tagged protein, to confirm that the ubiquitin signal
 observed after the immunoprecipitation is specific for the presence of the tagged
 protein.

In the evening, measure the OD₆₀₀ of the cultures and inoculate the yeast cells in 100 mL DO medium, at OD₆₀₀= 0.001. Grow overnight under agitation (200 rpm) at 30°C.

371 3. DAY 2. Measure the OD₆₀₀ of the cultures. The cultures should have reached an
372 OD₆₀₀ of ~0.3-0.5. (*see <u>Note 2</u>*). Spin down the cells in 2x50 mL tubes (3000 g, 3
373 min), remove the supernatant, place on ice

374 4. Resuspend each pellet in 500 μL 10% TCA (w/v; i.e. 0.61 N), pool into a 1.5 mL
375 tube

376 5. Leave on ice for at least 10 min (up to a few days)

377 6. Prepare antibody-coupled beads for the immunoprecipitation. Take 50 μ L of Protein-

378 G-coupled Sepharose slurry (*see <u>Note 10</u>*), wash in 1 mL IP dilution buffer,

resuspend in 1 mL IP dilution buffer and use the appropriate amount of antibodies

required for immunoprecipitation. Incubate for $\geq 1h$ at 4°C on a rotating wheel.

381	7. Pool the precipitated cells into one single 1.5 mL tube
382	8. Pellet the precipitated cells: 1 min, 13 000 g at room temperature.
383	9. Resuspend the precipitated cells with 100 μ L of 10% TCA by pipetting up and down
384	10. Add glass beads up to 1-2 mm below the meniscus
385	11. Lyse cells on a vortex equipped with a microtube foam insert for 20 min at 4°C.
386	12. After lysis, open the cap, poke a small hole at the bottom of the tube using a needle,
387	place into a clean 1.5-mL tube to collect the lysate, and close the cap
388	13. Centrifuge for a few seconds on a mini-centrifuge to collect the lysate and leave the
389	beads in the upper tube. Discard the tube with the beads (they should be dry). The
390	glass beads can be washed with 200 μL of cold 10% TCA if necessary, in this case,
391	repeat the spin and pool with the first lysate.
392	14. Centrifuge the lysate for 1 min at 13 000 g at RT.
393	15. Remove as much supernatant as possible.
394	16. Wash the pellet with 1 mL ice-cold acetone (100%) (see <u>Note 11</u>).
395	17. Spin down for 1 min at 13 000 <i>g</i> , at 4°C.
396	18. Discard supernatant. Let at room temperature with cap open until acetone has
397	evaporated completely.
398	19. Resuspend in 100 μ L buffer B0. Make sure that the sample is blue (i.e. sample is not
399	acidic). If the sample is yellow, add increments of 1 μ L Tris-HCl 1M pH 7.5 until
400	the pH is neutralized.
401	20. Denature the sample at the desired temperature (37°C-95°C) for 5-10 min in a
402	thermomixer.
403	21. Spin down unsolubilized material by centrifuging for 5 min at $16,000 g$, at room
404	temperature
405	22. Transfer the supernatant to a clean tube.

24. Keep 50 μ L aside for the "input" fraction ; keep on ice
25. Spin down the antibody-coated beads (see step 6) for 1 min at 300 g (4°C) and
remove the supernatant.
26. Add the protein sample prepared in step 23 to the antibody-coated beads
27. Incubate on a rotating wheel at 4° C for 2h.
28. Spin down the beads for 1 min at 300 g (4°C).
29. Take 50 μ L of the supernatant and keep 50 μ L aside for the "unbound" fraction;
keep on ice.
30. Carefully remove and discard remaining supernatant.
31. 1 st wash: Resuspend the beads in 1 mL buffer B1, and spin down the beads for 1 min
at 300 g (4°C).
32. Repeat the 1 st wash.
33. 2 nd wash: Resuspend the beads in 1 mL buffer B2 and spin down the beads for 1 min
at 300 g (4°C).
34. Repeat the 2 nd wash.
35. 3 rd wash: Resuspend the beads in 1 mL buffer B3 and spin down the beads for 1 min
at 300 g (4°C).
36. Repeat the 3 rd wash.
37. Last wash: Resuspend the beads in 1 mL buffer B4, and spin down the beads for 1
min at 300 g (4°C).
38. Repeat the last wash, and remove as much supernatant as possible.
39. Add 50 μ L of 1X sample buffer to the beads.
40. To the "input" and "unbound" fractions, add 12.5 μL of 5X Laemmli sample buffer
44. Denature at the desired temperature (37°C-95°C) for 5-10 min.

431 41. Load 7 μL of input / unbound fractions as prepared above, and 3-7 μL of the eluate
432 (depending on the expression level of the protein and its rate of ubiquitylation).
433 42. Blot with the antibody against the protein of interest or its epitope, to evaluate the
434 efficiency of the immunoprecipitation, and with anti-Ubiquitin antibodies (1/10000
435 mouse monocolonal P4D1 antibody: sc-8017, Santa Cruz Biotech) to reveal Ub
436 conjugates. (*see Note 12*).

