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ABSTRACT: The structural chemistry of gold in arsenian pyrite (FeS₂) and arsenopyrite (FeAsS) is as yet unknown, despite the economic importance of this element and its potential recovery from low-grade ores and mine tailings. The systematic co-occurrence of Au and As poses a challenge for measuring the Au L₃-edge X-ray absorption spectra because the Au Lα emission line is partly (pyrite) to totally (arsenopyrite) obscured by the intense As Kα line. Utilizing a newly developed high luminosity multi-crystal analyzer, in combination with the capabilities of a synchrotron-based microprobe, the Au Lα and As Kα lines were separated and the Au L₃-edge XANES and EXAFS spectra of chemically-bound Au in arsenian pyrite and arsenopyrite from gold deposits measured for the first time. High energy-resolution XANES (HR-XANES) shows that gold has a formal oxidation state of 1+ in the two sulfides, but a distinct bonding environment. In arsenian pyrite with a point Au/As atomic ratio of 0.14 (0.37 wt ratio) and lacking geochemical correlation between the concentrations of Au and As, Au occupies the Fe site and is bonded to six S atoms at 2.41 Å, as
determined by HR-EXAFS. In contrast, in arsenopyrite with a point Au/As atomic ratio of 7.1×10⁻³ (0.02 wt ratio) and exhibiting a Au-As correlation, octahedrally coordinated Au is bonded only to As atoms at 2.52 Å. The results reveal the atomic-scale structure of gold and its intricate geochemical association with arsenic in auriferous Fe sulfides. This work demonstrates that high energy-resolution X-ray absorption spectroscopy on synchrotron X-ray microprobes can illuminate the structural chemistry of trace elements in chemically complex Earth and planetary materials.

INTRODUCTION
Arsenian pyrite, and to a lesser extent arsenopyrite, are the main auriferous minerals in refractory gold ores. They have been described in numerous orogenic and Carlin-type gold deposits, in which gold is usually referred to as “invisible” gold. Remarkably high concentrations of Au, up to 0.9 wt%, in both pyrite⁷ and arsenopyrite⁴,¹⁰ have been reported. Gold is present in two forms in pyrite and arsenopyrite, as micro- to nano-sized inclusions of metallic Au nanoparticles (Au⁰) and incorporated in the crystal structure.⁵,⁶,⁹,²⁴

Arsenic is considered as essential for incorporation of invisible gold, the concentrations of the two elements being generally correlated positively in pyrite², ⁷, ¹⁰, ¹⁷, ²⁵, ²⁶ and arsenopyrite.¹⁰, ²⁷ However, weak or lack of correlation has been also observed in both pyrite⁵, ⁷, ⁸, ¹⁰, ¹⁸, ²⁸ and arsenopyrite.²⁸ Covariation of Au and As is considered to be limited by the solubility of Au in the two sulfides. Reich et al.⁷ defined the empirical atomic solubility limit of Au in arsenian pyrite formed at temperatures between 150 and 250 °C as [Au]at. = 0.02 × [As]at. + 4 x 10⁻⁵, on the basis of several hundreds secondary-ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) analyses and electron probe microanalyses (EPMA) of arsenian pyrite from Carlin-type and epithermal deposits. The maximum Au/As atomic ratio of 0.02 corresponds to 0.5 wt% Au at 10 wt% As and to 500 µg/g Au (ppm) at 1 wt% As. High Au concentrations above the solubility-limit represent elemental Au occurring as nanonuggets. This relationship suggests that the maximum amounts of chemically-bound Au is a crystal chemical property of the solid independent of the geochemical condition of pyrite formation. The same authors estimated the maximum concentration of Au in stoichiometric arsenopyrite to be 2 wt%.

