

# Vorticity, Helicity, Intrinsinc geometry for Navier-Stokes equations

Shizan Fang, Zhongmin Qian

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Shizan Fang, Zhongmin Qian. Vorticity, Helicity, Intrinsinc geometry for Navier-Stokes equations. 2019. hal-02312072

## HAL Id: hal-02312072

https://hal.science/hal-02312072

Preprint submitted on 11 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Vorticity, Helicity, Intrinsinc geometry for Navier-Stokes equations

Shizan Fang<sup>1\*</sup> Zhongmin Qian <sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>I.M.B, Université de Bourgogne, BP 47870, 21078 Dijon, France <sup>2</sup>Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK

October 11, 2019

#### Abstract

We will consider the Navier-Stokes equation on a Riemannian manifold M with Ricci tensor bounded below, the involved Laplacian operator is De Rham-Hodge Laplacian. The novelty of this work is to introduce a family of connections which are related to solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation, so that vorticity and helicity can be linked through the associated time-dependent Ricci tensor in intrinsic way in the case where  $\dim(M) = 3$ .

MSC 2010: 35Q30, 58J65

Keywords: Vorticity, helicity, intrinsic Ricci tensor, De Rham-Hodge Laplacian, Navier-

Stokes equations

### 1 Introduction

The Navier-Stokes equation in a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a system of partial differential equations

$$\partial_t u_t + (u_t \cdot \nabla)u_t - \nu \Delta u_t + \nabla p_t = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot u_t = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0, \tag{1.1}$$

which describes the evolution of the velocity  $u_t$  and the pressure  $p_t$  of an incompressible viscous fluid with kinematic viscosity  $\nu > 0$ . The model of periodic boundary conditions for (1.1) on a torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$  has been introduced to simplify mathematical considerations. In [14], Navier-Stokes equations on a compact Riemannian manifold M have been considered using the framework of the group of diffeomorphisms of M initiated by V. Arnold in [5]; where the Laplace operator involved in the text of [14] is de Rham-Hodge Laplacian  $\square$ , however, the authors said in the note added in proof that the convenient Laplace operator comes from deformation tensor.

In this article, we would like to explore the rich geometry coded in the Navier-Stokes equation on a manifold.

Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection on M. For a vector field A on M, the deformation tensor Def (A) is a symmetric tensor of type (0,2) defined by

$$(\operatorname{Def} A)(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} \Big( \langle \nabla_X A, Y \rangle + \langle \nabla_Y A, X \rangle \Big), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M), \tag{1.2}$$

\*Email: Shizan.Fang@u-bourgogne.fr.
†Email: zhongmin.qian@maths.ox.ac.uk

where  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  is the space of vector fields on M. Then Def:  $TM \to S^2T^*M$  maps a vector field to a symmetric tensor of type (0,2). Let Def\*:  $S^2T^*M \to TM$  be the adjoint operator. In [32] or in [36] (see page 493), the authors considered the following Laplacian

$$\hat{\square} = 2\text{Def} * \text{Def}. \tag{1.3}$$

They considered the Navier-Stokes equation with viscosity described by  $\hat{\Box}$ , namely

$$\partial_t u_t + \nabla_{u_t} u_t + \nu \hat{\square} u_t = -\nabla p_t, \quad \text{div}(u_t) = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0,$$
 (1.4)

The reader may also refer to [33] in which the author considered the same equation as (1.4) on a complete Riemnnian manifold with negative curvature. Variational principles in the class of incompressible Brownian martingales in the spirit of [5] were established recently in [10, 2, 3, 4] for the Navier-Stokes equation (1.4).

In this work, we will concerned with a complete Riemannian manifold M of dimension n, with Ricci curvature bounded from below. We are interested in the following Navier-Stokes equation on M defined with the De Rham-Hodge Laplacian  $\square$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_t + \nabla_{u_t} u_t + \nu \Box u_t = -\nabla p_t, \\ \operatorname{div}(u_t) = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.5)$$

where u(x,t) denotes the velocity vector field at time t, and p(x,t) models the pressure. If no confusion may arise, we will use  $u_t$  (resp.  $p_t$ ) to denote the vector field  $u(\cdot,t)$  (resp.  $p(\cdot,t)$ ) for each t.

There are a few works [26, 38] which support this choice of  $\Box$ . The probabilistic representation formulae behave better with Navier-Stokes equation (1.5) (see [11, 20, 19]). Our preference here for  $\Box$  is motivated by its good geometric behavior and its deep links with Stochastic Analysis. See for example [6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 15, 18, 17, 22, 25, 27, 31, 34]. From the view of kinetic mechanics, the viscosity effect of a non-homogeneous fluid should be mathematically described by the Bochner Laplacian of the velocity vector field, where the metric tensor describes the local viscosity distribution. On the other hand, the de Rham-Hodge Laplacian operating on one forms is mathematically more appealing. By invoking de Rham-Hodge Laplacian in the model, according to the Bochner identity, one then produces a no-physical additional term which is however linear in the velocity. An additional linear term in the Navier-Stokes equation will not alter the fundamental difficulty, nor to alter the physics of the fluid flows, which justify the use of de Rham-Hodge Laplacian. There is also a good reason too to consider Navier-Stokes equations on manifolds, if one wants to model the global behavior of the pacific ocean climate for example.

Let's first say a few words on the definition of  $\square$  on vector fields. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the space of vector fields  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  and that of differential 1-forms  $\Lambda^1(M)$ . Given a vector field A (resp. differential 1-form  $\omega$ ), we shall denote by  $\tilde{A}$  (resp.  $\omega^{\sharp}$ ) the corresponding differential 1-form (resp. vector field). To see more intuitively these correspondences, let's explain on a local chart U: as usual, we denote by  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\}$  the basis of the tangent space  $T_xM$  and by  $\{dx^1,\ldots,dx^n\}$  the dual basis of  $T_x^*M$ , called the co-tangent space at x, that is,  $dx^i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) = \delta_{ij}$ . The inner product in  $T_xM$  as well as the one in the dual space  $T_x^*M$  will be denoted by  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ , while the duality between  $T_x^*M$  and  $T_xM$  will be denoted by  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ , while the duality between  $T_x^*M$  and  $T_xM$  will be denoted by  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ , be a vector field on M, on U,  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ,

then  $\tilde{u}$  admits the expression

$$\tilde{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{ij} u_j \right) dx^i.$$

Let  $g^{ij} = \langle dx^i, dx^j \rangle$ . Then the matrix  $(g^{ij})$  is the inverse matrix of  $(g_{ij})$ . For a differential 1-form  $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j dx^j$ , the associated vector field  $\omega^{\#}$  has the expression

$$\omega^{\#} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} g^{i\ell} \omega_{\ell} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}.$$

Concisely

$$(\omega, A) = \langle \omega^{\#}, A \rangle = \langle \omega, \tilde{A} \rangle, \quad A \in \mathcal{X}(M), \ \omega \in \Lambda^{1}(M).$$

Now for  $A \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ , the De-Rham Hodge Laplacian  $\square A$  is defined by

$$\Box A = (\Box \tilde{A})^{\#}, \quad \Box = dd^* + d^*d,$$

where  $d^*$  is adjoint operator of exterior derivative d. Then we have the following relation

$$\int_{M} (\Box \omega, A) \, dx = \int_{M} \langle \Box \omega, \tilde{A} \rangle \, dx = \int_{M} \langle \omega, \Box \tilde{A} \rangle \, dx = \int_{M} (\omega, \Box A) \, dx$$

where dx denotes the Riemannian measure on M. The classical Bochner-Weitzenböck reads as

$$\Box A = -\Delta A + \text{Ric}(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{X}(M), \tag{1.6}$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor on M and  $\Delta A = \text{Trace}(\nabla \nabla A)$ , characterized by

$$-\int_{M} \langle \Delta A, A \rangle \, dx = \int_{M} |\nabla A|^{2} \, dx. \tag{1.7}$$

Let  $T: \mathcal{X}(M) \to \mathcal{X}(M)$  be a tensor of type (1,1), and denote by  $T^{\#}: \Lambda^{1}(M) \to \Lambda^{1}(M)$  its adjoint defined by

$$(T^{\#}\omega, A) = (\omega, T(A)), \quad A \in \mathcal{X}(M), \tag{1.8}$$

where we used notation  $\Lambda^p(M)$  to denote the space of differential p-forms on M.

In the space of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the inner product between two vectors u, v will be noted by  $u \cdot v$ . The vorticity  $\xi_t$  of a velocity  $u_t$  is a vector field defined as  $\xi_t = \nabla \times u_t$ . When  $u_t$  is a solution to Navier-Stokes equation (1.1), then  $\xi_t$  satisfies the following heat equation

$$\frac{d\xi_t}{dt} + \nabla_{u_t}\xi_t - \nu\Delta\xi_t = \nabla^s_{\xi_t}u_t \tag{1.9}$$

where  $\nabla^s u_t$  is the symmetric part of  $\nabla u_t$ , such that  $\nabla^s_{\xi_t} u_t \cdot v = \text{Def } u_t(\xi_t, v)$  with Def introduced in (1.2). How to interpret the term  $\nabla^s_{\xi_t} u_t$ ? From (1.9), a formal computation leads to

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi_t|^2 dx + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \xi_t|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Def}(u_t)(\xi_t, \xi_t) dx.$$
 (1.10)

Since K. Itô introduced the tool of stochastic parallel translations along paths of Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold, especially after the works by Eells, Elworthy, Malliavin and Bismut (see for example [31, 16, 8]), there are profound involvements of Stochastic Analysis in the study of linear second order partial differential equations and in Riemannian geometry [6, 34, 25, 29]. The purpose of this work is to geometrically explain the right hand side of (1.10). To this end, we will consider Navier-Stokes equation in a geometric framework in order that suitable geometric meaning could be found.

In what follows, we present the organisation of the paper and main results. In Section 2, first we follow more or less the exposition of [36]. To a solution  $u_t$  to Navier-Sokes equaion (1.5), we associate a differential 2-form  $\tilde{\omega}_t$  which is the exterior derivative of  $\tilde{u}_t$ ; a heat equation for  $\tilde{\omega}_t$  will be established with involvement of  $\nabla^s u_t$ . When M is of dimension 3, the Hodge star \* operator sends  $\tilde{\omega}_t$  to a differential 1-form  $\omega_t$ . In flat case of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\omega_t = \nabla \times u_t$ . We call such  $\omega_t$  the vorticity of  $u_t$ ; a heat equation for  $\omega_t$  is also obtained in Section 2. In second part of Section 2, the a priori evolution equation for  $\omega_t$  is established. Using heat semi-group  $e^{-t\Box}$  on differential forms as well as Bismut formulae, the existence of weak solutions in the sense of Leray to Navier-Stokes equation (1.5) over any interval [0,T] is proved under suitable hypothesis on boundedness of Ricci tensor: to our knowledge, these results are new while comparing to recent results obtained in [33]. In Section 3, we give an exposition of the involvement of Stochastic Analysis on Riemannian manifolds; stochastic differential equations on M, defining the Brownian motion with drift  $u \in L^2([0,T],H^1(M))$  of divergence free is proved to be stochastic complete; then  $\omega_t$  admits a probabilistic representation. By introducing a suitable metric compatible affine connection on M, a Brownian motion with drift u on M can be obtained by rolling without friction flat Brownian motion of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  on M with respect to it: it was a main idea in [31, 16], and well developed in [25]. So to a velocity  $u_t$ , we associate a metric compatible connection  $\nabla^t$  on M, which admits the following global expression

$$\nabla_X^t Y = \nabla_X Y - \frac{2}{n-1} K_t(X,Y), \quad X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$$

where  $K_t(X,Y) = \langle Y, u_t \rangle X - \langle X,Y \rangle u_t$ : it gives rise to a connection with torsion  $T^t$  which is not of skew-symmetric. Section 4 is devoted to compute the associated intrinsic Ricci tensor  $\hat{Ric}^t$  which was first introduced by B. Driver in [12] as follows:

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Ric}}^t(X) = \mathrm{Ric}^t(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i}^t T^t)(X, e_i),$$

where Ric<sup>t</sup> is the Ricci tensor associated to  $\nabla^t$  and  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis at tangent spaces. The formula (1.10) has the following geometric counterpart for 3D Riemannian manifold M,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{M} |\omega_{t}|^{2} dx + \nu \int_{M} |\nabla \omega_{t}|^{2} dx = \frac{1}{2\nu} \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, u_{t})^{2} dx - \nu \int_{M} (\widehat{Ric}^{t, \#} \omega_{t}, \omega_{t}) dx.$$
 (1.11)

As well as vorticity  $\omega_t$  is not orthogonal to velocity  $u_t$ , a phenomenon of helicity  $(\omega_t, u_t)$  will appear. Formula (1.11) says how helicity and intrinsic Ricci tensor fit into the evolution of vorticity in time and in space. Section 5 is devoted to interpretation of main results obtained in Section 4 in the framework of vector calculus. Finally in Section 6, we collect and prove technical results used previously.

