

The functional trait spectrum of European temperate grasslands

Emma Ladouceur, Costantino Bonomi, Helge Bruelheide, Jitka Klimešová,, Sabina Burrascano, Peter Poschlod, Maria Tudela-Isanta, Pietro Iannetta, Andrea Mondoni, Bernard Amiaud, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Emma Ladouceur, Costantino Bonomi, Helge Bruelheide, Jitka Klimešová,
, Sabina Burrascano, et al.. The functional trait spectrum of European temperate grasslands. Journal of Vegetation Science, 2019, 30, 10.1111/jvs.12784 . hal-02311392

HAL Id: hal-02311392 https://hal.science/hal-02311392v1

Submitted on 26 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Article type : Research article

Jodi Price Coordinating Editor: Dr. Jodi Price

Title

The functional trait spectrum of European temperate grasslands

Running head

Functional traits of European grasslands

Authors and affiliations

Emma Ladouceur, Costantino Bonomi, Helge Bruelheide, Jitka Klimešová, Sabina Burrascano, Peter Poschlod, Maria Tudela-Isanta, Pietro Iannetta, Andrea Mondoni, Bernard Amiaud, Bruno E. L. Cerabolini, J. Hans C. Cornelissen, Joseph Craine, Frédérique Louault, Vanessa Minden, Kinga Öllerer, Vladimir Onipchenko, Nadejda A. Soudzilovskaia & Borja Jiménez-Alfaro

Ladouceur, E.^{1,2,3*}, Bonomi, C. (costantino.bonomi@muse.it)², Bruelheide, H. (helge.bruelheide@botanik.uni-halle.de)^{4,1}, Klimešová, J. (jitka.klimesova@ibot.cas.cz)⁵, Burrascano, S. (sabina.burrascano@uniroma1.it)⁶, Poschlod, P. (peter.poschlod@ur.de)⁷, Tudela-Isanta, M. (mariatudelaa@gmail.com)³, Iannetta, P. (pete.iannetta@hutton.ac.uk)⁸, Mondoni, A. (andrea.mondoni@unipv.it)³, Amiaud, B. (bernard.amiaud@univ-lorraine.fr)⁹, Cerabolini, B.E.L. (bruno.cerabolini@uninsubria.it)¹⁰, Cornelissen, J.H. (j.h.c.cornelissen@vu.nl)¹¹, Craine,

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/jvs.12784

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved, H., Klimešová, J.,

Ladouceur, P. (Auteur de correspondence), Boronn, C., Bruennelde, H., Klimesova, J., Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaia. N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro. B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European J. (josephmcraine@gmail.com)¹², Louault, F. (frederique.louault@inra.fr)¹³, Minden, V. (vanessa.minden@uni-oldenburg.de)^{14,15}, Öllerer, K. (kinga.ollerer@gmail.com)^{16,17}, Onipchenko, V. (vonipchenko@mail.ru)¹⁸, Soudzilovskaia, N.A. (n.a.soudzilovskaia@cml.leidenuniv.nl)¹⁹, Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (jimenezalfaro.borja@gmail.com)²⁰

¹German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany.

²Botany Department, Museo delle Scienze (MUSE), Corso Del Lavoro 3, 38122 Trento, Italy

³Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Pavia, Via S. Epifanio 14, 27100 Pavia, Italy

⁴Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Institute of Biology, Martin Luther University, Halle-Wittenberg, Am Kirchtor 1, 06108, Halle, Germany

⁵Institute of Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Dukelská 135, CZ-379 82 , Třeboň, Czech Republic

⁶Department of Environmental Biology, Sapienza University of Rome, P.le Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Rome, Italy

⁷Department of Ecology and Conservation Biology, University of Regensburg, Universitätsstraße 31, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

⁸Ecological Sciences, James Hutton Institute, Dundee, DD2 5DA, Scotland, United Kingdom,

⁹Department of Forest Ecology and Ecophysiology, University of Lorraine-INRA, UMR 1137, Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, 54500, Lorraine, France

¹⁰Department of Theoretical and Applied Sciences, University of Insubria, Via J.H.

Dunant 3, 21100, Varese, Italy

¹¹Department of Ecological Science, Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1085, 1081 HV, Amsterdam, Netherlands

¹²Jonah Ventures, 1600 Range Street Suite 201, Boulder, Colorado, 80301, USA

¹³INRA, VetAgro Sup, UMR Ecosystème Prairial, 63000, Clermont-Ferrand, France

¹⁴Institute of Biology and Environmental Sciences, Carl von Ossietzky-University of

Oldenburg, Ammerländer Heerstraße 114, 26129 Oldenburg, Germany

¹⁵Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Department of Biology, Ecology and Biodiversity, Pleinlaan
2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium
¹⁶Institute of Biology Bucharest, Romanian Academy, Spl. Independenței, 296, 060031,
Bucharest, Romania
¹⁷Institute of Ecology and Botany, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Alkotmány u. 2–
4., 2163 Vácrátót, Hungary
¹⁸Faculty of Biology, Moscow State University, 1-12 Leninskie Gory, 119991, Moscow,
Russia,

¹⁹Department of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Leiden University, Rapenburg 70,2311 EZ, Leiden, The Netherlands

²⁰Research Unit of Biodiversity (CSIC/UO/PA), González Gutiérrez Quirós, Universidad de Oviedo, 33600 Mieres, Spain

Correspondence: ¹Emma Ladouceur, Biodiversity Synthesis, German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. Email: emmala@gmail.com

Printed Journal page estimate: 5822 words (7.2 pages), Figures 3 pages, Tables 1.5 pages, Data sources 3288 words (1 table & second references list, 3.8 pages).

Keywords: clonality, functional traits, germination, grasslands, regeneration niche, seed traits, specialist species, species pool, trait spectrum

Nomenclature: The Plant List (http://www.theplantlist.org/, accessed on 22 June, 2015).

Funding Statement: EL, BJA, MTI, AM, PI and CB acknowledge the research leading to these results has received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement n°607785- as a part of the NAtive Seed Science TEchnology and Conservation (NASSTEC) Initial Training Network (ITN). BJA was further funded by the Marie Curie Clarín-COFUND program of the Principality of Asturias and the European Union (ACB17-26). BJA, and HB acknowledge support from the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig funded by the German Research Foundation (DFTG FZT 118) through the sPlot research platform. PI

acknowledges support from the Rural & Environment Science & Analytical Services Division of the Scottish Government. KÖ thanks R01567-IBB03/2018 for financial support.

eTOC: In specialist species of alpine grassland habitat types of continental Europe, non-regeneration traits seem to be filtered across habitat gradients, and most regeneration traits demonstrate multiple strategies within each habitat type, indicating possible variable trait strategies with trait groups associated with different processes.

Abstract

Questions: What is the functional trait variation of European temperate grasslands and how does this reflect global patterns of plant form and function? Do habitat specialists show trait differentiation across habitat types?