Metallic gold nanoparticles have been imaged by HAADF-STEM,⁶ and detected by SIMS and LA-ICP-MS depth-profiling of Au concentration.², ⁷, ²⁹ Gold nanoparticles in the ablated material produce spikes across the Au concentration profile which looks like a saw-tooth pattern. However, two uniform SIMS profiles and one smooth LA-ICP-MS profile have been observed in the compositional field of nanoparticulate Au. The SIMS analyses were performed on two As-rich pyrite
from a Carlin-type deposit (Goldstrike, Nevada), one containing 0.52 wt% Au and 11.97 wt% As ([Au]_{at}/[As]_{at} = 0.017) and another containing 375 µg/g Au and 0.2 wt% As ([Au]_{at}/[As]_{at} = 0.11), and the LA-ICP-MS analysis was performed on an As-depleted pyrite from the Huangtuliang gold deposit containing 157 µg/g Au and 2.8 µg/g As. In these pyrite specimens, Au occurs, at least partly, in the crystal structure. Thus, As is not essential for Au to be bound chemically in the FeS$_2$ framework. The non-arsenian Au species present in low As pyrite may very well occur and coexist with an As-bound species in As-rich pyrite. A mixture of As-bound and As-unbound Au species perhaps is the explanation to the absence or poor correlation between the Au and As contents observed in the [Au]_{at}/[As]_{at} < 0.02 compositional field of some arsenian pyrite. Answer to this question and to the diversity of geochemical observations, some apparently contradictory, lies in structural studies on the oxidation state and bonding environment of Au in pyrite.

The most accepted formal oxidation state for Au in arsenian pyrite is 1+, as determined by XANES in natural arsenian pyrite and HR-XANES in synthetic As-free pyrite. An oxidation state of 3+ was suggested in arsenian pyrite based on charge balance consideration using microprobe data, and also 1- was proposed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis. The substitution mechanism proposed for each oxidation state is: (1) Au$^{1+}$ for Fe substitution, incorporation of (poly)sulfide clusters composed of S-Au$^{1+}$-S linear units, (3) Au$^{3+}$ for Fe$^{2+}$ substitution coupled with an As$^{1-}$ for S$^{2-}$ substitution, (4) coupled substitution of Au$^{3+}$ and Cu$^{+}$ for two Fe$^{2+}$, and (5) Au$^{1-}$ for S$^{1-}$ substitution. In some studies, the hypothetical Au$^{1+}$ for Fe substitution is backed up by a negative correlation between the concentrations of Au and Fe. In other studies, this correlation was not discerned for unclear reasons. The steric compatibility of Au$^{1+}$ at the Fe$^{2+}$ site is also not totally resolved. Au$^{1+}$ has an ionic radius of 1.37 Å and low-spin Fe$^{2+}$ of 0.61 Å. On the basis of this metrical parameter, Au$^{1+}$ is unlikely to enter the Fe site unless the site is highly distorted locally. However, the Fe-S and Au-S bonds have a covalent character, and the covalent radii of Au and low-spin Fe differ by only 1.36 - 1.32 = 0.04 Å. Incorporation of Au$^{1+}$ at the Fe site has been demonstrated for synthetic As-free pyrite using HR-EXAFS spectroscopy. The Au-S bond length was 0.14 Å longer than the Fe-S bond length, which corresponds to an expansion of 0.14 Å / 2.26 Å = 6%.

Three possible oxidation states also were suggested for Au in arsenopyrite: 1+ using XANES, 3+ based on charge balance, and 1- using XPS. The two last assignments are from earlier studies and have been discussed critically by Cabri et al. To date it is established that Au is formally monovalent and probably substitutional since it correlates inversely with Fe.
Despite extensive study, the key question of the atomic-scale structure of chemically-bound Au and its relationship with the broad Au-As geochemical correlation remains. Progress has lagged because of the difficulty of measuring Au L₃-edge EXAFS spectra in an Fe-rich matrix and the proximity of the Au Lα and As Kα emission lines in fluorescence-yield EXAFS measurement. Here, quality HR-XANES and HR-EXAFS spectra were obtained using a newly developed wavelength-dispersive X-ray spectrometer equipped with five high-luminosity crystals of 0.5 m bending radius. Micro HR-XANES and HR-EXAFS spectra of a pyrite and an arsenopyrite grain were measured on two selected points-of-interest from microfluorescence maps (HR-SXRF). The pyrite spot contained 0.48 wt% Au and 1.31 wt% As ([Au]ₜₐt/ [As]ₜₐt = 0.14) and the arsenopyrite spot 0.84 wt% Au and 44.6 wt% As ([Au]ₜₐt/ [As]ₜₐt = 7.1×10⁻³). The two ores had been characterized previously by conventional electron microscopy, SIMS, and ¹⁹⁷Au Mössbauer spectroscopy.1, 10, 11, 39, 40

