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The first study on the impact of osmolytes in whole cells of high temperature-adapted microorganisms

Marta Salvador Castell\textsuperscript{a}, Maksym Golub\textsuperscript{b,c}, Nicolas Martinez\textsuperscript{b,c}, Jacques Ollivier\textsuperscript{c}, Judith Peters\textsuperscript{c,d,*} and Philippe Oger\textsuperscript{a,*}

The hyperthermophilic piezophile Thermococcus barophilus displays a strong stress response characterized by the accumulation of the organic osmolyte mannosylglycerate during growth under sub-optimal pressure conditions (0.1 MPa). Taking advantage of this known effect, the impact of osmolytes in piezophiles in an otherwise identical cellular context was investigated, by comparing \textit{T. barophilus} cells grown under low or optimal pressures (40 MPa). Using neutron scattering techniques, we studied the molecular dynamics of live cells of \textit{T. barophilus} at different pressures and temperatures. We show that in presence of osmolytes, cells present a higher diffusion coefficient of hydration water and an increase of bulk water motions at high temperature. In absence of osmolytes, the \textit{T. barophilus} cellular dynamics is more responsive to high temperature and high hydrostatic pressure. These results give therefore clear evidences for a protecting effect of osmolytes on proteins.

Introduction

Only few decades ago, it was thought that most extreme environments were too hostile to harbour life. However, since the major discoveries of the 1970s \textsuperscript{1–3}, it is admitted that possibly no place on Earth is too extreme to support cellular life. Indeed, nowadays, it is difficult to imagine limits for life on Earth and only one environmental condition seems limiting: the presence of liquid water. Amongst extreme biotopes, the most intriguing may be the deep-hot biosphere, where organisms experience high hydrostatic pressures (HHP) and high temperatures (HT) simultaneously. In this context, HHP refers arbitrarily to pressures above 10 MPa. It is among the prokaryotes (cells lacking a nucleus), and especially within the archaea domain that we find organisms adapted to the most extreme environmental conditions. The cellular adaptation to extreme conditions has been largely studied by different approaches from genomics, to protein and lipid studies \textsuperscript{4–7}. It has allowed the identification of several adaptive routes that may, or may not be, shared between the different environmental stressors. For example, the sequence, and consequently the structure, of proteins is modified to respond to the specificities of some environment stressors (salinity, temperature) in order to maintain protein function and the molecular dynamics in the so-called "corresponding state principle" window \textsuperscript{8,9}. Today, we are starting to understand the molecular basis of the structural and genetic adaptation to high temperature in protein of thermophiles. This implies the substitution of a set of preferred amino-acids in the sequence of the proteins. However, extensive whole genome studies were unsuccessful to identify the structural and genetic adaptation to extreme pressure to date.

Recently, we have proposed the first molecular model for the adaptation to HHP in the archaeal order of the \textit{Thermococcales}. \textit{Thermococcales} are hyperthermophiles isolated from hydrothermal vent systems that can be found from the surface to the deepest part of the oceans (4500 m below sea level) and grow optimally at temperatures ranging from 75 to 105 °C. In our study, we compared \textit{Thermococcus kodakarensis}, a piezosensitive (sensitive to HHP) archaeon to \textit{Thermococcus barophilus}, a piezophile (requiring HHP for life) model species \textsuperscript{10}. Although pangenomic studies have failed to identify the genetic basis of the structural adaptation to HHP in these organisms, using incoherent neutron scattering on whole cells, we could demonstrate that the structural proteome adaptation to HHP occurs through variations of molecular dynamics in the proteome and in the hydration shell \textsuperscript{10}. In contrast to the Le Chatellier principle \textsuperscript{11}, it is expected that high pressure adapted
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proteins will resist increasing pressure better than piezosensitive ones to preserve the required protein flexibility of the active site. However, we found that proteins from the piezophile \textit{T. barophilus} have a higher pressure sensitivity, undergo a phase-like transition with increasing pressure to reach their functional state and have a lower hydration shell contribution and dynamics. Furthermore, in contrast as well with expectations from high pressure studies, we showed that piezophilic cells perceive sub-optimal pressures as a stress, and counteract the effect of low-pressure stress by accumulating the organic osmolyte, mannosylglycerate (MG) \cite{12}. To maintain the appropriate cell turgor and to restore the cell volume, all organisms accumulate low-molecular-weight osmolytes that are mainly organic solutes in response to cold, heat, pH and HHP stresses \cite{13}. These solutes are amino acids and derivatives, polyols, sugars and derivatives, methylamines, and methylsulfonylum compounds, which fall into only a few chemical categories: amino acids and derivatives such as trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) and small carbohydrates such as sugars, sugar derivatives, polyols and cyclitols \cite{14–16}. Some solutes, such as betaine, are widespread. To date, MG has only been detected in organisms thriving in hot environments \cite{15}. Due to their stronger interaction with water than with macromolecules, many of these osmolytes help to stabilize macromolecular structures by enhancing water structure \cite{13}. Therefore, they are accumulated to counteract the destabilizing effects of environmental stresses on cell components \cite{15,17}.