437

438 3.4. Tandem purification of a protein in denaturing conditions for the identification of 439 ubiquitin-conjugated sites

440 Once ubiquitylation of a protein has been documented, a traditional approach to understand 441 the consequences of this modification resides in identifying the sites targeted for 442 ubiquitylation, followed by their mutation to evaluate the outcome of the lack of 443 ubiquitylation on the protein's stability or function.

444 This protocol is based on a two-step purification. It involves the use of pBG1805-derived 445 constructs (URA3-based) [29], in which all yeast genes have been cloned and that are 446 commercially available. This allows the galactose-driven expression of the protein of 447 interest tagged at its C-terminus with multiple epitopes: 6xHis, HA, and a ProtA (ZZ 448 domains) preceded by a Protease 3C cleavage site (the size of the tag is 19 kDa). The first 449 step is a regular immunoprecipitation in native conditions using anti-HA antibodies, 450 followed by a Ni-NTA-based purification of the His-tag in denaturing conditions. It allows 451 to purify the protein (both ubiquitylated and non-ubiquitylated, see Figure 4) for further, 452 mass-spectrometry based, identification of the ubiquitylation sites (See note 13). Note that 453 Protein A itself can be ubiquitylated in yeast cells (S. Léon, unpublished results). It is 454 therefore necessary to identify the ubiquitylated sites by mass spectrometry to make sure 455 that ubiquitin is carried on the substrate, and not the tag. Consequently, this protocol should 456 merely be used to identify the ubiquitylation sites, and not to prove that a protein is 457 ubiquitylated.

458 DAY 1. Preculture: in the morning, inoculate freshly streaked yeast cells in 10 mL synthetic459 glucose medium lacking uracil.

- In the evening, measure the OD₆₀₀ of the cultures and inoculate the yeast cells in 180
 mL synthetic raffinose medium, at OD₆₀₀= 0.004. Grow overnight under agitation
 (200 rpm) at 30°C.
- 463 2. **DAY 2.** Measure the OD_{600} of the cultures. The cultures should have reached an 464 OD_{600} of ~0.3-0.5.
- 465 3. Add galactose (2% final) in the medium for the desired amount of time (see <u>Note 14</u>)
- 466 4. Measure the OD of the culture (*see <u>Note 2</u>*).
- 467 5. Spin down the equivalent of 150 OD units of cells at 3000 g, 3 min at room
 468 temperature
- 6. Remove the supernatant, resuspend the pellet in 10 mL cold water
- 470 7. Spin down for 30 sec at 13,000 g, 4°C.
- 471 8. Discard supernatant and keep the pellet on ice.
- 9. Prepare antibody-coupled beads for the immunoprecipitation (see step 23). Take 200
- 473 μL of Protein-G-coupled Sepharose slurry, wash in 1 mL IP lysis buffer, resuspend
- 474 in 1 mL IP lysis buffer and use the appropriate amount of antibodies required for
- 475 immunoprecipitation (e.g. 10 μL of mouse monoclonal F7 antibody: sc-7392 from
- 476 Santa Cruz Biotech). Incubate for \geq 1h at 4°C on a rotating wheel.
- 477 10. Resuspend the pellet in 2.5 mL IP lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors (yeast
 478 protease inhibitor cocktail, 1 mM PMSF, 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide, 100 μM MG479 132).
- 479 132).
- 480 11. Dispatch the resuspended pellet in 5 x 600 μ L fractions, in 1.5 mL tubes

481	12. Add glass beads (0.4-0.6 mm) up to 1-2 mm below the meniscus.
482	13. Lyse cells on a vortex equipped with a microtube foam insert for 4 x 30 sec at 4°C
483	(cold room), with 1 min incubation on ice between each pulse.
484	14. After lysis, in the cold room, open the tubes, poke a small hole at the bottom using a
485	needle, place into a clean 1.5-mL tube to collect the lysate, and close the cap
486	15. In the cold room, centrifuge for a few seconds on a mini-centrifuge to collect the
487	lysate and leave the beads in the upper tube. Discard the tube with the beads (they
488	should be dry).
489	16. Pool lysates in a clean, cold centrifugation tube
490	17. To the lysate, add 100 μL of a 25% solution of Triton-X 100, and invert to mix. (see
491	<u>Note 15</u>).
492	18. Let solubilize on ice for 10 min.
493	19. Centrifuge the lysate for 5 min at 3 000 g at 4°C.
494	20. Transfer supernatant to a clean, cold 15-mL tube and keep on ice.
495	21. Keep 100 μ L aside for the "input 1" fraction, keep on ice.
496	22. Spin down the beads coupled to antibodies (step 10) for 30 sec at 1000 g, 4°C.
497	23. Discard the beads' supernatant.
498	24. Add the beads to the lysate.
499	25. Incubate on a rotating wheel at 4° C for 3h.
500	26. Spin down the beads for 30 sec at 1000 g, 4°C.
501	27. Keep 100 μ L of the supernatant aside for the "unbound 1" fraction, keep on ice.
502	28. Discard remaining supernatant.
503	29. Add 1 mL of IP lysis buffer to the beads
504	30. Transfer to a cold, 1.5 mL tube
505	31. Incubate on a rotating wheel at 4° C for 10 min.

