

Sound Velocities and Elastic Moduli of Phases I and V of Silicon at High Pressures

Bin Zhao, Feng Xu, Laurent Belliard, Haijun Huang, Bernard Perrin, Philippe

Djemia, Andreas Zerr

To cite this version:

Bin Zhao, Feng Xu, Laurent Belliard, Haijun Huang, Bernard Perrin, et al.. Sound Velocities and Elastic Moduli of Phases I and V of Silicon at High Pressures. physica status solidi (RRL) - Rapid Research Letters (pss RRL), 2019, 13 (8), pp.1900173. $10.1002/\text{pssr}.201900173$. hal-02316887

HAL Id: hal-02316887 <https://hal.science/hal-02316887v1>

Submitted on 7 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

crystal elastic constants $C_{11}(P)$ and $C_{12}(P)$ of Si-I, and the shear modulus of $\frac{3}{4}$ polycrystalline Si-V deduced here are in a very good agreement with our first principles calculations and with the earlier results reported for Si-I. $4 \qquad \qquad \text{polycrystamine} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \text{because}$ $5₁$ $7 \quad \frac{1}{1} \quad \frac{1}{1}$

Main text

 $\frac{11}{12}$ Silicon has come into wide use in semiconductor field and become the basic material $\frac{14}{15}$ due to its chemical, physical and technical properties at atmospheric pressure. Upon ¹⁷ compression, silicon metalizes and undergoes a series of phase transitions, and thus is considered as an example elemental solid in pressure-induced phase transition studies. Crystal structures, phase stability, related lattice dynamic properties were the subjects 25 of intense experimental and theoretical interest over the past decades. [1,2,3] X-ray diffraction studies indicated that the sequential structural transitions of silicon with increasing pressure are as follows: cubic-diamond (Si-I) $\rightarrow \beta$ -Sn (Si-II)^[4] $\rightarrow \text{Im}$ ma $(Si-XI)^{[5]} \rightarrow$ primitive-hexagonal $(Si-V)^{[6]} \rightarrow Cmca$ $(Si-VI)^{[6]} \rightarrow$ hexagonal closed-packed $(Si-VII)^{[6]} \rightarrow$ face-centered cubic $(Si-X, \text{ up to } 248 \text{ GPa})^{[7]}$. Si-II, Si-XI ³⁹ and Si-VI phases are stable in quite narrow pressure regions,^[8] and exist as single phases in even narrower regions due to coexistence with the adjacent phases. In contrast, Si-I, Si-V, Si-VII and Si-X exist as single phases in extended pressure ranges. It was demonstrated that Si-I remains stable at $P < 9$ GPa,^[6] and, between 18 and 38 GPa , only Si-V was observed.^[9] While most of experimental high pressure studies on silicon were focused on crystal structures of the phases, only few works dealt with pressure dependences of elastic moduli of Si-I,^[10,11,12] and, to our knowledge, no 58 measurement of the shear modulus G or single crystal elastic constants C_{ij} of Si-V **SHIGON RASE COME 1010 WIGE** 15 auc to its chemical, physical and 1 23 Crystal structures, phase stat 26 of mense experimental and 31 increasing pressure are as f 34 $(S1-X1)^{C_1} \rightarrow primitive-her$ Crosca-packed (SP V II)⁻² contrast, S₁-1, S₁-V, S₁-V_{II} and 48 R Was demonstrated that SI- **pressure dependences of el** 59 measurement of the site of the

1 was reported yet.

