

# Human herpesvirus 6 infection after autologous stem cell transplantation: A multicenter prospective study in adult patients

Marie Balsat, Sylvie Pillet, Emmanuelle Tavernier, Victoria Cacheux, Vanessa Escuret, Cécile Moluçon-Chabrot, Karine Augeul-Meunier, Audrey Mirand, Christel Regagnon, Fabien Tinquaut, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Marie Balsat, Sylvie Pillet, Emmanuelle Tavernier, Victoria Cacheux, Vanessa Escuret, et al.. Human herpesvirus 6 infection after autologous stem cell transplantation: A multicenter prospective study in adult patients. Journal of Infection, 2019, 79 (1), pp.36-42. 10.1016/j.jinf.2019.05.001. hal-02299171

# HAL Id: hal-02299171 https://hal.science/hal-02299171

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

## 1 Human Herpesvirus 6 Infection after Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation: A

### 2 Multicenter Prospective Study in Adult Patients.

3

4 Marie Balsat<sup>1,2</sup>, Sylvie Pillet<sup>3</sup>, Emmanuelle Tavernier<sup>1</sup>, Victoria Cacheux<sup>4</sup>, Vanessa 5 Escuret<sup>5</sup>, Cécile Moluçon-Chabrot<sup>4</sup>, Karine Augeul-Meunier<sup>1</sup>, Audrey Mirand<sup>6</sup>, Christel 6 Regagnon<sup>6</sup>, Fabien Tinquaut<sup>7</sup>, Véronique Bousser<sup>7</sup>, Mathieu Oriol<sup>7</sup>, Denis Guyotat<sup>1</sup>, 7 Cillos Sallos<sup>2</sup> Jacquos-Olivier Bay<sup>5</sup>, Brune Pozzatto<sup>3</sup>, and Jérôme Cornillon<sup>1</sup>

- 7 Gilles Salles<sup>2</sup>, Jacques-Olivier Bay<sup>5</sup>, Bruno Pozzetto<sup>3</sup>, and Jérôme Cornillon<sup>1</sup>.
- 8
- <sup>1</sup>Institut de Cancérologie Lucien Neuwirth, Hematology Department, Saint-Priest-en Jarez, France
- <sup>2</sup>Hospices Civils de Lyon, Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Hematology department, Pierre
   Bénite, France
- <sup>3</sup>CHU de Saint-Etienne, Laboratory of Infectious Agents and Hygiene, Saint-Etienne,
   France
- 15 <sup>4</sup>CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Hematology Department, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- <sup>5</sup>Hospices Civils de Lyon, GHN, Laboratoire de Virologie, F-69317, Lyon, France
- 17 <sup>6</sup>CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Laboratory of Virology, F-63003 Clermont-Ferrand, France
- 18 <sup>7</sup>Institut de Cancérologie Lucien Neuwirth, Centre Hygée, Saint-Priest-en-Jarez, France
- 19 20

## 21 **Corresponding author**:

- 22 Dr Marie Balsat, MD
- 23 Hematology department
- 24 Pavillon Marcel Bérard
- 25 Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud
- 26 165 chemin du Grand Revoyet
- 27 69495 Pierre Bénite
- 28 France
- 29 Tel: +33 (0)4 78 86 22 50
- 30 Fax: +33 (0)4 72 67 88 80
- 31 e-mail: marie.balsat@chu-lyon.fr
- 32
- 33
- **Keywords:** HHV-6, autologous stem cell transplantation, early infection,
- 35 thrombocytopenia, neutropenia
- 36
- 37 **Running title:** HHV-6 after Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation
- 38

39 ABSTRACT

40

41 **Objectives**: to prospectively evaluate the incidence and the clinical relevance on
42 hematopoietic reconstitution of HHV-6 infection in autologous hematopoietic stem cell
43 transplantation (ASCT) recipients.

Methods: HHV-6 DNA load was measured in whole blood specimens once during the 7
days before stem cell re-infusion and once a week after transplantation until
hematopoietic recovery. Active HHV-6 infection was defined by 2 consecutive positive
DNA loads.

**Results**: from July 2012 to February 2015, 196 adult patients undergoing ASCT were 48 49 enrolled. Twenty-two (11.2%) patients developed active HHV-6 infection with a 50 cumulative incidence of 19% at 40 days after transplantation. The onset of active HHV-6 51 infection occurred with a median of 13 days after stem cell re-infusion. HHV-6 infection 52 was associated with an increased frequency of non-infectious complications (OR = 5.05; 95%CI 1.78-14.32; *P*<.001). Moreover, the severity of these non-infectious 53 54 complications was higher in recipients exhibiting HHV-6 infection (OR = 4.62; 95%CI 55 1.32-16.2; p<.01). Delayed neutrophils 10 (IQR: 8-14) vs 8 (IQR: 6-11) days and platelets 56 recoveries 15 (IQR: 11.8-18.5) vs 8 (IQR: 4-14) days were observed in patients with 57 active HHV-6 infection compared to non-infected ones.

58 Conclusions: in this study, 11.2% ASCT recipients presented active HHV-6 infection
59 associated with significantly delayed hematologic reconstitution.