506	32. Spin down the beads for 30 sec at 1000 g, 4°C. Discard supernatant.
507	33. Repeat the wash twice and carefully remove supernatant.
508	34. Add 1 mL of urea buffer
509	35. Incubate for 5 min at room temperature under agitation (e.g. in a thermomixer, 1000
510	rpm)
511	36. Open the tube, poke a small hole at the bottom of the tube using a needle, place into
512	a clean 1.5-mL tube to collect the sample, and close the cap
513	37. Centrifuge for a few seconds on a mini-centrifuge to collect the eluate and leave the
514	beads in the upper tube. Discard the tube with the beads (they should be dry).
515	38. Keep 30 μ L of the eluate aside for the "input 2" fraction, keep on ice.
516	39. To the remaining sample, add 500 μ L Ni-NTA beads prewashed in urea buffer
517	40. Incubate overnight on a rotating wheel at 4°C.
518	41. To the "Input 1", "Unbound 1" and "Input 2" fractions (steps 21, 27 and 38), add 1/5
519	volume of 5x sample buffer, denature all samples at 55°C for 10 min and store at -
520	20°C.
521	42. DAY 3 . Spin down the beads (see step 40) for 30 sec at 1000 g, 4°C.
522	43. Keep 30 μ L aside for the "unbound 2" fraction, keep on ice.
523	44. Discard remaining supernatant.
524	45. Add 2.5 mL of Urea buffer to the beads, incubate on a rotating wheel at 4° C for 10
525	min.
526	46. Spin down the beads for 30 sec at 1000 g, 4°C. Discard supernatant.
527	47. Repeat steps 45-46 and carefully remove supernatant.
528	48. Add 1 mL of Urea buffer to the beads, and transfer to a 1.5 mL tube
529	49. Incubate on a rotating wheel at 4° C for 10 min.

- 530 50. Spin down the beads for 30 sec at 1000 g, 4°C. Discard as much supernatant as
 531 possible.
- 532 51. Add 100 μ L of Urea elution buffer.
- 533 52. Incubate for 5 min at room temperature under agitation (e.g. in a thermomixer, 1000
 534 rpm)
- 535 53. Open the tube, poke a small hole at the bottom of the tube using a needle, place into
 536 a clean 1.5-mL tube to collect the eluate, and close the cap
- 537 54. Centrifuge for a few seconds on a mini-centrifuge to collect the sample and leave the538 beads in the upper tube. Discard the tube with the beads (they should be dry).
- 539 55. The total volume of the eluate (incl. the bed volume of the beads) should be
 540 approximately 175 μL.
- 541 56. To the "unbound 2" and the "eluate" samples (steps 43 and 55), add 1/5 volume of
 542 5x sample buffer.
- 543 57. Denature all samples (input 1, output 1, input 2, output 2, eluate) at 55°C for 10 min.
- 544 58. Load 5 μL of input 1, output 1, input 2, output 2 and 10 μL of the final elution onto
 545 an SDS-PAGE gel.
- 546 59. Blot with the antibody against your protein of interest or its epitope, to evaluate the 547 purification procedure, and with anti-Ubiquitin antibodies (1/10000 mouse
- 548 monocolonal P4D1 antibody: sc-8017, Santa Cruz Biotech) to reveal Ub conjugates.
- 549

550 **3.5 Identification of ubiquitylated sites within a protein of interest by a genetic**

551 approach

552 Ubiquitin conjugation can occur at defined sites within a protein, and the identification of 553 those sites (e.g. using the approach described in the above section) can help to generate non-554 ubiquitylatable mutants after its/their mutation. However, the mutation of the target lysine is 555 sometimes compensated by the ubiquitylation of yet another site, because ubiquitylation can 556 show little specificity towards the sites targeted [30]. Therefore, we describe a mutant-based 557 approach that should lead to a construct that is not ubiquitylatable, regardless of the prior 558 identification of the ubiquitylation sites, that we successfully used in the past [23]. This is 559 based on a synthetic version of the ORFs encoding your protein of interest, in which all 560 lysine residues have been substituted to arginine (K0 construct) (Figure 5A). Then, 561 endogenous restriction sites can be used to construct chimeras. If no restriction sites are 562 available, silent restriction sites should be introduced at various places within the sequence 563 when designing the construct for gene synthesis, in which case a synthetic WT ORF must 564 also be ordered, with the same restriction sites. The ubiquitylation of the resulting chimeras 565 can be evaluated individually, to narrow down to the region and/or sites that are targeted by 566 ubiquitylation (Figure 5B).