 $\frac{3}{4}$ Knowledge of sound velocities of solids at high pressures allows a better ϵ understanding of their intrinsic elastic properties, especially of $G(P)$, which is very sensitive to subtle phase transitions, ^[13] defects or inhomogeneities, ^[14] and permits a sensitive to subtle phase transitions, ^[13] defects or inhomogeneities, ^[14] and permits a $\frac{11}{12}$ contrast-rich 3D tomography of non-uniform bodies.^[15] However, measurement of ¹⁴ sound velocities at high pressures is challenging, even using standard ultrasonic techniques or more recent synchrotron-based scattering techniques.^[16] In contrast to standard ultrasonic techniques applicable in a limited pressure range, inelastic X-ray 22
23 scattering permits measurement of longitudinal sound velocity V_L for a sample ²⁵ compressed in a DAC to very high pressures. However, it requires use of synchrotron radiation, large set-ups, very long counting times, and is only suited for samples containing elements with Z between 30 and 50 .^[16] The related technique of nuclear resonant inelastic X-ray scattering requires samples containing Mössbauer isotopes and theoretical modeling in order to extract sound velocities from the recorded signals. Thus, none of the last two techniques is suitable for the acoustic measurements on silicon samples in a DAC. At pressures above ~20 GPa, combination of DAC with picosecond laser ultrasonics (PLU) provides access to sound velocities of opaque ⁴⁷ solids such as silicon.^[17,18] Recently, the PLU based on phonon imaging was demonstrated to permit extraction of a complete set of C_{ij} of Si-I compressed in a DAC.^[12] However, this method is less accurate when loading conditions become non-hydrostatic and deformations of the sample surface seriously influence the interferometric detection of the phonon imaging. Moreover, the acoustic mapping 4 Rhowledge of sound vert $5₁$ 9 sensitive to subtle phase tra **contrast-fier 5D tomograph** 15 sound velocities at lingui pr ¹⁷ techniques or more recent s 18 and 1 **standard ultrasonic techniqu Scattering permits measure Compressed in a DAC to Ver containing elements with Z** 34 resonant inelastic X-ray sca **and theoretical modering in G** 45 picosecond laser ultrasonics solids such as sincel. 53 DAC.^[12] However, this me **non-hydrostatic and deform** 59 micrometric detection of

1 analysis requires single crystals which are difficult to preserve at ultrahigh pressures $\frac{3}{4}$ and upon phase transitions. 2 $4 \qquad \qquad \text{and } \text{upon phase transitions.}$

The pump-probe technique called time-domain Brillouin scattering (TDBS)^[19] could be potentially applied to measure $V_L(P)$ of semiconducting Si-I, below \sim 9 GPa, due to ¹¹ a relatively long optical penetration depth of the laser radiation with the wavelength λ =800 nm. However, no Brillouin oscillations with frequencies above ~78 GHz, ¹⁷ expected for Si at 1 atm. or higher pressures, were observed in our trial TDBS experiments. For this reason, the PLU based on the pulse-echo method was applied to 22 measure V_L of both Si-I and Si-V. Ultrafast acoustic pulses were generated and their $\frac{25}{26}$ arrivals detected by a conventional PLU setup equipped with a stabilized Michelson 28 interferometer.^[20] Briefly, a mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser (MAI-TAI Spectra, λ =800 nm) operating with a repetition rate of 80 MHz and a pulse width of <100 fs was split 31 33
34 **into a pump and a probe beam. The photon frequency of the pump beam was doubled** $\frac{36}{37}$ to ensure an efficient generation of acoustic pulses in silicon.^[21] The beams were then $\frac{39}{12}$ focused on opposite sides of a sample with \times 50 microscope objectives to spots of \sim 2 μm in diameter (Figure 1). The probe beam measured the transient reflectivity change 42 of the sample surface, induced by arriving acoustic pulses, as a function of the time 44 $\frac{47}{48}$ delay between the pump and probe beams. Three samples were studied in this work. ⁵⁰ These were single crystals of silicon having thicknesses of h_0 =9.74 μm (subscript 0 indicates values at 1 atm.) which surfaces were oriented along (001) and covered with 53 thin metallic films on one or both sides to enhance the photon-acoustic transduction 55 $\frac{58}{58}$ efficiency. In present work, the FeSi_{0.2} alloy and Ti were employed. Titanium is ⁶ The nump-probe technique of $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ 8 and 2010 9 be potentially applied to mea 10 12 **a relatively long optical pen** 13 $\frac{15}{2}$ $\frac{15}{2}$ $\frac{15}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 16 18 and 1 19 20 **experiments. For this reason** 21 23 measure V_L or both SI-1 and 24 26 **antivals** detected by a conve 27 29 and the contract of the con 30 32 34 **Into a pump and a probe bea** 35 37 to chance an emergencial 38 40 41 43 45 of the sample surface, induc 46 48 actay between the pump and 49 51 52 54 56 thin metallic films on one o 57 59 CHICKING. III present work