Although the mechanisms by which osmolytes help stabilize the proteome under low pressure stress in \textit{T. barophilus} have not been explored yet, it is reasonable to assume that they will act as previously shown for other stresses, and in this particular case, as has been shown for MG, \textit{e.g.} by rigidifying the macromolecular structures \cite{18,19}.

Since most investigations only consider parts of cells separately \cite{20–24}, important effects resulting from the interplay of the different molecules or the crowding of the cellular milieu influencing the adaptation mechanisms might be overseen. Crowding is defined as the exclusion of volume by other polymers or the same polymer (self-crowding), which induces attraction between molecules caused by the lack of free space surrounding them. It is therefore a characteristic of concentrated solutions, as a biological cell. Crowding and osmolytes are now recognized as important parameters of protein stability \cite{25,26}. In the case of piezophiles, it is therefore crucial to determine their role in stress response and HHP adaptation. To reproduce these intracellular conditions, one can either employ self-crowding, which allows to reproduce the high cytoplasmic protein concentrations (around 300 mg/ml \cite{26,19}), but fails at capturing the diversity and complexity of proteins present; or use crowding agents such as osmolytes, which simulate well the interaction with water molecules but also fail at simulating the complexity of intracellular media. Despite these limitations, several studies have demonstrated the protecting effect of osmolytes and crowding on the HHP tolerance of proteins \textit{in vitro} \cite{25,27}. To extend and confirm this data in a meaningful context, a whole cell approach has been designed to provide a third alternative for studying the effect of high concentrations in a realistic biological context \cite{10,28–33}. These studies use neutron scattering to determine the dynamics inside the cells, which is the method of choice because as it does not induce radiation damage to cells while it allows to separate the molecular dynamics of cell constituents or water directly \textit{in vivo} cells \cite{34}. In contrast to studies on the impact of crowding on pure proteins, cell studies showed that the release of crowding constraints on proteins leads to an increase in rigidity and a decrease in HHP sensitivity. In a way similar to HHP adaptation in piezophiles, the hydration water layer is decreased when crowding is decreased \cite{35}, demonstrating a first link between protein adaptation and the impact of crowding or osmolytes on proteins.

In the experimental setup of the Golub study \cite{35}, the variations in crowding were generated by cell lysis. In the present study, we take advantage of the accumulation of osmolytes by the piezophilic archaeon \textit{T. barophilus} under low pressure stress \cite{12} in order to test the impact of the presence of osmolytes in a relevant cellular context (Figure 1).

### Experimental section

#### Sample preparation

Cell samples were prepared for neutron scattering immediately before the start of beam-time. Cells of \textit{T. barophilus} were cultivated in 4 litres of \textit{Thermococcales‘} rich medium \cite{36}, under anoxic conditions at 358 K until late exponential phase. The first sample (Tba\_Ø) was grown under optimal conditions, \textit{e.g.} at 40 MPa in Poly Ethylene Terephthalate (PET) bags. Under these culture conditions, cells are known to accumulate MG \cite{37}. Cells were concentrated by centrifugation at 14000 g for 30 mn, and washed twice with saline solution. To avoid possible damage to the cell during sample preparation for the high pressure cell, all manipulations were performed at 4°C, a temperature which blocks all metabolic activity in \textit{Thermococcus} cells, and increases their tolerance to oxygen. Thus, both samples were constituted of live \textit{T. barophilus} cells. Samples were kept under anoxic atmosphere. For neutron experiments, about 600 mg of cell pellets were transferred under anoxic atmosphere as