Location: Europe.

Methods: We compiled 18 regeneration and non-regeneration traits for a continental species pool consisting of 645 species frequent in five grassland types. These grassland types are widely distributed in Europe but differentiated by altitude, soil bedrock and traditional long-term management and disturbance regimes. We evaluated the multivariate trait space of this entire species pool and compared multi-trait variation and mean trait values of habitat specialists grouped by grassland type.

Results: The first dimension of the trait space accounted for 23% of variation and reflected a gradient between fast-growing and slow-growing plants. Plant height and SLA contributed to both the first and second ordination axes. Regeneration traits mainly contributed to the second and following dimensions to explain 56% of variation across the first five axes. Habitat specialists showed functional differences between grassland types mainly through non-regeneration traits.

Conclusions: The trait spectrum of plants dominating European temperate grasslands is primarily explained by growth strategies which are analogous to the trait variation observed at the global scale, and secondly by regeneration strategies. Functional

differentiation of habitat specialists across grassland types is mainly related to environmental filtering linked with altitude and disturbance. This filtering pattern is mainly observed in non-regeneration traits, while most regeneration traits demonstrate multiple strategies within the same habitat type.

INTRODUCTION

At the global scale, the variation in plant functional traits in multidimensional traitspace is determined by two axes related to plant size and leaf area (Diaz et al. 2016). These two dimensions are mainly linked with ecological trade-offs on a uniformly fast, medium, or slow growth strategy gradient (Reich 2014; Salguero-Gómez et al. 2016); and along a leaf construction economics spectrum (Wright, Reich, Westoby, Ackerly & Baruch 2004). The multidimensional trait perspective helps to understand evolutionary constraints of functional diversity for plant species, but linking this trait variation with ecological drivers is still needed (Bruelheide et al. 2018). Functional plant strategies can be explained by traits filtered in biogeographic regions and in local ecological communities as a response to historical and environmental conditions (de Bello et al. 2006; de Bello et al. 2012; Poschlod et al. 2013). However, linking local filtering with regional and continental processes remains a major challenge (Pärtel et al. 2016), and new approaches in functional-trait ecology are needed to better understand these patterns and processes in plant community ecology.

Within different historical and environmental contexts, some species are more influenced by ecological filters than others, resulting in some level of species sorting in different habitats (Leibold & Chase 2018). While some species may be restricted to one habitat as specialists (Fridley et al. 2007), other species can plastically respond to different environmental conditions (Vellend 2016) and occur commonly across environmental gradients as generalists. The presence of generalists in local communities weakens the importance of environmental filtering within habitat types, and the predictability of environment-trait relationships in favour of non-niche processes such-as dispersal limitation (Fridley et al. 2007). In contrast, plant specialists consistently associated with local environmental conditions are expected to present specific traits that make these species a strong competitor in a given habitat. Studying the trait variation of plant specialists may therefore help to detect environmental filtering within the species pool, or the species which can potentially occur at a site (Pärtel et al. 2011). Identification of functional species pools is a pre-requisite to differentiate functional patterns produced by abiotic filters (de Bello et al. 2012), and separating specialists from generalists within species pools could perhaps strengthen this approach.

Plant species may be particularly sensitive to environmental filtering at the regeneration stage and this might be more important than other life-history stages as species could be totally excluded from a habitat due to inappropriate environmental conditions for germination or successful establishment (Grub 1977). However, our knowledge of plant trait ecology is largely focused on few traits concerning aboveground vegetative growth and morphology, and very few studies have assessed the potential role of regeneration traits (Poschlod et al. 2013; Jiménez-Alfaro et al. 2016; Larson & Funk 2016; Saatkamp et al. 2018). Regeneration traits have been long acknowledged as relevant to the natural maintenance of biodiversity (Grubb 1977), and have been found to be important for both species coexistence and species sorting (Bernard-Verdier et al. 2012; Pierce et al. 2014; Fernández-Pascual et al. 2017). Processes captured by regeneration traits including flowering, seed production, clonal growth, dispersal, germination, and growth rates are relevant to community assembly, species turnover, survival and persistence (Pohl et al. 2011; Poschlod et al. 2013; Klimešová et al. 2016). When combined with non-regeneration traits, regeneration traits might add new dimensions to the plant trait spectrum (Laughlin 2013; Pierce et al. 2014; Salguero-Gómez et al. 2016; Herben et al. 2016), providing a better understanding of the role of environmental filtering in plant communities and on different types of traits.

Here, we study the functional trait variation of a species pool representative of European temperate grasslands. Our case study consists of the most frequent and dominant species occurring in widely distributed grasslands types, with similar growth and life forms but differing in species composition and environmental conditions along gradients of altitude and traditional long-term disturbance regimes (Ellenberg 2009; Körner 2003; Nagy et al. 2003; Dainese et al. 2012). By combining non-regeneration traits and less commonly studied regeneration traits, our first aim was to describe the trait spectrum of the species pool of European temperate grasslands, and to test whether this spectrum reflects the main dimensions observed at the global scale. Our second aim was to test whether habitat specialists of each grassland type show trait differentiation that might explain environmental filtering. Despite the marked dominance of few life- and growth- forms in European grasslands, we expect habitat specialists to exhibit trait combinations that may allow us to functionally characterize vegetation types, possibly with more subtle detail than at a global scale across disparate biomes.

METHODS

Species data

We focused on five grassland types representing wide ecological variation in altitude, soil and disturbance (Table 1) as described in the classification of European habitats (Galvanek & Janak 2008; Garcia-Gonzalez 2008; Calaciura & Spinelli 2008; European Environment Agency 2012). We obtained the species list of constituent species from a continental review (Schaminée et al. 2016) based on the European Vegetation Archive (Chytrý et al. 2015) and over 1 million field surveys to report species frequencies in these European habitat types. We removed rare species with <5% frequency of occurrence in each grassland type at the continental scale. We identified as specialists those species with a significantly (P < 0.05) higher frequency of occurrence in one grassland type than any other using a Fisher's exact test (Agresti 2002). Most of these specialists are generally described as characteristic or dominant species of the study grassland types in Europe (see habitat descriptions and references in Table 1). All other species were labelled as generalists. We note that our definition of specialists applies exclusively to the five grassland types compared here, assuming the association of species with one grassland type is mainly due to ecological preferences.