1 extensively used as a transducer in PLU because it exhibits strong photo-elastic $\frac{3}{4}$ coefficients in the near infrared range, when the photon energy is close to an interband $\frac{6}{5}$ transition.^[22] However, delamination precludes deposition of thick Ti-films (>0.5 μ m) needed for a reliable detection of acoustic pulse arrivals, especially at high pressures $\frac{11}{12}$ when the V_L increases and the film thickness decreases. In the first trial experiments $\frac{14}{15}$ (run 1) where both sample sides were covered with the metallic films, T_1^7 Ti(350nm)/Si/FeSi_{0.2}(500nm), we have found that FeSi_{0.2} is well suited for acoustic pulse detection. Moreover, thick FeSi_{0.2} films could be deposited on Si without delamination. Because acoustic pulses could be generated directly and efficiently on 25
Si using the pump beam with λ =400 nm,^[21] samples covered with FeSi_{0.2} films on one $\frac{28}{100}$ side, FeSi_{0.2}(1 μ m)/Si, were used in the runs 2 and 3. The samples were compressed in a DAC with beveled diamond anvils having culets of 300 μm in diameter. A hole of $\frac{34}{35}$ ~100 µm in diameter, drilled in a steel gasket pre-indented to the thickness of ~40 µm,
35
served as the sample volume. The samples were placed on one of the anvils and the ³⁹ remaining volume was filled with NaCl which served as a pressure transmitting medium (PTM) (Figure 1). Fluorescence of small ruby grains placed near the sample 44
45 **was used to measure pressure.**^[23] $4 \,$ coemercies in the near initial $5₁$ 8 and 2010 **needed for a reliable detecti** when the V_L increases and t $(\text{run} \t1)$ where both san **pulse detection. Moreover, detamination. Because acou Details the pump beam with 100** 11 12 11 1 $34 \sim 100 \mu m$ in diameter, drilled 37 Served as the sample volume 40 and ϵ 45 was used to measure pressure

 $^{47}_{48}$ All first-principles calculations in this work were based on the density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP).^[24,25] The total energy per atom was evaluated with 10^{-3} meV/atom convergence accuracy, for both cubic (space group $Fd\overline{3}mO1$) and hexagonal (space $\frac{58}{58}$ group P63/mmc) cells containing four and two atoms, respectively. The electron-ion 48 All the principles calculation \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots 53 $(VASP).^{[24,25]}$ The total er 56 convergence accuracy, for b group *I* 0.9 /*mmc*) cens coma

 1 interactions were described by the projector augmented wave method $(PAW)^{[26]}$ with a $\frac{3}{4}$ plane wave energy cutoff of 800 eV for cell optimizations, under the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with a Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange 6 8
9 correlation functional.^[27] The Monkhorst-Pack scheme^[28] was used to construct k -meshes with 0.5 nm⁻¹ spacing for self-consistent calculations. 2 4 plane wave energy earon ϵ $5₁$ 7 c ii 9 **correlation functional.**^[27] I 10 μ -mesnes with 0.5 nm space