Although it involves several cloning steps, this approach is still fairly efficient when dealing with proteins carrying many lysines. Note that this approach requires that the ubiquitylated site(s) lie(s) within a defined region of the primary structure of a protein. Below, we provide the general directions to properly map the ubiquitylated site(s) using this approach.

571 1. Order synthetic gene versions encoding the protein of interest: WT and K0. Consider
572 adding silent restriction sites within the sequence that are not present on the plasmid in
573 which these synthetic genes will be cloned.

574 2. Clone the synthetic genes in the plasmid of interest. It is advisable to use a plasmid

allowing the expression of epitope-tagged protein, even if antibodies directed against the

576 protein are available, because the KR mutations may affect the antigenicity of the protein.

3. Assay for the ubiquitylation of the WT construct and the K0 mutant. The K0 should havelost all traces of ubiquitylation, if ubiquitin is conjugated on a lysine.

579	4. Construct chimeras (Nt-WT/Ct-K0 and Nt-K0/Ct-WT) and assay again the ubiquitylation	
580	of the chimeric proteins. The disappearance of ubiquitylated species for one of these	
581	constructs indicates that the target lysine(s) are contained within those that have been	
582	mutated. (see <u>Note 16</u>).	
583	5. Prepare additional chimeras to narrow down to the minimal region.	

6. If the density of lysines within the initial sequence is such that the final construct still

585 bears several lysine residues, then site-directed mutagenesis can be used to further

586 discriminate between each of those.

587 **3.6. Studying the topology of ubiquitin modification**

588 When dealing with a polyubiquitylated substrate, it may be interesting to determine the 589 topology of the ubiquitin chain, because the various structures obtained through various 590 ubiquitin linkages will lead to various functional outcomes.

Various tools have been developed to do so, such as antibodies directed against the most abundant polyubiquitin chain linkages, namely K48- and K63-linked polyubiquitin, whose use has already been described [31]. The development of tandem-ubiquitin binding entities (TUBEs) [32,33] and other sensor proteins[34], consisting of multiple ubiquitin-binding domains, also allow the purification of substrates that are polyubiquitylated with various topologies and are commercially available.

597 An alternative approach consists in using ubiquitin mutants in which either of the ubiquitin

598 lysine residues have been mutated into an arginine, to hamper the ability to make a chain.

- 599 These chain-terminating mutants can be individually overexpressed in the context of a wild-
- 600 type strain in which these mutants will act as dominant-negatives, as previously described
- 601 [24]. Instead, here, we provide an example of the use of a set of yeast strains ("SUB"

602 strains) engineered by the Finley lab, in which all endogenous ubiquitin genes have been 603 disrupted and complemented with a plasmid encoding either WT or lysine point-mutants of 604 ubiquitin [5]. Below are guidelines on how to use these strains to determine ubiquitin 605 linkage. Note that this approach is limited to the study of non-K48-based polyubiquitin 606 chain substrates, because the expression of a K48R mutant in yeast as a sole source of 607 ubiquitin is not viable [35]. For this specific case, the overexpression of Ub-K48R mutant in 608 the context of a wild-type strain is therefore preferable [24]. Also, because the ubiquitin is 609 not tagged in these strains, it cannot be purified by Ni-NTA based purification. Therefore, 610 the analysis relies on the ability to see protein ubiquitylation on a crude extract (section 3.1) 611 (Figure 6). Alternatively, the protein may be purified from each of these strains by 612 denaturing IP, followed by a western blot using anti-ubiquitin antibodies as described in 613 section 3.3. 614 1. Transform the SUB strains with an URA3-based plasmid expressing a tagged version 615 of the protein of interest using standard yeast transformation procedure. These 616 includes SUB280 (WT ubiquitin), SUB515 (K6R Ub), SUB516 (K11R), SUB517 617 (K27R), SUB518 (K29R), SUB519 (K33R), and SUB413 (K63 Ub) [5] (see Note

- 618 17).
- 619
 2. Grow cells as described in section 3.1 (for crude extracts) or in section 3.3 (for
 620 denaturing IPs), in synthetic medium lacking uracil, starting at OD=0.010 for
 621 SUB280 and OD=0.020 for the mutants.
- 622 3. For each strain, perform either a crude extract as described in section 3.1, or a
 623 denaturing IP as described in section 3.3

624 4. Load samples on SDS-PAGE.

625 5. Blot with the antibodies used to detect the protein of interest.

626

628 1. The presence of 0.02% glucose allows a faster initiation of growth in raffinose629 medium.

630 2. Cells will not lyse efficiently if they are not in exponential phase. We advise never to631 reach an OD>1.0.