60 Words: 199

- 62 Highlights:
- 63 -Active HHV-6 infection occurred in 11.2% of autologous stem cell recipients
- 64 -HHV-6 infection is associated to increased frequency of non-infectious complications

- 65 -Non-infectious complications are more severe when associated to HHV-6 infection
- 66 HHV-6 infection is associated with delayed neutrophils and platelets recoveries

#### 67 **INTRODUCTION**

68 Human herpesvirus type 6 (HHV-6) is a widespread roseolovirus which encompasses 69 two different variants: HHV-6A and HHV-6B sharing 75%-95% nucleotide sequence 70 identity. Variant B is the most commonly detected in clinical specimens: it is considered 71 as the causative agent of the *exanthema subitum* childhood disease with an estimated 72 seroprevalence of > 95% after the age of 2 years and of pathologies described in immunocompromised patients(1-3). To date, variant A seems less frequently detected 73 74 (4). Like other herpesviruses, HHV-6 establishes a life-long latency; involved organs are 75 brain, bone marrow and salivary glands, with a strong tropism for T-lymphocytes, 76 hematopoietic CD34+ progenitor cells and microglia(2, 5). HHV-6 is also unique among 77 human viruses because of the ability of both variants for chromosomal integration (ci-78 HHV-6)(6).

79 If only few cases of HHV-6 symptomatic reactivation have been reported in 80 immunocompetent patients(7). HHV-6 reactivation rather occurs in 81 immunocompromised hosts such as allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-SCT) recipients(8, 9), solid organ transplanted patients(10) and HIV-infected 82 83 patients(11), causing diverse benign to severe clinical manifestations including 84 fever(12), thrombocytopenia, encephalitis(13), pneumonitis and hepatitis(14). In the 85 allo-SCT setting, HHV-6 opportunistic infection is associated with poor outcome, 86 including acute graft-versus-host disease (GVHD)(15, 16), susceptibility to 87 cytomegalovirus (CMV) disease(17), and delayed platelet recovery (18) resulting in an 88 increased transplant related mortality(19).

Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (ASCT) is widely used for the treatment of myeloma and lymphoma(20) as well as some solid tumours(21). ASCT patients are generally thought to have less viral infections than allo-SCT patients and,

92 apart systematic CMV viraemia measurement, other herpesviruses are not regularly 93 monitored in ASCT patients. Nevertheless, some ASCT recipients may develop delayed 94 haematological recovery(8, 22) but also fever(23),febrile neutropenia, 95 thrombocytopenia, microangiopathy, diarrhoea, interstitial pneumonitis, encephalitis 96 and cutaneous rashes(24), all of them being compatible with HHV-6 infection(25). To 97 date, the number of studies exploring viral infections in ASCT population is limited with 98 only small series, evaluating the impact of HHV-6 infection in paediatric ASCT(26, 27), 99 mixing the analysis of allo-SCT and ASCT(9), or using mostly qualitative assays without 100 measurement of the viral load; no recent data with clear recommendations for the 101 follow-up of HHV-6 infection in adult ASCT is published.

The primary objective of this prospective multicentre non-randomized study was to evaluate the incidence of HHV-6 infections in adult ASCT recipients using a strict definition of active HHV-6 infection by 2 consecutive DNA loads measured by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) in whole blood specimens. Secondary endpoints included the clinical consequences of this infection on hematopoietic reconstitution, CMV co-infection and other infectious and non-infectious complication.

#### 108 PATIENTS AND METHODS

109

#### 110 **Patients and Study Design**

111 Adult patients, undergoing ASCT regardless of haematological malignancies at Saint-112 Etienne, Lyon and Clermont-Ferrand University Hospitals in France, were prospectively 113 enrolled in this longitudinal multicentre non-randomized VIRAUTO6 study 114 (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02090803) between July 2012 and February 2015. Patients 115 already included in the present study and receiving a second auto graft were excluded. 116 Written informed consent was obtained from all patients in accordance with the 117 Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Saint-118 Etienne and was established for the unique purpose of studying HHV6.

The follow-up period started at stem cell re-infusion day and ended at hospital discharge if hematopoietic recovery was reached without transfusion support, and with a maximum of 40 days after transplantation. Chemotherapy-related toxicities were assessed according to the common terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE) classification(28).

124

#### 125 HHV-6 and CMV DNA Monitoring

Whole blood quantitative HHV-6 DNA was measured once during the 7 days before stem cell re-infusion and once per week after transplantation, until hematopoietic reconstitution. The test was centralized in the Laboratory of infectious Agents and Hygiene of Saint-Etienne University Hospital. After sampling, whole blood was immediately frozen at -20°C and sent on the same day to the laboratory. HHV-6 DNA load was measured by **qPCR** in whole blood specimens as previously described(29). The limit of quantification was estimated to 450 copies/mL. HHV-6 DNA from both variants (HHV6-A and HHV6-B) was amplified by the assay with consensus primers withoutdifferentiation.

Because HHV-6 is frequently associated with CMV infection(12, 22), CMV monitoring was performed in parallel; CMV DNA loads were quantified in the virology laboratory of each participating centre by using their own qPCR-based CMV commercial kit on whole blood specimens sampled the same day as that for HHV-6 DNA load determination.

139

#### 140 **Definitions**

In order to exclude very low and transient HHV-6 DNA loads, active HHV-6 infection was
defined as 2 consecutive blood HHV-6 DNAemias ≥ 450 copies/mL, one week apart. In
case of viral load> 100,000 copies/mL on 2 samples, ci-HHV6 was suspected and a piece
of dander (finger nail or hair follicle) was analysed for HHV-6 DNA load; ci-HHV-6 was
assessed if HHV-6 DNA was detected in dander (finger nail or hair follicle).

BEAM conditioning regimen includes Carmustine, Etoposide, Cytarabine and Melphalanchemotherapies.