 $\frac{14}{15}$ An example of measured transient reflectivity used to extract V_L for one of our 17 samples is shown in Figure 1. From such a record, the V_L value was determined using the relation: $V_L=2h/\Delta t$, where Δt is duration of the acoustic pulse roundtrip in the 22 silicon sample having thickness h. The latter was great enough to guarantee an $^{25}_{26}$ excellent accuracy for the Δt determination (<5 ps) which corresponds to the V_L uncertainty below 0.2%. The sample thickness on compression was deduced from the 28 measured here lateral area of the sample surface S and the earlier reported equation of 31 33 state (EOS), $V(P)$.^[2] At each pressure step, we recorded an optical image of the $\frac{36}{37}$ sample and used it to determine pressure dependence of the ratio $S(P)/S_0$. Dividing the $\frac{39}{2}$ known $V(P)/V_0$ for all silicon phases by our $S(P)/S_0$, we obtained the relative thickness 42 change $h(P)/h_0$. In the case of Si-I, the obtained dependence obeyed the earlier ⁴⁴ measured EOS: $h(P)/h_0 = [V(P)/V_0]^{1/3}$ which indicated that the loading conditions were ⁴⁷ close to hydrostatic and that the sample remained a single crystal till 9 GPa, close to ⁵⁰ the onset of the transition to Si-II. Above this transition, the correlation between 53 $h(P)/h_0$ and $V(P)/V_0$ did not hold anymore thus suggesting plastic deformation of the sample due to growing non-hydrostaticity upon compression and low hardnesses of 55 the high-pressure metallic phases of silicon. For this reason, thickness of the samples 58 15 The example of measured 16 18 and 1 19 20 the relation: $V_L = 2h/\Delta t$, whe 21 23 Silicon sample having thick 24 26 CACCINI accuracy for the 27 29 30 32 34 State (EOS), $V(P)$.^[2] At ea 35 37 **Sample and used it to determ** 38 40 41 43 45 measured EOS: $n(P)/n_0=V($ 46 48 Crose to hydrostatic and that 49 51 52 54 56 sample due to growing non 57 59 the mgn-pressure metame pr

at P>10 GPa was determined using the expression: $h(P)=h_0[V(P)/V_0][S_0/S(P)]$. We $\frac{3}{4}$ note that the *S(P)* and, accordingly, *h(P)* above 10 GPa was determined with the uncertainty of less than ~2.5%, much larger than that of Δt .

⁸ Our experimental *V*_L values for all three runs are shown in **Figure 2**. Because Si-II 2 $\frac{4}{4}$ hold that the $5(1)$ and, acc $5₁$ 6 uncertainty of less than ~2.5%, much larger than that of Δt . 7

 $\frac{11}{12}$ and Si-XI coexist with the neighbor phases, and their volume ratios have not been ¹⁴ well established in the literature, further analysis of the dependences $V_L(P)$ between 9 and 18 GPa was not meaningful. At pressures where only Si-I is present, up to 9 GPa, 17 20 the measured $V_L(P)$ corresponds to that along $\langle 100 \rangle$. This is supported by the 22 comparison with the value $V_{L(100)}(P)$ we calculated for the same direction in a single ²⁵ crystal of Si-I (Figure 2). Combining our $V_{L(100)}(P)$ and the EOS,^[2] providing mass $\frac{28}{28}$ density $\rho(P)$ and bulk modulus $B(P)$, we derived pressure dependences of $C_{11}(P)$ and $C_{12}(P)$ using the well-known expressions: $C_{11} = \rho V_L^2$ and $C_{12} = (3B -$ 30
21 $C_{12}(P)$ using the well-known expressions: $C_{12} = \rho V^2$ and $C_{12} = (3R$ resent, up to 9 GPa,
s supported by the
direction in a single
 S_2 ^[2] providing mass
lences of $C_{11}(P)$ and
and $C_{12} = (3B -$
 $1C_{11}(P)$ and $C_{12}(P)$
An overall good
6 lower than those $C_{11}/2$. In Figure 3 we compare our experimental and theoretical $C_{11}(P)$ and $C_{12}(P)$ $\frac{36}{37}$ with the earlier experimental and theoretical results.^[10,11,12] An overall good ³⁹ agreement is found, even though our GGA values are $~10\%$ lower than those 10 12 and $SI-AI$ coexist with the 13 15 wen established in the fiteral 16 18 19 21 23 **comparison** with the value *I* 24 26 Crystal Of DI-1 (Figure 2). 27 29 $\qquad \qquad$ \qquad \qquad 31 C₁₂(P) using the well-know 32 \mathcal{L}_{11} / \mathcal{L} . In Figure 3 we con 35 37 WHIT THE CATHER EXPERIMENT 38 40 41