---

\textbf{Figure 1.} Schematic representation of differences between the two samples of \textit{T. barophilus}, used in this study. Tba\_Ø (right) is grown under optimal pressure and temperature conditions. Tba\_MG (left) is grown at optimal temperature but atmospheric pressure. Since atmospheric pressure is perceived as a stress by \textit{T. barophilus}, it will accumulate significant levels of mannosylglycerate, an organic osmolyte, to maintain the functionality of cellular macromolecules.
described previously \(^{10}\) to the HP cell sample insert \(^{38}\). In brief, the cell pellet was transferred to the insert using a syringe and a blunted 0.9mm needle and deposited at the bottom of the sample holder to avoid the formation of gas bubbles. The sample geometry in this insert is 10 mm x 59 mm and 1 mm thickness. The residual space was filled with 2.5% NaCl saline solution in D\(_2\)O to guarantee a homogeneous pressure transmission. A cryostat was used to precisely control and change the temperature. The HHP transmitting medium in the heated HHP stick was Fluorinert \(^{39}\) which is completely inert and which was separated from the sample by a HHP separator \(^{40}\). Neutron data is acquired on live cells. Under the conditions used for data acquisition (see details below), cells remain alive, but are metabolically inactive below 343 K. At the highest temperature tested, 358 K, cells are potentially active but in absence of a suitable carbon source, no activity was detected in the lab, and thus no metabolic activity should occur within the HP cell. Cell survival was estimated by microscopic observation in the lab, and thus no metabolic activity should occur within the HP cell. For the hydrogen (\(1H\)), the incoherent part, which refers to molecular dynamics, is the case for entire cells in solution. For the hydrogen (\(1H\)), the incoherent scattering cross section is much larger than for any other atomic nucleus present in biological samples \(^{41}\), therefore, the use of neutrons to be diffused on entire cells allows to determine the average motions of the hydrogens and the molecular subgroups bound to them. We used elastic incoherent neutron scattering (EINS) on the backscattering spectrometer IN13 \(^{42}\) and quasi-elastic neutron scattering (QENS) on the time-of-flight spectrometer IN5 \(^{43}\) at the Institut Laue Langevin (ILL, France). In addition to the cell pellets, we have measured the buffer, i.e. D\(_2\)O added as a pressure transmitter to the HHP cell, for a later subtraction from the sample signal, and a vanadium rod, which scatters purely incoherently and is used to mimic the instrumental energy resolution. The data reduction was carried out using the Large Array Manipulation Program (LAMP) available at ILL \(^{44}\) and Origin (http://www.originlab.com/). Possible multiple scattering effects for a sample in a similar HHP sample container were evaluated previously and we have found that this effect was significant only at very low Q-values \(^{45}\). This result is also in agreement with findings by S. Busch and T. Unruh \(^{46}\).

Incoherent neutron scattering

Atomic nuclei scatter neutrons coherently and incoherently, but the incoherent part, which refers to molecular dynamics, is the significant contribution when using disordered samples \(^{34}\). The elastic contribution refers to an energy transfer between neutrons and other atomic nucleus present in biological samples \(^{41}\), therefore, showing that there is no loss of viability during irradiation, and that samples are indistinguishable in terms of molecular dynamics before and after data acquisition. The elastic structure factor is given within the Gaussian approximation (Equation 1) \(^{47,48}\):

\[
S_{el}(Q,0 \pm \Delta E) \approx S_0 \exp \left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{Q^2}{\Delta E^2} \right) \tag{1}
\]

where \(\Delta E\) is the half-width half maximum (HWHM) of the instrumental energy resolution; \(<u^2>\) is the atomic mean square displacement (MSD). The MSD indicates the flexibility of the sample under specific conditions of pressure and temperature. The MSD can be obtained for each temperature or pressure value by the slope of the semi-logarithmic plot of the incoherent scattering function through equation 2:

\[
u^2 \approx -3 \frac{d \ln S_{el}(Q,0 \pm \Delta E)}{d Q^2} \tag{2}.
\]

Equation 2 is valid for small Q-values, when \(<u^2>\) \(Q^2 \sim 1\). Accordingly, we restricted the fit range to the Q regime between 0.19 and 1.47 Å\(^{-1}\). The MSD is inversely proportional to the sum over the scattering intensities (summed I), however, the summed I does not require the Gaussian approximation and a broader Q-range can be used, which increases statistics.