Neutropenia recovery was defined as absolute neutrophil count (ANC) > 0.5 x 10<sup>9</sup>/L for two consecutive days. Platelets recovery was defined as platelets count > 20 x 10<sup>9</sup>/L without transfusion support. The neutropenia and thrombocytopenia periods were defined as the time from stem cell re-infusion to neutrophils and platelets recoveries without transfusion support, respectively.

HHV-6 clinical disease in our cohort was defined according to the combination of the following criteria as previously reported(12): the convergence between the chronology of clinical events and the dynamics of HHV-6 DNAemia, the correspondence between the nature of symptoms and the bodily site of HHV-6 infection and the absence of any other pathogen known as cause of the disease.

#### 158 Statistical Analyses

159 Before starting the study, a sample size of 196 inclusions was planned to give a HHV-6 160 reactivation cumulative incidence of 48%(30) with a confidence interval of 95% and an 161 accuracy of 7%. All quantitative data were expressed as median with interquartile range 162 (IQR). All categorical data were expressed as frequencies (percent). Quantitative data 163 were compared between groups using the Kruskall-Wallis test; categorical data were compared using the  $\chi^2$ -test (or the Fisher exact test). The incidence analyses and related 164 165 figures were performed using the Kaplan-Meyer method (Log-rank test). To analyse the 166 association between HHV6 reactivation and neutrophils/platelets recoveries, a 167 landmark analysis was performed including as landmark time the median of 168 reactivation (13 days). Cox models were used for multivariate survival analyses. Only 169 variables with p-value < 0.2 in univariate analysis were introduced in the multivariate 170 models.

Statistical analyses were carried out using R software version 3.2.5. All *P* values were
two-sided, with *P* <.05 denoting statistical significance.</li>

173 **RESULTS** 

174

#### 175 Patients' characteristics

Between July 2012 and February 2015, 196 adult patients underwent peripheral blood
ASCT and were included in our study. The patient characteristics are summarised in
Table 1. The median follow-up was 16 (IQR: 14-20) days. No patients died over the
whole follow-up period.

180

#### 181 Incidence of active HHV-6 infection and HHV-6 clinical disease

182 Twenty-two patients (11.2%) developed an active HHV-6 infection as defined above, 183 with a cumulative incidence of 19% at 40 days after transplantation (Figure 1). Fiftyeight patients exhibited a positive (≥ 450 copies/mL) HHV-6 DNA load on a single whole 184 185 blood specimen with 30% of incidence. However, these additional cases were not considered as active HHV-6 infections according to our definition and they were 186 187 included into the control group (i.e non-infected patients). HHV6 was not detected before ASCT except for 3 patients who exhibited a very low HHV-6 DNAemia (just equal 188 to 450 copies/mL) before stem cell re-infusion; all these 3 patients developed HHV-6 189 190 infection after ASCT. Among the 196 patients, none was suspected for ci-HHV-6 and no 191 case was described.

Active HHV-6 infection occurred with a median of 13 days (IQR: 12-15.8) after transplantation and a median blood HHV-6 DNAemia of 7035 copies/mL (IQR: 1192.8 – 194 19875.7). Among the patient's characteristics (Table 1), only the underlying diseases and the conditioning regimen differed significantly between the 2 groups with more BEAM regimen and lymphoma in the group with active HHV-6 infected patients. In univariate analysis, neither sex, age, disease status at time of stem cell re-infusion, number of courses of chemotherapy preceding ASCT nor the conditioning regimen did
favour HHV-6 infection (Table 2). Nevertheless, multivariate survival analysis could not
be performed since no variable had a p-value <0.2 in univariate analysis.</li>

For 3 patients, symptoms were compatible with HHV-6 clinical disease (1.5% of the cohort): 2 patients had skin rash with positive skin biopsy for HHV-6 DNA and 1 patient had fever with no other cause than HHV-6 infection. For 2 patients, ganciclovir treatment was introduced successfully for a median duration of 12 (Range: 8-15) days.

205

#### 206 Active HHV-6 infection and CMV co-infection

207 Only one active CMV infection with at least 2 positives consecutive CMV DNA loads 208 during the same period was observed in a 69-year-old man undergoing BEAM-ASCT for 209 a mantle-cell lymphoma. At transplant time, his haematological disease was in partial 210 response. He suffered from grade 3 mucositis. Neutropenia recovery took 12 days while 211 platelets recovery took 30 days. The HHV-6 DNA load was positive 22 days after ASCT, 212 with a value of 10,900 copies/mL and a persistent HHV-6 DNA load was observed the 18 213 following days, at a lower value however (between 636 and 1,450 copies/mL). At day 27 214 post-ASCT, a positive CMV DNA load was detected (1,050 copies/mL, i.e. 231 UI/mL) 215 and persisted until the end of the 40 days follow-up for this patient. This patient did not 216 receive any antiviral treatment.

217

#### 218 Active HHV-6 infection and hematopoietic reconstitution

During the study period, all patients recovered from neutropenia, and 173 patients (88.3%) recovered from thrombocytopenia. The median neutropenia and thrombocytopenia durations were 8 (IQR: 7-11) days and 8 (IQR: 4-16) days, respectively. Delayed ANC and platelets recoveries were observed in patients with

active HHV-6 infection compared to those without HHV-6 infection. The median duration of ANC recovery was increased to 10 (IQR: 8 - 14) vs 8 (IQR: 6-11) days. Recipients exhibiting active HHV-6 infection had platelets recovery duration longer whatever the threshold used: platelets recovery > 20 x 10<sup>9</sup>/L, 15 vs 8 days and platelets recovery > 50 x 10<sup>9</sup>/L, 25 vs 15 days. (cf. Figures 2A and 2B).