## 2 Vorticity, Helicity and their evolution equations

Let  $u_t$  be a (smooth) solution to the Navier-Stokes equation on M,

$$\partial_t u_t + \nabla_{u_t} u_t + \nu \Box u_t = -\nabla p_t, \quad \text{div}(u_t) = 0, \ u|_{t=0} = u_0.$$
 (2.1)

Transforming Equation (2.1) into differential forms,  $\tilde{u}_t$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u}_t + \nabla_{u_t} \tilde{u}_t + \nu \Box \tilde{u}_t = -dp_t, \\ d^* \tilde{u}_t = 0, \quad \tilde{u}|_{t=0} = \tilde{u}_0. \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

Let

$$\tilde{\omega}_t = d\tilde{u}_t, \tag{2.3}$$

which is a differential 2-form. For vector fields X, v on M, Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the product rule, that is,

$$\mathcal{L}_v(\tilde{u}, X) = (\mathcal{L}_v \tilde{u}, X) + (\tilde{u}, \mathcal{L}_v X),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{v}(\tilde{u}, X) = (\nabla_{v}\tilde{u}, X) + (\tilde{u}, \nabla_{v}X).$$

By taking v = u, we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_u \tilde{u} - \nabla_u \tilde{u}, X) = (\tilde{u}, \nabla_u X - \mathcal{L}_u X) = (\tilde{u}, \nabla_X u) = \langle u, \nabla_X u \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (d|u|^2, X)$$

which yields that

$$\mathcal{L}_u \tilde{u} - \nabla_u \tilde{u} = \frac{1}{2} d|u|^2. \tag{2.4}$$

By definition  $\mathcal{L}_u = i_u d + di_u$  where  $i_u$  denotes the interior product by u, so the exterior derivative d commutes with  $\mathcal{L}_u$  since  $d\mathcal{L}_u = \mathcal{L}_u d = di_u d$ , and therefore by using (2.4),

$$d\nabla_u \tilde{u} = d\mathcal{L}_u \tilde{u} = \mathcal{L}_u d\tilde{u}.$$

It is obvious that  $\Box d = d\Box$ . Then by acting d on the two sides of (2.2), we get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{\omega}_t + \mathcal{L}_{u_t} \tilde{\omega}_t + \nu \Box \tilde{\omega}_t = 0, \\ \tilde{\omega}|_{t=0} = \tilde{\omega}_0. \end{cases}$$
 (2.5)

**Remark 2.1.** Since  $d^*\tilde{u} = 0$ , by definition (2.3),  $d^*\tilde{\omega} = d^*d\tilde{u} = \Box \tilde{u}$ , and therefore, as  $\Box$  admits a spectral gap,  $\tilde{u}$  can be solved by

$$\tilde{u} = \Box^{-1}(d^*\tilde{\omega}).$$

It is sometimes more convenient to use covariant derivatives. To do this, let  $\beta$  be a differential p-form and  $T: \mathcal{X}(M) \to \mathcal{X}(M)$  be a tensor of type (1,1). Define for  $X_1, \ldots, X_p$ ,

$$(\beta \triangleleft T)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \beta(T(X_1), X_2, \dots, X_n) + \dots + \beta(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, T(X_n)). \tag{2.6}$$

If  $\beta$  is a 2-form and  $T = \nabla u$ , then for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ ,

$$(\beta \triangleleft \nabla u)(X,Y) = \beta(\nabla_X u, Y) + \beta(X, \nabla_Y u). \tag{2.7}$$

In the same way as for proving (2.4), we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_v\beta - \nabla_v\beta)(X,Y) = \beta(\nabla_X v, Y) + \beta(X, \nabla_Y v) = (\beta \triangleleft \nabla v)(X,Y).$$

Now replacing  $\mathcal{L}_u\tilde{\omega}$  by  $\nabla_u\tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\omega} \triangleleft \nabla u$  in (2.5), we obtain the following form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{\omega}_t + \nabla_{u_t} \tilde{\omega}_t + \nu \Box \tilde{\omega}_t = -\tilde{\omega}_t \triangleleft \nabla u_t, \\ \tilde{\omega}|_{t=0} = \tilde{\omega}_0. \end{cases}$$
 (2.8)

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $\nabla^{sk}u$  be the skew-symmetric part of  $\nabla u$ , that is,

$$\langle \nabla^{sk} u, X \otimes Y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \big( \langle \nabla_X u, Y \rangle - \langle \nabla_Y u, X \rangle \big).$$

Then  $\tilde{\omega} \triangleleft \nabla^{sk} u = 0$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in M$  and let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_xM$ . Then

$$\nabla_X^{sk} u = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{e_i}^{sk} u, e_j \rangle \langle X, e_i \rangle e_j$$
$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^n d\tilde{u}(e_i, e_j) \langle X, e_i \rangle e_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\omega}(X, e_j) e_j,$$

so that

$$\tilde{\omega}(\nabla_X^{sk}u, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\omega}(X, e_j)\tilde{\omega}(e_j, Y) = \tilde{\omega}(\nabla_Y^{sk}u, X).$$

Combing these relations and Definition (2.6), we have

$$(\tilde{\omega} \triangleleft \nabla^{sk} u)(X,Y) = \tilde{\omega}(\nabla_X^{sk} v,Y) + \tilde{\omega}(X,\nabla_Y^{sk} v) = 0.$$

Let  $\nabla^s u$  be the symmetric part of  $\nabla u$ , that is

$$\langle \nabla^s u, X \otimes Y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle \nabla_X u, Y \rangle + \langle \nabla_Y u, X \rangle).$$

 $\nabla^s u$  is called the rate of strain tensor in the literature on fluid dynamics. Therefore Equation (2.8) can be written in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{\omega}_t + \nabla_{u_t} \tilde{\omega}_t + \nu \Box \tilde{\omega}_t = -\tilde{\omega}_t \triangleleft \nabla^s u_t, \\ \tilde{\omega}|_{t=0} = \tilde{\omega}_0. \end{cases}$$
 (2.9)

In the case where  $\dim(M) = 2$  or 3, Equation (2.9) can be simplified using Hodge star operator \*. Assume that M is oriented and  $\omega_n$  is the n-form of Riemannian volume, let  $\omega = *\tilde{\omega}$ , which is a (n-2) form such that

$$\tilde{\omega} \wedge \alpha = \langle \omega, \alpha \rangle_{\Lambda^{n-2}} \ \omega_n, \quad \text{forany} \alpha \in \Lambda^{n-2}(M),$$

or

$$\beta \wedge *\tilde{\omega} = \langle \tilde{\omega}, \beta \rangle_{\Lambda^2} \omega_n, \text{ for any } \beta \in \Lambda^2(M).$$

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\omega$  be a p-form on M and  $\operatorname{div}(u) = 0$ . Then  $\nabla_u(*\omega) = *(\nabla_u\omega)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be a p-form. Then  $\beta \wedge *\omega = \langle \beta, \omega \rangle \omega_n$ . Taking the covariant derivative with respect to u, the left hand side gives

$$\nabla_u \beta \wedge (*\omega) + \beta \wedge \nabla_u (*\omega) = \langle \nabla_u \beta, \omega \rangle \ \omega_n + \beta \wedge \nabla_u (*\omega),$$

while the right hand side gives

$$\langle \nabla_u \beta, \omega \rangle \ \omega_n + \langle \beta, \nabla_u \omega \rangle \ \omega_n = \langle \nabla_u \beta, \omega \rangle \ \omega_n + \beta \wedge * \nabla_u \omega$$

as  $\nabla_u \omega_n = 0$ . Therefore  $\beta \wedge \nabla_u (*\omega) = \beta \wedge (*\nabla_u \omega)$  holds for any *p*-form  $\beta$ , the result follows.

**Proposition 2.4.** Assume dim(M) = 3. Then

$$*(\tilde{\omega}_t \triangleleft \nabla^s u) = -(*\tilde{\omega}_t) \triangleleft \nabla^s u. \tag{2.10}$$

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in M$ ; let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_xM$ ,  $\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\}$  be the dual basis of  $T_x^*M$ . Let  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$  be a direct permutation of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , and  $\omega = \tilde{e}_{i_1} \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_2}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} (\omega \triangleleft \nabla^s u)(X,Y) &= \left( (\nabla^s_X u)_{i_1} Y_{i_2} - Y_{i_1} \, (\nabla^s_X u)_{i_2} \right) + \left( (\nabla^s_Y u)_{i_2} X_{i_1} - X_{i_2} \, (\nabla^s_Y u)_{i_1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \left[ (\nabla^s_{e_j} u)_{i_1} X_j Y_{i_2} - (\nabla^s_{e_j} u)_{i_2} X_j Y_{i_1} + (\nabla^s_{e_j} u)_{i_2} X_{i_1} Y_j - (\nabla^s_{e_j} u)_{i_1} X_{i_2} Y_j \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 (\nabla^s_{e_j} u)_{i_1} \left( X_j Y_{i_2} - X_{i_2} Y_j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\nabla^s_{e_j} u)_{i_2} \left( X_{i_1} Y_j - X_j Y_{i_1} \right). \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\omega \triangleleft \nabla^s u = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla^s_{e_j} u)_{i_1} \tilde{e}_j \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_2} + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\nabla^s_{e_j} u)_{i_2} \tilde{e}_{i_1} \wedge \tilde{e}_j.$$

More precisely

$$\begin{split} \omega \triangleleft \nabla^s u &= (\nabla^s_{e_{i_1}} u)_{i_1} \tilde{e}_{i_1} \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_2} + (\nabla^s_{e_{i_2}} u)_{i_2} \tilde{e}_{i_1} \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_2} \\ &+ (\nabla^s_{e_{i_3}} u)_{i_1} \tilde{e}_{i_3} \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_2} + (\nabla^s_{e_{i_2}} u)_{i_2} \tilde{e}_{i_1} \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_3}. \end{split}$$

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^{3} (\nabla_{e_{i_j}}^s u)_{i_j} = \text{Trace}(\nabla u) = \text{div}(u) = 0$ , therefore finally we get

$$*(\omega \triangleleft \nabla^s u) = -(\nabla^s_{e_{i_3}} u)_{i_1} \tilde{e}_{i_1} - (\nabla^s_{e_{i_3}} u)_{i_2} \tilde{e}_{i_2} - (\nabla^s_{e_{i_3}} u)_{i_3} \tilde{e}_{i_3}. \tag{2.11}$$

On the other hand,  $*\omega = \tilde{e}_{i_3}$ , so that

$$(*\omega) \triangleleft (\nabla^s u)(X) = (*\omega)(\nabla^s_X u) = \sum_{j=1}^3 (\nabla^s_{e_j} u)_{i_3} X_j.$$

It follows that

$$(*\omega) \triangleleft (\nabla^s u) = (\nabla^s_{e_{i_1}} u)_{i_3} \tilde{e}_{i_1} + (\nabla^s_{e_{i_2}} u)_{i_3} \tilde{e}_{i_2} + (\nabla^s_{e_{i_3}} u)_{i_3} \tilde{e}_{i_3}$$
(2.12)

Now combing (2.11), (2.12), and by symmetry of  $\nabla^s u$ , we get (2.10).

Corollary 2.5. Let  $\dim(M) = 3$  and  $\omega_t = *\tilde{\omega}_t$ . Then

$$\partial_t \omega_t + \nabla_u \omega_t + \nu \square \omega_t = \omega_t \triangleleft (\nabla^s u_t). \tag{2.13}$$

*Proof.* First note that  $\square * = * \square$  (see [40], p. 221), so (2.13) follows from Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4.

**Remark 2.6.** Since  $** = (-1)^{p(n-p)}$  on p-form, so for n = 3,  $\tilde{\omega}_t = *\omega_t$  and in the case where  $\Box$  admits a spectral gap, the following relation holds

$$\tilde{u}_t = \Box^{-1} (d^*(*\omega_t)). \tag{2.14}$$

Proposition 2.7. In the smooth case, it holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M}|u_{t}|^{2}dx + \nu\int_{M}|\nabla u_{t}|^{2}dx = -\nu\int_{M}\langle\operatorname{Ric} u_{t}, u_{t}\rangle dx. \tag{2.15}$$

*Proof.* Remark first that  $\int_M \langle \nabla_{u_t} u_t, u_t \rangle dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \mathcal{L}_{u_t} |u_t|^2 dx = 0$  and  $\int_M \langle \nabla p, u_t \rangle dx = 0$ . Then using equation (2.1), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M}|u_{t}|^{2}\,dx+\nu\int_{M}\langle\Box u_{t},u_{t}\rangle\,dx=0.$$

Now using Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (1.6) and (1.7) yields (2.15).

**Proposition 2.8.** Assume that there exists a constant  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$Ric \ge -\kappa.$$
 (2.16)

Then the following a priori estimate holds

$$\frac{1}{2}||u_t||_2^2 + \nu \int_0^t ||\nabla u_s||_2^2 ds \le \frac{1}{2}||u_0||_2^2 \exp(2\nu t\kappa^+), \tag{2.17}$$

where  $\kappa^+ = \sup{\{\kappa, 0\}}$ .