This article is protected by convent citer ce dicuments: Labouceur, E. (Acteur de correspondence), Bornin, C., Bruemerde, H., Klimešová,, J., Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen,, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019), The functional trait spectrum of European

Traits selection and data collection

The majority of trait data was compiled from existing datasets contributed to the TRY Plant Trait Database (see Data Use and Table 2) (Kattge et al. 2011). A request to TRY for relevant datasets returned 8,655,033 records and 96,493 unique species names across 95 trait categories in 104 datasets. Taxonomic synonyms were made consistent using the Plant List Project (Missouri Botanical Gardens & Royal Botanic Gardens Kew 2013). Traits were selected to represent different aspects of plant organs or whole plant properties and their functional significance and life history (Laughlin 2013; Jiménez-Alfaro et al. 2016). Data were then extracted by matching accepted plant names and known synonyms from the target species list into subsets. Eleven traits were used from TRY, across 47 TRY datasets, and 10 from other sources (Table 2), selected from a larger list of traits of interest. A trait was used as long as there were at least three data points for each grassland type. Trait units of measurement were standardised across datasets, and where multiple values existed, we used the mean of all individual traits of each species. While this approach does not account for intraspecific trait variation, it is expected that the influence of this variation takes place mostly within each grassland type, representing less than 20% of total variation (Siefert et al. 2015).

Data Analysis

All statistical analyses and plotting were conducted using the R Studio language and environment for statistical computing and graphics (version 3.4.0). Each R package used is referenced as each approach is explained.

We used a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to describe the multivariate trait spectrum and to identify the contribution of individual traits. We used the R package missMDA (Husson & Josse 2018) to replace missing values in the numerical traits for the PCA and to estimate parameters based on existing values within the dataset (Josse & Husson 2012; Josse & Husson 2016). Out of 9,002 (643 rows of numerical trait data x 14 columns) possible records in the PCA, 48.5% of data was missing and replaced with predicted values from the observed data. Categorical traits were excluded from the multivariate analysis. Missing data was unevenly distributed across traits, with lesscommonly studied regeneration traits missing more data than others, but averages between non-regeneration and regeneration traits overall were the same, and all traits were considered regardless (Data Quality, Table S1). The implication of this gap-filling method is that the variance in the estimators is underestimated (Josse & Husson 2012; Josse & Husson 2016). The gap-filled data was then log transformed as data were not normally distributed. The loadings of each trait and the scores of each species were extracted for the first five axes. Results were plotted using ggplot2 (Wickham 2009), FactoMineR (Husson, Josse & Mazet 2018), and factoextra (Kassambara & Mundt 2017). The collinearity of traits in the PCA was evaluated in a correlation matrix using the package corrplot (Wei & Simko 2017).

We examined the distribution of specialists and generalists in the trait space by plotting the PCA scores of both species groups for each grassland type. The PCA results were used to compare the trait space of different grassland types, plotted using packages ade4 (Dray, Dufour & Thioulouse 2018) and adegraphics (Dray & Siberchiot 2018). Differences in PCA scores between grassland types were tested with a permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) using vegan (Oksansen et al. 2018) and with a post-hoc pairwise PERMANOVA statistical tests using RVAideMemoire (Hervé 2018). Both tests were based on 999 permutations. To identify the traits that best separated the different grassland types, we employed discriminant analysis using the gap-filled data. A stepwise forward variable model selection was performed based on Wilk's Lambda criterion using the packages klaR (Roever 2018), and mda (Hastie et al. 2017), which minimizes within-class distances and contextually maximises class discrimination (Bianco et al. 2016).

We tested for differences in mean trait values among grassland types using a post-hoc pairwise Kruskal-Wallis χ^2 test from the package PMCMR (Pohlert 2018). Raw data were plotted for single traits, with no gap-filling or transformation taking place. Categorical traits were plotted in the package ggplot2 (Wickham 2018). The numerical traits and individually plotted PCA axis coordinates were visualized as RDI plots (Raw data, descriptive and inference statistics) created using the package yarrr (Phillips 2017), to effectively visualise the breadth of each trait within categories, as well as for visual comparison between them. This allowed for individual investigation of trait patterns found in the multivariate space without gap-filling.

RESULTS

We compiled a list of 645 species in total (excluding 44 species with missing data), including 257 generalists and 388 specialists, 52 taxonomic families and 244 genera (Table S1, Supporting Information). Overall, we identified 56 specialists in meadows, 75 in dry grasslands, 77 in *Nardus*-dominated grasslands, 133 in calcareous alpine grasslands, and 47 in acidic alpine grasslands for which there was available trait data (Table S1). Both the specialists and generalists represent > 83% of the species detected in the study habitats and in the context of this study we refer to them as the continental species pool.

Multivariate trait spectrum

The first, second and third axis of the PCA based on 14 numerical traits explained 23%, 9.2 % and 9.1% of the total variance, respectively (Fig. 1). All non-regeneration traits, including radial growth rate, SLA and plant height had relatively high loadings on the first axis of the PCA (Fig. 1a, Table 3). The regeneration traits with the highest contribution with the first axis were T_{max} , soil seed bank longevity, flowering duration and flowering onset. Plant height and SLA also contributed to the second axis, together with flowering onset, seed mass, seed number per ramet, and flowering duration (Fig. 1a, b, Table 3). On the third axis, regeneration traits (T_o , seed mass, clonal index, flowering onset and T_{min}) contributed the most variation (Fig. 1 b, Table 3).

Most of the traits (85%) were significantly correlated among each other (Table S4). The strongest correlations were between flowering onset and flowering duration (Pearson r = -0.463); between T_{max} and duration of seed bank longevity (0.358); and between plant height and seed number (0.345). T_0 was negatively correlated with plant height and seed number T_{min} , clonal shoot cyclicity and flowering onset were negatively correlated with all traits except T_0 , seed mass and each other. Seed mass was negatively correlated with seed number per ramet and clonal index, and positively correlated with all other traits except T_0 . The other traits had positive correlations.

Trait variation of habitat specialists

The groups of habitat specialists were differentiated among each other in the trait space (PERMANOVA F=13.43, R2=0.095, P=<0.001, Fig. 2 a, Table S6b,c). Main differences between habitats were related to the first axis of variation (Fig. S1 b, Kruskal-Wallis s²= 127.4, P= <0.001, Table S5). On axis 2, only acidic alpine grasslands were differentiated from *Nardus*-dominated grasslands (Fig. S1 b, $s^2 = 12.029$, P= 0.03, Table S5). The variability between group means (F-value) was larger for regeneration (F= 13.77, R2 0.098, P=<0.001, Table S6f) than for non-regeneration traits (F= 10.071, R2= 0.073, P=<0.001, Table S6e). The most important traits in differentiating specialist groups according to the discriminant analyses were plant height, flowering duration, seed bank longevity, SLA, and flowering onset (Table 3,I; Table S7; Fig. S2). There was an overall misclassification error of 51%, which was mainly brought about by a strong overlap in predictions for Nardus-dominated grasslands with calcareous and acidic alpine grasslands (Table S8a). Predictions were similar when non-regeneration traits were analysed separately, better characterising certain grassland specialists, while other specialists were better characterised by regeneration traits (Table S8bc). However, the discriminative power was more accurate when all traits were used together, rather than separately (Table S8).