⁴⁴ At pressures where only Si-V exists, between 18 GPa and 38 GPa, our measured $V_L(P)$ $\frac{47}{48}$ increases slowly with compression up to ~27 GPa. This dependence agrees with the $V_{\text{L(avg)}}(P)$ we calculated for a polycrystalline sample of Si-V with randomly oriented agreement is found, even though our GGA values are ~10% lower than those
calculated within the local density approximation (LDA).
At pressures where only Si-V exists, between 18 GPa and 38 GPa, our measured $V_L(P)$
increas 55 where the isotropic moduli $B(P)$ and $G(P)$ were derived from our calculated $C_{ij}(P)$ and $\frac{58}{59}$ $\rho(P)$ using the Voigt-Reuss-Hill approximation. This observation indicated that up to 45 At pressures where only S₁-46 48 mercases slowly with comp. 49 51 52 53 grains. The value of $V_{L(\text{avg})}(I)$ 54 56 **Where the isotropic moduli** *b* 57 59 $p(T)$ using the volge-recuss10 54

65

60 61 62 63 64

 \sim 27 GPa the sample of Si-V was texture free. Applying the inverse equation $G =$ $\frac{3}{4}$ 3 $\left[\rho V_{L(avg)}^2 - B \right] / 4$ to our experimental data-points and the earlier measured $\rho(P)$ and $B(P)$, the experimental dependence $G(P)$ for a polycrystalline texture-free sample of Si-V was derived to 27 GPa (Figure 3). 9 2 4 $J_P \nu_{L(avg)}$ $J_I \nu_{\text{tot}}$ $5₁$ $7 \hspace{2.5cm} \longrightarrow \hspace{2.5cm} \frac{1}{1}$ 8 and 2010 and 2010

11 It can be recognized in Figure 2 that above ~27 GPa our experimental $V_L(P)$ increases ¹⁴ much faster with pressure than below and strongly deviates from our theoretical $V_{\text{L(avg)}}(P)$. This suggests a significant texturing of the sample of Si-V upon 20 compression above 27 GPa. Because the measured $V_L(P)$ approaches the maximal 22 possible value in a hexagonal single crystal of Si-V (known to be along the *c*-axis, $V_{\text{L}(0001)}$, we may conclude that the developing texture was characterized by a growing 28 number of grains which c-axes oriented along the propagation direction of the sound pulses, perpendicular to the anvil culets. This observation agrees with an earlier XRD 31 work where strong changes in the intensity of the (001) and (100) peaks of Si-V were 33 $\frac{36}{37}$ recognized at similar pressures.^[7] We also show in Figure 2 $V_L(P)$ derived for the $\frac{39}{12}$ mixed phase region using our $S(P)/S_0$, an earlier EOS and the same equation 42 $h(P)=h_0[V(P)/V_0][S_0/S(P)]$. Here, we assumed that above ~10 GPa the sample contained Si-II and the following Si-XI phases only. This explains the sudden 44 ⁴⁷ step-like decrease in $V_L(P)$ which should not appear in the case of an extended two ⁵⁰ phase region. These data were not further treated due to the absence of such information and are presented here only for completeness. 53 12 It can be recognized in Figure 13 15 mach hastel with pressure 16 18 19 21 23 possible value in a nexagon 24 $\frac{1}{26}$ r_{L(0001)}, we may conclude to 27 29 and the contract of the con 30 32 34 Work where strong changes 35 37 recognized at similar presse 38 40 1 8 8 41 43 45 **contained** S₁-II and the to 46 48 sup-line decrease in $v_{L}(t)$ 49 51 52