*Proof.* Using (2.16) and (2.15), we get inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{M} |u_{t}|^{2} dx + \nu \int_{M} |\nabla u_{t}|^{2} dx \le \nu \kappa \int_{M} |u_{t}|^{2} dx \le \nu \kappa^{+} \int_{M} |u_{t}|^{2} dx.$$

Let  $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2}||u_t||_2^2 + \nu \int_0^t ||\nabla u_s||_2^2 ds$ . Then  $\psi$  satisfies inequality

$$\psi(t) \le \frac{1}{2}||u_0||_2^2 + 2\nu\kappa^+ \int_0^t \psi(s) \, ds.$$

Gronwall lemma yields (2.17).

In what follows, we will establish the existence of weak solutions in Leray sense over any [0,T] and

$$u \in L^2([0,T],H^1(M)) \cap L^\infty([0,T],L^2(M)).$$

To this end, we will use the heat semi-group  $\mathbf{T}_t = e^{-t\Box/2}$  to regularize vector fields. Let v be a continuous vector field on M with compact support and define  $\mathbf{T}_t v = (\mathbf{T}_t \tilde{v})^{\#}$ . Then  $\mathbf{T}_t v$  solves the heat equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Box\right)(\mathbf{T}_t v) = 0.$$

By ellipticity of  $\square$  (see for example [40]),  $(t,x) \to (\mathbf{T}_t v)(x)$  is smooth. It was shown in [21] that

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{T}_t v) = \mathbf{T}_t^M(\operatorname{div}(v)),$$

where  $\mathbf{T}_t^M$  denotes heat semi-group on functions. Hence  $\mathbf{T}_t$  preserves the space of divergence free vector fields. By (6.14) in Section 6 it holds true that

$$|\mathbf{T}_t v| \le e^{t\kappa + 2} |\mathbf{T}_t^M| v|. \tag{2.18}$$

It follows that for  $1 \le p \le +\infty$ ,  $||\mathbf{T}_t v||_p \le e^{t\kappa + 2} ||v||_p$ , and for  $1 \le p < +\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_t v \to v$  in  $L^p$ .

Consider a family of smooth functions  $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$  with compact support such that

$$0 \le \varphi_{\varepsilon} \le 1$$
,  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = 1$  for  $x \in B(x_M, 1/\varepsilon)$  and  $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} ||\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} < +\infty$ , (2.19)

where  $x_M$  is a fixed point of M. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define

$$F_{\varepsilon}(u) = -\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P} \big( \varphi_{\varepsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u} (\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u) \big) - \nu \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} \Box \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u, \quad u \in L^{2}(M)$$

where **P** is the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(M)$  to the subspace of vector fields of divergence free. We have

$$||\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{P}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u))||_{2} \leq e^{\varepsilon\kappa^{+}/2}||\mathbf{P}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}\nabla_{\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u))||_{2} \leq e^{\varepsilon\kappa^{+}/2}||\nabla_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u)||_{2}.$$

Since  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  is of compact support, we have

$$||\nabla_{\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u}(\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u)||_{2} \le ||\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u||_{\infty} ||\nabla(\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u)||_{2}. \tag{2.20}$$

Again due to compact support of  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ , when n=3, by Sobolev's embedding theorem, there is a constant  $\beta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$||\varphi_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u||_{\infty} < \beta(\varepsilon) ||\varphi_{\varepsilon}\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u||_{H^2}.$$

For the general case, it is sufficient to bound the uniform norm by the norm of  $H^m$  with  $m > \frac{n}{2}$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** For any T > 0, there are constants  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  such that

$$||\Box \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u||_{2} \le \frac{\beta_{1}}{\varepsilon} ||u||_{2}, \quad ||\nabla \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u||_{2} \le \frac{\beta_{2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$
 (2.21)

*Proof.* We will restate, in Section 6, (2.21) with more precise coefficients dependent of curvatures of M and give a proof based on Bismut formulae obtained in [18, 13].

By Proposition 2.9, there are constants  $\beta(\varepsilon) > 0$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$||\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u||_{\infty} \le \beta(\varepsilon) ||u||_{2}, \quad ||\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} \square \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u||_{2} \le \tilde{\beta}(\varepsilon) ||u||_{2}.$$
 (2.22)

Combining (2.20) and (2.22), there are two constants  $\beta_1(\varepsilon) > 0$  and  $\beta_2(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$||F_{\varepsilon}(u)||_2 \leq \beta_1(\varepsilon) ||u||_2^2 + \beta_2(\varepsilon)||u||_2$$

and  $F_{\varepsilon}$  is locally Lipschitz. By theory of ordinary differential equation, there is a unique solution  $u^{\varepsilon}$  to

$$\frac{du_t^{\varepsilon}}{dt} = F_{\varepsilon}(u_t^{\varepsilon}), \quad u_0^{\varepsilon} = u_0 \in L^2, \quad \operatorname{div}(u_t^{\varepsilon}) = 0, \tag{2.23}$$

up to the explosion time  $\tau$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** Assume that  $||\text{Ric}||_{\infty} < +\infty$  and that  $\mathcal{R}_2$  is bounded below. Then for any T > 0, there is a weak solution  $u \in L^2([0,T],H^1)$  to Navier-Stokes equation (2.1) such that

$$\frac{1}{2}||u_t||_2^2 + \nu \int_0^t ||\nabla u_s||_2^2 ds \le \frac{1}{2}||u_0||_2^2 \exp(2\nu t\kappa^+),$$

where  $\kappa$  is lower bound of Ric and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  is the Weitzenböck curvature on 2-differential forms defined in (6.8).

*Proof.* Rewriting Equation (2.23) in the following explicit form, for  $t < \tau$ ,

$$\frac{du_t^{\varepsilon}}{dt} + \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P} \left( \varphi_{\varepsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_t^{\varepsilon}} (\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_t^{\varepsilon}) \right) + \nu \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} \Box \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_t^{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Note that

$$\int_{M} \langle \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P} \left( \varphi_{\varepsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}} (\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \right), \ u_{t}^{\varepsilon} \rangle \, dx = \int_{M} \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}} (\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \right), \ \varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon} \rangle \, dx \\
= \int_{M} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}} |\varphi_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \, dx = 0,$$

since  $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u_t^{\varepsilon})=0$ , and

$$\int_{M} \langle \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} \Box \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \ u_{t}^{\varepsilon} \rangle \ dx = \int_{M} |\nabla \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \ dx + \int_{M} \langle \operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}), \ \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon} \rangle \ dx.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{M} |u_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \, dx + \nu \int_{M} |\nabla \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \, dx &= -\nu \int_{M} \langle \mathrm{Ric}(\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}), \; \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon} \rangle \, \, dx \\ &\leq -\nu \kappa \int_{M} |\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{2} \, dx, \end{split}$$

or in the form

$$\frac{1}{2}||u_t^{\varepsilon}||_2^2 + \nu \int_0^t |||\nabla \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_s^{\varepsilon}||_2^2 ds \le \frac{1}{2}||u_0||_2^2 + \nu \kappa^+ \int_0^t ||\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon} u_s^{\varepsilon}||_2^2 ds. \tag{2.24}$$

According to (2.18), above inequality implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}||u_t^{\varepsilon}||_2^2 \le \frac{1}{2}||u_0||_2^2 + \nu \kappa^+ e^{\varepsilon \kappa^+} \int_0^t ||u_s^{\varepsilon}||_2^2 ds.$$

Gronwall lemma implies that for  $t < \tau$ 

$$\frac{1}{2}||u_t^{\varepsilon}||_2^2 \le \frac{1}{2}||u_0||_2^2 \exp(t\nu\kappa^+ e^{\varepsilon\kappa^+}).$$

It follows that  $\tau = +\infty$ . Now again by (2.18) and (2.24), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}||\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u_{t}^{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} + \nu e^{\varepsilon\kappa^{+}} \int_{0}^{t} |||\nabla \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u_{s}^{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} ds \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{\varepsilon\kappa^{+}}||u_{0}||_{2}^{2} + \nu \kappa^{+}e^{\varepsilon\kappa^{+}} \int_{0}^{t} ||\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u_{s}^{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} ds.$$

Gronwall lemma yields, for  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ , that

$$\frac{1}{2}||\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u_{t}^{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} + \nu e^{\varepsilon\kappa^{+}} \int_{0}^{t} |||\nabla \mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u_{s}^{\varepsilon}||_{2}^{2} ds \leq \frac{e^{\kappa^{+}}}{2}||u_{0}||_{2}^{2} \exp(t\nu\kappa^{+}e^{\kappa^{+}}). \tag{2.25}$$

Let T>0. By (2.25), the family  $\{\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon};\ \varepsilon\in(0,1]\}$  is bounded in  $L^{2}([0,T],H^{1})$  as well in  $L^{\infty}([0,T],L^{2})$ . Then there is a sequence  $\varepsilon_{n}$  and a  $u\in L^{2}([0,T],H^{1})\cap L^{\infty}([0,T],L^{2})$  such that  $\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon_{n}}u^{\varepsilon_{n}}$  converges weakly to u in  $L^{2}([0,T],H^{1})$  and \*-weakly in  $L^{\infty}([0,T],L^{2})$ . Now standard arguments allow to prove that u is a weak solution (2.1). The boundedness of Ric is needed while passing to the limit of the term  $\int_{M} \langle \mathrm{Ric}(\mathbf{T}_{\varepsilon}u_{t}^{\varepsilon}),\ v_{t}\rangle\ dx$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $\dim(M) = 3$ . The vorticity  $\omega_t$  satisfies a priori identity:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M}|\omega_{t}|^{2}dx + \nu\int_{M}|\nabla\omega_{t}|^{2}dx = -\nu\int_{M}\langle\operatorname{Ric}\omega_{t},\omega_{t}\rangle\,dx + \int_{M}\langle\omega_{t}\triangleleft\nabla^{s}u_{t},\omega_{t}\rangle\,dx. \quad (2.26)$$

*Proof.* Using Equation (2.13) and the same as proving (2.15) yields (2.26).  $\Box$ 

The term  $H_t := \int_M (\omega_t, u_t) dx$  is called helicity in theory of the fluid mechanics.

**Proposition 2.12.** Let dim(M) = 3. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, u_{t}) dx = -\nu \int_{M} \langle d\omega_{t}, *\omega_{t} \rangle_{\Lambda^{2}} dx - \nu \int_{M} (\nabla \omega_{t}, \nabla u_{t}) dx 
-\nu \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, \operatorname{Ric} u_{t}) dx + \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, \nabla_{u_{t}}^{s} u_{t}) dx.$$
(2.27)

*Proof.* Using Equation (2.1) and Equation (2.13), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\omega_t, u_t) = -(\nabla_{u_t}\omega_t, u_t) - \nu(\square\omega_t, u_t) + (\omega_t \triangleleft \nabla^s u_t, u_t) - (\omega_t, \nabla_{u_t}u_t) - \nu(\omega_t, \square u_t) - (\omega_t, \nabla p).$$

It is obvious that

$$\int_{M} \left[ (\nabla_{u_t} \omega_t, u_t) + (\omega_t, \nabla_{u_t} u_t) \right] dx = \int_{M} \mathcal{L}_{u_t}(\omega_t, u_t) dx = 0.$$

In addition, by ([40], page 220),  $d^* = (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * d*$  and  $** = (-1)^{p(n-p)}$  on p-forms. Then  $d^** = \pm * d$ , so that

$$\int_{M} \langle \omega_t, dp \rangle \, dx = \int_{M} \langle *\tilde{\omega}_t, dp \rangle \, dx = \int_{M} d^*(*\tilde{\omega}_t) p \, dx = \pm \int_{M} *(d\tilde{\omega}_t) p \, dx = 0.$$

On one hand, using Hodge star operator,

$$\int_{M} (\omega_{t}, \Box u_{t}) dx = \int_{M} \langle \omega_{t}, d^{*}d\tilde{u}_{t} \rangle dx = \int_{M} \langle d\omega_{t}, \tilde{\omega}_{t} \rangle dx = \int_{M} \langle d\omega_{t}, *\omega_{t} \rangle dx.$$

On the other hand, using Bochner-Weitzenböck formula,

$$\int_{M} (\omega_{t}, \Box u_{t}) dx = \int_{M} (\nabla \omega_{t}, \nabla u_{t}) dx + \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, \operatorname{Ric} u_{t}) dx.$$

By putting these terms together we conclude that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, u_{t}) dx = -\nu \int_{M} \langle d\omega_{t}, *\omega_{t} \rangle dx - \nu \int_{M} (\nabla \omega_{t}, \nabla u_{t}) dx - \nu \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, \operatorname{Ric} u_{t}) dx + \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, \nabla_{u_{t}}^{s} u_{t}) dx,$$

since  $(\omega_t \triangleleft \nabla^s u_t, u_t) = (\omega_t, \nabla^s_{u_t} u_t)$ . We get (2.27).

