



HAL
open science

Eight cubes of linear forms in \mathbb{P}^6

Giovanna Ilardi, Jean Vallès

► **To cite this version:**

| Giovanna Ilardi, Jean Vallès. Eight cubes of linear forms in \mathbb{P}^6 . 2019. <hal-02309562>

HAL Id: hal-02309562

<https://hal.science/hal-02309562v1>

Preprint submitted on 9 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

EIGHT CUBES OF LINEAR FORMS IN \mathbb{P}^6

GIOVANNA ILARDI AND JEAN VALLÈS

ABSTRACT. Here we explain geometrically why the ideal $I = (L_1^3, \dots, L_8^3) \subset \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_6]$ has the WLP in degree 3 and why it fails to have it in degree 5.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a private communication Rosa Miró-Roig and Hoa Tran Quang informed us that we wrongly affirm in [4, Proposition 5.5] that the ideal $I = (L_1^3, \dots, L_8^3)$ fails the WLP in degree 3, as it was conjectured in [6, conjecture 6.6]. Indeed, computing explicitly the Hilbert functions of the Artinian ring $A = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_6]/(L_1^3, \dots, L_8^3)$ and $A/(L)$ where the L_i 's and L are general linear forms, they observed that I has the WLP in any degree except in degree 5 meaning that the multiplication map $\times L : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ has not maximal rank only when $i = 5$.

In this short note, we justify geometrically why I has the WLP in degree 3 and why it fails to have it in degree 5. For the first one, our argument is based on a famous result by Alexander and Hirshowitz [1] who give a list of general sets of double points in \mathbb{P}^n that do not impose independent conditions on hypersurfaces of fixed degree. For the second one, we show that the failure is due to the existence of a pencil of cubics in \mathbb{P}^5 passing through 9 quadruple points in general position in \mathbb{P}^5 .

According to Miró-Roig and Hoa Tran Quang degree 5 is the only degree where there is a failure of the WLP. Actually, if the failure can always be explained by a special geometric situation, having the WLP, since it is expected in general, is harder to prove. Indeed it would be necessary to have a theorem generalizing Alexander-Hirshowitz classification, that is a list of general set of multiple points, with multiplicity bigger than 2, that do not impose independent conditions on hypersurfaces which is far to be known. That's mainly why we do not propose a description in any degree.

2. WLP IN DEGREE THREE

Associated to I there is the so-called Syzygy sheaf K defined by:

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}^8 \xrightarrow{(L_1^3, \dots, L_8^3)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(3) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let us recall that according to [2] we have $A_{d+i} = H^1(K(i))$. By [4, Lemma 5.2] there are no syzygies of degree ≤ 1 , i.e. $H^0(K) = H^0(K(1)) = 0$. Then taking the cohomology of the exact sequence defining K we obtain the dimension of A_3 and A_4 . It occurs that the multiplication map $\times L : A_3 \rightarrow A_4$ have maximal rank if and only if its cokernel has dimension exactly 78. According to [4] and in particular to [4, Theorem 5.1], this cokernel coincide with the vector space of quartic cones in \mathbb{P}^6 with vertex at $\{L^\vee\}$ and 8 double points at the $\{L_i^\vee\}$'s. But having a double point for a cone means that the line joining the double point to the vertex is also double. Then the dimension of this vector space of cones is exactly the dimension of the space of quartics in \mathbb{P}^5 with 8 double points which is expected to be $126 - 6 \times 8 = 126 - 48 = 78$. Let us point out that this expected dimension is actually the dimension since 8 double points in general position in \mathbb{P}^5 impose independent conditions to the quartics because this is not one of the exceptional cases listed by Alexander and Hirshowitz in [1].