Acidic alpine grasslands had the lowest SLA (Kruskal-Wallis s^2 = 73.28, P= <0.001, Table S1), shortest plant height (s^2 = 95.59, P= <0.001, Table S10), and a slow radial growth rate (s^2 = 28.56, P= <0.001, Table S10) with a late (s^2 = 51.38, P= <0.001, Table S10) and short flowering duration (s^2 = 74.87, P= <0.001, Table S10) (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). *Sempervivum arachnoideum*, with its far-reaching runners was the only acidic alpine

This article is protected by comment site feed of under several Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European specialist with a high clonal spread rate. Human assisted dispersal was proportionally less present in calcareous alpine and acidic alpine grassland specialists than in other grassland types (Fig. S3 d). The traits of *Nardus*-dominated grassland specialists were similar to alpine specialists in terms of their non-regeneration traits, i.e. short height, low SLA and slow radial growth. They start flowering at a similar time to acidic, calcareous alpine, dry grasslands and generalists, but flowering for a similarly short length as both alpine grassland types, and much shorter time than dry grassland specialists (Table S9, P=0.01).

Nardus grassland specialists had the smallest seed mass by a large margin (s²= 28.30, P= <0.001, Table S10); a soil seed bank characterised by short-term persistent seeds (Table 2); and a high T_{min}, but only differentiated from the very low T_{min} of meadows (P=0.03, Table S11). There was higher proportion of seed shedding in late summer in *Nardus*-dominated (70%), calcareous alpine (79%) acidic alpine grasslands (79%) (Fig. S3 c), which was consistent with the marginally narrower window of germination temperatures available to specialists of these grassland types (Fig. 4 g-i). Finally, both meadows and dry grasslands had the highest SLA, tallest plants, the fastest radial growth rates, and the earliest flowering onset and longest flowering duration compared to the other three, high-altitude habitats. Meadows also had a faster clonal lateral spread rate than calcareous alpine specialists (s²= 13.53, P= 0.018, Table S11). Specialists of dry grasslands had a notably larger presence of physical dormancy (PY) (24%, Fig. S3 a) than other habitat types.

This article is protected by comment citer ce daruments: Labouceur, E. (Auteur de correspondance), Bonomi, S., Brueinelde, H., Klimešová,, J., Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen,, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European

DISCUSSION

The trait spectrum of European temperate grasslands

The main trait dimension of the temperate grasslands analyzed in this study is similar to the 'fast-slow' continuum described globally (Reich 2014; Salguero-Gómez et al. 2016) and to the latitude-driven first dimension of the global spectrum of vascular plants (Westoby 1998; Diaz et al. 2016). The main gradient between fast-growing plants with high regeneration rates (on the right side of the PCA) and slow-growing plants with shorter flowering duration (on the left side) is likely driven by ecological differences in seasonal length and climate along the altitudinal range in which these species occur. We further found that regeneration traits including annual radial growth rate, clonal lateral spread, T_{max} , and seed bank longevity also contribute to the fast-slow strategy.

The second axis of trait variation of European temperate grasslands suggests a leaf economic spectrum driven by environmental gradients, which is mainly explained by the contribution of SLA. Plant height, flowering onset and seed mass also contributed largely to the second axis, while on the third, fourth and fifth axes major contributions were related to regeneration traits such as T_o, T_{min}, flowering duration, clonal shoot cyclicity, clonal index, clonal spread, and seed number. Similarly, Pierce et al. (2014) found that reproduction traits affect plant survival independently from primary strategies, while Salguero-Gómez (2016) found that regeneration traits, such as degree of iteroparity and net reproductive rate, were perpendicular to the leaf economics spectrum. Other studies found weak correlation or even a lack of correlation between seed and clonal traits across a whole flora (Herben et al. 2012; Herben et al. 2016). Our

This article is protected by pontent site of All unant: reserved. Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European results therefore suggest that reducing the species pool to a unique formation (temperate grasslands) removes functional variation of distinct habitat types (such as forests, wetlands, etc.) that may confound the interpretation of habitat-specific trait dimensions. In addition, the use of traits representing different life-stage processes (regeneration and non-regeneration traits) provides a more comprehensive explanation of the observed functional patterns.

Trait differences between habitat specialists

Our results support the idea that environmental filtering and disturbance governs the functional composition of plant specialists related to temperate grassland types in Europe. Specialists of low-altitude disturbed and managed habitats are characterised by 'fast' traits such as greater height, SLA, longer flowering duration and increased radial growth rate. In contrast, the 'slow' traits stand out in specialists of harsh alpine habitats with less disturbance. The combination of all available traits discriminated grassland types better than considering non-regeneration or regeneration traits separately, supporting the multidimensional nature of plant traits for understanding environmental relationships (Laughlin 2013). Nevertheless, we found weak functional differentiation between the high-altitude acidic and calcareous alpine grasslands, despite having distinct differences in soil conditions and species composition. Trait-based differences between these habitats have been found in germination traits related specifically to pH and water availability that require more experimental data (Tudela-Isanta et al. 2017) than is currently available for this entire species pool. Despite the comprehensive set of traits used in this study, the lack of more specific traits, reflecting e.g. physiological species responses, makes it possible to differentiate up to three major functional

groups: (i) high-altitude grasslands (both acidic and calcareous grasslands); (ii) lowaltitude grasslands from disturbed habitats (dry grasslands and meadows); and (iii) mid-altitude *Nardus*-dominated grasslands (differentiated from (i) or (ii) depending on which trait is being examined).

The explanatory power of traits for differentiating habitat types was mainly related to non-regeneration traits and flowering, which are in general more underdispersed than would be expected randomly within each habitat, suggesting environmental filtering may be taking place on these traits. The regeneration traits of specialists showed more over-dispersion than expected randomly, varying more within than among grassland types, supporting the idea that a multitude of regeneration niches may coexist within the same grassland type (Grubb 1977). This suggests that regeneration traits may have a different ecological role than non-regeneration traits, such as the competitive niches of species, or coexistence mechanisms (Mayfield & Levine 2010; HilleRisLambers et al. 2012). However, we found differentiation of soil seedbank longevity across habitats, which is likely influenced by traditional long-termmanagement practices such as regular mowing or grazing in meadows (Bekker et al. 1998; Fenner & Thompson 2005). In addition, human dispersed seeds are less represented among alpine grassland specialists, demonstrating the importance of traditional management for seed dispersal (Poschlod et al. 1998; Auffret 2011) and the possible complementary effect of long-term disturbance regimes for understanding functional differentiation in these habitats (Louault et al. 2005; Kahmen & Poschlod 2008). Our results also suggest that clonal traits could be affected by abiotic and biotic filters such as disturbance and soil, which perhaps are clearer in wider gradients than

explored here (Fujita et al. 2013), so the extent of environmental filtering at different scales and gradients on these traits (Klimešová et al. 2013; Klimešová & Herben 2014) is worth investigating further.