Quasi-elastic neutron scattering

The QENS part of the incoherent neutron scattering appears as a broadening of the elastic peak resulting from the relaxation of atomic motions in the spatial and temporal windows of the instrument. QENS reveals the scattering that presents an exchange of small amounts of energy between the neutrons and the sample \(^{34}\). The incoming wavelength on IN5 \(^{43}\) was 10 Å, which amounts to an instrumental energy resolution of 10 µeV and a momentum
transfer range of about \(0.2 < Q < 1.1 \text{ Å}^{-1}\). Such setup permits to probe internal local movements with a space-time window of up to 32 Å and 100 ps, respectively. With samples such as whole live cells, a more thorough data analysis of water behaviour has proven impossible, due to the resolution of the instrument and the complexity of the samples. The Q-range used here has been shown previously to be the best compromise to obtain the parameters of the molecular dynamics of whole cells.\(^{10,35}\) Samples were measured for 30 mn per data point at two temperatures (298 K and 358 K) and two pressures (0.1 MPa and 40 MPa).

In QENS data, a diffusional motion can be described by a Lorentzian curve. As more than one molecular population is present here and each contributes differently to the total scattering intensity, the experimental curve scattered by cells can be fitted by a sum of Lorentzian functions.\(^{34}\) In theory, the sum could go over an infinity of Lorentzian curves, as there exists infinity multitude of dynamical populations (e.g. from water molecules, biomolecules and co-solutes) and many possible models were suggested in the past how to treat best QENS data of complex systems.\(^{20–24,49}\) However, in practice, the precision of our data does not permit to distinguish more than a few contributions in the instrumental resolution window represented by individual Lorentzian curves, which allows to restrict the sum and to extract characteristic parameters for some specific detected populations. Here, we use the model presented by Martinez et al.\(^{10}\) for the same type of samples. Therefore, we can split the signal into four different contributions: an elastic peak, one Lorentzian function corresponding to the proteome, one to the hydration water and one to the bulk water contribution and a constant background \(B(Q)\). All functions are convolved by the instrumental resolution function \(S_{\text{resolution}}(Q, \omega)\) (Equation 3):

\[
S(Q, \omega) = [p_{\text{elastic}} + p_{\text{bulk}} \times S_1(Q, \omega, D_{\text{bulk}}, \tau_{\text{bulk}}) + p_{\text{hydration}} \times S_2(Q, \omega, D_{\text{hydration}}) + p_{\text{proteome}} \times S_3(Q, \omega, \Gamma_{\text{proteome}})] \otimes S_{\text{resolution}}(Q, \omega)
\]

where \(S_1(Q, \omega)\) and \(S_2(Q, \omega)\) are the structure factors corresponding to the contributions of bulk and hydration water, respectively. These two components are characterized by a translational diffusion constant \(D_T\), a rotational diffusion constant \(D_R\) and a residence time \(\tau\), which describes the typical time a water molecule performs oscillatory motions around its equilibrium position before diffusing continuously. The contribution \(S_3\) is associated with all possible motions within the biological system itself (the proteome) and presents a faster relaxation which is described by a large Lorentzian with a constant HWHM \((\Gamma_{\text{proteome}})\).\(^{10}\) The \(p\)-values represent the fractions of each contribution: elastic, bulk water, hydration water and proteome. The sum of all populations is equal to one, with around 70–80% of total water content.\(^{49,51}\)

The two water populations were fitted by a convolution of two Lorentzians representing the translational diffusion and rotational diffusion that occur simultaneously. However, the rotational diffusion was small and constant for all samples,\(^{30,38}\) so we neglected it. Finally, \(\tau\) can be determined when HWHM tends to a constant value at high Q-values. The Q-range of IN5 did not allow to reach such high Q-values for the hydration water population and, therefore, it was not determined. We normalized each spectrum to unity in its maximum to neglect the Debye-Waller-factor, which does not introduce additional information for QENS analysis.