632 3. For proteins suspected to be modified by a K48-linked polyubiquitin chain, and/or to 633 be proteasomal substrates, the treatment of cells with a proteasome inhibitor (eg. MG132, 634 100 µM final) prior harvest can lead to their stabilization, sometimes in its ubiquitylated 635 form (although this is usually counteracted by the presence of endogenous ubiquitin 636 isopeptidases). However, the use of MG132 in yeast cultures at this concentration is only 637 efficient in strains in which either PDR5 or ERG6 are disrupted, leading to an increased 638 permeability to various drugs [36,37]. An alternative method to promote the efficiency of 639 MG-132 in WT yeast strains has been described and is based on the use of a different culture 640 medium [38]. 641 4. This step is essential, because His-tagged proteins will not bind to Ni-NTA beads in 642 acidic conditions.

643 5. A pipette tip can be used too, but we advise not to pipette up and down because the644 pellet is sticky.

645 6. The guanidine-HCl in buffer A may precipitate upon sample cooling.

646 7. Do not incubate the beads with buffer A for too long, because they will titrate the

647 imidazole in buffer A, which may hinder their ability to bind to His-tagged proteins later on.

6488.Preferentially use precast gradient gels (eg. NuPAGE® 4-12%, Bis-Tris, Life

649 Technologies).

650 9. Make sure that samples do not run out of the gel, because His-tagged ubiquitin is651 about 10 kDa and will be used as a purification control.

It is advised to use Protein G- rather than Protein A-coupled beads except when the
source of the antibody is guinea pig. Neither Protein A nor Protein G bind chicken IgY.
Alternatively, when using HA-tagged proteins, use the ready-to-use anti-HA affinity matrix
(Roche): use 30 µL, resuspend in 1 mL IP dilution buffer and keep on ice.

656 11. The use of a water-bath sonicator to resuspend the pellet helps for the final657 solubilization of the sample.

658 12. Because of the presence of antibodies in the immunoprecipitate, we advise to use 659 antibodies to ubiquitin that are directly coupled to HRP to avoid the use of a secondary 660 antibody (e.g. mouse monoclonal P4D1 antibody: sc-8017 HRP, Santa Cruz Biotech; use at 661 1/2000).

662 13. Identifying post-translational modification sites by mass spectrometry can be 663 hindered by the spacing of Arg/Lys residues, at which the cleavage with trypsin occurs 664 within the protein of interest. Tryptic fragments that are either too long or too short will not 665 be analyzed, leading to a poor coverage and eventually, the inability to detect the post-666 translational modification. The coverage of a given protein by mass spectrometry can be 667 visualized in repository databases, such as the Global Proteome Machine Database 668 (http://gpmdb.thegpm.org). In this case, other proteases than trypsin should be considered 669 prior to MS analysis.

14. The plasmid used is a 2μ-based (high-copy) plasmid, and the expression of the protein is driven by the strong galactose-inducible promoter. This can lead to a massive overexpression of the protein, and the ubiquitylation detected may not be physiologically relevant. To circumvent this problem, the expression level of the protein at various times after galactose induction can be checked and compared with endogenous protein level. Protein expression can usually be observed within 15 min of galactose induction.

15. Steps 17 and 18 are dispensable if dealing with a soluble protein. Adding TX-100

may lead to smears when visualizing the protein of interest by western blot. Note that 1%
TX-100 solubilizes many membrane proteins, but not all, so this should be checked prior to
the experiment.

If both chimeric proteins appear ubiquitylated, then it is likely that the protein is
ubiquitylated on multiple sites throughout the primary structure of the protein, or that
ubiquitylation can occur on any of those sites. In both cases, this approach should be
discontinued, and we advise to initiate a mapping of the ubiquitylated lysines using
biochemical purification and mass spectrometry (see section 3.4).

685 17. Note that only the *URA3* gene can be used for selection of transformants in the SUB686 strains genetic backgrounds.

687

688 Acknowledgments.

- 689 We thank Dan Finley (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA) for providing us with
- 690 the SUB strains. This work was funded by the CNRS, the Fondation ARC pour la Recherche
- sur le Cancer (SFI20101201844 and SFI20121205762) and the Ligue contre le Cancer -
- 692 Comité de Paris (RS13/75-45 and RS14/75-120) to SL.

693

694 References

- Komander D, Rape M (2012) The ubiquitin code. Annu Rev Biochem 81: 203–229.
 doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060310-170328.
- 697 2. Husnjak K, Dikic I (2012) Ubiquitin-binding proteins: decoders of ubiquitin-mediated
 698 cellular functions. Annu Rev Biochem 81: 291–322. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem699 051810-094654.
- Kulathu Y, Komander D (2012) Atypical ubiquitylation the unexplored world of
 polyubiquitin beyond Lys48 and Lys63 linkages. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13: 508.
 doi:doi:10.1038/nrm3394.

