



**HAL**  
open science

## Bipartite complements of circle graphs

Louis Esperet, Matěj Stehlík

► **To cite this version:**

Louis Esperet, Matěj Stehlík. Bipartite complements of circle graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 2020, 343 (6), pp.111834. 10.1016/j.disc.2020.111834 . hal-02321500

**HAL Id: hal-02321500**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02321500>**

Submitted on 7 Mar 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

## BIPARTITE COMPLEMENTS OF CIRCLE GRAPHS

LOUIS ESPERET AND MATĚJ STEHLÍK

**ABSTRACT.** Using an algebraic characterization of circle graphs, Bouchet proved in 1999 that if a bipartite graph  $G$  is the complement of a circle graph, then  $G$  is a circle graph. We give an elementary proof of this result.

A graph is a *circle graph* if it is the intersection graph of the chords of a circle. Using an algebraic characterization of circle graphs proved by Naji [6] (as the class of graphs satisfying a certain system of equalities over  $\text{GF}(2)$ ), Bouchet proved the following result in [1].

**Theorem 1** (Bouchet [1]). *If a bipartite graph  $G$  is the complement of a circle graph, then  $G$  is a circle graph.*

The known proofs of Naji's theorem are fairly involved [3, 4, 6, 7], and Bouchet [1] (see also [2]) asked whether, on the other hand, Theorem 1 has an elementary proof. The purpose of this short note is to present such a proof.

We will need two simple lemmas. Given a finite set of points  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  of even cardinality, a line  $\ell$  *bisects* the set  $X$  if each open half-plane defined by  $\ell$  contains precisely  $|X|/2$  points. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the 2-dimensional *discrete ham sandwich theorem* (see e.g. [5, Corollary 3.1.3]), and is equivalent to the *necklace splitting problem* with two types of beads. In order to keep this note self-contained, we include a short proof.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be disjoint finite point sets of even cardinality on a circle  $C$ . Then there exists a line  $\ell$  simultaneously bisecting both  $X$  and  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p_0, \dots, p_{2n-1}$  be the points of  $X \cup Y$  in cyclic order along  $C$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq 2n-1$  we denote by  $I_i$  the set  $\{p_i, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_{i+n-1}\}$  (here and in the remainder of the proof, all indices are considered modulo  $2n$ ). Clearly, for every  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , there exists a line  $\ell_i$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  bisecting the points of  $X \cup Y$ , with  $I_i$  on one side of  $\ell_i$  and  $I_{i+n}$  on the other side. For  $0 \leq i \leq 2n-1$ , define  $f(i) = |X \cap I_i| - \frac{1}{2}|X|$ . Note that since  $X$  has even cardinality, each  $f(i)$  is an integer.

To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that  $f(i) = 0$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , for then  $|X \cap I_i| = \frac{1}{2}|X|$  and  $|Y \cap I_i| = \frac{1}{2}(|X| + |Y|) - |X \cap I_i| = \frac{1}{2}|Y|$ . If  $f(0) = 0$  then we are done, so let us assume that  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality  $f(0) < 0$ , and hence  $f(n) = -f(0) > 0$ . Since  $f(i+1) - f(i) \in$

---

*Date:* January 17, 2020.

Partially supported by ANR Projects GATO (ANR-16-CE40-0009-01) and GrR (ANR-18-CE40-0032).

$\{-1, 0, 1\}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ , there exists  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  such that  $f(i) = 0$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Consider a set of pairwise intersecting chords  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  of a circle  $C$ , with pairwise distinct endpoints. Then any line  $\ell$  that bisects the  $2n$  endpoints of the chords intersects all the chords  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ .*

*Proof.* Assume for the sake of contradiction that some chord  $c_i$  does not intersect  $\ell$ . Then  $c_i$  lies in one of the two open half-planes defined by  $\ell$ , say to the left of  $\ell$ . Since  $\ell$  bisects the  $2n$  endpoints of the chords, it follows that there is another chord  $c_j$  that does not intersect  $\ell$  and which lies in the half-plane to the right of  $\ell$ . This implies that  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  do not intersect, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Consider a bipartite graph  $G$  such that its complement  $\overline{G}$  is a circle graph. In particular, for any vertex  $v_i$  of  $\overline{G}$  there is a chord  $c_i$  of some circle  $C$  such that any two vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are adjacent in  $\overline{G}$  (equivalently, non-adjacent in  $G$ ) if and only if the chords  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  intersect. Since  $G$  is bipartite, the vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  (and the corresponding chords  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ ) can be colored with colors red and blue such that any two chords of the same color intersect. We can assume without loss of generality that the endpoints of the  $n$  chords are pairwise distinct, so the coloring of the chords also gives a coloring of the  $2n$  endpoints with colors red or blue (with an even number of blue endpoints and an even number of red endpoints). Since the  $2n$  endpoints lie on the circle  $C$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that there exists a line  $\ell$  simultaneously bisecting the set of blue endpoints and the set of red endpoints.

On one side of  $\ell$ , reverse the order of the endpoints of the chords  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  along the circle  $C$ . Observe that crossing chords intersecting  $\ell$  become non-crossing, and vice versa. By Lemma 3,  $\ell$  intersects all the chords  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ , and thus the resulting circle graph is precisely  $G$ . It follows that  $G$  is a circle graph, as desired.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank András Sebő for his remarks on an early version of the draft.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bouchet, *Bipartite graphs that are not circle graphs*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **49(3)** (1999), 809–814.
- [2] G. Durán, L.N. Grippo, and M.D. Safe, *Structural results on circular-arc graphs and circle graphs: A survey and the main open problems*, Discrete Applied Math. **164(2)** (2014), 427–443.
- [3] E. Gasse, *A proof of a circle graph characterization*, Discrete Math. **173** (1997), 277–283.
- [4] J. Geelen and E. Lee, *Naji’s characterization of circle graphs*, to appear in J. Graph Theory.
- [5] J. Matoušek, *Using the Borsuk–Ulam theorem*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [6] W. Naji, *Reconnaissance des graphes de cordes*, Discrete Math. **54** (1985) 329–337.
- [7] L. Traldi, *Notes on a theorem of Naji*, Discrete Math. **340(1)** (2017), 3217–3234.

LABORATOIRE G-SCOP (CNRS, UNIV. GRENOBLE ALPES), GRENOBLE, FRANCE  
*Email address:* `louis.esperet@grenoble-inp.fr`

LABORATOIRE G-SCOP, UNIV. GRENOBLE ALPES, FRANCE  
*Email address:* `matej.stehlik@grenoble-inp.fr`