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The pyrite is from a refractory gold ore collected on a sandy mine tailing in Colombia, South America. The ore is composed dominantly of pyrite (90%) and minor quartz, and has no arsenopyrite. The arsenopyrite comes from the Villeranges deposit located along the Marche Combrailles shear zones in the northwest of the French Massif Central. The ore is hosted by Visean volcano-sedimentary tuffs which were intensely fractured 300-315 Ma ago favoring hydrothermal circulation along the shear zones. Gold-rich arsenopyrite occurs in quartz and ankerite veinlets and also as isolated crystals in the tuffs. Ore fluids were aqueous, with low salinity and a temperature between 160 and 200 °C. The host rocks were totally transformed in quartz-illite, indicating that the syn-ore fluids had a low pH with a fO₂ between the hematite- magnetite and Ni-NiO redox buffers.¹¹

A polished section of each ore was analyzed by EPMA and chemical mapping, and afterward by synchrotron-based X-ray micro-fluorescence (HR-SXRF) to relocate the regions of interest for micro Au L₃-edge HR-XANES and HR-EXAFS analysis (Figures S1 and S2). Laterally resolved measurements were performed on the microprobe endstation of beamline BM23 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF, Grenoble). The flux incident on the sample (I₀) was 4.5 x 10⁹ photons/sec in a spot size of 4x4 μm². Bulk HR-XANES of a fine powder from the arsenopyrite ore and reference spectra were measured on beamline ID26 of the ESRF. The flux incident on the sample (I₀) was 10¹³ photons/sec in a spot size of 0.08 (V) x 0.7 (H) mm². The BM23 and ID26 data were intercalibrated on the same energy scale taking the maximum of the first derivative of a thin Au foil at 11919.0 eV. Additional methodological information is provided in the Supporting Information (SI).
Au L₃-edge XANES spectra were calculated ab initio with the finite difference method (FDM) as implemented in FDMNES. The code calculates the final state potential in real space from a cluster of atoms. The form of the potential is not approximated, in contrast to the alternative muffin-tin approach, thus providing a better description of scattering phenomena. FDM-FDMNES calculation also provides the partial density of states (DOS) of the X-ray absorbing atom (i.e., Au) resolved over the (l, m) quantum numbers.

A photograph of the 0.5 meter X-ray emission spectrometer installed on BM23 is shown in Figure 1a. Its capability is illustrated in Figures 1b and 1c with the micro X-ray fluorescence spectra of the Au spots for pyrite and arsenopyrite analyzed by HR-XANES/EXAFS. At [Au]ₐ/[As]ₐ = 0.14 (arsenian pyrite), the Au Lα fluorescence line (9713 eV) is extremely weak in total fluorescence-yield (TFY) hampering the collection of useful X-ray absorption data. At [Au]ₐ/[As]ₐ = 7.1×10⁻³ (arsenopyrite), the Au Lα fluorescence line is totally shadowed by the low energy tail of the As Kα fluorescence line (10543 eV). Gold is invisible in TFY detection mode. The parasitic As Kα line is eliminated (pyrite) or drastically attenuated (arsenopyrite) like other unwanted lines at high energy-resolution using a crystal multi-analyzer. The spectrometer was set to the fixed energy of the Au Lα₁ line and the fluorescence spectra were recorded with a silicon-drift (SDD) detector. In this mode, the Au Lα₁ fluorescence is Bragg reflected by the spectrometer crystals whereas fluorescence lines at all other energies reach the detector via weak scattering events. In addition, the close proximity of the As K-edge to the Au L₃-edge makes it difficult to measure high quality Au spectra in fluorescence-yield mode (Figure 1d). The As background in the Au spectrum is eliminated with analyzer crystals, allowing measurement of nearly pure Au Lα₁ fluorescence. Figure 1d also illustrates how the spectral features in the absorption edge are better resolved by tuning the Bragg angle of the spectrometer to the Au Lα₁ line. The physical origin of this sharpening effect has been described previously.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Concentration and distribution of Au. Au is distributed irregularly in growth zones of the pyrite and arsenopyrite grains (Figures 2a and 2b). A total of 38 EPMA analyses were performed on pyrite and 26 on arsenopyrite (Tables S1 and S2). The chemical formulae, calculated to the nonstoichiometric compositions (Fe,Au,Cu,□)S₂₋ₓAsₓ for pyrite and (Fe,Au,Cu,□)As₁₋ₓS₁+x for arsenopyrite where □ is a cation vacancy, are (Fe,Cu,Au)S₂₋ₓAsₓ with 0.00 ≤ x ≤ 0.03 for pyrite and (Fe,Cu,Au)As₁₋ₓS₁+x with 0.01 ≤ x ≤ 0.10 for arsenopyrite (Tables S3 and S4). All cationic sites are occupied, there are no octahedral vacancies (Figures 3a and 3b).
In pyrite, the Au and As concentration ranges are $0.004 \text{ wt\%} \leq \text{Au} \leq 0.48 \text{ wt\%}$ and $0.15 \text{ wt\%} \leq \text{As} \leq 1.9 \text{ wt\%}$. There is no correlation between the Au and As contents (Figure 3c), in agreement with previous analyses of the Colombian ore.\textsuperscript{10} Twenty six analyses plot above the solubility limit representing nanoparticulate $\text{Au}^0$ according to Reich et al.\textsuperscript{7} The arsenopyrite grain contains two compositional populations of Au: a low-Au population located in depleted growth zones containing $0.057-0.21 \text{ wt\%}$ Au, and a high-Au population containing $0.62-0.88 \text{ wt\%}$ Au (Figures 3b and 3d). The maximum concentration is below the 2\% limit inferred by Reich and coworkers.\textsuperscript{7} The Au-rich regions are richer in As ($r = 0.78$, $p = 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$), which suggests that Au is bonded predominantly to As over S in the structure of arsenopyrite. In contrast, the absence of Au-As correlation in the pyrite grain suggests a Au-S bonding. No gold particles were discerned in either grain, although metallic gold occurs in the Colombia ore.\textsuperscript{10} Therefore, all gold appears to be chemically-bound in the two sulfides on a micron size scale.