CONCLUSION

This study is, to our knowledge, the first attempt to investigate the trait variation of a continental species pool within a particular vegetation type. We found that the trait spectrum of European temperate grasslands is related to the main trade-offs observed at the global scale. However, our analyses also reveal new contributions of traits with a functional role in our study system, details that might be lost when analyzing the functional variation across distinct vegetation types. Since temperate grasslands are by definition dominated by grasses and forbs, the analysis of functional variation within this relatively homogeneous system allows us to focus on patterns and drivers linked with the specific differentiation of grassland types. Non-regeneration traits related to plant growth were mainly related to environmental gradients and disturbance across grasslands, while regeneration traits demonstrated a multitude of regeneration strategies existing within grassland types. We conclude that functional characterization of habitat specialists within species pools may be a promising approach for understanding the role of environmental filtering on trait-based ecology and vegetation diversity across large scales. However, this approach is also limited by the quality of plant traits available. Besides the integration of regeneration and non-regeneration traits, future research will need to explore traits with a stronger physiological impact on species ecological responses.

Author Contributions

BJA conceived the idea. EL, CB and BJA designed the methodology, and arranged acquisition of data. BA, SB, BC, JHC, JC, JK, FL, VM, AM, KÖ, VO, PP, NS, MTI, donated substantial amounts of data. EL lead data analysis and writing of the manuscript. BJA, HB, JK, SB, PP, MTI, PI, AM and CB contributed critical feedback to data interpretation and initial drafting of the manuscript. All authors made intellectual contributions and provided essential feedback. The first nine authors, and last author are ordered by their relative contribution, the others are ordered alphabetically.

Acknowledgements

EL, BJA, MTI, AM, PI and CB acknowledge the research leading to these results has received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement n°607785- as a part of the NAtive Seed Science TEchnology and Conservation (NASSTEC) Initial Training Network (ITN). BJA was further funded by the Marie Curie Clarín-COFUND program of the Principality of Asturias and the European Union (ACB17-26). BJA, and HB acknowledge support from the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig funded by the German Research Foundation (DFTG FZT 118) through the sPlot research platform. PI acknowledges support from the Rural & Environment Science & Analytical Services Division of the Scottish Government. KÖ thanks R01567-IBB03/2018 for financial support.

Data Accessibility

Most data were collected from donated existing databases within the TRY Global Plant Trait Database (Table 2, & Supporting Information Appendix S2). Mean values of all trait data are detailed in Supporting Information Appendix S3 Table S1. Each individual dataset which was used to calculate the mean value of each trait is referenced individually in the extended version of Table 2, in Supporting Information Appendix S2.

References

Aeschimann, D., Lauber, K., Martin Moser, D., & Theurillat, J.-P. 2004. *Flora alpina*. Bologna, Italy: Zanichelli.

Agresti, A. 2002. Categorical data analysis. Wiley, New York.

Armstrong, D.P., & Westoby, M. 1993. Seedlings from large seeds tolerated defoliation better: a test using phylogeneticaly independent contrasts. *Ecology* 74: 1092–1100. https://doi.org/10.2307/1940479

Auffret, A.G. 2011. Can seed dispersal by human activity play a useful role for the conservation of European grasslands? *Applied Vegetation Science* 14: 291–303. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-109X.2011.01124.x

Bekker, R.M., Schaminee, J., Bakker, J.P., & Thompson, K. 1998. Seed bank characteristics of Dutch plant communities. *Acta Botanica Nederlandica* 47: 15–26.

- Bernard-Verdier, M., Navas, M.-L., Vellend, M., Violle, C., Fayolle, A., & Garnier, E. 2012. Community assembly along a soil depth gradient: contrasting patterns of plant trait convergence and divergence in a Mediterranean rangeland (H. Cornelissen, Ed.). *Journal of Ecology* 100: 1422–1433. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12003
- Bianco, Lo, M., Grillo, O., Escobar Garcia, P., Mascia, F., Venora, G., & Bacchetta, G. 2016.
 Morpho-colorimetric characterisation of Malva alliance taxa by seed image analysis (R. Bekker, Ed.). *Plant Biology* 19: 90–98. https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12481
- Bruun, H.H., & Poschlod, P. 2006. Why are small seeds dispersed through animal guts: large numbers or seed size per se? *Oikos* 113: 402–411. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2006.0030-1299.14114.x
- Bruelheide, H., Dengler, J., Purschke, O., Lenoir, J., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Hennekens, S.M., ..., Jandt, U. (2018) Global trait-environment relationships of plant communities. *Nature Ecology & Evolution.* 2:1906-1917 https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41559-018-0699-8
- Calaciura, B., & Spinelli, O. 2008. *Management of Natura 2000 habitats: semi-natural dry grasslands (Festuco-Brometalia) 6210*. European Commission.
- Chytrý, M., Hennekens, S.M., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Knollova, I., Dengler, J., Jansen, F., ..., Yamalov, S. 2015. European Vegetation Archive (EVA): an integrated database of European vegetation plots. Applied Vegetation Science 19: 173–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12191
- Dainese, M., Scotton, M., Clementel, F., Pecile, A., & Leps, J. 2012. Do climate, resource availability, and grazing pressure filter floristic composition and functioning in alpine pastures? *Community Ecology* 13: 45–54. https://doi.org/10.1556/ComEc.13.2012.1.6
- de Bello, F., Lepš, J., & Sebastià, M.-T. 2006. Variations in species and functional plant diversity along climatic and grazing gradients. *Ecography* 29: 801–810. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04683.x
- de Bello, F., Price, J.N., Muenkemueller, T., Liira, J., Zobel, M., Thuiller, W., Gerhold, P., Goetzenberger, L., Lavergne, S., Lepš, J., Zobel, K., & Pärtel, M. 2012. Functional species pool framework to test for biotic effects on community assembly. *Ecology* 93: 2263–2273. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-1394.1
- Diaz, S., Kattge, J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Wright, I.J., Lavorel, S., Dray, S., ..., Gorné, L.D. 2016. The global spectrum of plant form and function. Nature 529: 167–171. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16489
- Dray, S., Dufour, A.-B., & Thioulouse, J. (2018, May 05). ade4: analysis of ecological data: exploratory and euclidean methods in environmental sciences. Version 1.7-11. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ade4/index.html.
- Dray, S., & Siberchicot, A. (2018, May 05). adegraphics: An S4 lattice-based package for the representation of multivariate data. Version 1.0. Retrieved from https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/adegraphics/index.html

Ellenberg, H. 2009. Vegetation ecology of Central Europe (4th ed.). In Strutt, G.K. (tran.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

European Environment Agency, European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity. 2012. 6150 Siliceous alpine and boreal grasslands.