703 704 705	4.	Ulrich HD, Jentsch S (2000) Two RING finger proteins mediate cooperation between ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes in DNA repair. EMBO J 19: 3388–3397. doi:10.1093/emboj/19.13.3388.
706 707	5.	Spence J, Sadis S, Haas AL, Finley D (1995) A ubiquitin mutant with specific defects in DNA repair and multiubiquitination. Mol Cell Biol 15: 1265–1273.
708 709 710	6.	Hofmann RM, Pickart CM (1999) Noncanonical MMS2-encoded ubiquitin- conjugating enzyme functions in assembly of novel polyubiquitin chains for DNA repair. Cell 96: 645–653.
711 712 713 714	7.	Signaling to NF-kappaB: regulation by ubiquitination. (2010) Signaling to NF-kappaB: regulation by ubiquitination. 2: a003350. Available:http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=20300215&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks.
715 716 717 718	8.	Versatile roles of k63-linked ubiquitin chains in trafficking. (2014) Versatile roles of k63-linked ubiquitin chains in trafficking. 3: 1027–1088. Available:http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=25396681&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks.
719 720 721	9.	Galan JM, Haguenauer-Tsapis R (1997) Ubiquitin lys63 is involved in ubiquitination of a yeast plasma membrane protein. EMBO J 16: 5847–5854. doi:10.1093/emboj/16.19.5847.
722 723 724	10.	Xu P, Duong DM, Seyfried NT, Cheng D, Xie Y, et al. (2009) Quantitative proteomics reveals the function of unconventional ubiquitin chains in proteasomal degradation. Cell 137: 133–145. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.041.
725 726 727	11.	Ziv I, Matiuhin Y, Kirkpatrick DS, Erpapazoglou Z, Léon S, et al. (2011) A perturbed ubiquitin landscape distinguishes between ubiquitin in trafficking and in proteolysis. Mol Cell Proteomics 10: M111.009753. doi:10.1074/mcp.M111.009753.
728 729 730 731	12.	Wagner SA, Beli P, Weinert BT, Nielsen ML, Cox J, et al. (2011) A Proteome-wide, Quantitative Survey of In Vivo Ubiquitylation Sites Reveals Widespread Regulatory Roles. Mol Cell Proteomics 10: M111.013284–M111.013284. doi:10.1074/mcp.M111.013284.
732 733 734	13.	Kim W, Bennett EJ, Huttlin EL, Guo A, Li J, et al. (2011) Systematic and quantitative assessment of the ubiquitin-modified proteome. Mol Cell 44: 325–340. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2011.08.025.
735 736 737 738 739	14.	Polyubiquitin linkage profiles in three models of proteolytic stress suggest the etiology of Alzheimer disease. (2011) Polyubiquitin linkage profiles in three models of proteolytic stress suggest the etiology of Alzheimer disease. 286: 10457–10465. Available:http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id= 21278249&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks.
740 741	15.	Clague MJ, Coulson JM, Urbé S (2012) Cellular functions of the DUBs. J Cell Sci 125: 277–286. doi:10.1242/jcs.090985.
742	16.	Dohmen RJ, Stappen R, McGrath JP, Forrová H, Kolarov J, et al. (1995) An essential

743 yeast gene encoding a homolog of ubiquitin-activating enzyme. J Biol Chem 270: 18099–18109. 744 745 17. Gwizdek C, Iglesias N, Rodriguez MS, Ossareh-Nazari B, Hobeika M, et al. (2006) Ubiquitin-associated domain of Mex67 synchronizes recruitment of the mRNA export 746 machinery with transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 16376-16381. 747 doi:10.1073/pnas.0607941103. 748 749 18. Histidine-tagged ubiquitin substitutes for wild-type ubiquitin in Saccharomyces 750 cerevisiae and facilitates isolation and identification of in vivo substrates of the 751 ubiquitin pathway. (2000) Histidine-tagged ubiquitin substitutes for wild-type 752 ubiquitin in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and facilitates isolation and identification of in 753 vivo substrates of the ubiquitin pathway. 282: 54-64. 754 Available:http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id= 755 10860499&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks. 756 19. Peng J, Schwartz D, Elias JE, Thoreen CC, Cheng D, et al. (2003) A proteomics 757 approach to understanding protein ubiquitination. Nat Biotechnol 21: 921–926. 758 doi:10.1038/nbt849. 759 20. Mayor T. Deshaies RJ (2005) Two-step affinity purification of multiubiquitylated proteins from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Meth Enzymol 399: 385-392. 760 761 doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(05)99026-5. 762 21. Guerrero C, Tagwerker C, Kaiser P, Huang L (2006) An integrated mass spectrometry-based proteomic approach: quantitative analysis of tandem affinity-763 purified in vivo cross-linked protein complexes (QTAX) to decipher the 26 S 764 765 proteasome-interacting network. Mol Cell Proteomics 5: 366-378. 766 doi:10.1074/mcp.M500303-MCP200. 767 22. Tagwerker C, Flick K, Cui M, Guerrero C, Dou Y, et al. (2006) A tandem affinity tag for two-step purification under fully denaturing conditions: application in ubiquitin 768 769 profiling and protein complex identification combined with in vivocross-linking. Mol 770 Cell Proteomics 5: 737–748. doi:10.1074/mcp.M500368-MCP200. 771 23. Becuwe M, Vieira N, Lara D, Gomes-Rezende J, Soares-Cunha C, et al. (2012) A 772 molecular switch on an arrestin-like protein relays glucose signaling to transporter endocytosis. J Cell Biol 196: 247-259. doi:10.1083/jcb.201109113. 773 774 24. Laney JD, Hochstrasser M (2002) Assaying protein ubiquitination in Saccharomyces 775 cerevisiae. Meth Enzymol 351: 248-257. 776 25 Kaiser P, Tagwerker C (2005) Is this protein ubiquitinated? Meth Enzymol 399: 243-777 248. doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(05)99016-2. 778 26. Kragt A, Voorn-Brouwer T, van den Berg M, Distel B (2005) The Saccharomyces 779 cerevisiae peroxisomal import receptor Pex5p is monoubiquitinated in wild type cells. 780 J Biol Chem 280: 7867-7874. doi:10.1074/jbc.M413553200. 781 27. Léon S, Zhang L, McDonald WH, Yates J, Cregg JM, et al. (2006) Dynamics of the peroxisomal import cycle of PpPex20p: ubiquitin-dependent localization and 782 regulation. J Cell Biol 172: 67-78. doi:10.1083/jcb.200508096. 783