In conclusion, we investigated experimentally and theoretically pressure dependences 55 58 of the longitudinal sound velocities and elastic moduli of Si-I and Si-V. Under 56 In conclusion, we investigate 57 59 of the following sound

compression, the starting single crystals of Si-I underwent phase transitions and $\frac{3}{4}$ polycrystalline phases with small randomly orientated grains appeared. After the three ⁶ phase transitions, the samples of Si-V could be regarded as elastically isotropic. For 9 the Si-I phase, the measured $V_L(P)$ corresponds therefore to that along the $\langle 100 \rangle$ $\frac{11}{12}$ direction, $V_{L(100)}(P)$. For Si-V, the measured $V_L(P)$ corresponds to that of an isotropic ¹⁴ polycrystalline body $V_{L(avg)}(P)$ but only below 27 GPa. The dependences $C_{11}(P)$ and ¹⁷ $C_{12}(P)$ of Si-I, we derived from the experimental $V_{L(100)}(P)$ using the earlier measured EOS, are in a very good agreement with previously published data and with our 22 calculations. More important, we measured for the first time $V_L(P)$ and $G(P)$ for ²⁵ polycrystalline Si-V between 18 GPa and 27 GPa. Above 27 GPa, we observed texturing of the polycrystalline Si-V whose grains preferably oriented with their c-axes along the compressional direction in the DAC. 4 Polycrystamic phases with a $5₁$ $7 \qquad \qquad 1 \qquad \qquad 1$ 8 and 2010 12 direction, $V_{L(100)}(P)$. For S1- polycrystamic body r $L(avg)(x)$ and 18 23 calculations. More important 26 Polycrystamic St V between \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim

$\frac{34}{25}$ Acknowledgements $\frac{35}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$

The authors acknowledge financial support from NSFC (projects No.41504070 and No.41874103) and CSC (File No.201606955092). We would like to thank L. Becerra $\frac{38}{39}$ for sample preparation; E. Peronne for experimental assistance; Y. Wu and X. Liu for fruitful discussion. We acknowledge access to the cluster MAGI of University Paris ⁴¹ 13 and N. Greneche for this support. **101 Sample preparation**, E. 1

> Received: () Revised: () Published online: ()

References

53 [1] A. Mujica, A. Rubio, A. Munoz, R. J. Needs, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2003**, 75, 863.

 $[2]$ R. J. Needs, A. Mujica, *Phys. Rev. B* 1995, 51, 9652. 56 $[Z]$ R. J. Needs, A. Mujica, F

 $\frac{58}{58}$ [3] Y. He, L. Zhong, F. Fan, C. Wang, T. Zhu, S. X. Mao, *Nat. Nanotechnol*. **2016**, 11, 59 [3] **1. 11c, L. Znong, F. Fan,**

866. 1 000.

- $[4]$ J. C. Jamieson, *Science* **1963**, 139, 762. $4 \qquad \qquad \text{[}^{\mathsf{T}} \text{J} \text{.} \text{C} \text{.} \text{5} \text{a} \text{.} \text{F} \text{.} \text{S} \text{.} \text{F} \text{.}$
- [5] M. I. McMahon, R. J. Nelmes, Phys. Rev. B 1993, 47, 8337.
- 9 [6] H. Olijnyk, S. K. Sikka, W. B. Holzapfel, *Phys. Lett. A* 1984, 103, 137.
- $\frac{11}{12}$ [7] S. J. Duclos, Y. K. Vohra, A. L. Ruoff, *Phys. Rev. B* 1990, 41, 12021. [*I*] S. J. Duclos, Y. K. vonra
- $^{14}_{15}$ [8] M. I. McMahon, R. J. Nelmes, N. G. Wright, D. R. Allan, *Phys. Rev. B* 1994, 50, 739. [9] M. I. McManon, R. J. 19
- 20 [9] M. Hanfland, U. Schwarz, K. Syassen, K. Takemura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1999, 82, 1197. 122 23 1197.
- $^{25}_{26}$ [10] H. J. McSkimin, P. Andereatch, *J. Appl. Phys.* **1964**, 35, 2161. 26 [10] 11. J. MCSKININ, 1. And
- [11] B. B. Karki, G. J. Ackland, J. Crain, J. Phys.-Condens. Mat. 1997, 9, 8579. 29 and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1$
- 31 [12] F. Decremps, L. Belliard, M. Gauthier, B. Perrin, *Phys. Rev. B* **2010**, 82, 104119.
- $\frac{33}{34}$ [13] N. Cai, T. Chen, X. Qi, B. Li, *, J. Appl. Phys.* **2018**, 124, 185901. 34 [13] N. Cai, I. Chen, X. Qi,
- $\frac{36}{37}$ [14] Y. Wang, D. H. Hurley, Z. Hua, G. Sha, S. Raetz, V. E. Gusev, M. Khafizov, Scr. *Mater.* **2019**, 166, 34. [17] 1. wang, D. 11. Huncy,
- 42 [15] P. Clouzet, Y. Masson, B. Romanowicz, *Geophys. J. Int.* **2018**, 213, 1849.
- ⁴⁴