Therefore, the duration of hospitalisation was significantly longer for patients with active HHV-6 infection with a median duration of 30.5 days (IQR: 26.2 - 34) *vs* 22 days (IQR: 19 - 25) for patients without infection (P < 0.001). Similarly, HHV-6-infected patients required transfusions later than non-infected patients: the median time between transplant and last transfusion was longer for HHV-6-infected patients with 17 days (IQR: 15 - 22) compared to those without infection with 12.5 days (IQR: 10 - 18; P =.006).

235

#### 236 Active HHV-6 infection and other complications

Febrile neutropenia occurred in 124 patients (63.3%); the main site of infection was peripheral blood (septicaemia, 31.1%) and the main pathogens identified were Gram negative bacilli (24.3%), (Table 3). For one third of patients (33.4%), febrile neutropenia was not documented.

Non-infectious complications occurred in 195 patients (99.5%) with a median of 4 complications (IQR: 3-5) per patient. These complications were grade 1 and 2 in 68.4% of cases, grade 3 in 23.3% of cases and grade 4 in 3.4% of cases. The most frequent noninfectious complication was oral mucositis that occurred in 158 patients (80.6%) with a maximum grade 3 in 40.5% of cases. The mucositis median duration was 8 days (IQR: 5-11). The other frequent non-infectious complications were diarrhoea (69.4%), liver enzyme elevation (64.8%), skin rash (35.2%) and acute kidney injury (13.8%).

- 248 Although diarrhoea and mucositis were more frequent in non HHV-6 infected patients
- than in infected ones (Table 3), active HHV-6 infection was associated with an increased
- number of combined non-infectious complications (OR 5.05; 95%CI 1.78-14.32; *P*<.001).
- 251 Moreover, the severity of these complications was higher in this group with more grade
- 252 3-4 complications (0R 4.62; 95%CI 1.32-16.2; *P* =.006).

253 **DISCUSSION** 

254

255 To date, this study is the first large-scaled multicentre prospective non-randomized 256 study including 196 autologous stem cell transplants recipients. The first aim was to 257 determine the incidence of active HHV-6 infection: it was of 11.2% with a cumulative 258 incidence of 19% at 40 days after transplantation. Few studies had already addressed 259 this question in the setting of ASCT: the retrospective works of Imbert-Macille et al., 260 Inazawa et al. and more recently Colombier et al. reported an incidence of HHV-6 261 infection of 42.5%, 11.4%, and 8;5%, respectively(9, 23, 31). All defined the presence of 262 any level of HHV-6 DNA in blood as active HHV-6 infection. In our work, in order to 263 overcome blips of DNAemia, 2 consecutive blood HHV-6 DNAemias were needed to 264 assess the diagnosis of active HHV-6 infection and to appreciate its kinetics. Up to date, 265 no threshold has been formally recognised as the frontier between latent infection and 266 active infection: in order not to omit low reactivations, we opted for a reference value of 267 blood HHV-6 DNAemias  $\geq$  450 copies/mL. By using the same criteria as in the studies 268 listed just above, the HHV-6 incidence raised to almost 30% in our study. In accordance 269 with Imbert-Marcille et al. who assessed that active HHV-6 infection frequently occurred 270 early after transplantation with a median of 16 days in the ASCT cohort(9), HHV-6 271 infection occurred with a median time of 13 days in our cohort.

HHV-6 and CMV DNAemias are either monitored on whole blood or plasma specimen, depending on the choice of the laboratory. In Europe, and especially in France(4, 22, 23, 29, 32, 33), whole blood is the first used, mainly because this specimen has very limited preparation phases at the preanalytical step (no isolation of leucocytes and no centrifugation of plasma that could lyse cells(1)). This specimen can also be used in case of agranulocytosis, allows the detection of virus replication earlier, and allows the detection of the ci-HHV-6 when present (4, 6). Most studies cited above monitored
HHV-6 in whole blood specimen(23, 31, 34). Although detection of viral mRNA could be
useful to analyse latent (35), this tool is not currently used in routine and consequently
we could not conclude on the presence of latent infection in our patients.
Moreover, given the HHV-6 DNA loads were all < 100,000 copies/mL and not</li>
persistent, we could exclude ci-HHV-6. As the patients were all adults, the probability
they had already met the virus was high. Consequently, we considered that active HHV-6

285 infections were reactivations.

The main limitation of our study is that the median follow-up of patients was shorter (16 days) than expected initially (ideally 40 days), which could have led to miss a few delayed infections and participate to minimize HHV-6 incidence. This short followup does not result neither from an early and voluntary study exit decided by clinicians nor a lost to follow-up, but it is rather explained by hospital discharge at the time of neutropenia recovery whatever platelet recovery or transfusion support need.

In patients with haematological malignancies and after stem cell transplantation, Ljungman et *al.* defined HHV-6 infection as HHV-6 detected in a previously HHV-6seropositive patient(36). In our cohort, clinical relevance of HHV-6 infection was low, as it has been already reported in ASCT patients(31). However, HHV-6 disease could be highly suspected for 3 patients, with detection of HHV-6 DNA in skin biopsy for 2 of them. This was also reported in the literature(34).