## 3 Heat equations on differential forms

We will express solutions to equation (2.13) by means of principal bundle of orthonormal frames O(M). An element  $r \in O(M)$  is an isometry from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $T_{\pi(r)}M$  where  $\pi : O(M) \to M$  is the canonical projection. More precisely, an element of O(M) is composed of (x,r), where  $x = \pi(x,r)$  and r is an orthonormal frame at x, that is, an isometry from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $T_xM$ . For the sake of simplicity, we read r as  $(\pi(r),r)$ , but we sometimes have to distinguish them. The Levi-Civita connection on M gives rise to n canonical horizontal vector fields  $\{A_1,\ldots,A_n\}$  on O(M), which are such that  $d\pi(r) \cdot A_r = r\varepsilon_i$ , where  $\{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_n\}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A vector field v on v can be lift to a horizontal vector field v on v of v of v such that v of v and v is a differential 1-form. Following Malliavin [31], we define

$$F_{\omega}^{i}(r) = (\omega_{\pi(r)}, r\varepsilon_{i}) = (\pi^{*}\omega, A_{i})_{r}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
(3.1)

where  $\pi^*\omega$  is the pull-back of  $\omega$  by  $\pi:O(M)\to M$ . We have

$$(\mathcal{L}_{A_i} F_\omega^i)(r) = (\nabla_{r\varepsilon_i} \omega, r\varepsilon_i) = (\nabla \omega, r\varepsilon_j \otimes r\varepsilon_i), \tag{3.2}$$

where the second duality makes sense in  $T_{\pi(r)}M \otimes T_{\pi(r)}M$ . In fact, let  $t \to r(t) \in O(M)$  be the smooth curve such that  $r(0) = r, r'(0) = A_j(r)$ . Let  $\xi_t = \pi(r(t))$ . Then  $//_t^{-1} := r \circ r(t)^{-1}$  is the parallel translation from  $T_{\xi_t}M$  onto  $T_xM$  along  $\xi$ , and

$$F_{\omega}^{i}(r(t)) = (\omega_{\xi_{t}}, r(t)\varepsilon_{i}) = (//_{t}^{-1}\omega_{\xi_{t}}, r\varepsilon_{i}).$$

Taking the derivative with respect to t at t=0 yields (3.2). In the same way, we get  $(\mathcal{L}_{A_i}^2 F_\omega^i)(r) = (\nabla_{r\varepsilon_j} \nabla \omega, r\varepsilon_j \otimes r\varepsilon_i)$ . Therefore

$$\Delta_{O(M)} F_{\omega}^{i} := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{A_{j}}^{2} F_{\omega}^{i} = (\Delta \omega, r \varepsilon_{i}) = F_{\Delta \omega}^{i}(r).$$

Let  $U_t$  be the horizontal lift of  $u_t$  to O(M). Then  $U_t(r) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle u_t(x), r\varepsilon_j \rangle A_j(r)$ , where  $x = \pi(r)$  and according to (3.2),

$$(\mathcal{L}_{U_t} F_{\omega}^i)(r) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle u_t, r \varepsilon_j \rangle (\mathcal{L}_{A_j} F_{\omega}^i)(r) = \langle \nabla_{u_t} \omega, r \varepsilon_i \rangle = F_{\nabla_{u_t} \omega}^i(r).$$

Let  $\phi_t = \omega_t \triangleleft \nabla^s u$ ; then

$$F_{\phi_t}^i(r) = (\phi_t, r\varepsilon_i) = \omega_t(\nabla_{r\varepsilon_i}^s u_t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{r\varepsilon_i}^s u_t, r\varepsilon_j \rangle (\omega_t, r\varepsilon_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \nabla_{r\varepsilon_i}^s u_t, r\varepsilon_j \rangle F_{\omega_t}^j.$$

Define  $K_{ij}(t,r) = \langle \nabla_{r\varepsilon_i}^s u_t(\pi(r)), r\varepsilon_j \rangle$  and  $K(t,r) = (K_{ij}(t,r))$ . Then  $F_{\phi_t}(r) = K(t,r)F_{\omega_t}(r)$ . By applying Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (see (1.6)) to 1-form  $\omega$ ,  $\square \omega = -\Delta \omega + \text{Ric}^{\#}\omega$ . Let  $\text{ric}_r = r^{-1}\text{Ric}_{\pi(r)}r$  denote the equivariant representation of Ric on O(M). Then  $F_{\text{Ric}^{\#}\omega} = \text{ric} F_{\omega}$ , since ric is symmetric. Now applying F on two sides of Equation (2.13), we get the following heat equation defined on O(M), but taking values in flat space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_{\omega_t} = \nu \Delta_{O(M)}F_{\omega_t} - \mathcal{L}_{U_t}F_{\omega_t} + (K(t,\cdot) - \nu \operatorname{ric})F_{\omega_t}.$$
(3.3)

This equation was extensively studied in the field of Stochastic analysis, see [6, 7, 8, 15, 17, 25, 27, 31, 34] for example. However the situation becomes more complicated when the vector field is time-dependent (see [35]).

In what follows, we will derive a stochastic representation formula for the solution to (3.3). First of all, we have to prove that the concerned diffusion processes do not explode at a finite time. For this purpose, consider a family of vector fields  $\{v_t(x); t \geq 0\}$  on M. We assume here that  $(t, x) \to v_t(x)$  is continuous and for each  $t \geq 0$ ,  $v_t \in C^{1+\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\operatorname{div}(v_t) = 0$ . Let  $V_t$  be the horizontal lift of  $v_t$  to O(M). Then  $\operatorname{div}(V_t) = \operatorname{div}(v_t) \circ \pi$  (see [21], 595) and therefore  $\operatorname{div}(V_t) = 0$ .

Consider the following Stratonovich stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dr_t = \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(r_t) \circ dW_t^k + V_t(r_t)dt, \quad r_{|_{t=0}} = r_0$$
(3.4)

where  $W_t = (W_t^1, \dots, W_t^n)$  is a standard Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote by  $r_t(w, r_0)$  the solution to (3.4). Let  $\zeta(w, r_0)$  be the explosion time of SDE (3.4). Let

$$\Sigma(t, w) = \{r_0 \in O(M); \ \zeta(w, r_0) > t\}.$$

Then for each t > 0 given, almost surely  $\Sigma(t, w)$  is an open subset of O(M) and  $r_0 \to r_t(w, r_0)$  is a local diffeomorphism on  $\Sigma(t, w)$  (see [27]). To be short, set  $r_t(r_0) = r_t(w, r_0)$ . The Jacobian  $J_{r_t}$  of  $r_0 \to r_t(r_0)$  is equal to 1, since by [27], the Jacobian  $J_{r_t^{-1}}$  of inverse map  $r_t^{-1}$  admits expression

$$J_{r_t^{-1}} = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^n \operatorname{div}(H_k)(r_s(r_0)) \circ dW_s^k - \int_0^t \operatorname{div}(V_s(r_s(r_0))) ds\right) = 1.$$

Then for any  $\varphi \in C_c(O(M))$ , almost surely,

$$\int_{O(M)} \varphi(r_t(r_0)) \, \mathbf{1}_{\Sigma(t,w)}(r_0) \, dr_0 = \int_{O(M)} \varphi(r_0) \mathbf{1}_{r_t(\Sigma(t,w))}(r_0) \, dr_0, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $dr_0$  is the Liouville measure on O(M) (see [34], page 185) such that  $\pi_{\#}(dr_0) = dx_0$ .

Let  $d_M(x,y)$  be the Riemannian distance on M between x and y. Fix a reference point  $x_M \in M$ , consider

$$\rho(r) = d_M(\pi(r), x_M).$$

It is known that for each  $x_0$  given,  $x \to d_M(x, x_0)$  is smooth out of  $C_{x_0} \cup \{x_0\}$ , were  $C_{x_0}$  is the cut-locusof  $x_0$ . It is known that  $C_{x_0}$  is negligible with respect to dx. Then  $\rho$  is smooth out of  $\pi^{-1}(C_{x_M} \cup \{x_M\})$ . By [34], p. 197, out of  $\pi^{-1}(C_{x_0} \cup \{x_0\})$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{O(M)}d_M(\pi(\cdot), x_0) \le \frac{n-1}{2d_M(\pi(\cdot), x_0)} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n\kappa}.$$
(3.6)

It is known that out of  $C_{x_0} \cup \{x_0\}$ ,  $|\nabla_x d_M(x, x_0)| = 1$ . Therefore out of  $\pi^{-1}(C_{x_0} \cup \{x_0\})$ ,

$$|\mathcal{L}_{V_t} d_M(\pi(\cdot), x_0)| \le |V_t|. \tag{3.7}$$

The lower bound of  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{O(M)}\rho$  is more delicate. According to [24], page 90,

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{O(M)}d_M(\pi(\cdot), x_0) \ge \frac{n-1}{2\rho} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n(n-1)K_R}, \ quad\pi(r) \in B(x_M, R) \setminus (C_{x_0} \cup \{x_0\}). \ (3.8)$$

where  $K_R$  is the upper bound of sectional curvature on the big ball  $B(x_M, R)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume furthermore that

$$\int_0^T \int_M |v_s(x)|^2 dx ds < +\infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Then there is a non-decreasing process  $\hat{L}_t \geq 0$  and a Brownian motion  $\{\beta_t; t \geq 0\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for almost surely initial  $r_0$ ,

$$\rho(r_t) - \rho(r_0) = \beta_t + \int_0^t \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{O(M)} + \mathcal{L}_{V_s} \right) \rho \right) (r_s) \, ds - \hat{L}_t, \quad t < \zeta(w, r_0).$$
 (3.10)

*Proof.* The proof will be given in Section 6.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume Ric  $\geq -\kappa$  and (3.9) holds. Then for almost all  $r_0$ ,  $\zeta(w, r_0) = +\infty$  almost surely.

*Proof.* We have, by (3.10),

$$\rho(r_{t\wedge\zeta})^2 \leq \rho(r_0)^2 + t\wedge\zeta + 2\int_0^{t\wedge\zeta} \rho(r_s)d\beta_s + 2\int_0^{t\wedge\zeta} \rho(r_s)\left(L_s\rho\right)(r_s)\,ds,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_s = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{O(M)} + \mathcal{L}_{V_s}$ . Using (3.6) and (3.7), there is constants C > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{E}(\rho(r_{t \wedge \zeta})^{2}) \leq \rho(r_{0})^{2} + C \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}((2\rho(r_{s})(L_{s}\rho)(r_{s}) + 1)\mathbf{1}_{(s < \zeta)}) ds$$
  
$$\leq \rho(r_{0})^{2} + 2C \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}((1 + \rho(r_{s}))(1 + |V_{s}(r_{s})|)\mathbf{1}_{(s < \zeta)}) ds.$$

Let  $\mu$  be the probability measure on O(M) defined in (6.6). Then

$$\int_{O(M)} \mathbb{E}(\rho(r_{t \wedge \zeta})^{2}) d\mu \leq \int_{O(M)} \rho(r_{0})^{2} d\mu + 2C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{O(M)} \mathbb{E}\left((1 + \rho(r_{s}))(1 + |V_{s}(r_{s})|)\mathbf{1}_{(s < \zeta)}\right) d\mu ds 
\leq \int_{O(M)} \rho(r_{0})^{2} d\mu + 4C \left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{O(M)} \mathbb{E}\left((1 + \rho(r_{s \wedge \zeta})^{2})\right) d\mu ds\right)^{1/2} \times 
\times \left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{O(M)} \mathbb{E}\left((1 + |V_{s}(r_{s})|)^{2}\mathbf{1}_{(s < \zeta)}\right) d\mu ds\right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{O(M)} \mathbb{E}\Big( (1 + |V_{s}(r_{s})|)^{2} \mathbf{1}_{(s < \zeta)} \Big) d\mu ds \le 2 \Big( T + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{M} |v_{s}(x)|^{2} dx ds \Big).$$

Set 
$$\psi(t) = \int_{O(M)} \mathbb{E}(\rho(r_{t \wedge \zeta})^2) d\mu$$
 and 
$$C(T, v) = 4C\sqrt{2}\sqrt{T + ||v||_{L^2([0, T] \times M)}^2}.$$
 (3.11)

Remarking that  $\sqrt{\xi} \le 1 + \xi$  for  $\xi \ge 0$ , above two inequalities imply that

$$\psi(t) \le \left( \int_{O(M)} \rho(r_0)^2 d\mu + C(T, v) \right) + C(T, v) \int_0^t \psi(s) ds.$$

The Gronwall lemma then yields

$$\int_{O(M)} \mathbb{E}(\rho(r_{t \wedge \zeta})^2) d\mu \leq \left( \int_{O(M)} \rho(r_0)^2 d\mu + C(T, v) \right) \exp(C(T, v)).$$