Fenner, M., & Thompson, K. (eds.) 2005. The ecology of seeds. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Fernández-Pascual, E., Pérez-Arcoiza, A., Prieto, J.A., & Díaz, T.E. 2017. Environmental filtering drives the shape and breadth of the seed germination niche in coastal plant communities. Annals of Botany 119: 1169–1177. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcx005

Fridley, J.D., Vandermaster, D.B., Kuppinger, D.M., Manthey, M., & Peet, R.K. 2007. Cooccurrence based assessment of habitat generalists and specialists: a new approach for the measurement of niche width. Journal of Ecology 95: 707–722. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2007.01236.x

Fujita, Y., Venterink, H.O., van Bodegom, P.M., Douma, J.C., Heil, G.W., Hölzel, N., ..., Wassen, M.J. 2013. Low investment in sexual reproduction threatens plants adapted to phosphorus limitation. Nature 505: 82–86. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12733

Galvanek, D., & Janak, M. 2008. *Management of Natura 2000 habitats: species-rich Nardus grasslands 6230*. European Commission.

Garcia-Gonzalez, R. 2008. *Management of Natura 2000 habitats alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands 6170*. European Commission.

Grubb, P.J. 1977. The maintenance of species-richness in plant communities: the importance of the regeneration niche. Biological Reviews 52: 107–145. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1977.tb01347.x

Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., Leisch, F., Hornik, K., & Ripley, B.D. (2017, March 20). mda: mixture and flexible discriminant analysis. Version 0.4-10. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mda/index.html

Herben, T., Nováková, Z., Klimešová, J., & Hrouda, L. 2012. Species traits and plant performance: functional trade-offs in a large set of species in a botanical garden. Journal of Ecology 100: 1522–1533. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2012.02018.x

Herben, T., Tackenberg, O., & Klimešová, J. 2016. Reproduction by seed and clonality in plants: correlated syndromes or independent strategies? Journal of Ecology 104: 1696–1706. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12646

Hervé, M. (2018, May 14). RVAideMemoire: Diverse basic statistical and graphical functions. Version 0.9-69-3. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/RVAideMemoire/index.html

- HilleRisLambers, J., Adler, P.B., Harpole, W.S., Levine, J.M., & Mayfield, M.M. 2012. Rethinking Community Assembly through the Lens of Coexistence Theory. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 43: 227–248. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110411-160411
- Husson, F , Josse, J., Le, S., & Mazet, J. (2018 May 04). FactoMineR: Multivariate exploratory data analysis and data mining . Version 1.41. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/FactoMineR/index.html
- Husson, F., & Josse, J. (2018, June 25) missMDA: Handling missing values with multivariate data analysis. Version 1.13. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/missMDA/index.html
- Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Silveira, F.A.O., Fidelis, A., Poschlod, P., & Commander, L.E. 2016. Seed germination traits can contribute better to plant community ecology. Journal of Vegetation Science 27: 637–645. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12375
- Josse, J., & Husson, F. 2012. Handling missing values in exploratory multivariate data analysis methods. Journal de la Societe Francais de Statistique 153: 1–21.
- Josse, J., & Husson, F. 2016. missMDA: A package for handling missing values in multivariate data analysis. Journal of Statistical Software 70: 1–31.
- Kahmen, S., & Poschlod, P. 2008. Effects of grassland management on plant functional trait composition. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 128: 137–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2008.05.016
- Kassambara, A., & Mundt, F. (2017 August 22). factoextra: Extract and visualize the results of multivariate data analyses. Version 1.0.5. Retreived from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/factoextra/index.html
- Kattge, J., Diaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, I.C., Leadley, P., Bönisch, G., ..., Wirth, C. 2011. TRY - a global database of plant traits. Global Change Biology 17: 2905–2935. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02451.x
- Klimešová, J., & Herben, T. 2014. Clonal and bud bank traits: patterns across temperate plant communities (S. Bartha, Ed.). Journal of Vegetation Science 26: 243–253. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12228
- Klimešová, J., Dolezal, J., Prach, K., & Košnar, J. 2013. Clonal growth forms in Arctic plants and their habitat preferences: a study from Petuniabukta, Spitsbergen. Polish Polar Research 33: 1–22. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10183–012–0019–y
- Klimešová, J., Tackenberg, O., & Herben, T. 2016. Herbs are different: clonal and bud bank traits can matter more than leaf-height-seed traits. New Phytologist 210: 13– 17. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13788
- Körner, C. 2003. Alpine plant life: functional plant ecology of high mountain ecosystems. Berlin, Heidelberg. Germany: Springer.

- Larson, J.E., & Funk, J.L. 2016. Regeneration: an overlooked aspect of trait-based plant community assembly models. Journal of Ecology 104: 1284–1298. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12613
- Laughlin, D.C. 2013. The intrinsic dimensionality of plant traits and its relevance to community assembly. Journal of Ecology 102: 186–193. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12187
- Leibold, M., & Chase, J.M. 2018. Metacommunity ecology. Princeton, US: Princeton University Press.
- Louault, F., Pillar, V.D., Aufrere, J., Garnier, E., & Soussana, J.F. 2005. Plant traits and functional types in response to reduced disturbance in a semi-natural grassland. Journal of Vegetation Science 16: 151–160. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2005.tb02350.x
- Mayfield, M.M., & Levine, J.M. 2010. Opposing effects of competitive exclusion on the phylogenetic structure of communities. Ecology Letters 13: 1085–1093. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01509.x

Missouri Botanical Gardens, Royal Botanic Gardens Kew. 2013. The Plant List.

- Nagy, L., Grabherr, G., Korner, C., & Thompson, D.B.A. (Eds.).2003. Alpine Biodiversity in Europe. Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., ... Wagner, H. (2018,May 17). Vegan: community ecology package. Version 2.5-2. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html
- Pärtel, M., Bennett, J.A., & Zobel, M. 2016. Macroecology of biodiversity: disentangling local and regional effects. *New Phytologist* 211: 404–410. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13943
- Pärtel, M., Szava-Kovats, R., & Zobel, M. 2011. Dark diversity: shedding light on absent species. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 26: 124–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.12.004
- Phillips, N. A Companion to the e-Book "YaRrr!: The pirate's guide to R" (2017, May 19) Version 0.1.5. Retrieved from https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/yarrr/index.html
- Pierce, S., Bottinelli, A., Bassani, I., Ceriani, R.M., & Cerabolini, B.E.L. 2014. How well do seed production traits correlate with leaf traits, whole-plant traits and plant ecological strategies? Plant Ecology 215: 1351–1359. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-014-0392-1
- Pohl, M., Stroude, R., Buttler, A., & Rixen, C. 2011. Functional traits and root morphology of alpine plants. Annals of Botany 108: 537–545. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcr169

