

Studies of Natural and Accelerated Carbonation in Metakaolin-Based Geopolymer

Raphaëlle Pouhet, Martin Cyr

▶ To cite this version:

Raphaëlle Pouhet, Martin Cyr. Studies of Natural and Accelerated Carbonation in Metakaolin-Based Geopolymer. Advances in Science and Technology, 2014, 92, pp.38-43. 10.4028/www.scientific.net/ast.92.38 hal-02309953

HAL Id: hal-02309953 https://hal.science/hal-02309953

Submitted on 9 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Studies of natural and accelerated carbonation in metakaolin-based geopolymer

POUHET Raphaëlle^{1, a*}, CYR Martin^{2,b}

^{1,2,} Université de Toulouse, UPS, INSA, Laboratoire Matériaux et Durabilité des Constructions, 135, Avenue de Rangueil, F-31077 TOULOUSE Cedex 4, France

^a pouhet@insa-toulouse.fr, ^b martin.cyr@insa-toulouse.fr

Keywords: Geopolymer, carbonation, Metakaolin, Efflorescence, Durability.

Abstract. The carbonation of Portland-cement-based materials involves the reaction between atmospheric CO_2 and calcium ions in the pore solution. The formation of calcium carbonate is responsible for a decrease in the pH of the pore solution from 12.5 to 9, thus leading to the depassivation of steel reinforcements and their possible corrosion, and can also lead to efflorescence (white crystals formed on the surface). In metakaolin-based geopolymer activated by sodium silicate, in which calcium is almost non-existent, the presence of CO_2 will lead to the formation of sodium carbonates. Since geopolymer can be carbonated, the risk of corrosion or efflorescence needs to be assessed.

A pH study of the geopolymer pore solution showed a very fast decrease compared to OPC, with almost total carbonation after only 14 days. In natural atmospheric CO_2 conditions, it was found that the formation of sodium carbonate did not lead to a decrease of the pH to below a value around 9, thus limiting the risk of corrosion by depassivation of reinforcement, but the large amount of carbonate suggested a significant risk of efflorescence. A study of accelerated carbonation performed under an atmosphere of 50% CO_2 highlighted the formation of sodium bicarbonate resulting in a lower pH of the pore solution and a much larger amount of product formed. Finally the study of efflorescence carried out by semi-immersion tests in natural or accelerated conditions confirmed the different nature of the crystals formed (sodium carbonate or bicarbonate) but showed no significant impact on the amount of carbonated products. This study thus demonstrates that the accelerated carbonation test had very limited usefulness, given the rapidity of the natural reaction. Furthermore, it was found that this test did not reproduce reality as it led to different reaction products.

Introduction

The carbonation of Portland cement pastes is well known as a slow reaction leading to the formation of insoluble calcium carbonate deeper and deeper in the structure. The consequence of this carbonation is a gradual decrease in the pH of the pore solution. The pH can reach a value lower than 9, which is the limit value for the depassivation of steel in the concrete, and thus cause corrosion by carbonation. In addition, the dissolution of CO_2 in the pore solution could lead to the carbonation of the alkalis present in small quantities in the cement and cause efflorescence (white crystals appearing on the surface). The study of carbonation is usually performed in accelerated conditions (more concentrated CO_2 atmosphere) and the carbonation front is followed by means of a coloured indicator (phenolphthalein). In geopolymer based on metakaolin or fly ash with very low calcium content, dissolved CO_2 reacts with the abundant alkalis in the pore solution to form alkalicarbonates. For geopolymer activated by sodium silicate, it has been shown [1, 2, 3, 4] that sodium carbonates are formed but the high solubility of these carbonates and their pH greater than 10 may make the use of a phenolphthalein test unsuitable. In addition, the use of accelerated tests has been questioned as not being representative of the reaction taking place in standard conditions, and resulting in the formation of sodium bicarbonate [4].

This study aims to evaluate the behaviour of the pore solution of metakaolin-based geopolymer pastes activated by sodium silicate in the presence of CO_2 (natural and accelerated conditions) to identify the product of the reaction, and evaluate the rate of carbonation and the resulting risk of this reaction, using infrared spectroscopy and chemical titrations.