**Results and discussion**

Experiments were performed in December 2015 on IN13 (DOI: 10.5291/ILL-Data.8-04-763) and in November 2016 on INS (DOI: 10.5291/ILL-Data.8-04-783), therefore separate sets of samples were produced. The results established the reproducibility of the outcome from various samples.
The samples were measured by EINS on IN13 at temperature and pressure values within the physiological range for the cells, i.e. between 0.1 and 40 MPa and between 293 and 358 K. Intensities were summed over all scattering angles, while the MSD were extracted in a restricted Q range between 0.19 and 1.47 Å⁻¹. Summed I and MSD, according to equation (2), are presented in Figure 2a and 2b, respectively. As observed in previous experiments using the high-pressure cell, the signal is somewhat noisy due to the high neutron absorption, but significant differences can be observed between the two sample types.

Some differences in summed intensities between the probed systems are identified in temperature-dependent comparisons (Figure 2), but no difference is observed within the pressure range studied here (Figure S1 & S2). Up to 325 K, the summed I decrease for both samples with increasing temperature (Figure 2a), and the dynamics of both systems increase as expected from a temperature increase (Figure 2b). The curves of both samples display two different regimes with a change of slope at ca. 325 K. For Tba_Ø, the sample grown at optimal conditions, the summed I increase slightly above 325 K, indicating that cell molecular dynamics are stabilized when cells are approaching their optimal growth temperature (358 K). In contrast, for the sample grown under pressure stress (Tba_MG) which accumulates mannosylglycerate, the summed I further decreases (the MSD increases) but at a lower rate. This change in cell dynamics is indicative of structural rearrangements of the cell's macromolecules, which in the current setup correspond mostly to proteins. It is noteworthy that such structural rearrangements have been previously reported for the T. barophilus proteome between low and optimal hydrostatic pressures 52, and proposed to be part of the HHP adaptation in this species. Interestingly, the critical temperature found here (325 K) is very close to the minimal growth temperature of T. barophilus (321 K) 53. The MSD confirms the physiological requirement for the T. barophilus proteome as proposed earlier 12,52. All these findings suggest that the proteome of this species is in a destabilized, partially non-functional form at temperatures below 321 K, which might explain its inability to grow below that temperature, and that this organism gets into functional intracellular dynamics only at ca. 321 K. Similarly to what has been observed for HHP 55, once the functional dynamics is achieved, the cell dynamics becomes insensitive to further increase in temperature probably up to its maximum growth temperature (373 K) 53. The presence of MG in sample Tba_MG seems to inhibit the extent of the structural rearrangements of the proteome, which is expected if MG rigidifies and stabilizes the proteome. The MSD confirms the physiological requirement for T. barophilus proteome as proposed earlier 12,52.
low overall dynamics sensitivity of Tba_Ø and the increase of the dynamics at higher temperatures for the Tba_MG sample (Figure 2b). As seen in the summed I, the overall dynamics of Tba_Ø is more insensitive to temperature than that of Tba_MG.

QENS

QENS data were acquired at two temperatures, 298 K and 358 K, and two pressures, 0.1 and 40 MPa on INS at ILL. As expected, the intensities summed over all available scattering angles revealed important changes in dynamics due to temperature, and small changes due to pressure application for both samples (Figure 3). Smaller differences are visible in the low energy transfer region (-0.2 to 0 meV) in response to HHP (Tba_Ø at 0.1 MPa vs. Tba_Ø at 40 MPa) or the presence of mannosylglycerate (Tba_Ø vs. Tba_MG, at 358 K) on molecular dynamics. The dynamical parameters that can be extracted from the QENS data all relate to translational or rotational diffusion and relaxation processes. The data was fitted according to the model of Martinez et al. 10 with four populations: elastic contribution ($\rho_{\text{elastic}}$), bulk water ($\rho_{\text{bulk}}$), hydration water ($\rho_{\text{hydration}}$) and proteome ($\rho_{\text{proteome}}$). It appeared to be the best compromise between the extraction of a minimum of valuable results to characterise the dynamics and the risk of overfitting the data by a too complex model. Each Lorentzian curve has its characteristic HWHM value as function of Q, which is directly related to each population dynamics. The best fit values along with errors obtained through error propagation are given in Table 1, and an example of the experimental data compared with a fit is given in Figure 4 (for more examples, see Figure S3 – S10).