- Stawiecka-Mirota M, Pokrzywa W, Morvan J, Zoladek T, Haguenauer-Tsapis R, et al. (2007) Targeting of Sna3p to the endosomal pathway depends on its interaction with Rsp5p and multivesicular body sorting on its ubiquitylation. Traffic 8: 1280–1296. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2007.00610.x.
- Gelperin DM, White MA, Wilkinson ML, Kon Y, Kung LA, et al. (2005)
 Biochemical and genetic analysis of the yeast proteome with a movable ORF
 collection. Genes Dev 19: 2816–2826. doi:10.1101/gad.1362105.
- 30. Danielsen JMR, Sylvestersen KB, Bekker-Jensen S, Szklarczyk D, Poulsen JW, et al.
 (2011) Mass spectrometric analysis of lysine ubiquitylation reveals promiscuity at site
 level. Mol Cell Proteomics 10: M110.003590. doi:10.1074/mcp.M110.003590.
- Newton K, Matsumoto ML, Ferrando RE, Wickliffe KE, Rape M, et al. (2012) Using
 linkage-specific monoclonal antibodies to analyze cellular ubiquitylation. Methods
 Mol Biol 832: 185–196. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-474-2_13.
- Aillet F, Lopitz-Otsoa F, Hjerpe R, Torres-Ramos M, Lang V, et al. (2012) Isolation
 of ubiquitylated proteins using tandem ubiquitin-binding entities. Methods Mol Biol
 832: 173–183. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-474-2_12.
- Hjerpe R, Aillet F, Lopitz-Otsoa F, Lang V, England P, et al. (2009) Efficient
 protection and isolation of ubiquitylated proteins using tandem ubiquitin-binding
 entities. EMBO Rep 10: 1250–1258. doi:10.1038/embor.2009.192.
- Sims JJ, Scavone F, Cooper EM, Kane LA, Youle RJ, et al. (2012) Polyubiquitinsensor proteins reveal localization and linkage-type dependence of cellular ubiquitin
 signaling. Nat Meth 9: 303–309. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1888.
- 806 35. Inhibition of proteolysis and cell cycle progression in a multiubiquitination-deficient yeast mutant. (1994) Inhibition of proteolysis and cell cycle progression in a multiubiquitination-deficient yeast mutant. 14: 5501–5509.
- 809Available:http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=8108035826&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks.
- 811 36. Selective inhibitors of the proteasome-dependent and vacuolar pathways of protein degradation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. (1996) Selective inhibitors of the proteasome-dependent and vacuolar pathways of protein degradation in
- 814 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. 271: 27280–27284.
- 815 Available:http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=
 816 8910302&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks.
- 817 37. Combined chemical and genetic approach to inhibit proteolysis by the proteasome.
 818 (2010) Combined chemical and genetic approach to inhibit proteolysis by the
 819 proteasome. 27: 965–974.
- 820Available:http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=82120625982&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks.
- 822 38. Liu C, Apodaca J, Davis LE, Rao H (2007) Proteasome inhibition in wild-type yeast
 823 Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells. BioTechniques 42: 158, 160, 162.
- 824 39. Léon S, Erpapazoglou Z, Haguenauer-Tsapis R (2008) Ear1p and Ssh4p are new

- adaptors of the ubiquitin ligase rsp5p for cargo ubiquitylation and sorting at
 multivesicular bodies. Mol Biol Cell 19: 2379–2388. doi:10.1091/mbc.E08-01-0068.
- 40. Erpapazoglou Z, Dhaoui M, Pantazopoulou M, Giordano F, Mari M, et al. (2012) A
 dual role for K63-linked ubiquitin chains in multivesicular body biogenesis and cargo
 sorting. Mol Biol Cell 23: 2170–2183. doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-10-0891.