Materials and methods

The raw material used in this study was a metakaolin made with a "flash" calcination process [5]. Flash calcination refers to the combustion process (temperature around 700°C) where the particles of kaolinite are transformed into metakaolin by passing near a flame for a few tenths of a second. This process is faster and consumes less energy than traditional methods (e.g. rotary kiln). Due to the low purity of the deposit, this metakaolin contained about 50% of impurities, mainly quartz (44%). The quartz percentage was not taken into account as silica available for the formulation of the geopolymer. The activating solution was an industrial waterglass solution (Bétol 47T, Woellner) containing 17% Na₂O by mass and having an SiO₂/Na₂O ratio of 1.6. All the pastes were prepared by mixing the components into the following mass percentage: 52% MK, 41.5% alkali silicate, and 6.5% water, until a homogeneous mixture was obtained. Thus the geopolymer paste had the following molar ratios: SiO₂/Al₂O₃ = 3.6, Na₂O/Al₂O₃ = 0.9 and H₂O/Na₂O = 14.5 (calculations made by considering only the reactive silica of the metakaolin, around 26%).

Then, the pastes were cast in 9.1 x 6.2 x 4 cm (large) or 7 x 4.3 x 2.2 cm (small) plastic prisms, hermetically sealed, and stored at 20°C. After 24 h, the large prisms were divided into three: one part remained hermetically sealed at 20°C, another part was removed from the mould and placed in moist conditions (95% RH, 20°C, atmospheric CO₂%), and the last part was placed in a controlled carbonation chamber at 50% R.H., 20°C and 50% CO₂. At various times (1, 3, 5, 7 and 14 days) some prisms were crushed and placed in a high-pressure device for extracting fluid from porous materials [6] in order to obtain the geopolymer pore solution. The solution was analysed immediately after the extraction to avoid further carbonation, by measuring the pH using a pH-metric titration by HCl (0.5 M), and by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Perkin-Elmer Spectrum). All the small prisms were demoulded after 24h and cured in one of three different conditions: natural conditions (20°C, 95% R.H. and atmospheric CO₂%) and accelerated conditions at 20°C, 50% R.H., and 4 or 50% of CO₂. After 7 days, all prisms were semi-immersed in demineralized water to generate efflorescence. Once there were enough crystals, the efflorescence was analysed by FTIR.

Results and discussion

pH of the pore solution

Figure 1 reports the evolution of the pH inside the geopolymer pores up to 180 days, for samples kept at 20°C and 95% R.H., i.e. in contact with the atmosphere, and the sample which had no exchange with the atmosphere. It can be seen that, although there was a strong initial pH of 14, which was imposed by the activating solution, the pH dropped rapidly in the following days, at a rate proportional to the logarithm of time. After 14 days, the pH of the pore solution of geopolymers exposed to atmospheric CO_2 was lower than 12 and reached 10.5 at 6 months, while it was still greater than 12 for the samples kept in conditions without external exchange.



Figure 1: pH evolution of the geopolymer pore solution for pastes cured at: (**•**) 95% R.H. and atmospheric CO₂%; and (×) at 20°C in hermetically sealed plastic prisms.

This difference in the pH evolution was due to the carbonation of the pore solution in the geopolymers exposed to atmospheric CO₂, which led to the consumption of hydroxide to form $CO_3^{2^-}$ ions and sodium carbonates, according to the following reactions: $2HO^- + CO_2 \rightarrow CO_3^{2^-} + H_2O$ and $2Na^+ + CO_3^{2^-} \rightarrow Na_2CO_3$.

Infrared analysis

Figure 2a) shows the infrared spectrum between the wavenumbers of 800 and 2000 cm⁻¹ for the pore solutions extracted after 1, 3, 5, 7 and 14 days from the geopolymer paste kept at 20°C, 95% R.H., at atmospheric CO₂%. In order to identify and quantify the sodium carbonate formed in these pore solutions, measurements were also made in the infrared with five pure Na₂CO₃ solutions having mass concentrations of 10, 50, 100, 150, and 200 g.L⁻¹ (Figure 2b).



Figure 2: Infrared spectra of **a**) pore solutions of geopolymer paste cured at 20°C, 95% R.H. and atmospheric CO₂%, extracted after 1, 3, 5, 7 and 14 days and **b**) pure Na₂CO₃ solutions having the following mass concentrations: 10, 50, 100, 150, and 200 g.L⁻¹.

All spectrums exhibited infrared vibration modes between 1640 cm⁻¹ and 1635 cm⁻¹, associated with bending vibrations of H-OH bonds and related to water [2]. A mode was also clearly identified in both cases between 1382 and 1394 cm⁻¹, associated with the stretching vibration of O–C–O bonds in the carbonate group $(CO_3^{2^-})$ [2]. This association was confirmed by the increase of the intensity of the vibration at these wavenumbers when the mass concentrations of carbonate solutions increased (Figure 2b) or with the augmentation of curing time at atmospheric $CO_2\%$ (Figure 2a). The mode at 1008 cm⁻¹, only in the spectrum of the extracted pore solution at 1 day, was assigned to the asymmetric stretching vibration of Si–O–T bonds, where T is tetrahedral silicon or aluminium [2, 3]. The presence of this mode only in the pore solution extracted after 24h demonstrated the presence of solid particles in suspension, probably due to the fact that the geopolymerization was not completed at that time.