HHV-6 infection occurred more frequently in patients with BEAM conditioning regimen. However, BEAM is more used for lymphoma in which immunity was probably lower than plasmocytoma disorder in part because of immunotherapy as rituximab used before. Moreover, due to supply difficulties of Carmustine, some patients (n=16) received Bendamustine, an immunosuppressive agent combining alkylating and antimetabolite properties known to cause T-cell lymphopenia(37). By now, it is too early
to assess whether this regimen (Bendamustime-EAM) promoted viral infections but
vigilance regarding this question is required in the future.

306 We also hypothesized that HHV-6 infections may correlate with other 307 opportunistic challenging viruses such as CMV. Both CMV and HHV-6 are lymphotropic 308 viruses and are reported to be simultaneously or successively detected in allo-SCT 309 recipients(12, 22). In our cohort, only one patient had concomitant CMV and HHV-6 310 infections. This low association is concordant with previous studies: Jeulin et al. showed 311 that HHV-6 DNAemia was not significantly associated with CMV infection in a cohort of 220 allo-SCT patients including 44 HHV-6 infections(32); Horowitz et al. also showed 312 313 only one patient with concurrent reactivation of CMV out of the 10 ASCT patients 314 diagnosed with HHV-6 reactivation(38).

315 The second objective of our study was to analyse hematopoietic reconstitution in 316 ASCT patients. CD34<sup>+</sup> hematopoietic progenitors can indeed carry latent HHV-6 and 317 hematopoietic differentiation can lead to HHV-6 reactivation giving an explanation for 318 myelosuppression(39). In allo-SCT recipients, presence of HHV-6 DNA was significantly 319 associated with delayed platelet and neutrophil engraftment(8, 22). In our cohort of 320 ASCT patients, we observed a delay in platelets and ANC reconstitution with 321 consequences on durations of hospitalisations and need of late transfusions in patients 322 with HHV-6 infections, potentially increasing the costs. One tricky point is that this 323 delayed hematopoietic reconstitution occurred before HHV-6 reactivation. However, it is 324 difficult to precisely date the onset time of HHV-6 infection in clinical practice: as our 325 definition of HHV-6 infection was very stringent and took 7 days, HHV-6 could have 326 clinical consequences even at infra-biological thresholds as seen with CMV(40), or at the 327 moment of the virus reactivation during the week apart between the 2 measurements.

This is one of the explanations of the occurrence of delayed neutropenia recovery prior to the median of onset time of HHV-6 infection: neutropenia recovery is delayed by 2 days (10 versus 8 days) during HHV-6 infection while the median of onset time of HHV-6 infection is 13 days.

332 Furthermore, infected HHV-6 patients of our series exhibited more frequent and 333 more severe non-infectious complications such as oral mucositis than those without 334 HHV-6 infection. Although this data could be partly biased because HHV-6 infection was 335 more frequent in case of BEAM conditioning regimen, and because it is difficult to 336 precisely date the onset time of a complication, there is a continuum between the 337 beginning and the paroxysm of the complication especially for the mucositis. Actually, 338 this VIRAUTO6 study was not designed to follow each complication in time and to use 339 each variable as the primary endpoint. Our objective was mainly to make a descriptive study concerning HHV-6. 340

In conclusion, although systematic monitoring of HHV-6 DNAemia could not be recommended for all patients, HHV-6 infection must be evocated in case of delayed hematopoietic reconstitution or severe acute combined toxicities, notably after lymphoma's regimen. This study marks a step forward, but larger studies with patients receiving the same conditioning regimen prior to stem cell reinfusion would be warranted.

347

#### 348 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS SECTION

- 349 **Conflict of interest statement**: There are no conflicts of interest to report.
- 350
- 351 Authorship statement:
- 352 Marie Balsat and Jérôme Cornillon conceived the study, provided clinical care, recorded
- and collected clinical data, analysed data, and wrote the manuscript.

Sylvie Pillet performed biological analyses, recorded and collected biological data andwrote the manuscript.

- 356 Mathieu Oriol and Véronique Bousser performed statistical analyses and commented on
- the manuscript.

- 358 Emmanuelle Tavernier, Victoria Cacheux, Cécile Moluçon-Chabrot and Karine Augeul-
- 359 Meunier provided clinical care, recorded clinical data and commented on the
- 360 manuscript.
- 361 Vanessa Escuret, Audrey Mirand and Christel Regagnon performed biological analyses.
- 362 Fabien Tinquaut performed statistical analyses.
- 363 Gilles Salles and Bruno Pozzetto wrote and revised the manuscript.
- 364 Jacques-Olivier Bay and Denis Guyotat commented on the manuscript.
- 365
- 366 **Funding**
- This research has received funding support from the Institut de Cancérologie
   Lucien Neuwirth and the Ligue contre le cancer.
- 369
- 370
- 371 Acknowledgments
- 372 The authors are grateful to Prof Henri Agut for helpful discussions.

- 373 **REFERENCES**
- 374

Hill JA, Sedlak RH, Jerome KR. Past, present, and future perspectives on the
 diagnosis of Roseolovirus infections. Curr Opin Virol. 2014;9:84-90.

377 2. Hill JA, Zerr DM. Roseoloviruses in transplant recipients: clinical consequences
378 and prospects for treatment and prevention trials. Curr Opin Virol. 2014;9:53-60.

379 3. Agut H, Bonnafous P, Gautheret-Dejean A. Human Herpesviruses 6A, 6B, and 7.
380 Microbiol Spectr. 2016;4(3).