**Oxidation state of Au.** Micro HR-XANES spectra of pyrite and arsenopyrite collected from the Au-rich spots shown in Figures 4a and 4b are plotted in Figure 5a along with reference spectra. A bulk HR-XANES spectrum of arsenopyrite also was recorded in order to verify that the point measurement truly represents all of what is found in the entire sample and to dismiss the presence of gold nanonuggets (data not shown). The data are consistent with those reported in Trigub et al.\textsuperscript{30} on synthetic auriferous sulfides. The sulfide spectra are shifted to higher energy relative to the two Au(III) references $\text{AuCl}_3$ and $\text{Au}_2\text{O}_3$, which shows that Au is nominally monovalent in pyrite and arsenopyrite (Figure 5a). The energy position of the white lines are 11921.3 eV for pyrite, 11921.9 eV for arsenopyrite, and 11922.2 eV for $\text{Au}_2\text{S}$, compared to 11920.2 eV and 11920.3 eV for $\text{Au}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{AuCl}_3$. The 0.6 eV difference in energy between pyrite and arsenopyrite is interpreted as an electronegativity effect of the donor ligands. We showed previously with a FDM-FDMNES calculation that the XANES spectrum of $\text{Au}^{1+}$ shifts to lower energy when Au is bonded to Cl (electronegativity = 3.16) relative to S (electronegativity = 2.58) (Figure 8 of Ref.\textsuperscript{45}). With an electronegativity of 2.18, As is predicted to shift the Au-XANES spectrum up in energy, which we verified with a FDM-FDMNES calculation.