The calibration curve shown in Figure 3 was drawn up by integrating the areas under the peaks associated with the carbonate vibrations (between 1510 and 1094 cm⁻¹) and plotting them versus the mass concentration of the references. Integrating the CO_3^{2-} vibration mode of the infrared spectrums of the geopolymer pore solutions allowed the mass concentration of sodium carbonate to be calculated over time with the calibration curve (Table 1). These results show very fast carbonation as the mass concentration increased from 16.1g.L⁻¹ at 24h to 30.7g.L⁻¹ at only 3 days, followed by a rather slow evolution reaching the value of 39.8g.L⁻¹ for 7 days and longer.



Table 1: Mass concentration of Na_2CO_3 (g.L⁻¹) in the geopolymer pore solutions at 3, 5, 7 and 14 days (calculated from the calibration curve).

Time	3 days	5 days	7 days	14 days
Na ₂ CO ₃ mass concentration	30.7 g.L ⁻¹	31.9 g.L ⁻¹	39.8 g.L⁻¹	39.8 g.L⁻¹

Figure 3 (On the left): Calibration curve of the area of the carbonate vibrations (10, 50, 100, 150, and 200 g.L⁻¹) between 1510 and 1094 cm⁻¹, plotted versus the mass concentration of the references.

Titration by HCl

A titration with HCL at 0.5 M was performed on the pore solutions of the geopolymer extracted after 3 days of curing (20°C, 95% R.H. and natural CO₂). The evolution of the pH is shown in Figure 4. This curve shows three falls of the pH value and highlights the three successive reactions that took place in the solution during the titration. First, the H_3O^+ provided by HCl reacted with the OH⁻ of the solution. A volume V₁ = 1.15 mL of HCl was necessary to consume all the OH⁻, leading to a first drop of pH. This first step demonstrated that the solution was not completely carbonated. The second drop showed the amount of acid ($V_2 = 2.35$ mL) necessary to transform all the CO₃²⁻ into HCO₃⁻. This volume and the HCl concentration being known, the carbonate concentration could be calculated (results in Table 2). The third drop marked the end of the reaction between the H_3O^+ and the HCO₃⁻ formed previously (V₃ = 2.35 mL). The fact that the volumes V₂ and V₃ were identical means that no HCO₃⁻ was initially present in the pore solution (since all HCO₃⁻ came from the second reaction during the titration). These titrations carried out on the pore solution at 3, 5, 7 and 14 days determined the sodium carbonate concentration and the degree of carbonation presented in Table 2. The carbonate concentrations obtained are in agreement with the infrared results presented previously (although slightly lower), and calculation of the degree of carbonation showed that the pore solution was 97% carbonated in just 7 days.



Table 2: Mass concentration of Na_2CO_3 (g.L⁻¹) and degree of carbonation (%) of the geopolymer pore solutions at 3, 5, 7 and 14 days.

ys 5 days	7 days	14 days
.L ⁻¹ 28.6 g.L ⁻¹	37.1 g.L⁻¹	37.1 g.L⁻¹
ő 89 %	97%	97%
	ys 5 days .L ⁻¹ 28.6 g.L ⁻¹ 6 89%	ys 5 days 7 days .L ⁻¹ 28.6 g.L ⁻¹ 37.1 g.L ⁻¹ 6 89% 97%

Figure 4 (On the left): Titration curve of geopolymer pore solution extracted after 3 days of curing (20° C, 95% R.H. and natural CO₂) by a 0.5M HCl solution followed by pH, and the derivative of the pH (below)

The same measurements were performed on pore solutions extracted from geopolymer cured at 20°C, 50% R.H. and 50% of CO₂. On the titration curve of the solution extracted at 3 days (Figure 5), the drop of pH corresponding to the OH⁻ was no longer visible and the volume required to react the CO₃²⁻ (V₁ = 9.5 mL) was less than the volume required for the HCO₃⁻ (V₂ = 12 mL). Based on these results, it can be stated that the solution was already fully carbonated at 3 days and also that,

unlike previous solutions analysed, the extracted pore solution contained HCO_3^- . The presence of bicarbonate in solution has a great influence on the pH: as can be seen in Figure 6, the pH value decreases when the proportion of bicarbonate in a carbonate/bicarbonate mixture increases. This explains the low pH of the solution, 10.5 instead of the 12.5 measured at the same age for the pore solution of geopolymer kept in natural CO_2 conditions. Titrations performed at various times allowed the bicarbonate rate to be followed over time (Table 3) and the pH value to be anticipated using a theoretical pH curve made with pure carbonate and bicarbonate (Figure 6). This study shows that the use of accelerated tests to study the carbonation of geopolymer is not realistic because they not only increase the kinetics of the natural reaction but also lead to different reaction products. Such tests thus result in false conclusions, that is to say a pH low enough to cause the depassivation of steel reinforcements when, in fact, the pH should remain at a higher value in natural conditions.