Pressure and osmolyte accumulation effects on intracellular dynamics at 298 K. The relative contributions of the four populations for both samples ($\rho_{\text{elastic}}, \rho_{\text{bulk}}, \rho_{\text{hydration}}, \rho_{\text{proteome}}$) and the extracted motion parameters are of the same order as those determined before by Martinez et al. 10 which are characteristic for a piezophile microorganism (Table 1). $\Gamma_{\text{proteome}}$ (0.43 ± 0.01

Figure 5. HWHM of the translational hydration water (represented by green dots in the left scheme) for both samples at different pressure (0.1 MPa and 40 MPa) and temperature values (a) 298 K and b) 358 K) as a function of Q2.

Figure 6. HWHM of the translational bulk water component (represented by blue dots in the left scheme) for both samples at different pressure (0.1 MPa and 40 MPa) and temperature values (298 K and 358 K) as a function of Q2. a) HWHM obtained at 298 K and 358 K; b) zoom in on the 298 K region from 0.6 Å⁻² < Q² < 1.3 Å⁻².
meV) is of the same order that found before \(0.431 \pm 0.004\) meV\(^{10}\) and is specific for the \(T.\ barophilus\) proteome. The HWHM of the translational component for hydration and bulk water at the two temperatures studied (298 K and 358 K) versus \(Q^2\) values are shown in Figure 5 and 6. The curves present the typical signature for jump-diffusional motions \(^{34}\), e.g. they are almost linear in \(Q^2\), tending to zero at the origin and declining slightly at higher \(Q\) values. As reported previously, the hydration water presents much slower motions than bulk water \(^{54,55}\).

Focusing on the hydration water (Figure 5), one can observe that for both samples, the hydration water is less mobile at HHP \(D_{\text{hydration}} = (4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) vs. \((5.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) and \((2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) vs. \((5.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) for \(Tba_{\text{MG}}\) and \(Tba_{\emptyset}\), respectively. As expected, if MG is protecting the proteome and is therefore interacting with its hydration shell, this decrease in dynamics is more pronounced for the less crowded sample \(Tba_{\emptyset}\) (1.97 vs 1.31 times for \(Tba_{\emptyset}\) and \(Tba_{\text{MG}}\), respectively). The translational diffusion coefficient for bulk water is the same for both samples and is essentially insensitive to HHP in the pressure range tested, but due to cell crowding, it is slightly inferior \(D_{\text{bulk}} \sim (1.85 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) than that of free water at 298 K \(D_{\text{free}} = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) \(^{56}\). In contrast, the residence time, \(\tau_{\text{bulk}}\), which is related to interactions between molecules, and enhanced due to exchange mechanisms of biomolecules with hydration water, is pressure sensitive and increases with HHP. Such effect may be explained by a higher confinement due to HHP.

In summary, HHP does not have any impact on the population proportions in the sample or on the diffusion of bulk water \(D_{\text{bulk}}\). It slightly increases the residence time of bulk water \(\tau_{\text{bulk}}\) and decreases the diffusion coefficient of the hydration shell water \(D_{\text{hydration}}\) for both samples. The most important impact of pressure and crowding is on the diffusion coefficient of hydration water, \(D_{\text{hydration}}\). Both, HHP and low crowding decrease \(D_{\text{hydration}}\). The impact of HHP is more pronounced on \(D_{\text{hydration}}\) in the less crowded sample \(Tba_{\emptyset}\) which confirms at the same time the pressure effect and the importance of crowding on cells.