This proves that

Proposition. *The ideal $I = (L_1^3, \dots, L_8^3)$ has the WLP in degree 3 where L_1, \dots, L_8 are general linear forms on \mathbb{P}^6 .*

Remark 1. *Since the multiplication map $\times L : A_3 \rightarrow A_4$ is injective we know, using [5, Proposition 2.1], that $\times L : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ are also injective for $i = 0, 1, 2$.*

Remark 2. *The multiplication map $\times L : A_4 \rightarrow A_5$ is also injective according to Rosa Miró-Roig and Hoa Tran Quang. If we want to apply the same technic than before we have to compute the dimension of the space of quintics in \mathbb{P}^5 with 8 triple points in general position. But we don't know if 8 triple points in general position impose independent conditions on quintics and cannot conclude that the expected dimension is the true dimension.*

3. FAILURE OF WLP IN DEGREE FIVE

We give now a geometric argument explaining why the map $\times L : A_5 \rightarrow A_6$ is not injective. Let us begin by computing the dimension of A_5 and A_6 .

Shifting by 2 the exact sequence defining K and computing the cohomology, we observe first that, according to [4, Lemma 5.2], $H^0(K(2)) = 0$. This implies that $\dim(A_5) = h^1(K(2)) = 238$. Let us compute now $\dim(A_6)$. Shifting by 3 the exact sequence defining K and computing the cohomology, one finds:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(K(3)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{672} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{924} \longrightarrow A_6 \longrightarrow 0.$$

The vector space $H^0(K(3))$ of syzygies of degree 3 is not empty since it contains the Koszul relations, say $L_j^3.L_i^3 + (-L_i^3).L_j^3 = 0$. These relations are independent which gives $s := h^0(K(3)) \geq \binom{8}{2} = 28$. Then $\dim A_6 = 924 - 672 + s = 280 + (s - 28)^1$.

Consequently the map $\times L : A_5 \rightarrow A_6$ is injective if and only if the cokernel has dimension $42 + (s - 28)$. According to [4, Theorem 5.1] and [3, Theorem 13] the dimension of this cokernel is the sum of the dimension of the space of syzygies, that is s , and the dimension of the space of sextics cones in \mathbb{P}^6 with a vertex at $\{L^\vee\}$ and with 8 quadruple points at the $\{L_i^\vee\}$'s. As we wrote before in section (2) these sextics correspond to sextics in \mathbb{P}^5 with 8 quadruple points in general position. Its expected dimension is 14 which added to the s syzygies would give $s + 14 = 42 + (s - 28)$.

But a special situation occurs: through 9 double points in general position in \mathbb{P}^5 there is a pencil of cubics, let say (C_1, C_2) . There are also 8 cubics through our 8 points among these 9, let say (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_8) since of course C_1 and C_2 belong to this linear system. Now the vector space

$$(C_1^2, C_1C_2, \dots, C_1C_8, C_2^2, C_2C_3, \dots, C_2C_8)$$

has dimension 15 and it consists in sextics with 8 quadruple points. This proves that the cokernel of $A_5 \rightarrow A_6$ has dimension at least $s + 15$. This proves that the ideal I fails the WLP in degree 5.

Remark 3. *We observe that 9 quadruple points in general position does not impose independent conditions on sextics of \mathbb{P}^5 . Indeed if we compute directly there is no such sextics. But of course the existence of a pencil of cubics passing through these 9 double points give sextics with 9 quadruple points which proves that the dimension is strictly bigger than the expected one.*

REFERENCES

- [1] James Alexander and André Hirshowitz, Polynomial interpolation in several variables, *J. Alg. Geom.*, 4(2): 201–222, 1995.
- [2] Holger Brenner and Almar Kaid, Syzygy bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 and the Weak Lefschetz Property. *Illinois J. Math.*, 51:1299–1308, 2007.
- [3] Roberta Di Gennaro and Giovanna Ilardi, Laplace equations, Lefschetz properties and line arrangements, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 222(9): 2657–2666, 2018.
- [4] Roberta Di Gennaro, Giovanna Ilardi and Jean Vallès, Singular hypersurfaces characterizing the Lefschetz properties, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 89(1):194–212, 2014.

¹Actually we think that $s = 28$ but we don't need the equality to prove the failure of WLP

- [5] Juan C. Migliore, Rosa Miró-Roig and Uwe Nagel, Monomial ideals, almost complete intersections and the Weak Lefschetz Property, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(1):229–257, 2011.
- [6] Juan C. Migliore, Rosa Miró-Roig and Uwe Nagel, On the weak lefschetz property for powers of linear forms. *Algebra and Number theory*, 4:487–526, 2012.