Replacing the 6 S ligands with 6 As in the coordination sphere of Au in pyrite shifts the edge maximum rightward by about 1 eV (Figure 5b). The same ligand effect is observed for arsenopyrite between the $\text{AuS}_6$ and $\text{Au(S}_3\text{As}_3)$ coordinations ($\Delta E = 0.3 \text{ eV}$), and between the $\text{Au(S}_3\text{As}_3)$ and $\text{AuAs}_6$ coordinations ($\Delta E = 1 \text{ eV}$). The sensitivity of XANES to the nature of the $\text{Au}^{1+}$ ligand can be
understood in terms of the energetics of the empty density of states (DOS) for Au and its donor ligands. The Au L\textsubscript{3} X-ray absorption edge corresponds to the dipole-allowed 2p\textsubscript{3/2} → 6s5d transition of Au, which in σ-bonding with polarizing ligands has the electronic configuration [Xe]4f\textsuperscript{14}6s\textsuperscript{1-x}5d\textsuperscript{10-y} and its 6s\textsuperscript{1-x}5d\textsuperscript{10-y} valence orbitals hybridized with the S 3sp and As 4sp orbitals.\textsuperscript{45} Figure 6 shows the d-DOS of Au together with the p-DOS of S and As. The s orbitals are not shown because the amplitude of the 2p\textsubscript{3/2} → 6s\textsuperscript{1-x} transition is much smaller than the amplitude of the 2p\textsubscript{3/2} → 5d\textsuperscript{1-y} transition. As expected from dipole selection rules, it is seen that all absorption features in the calculated spectra correlate with the intensity of the Au d-DOS. The profiles of the Au d-DOS and S/As p-DOS overlap as a result of the σ-bonding, and move to higher energy when 6 S ligands are replaced with 6 As ligands in both pyrite and arsenopyrite. Therefore, the experimental edge shift between the pyrite and arsenopyrite spectra (Figure 5a) suggests a Au-S bonding in pyrite and a Au-As bonding in arsenopyrite. Also, the high experimental amplitude of the Au edge suggests that Au is octahedrally coordinated because the strength of the 2p\textsubscript{3/2} → 5d\textsuperscript{1-y} transition is proportional to the density of holes in the 5d orbitals (y value), which itself increases with the number of ligands due to orbital hybridization. This effect is seen in Figure 5a with the Au\textsubscript{2}S reference, in which Au is coordinated linearly to two S atoms. The edge amplitude of Au\textsubscript{2}S is considerably reduced with regard to that of the two Fe sulfides. We conclude that Au substitutes for Fe in pyrite and arsenopyrite. The linear S-Au-S coordination described recently in synthetic pyrite using the Au\textsubscript{2}S reference\textsuperscript{31} is an incompatible model for the two natural gold sulfides studied here.

**Local structure of Au.** The micro HR-EXAFS spectra of pyrite and arsenopyrite collected from Au-rich spots are clearly distinct between \( k = 3 \) and \( 9 \) Å\textsuperscript{-1} (Figure 7a). The shift of the two \( \chi(k) \) frequencies is manifested in the radial distribution function obtained by Fourier transformation as a shift to higher distance and a higher amplitude of the first peak for arsenopyrite and also the absence of second peak at \( R + \Delta R = 3.2 \) Å (Figure 7b). A difference of local structure around the Au atoms is expected since FeS\textsubscript{2} and FeAsS do not have the same crystallographic structure (Figure 8). The first peak corresponds to the contribution from the coordination shell, and the difference in amplitude and peak position suggest a bonding to lighter and smaller S atoms in pyrite and to heavier and larger As atoms in arsenopyrite. The second and third atomic shells around Au are better distinguished by Fourier filtering their contribution to the total EXAFS signal in the 2.8 Å < \( R + \Delta R < 4.0 \) Å interval (Fig. 7c). The pyrite wave has a beating node at \( k = 10 \) Å\textsuperscript{-1}, suggesting that two atomic shells should
suffice to fit the data. The arsenopyrite wave has a different envelope, no beat pattern, and is damped, likely due to greater structural disorder.

The spectrum of pyrite was fit with $5.7 \pm 0.7$ S atoms at $2.41 \, \text{Å}$, $7.6 \pm 1.4$ S at $3.50 \, \text{Å}$ and $11.9 \pm 3.7$ Fe atoms at $3.85 \, \text{Å}$ (Figure 9a, Table 1). The data could not be fit with a second shell of As atoms. This does not preclude some As atoms from being present at around $3.50 \, \text{Å}$. The Au-S bond length is $0.15 \, \text{Å}$ longer than the Fe-S bond length of pyrite, whereas the second and third nearest shell distances around Au are similar to those around Fe in pyrite (Table 1). Thus, the structural relaxation appears limited to the first atomic shell. Ab initio modeling of the Au-pyrite structure using CRYSTAL14\textsuperscript{46} shows that insertion of the larger Au atom is accommodated locally by a modification of the interpolyhedral angles between the corner linked octahedra (i.e., compliance effect) (Table 1 and SI). A similar observation was reported for the incorporation of trace elements in calcite, in which the compliance of the Ca site to substitutional impurities was attributed to the corner sharing topology of the carbonate structure.\textsuperscript{47} The lack of As around Au at the atomic scale provides an explanation for the absence of Au-As correlation at the macroscopic scale (Figure 3a).