45 [16] R. J. Angel, J. M. Jackson, H. J. Reichmann, S. Speziale, *Eur. J. Mineral*. **2009**,

47 21, 525. 45 [16] R. J. Angel, J. M. Jacks $\frac{47}{18}$ 21, 525. 48 21, JZJ.
- [17] F. Decremps, L. Belliard, B. Perrin, M. Gauthier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2008, 100, 035502. $\qquad \qquad$ \qquad $\qquad \qquad$ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \q
- [18] F. Decremps, M. Gauthier, S. Ayrinhac, L. Bove, L. Belliard, B. Perrin, M. $^{58}_{58}$ Morand, G. Le Marchand, F. Bergame, J. Philippe, *Ultrasonics* **2015**, 56, 129. 56 [18] F. Decremps, M. Gau Morand, O. Le Marchand, P.

1 [19] S. M. Nikitin, N. Chigarev, V. Tournat, A. Bulou, D. Gasteau, B. Castagnede, A. $\frac{3}{4}$ Zerr, V. E. Gusev, Sci. Rep. 2015, 5, 9352. $\frac{1}{4}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{N}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{N}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{N}$

[20] F. Xu, L. Belliard, D. Fournier, E. Charron, J.-Y. Duquesne, S. Martin, C. 9 Secouard, B. Perrin, Thin Solid Films 2013, 548, 366. 8 and 2010 and 2010

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$ [21] K. Ishioka, A. Rustagi, U. Hofer, H. Petek, C. J. Stanton, *Phys. Rev. B* **2017**, 95, $\frac{14}{15}$ 035205. [21] **K.** ISMOKA, A. Kustagi, $\frac{0.00200}{15}$

- 17 [22] A. Devos, A. Le Louarn, *Phys. Rev. B* **2003**, 68, 045405.
- 20 [23] H. K. Mao, J. Xu, P. M. Bell, *J. Geophys. Res. -Sol. Ea.* **1986**, 91, 4673.
- 22

23 [24] G. Kresse, J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B* 1993, 47, 558. 23 $[24]$ G. Kresse, J. Hamer, *Ph*
- ²⁵ [25] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **1996**, 6, 15-50. 26 $[2J]$ C. Kresse, J. Turnman
- ²⁸ [26] G. Kresse, D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, 59, 1758. 29 and \mathbf{r} is the set of \mathbf{r}
- 31 [27] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, 77, 3865.
- $\frac{33}{34}$ [28] H. J. Monkhorst, J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B* 1976, 13, 5188. $[28]$ H. J. Monkhorst, J. D. P