The result follows.  $\Box$ 

Now we are going to obtain a probabilistic representation for solution to the heat equation (3.3). To this end, set  $F(t,r) = F_{\omega_t}(r)$ . Let T > 0 be fixed. Assume that  $u_t$  is a solution to (2.1) such that  $(t,x) \to u_t(x)$  is continuous and for each  $t \ge 0$ ,  $u_t \in C^{1+\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 0$ . Consider the following SDE on O(M),

$$\begin{cases}
 dr_{s,t}(r,w) = \sqrt{2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i(r_{s,t}(r,w)) \circ dW_t^i - U_{T-t}(r_{s,t}(r,w)) dt, & s < t < T, \\
 r_{s,s}(r,w) = r.
\end{cases}$$
(3.12)

Let  $v_t(x) = u_{T-t}(x)$ . Then by Theorem 3.2, SDE (3.12) is stochastic complete. Let  $Q_{s,t}(w)$  be solution to the resolvent equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q_{s,t}(w) = Q_{s,t}(w)J_{T-t}(r_{s,t}(r,w)), \quad s < t < T, \ Q_{s,s}(w) = Id$$
(3.13)

where

$$J_t(r) = K(t, r) - \nu \operatorname{ric}_r. \tag{3.14}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we denote  $r_{s,t} = r_{s,t}(r, w)$ . Applying Itô formula to  $Q_{s,t}F(T-t, r_{s,t})$  for  $d_t$  with  $t \in (s, T)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &d_t \Big( Q_{s,t} \, F(T-t,r_{s,t}) \Big) = d_t Q_{s,t} \, F(T-t,r_{s,t}) + Q_{s,t} \, d_t \big( F(T-t,r_{s,t}) \big) \\ &= Q_{s,t} J_{T-t}(r_{s,t}) F(T-t,r_{s,t}) + \sqrt{2\nu} \, Q_{s,t} \, \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{L}_{A_i} F)(T-t,r_{s,t}) \, dW_t^i \\ &+ Q_{s,t} \Big( -(\partial_t F)(T-t,r_{s,t}) + \nu \, (\Delta_{O(M)} F)(T-t,r_{s,t}) - (\mathcal{L}_{U_{T-t}} F)(T-t,r_{s,t}) \Big) \, dt \\ &= \sqrt{2\nu} \, Q_{s,t} \, \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{L}_{A_i} F)(T-t,r_{s,t}) \, dW_t^i, \end{split}$$

where the last equality is due to Equation (3.3). It follows that

$$Q_{s,t} F(T-t, r_{s,t}) - F(T-s, r) = \sqrt{2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{s}^{t} Q_{s,\tau} (\mathcal{L}_{A_i} F)(T-\tau, r_{s,\tau}) dW_{\tau}^{i}.$$

Taking expectation on the two sides gives  $\mathbb{E}(Q_{s,t} F(T-t, r_{s,t})) = F(T-s, r)$ . Let t = T. Then  $\mathbb{E}(Q_{s,T} F(0, r_{s,T})) = F(T-s, r)$ . Replacing s by T-t, we get the following representation formula to (3.3):

$$F_{\omega_t} = \mathbb{E}\Big(Q_{T-t,T}F_{\omega_0}(r_{T-t,T})\Big). \tag{3.15}$$

In what follows, we will explain how a vector field v on M gives rise to a metric compatible connection  $\Gamma^v$ . For a time-independent vector field v on M, the diffusion processes  $\{x_t, t \geq 0\}$  associated to the generator  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_M + v$  can be constructed in the following way:

$$dr_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i(r_t) \circ dW_i^i + V(r_t) dt$$
 (3.16)

where V is the horizontal lift of v to O(M), and let  $x_t = \pi(r_t)$ . We assume that the lift-time  $\zeta = +\infty$  almost surely.

In Chapter V of [25], Ikeda and Watanabe introduced a metric compatible connection  $\Gamma^v$  so that the diffusion process of generator  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_M + v$  can be constructed by rolling without friction Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to the connection  $\Gamma^v$ . More precisely let  $\{B_1, \ldots, B_n\}$  be the canonical horizontal vector fields on O(M) with respect to  $\Gamma^v$ , consider SDE on O(M):

$$dr_w(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(r_w(t)) \circ dW_t^i, \quad r_w(0) = r.$$

Then the generator of diffusion process  $t \to x_t(w) = \pi(r_w(t))$  is  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_M + v$ . In fact, it holds

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{B_j}^2(f \circ \pi) = \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta_M + v \right) f \right) \circ \pi.$$
 (3.17)

This connection  $\Gamma^v$  was defined locally in [25]. On a local chart U,  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\}$  is a local basis of tangent spaces  $T_x M$  with  $x \in U$ , and  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Let  $\Gamma^{0,k}_{ij}$  be the Christoffel coefficients of Levi-Civita connection. According to ([25], p.271), the Christoffel coefficients  $\Gamma^k_{ij}$  of  $\Gamma^v$  is defined by (see also [1]),

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = \Gamma_{ij}^{0,k} - \frac{2}{n-1} \left( \delta_{ki} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} g_{j\ell} v^{\ell} - g_{ij} v^{k} \right). \tag{3.18}$$

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\nabla^v$  be the covariant derivative with respect to the connection  $\Gamma^v$ , and  $\nabla^0$  with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Then for two vector fields X, Y on M,

$$\nabla_X^v Y = \nabla_X^0 Y - \frac{2}{n-1} K_v(X, Y), \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$K_v(X,Y) = \langle Y, v \rangle X - \langle X, Y \rangle v. \tag{3.20}$$

*Proof.* We have, using (3.18),

$$\nabla_X^v Y = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n X^i Y^j \Gamma_{ij}^k + \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} Y^k \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n X^i Y^j \Gamma_{ij}^{0,k} + \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} Y^k \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - \frac{2}{n-1} I_2,$$

where

$$I_2 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n X^i Y^j \delta_{ki} \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, v \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n X^i Y^j \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rangle v^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k},$$

since  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} g_{j\ell} v^{\ell} = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, v \rangle$ . It is obvious to see that the first sum in  $I_{2}$  is equal to  $\langle Y, v \rangle X$ , while the second sum yields  $\langle X, Y \rangle v$ . The relation (3.19) and (3.20) follow.

Having this explicit expression, we will compute the associated torsion tensor  $T^v$ .

**Proposition 3.4.**  $T^{v}(X,Y)$  admits the expression:

$$T^{v}(X,Y) = \frac{-2}{n-1} \Big( \langle Y, v \rangle X - \langle X, v \rangle Y \Big). \tag{3.21}$$

Moreover,  $T^v$  is skew-symmetric (TSS), that is  $\langle T^v(X,Y), Z \rangle = -\langle T^v(Z,Y), X \rangle$  holds for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  if and only if v = 0.

*Proof.* Using (3.19) and the fact  $\nabla_X^0 Y - \nabla_Y^0 X - [X, Y] = 0$ , we have

$$T^{v}(X,Y) = -\frac{2}{n-1} \left( K_{v}(X,Y) - K_{v}(Y,X) \right) = \frac{-2}{n-1} \left( \langle Y, v \rangle X - \langle X, v \rangle Y \right),$$

that is nothing but (3.21). Now if for any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ ,  $\langle T^v(X,Y), Z \rangle + \langle T^v(Z,Y), X \rangle = 0$ , then this equality yields

$$2\langle Y, v \rangle \langle X, Z \rangle = \langle X, v \rangle \langle Y, Z \rangle + \langle Z, v \rangle \langle Y, X \rangle.$$

Taking Y = v and X = Z in above equality, we get

$$|v|^2|X|^2 = \langle X, v \rangle^2.$$

If  $v \neq 0$ , taking X orthogonal to v yields a contradiction.

## 4 Intrinsic Ricci tensors for Navier-Stokes equations

In what follows, we will denote Levi-Civita covariant derivative by  $\nabla^0$ . We first compute the Ricci tensor associated to the connection  $\nabla^v$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $Ric^0$  be the Ricci curvature associated to  $\nabla^0$ , and  $Ric^v$  to  $\nabla^v$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Ric}^{v}(X) = \operatorname{Ric}^{0}(X) - \frac{4(n-2)}{(n-1)^{2}} K_{v}(X, v) + \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \nabla_{X}^{0} v + \frac{2}{n-1} \operatorname{div}(v) X.$$
 (4.1)

*Proof.* For the sake of simplicity, put  $\nabla_Y^v Z = \nabla_Y^0 Z + S(Y, Z)$ , where S is a (1, 2) type tensor on M. Then

$$\nabla_X^v \nabla_Y^v Z = \nabla_X^0 \nabla_Y^v Z + S(X, \nabla_Y^v Z) = \nabla_X^0 \left( \nabla_Y^0 Z + S(Y, Z) \right) + S(X, \nabla_Y^v Z)$$
$$= \nabla_X^0 \nabla_Y^0 Z + (\nabla_X^0 S)(Y, Z) + S(\nabla_X^0 Y, Z) + S(Y, \nabla_X^0 Z) + S(X, \nabla_Y^v Z).$$

Changing role between X and Y yields

$$\nabla_{Y}^{v} \nabla_{X}^{v} Z = \nabla_{Y}^{0} \nabla_{X}^{0} Z + (\nabla_{Y}^{0} S)(X, Z) + S(\nabla_{Y}^{0} X, Z) + S(X, \nabla_{Y}^{0} Z) + S(Y, \nabla_{X}^{v} Z).$$

Also

$$\nabla^v_{[X,Y]}Z = \nabla^0_{[X,Y]}Z + S([X,Y],Z).$$

Combining above equations, the curvature tensor

$$R^{v}(X,Y)Z = \nabla_{X}^{v}\nabla_{Y}^{v}Z - \nabla_{Y}^{v}\nabla_{X}^{v}Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^{v}Z$$

which admits the following expression

$$R^{0}(X,Y)Z + (\nabla_{X}^{0}S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_{Y}^{0}S)(X,Z) + S(\nabla_{X}^{0}Y - \nabla_{Y}^{0}X,Z) - S(Y,S(X,Z)) + S(X,S(Y,Z)) - S([X,Y],Z).$$

Let  $x \in M$  and  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $T_xM$ . Then  $\mathrm{Ric}^v(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n R^v(X, e_i)e_i$ .

Note that 
$$S(X,Y) = -\frac{2}{n-1}K_v(X,Y)$$
. Put

$$I_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S(X, S(e_i, e_i)), \quad I_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S(e_i, S(X, e_i)).$$

$$I_3 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_X^0 S)(e_i, e_i), \quad I_4 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i}^0 S)(X, e_i).$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Ric}^{v}(X) = \operatorname{Ric}^{0}(X) + I_{1} - I_{2} + I_{3} - I_{4}.$$

By a completely elementary computation, we find

$$I_1 = \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_v(X, K_v(e_i, e_i)) = -\frac{4(n-1)}{(n-1)^2} K_v(X, v)$$

and

$$I_2 = \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n K_v(e_i, K_v(X, e_i)) = -\frac{4}{(n-1)^2} K_v(X, v).$$

For two other terms,

$$(\nabla_X^0 S)(Y, Z) = -\frac{2}{n-1} K_{\nabla_X^0 v}(Y, Z)$$

and

$$(\nabla_Y^0 S)(X, Z) = -\frac{2}{n-1} K_{\nabla_Y^0 v}(X, Z).$$

Therefore

$$I_3 = -\frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\nabla_X^0 v}(e_i, e_i) = 2\nabla_X^0 v.$$

$$I_4 = -\frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\nabla_{e_i}^0 v}(X, e_i) = -\frac{2}{n-1} \text{div}(v) X + \frac{2}{n-1} \nabla_X^0 v.$$

Finally

$$\operatorname{Ric}^{v}(X) = \operatorname{Ric}^{0}(X) - \frac{4(n-2)}{(n-1)^{2}} K_{v}(X,v) + \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \nabla_{X}^{0} v + \frac{2}{n-1} \operatorname{div}(v) X$$

and the computations are complete.

Since the connection  $\nabla^v$  has torsion, we have to take account of torsion tensor into Ricci tensor in a suitable way. A Weitzenböck formula for a connection which is not of torsion skew-symmetric was established in [17]. Since the dual connection of  $\Gamma^v$  is not metric, we prefer here avoid to use it. We will define the so-called *Intrinsic Ricci tensor*, which was firstly introduced by B. Driver in [12], in the framework of stochastic analysis on the path space of Riemannian manifolds (see also [8, 22, 24, 30]). Such a connection was also used in [1] to obtain an integration by parts formula for second order differential operators on Riemannian path spaces.

**Definition 4.2.** The intrinsic Ricci tensor is given by

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^{v}(X) = \operatorname{Ric}^{v}(X) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{e_i}^{v} T^{v})(X, e_i).$$
(4.2)

where  $(e_i)$  is a local orthonormal frame field of the tangent bundle.

**Theorem 4.3.** Assume that  $\dim(M) = 3$ . Then  $\widehat{Ric}^v$  admits the following simple expression:

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^{v} = \operatorname{Ric}^{0} + 2v \otimes v + 2\nabla^{0,s}v, \tag{4.3}$$

where  $\nabla^{0,s}v$  denotes the symmetric part of  $\nabla^{0}v$ .