The first shell around Au in arsenopyrite was fit with $5.6 \pm 0.3$ As atoms at $2.52 \, \text{Å}$, to be compared with the crystallographic values of 3 S at $2.23 \, \text{Å}$ and 3 As at $2.37$-$2.41 \, \text{Å}$ around Fe (Table 1). The expansion of the Au-As distance ($0.11$-$0.15 \, \text{Å}$) is close in value to that observed in pyrite ($0.15 \, \text{Å}$) and to those predicted by DFT ($0.10$-$0.08 \, \text{Å}$, Table 1). The AuAs\textsubscript{6} coordination revealed by EXAFS is consistent with the Au-As correlation observed by EPMA (Figure 3d), and with the shift to higher energy of the XANES spectrum (Figure 5a). Analysis of the second and third shell is less conclusive. The data can be fit equally well with $4.3 \pm 0.3$ S at $3.73 \, \text{Å}$ and $3.7 \pm 0.3$ As at $3.94 \, \text{Å}$ (model 1), and 8 S at $3.77 \, \text{Å}$ and 4 Fe at $4.00 \, \text{Å}$ (model 2) (Figures 9b and 9c). Model 1 has the same number of S and As atoms as stoichiometric FeAsS ($\text{CN(S)} + \text{CN(As)} = 8$, Table 1). Under this scheme, three As atoms are added to the structure per Au and the chemical environment of the metal site beyond the AuAs\textsubscript{6} octahedron is conserved. The new chemical formula can be written $(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Au}_x)_6\text{S}_{1+3x}\text{S}_{1-3x}$. Model 2 has no As in the second shell. This model has for ideal formula $(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Au}_x)_6\text{AsS}$. Under this scheme, the AuAs\textsubscript{6} coordination is obtained by displacing three As from the second shell to the first shell. Only model 1 appears compatible with the positive correlation between Au and As. The limit of the number of free parameters in the fits, as calculated by the Nyquist formula $N = (2\Delta R\Delta k)/\pi$, was not exceeded in any of the cases indicating that the EXAFS parameters are robust. Nine parameters were adjusted at most (Table 1), for a number of degrees of freedom in the refinements of $N = [(2 \times (13.3 - 3.7 \, \text{Å}^{-1}) \times (4.0 - 1.5 \, \text{Å})]/\pi = 15.3$. Simulation involving Au-Au pairs
in the third shell of pyrite to test a clustering of Au resulted in 50% increase of the fit residual (Figure S3a, Table S5). EXAFS data are also incompatible with the inclusion of AuS$_2$ clusters and isomorphous aurostibite-like clusters (AuSb$_2$) (Figures S3b and S3c).

According to model 1, the plot of [As] against [Au] should have a slope of 3 since three As atoms are added to the structure per Au. The [Au] against [As] plot of Figure 3d has a slope of 0.082, corresponding to an [As]/[Au] ratio of 1/0.08 = 12 instead of 3. Au-As correlations have even lower slopes in previous studies, from 0.05 down to 0.01-0.02. It follows that one Au atom is incorporated in the arsenopyrite structure for at least twelve As atoms. If all As for S substitutions occur around the Au site, the AuAs$_{12}$ cluster will be 5.4 Å in size. This arrangement is crystallographically possible since the mineral FeAs$_2$, named löllingite, is isostructural with FeAsS. The AuAs$_{12}$ cluster with an FeAs$_2$-type structure would be inclosed in the FeAsS framework. Although structurally feasible, the occurrence of intergrown AuAs$_n$ clusters in arsenopyrite is negated by EXAFS because Au has an FeAsS-type short-range order beyond the first atomic shell. According to Fleet and coworkers Au is removed from ore fluids by chemisorption at As-rich, Fe-deficient surface sites in the Fe-As-S system and incorporated in the solid phase as a metastable solid solution. The AuAs$_6$ coordination may result from the chemisorption of gold-arsenide complex on As-exposed, Fe-deficient growth surface sites of arsenopyrite, and the AuS$_6$ coordination from the chemisorption of AuHS$^0$ and Au(HS)$_2^-$ complex on S, Fe-deficient growth surface sites of arsenian pyrite. If this interpretation is correct, then the anomalous As content of auriferous sulfide ores and the As-Au correlation may reside, among other factors, in the high arsenic content of the mineralizing fluids and the chemical affinity of Au for As in arsenian sulfide fluids. The AuAs$_6$ coordination perhaps is a relic of the Au-As complex that existed in aqueous hydrothermal solutions. When the hydrothermal fluids are depleted in As, then Au forms a AuS$_6$ surface complex at the surface of pyrite, later buried in As-depleted zones of the mineral structure. Conditions under which two different zones of arsenopyrite were formed were different in terms of sulfur and arsenic fugacity, and perhaps also temperature.