- Pohlert, T. (2018, May 19) The pairwise multiple comparison of mean ranks package (PMCMR). Version 4.3. https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/PMCMR/index.html
- Poschlod, P., Abedi, M., Bartelheimer, M., Drobnik, J., Rosbakh, S., & Saatkamp, A. 2013. Seed ecology and assembly rules in plant communities. In van der Maarel, E. & Franklin, J. (eds.), *Vegetation Ecology*, pp. 164–202. Blackwell Science.
- Poschlod, P., Kiefer, S., Tränkle, U., Fischer, S., & Bonn, S. 1998. Plant species richness in calcareous grasslands as affected by dispersability in space and time. Applied Vegetation Science 1: 75–91. https://doi.org/10.2307/1479087
- Reich, P.B. 2014. The world-wide "fast-slow" plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto. Journal of Ecology 102: 275–301. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12211
- Riibak, K., Ronk, A., Kattge, J., & Pärtel, M. 2017. Dispersal limitation determines largescale dark diversity in Central and Northern Europe. Journal of Biogeography 12: 5– 12. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13000
- Roever, C., Raabe, N., Luebke, K., Ligges, U., Szepannek, G., & Zentgraf, M. (2018 March 19) klaR: Classification and Visualization. Version 0.6-14. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/klaR/index.html
- Saatkamp, A., Cochrane, A., Commander, L., Guja, L.K., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Larson, J., ...Walck, J.L. 2018. A research agenda for seed-trait functional ecology. *New Phytologist*. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15502
- Salguero-Gómez, R., Jones, O.R., Jongejans, E., Blomberg, S.P., Hodgson, D.J., Mbeau-Ache, C., ..., Buckley, Y.M. 2016. Fast–slow continuum and reproductive strategies structure plant life-history variation worldwide. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113: 230–235. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506215112
- Schaminée, J.H.J., Chytrý, M., Hennekens, S.M., Janssen, J.A.M., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Knollova, I., ..., Tichý, L. 2016. Review of grassland habitats and development of distribution maps of heathland, scrub and tundra habitats of EUNIS habitats classification. Alterra, Institute.
- Siefert, A., Violle, C., Chalmandrier, L., Albert, C.H., Taudiere, A., Fajardo, A., ..., Wardle, D.A. 2015. A global meta-analysis of the relative extent of intraspecific trait variation in plant communities. Ecology Letters 18: 1406–1419. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12508
- Tudela-Isanta, M., Fernández-Pascual, E., Wijayasinghe, M., Orsenigo, S., Rossi, G., Pritchard, H.W., & Mondoni, A. 2017. Habitat-related seed germination traits in alpine habitats. *Ecology and Evolution* 17: 188–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3539
- Velland, M. 2016. *The theory of ecological communities*. Princeton, US: Princeton University Press.

Wei, T., & Simko, V. (2017, October 16). corrplot: visualization of a correlation matrix. Version 0.84. Retrieved from https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/corrplot/index.html

Westoby, M. 1998. A leaf-height-seed (LHS) plant ecology strategy scheme. Plant Soil 199: 213–227. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004327224729

Wickham, H., Chang, W., Henry, L., Pendersen L., T., Takahashi, K., Wilke, C., & Woo, K., (2018 July 03). ggplot2: create elegant data visualizations using the grammar of graphics. Version 3.0. Retrieved from https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Appendix S1: Statistical results, species trait summary, Table S2-Table S11 supporting figure descriptions Figure S1- Figure S3

Appendix S2: Table 2 extended version.

Appendix S3: Table S1: Complete species list and raw data (Appendix 3 Table S1.csv). Data Quality= The percentage of data obtained for each trait (first row), and for each species (column D) . DR= Dispersal ratio. E: = Ellenberg Values.

This article is protected by copyright All rights reserved H., Klimešová,, J.,

Table 1: Description of the five grassland types investigated. Altitude shows estimated ranges above the sea level. Number of specialist species describes the total number of specialists identified for each grassland type. EUNIS and ANNEX I habitats and their management practices according to www.eunis.eea.europa.eu/habitats. Soil pH and moisture values are derived from the Ellenberg indicator values of each species (Fitter & Peat 1994; Sanda et al. 2003; Ciocârlan 2009; Moretti & Legg 2009; Ellenberg 2010; Hill et al.; Kattge; Öllerer), expressed as the mean of those specialists (Table 2). VPL= vegetation period length (Aeschimann et al. 2004). Data sources listed in Appendix S2.

Grassland type	Altitude	# of specialist species	European Nature Information System (EUNIS) habitat classification	ANNEX I habitats of EU Habitat Directive	Traditional management practice	Soil pH	Soil moisture	VPL
Meadows	0-	57	E2.2 Low & Medium	Lowland Hay	Mowing,	6.9	4.78	March-
	1000m		altitude meadow E2.3	Meadows 6510 + High	(Grazing)	(neutral		October (8
			Mountain hay meadows	Altitude Hay Meadows 6520)		months)
Dry		77	E1.2 Perennial	Semi-natural Dry	Mowing &	7.3	3.2	March-
Grasslands	500-		calcareous grassland	Grasslands (Festuco-	Grazing	(calcare		October (8
	1400m		and basic steppes	Brometalia) 6210		ous)		months)
Nardus-	1400-	81	e1.7 Closed non-	Mountain acid	Grazing	4.39	5.8	May-
dominated	1800m		Mediterranean dry	grassland Species Rich		(acidic)		September
			acid & neutral	Nardus Grasslands				(5
			grassland	6230				months)
Calcareous	1800-	149	E4.4 Calcareous alpine	Alpine & subalpine	None	7.7	4.68	June-
Alpine	2700m		and subalpine	calcareous grasslands		(calcare		August (3
			grassland	6170		ous)		months)
Acidic	>2700m	52	4.3 Acid alpine and	Acidic Alpine	None	2.3	4.5	June-
Alpine			subalpine grassland	grasslands 6150		(acidic)		August (3 months)

Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. ¹. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., budzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European **Table 2: Traits and environmental data used in this study**. Methods and methods sources are indicated where relevant.. See extended version of this table including all data sources in Supporting Information Appendix 2.

	Figure/Trait	Туре	Ecological significance	Method Indications
	Non-regeneration traits			
	Specific leaf area (SLA) (cm ² /g)	Continuous	Growth rate	
	Plant height (cm)	Continuous	Competitiveness for light resources, and chances to be grazed/mown	
	Annual radial plant growth rate (growth rate) (mm/year)	Continuous	Growth	
	Regeneration traits			
	Flowering onset (month)	Ordinal	Sexual reproduction, pollinator attraction	According to (Aeschimann et al. 2004)
	Flowering duration (months)	Ordinal	Sexual reproduction, pollinator support	According to (Aeschimann et al. 2004)
	Clonal lateral spread (cm/year)	Continuous	Growth	The horizontal increment of the clonal growth organ (cm/year)
	Clonal index	Ordinal	Number of offspring + spread	Number of clonal offspring produced per parent and year, and the clonal lateral spread combined (Johansson et al. 2010).
	Clonal shoot cyclicity (years)	Continuous	Turnover of shoots	The lifespan of a clonal shoot (years), starting from the sprouting of a bud, vegetative growth, flowering and fruiting, until shoot death (Klimešová & De Bello 2009)
				2007.

