



**HAL**  
open science

# Impact of sampling strategies and reconstruction protocols in nasal airflow simulations in fossil hominins

Andrej Evteev, Yann Heuzé

► **To cite this version:**

Andrej Evteev, Yann Heuzé. Impact of sampling strategies and reconstruction protocols in nasal airflow simulations in fossil hominins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 2018, 115 (21), pp.E4737-E4738. 10.1073/pnas.1804197115 . hal-02322295

**HAL Id: hal-02322295**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02322295v1>**

Submitted on 22 Jan 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Impact of sampling strategies and reconstruction protocols in nasal airflow simulations in fossil hominins**

Andrej A. Evteev<sup>a,1</sup> and Yann Heuzé<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Anuchin's Research Institute and Museum of Anthropology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 125009 Moscow, Russia

<sup>b</sup>CNRS, University of Bordeaux, Ministère de la Culture, De la Préhistoire à l'Actuel: Culture, Environnement et Anthropologie (PACEA), UMR 5199, 33615 Pessac Cedex, France

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: [evteandr@gmail.com](mailto:evteandr@gmail.com)

In their study, de Azevedo et al. (1) employ a sample of 12 individuals from Argentina of Chinese, Japanese, and Korean origin [northeastern Asians (NEA)] as representative of cold-adapted populations. However, all previous literature on the subject shows that the craniofacial morphology of these populations does not exhibit features adapted to a cold climate (e.g., refs. 2, 3). In fact, the climate of the most populated parts of China and Japan is temperate and cannot be referred to as "cold." As stated by the authors, Arctic populations drive climate-morphology correlations in many studies (4), including their own (ref. 1, p. 4).

Additionally, it is surprising that no differences in the morphology of the anterior nasal region were found between the NEA and southwestern Europeans (SWE) (figure 1 of ref. 1), despite well-established differences in craniofacial features between those groups (e.g., ref. 5). This leads to a general concern that the sample is poorly described (no sex and age distributions). More importantly, no criteria are given for choosing the two individuals who were used in the subsequent computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model (ref. 1, p. 5). Consequently, this produces the main, in our opinion, issue of this study: a lack of knowledge about the factors contributing to differences in the soft tissue airway shape of those two individuals. Indeed, the nasal mucosa is an erectile tissue; its state of congestion is affected by numerous factors (e.g., nasal cycle). Therefore, the nasal airway's shape and size can fluctuate rapidly and to a very substantial degree (e.g., ref. 6). That is the reason why the criteria for choosing the SWE and NEA individuals used in the CFD model should be stated in detail. As a result, the huge differences reported in the CFD results between these two individuals (figure 2 of ref. 1) are highly questionable.

Finally, how the nasal cavity and mucosa are modeled in the Neanderthal specimen also raises several questions. First, one SWE individual was used as the starting shape for the model (ref. 1, p. 5), and the results for the SWE and Neanderthal individuals were similar (figure 1 of ref. 1). What would have been the results if one NEA individual had been used instead? Second, the association detected by the authors (figure S7 of ref. 1) between the bone and soft tissue of the nasal cavity is too weak to predict soft tissue morphology from bone morphology. Third, it has been shown that there is no correlation between the shape of the nasal aperture and that of the choanae in humans (7). Ignoring this study, the authors performed their own study (ref. 1, p. 2 and SI Appendix, pp. 3–7), where they analyzed representatives of different families of primates together. While some correlations were found (figures S3–S5 of ref. 1), it remains unclear whether the pattern of association would remain the same, vary, or be absent at a lower taxonomic level. Several reconstructions of Neanderthal nasal

airways based on different modern individuals would have been necessary to determine the robustness of such a protocol. Without proper quantification of nasal airway form variation, any result based on a single individual per group conflates interindividual and interpopulation variation.

#### Literature cited

- 1 de Azevedo S, et al. (2017) Nasal airflow simulations suggest convergent adaptation in Neanderthals and modern humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114:12442–12447.
- 2 Evteev A, Cardini AL, Morozova I, O’Higgins P (2014) Extreme climate, rather than population history, explains mid-facial morphology of Northern Asians. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 153:449–462.
- 3 Butaric LN, Klocke RP (2018) Nasal variation in relation to high-altitude adaptations among Tibetans and Andeans. *Am J Hum Biol*, 10.1002/ajhb.23104.
- 4 Harvati K, Weaver TD (2006) Human cranial anatomy and the differential preservation of population history and climate signatures. *Anat Rec A DiscovMol Cell Evol Biol* 288:1225–1233.
- 5 Howells WW (2004) *Skull Shapes and the Map: Craniometric Analyses in the Dispersion of Modern Homo* (Peabody Museum Press, Cambridge, MA).
- 6 Yokley TR (2009) Ecogeographic variation in human nasal passages. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 138:11–22.
- 7 Bastir M, Rosas A (2013) Cranial airways and the integration between the inner and outer facial skeleton in humans. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 152:287–293.

Author contributions: A.A.E. and Y.H. designed research and wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.