831 Figure captions.

Figure 1. Obtaining evidence of ubiquitylation on crude extracts. Protein extracts from

wild-type (BY4741) cells expressing the protein Ear1 tagged with mCherry (pSL22)

[39] together with either pCUP1:Ub (pRS426-based plasmid: pRHT79; left) or

pCUP1-His-Ub (pJD421; right) [16] were prepared as described in the text,

- electrophoresed on SDS-PAGE and blotted with monoclonal anti-DsRed antibodies
- 837 (Clontech). The upper band observed on top of Ear1-mCh (gray arrowhead) is shifted

up in apparent size when expressing His-tagged ubiquitin (black arrowhead).

839 Figure 2. Purification of the endogenously, polyhistidine-tagged protein Snf1 on Ni-

840 **NTA column in the absence of His-tagged ubiquitin.** Proteins from a WT strain 841 (BY4741) expressing Snf1-HA from a plasmid (pSL204) where prepared as described 842 and purified on Ni-NTA resin in the absence of His-tagged ubiquitin. Equal amounts 843 of extract (input, 1^{st} lane) and unbound fraction (2^{nd} lane) were loaded, showing the 844 total depletion of Snf1, which is recovered in the eluate (3^{rd} lane). Phosphoglycerate 845 kinase (PGK) is used as a control and does not bind to the beads. Other proteins that 846 may show a similar behavior are displayed in **Table 1**.

847 Figure 3. Purification of ubiquitin conjugates using polyHis-tagged ubiquitin. Protein 848 extracts from WT cells (BY4741) expressing either Ub or His-tagged Ub (see Figure 849 1) were prepared and treated as described for the purification of His-tagged ubiquitin. 850 A. Ponceau S staining of the nitrocellulose membrane after transfer of the indicated 851 sample, showing the purification of His-Ub in the eluate fraction (El) (arrow). **B.** 852 Western blot using anti-ubiquitin antibodies on a similar experiment. The gel shows 853 ubiquitin dimers (Ub₂) in both cell types, and His-Ub+Ub dimers in cells expressing 854 His-Ub. C. Example of a purification of His-tagged ubiquitin from yeast cells grown

either in lactate or glucose medium and expressing the arrestin-related protein Rod1
tagged with GFP. Displayed are the "input " (Inp) and eluate fractions (El.). Rod1 is
phosphorylated in lactate medium (asterisk) and becomes ubiquitylated rapidly after
glucose addition (black circle) (See also [23]).

859 Figure 4. Tandem purification of a protein in denaturing conditions for the

860 identification of ubiquitin-conjugated sites. A. WT cells (BY4741) expressing the 861 galactose-inducible Ear1-His₆-HA-ProtA construct were subjected to a double 862 purification as described. First, an immunoprecipitation using anti-HA antibodies was 863 performed on a crude extract in native conditions (input 1 and unbound 1). The eluate 864 of this immunoprecipitation was used as the input fraction (input 2) for the second, 865 Ni-NTA based purification of the protein in denaturing conditions (unbound 2 and 866 final eluate). A western blot using anti-HA antibodies allows to evaluate the 867 purification procedure. **B.** From the same fraction, 1 μ L or 25 μ L of elauet were 868 loaded on the same gel. The gel was cut, and the left part was subjected to a western 869 blot using anti-HA antibodies, whereas the right part was used for colloidal blue 870 staining, showing the purity of the protein obtained.

871 Figure 5. Identification of ubiquitylated sites within a protein of interest by a genetic

approach. A. Example of the method: identification of the ubiquitylated region
within the arrestin-related protein, Rod1, using a synthetic construct in which all
lysines have been mutated (K0) and its derived chimeric constructs [23]. B. Western
blot showing the ubiquitylation status of several of the chimeric constructs depicted in
A. The asterisk indicates phosphorylated Rod1, which is only observed when yeast
cells are grown in the absence of glucose, such as in lactate medium (Lac) [23]. The
black circles indicate Rod1 ubiquitylation, which occurs only after glucose exposure

- and for constructs in which the ubiquitylation site is still intact. PGK is used as aloading control.
- Figure 6. Studying the topology of ubiquitin modification. An example of the use of the
 SUB strains (which express K-to-R ubiquitin mutants) to gain information on the topology
 of the ubiquitin modification on a substrate (here, the endosomal Rsp5 adaptor protein,
 Ear1). Black arrowheads: Ear1 ubiquitylated adducts. White arrow: the ubiquitin
 modification appears as a single band in the SUB413 strain, suggesting that Ear1 is
 polyubiquitylated with a short K63 chain (for more details, see also [40]). PGK is used as a
 loading control.



Hovsepian et al. Fig. 1

Snf1-HA strain

Anti-HA

Anti-PGK



Hovsepian et al., Figure 3



Hovsepian et al. Figure 4



Hovsepian et al. Figure 5



Hovsepian et al., Figure 6