CONCLUSION

The coupled substitution of Au and As at the octahedral Fe(As,S)$_6$ site of arsenopyrite is the most important new insight from this study. A strong correlation between the concentrations of Au and As is probably a geochemical signature for a AuAs$_6$ coordination at the atomic-scale. In reverse, absence of Au-As correlation in pyrite is probably a signature for a AuS$_6$ coordination. A weak geochemical
correlation of Au and As may indicate the existence of AuAs$_5$S and AuAs$_4$S$_2$ coordinations or the coexistence of the AuAs$_6$ and AuS$_6$ coordinations in the analyzed sample. The pyrite grain analyzed here is not representative of all arsenian pyrite described in the literature. A Au-As geochemical association often is observed, but these arsenian pyrite are more arduous to study by X-ray absorption spectroscopy because they are much richer in As. The study of pyrite and arsenopyrite grains from other sources and differing in Au-As correlation will no doubt shed more light on the chemical forms of "invisible" gold in sulfide deposits.
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**FIGURE CAPTIONS**

**Figure 1.** (a) Photograph of the 0.5 emission spectrometer used to collect the HR-SXRF data and to measure the Au L3-edge HR-XANES and HR-EXAFS spectra on the BM23 microprobe of the ESRF. (b,c) SXRF spectra of pyrite and arsenopyrite measured in total fluorescence-yield (TFY) and high energy-resolution modes. Incident energy, 11930 eV; collection time, 300 s. (d) Fluorescence-yield XANES and HR-XANES of arsenopyrite.

**Figure 2.** EPMA maps of the distribution of Au and As in arsenian pyrite (a) and arsenopyrite (b). The arsenopyrite grain is compositionally zoned. The color-scale bar indicates the intensity of the Au Lα line. White crosses indicate the location of the spots relocated by HR-SXRF (Figure 4) and measured by micro HR-XANES and HR-EXAFS. Map dimensions: pyrite = 100 x 55 µm², arsenopyrite = 350 x 130 µm². Pixel size: 1 x 1 µm².

**Figure 3.** Compositions of arsenian pyrite and arsenopyrite from EPMA analyses (Tables S1 and S2) calculated to the formula (Fe,Au,Cu,□)S2-xAsx for pyrite and to (Fe,Au,Cu,□)As1-xS1+x for arsenopyrite, where □ is a cation vacancy. (a,b) Au atomic content x 100 (%) against the total number of cations in the unit formula. (c,d) Au content (%) against As content (%) in the unit formula of pyrite and arsenopyrite. Note the absence of Au-As correlation and high [Au]at/[As]at ratio in arsenian
pyrite, which lies above the solubility limit for gold in As\textsuperscript{1}-pyrite defined by Reich and coworkers.\textsuperscript{7} Points measured by XANES and EXAFS are in pink. The pyrite point has 0.48 wt% Au and the arsenopyrite point 0.84 wt% Au. Points colored in blue were measured in Au-rich growth zones.

**Figure 4.** HR-SXRF maps of the distribution of Au in arsenian pyrite (a) and arsenopyrite (b) from the Au-rich regions identified by EPMA (Figure 2). Map dimension for pyrite: 290 x 150 µm\textsuperscript{2}, pixel size: 5 x 5 µm\textsuperscript{2}. Map dimension for arsenopyrite: 400 x 120 µm\textsuperscript{2}, pixel size: 4 x 4 µm\textsuperscript{2}.

**Figure 5.** (a) Au L\textsubscript{3}-edge HR-XANES spectra of pyrite, arsenopyrite, and reference compounds (Au\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, AuCl\textsubscript{3}, Au\textsubscript{2}S, Au). (b) Theoretical XANES spectra calculated with FDM-FDMNES\textsuperscript{41,43} for Au coordinated to 6S and 6As in pyrite, and to 6S, 3S and 3As, and 6As in arsenopyrite after the DFT models shown in Figure 6a and the Au-ligand distances given in Table 1. The energy positions of the calculated spectra, expressed in terms of the photoelectron energy (0 eV is the Fermi level, Figure 6), were shifted by the ionization energy (∼11919 eV) to match approximately those of the experimental spectra, expressed in photon energy.