4. Agut H, Bonnafous P, Gautheret-Dejean A. Laboratory and clinical aspects of
human herpesvirus 6 infections. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015;28(2):313-35.

- Isomura H, Yoshida M, Namba H, Yamada M. Interaction of human herpesvirus 6
  with human CD34 positive cells. J Med Virol. 2003;70(3):444-50.
- 6. Pellett PE, Ablashi DV, Ambros PF, Agut H, Caserta MT, Descamps V, et al.
  Chromosomally integrated human herpesvirus 6: questions and answers. Rev Med Virol.
  2012;22(3):144-55.

Caserta MT, McDermott MP, Dewhurst S, Schnabel K, Carnahan JA, Gilbert L, et al.
Human herpesvirus 6 (HHV6) DNA persistence and reactivation in healthy children. J
Pediatr. 2004;145(4):478-84.

- 8. de Pagter PJ, Schuurman R, Meijer E, van Baarle D, Sanders EA, Boelens JJ. Human
  herpesvirus type 6 reactivation after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. J Clin
  Virol. 2008;43(4):361-6.
- 394 9. Imbert-Marcille BM, Tang XW, Lepelletier D, Besse B, Moreau P, Billaudel S, et al.
  395 Human herpesvirus 6 infection after autologous or allogeneic stem cell transplantation:
  a single-center prospective longitudinal study of 92 patients. Clin Infect Dis.
  397 2000;31(4):881-6.
- Fernandez-Ruiz M, Kumar D, Husain S, Lilly L, Renner E, Mazzulli T, et al. Utility of
  a monitoring strategy for human herpesviruses 6 and 7 viremia after liver
  transplantation: a randomized clinical trial. Transplantation. 2015;99(1):106-13.
- 401 11. Knox KK, Carrigan DR. Disseminated active HHV-6 infections in patients with402 AIDS. Lancet. 1994;343(8897):577-8.
- 403 12. Agut H. Deciphering the clinical impact of acute human herpesvirus 6 (HHV-6)404 infections. J Clin Virol. 2011;52(3):164-71.
- 405 13. Ogata M, Fukuda T, Teshima T. Human herpesvirus-6 encephalitis after allogeneic
  406 hematopoietic cell transplantation: what we do and do not know. Bone Marrow
  407 Transplant. 2015;50(8):1030-6.
- 408 14. Hill JA, Myerson D, Sedlak RH, Jerome KR, Zerr DM. Hepatitis due to human
  409 herpesvirus 6B after hematopoietic cell transplantation and a review of the literature.
  410 Transpl Infect Dis. 2014;16(3):477-83.
- 411 15. Gotoh M, Yoshizawa S, Katagiri S, Suguro T, Asano M, Kitahara T, et al. Human
  412 herpesvirus 6 reactivation on the 30th day after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell
  413 transplantation can predict grade 2-4 acute graft-versus-host disease. Transpl Infect Dis.
  414 2014;16(3):440-9.
- Phan TL, Carlin K, Ljungman P, Politikos I, Boussiotis V, Boeckh M, et al. Human
  Herpesvirus-6B Reactivation Is a Risk Factor for Grades II to IV Acute Graft-versus-Host
  Disease after Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation: A Systematic Review and Meta-
- 418 Analysis. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2018;24(11):2324-36.
- 419 17. Zerr DM, Boeckh M, Delaney C, Martin PJ, Xie H, Adler AL, et al. HHV-6 420 reactivation and associated sequelae after hematopoietic cell transplantation. Biol Blood
- 421 Marrow Transplant. 2012;18(11):1700-8.