The presence of the osmolyte MG is associated with a lowering of the impact of HHP on sample dynamics which translates into a lower decrease of the diffusion coefficient of the hydration shell water \(D_{\text{hydration}}\). This data is congruent with the proposed mechanism of action for MG on protein stabilization \(^{17,57,58}\).

Pressure and osmolyte accumulation effects on intracellular dynamics at 358 K. HT induces strong modifications of the relative contributions from the populations determined at 298 K (Table 1), which is characterized by an increase of \(P_{\text{proteome}}\) concomitant with an increase in \(P_{\text{hydration}}\) and a decrease of \(P_{\text{bulk}}\). HT mostly impacts the dynamics of the bulk water and proteome. The higher mobility of the proteome at higher temperatures is established by its higher \(\Gamma_{\text{proteome}} = 0.61 \pm 0.01\) meV at 358 K compared to \(\Gamma_{\text{proteome}} = 0.43 \pm 0.01\) meV at 298 K. Bulk water dynamics (Figure 6) increases as a function of temperature \(D_{\text{bulk}}\) varies from \(\sim 1.85 \times 10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) to \((5.2 \pm 0.1)\) and \((6.7 \pm 0.1)\) \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) for \(Tba_{\text{MG}}\) and \(Tba_{\emptyset}\), respectively.

Figure 7. Schematic representation of main results for both samples at high-temperature (HT, 358 K) and high hydrostatic pressure (HHP, 40 MPa). For clarity of presentation, this scheme intentionally simplifies the dynamics by neglecting the subdiffusive nature of hydration water. MG refers to mannosylglycerate. a) and b) represent the overall intracellular dynamics obtained by EINS at a time window of 100 ps: \(Tba_{\text{MG}}\) shows higher dynamics (dark red) than \(Tba_{\emptyset}\) at the same temperature (358 K). From c) to f): representation of translational diffusion of hydration water for both samples at HT and HHP obtained by QENS for a time window of 100 ps, more "green dots" represents higher dynamics. \(Tba_{\emptyset}\) manifests lower dynamics of hydration water than \(Tba_{\text{MG}}\) at HT (c,d), but also at HHP (e,f).

The translational bulk water component is not pressure sensitive for the pressure range studied. As observed for \(D_{\text{bulk}}\) at 298 K, it is slower than free water at the corresponding temperature \(D_{\text{free}} = 7.3 \times 10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) at 358 K \(^{59}\). The presence of osmolytes in sample \(Tba_{\text{MG}}\) is associated with a lower increase of bulk water dynamics (Figure 6a) and slower motions \(D_{\text{bulk}} = (5.2 \pm 0.1)\) \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) in contrast to the sample without osmolytes, \(Tba_{\emptyset}\) \(D_{\text{bulk}} = (6.7 \pm 0.1)\) \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\), which further confirms that crowding impacts bulk water motions, as reported previously for lysed cells \(^{35}\). This impact of osmolyte crowding on bulk waters dynamics should be visible at 298 K, but is too small to be quantified accurately (Figure 6b).

As observed at low temperature, the translational diffusion coefficient of hydration water \(D_{\text{hydration}}\) decreases with HHP by a factor of ca. 1.5. Moreover, the less crowded sample, \(Tba_{\emptyset}\), presents lower dynamics than \(Tba_{\text{MG}}\) at room temperature \(D_{\text{hydration}} = (2.7 \pm 0.4)\) \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\) vs. \((5.6 \pm 0.4)\) \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\), which is congruent with previous reports that less crowded samples present lower \(D_{\text{hydration}}\) \(^{35}\).

In summary, HT changes the contributions of the different populations detected, it increases the dynamics and the ratio of \(P_{\text{proteome}}\), which is accompanied by an increase of \(P_{\text{hydration}}\) and a consequent decrease of \(P_{\text{bulk}}\). Temperature increases dynamics as observed for \(D_{\text{bulk}}\), and, furthermore, \(Tba_{\emptyset}\) presents higher translational diffusion of bulk water, which is congruent with a lower crowding of the cells. However, temperature does not increase \(D_{\text{hydration}}\). Indeed, \(Tba_{\emptyset}\) shows lower \(D_{\text{hydration}}\) at 358 K than at 298 K.