Proof. By (3.21),

$$(\nabla_{e_i}^v T)^v (X, e_i) = \frac{-2}{n-1} \Big( \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_i}^v v \rangle X - \langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^v v \rangle e_i \Big)$$
$$= \frac{-2}{n-1} \Big( \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_i}^0 v \rangle X - \langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^0 v \rangle e_i \Big) + J_i,$$

where

$$J_i = \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} \Big( \langle e_i, K_v(e_i, v) \rangle X - \langle X, K_v(e_i, v) \rangle e_i \Big).$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} J_i = \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} \Big( (n-1)|v|^2 X - K_v(X,v) \Big).$$

Therefore the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{e_i}^v T^v)(X, e_i)$  is equal to

$$\frac{-2}{n-1} \Big( \operatorname{div}(v) X - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^0 v \rangle e_i \Big) + \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} \Big( (n-1)|v|^2 X - K_v(X, v) \Big).$$

When n = 3, the above formula yields that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\nabla_{e_i}^v T^v)(X, e_i) = -\operatorname{div}(v) X + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^0 v \rangle e_i + 2|v|^2 X - K_v(X, v).$$
 (4.4)

On the other hand, by (4.1), for n=3,

$$\operatorname{Ric}^{v}(X) = \operatorname{Ric}^{0}(X) - K_{v}(X, v) + \nabla_{X}^{0}v + \operatorname{div}(v) X. \tag{4.5}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^0 v \rangle e_i + \nabla_X^0 v = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \langle X, \nabla_{e_i}^0 v \rangle + \langle \nabla_X^0 v, e_i \rangle \right) e_i = 2 \nabla_X^{0,s} v.$$

According to this and summing up (4.4) and (4.5), we then obtain

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}}^{v}(X) = \text{Ric}^{0}(X) + 2|v|^{2}X - 2K_{v}(X,v) + 2\nabla_{X}^{0,s}v.$$

Now remarking that  $|v|^2X - K_v(X, v) = \langle X, v \rangle v$ , we deduce that

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Ric}^v}(X) = \mathrm{Ric}^0(X) + 2\langle X, v \rangle v + 2\nabla_X^{0,s} v$$

for any vector field X and therefore (4.3) holds.

**Remark 4.4.** Consider the following SDE on O(M):

$$dr_w(t) = \sqrt{2\nu} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(r_w(t)) \circ dW_t^i, \quad r_w(0) = r,$$

which has its infinitesimal generator

$$\nu \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{B_i}^2(f \circ \pi) = \left( (\nu \Delta_M + 2\nu v) f \right) \circ \pi.$$

According to Equation (3.12), we have to choose  $v = -\frac{1}{2\nu}u_t$ . The term  $\operatorname{Ric}^0 - \frac{1}{\nu}\nabla^{0,s}u_t$  has already appeared in resolvent equation (3.13). In this case, we denote  $\operatorname{Ric}^t$  instead of  $\operatorname{Ric}^{-u_t/2\nu}$  and we have

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^t = \operatorname{Ric}^0 + \frac{1}{2\nu^2} u_t \otimes u_t - \frac{1}{\nu} \nabla^{0,s} u_t. \tag{4.6}$$

**Proposition 4.5.** Assume that dim(M) = 3. Then

(i) The following holds:

$$\operatorname{div}(\widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^{t}) = \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{Ric}^{0}) + \frac{1}{2\nu^{2}} \nabla_{u_{t}} u_{t} - \frac{1}{\nu} \operatorname{Ric}^{0} u_{t}. \tag{4.7}$$

(ii) Let  $\widehat{\operatorname{Scal}}^{\operatorname{t}}$  be the associated scalar curvature, that is  $\widehat{\operatorname{Scal}}^{\operatorname{t}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^{\operatorname{t}} e_i, e_i \rangle$  for any orthonormal basis  $(e_i)$  of  $T_xM$ . Then

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Scal}^{t}} = \operatorname{Scal}^{0} + \frac{1}{2\nu^{2}} |u_{t}|^{2}. \tag{4.8}$$

*Proof.* (i) Since  $\operatorname{div}(u_t) = 0$ , we have  $\operatorname{div}(u_t \otimes u_t) = \nabla_{u_t} u_t$ , and

$$\nabla u_t = \nabla^s u_t + \nabla^{sk} u_t.$$

We claim that

$$\operatorname{div}(\nabla^{sk}u_t) = -\Box u_t.$$

In fact, let  $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ , we have

$$\int_{M} \langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla^{sk} u_{t}), X \rangle \, dx = -\int_{M} \langle \nabla^{sk} u_{t}, \nabla X \rangle \, dx = -\int_{M} \langle \nabla^{sk} u_{t}, \nabla^{sk} X \rangle \, dx 
= -\int_{M} \langle d\tilde{u}_{t}, d\tilde{X} \rangle \, dx = -\int_{M} \langle d^{*}d\tilde{u}_{t}, \tilde{X} \rangle \, dx = -\int_{M} \langle \Box \tilde{u}_{t}, \tilde{X} \rangle \, dx.$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{div}(\nabla^s u_t) = \Delta u_t + \Box u_t = \operatorname{Ric}^0 u_t.$$

The result (4.7) follows.

(ii) Concerning (4.8), by (4.6), it is enough to remark that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \nabla_{e_i}^{0,s} u_t, e_i \rangle = \operatorname{div}(u_t) = 0.$$

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $\dim(M) = 3$ , and  $(u_t, \omega_t)$  be a regular solution to Equation (2.13). Then the following identity holds,

 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{M} |\omega_{t}|^{2} dx + \nu \int_{M} |\nabla^{0} \omega_{t}|^{2} dx = \frac{1}{2\nu} \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, u_{t})^{2} dx - \nu \int_{M} (\widehat{Ric}^{t} \omega_{t}, \omega_{t}) dx.$  (4.9)

where  $(\widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^t^{\#}\omega_t, A) = (\omega_t, \widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^t A)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ .

Proof. Using (4.6),

$$(\widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^{t}^{\#}\omega_{t}, A) = (\omega_{t}, \operatorname{Ric}^{0} A) + \frac{1}{2\nu^{2}}(\omega_{t}, u_{t})\langle u_{t}, A \rangle - \frac{1}{\nu}(\omega_{t}, \nabla_{A}^{0,s} u_{t}).$$

Note that according to Definition (2.7),  $(\omega_t, \nabla_A^{0,s} u_t) = (\omega_t \triangleleft \nabla^{0,s} u_t)(A)$ . It follows that

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^{t} \omega_{t} = \operatorname{Ric}^{0, \#} \omega_{t} + \frac{1}{2\nu^{2}} (\omega_{t}, u_{t}) \tilde{u}_{t} - \frac{1}{\nu} \omega_{t} \triangleleft \nabla^{0, s} u_{t}.$$

$$(4.10)$$

We shall express the right hand side of (2.26) in term of  $\widehat{\text{Ric}}^{\#}$ . By (4.10),

$$\langle \widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^t^{\#} \omega_t, \ \omega_t \rangle = \langle \operatorname{Ric}^0 \omega_t, \omega_t \rangle + \frac{1}{2\nu^2} (\omega_t, u_t)^2 - \frac{1}{\nu} \langle \omega_t \triangleleft \nabla^{0,s} u_t, \ \omega_t \rangle.$$

Then

$$-\nu \langle \operatorname{Ric}^{0} \omega_{t}, \omega_{t} \rangle + \langle \omega_{t} \triangleleft \nabla^{0,s} u_{t}, \ \omega_{t} \rangle. = -\nu \langle \widehat{\operatorname{Ric}^{t}}^{\#} \omega_{t}, \ \omega_{t} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\nu} (\omega_{t}, u_{t})^{2}.$$

Substituting this term in the right hand side of (2.26), we get (4.9).

**Remark 4.7.** The term  $(\omega_t, u_t)$  in the right hand side of (4.9) is called helical density, which involves explicitly in the evolution of vorticity in time and in space.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $\dim(M) = 3$ . Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, u_{t}) dx = -\nu \int_{M} \langle d\omega_{t}, *\omega_{t} \rangle_{\Lambda^{2}} dx - \nu \int_{M} (\nabla \omega_{t}, \nabla u_{t}) dx 
-\nu \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, \widehat{\operatorname{Ric}^{t}} u_{t}) dx + \frac{1}{2\nu} \int_{M} (\omega_{t}, u_{t}) |u_{t}|^{2} dx.$$
(4.11)

Proof. By (4.6),

$$\widehat{\text{Ric}^t} u_t = \text{Ric}^0 u_t + \frac{1}{2\nu^2} |u_t|^2 u_t - \frac{1}{\nu} \nabla_{u_t}^{0,s} u_t.$$

Hence

$$-\nu \text{Ric}^{0} u_{t} + \nabla_{u_{t}}^{0,s} u_{t} = -\nu \widehat{\text{Ric}^{t}} u_{t} + \frac{1}{2\nu} |u_{t}|^{2} u_{t}.$$

Substituting this term in the right hand of (2.27), we get (4.11).

## 5 Case of $\mathbb{R}^3$

We will specify results obtained in Section 4 on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . There are an ocean of publications on Navier-Stokes equations on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We only refer to [23, 28] for nice expositions and to [9] for wellposedness of global solutions. We keep notations used in Section 2 for correspondences between vector fields and differential forms. In this case,  $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right\}$  form an orthonormal basis at each tangent space of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $\left\{dx, dy, dz\right\}$  an orthonormal basis at each co-tangent space. Let u be a vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :  $u = u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + u_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + u_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ , then  $\tilde{u} = u_1 dx + u_2 dy + u_3 dz$  and

$$\tilde{\omega} = d\tilde{u} = \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x}\right) dz \wedge dx + \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y}\right) dx \wedge dy + \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z}\right) dy \wedge dz.$$

Hodge star operator gives an isomorphism between  $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we have

$$\omega = *\tilde{\omega} = \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z}\right) dx + \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x}\right) dy + \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y}\right) dz.$$

In this case  $\omega = \text{curl } u$ , where curl(u) is the curl of u, denoted sometimes by  $\nabla \times u$ . We have the following relations

$$\omega = \widetilde{\nabla \times u}, \quad \nabla \times (\nabla \times u) = (d^* d\tilde{u})^\# = (d^* \tilde{\omega})^\#. \tag{5.1}$$

By (5.1),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle d\omega_t, *\omega_t \rangle_{\Lambda^2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \omega_t, d^*(\tilde{\omega}) \rangle dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \times (\nabla \times u) \cdot (\nabla \times u) dx.$$

In what follows, we denote  $\xi_t = \nabla \times u_t$ . In this flat case, the intrinsic Ricci tensor  $\operatorname{Ric}^t$  defined in Formula (4.6) has expression

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^t = \frac{1}{2\nu^2} u_t \otimes u_t - \frac{1}{\nu} \nabla^s u_t, \tag{5.2}$$

where  $\nabla^s u_t$  is the rate of strains. Formula (4.9) becomes into the following form:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi_t|^2 dx + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \xi_t|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\xi_t \cdot u_t)^2 dx - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\widehat{Ric}^t \xi_t, \xi_t) dx.$$
 (5.3)

This formula says that the variation of vorticity in time and in space can be explicitly measured by using helicity and the associated intrinsic Ricci tensor. Formula (4.11) has the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi_t \cdot u_t \, dx = -\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \xi_t) \cdot \xi_t \, dx - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \xi_t \cdot \nabla u_t \, dx 
-\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi_t \cdot \widehat{\operatorname{Ric}}^t u_t \, dx + \frac{1}{2\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\xi_t \cdot u_t) |u_t|^2 \, dx,$$
(5.4)

which shows how the helicity  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi_t \cdot u_t dx$  varies.

## 6 Appendix

#### 6.1 Proof of Proposition 3.3

We first give a complete proof of Proposition 3.3 by following the proof of Theorem 3.5.1 in [24], and emphasize the steps we have to modify.

*Proof.* Let  $i_x$  be the injectivity radius at x and suppose that

$$i_M = \inf\{i_x; \ x \in M\} > 0.$$
 (6.1)

This means that the ball  $B(x, i_M)$  does not meet the cut-locus  $C_x$  of x. We prepare what we will need for proving (3.10).