Table 3: Percentage of NaHCO3 in the geopolymer pore solutions (20° C, 50% R.H. and 50% of CO₂) at 3, 5, 7 and 14 days.



Figure 5: Titration curve of geopolymer pore solution extracted after 3 days of curing (20° C, 50% R.H. and 50% of CO₂) by a 0.5M HCl solution followed by pH, and the derivative of the pH (bottom curve).

Figure 6: pH of carbonate/bicarbonate mixture (100g.L⁻¹) prepared from pure product depending on the bicarbonate content.

Efflorescence tests

The pH of the pore solution of geopolymer kept in natural conditions is thus not prejudicial. However, the risk of efflorescence related to the very high alkali content needs to be assessed. As it was shown that seven days was sufficient to almost completely carbonate the pore solution, all the small prisms were left in an atmosphere with 4% (natural air) or 50% CO₂ and 50% R.H., for one week and then placed in semi-immersion to cause the growth of carbonate crystals. After 7 days of semi-immersion, all prisms presented white crystals on their surfaces, in approximately equivalent amounts for all curing conditions. The crystals were recovered and analysed by FTIR for the atmospheres with a natural level (Figure 7a) and 50% of CO_2 (Figure 7b), and compared to pure sodium carbonate and bicarbonate. Again, this study showed differences in the carbonation reaction products of geopolymer between natural and accelerated tests.



Figure 7: Infrared spectra of **a**) pure Na_2CO_3 and efflorescence residues of geopolymer cured at natural CO_2 % **b**) pure $NaHCO_3$ and efflorescence residue of geopolymer cured at 50% of CO_2 .

Conclusion

The experimental studies performed in this study were intended to assess the natural and accelerated carbonation of metakaolin-based geopolymer activated by sodium silicate. The work was carried out on geopolymer pore solutions using FTIR and pH-meter titration and efflorescence tests were performed on geopolymer pastes. From the experimental results obtained in this study, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- After 180 days, the pH of the pore solution of geopolymer pastes cured in natural conditions presented no risk of corrosion by carbonation, since the pH value of the pore solution was higher than the steel depassivation limit.
- The geopolymer was carbonated at 97% after 7 days of exposure to atmospheric levels of CO₂.
- Exposure to high CO_2 contents (e.g. 50%) leads to the formation of sodium bicarbonate, resulting in a lower pH of the pore solution.
- The risk of efflorescence on the surface of geopolymer is significant and needs to be assessed in the aim of finding a way to prevent it.

Due to their very high alkali content compared to OPC, geopolymers are open to many questions, especially regarding their durability. The results of this study provide some answers concerning carbonation, showing that it would not be detrimental to steel reinforcement but that the risks of efflorescence are significant and need to be evaluated in order to be prevented.

References

[1] T. Bakharev, J. Sanjayan, Y. B. Cheng, Resistance of alkali-activated slag concrete to carbonation, Cem Concr Res, 31(2001) 1277-1283.

[2] S. A. Bernal, R. M. de Gutierrez, J. L. Provis, V. Rose, Effect of silicate modulus and metakaolin incorporation on the carbonation of alkali silicate-activated slags, Cem Concr Res, 40(2010) 898-907.

[3] M. Criado, A. Palomo, A. Fernández-Jiménez, Alkali activation of fly ashes. Part 1: Effect of curing conditions on the carbonation of the reaction products, Fuel, 84(2005) 2048-2054.

[4] S. A. Bernal, J. L. Provis, D. G. Brice, A. Kilcullen, P. Duxson, J. S. J van Deventer, Accelerated carbonation testing of alkali-activated binders significantly underestimates service life: The role of pore solution chemistry, Cem Concr Res, 42(2012) 1317-1326.

[5] R. San Nicolas, M. Cyr, G. Escadeillas, (2013) Characteristics and applications of flash metakaolins, Appl Clay Sci 83-84(2013) 253-262.

[6] M. Cyr, P. Rivard, F. Labrecque, A. Daidié, High-pressure device for fluid extraction from porous materials: application to cement-based materials, J Am Ceram Soc 91(2008) 2653-2658.