This article is protected by convent citch ce diffugates: reserved, Ladouceur, E. (Adjeur de Convention), Brueneure, Brueneure, H., Klimešová,, J., Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. I. L., Cornelissen,, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., oudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European

	Dry seed mass (seed mass) (g/1000 seeds)	Continuous	Dispersal distance, persistence is soil, predation likelihood	
	Seed number per ramet (Seed #/ramet)	Continuous	Reproductive potential	
AL	Soil seed bank longevity (years)	Ordinal	Lifespan after dispersal, soil seed bank persistence, and response to disturbance	Where multiple values existed the longest persistence values were selected (Estrada et al. 2015). Collected as categorical, & assigned ordinal values as transient (0.5 years), short-term persistent (2.5), and long-term persistent (5) (Thompson, Bakker & Bekker, 1997).
	Minimum germination temperature (T _{min}) (Celcius)	Continuous	Climate adaptation, seasonal timing, and survival	(Fitter & Peat 1994; Poschlod <i>et al.</i> 2003)
GO	Optimum germination temperature (T _o) (Celcius)	Continuous	Climate adaptation, seasonal timing, and survival	Where available from the Seed Information Database, T _o data was collected as the temperature in the most recent test that produced the highest germination percentage lacking chemical treatment.
	Maximum germination temperature (T _{max}) (Celcius)	Continuous	Climate adaptation, seasonal timing, and survival	
	Dormancy	Categorical	Delay of germination related to reproductive strategy	Kept consistent with the classification system of and collected from (Baskin & Baskin 2014).
	Clonal reproduction	Binomial	Reproductive potential	-
	Seed dispersal time	Ordinal	Germination strategy	Estimated from flowering stop date according to: (Aeschimann et al. 2004)
	Dispersal syndrome	Categorical	Dispersal potential and	Seed dispersal syndrome was normalised

This article is protected by copyright, All rights reserved, Ladouceur, E. (Adteur et concerning), Boundary, Bruenette, H., Klimešová, J., Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. I. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Ollerer, K., Onipchenko, V., pudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European

recruitment	to eight main categories based on available data and literature (Willson & Traveset
	2000).

This article is protected by convince, the Air umant: reserved. Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., pudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European

Table 3: Loadings of the plant trait values for the first three axes of the PCA for: all traits and all species. Bold numbers indicate the top three (3)- five (5) highest loadings on each axis.

a) All Traits	i) All species	Main Analy	cic)		
	ij Ali species				• · -
	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3	Axis 4	Axis 5
Variance Explained	23.1	9.15	9.08	7.84	6.83
Eigenvalue	3.23	1.28	1.27	1.1	0.96
SLA	0.29	-0.30	0.05	0.07	0.06
Plant height	0.27	0.49	0.09	0.19	0.25
Radial growth rate	0.30	-0.04	0.07	0.1	-0.07
Flowering onset	-0.29	0.36	0.33	0.05	-0.06
Flowering duration	0.31	-0.32	-0.24	-0.27	0.25
Clonal spread	0.28	0.18	0.26	-0.19	-0.40
Clonal index	0.26	-0.07	0.38	-0.19	-0.46
Clonal cyclicity	-0.25	0.1	0.22	-0.37	0.08
Seed mass	0.16	0.34	-0.4	0.5	-0.2
Seed # /ramet	0.24	0.34	0.27	-0.17	0.51
Soil seed bank longevity	0.33	-0.04	0.08	-0.01	0.11
T _{min}	-0.18	-0.23	0.33	0.41	-0.15
T _o	-0.05	-0.3	0.43	0.43	0.37
T _{max}	0.37	-0.04	0.13	0.18	-0.12

Figures

Figure 1: Trait space of the functional species pool of European temperate grasslands. Sample scores representing 645 plant species in a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on numerical plant traits and a correlation matrix. Each point represents a species, arrows represent the visualisation of the contributing variables (See Table 2 for trait abbreviations). Grey arrows/labels represent non-regeneration traits and black arrows/labels regeneration traits. The five species that contribute the most variation to each axis are labelled in blue and detailed in Table S3. Percentages for the three main axes represent the explained variance.

Figure 2: Trait space of habitat specialists grouped in five grassland types and generalists. Sample scores representing 645 plant species in a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for axis 1 & 2 based on numerical plant traits and a correlation matrix. Each point represents a species, and each line shows its distance from the centre point of the data for each species group. a) Colored ellipses representing species belonging to each specialist group are separated by facets, detailed in the key; b) pooled specialists vs. generalists (See Table 1 for grassland type abbreviations). Degrees of freedom=5.

Figure 3: Non-regeneration traits across habitats. a) SLA, b) plant height, c) annual radial growth rate, d) flowering onset, e) flowering duration. RDI plots (Raw data, Descriptive and Inference statistics) show jittered points of raw data, centre bars indicate the mean of the data, beans outline the smoothed density of the data, whiskers mark the 10% and 90% quartiles of the data, and inference bands show the Bayesian 95% High Density Interval inferential statistics for each group. Numbers at the top of each group indicates the number of data points for each trait, and letters show statistical differences between groups.

Figure 4: Regeneration traits across habitats. a) clonal lateral spread, b) clonal index (number of offspring + spread) and c) clonal shoot cyclicity, d) dry seed mass, e) seed number per ramet/plant, f) soil seed bank longevity, and seed germination traits g) minimum germination temperature, h) optimal germination temperature and i) maximum germination temperature. RDI plots as in Fig.3.



Version postprint

This article is protected by convertice, each ment site of the served. Hadduceur, E. (Acteur de convertice), Bournetta, Bruenelde, H., Klimešová,, J., Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen,, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019), The functional trait spectrum of European



This article is protected by ponyrigh, call the fact ment is reserved. Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European

Version postprint



This article is protected by convertice, each ment site of the served. Hadduceur, E. (Acteur de convertice), Bournetta, Bruenelde, H., Klimešová,, J., Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen,, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaja, N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2019), The functional trait spectrum of European



This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved, H., Klimešová, J.,

Eadurcedr, P. (Auteur de correspondence), Boronn, C., Bruennelde, H., Klimesova, J., Burrascano, S., Poschlod, P., Tudela-Isanta, M., Iannetta, P., Mondoni, A., Amiaud, B., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J., Louault, F., Minden, V., Öllerer, K., Onipchenko, V., Soudzilovskaia. N. A., Jiménez-Alfaro. B. (2019). The functional trait spectrum of European

Version postprint