Figure 1. Relative change of reflectivity of the sample surface as a function of ³ pump-probe delay recorded in the run 2 at $P=6.3$ GPa. The acoustic pulse generated on the silicon side propagated in the sample and reflected from different interfaces. The echo 1 corresponds to the first arrival of the pulse on the opposite side. A part of it, reflected at the interface $FeSi_{0.2}(1 \mu m)$ thick film)/NaCl, returns as echo 2 after the $\frac{14}{15}$ roundtrip in the FeSi_{0.2} film. The time intervals Δt between echoes 1 and 3 as well as ¹⁷ echoes 2 and 4 correspond to the pulse roundtrip in silicon. The polarity of different echoes can be explained by acoustic reflectivity at the interfaces of the sample 22 materials with different acoustic impedances:^[20] the lowest one for NaCl, followed by $\frac{25}{26}$ Si and FeSi_{0.2}, and the highest for diamond. Brillouin oscillations,^[19] visible after the ²⁸ arrival of the echo 1, result from interferences of the probe beam reflected from stationary surfaces and from the leaky acoustic pulse propagating in NaCl, used as the ³³ PTM. Inset: schematic representation of the sample inside a DAC. The pump beam is $\frac{36}{37}$ focused on the Si side while the probe beam on the FeSi_{0.2} side. 4 Pump prove actay recorded $5₁$ $7 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad C$ 8 and 2010 12 11, reflected at the interface roundily in the $1 \text{CO}10.2 \text{ mm}$. **echoes can be explained b materials with different acou** Dr and $1 \text{CO}_0/2$, and the high 34 PTM. Inset: schematic repre 37 rocused on the 31 side while

 $\frac{22}{23}$ Figure 2. Longitudinal sound velocities of silicon as a function of pressure. The left and right framed ranges bracket pressures where only Si-I (0-9 GPa) and Si-V (18-38 and right framed ranges bracket pressures where only Si-I (0-9 GPa) and Si-V (18-38 GPa) exist. In the mixed phase region, the Si-I/Si-II, Si-II/Si-XI and Si-XI/Si-V may coexist (see text). The experimental data for the runs 1, 2 and 3 are shown by open circles, squares and triangles, respectively. For the pure Si-I region, the experimental $\frac{36}{37}$ uncertainties in V_L are smaller than the symbols. Results of our first-principles ³⁹ calculations are presented by solid red lines: $V_{L(100)}$ is the sound velocity along $\langle 100 \rangle$ ⁴² in Si-I, $V_{L(\text{min})}$ and $V_{L(0001)}$ are the minimal and maximal values in a hexagonal single ⁴⁴ crystal of Si-V where $V_{L(0001)}$ corresponds to the velocity along the *c*-axis, $V_{L(avg)}$ is ⁴⁷ that derived for polycrystalline Si-V using our theoretical $C_{ij}(P)$, $\rho(P)$ and the Voigt-Reuss-Hill approximation. **Figure 2.** Longitudinal sour 26 and right hamed ranges of a 29 and the set of the s **Circles, squares and triangles and the second contract of the second secon** 45 crystal of S₁-V where $V_{\text{L}(\text{000})}$ 48 mai derived for polycrysta

 $\frac{22}{23}$ Figure 3. Pressure dependences of elastic moduli of the Si-I and Si-V phases. C_{11} and C_{12} indicate the corresponding single crystal elastic constants of Si-I. Our measured values (solid black squares) are compared with the earlier ones obtained by the phonon imaging method (open red circles)^[12] and by a traditional ultrasonic technique $\frac{33}{34}$ (dotted blue lines).^[10] Our *ab-initio* results within GGA (solid red lines) are compared $\frac{36}{37}$ with the earlier predictions within LDA (dashed green lines).^[11] Above 18 GPa, the solid black squares represent $G(P)$ of polycrystalline Si-V measured here and the dashed black line $B(P)^{[2]}$ measured earlier. Our theoretical $B(P)$ and $G(P)$ are ⁴⁴

⁴⁵ represented by solid red lines. **Higure 3.** Pressure dependent 26 C₁₂ marcuc the corresponding 29 and the contract of the con **blonon** imaging method (op (dotted blue lines). 1^{10} Our all 37 WHIT THE CATTLET PREDICTIONS 42 dashed black line $B(P)^{[2]}$ **represented by solid red lines**