- 422 18. Dulery R, Salleron J, Dewilde A, Rossignol J, Boyle EM, Gay J, et al. Early human
  423 herpesvirus type 6 reactivation after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: a large-scale
  424 clinical study. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2012;18(7):1080-9.
- 425 19. Shimazu Y, Kondo T, Ishikawa T, Yamashita K, Takaori-Kondo A. Human
  426 herpesvirus-6 encephalitis during hematopoietic stem cell transplantation leads to poor
  427 prognosis. Transpl Infect Dis. 2013;15(2):195-201.
- 428 20. Attal M, Harousseau JL, Stoppa AM, Sotto JJ, Fuzibet JG, Rossi JF, et al. A
  429 prospective, randomized trial of autologous bone marrow transplantation and
  430 chemotherapy in multiple myeloma. Intergroupe Francais du Myelome. N Engl J Med.
  431 1996;335(2):91-7.
- 432 21. Peinemann F, Enk H, Smith LA. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell
  433 transplantation following high-dose chemotherapy for nonrhabdomyosarcoma soft
  434 tissue sarcomas. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;4:CD008216.
- 435 22. Quintela A, Escuret V, Roux S, Bonnafous P, Gilis L, Barraco F, et al. HHV-6
  436 infection after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: From chromosomal
  437 integration to viral co-infections and T-cell reconstitution patterns. J Infect.
  438 2016;72(2):214-22.
- 439 23. Colombier MA, Amorim S, Salmona M, Thieblemont C, Legoff J, Lafaurie M. HHV-6
  440 reactivation as a cause of fever in autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant
  441 recipients. J Infect. 2017;75(2):155-9.
- 442 24. Schlaweck S, Bragelmann J, Brossart P, Mayer K. Exanthem subitum (human
  443 herpesvirus-6 reactivation) after autologous stem cell transplantation. Transpl Infect
  444 Dis. 2016;18(2):255-6.
- Chapenko S, Trociukas I, Donina S, Chistyakov M, Sultanova A, Gravelsina S, et al.
  Relationship between beta-herpesviruses reactivation and development of
  complications after autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. J Med Virol.
  2012;84(12):1953-60.
- Styczynski J, Czyzewski K, Wysocki M, Gryniewicz-Kwiatkowska O, KolodziejczykGietka A, Salamonowicz M, et al. Increased risk of infections and infection-related
  mortality in children undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation compared to
  conventional anticancer therapy: a multicentre nationwide study. Clin Microbiol Infect.
  2016;22(2):179 e1- e10.
- 454 27. Fule Robles JD, Cheuk DK, Ha SY, Chiang AK, Chan GC. Human herpesvirus types 6
  455 and 7 infection in pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Ann
  456 Transplant. 2014;19:269-76.
- 457 28. Dueck AC, Mendoza TR, Mitchell SA, Reeve BB, Castro KM, Rogak LJ, et al. Validity
  458 and Reliability of the US National Cancer Institute's Patient-Reported Outcomes Version
  459 of the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (PRO-CTCAE). JAMA Oncol.
  460 2015;1(8):1051-9.
- 29. Deback C, Agbalika F, Scieux C, Marcelin AG, Gautheret-Dejean A, Cherot J, et al.
  Detection of human herpesviruses HHV-6, HHV-7 and HHV-8 in whole blood by realtime PCR using the new CMV, HHV-6, 7, 8 R-gene kit. J Virol Methods. 2008;149(2):28591.
- 465 30. Ogata M, Kikuchi H, Satou T, Kawano R, Ikewaki J, Kohno K, et al. Human 466 herpesvirus 6 DNA in plasma after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: incidence and 467 clinical significance. J Infect Dis. 2006;193(1):68-79.
- 468 31. Inazawa N, Hori T, Nojima M, Saito M, Igarashi K, Yamamoto M, et al. Virus 469 reactivations after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation detected by 470 multiplex PCR assay. J Med Virol. 2017;89(2):358-62.

- Jeulin H, Agrinier N, Guery M, Salmon A, Clement L, Bordigoni P, et al. Human
  herpesvirus 6 infection after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: incidence, outcome,
  and factors associated with HHV-6 reactivation. Transplantation. 2013;95(10):1292-8.
- 474 33. Pillet S, Roblin X, Cornillon J, Mariat C, Pozzetto B. Quantification of 475 cytomegalovirus viral load. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2014;12(2):193-210.

476 34. Roux J, Battistella M, Fornecker L, Legoff J, Deau B, Houhou N, et al. Human
477 Herpesvirus-6 cytopathic inclusions: an exceptional and recognizable finding on skin
478 biopsy during HHV6 reactivation after autologous stem-cell transplantation. Am J
479 Dermatopathol. 2012;34(6):e73-6.

- 480 35. Hill JA, Ikoma M, Zerr DM, Basom RS, Peddu V, Huang ML, et al. RNA Sequencing
  481 of the In Vivo Human Herpesvirus 6B Transcriptome To Identify Targets for Clinical
  482 Assays Distinguishing between Latent and Active Infections. J Virol. 2019;93(3).
- 483 36. Ljungman P, Wang FZ, Clark DA, Emery VC, Remberger M, Ringden O, et al. High 484 levels of human herpesvirus 6 DNA in peripheral blood leucocytes are correlated to 485 platelet engraftment and disease in allogeneic stem cell transplant patients. Br J 486 Haematol. 2000;111(3):774-81.
- 487 37. Gafter-Gvili A, Polliack A. Bendamustine associated immune suppression and
  488 infections during therapy of hematological malignancies. Leuk Lymphoma.
  489 2016;57(3):512-9.
- 490 38. Horowitz N, Oren I, Lavi N, Zuckerman T, Benyamini N, Kra-Oz Z, et al. New rising
  491 infection: human herpesvirus 6 is frequent in myeloma patients undergoing autologous
  492 stem cell transplantation after induction therapy with bortezomib. Bone Marrow Res.
  493 2012;2012:409765.
- Andre-Garnier E, Milpied N, Boutolleau D, Saiagh S, Billaudel S, Imbert-Marcille
  BM. Reactivation of human herpesvirus 6 during ex vivo expansion of circulating CD34+
  haematopoietic stem cells. J Gen Virol. 2004;85(Pt 11):3333-6.
- 497 40. Razonable RR, Hayden RT. Clinical utility of viral load in management of 498 cytomegalovirus infection after solid organ transplantation. Clin Microbiol Rev. 499 2013;26(4):703-27.
- 500

#### **FIGURE LEGEND**

**Figure 1: HHV-6 infection cumulative incidence**. Dotted lines represent standard deviations. HHV-6 infection occurred with a cumulative incidence of 19% at 40 days after transplantation

#### Figure 2:

A ; Kinetics of platelet recovery (platelets >  $20 \times 10^9$ /L) according to HHV-6 infection. HHV-6 +: recipients exhibiting HHV-6 infection; HHV-6 -: recipients without HHV-6 infection.

B ; Kinetics of neutropenia recovery (ANC > 0.5 x  $10^9$ /L) according to HHV-6 infection. HHV-6 +: recipients exhibiting HHV-6 infection; HHV-6 -: recipients without HHV-6 infection.