Let  $x \in B(x_0, i_M/2)^c$  which maybe is closed to or in  $C_{x_0}$ . Let  $\gamma_x : [0, \eta(x)] \to M$  be a distance-minimizing geodesic connecting  $x_0$  and x, parameterized by length. Then  $\gamma_x(i_M/4) \notin C_x$  or  $x \notin C_{\gamma_x(i_M/4)}$ . Put  $y = \gamma_x(i_M/4)$ . Then  $d_M(x_0, x) = d_M(x_0, y) + d_M(y, x)$ . Since  $C_y$  is closed, there is  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$B(x, \varepsilon_0) \cap C_y = \emptyset.$$

We suppose that such  $\varepsilon_0$  is valid for all x (in fact, we will restrict ourselves in a compact set). Let  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \wedge \frac{i_M}{8}$ , and define

$$D_{\varepsilon} = \{ x \in M; \ d_M(x, C_{x_M}) < \varepsilon \}.$$

We claim that

$$D_{\varepsilon} \subset B(x_M, i_M/2)^c.$$
 (6.2)

In fact, if there exists  $x \in D_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $d_M(x, x_M) < i_M/2$ ; there is  $z \in C_{x_M}$  such that  $d_M(x, z) < \varepsilon$ ; then  $d_M(x_M, z) \le d_M(x_M, x) + d_M(x, z) < i_M$  which contradicts the definition of  $i_M$ . Let  $\gamma_x$  be the geodesic considered above. Then  $x \notin C_y$  with  $y = \gamma_x(i_M/4)$ .

Now introduce the stopping times  $\sigma_q$  by  $\sigma_0 = 0$  and

$$\sigma_q = \inf\{t > \sigma_{q-1}; \ d_M(\pi(r_t), \pi(r_{\sigma_{q-1}})) = \varepsilon\}.$$

Let t > 0 and set  $t_q = t \wedge \sigma_q$ . Then

$$\rho(r_t) - \rho(r_0) = \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} \left( \rho(r_{t_q}) - \rho(r_{t_{q-1}}) \right). \tag{6.3}$$

(i) If  $\pi(r_{t_{q-1}}) \not\in D_{\varepsilon}$ , then for  $s \in [t_{q-1}, t_q], \, \pi(r_s) \not\in C_{x_M}$ . Applying Itô formula, we have

$$\rho(r_{t_q}) - \rho(r_{t_{q-1}}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{q-1}}^{t_q} (\mathcal{L}_{A_k}\rho)(r_s) dW_s^k + \int_{t_{q-1}}^{t_q} (L_s\rho)(r_s) ds, \tag{6.4}$$

where  $L_s = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{O(M)} + \mathcal{L}_{V_s}$ 

(ii) Set  $x_q = \pi(r_{t_q})$ . If  $x_{q-1} \in D_{\varepsilon}$ , then by discussion at beginning, there is  $y_{q-1}$  on a distance-minimizing geodesic  $\gamma$  connecting  $x_M$  and  $x_{q-1}$  such that  $d_M(x_M, y_{q-1}) = \frac{i_M}{4}$  and  $x_{q-1} \notin C_{y_{q-1}}$  and for  $s \in [t_{q-1}, t_q]$ ,

$$d_M(\pi(r_s), x_{q-1}) \le \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Therefore  $\pi(r_s) \notin C_{y_{q-1}}$ . Let  $\rho_q^*(r) = d_M(\pi(r), y_{q-1})$ . Applying Itô formula to  $\rho_q^*$ , we have

$$\rho_q^*(r_{t_q}) - \rho_q^*(r_{t_{q-1}}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{q-1}}^{t_q} (\mathcal{L}_{A_k} \rho_q^*)(r_s) dW_s^k + \int_{t_{q-1}}^{t_q} (L_s \rho_q^*)(r_s) ds.$$

On one hand

$$d_M(x_M, x_{q-1}) = d_M(x_M, y_{q-1}) + d_M(x_{q-1}, y_{q-1})$$
 or  $\rho(r_{t_{q-1}}) = \frac{i_M}{4} + \rho_q^*(r_{t_{q-1}}),$ 

and on the other hand

$$d_M(x_M, x_q) \le d_M(x_M, y_{q-1}) + d_M(x_q, y_{q-1})$$
 or  $\rho(r_{t_q}) \le \frac{i_M}{4} + \rho_q^*(r_{t_q})$ .

It follows that

$$\rho(r_{t_q}) - \rho(r_{t_{q-1}}) \le \rho_q^*(r_{t_q}) - \rho_q^*(r_{t_{q-1}}).$$

Therefore there exists  $\hat{L}_q \geq 0$  such that

$$\rho(r_{t_q}) - \rho(r_{t_{q-1}}) = \rho_q^*(r_{t_q}) - \rho_q^*(r_{t_{q-1}}) - \hat{L}_q.$$

Define

$$\tau_R = \inf\{t > 0, \ d_M(x_M, \pi(r_t)) > R\}.$$

As did in [24], page 95, we get

$$\rho(r_{t \wedge \tau_R}) - \rho(r_0) = \beta_{t \wedge \tau_R} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_R} (L_s \rho)(r_s) \, ds - \hat{L}_{\varepsilon}(t \wedge \tau_R) + R_{\varepsilon}(t \wedge \tau_R),$$

where

$$\hat{L}_{\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} \hat{L}_q \mathbf{1}_{D_{\varepsilon}} \pi((r_{t_{q-1}}))$$

which converges to  $\hat{L}(t)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . The term  $R_{\varepsilon}(t) = m_{\varepsilon}(t) + b_{\varepsilon}(t)$  with  $m_{\varepsilon}(t)$  the same as in [24], page 95, so that

$$\mathbb{E}(|m_{\varepsilon}(t)|^2) \le 4 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{D_{2\varepsilon}}(\pi(r_s))) \, ds.$$

Therefore for any compact subset  $K \subset B(x_M, R)$ ,

$$\int_{\pi^{-1}(K)} \mathbb{E}(|m_{\varepsilon}(t \wedge \tau_{R})|^{2}) dr_{0} \leq 4 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\pi^{-1}(K)} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{D_{2\varepsilon}}(\pi(r_{s \wedge \tau_{R}}))) dr_{0} ds 
\rightarrow 4 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\pi^{-1}(K)} E(\mathbf{1}_{C_{x_{M}}}(\pi(r_{s \wedge \tau_{R}}))) dr_{0} ds \leq 4 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{M} \mathbf{1}_{C_{x_{M}}}(x) dx ds = 0.$$

The term  $b_{\varepsilon}(t)$  has to be modified such that

$$b_{\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{q=1}^{+\infty} \left[ \int_{t_{q-1}}^{t_q} \left( L_s \rho_q^*(r_s) - L_s \rho(r_s) \right) ds \right] \mathbf{1}_{D_{\varepsilon}}(\pi(r_{t_{q-1}})).$$

By (3.6) and (3.8), we have to control the term  $1/\rho$ . For  $x_{q-1} \in D_{\varepsilon}$  and for  $s \in [t_{q-1}, t_q]$ ,

$$d_M(x_M, x_s) \ge d_M(x_M, x_{q-1}) - d_M(x_{q-1}, x_s) \ge \frac{i_M}{2} - \varepsilon \ge \frac{3i_M}{8},$$

and

$$d_M(y_{q-1}, x_s) \ge d_M(x_M, x_s) - d_M(x_M, y_{q-1}) \ge \frac{3i_M}{8} - \frac{i_M}{4} = \frac{i_M}{8}.$$

Therefore, according to (3.7), since  $x_s = \pi(r_s) \in D_{2\varepsilon}$ , there exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\int_{t_{q-1}}^{t_q} \left| \left( L_s \rho_q^*(r_s) - L_s \rho(r_s) \right) \right| ds \mathbf{1}_{D_{\varepsilon}}(\pi(r_{t_{q-1}})) \le \alpha \int_{t_{q-1}}^{t_q} (1 + |V_s(r_s)|) \mathbf{1}_{D_{2\varepsilon}}(\pi(r_s)) ds.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(|b_{\varepsilon}(t)|) \le \alpha \,\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_0^t (1 + |V_s(r_s)|) \mathbf{1}_{D_{2\varepsilon}}(\pi(r_s)) \,ds\Big). \tag{6.5}$$

Again by hypothesis (2.16), there is a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that  $\operatorname{vol}(B(x_0, \delta)) \leq e^{c_0 \delta}$ , and therefore for a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$ ,

$$C_M = \int_{O(M)} \exp(-\lambda_0 d_M^2(\pi(r_0), x_0)) dr_0 < +\infty.$$

Define the probability measure  $d\mu$  on O(M) by

$$d\mu(r_0) = \frac{1}{C_M} \exp(-\lambda_0 d_M^2(\pi(r_0), x_0)) dr_0.$$
(6.6)

Now integrating with respect to  $d\mu(r_0)$ , we get

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\pi^{-1}(K)} \mathbb{E}\left((1+|V_{s}(r_{s})|)\mathbf{1}_{D_{2\varepsilon}}(\pi(r_{s}))\mathbf{1}_{(s<\tau_{R})}\right) d\mu(r_{0}) ds 
\to \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\pi^{-1}(K)} \mathbb{E}\left((1+|V_{s}(r_{s})|)\mathbf{1}_{C_{x_{M}}}(\pi(r_{s}))\mathbf{1}_{(s<\tau_{R})}\right) d\mu(r_{0}) ds 
\leq \sqrt{t} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{M} |v_{s}(x)|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{C_{x_{M}}}(x) dx ds\right)^{1/2} = 0,$$

under the hypothesis (3.11). The proof of Proposition 3.3 is complete.

#### 6.2 Bismut Formulae and Proof of Proposition 2.9

In this part, we will first present a nice derivative formulae for heat semigroup  $\mathbf{T}_t$  on differential p-forms obtained by Elworthy and Li in [18] and by Driver and Thalmaier in [13]. We keep notations introduced in Section 3. Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  be the canonical horizontal vector fields on O(M). Consider the SDE on O(M)

$$dr_t = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(r_t) \circ dW_t^i, \quad r_{|_{t=0}} = r_0.$$
(6.7)

Assume that the Ricci tensor is bounded below Ric  $\geq -\kappa$ . Then SDE (6.7) is stochastic complete (see [34]). Set  $x_t = \pi(r_t)$  with  $x_0 = \pi(r_0)$ . Then  $(x_t)$  is a semi-martingale on M, with respect to which stochastic integral can be defined (see [7]). Then we can write

$$dx_t = \pi(r_t) \circ dr_t = \sum_{i=1}^n d\pi(r_t) A_i(r_t) \circ dW_t^i = r_t \circ dW_t.$$

Therefore  $W_t = \int_0^t r_s^{-1} \circ dx_s$ , which is anti-development of  $\{x_t; t \geq 0\}$ . Set

$$B_t = r_0 W_t = \int_0^t //_s^{-1} \circ dx_s,$$

where  $//_s = r_s \circ r_0^{-1}$  is Itô stochastic parallel translation along path  $\{x_t; t \geq 0\}$ . Recall that Weitzenböck formula for p-differential forms reads as follows [25, 18]:

$$\Box = -\Delta + \mathcal{R}_n^{\#},\tag{6.8}$$

where  $\Delta \phi = \operatorname{Trace}(\nabla \nabla \phi)$  for a p-form  $\phi$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_p^{\#}: \Lambda^p(M) \to \Lambda^p(M)$  is a tensor, called Weitzenböck curvature. For p = 1,  $\mathcal{R}_1 = \operatorname{Ric}^{\#}$  is Ricci tensor. As in [18],  $\mathcal{R}_p(x)$  is an endomorphism of p-vectors, that is,  $\mathcal{R}_p(x): \wedge^p T_x M \to \wedge^p T_x M$ . For  $r \in O(M)$ , define  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_p(r) = r \circ \mathcal{R}_p(\pi(r)) \circ r^{-1}$ , more precisely, for  $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{R}}_p(r)(a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_p), b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_p \rangle = \langle \hat{\mathcal{R}}_p(\pi(r))(ra_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge ra_p), rb_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge rb_p \rangle.$$

Consider the heat equation on p-forms:

$$\frac{d\phi_t}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2}\Box\phi_t, \quad \phi_{|_{t=0}} = \phi_0. \tag{6.9}$$

By definition  $\mathbf{T}_t \phi_0 = \phi_t$ . Consider the following resolvent equation on  $\wedge^p \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$\frac{d\hat{Q}_t^p}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_p(r_t) \cdot \hat{Q}_t^p, \quad \hat{Q}_0^p = \text{Id}.$$
(6.10)

Define  $Q_t^p: \wedge^p(T_{x_0}M) \to \wedge^p(T_{x_t}M)$  par  $Q_t^pV_0 = r_t\hat{Q}_t^p(r_0^{-1}V_0)$ . It is well-known (see [18]) that

$$(\mathbf{T}_t \phi)(V_0) = \mathbb{E}((\phi_{x_t}, V_t)) = \mathbb{E}\Big(\langle F_\phi(r_t), \hat{Q}_t^p(r_0^{-1}V_0)\rangle\Big), \tag{6.11}$$

where  $F_{\phi}$  is defined in (3.1) if  $\phi$  is a differential 1-form, and  $F_{\phi}(r) \in \wedge^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is such that  $\langle F_{\phi}(r), a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_p \rangle = \langle \phi(\pi(r)), ra_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge ra_p \rangle$  where  $a_1, \ldots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Proposition 6.1. Assume that

$$\mathcal{R}_p \ge -\kappa_p, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (6.12)

Then

$$|\mathbf{T}_t \phi| \le e^{\kappa_p t/2} |\phi|. \tag{6.13}$$

*Proof.* Using (6.10) and (6.12), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\hat{Q}_{t}^{p}(r_{0}V_{0})|^{2} = -\langle \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{p}(r_{t})Q_{t}^{p}(r_{0}V_{0}), Q_{t}^{p}(r_{0}V_{0})\rangle \leq -\kappa_{p} |\hat{Q}_{t}^{p}(r_{0}V_{0})|^{2}.$$

The Gronwall lemma yields that  $|\hat{Q}_t^p(r_0V_0)| \leq e^{\kappa_p t/2}|V_0|$ . Since  $|F_{\phi}| = |\phi|$ , (6.11) yields inequality (6.13).