Conclusions
Taken together the QENS and EINS results demonstrate that \(Tba_{\emptyset}\) displays lower dynamics than \(Tba_{\text{MG}}\) at HT and HHP.
Macromolecular components, such as lipids or nucleic acids, could affect cell dynamics. However, due to their low concentration in the cells, e.g. 10% for lipids and 20% for RNAs for example, their contribution to the QENS and EINS signal, which averages the whole cell, is only marginal. Since, the significative QENS and EINS signal originates solely from proteins, which constitute more than 50% of the cell macromolecules, the lower dynamics most probably originates from the proteome reorganization as seen on the EINS data (Figure 2). The Tba_Ø $D^{\text{hydration}}$ value at its optimal pressure (40 MPa) and temperature (358 K), i.e. $(1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-7}$ cm²/s, is very similar to that found for hydration water on other cell types at their own optimal temperatures. For example, at 310 K, red blood cells present a diffusion coefficient of $\sim 1.75 \times 10^{-7}$ cm²/s, which is lower than that found for hydration water on other cell types at their own optimal temperatures. As shown in Figure 2, the Tba_Ø displays the higher translational diffusion for bulk water; the decrease on hydration diffusion is stronger; 2) at 358 K, Tba_Ø presents lower translational diffusion for bulk water; the decrease on hydration diffusion is stronger; 3) at highest temperature, Tba_Ø displays the higher translational diffusion for bulk water; the decrease on hydration diffusion is stronger; 4) at 400 bars and 85 °C, thus functionally, the lowering of pressure is inducing the transition to the poorly folded state. In γ-D-crystallin, this pressure-induced folding has been explained in part by more configurational freedom, less void volume and far fewer hydrophobic contacts, but interactions of all types may also contribute to this transition. Although our data does not allow us to identify the cause of the phase transition observed in T. barophilus, we believe that they are the similar to those explaining the phase transition in γ-D-crystallin.

Our observation of the pressure sensitivity of the T. barophilus proteome in a very narrow pressure range is a new example in the now longer list of proteins sensitive to very small variations of hydrostatic pressure, e.g. γ-D-crystallin, lysozyme, α-elastin and Deadbox helicase, which undergo pressure-sensitive liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS). These findings are of paramount importance for our studies, although the relation between LLPS and molecular dynamics of proteins within cells is not yet established and has to be further investigated. However, the pressure sensitivity of T. barophilus is observed within a few tens to hundreds of bar and is altered by the presence of the osmolyte MG. Regardless of the underlying mechanism, what different systems tell us is that what drives the biological function is the corresponding principle, which states that the physiologically relevant value a specific parameter can take belongs to a very narrow range of values, independently of the environmental conditions. For example, the flexibility of a functional protein/proteome will be similar in T. barophilus at 400 bars and 85°C, and in γ-D-crystallin at ambient pressure and 37°C. Any variation from these values, will lead to the destabilization of the proteic structure. Under moderate pressure stress, osmolytes can protect proteins against unfolding. In T. barophilus, MG is expected to interact strongly with the hydration shell water, which can be seen by enhanced translational diffusion coefficients of hydration water, which is different from what has been characterized for the well studied osmolyte TMAO, which is excluded from the hydration shell. It would therefore be interesting to investigate how MG induces such behaviour at the protein surface or in hydration water.

This work further extends on previous observations that the main HHP adaptive mechanisms of piezophiles include specific populations’ ratios and a decrease in hydration water diffusion. Such pressure-response is higher at Tba_Ø, in absence of MG. Moreover, at both HHP response studies at 298 K, we could detect a higher residence time of bulk water and therefore, a higher confinement due to the increase of pressure. Further
work on the basis for the pressure sensitivity of proteins will help in the identification of the structural adaptations required in piezophilic enzymes for life at HHP.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements
We are gratefully acknowledging the ILL for allocation of beam time and the CNRS - Défi Instrumentation aux Limites 2014 - for financing the development of the high-pressure cell. We would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers whose comments helped us improve the quality of this manuscript.

References

(22) Ishai, P. Ben; Mamontov, E.; Nickels, J. D.; Sokolov, A. P. Influence of Ions on Water Diffusion - A Neutron Scattering