Figure 1:



Figure 2:

Α

В



Days from transplantation

# TABLESTable 1: Baseline characteristics of the patients according to HHV-6 infected status

	Non-infected patients	HHV-6-infected patients		
	(n=174)	(n=22)	TOTAL (n=196)	P-value
Patients' Baseline Characteristics,	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Sex (male/female)	113/61	14/8	127/69	0.99
Median age (range)	59.5 (53.6 - 64.8)	58.2 (48.6 - 61.8)	59.4 (52.5-64.8)	0.29
Underlying diseases				
Non Hodgkin lymphoma	72 (41.4%)	17 (77.3%)	89 (45.4%)	0.001
Multiple myeloma	84 (48.3%)	2 (9.1%)	86 (43.9%)	
Hodgkin lymphoma	16 (9.2%)	3 (13.6%)	19 (9.7%)	
Acute leukemia	1 (0.6%)	0	1 (0.5%)	
NA	1 (0.6%)	0	1 (0.5%)	
Non Hodgkin Lymphoma				
Diffuse large B cell lymphoma	32(44.4%)	8 (47.1%)	40 (44.9%)	0.72
Mantle cell lymphoma	14 (19.4%)	4 (23.5%)	18 (20.2%)	
Follicular lymphomas	11 (15.3%)	4 (23.5%)	15 (16.9%)	
T cell lymphomas	8 (11.1%)	1 (5.9%)	9 (10.1%)	
Others	7 (9.7%)	0	7 (7.9%)	
Disease status at transplantation				
CR and VGPR	111 (63.8%)	14 (63.6%)	125 (63.8%)	0.99
PR/SD	62 (35.6%)	8 (36.4%)	70 (35.7%)	
RD	1 (0.6%)	0	1 (0.5%)	
Conditioning regimen				
BEAM	76 (43.7%)	17 (77.3%)	93 (47.4%)	< 0.001
Melphalan	85 (48.9%)	2 (9.1%)	87 (44.4%)	
Others	13 (7.5%)	3 (13.6%)	16 (8.2%)	

Number of treatment prior to transplantation					
	1	102 (58.6%)	12 (54.5%)	114 (58.2%)	0.82
	2-4	72 (41.4%)	10 (45.5%)	82 (41.8%)	
CMV serostatut					
	CMV -	88 (53.3%)	14 (70%)	102 (55.1%)	0.23
	CMV +	77 (46.7%)	6 (30%)	83 (44.9%)	
	NA	9 (5.2%)	2 (9.1%)	11 (5.6%)	

NA = Not available, CR = Complete remission, VGPR = very good partial response, PR = partial response, SD = stable disease, RD = refractory disease. BEAM = Carmustine-Etoposide-Cytarabine-Melphalan conditioning regimen; CMV - =Negative serostatus for cytomegalovirus (CMV); CMV + = Positive serostatus for CMV. Table 2: Univariate analysis of HHV-6 reactivation with the survival analysis method (HHV-6 taken as a time-dependent variable).

		HR.95.CI.	P.Wald.s.test.	P-value
Sex	Male <mark>vs</mark> Female	1.18 (0.86,1.62)	0.306	0.311
Age	ref.=(18,52]			0.847
	(52,60]	0.98 (0.64,1.49)	0.919	
	(60,65]	0.97 (0.62,1.53)	0.912	
	(65,71]	1.14 (0.73,1.77)	0.574	
Disease status at transplantation	PR+SD+RD vs CR and VGPR	0.94 (0.68,1.29)	0.686	0.715
Conditioning regimen	ref.=BEAM			0.055
	Other	1.6 (0.88,2.89)	0.123	
	melphalan	1.42 (1.03,1.94)	0.03	
Number of treatment prior to				
transplantation	ref.=1			0.41
	2	1.04 (0.75,1.45)	0.819	
	3	1.05 (0.56,1.98)	0.867	
	4	0.51 (0.22,1.18)	0.116	

CR = Complete remission, VGPR = very good partial response, PR = partial response, SD = stable disease, RD = refractory disease.

BEAM = Carmustine-Etoposide-Cytarabine-Melphalan conditioning regimen

N= 196	Non <mark>-</mark> infected patients n (%)	HHV-6 <mark>-</mark> infected patients n (%)	Total n (%)
Non infectious complications			
Oral mucositis	141 (81%)	17 (77.3%)	158 (80.8%)
Grade 1	33 (23.4%)	2 (11.8%)	35 (22.2%)
Grade 2	38 (27%)	1 (5.9%)	39 (24.7%)
Grade 3	52 (36.9%)	12 (70.6%)	64 (40.5%)
Grade 4	15 (10.6%)	2 (11.8%)	17 (10.8%)
NA	3 (2.1%)	0 (0%)	3 (1.9%)
Diarrhea	127 (73%)	9 (40.9%)	136 (69.4%)
Liver enzyme elevation	111 (63.8%)	16 (72.7%)	127 (64.8%)
Skin rash	58 (33.3%)	11 (50%)	69 (35.2%)
Acute kidney injury	23 (13.2%)	4 (18.2%)	27 (13.8%)
Infectious complications			
Febrile neutropenia	109 (62.6%)	15 (68.2%)	124 (63.3%)
Clinically/microbiologically sites involved			
Gut	50 (28.7%)	5 (22.7%)	55 (28.1%)
Urinary tract	49 (28.2%)	7 (31.8%)	56 (28.6%)
Septicaemia	53 (30.5%)	8 (36.4%)	61 (31.1%)
Lung	10 (5.7%)	1 (4.5%)	11 (5.6%)

 Table 3: Comparison of non-infectious with infectious complications according to HHV-6 infected status