For simplicity, for p = 1, we still denote  $\kappa$  instead of  $\kappa_1$ . In the case for 1-forms,

$$|\mathbf{T}_t \phi| \le e^{\kappa t/2} \mathbf{T}_t^M |\phi|. \tag{6.14}$$

To our purpose, we only state the formula for 1-form established by Elworthy and Li; although it was stated for the case of compact Riemannian manifolds in [18], but it remains valid in non-compact cases as did by Driver and Thalmaier in [13], section 6.

**Theorem 6.2.** For 1-form  $\phi$  and a vector field v,

$$(\Box \mathbf{T}_t \phi, v) = -\frac{4}{t^2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \phi_{x_t}, Q_t^1 \int_{t/2}^t (Q_s^1)^{-1} dM_s(v) \right) \right]$$
 (6.15)

where  $dM_s(v) = dM_s^1(v) + dM_s^2(v)$  with

$$dM_s^1(v) = \theta_{//sdB_s} Q_s^2 \left( \int_0^{t/2} (Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( //_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right) \right), \tag{6.16}$$

where  $\theta$  is annihilation operator, and

$$dM_s^2(v) = //_s dB_s \left( \int_0^{t/2} \langle Q_r^1(v), //_r dB_r \rangle \right).$$
 (6.17)

Let  $\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n\}$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and set  $e_j = r_0 \varepsilon_j$ . Then  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $T_{x_0}M$ . By definition of  $\theta$ , the term

$$\left\langle \theta_{//sdB_s} \left( Q_s^2 \int_0^{t/2} (Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( //_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right) \right), //_s e_j \right\rangle$$

may be identified with the following

$$\left\langle Q_s^2 \int_0^{t/2} (Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( //_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right), //_s dB_s \wedge //_s e_j \right\rangle.$$

Hence

$$dM_s^1(v) = \sum_{k,j=1}^n \left\langle Q_s^2 \int_0^{t/2} (Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( //_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right), \ //_s e_k \wedge //_s e_j \right\rangle //_s e_j dB_s^k,$$

and

$$dM_s^2(v) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \int_0^{t/2} \langle Q_r^1(v), //_r dB_r \rangle \right) //_s e_k dB_s^k.$$

Therefore 
$$dM_s(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_k(s) + b_k(s)) dB_s^k$$
 with

$$a_k(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left\langle Q_s^2 \int_0^{t/2} (Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( //_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right), //_s e_k \wedge //_s e_j \right\rangle //_s e_j.$$

and 
$$b_k(s) = \left(\int_0^{t/2} \langle Q_r^1(v), //_r dB_r \rangle\right) //_s e_k$$
. It is obvious that  $\langle a_k(s), b_k(s) \rangle = 0$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** The quadratic variation  $dM_s(v) \cdot dM_s(v)$  of  $M_s(v)$  admits the following expression

$$dM_s(v) \cdot dM_s(v) = 2 \left\| Q_s^2 \int_0^{t/2} (Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( //_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right) \right\|_{\Lambda^2}^2 + \left( \int_0^{t/2} \langle Q_r^1(v), //_r dB_r \rangle \right)^2.$$

**Theorem 6.4.** Assume that (6.12) holds for p = 1 and 2. Then for any differential 1-form  $\phi$ ,

$$||\mathbf{T}_t \phi||_2 \le \frac{2}{t} e^{3\kappa^+ t/2} \sqrt{2(n-1)e^{3\kappa_2^+ t/2} + 1} ||\phi||_2, \quad t > 0.$$
(6.18)

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.2,

$$|(\Box \mathbf{T}_{t}\phi, v)| \leq \frac{4}{t^{2}} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(|\phi(x_{t})|)^{2}} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Q_{t}^{1} \int_{t/2}^{t} (Q_{s}^{1})^{-1} dM_{s}(v)\right|^{2}\right]\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \frac{4e^{\kappa t/2}}{t^{2}} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(|\phi(x_{t}))|^{2}} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{t/2}^{t} (Q_{s}^{1})^{-1} dM_{s}(v)\right|^{2}\right]\right)^{1/2}.$$
(6.19)

Note that  $(Q_t^p)^{-1}$  enjoys the same kind of equations as (6.10). Thus  $||(Q_t^p)^{-1}|| \le e^{\kappa_p t/2}$  under (6.12), so that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{t/2}^{t} (Q_s^1)^{-1} dM_s(v)\right|^2\right] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t/2}^{t} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| (Q_s^1)^{-1} (a_k(s) + b_k(s)) \right|^2\right]$$

$$\le e^{\kappa t} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t/2}^{t} dM_s(v) \cdot dM_s(v)\right] = e^{\kappa t} \left(I_1(s) + I_2(s)\right),$$

where

$$I_1(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t/2}^t 2 \left\| Q_s^2 \int_0^{t/2} (Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( / /_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right) \right\|_{\Lambda^2}^2 ds \right]$$
$$I_2(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t/2}^t \left( \int_0^{t/2} \langle Q_r^1(v), / /_r dB_r \rangle \right)^2 ds \right].$$

It is obvious that  $I_2(s) \le \frac{t^2 e^{\kappa^+ t/2}}{4} |v|^2$  and

$$I_1(s) \le 2e^{\kappa_2 s} \int_{t/2}^t \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| \int_0^{t/2} (Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( / /_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right) \right\|_{\Lambda^2}^2 \right] ds \tag{6.20}$$

Since we have

$$(Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( //_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (Q_r^2)^{-1} \left( //_r e_k \wedge Q_r^1(v) \right) dB_r^k,$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big\|\int_0^{t/2} (Q_r^2)^{-1} \big(//_r dB_r \wedge Q_r^1(v)\big)\Big\|_{\Lambda^2}^2\Big] = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{t/2} \Big\| (Q_r^2)^{-1} \big(//_r e_k \wedge Q_r^1(v)\big)\Big\|^2 dr$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{t/2} e^{\kappa_2 r} ||//_r e_k \wedge Q_r^1(v)||^2 dr.$$

But

$$||//_r e_k \wedge Q_r^1(v)||^2 = |Q_r^1(v)|^2 - \langle//_r e_k, Q_r^1(v)\rangle^2$$

we therefore have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} ||//_r e_k \wedge Q_r^1(v)||^2 = (n-1)|Q_r^1(v)|^2 \le (n-1)e^{\kappa r}|v|^2.$$

To simplify calculation, we note that  $e^{\kappa_p r} \leq e^{\kappa_p^+ t/2}$  since  $r \in [0, t/2]$ . Substituting these bounds first in (6.20), then together in (6.19), we finally get

$$|\Box \mathbf{T}_t \phi| \le \frac{2}{t} e^{3\kappa^+ t/2} \sqrt{2(n-1)e^{3\kappa_2^+ t/2} + 1} \sqrt{\mathbf{T}_t^M |\phi|^2},$$

and the result (6.18) follows.

#### References

- [1] H. Airault, P. Malliavin, Integration by parts formulas and dilatation vector fields on elliptic probability space, *Prob. Theory Rel. Fields*, 106 (1996), 447-494.
- [2] M. Arnaudon, A.B. Cruzeiro, Lagrangian Navier-Stokes diffusions on manifolds: variational principle and stability, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136 (8) (2012), 857–881.
- [3] M. Arnaudon, A.B. Cruzeiro, Stochastic Lagrangian flows on some compact manifolds, *Stochastics*, 84 (2012), 367–381.
- [4] M. Arnaudon, A.B. Cruzeiro, S. Fang, Generalized stochastic Lagrangian paths for the Navier–Stokes equation, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa*, CI. Sci., 18 (2018), 1033–1060.
- [5] V. I. Arnold, Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 16 (1966), 316–361.
- [6] D. Bakry, Etude des transformations de Riesz dans les variétés à courbure de Ricci minorée, Sém. Probab., XXI, Lect. notes in Math. 1247 (1987), 137-172.
- [7] J.M. Bismut, Mécanique aléatoire, Lect. Notes in Maths, 866, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [8] J.M. Bismut, Large deviations and Malliavin calculus, Birkhäuser, Prog. Math. 45 (1984).

- [9] J. Y. Chemin, I. Gallagher, On the global wellposedeness of the 3-D Navier-Stokes equations with large initial data, *Ann. sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 39 (2006), 679-698.
- [10] F. Cipriano, A.B. Cruzeiro, Navier-Stokes equations and diffusions on the group of homeomorphisms of the torus, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 275 (2007), 255–269.
- [11] P. Constantin, G. Iyer, A stochastic Lagrangian representation of the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 61 (2008), 330–345.
- [12] B. Driver, A Cameron-Martin type quasi-invariance theorem for Brownian motion on a compact manifold, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 109 (1992), 272-376.
- [13] B. Driver, A. Thalmaier, Heat equation derivative formulas for vector bundles, J. Funct. Analysis, 183 (2001), 42-108.
- [14] D.G. Ebin, J.E. Marsden, Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid, *Ann. of Math.* 92 (1970), 102–163.
- [15] K.D. Elworthy, Stochastic differential equations on manifolds, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note, 70, Cambridge university Press, 1982.
- [16] J. Eells, K. D. Elworthy, Stochastic dynamical system, *Control theory and topics in functional analysis*, III, Intern. atomic energy agency, Vienna, 1976, 179-185.
- [17] K.D. Elworthy, Y. Le Jan, X.M. Li, On the geometry of diffusion operators and stochastic flows, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1720, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [18] K. D. Elworthy, X.M. Li, Bismut formulae for differential forms, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 327 (1998), 87-92.
- [19] S. Fang, Nash embedding, shape operator and Navier-Stokes equation on a Riemannian manifold, arxive, July 2019.
- [20] S. Fang, D. Luo, Constantin and Iyer's representation formula for the Navier-Stokes equations on manifolds, *Potential Analysis*, 48 (2018), 181–206.
- [21] S. Fang, H. Li and D. Luo, Heat semi-group and generalized flows on complete Riemannian manifolds, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 135 (2011), 565-600.
- [22] S. Fang, P. Malliavin, Stochastic analysis on the path space of a Riemannian manifold, J. Funct. Anal., 118 (1993), 249-274.
- [23] I. Gallagher, Le problème de Cauchy pour les équations de Navier-Stokes, Facettes mathématiques de la mécanique des fluides, 31-64, Edition Ecole Polytechnique, 2010.
- [24] E. Hsu, Stochastic Analysis on Manifolds, Graduate Studies in Math., 38 (2002), AMS. J. Funct. Anal., 134 (1995), 417-450.
- [25] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, North-Holland, Math. Library, 24, 1981.
- [26] , M. H. Kobayashi, On the Navier-Stokes equations on manifolds with curvature, J Eng Math, (2008) 60:55–68
- [27] H. Kunita, Stochastic flows and Stochastic differential equations, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

- [28] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A., *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*, Revised English Edition, Translated from the Russian by R. A. Silverman, Gordon and Breach (1963).
- [29] Xiangdong Li, On the strong  $L^p$ -Hodge decomposition over complete Riemannian manifolds, J. Funct. Ana, 257 (2009), 3617-3646.
- [30] T. Lyons, Z. Qian, A class of vector fields on path spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 145 (1997), 205-223.
- [31] P. Malliavin, Formule de la moyenne, calcul des perturbations et théorie d'annulation pour les formes harmoniques, J. Funt. Analysis, 17 (1974), 274-291.
- [32] M. Mitrea, M. Taylor, Navier-Stokes equations on Lipschitz domains in Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Ann.*, 321(2001), 955–987.
- [33] V. Pierfelice, The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on non-compact manifolds, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 27 (2017), 577–617.
- [34] D. Stroock, An introduction to the analysis of paths on a Riemannian manifold, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 74. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [35] D. Stroock, S.R.S. Varadhan, Multidimensional diffusion processes, Grund. Math. Wissenschaften, 233 (1979), Springer.
- [36] M. Taylor, Partial Differential Equations III: Nonlinear Equations, Nonlinear equations, Vol. 117, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer New York second edition (2011).
- [37] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis, Second edition. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 66, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1995.
- [38] R. Temam, S. Wang, Inertial forms of Navier-Stokes equations on the sphere, *J. Funct. Analysis*, 117 (1993), 215–242.
- [39] K. Yano, on harmonic and Killing vector fields, Ann. Math., 55 (1952), 38-45.
- [40] F. W. Warner, Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups, Graduate texts in Math., 94 (1983), Springer-Verlag.