**Figure 6.** (a) Polyhedral structure of the Au-pyrite and Au-arsenopyrite clusters used to calculate XANES and l-projected density of states (DOS). Pyrite has a cubic structure consisting of corner-sharing FeS\textsubscript{6} octahedra.\textsuperscript{36} Arsenopyrite has a monoclinic structure consisting of single chains of edge-sharing Fe(As\textsubscript{3}S\textsubscript{3}) octahedra cross-linked by sharing corners.\textsuperscript{56} The octahedron of the absorbing Au atom is yellow. Calculated XANES spectrum, 5d-DOS of the absorbing Au atom, and valence p-DOS of the S atoms for the AuS\textsubscript{6}-pyrite model (b), As atoms for the AuAs\textsubscript{6}-pyrite model (c), S and As atoms for the Au(S\textsubscript{3}As\textsubscript{3})-arsenopyrite model (d), and As atoms for the AuAs\textsubscript{6}-arsenopyrite model (e). Plot (e) shows that the 4p orbital occupation for As (or 3p for S) varies with the Au-As distance (i.e., bond polarity), whereas the orbital energy is essentially independent on the bond length.

**Figure 7.** (a,b) Au L\textsubscript{3}-edge micro HR-EXAFS spectra and Fourier transform magnitude of pyrite and arsenopyrite measured on the Au-rich spots imaged on Figures 2 and 4. The peak positions are not corrected for phase shift, and consequently are shifted by $\Delta R \sim -0.3$ to -0.4 Å relative to structural $R$ distances. (c) Inverse Fourier transform of the second peaks ($R+\Delta R$ window = 2.8 – 4.0 Å).
**Figure 8.** Polyhedral linkage of the FeS$_6$ octahedra in pyrite (a), and Fe(As$_3$S$_3$) octahedra in Au-free arsenopyrite (b). The FeS$_6$ octahedra are linked together by S$_2$ dimers and the Fe(As$_3$S$_3$) octahedra by SAs dimers. Yellow: S; dark red: As.

**Figure 9.** Au L$_3$-edge micro HR-EXAFS spectra and Fourier transform magnitudes of pyrite (a) and arsenopyrite (b,c) with fits.
Table 1. Crystallographic structure of pyrite and arsenopyrite, DFT models of Au-pyrite and Au-arsenopyrite, and EXAFS parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral and structure</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>CN&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>R&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, Å</th>
<th>σ&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, Å</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Fe-S</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-S</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-S</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-Fe</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-substituted</td>
<td>Au-S</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrite</td>
<td>Au-S</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au-S</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au-Fe</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenian pyrite</td>
<td>Au-S</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXAFS structure</td>
<td>Au-S</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.068&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE₀=7.0 eV; Res⁴=9.2</td>
<td>Au-Fe</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.068&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenopyrite</td>
<td>Fe-S</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-As</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.37-2.41</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-Fe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.68-3.76</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-As</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.69-3.80</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-Fe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe-Fe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.94-4.10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-substituted</td>
<td>Au-S</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.43-2.46</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arsenopyrite</td>
<td>Au-As</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.47-2.49</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au-Fe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au-S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.62-3.83</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au-As</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.78-3.95</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au-Fe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au-Fe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.97-4.10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenopyrite</td>
<td>Au-As</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXAFS model-fit 1</td>
<td>Au-S</td>
<td>4.3&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.033&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE₀=7.5 eV; Res&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;=4.7</td>
<td>Au-As</td>
<td>3.7&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.033&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenopyrite</td>
<td>Au-As</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXAFS model-fit 2</td>
<td>Au-S</td>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.069&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE₀=7.7 eV; Res&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;=4.8</td>
<td>Au-Fe</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.069&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Coordination number; <sup>b</sup>Interatomic distance; <sup>c</sup>Debye-Waller factor; <sup>d</sup>Res = [Σ(|χ<sub>exp</sub> – χ<sub>fit</sub>|)/Σ(|χ<sub>exp</sub>|)] x 100; <sup>e</sup>Parameters constrained identical; <sup>f</sup>Sum fixed to eight. <sup>g</sup>Fixed value. Typical errors are R = 0.02 Å and CN = 20%. 
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