

Development of an automated system for the analysis of inorganic chloramines in swimming pools via multi-syringe chromatography and photometric detection with ABTS

Rana Chehab, Bruno Coulomb, Jean-Luc Boudenne, F. Robert-Peillard

▶ To cite this version:

Rana Chehab, Bruno Coulomb, Jean-Luc Boudenne, F. Robert-Peillard. Development of an automated system for the analysis of inorganic chloramines in swimming pools via multi-syringe chromatography and photometric detection with ABTS. Talanta, 2020, 207, pp.120322. 10.1016/j.talanta.2019.120322. hal-02294832

HAL Id: hal-02294832 https://hal.science/hal-02294832

Submitted on 23 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Development of an automated system for the analysis of inorganic chloramines in
 swimming pools via multi-syringe chromatography and photometric detection with ABTS
 Rana Chehab, Bruno Coulomb, Jean-Luc Boudenne, Fabien Robert-Peillard*
 Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LCE, Marseille, France
 *Corresponding author: fabien.robert-peillard@univ-amu.fr

6

7 Abstract

Inorganic chloramines are disinfection by-products resulting from the unwanted reaction 8 between chlorine used as disinfectant in swimming pools and nitrogenous compounds brought 9 by bathers. This parameter (total chloramines or combined chlorine) is currently measured on 10 site by a colorimetric method that does not allow to measure only inorganic chloramines. In this 11 paper, a multi-syringe chromatography system combined with a post column derivatization is 12 applied for the first time for the specific detection of the three individual inorganic chloramines 13 14 (monochloramine, dichloramine and trichloramine). These latter ones are separated using a 15 low-pressure monolithic C18 column, and separately detected after a post-column reaction with the chromogenic reagent ABTS (2,2'-azino-bis-(3-ethyl-benzothiazoline)-6-sulfonic acid-16 17 diammonium salt). Development of two ABTS reagents provides discrimination of chlorine and monochloramine that are not separated on the column. Optimization of the experimental 18 conditions enables determination of inorganic chloramines with very good detection limits 19 (around 10 μ g eq.Cl₂ L⁻¹) without interferences from other chlorinated compounds such as 20 organic chloramines or free available chlorine. The validation of the whole procedure has been 21 22 successfully applied to real swimming pools samples.

Keywords: Multi-syringe chromatography; inorganic chloramines; free chlorine; post-column
 reaction; swimming pools.

25

26 **1. Introduction**

Among disinfection by-products commonly found in swimming pools, inorganic chloramines are the most often encountered at the highest concentrations, with monochloramine (MCA, NH₂Cl) mainly found in water (up to 1180 μ g L⁻¹), followed by trichloramine (TCA, NCl₃) (up to 800 μ g L⁻¹) and dichloramine (DCA, NHCl₂) (up to 650 μ g L⁻¹) [1]. Inorganic chloramines are a group of three chemical substances in aqueous solution formed by reactions between chlorine at oxidation state (+I), ammonia and amino acids coming from urea and sweat brought by bathers (such as uric acid, urea, creatinine, L-arginine, L-histidine, glycine) [2].

34 These chloramines are present in various types of waters where chlorine is used as disinfectant or for biofouling control (drinking waters, swimming pools waters, wastewaters, industrial 35 chlorinated effluents) [1, 3-6]. In particular for swimming pools, respiratory issues, such as 36 asthma, wheeze, cough and lower respiratory tract infections, have been correlated with 37 swimming pool attendance, which is likely due to chlorinated volatile DBPs, such as 38 39 chloramines and especially TCA [7-9]. TCA is also assumed to cause skin and eye irritations [10]. MCA and DCA have not been yet assumed to be toxic but their roles as precursors of the 40 41 potent carcinogen N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) have been emphasized by several authors 42 [11-13]. Consequently, reliable, rapid and sensitive methods are required to monitor pool water quality and to assess their mitigation strategies. 43

Many methods have been proposed for the determination and quantification of chloramines and
have been reviewed by Kinani et al. [14]. The most commonly used are based on the redox
reaction using N, N-diethyl-p-phenylenediamine (DPD) as the reducing agent with colorimetric

or amperometric detection. The colorimetric method uses the red coloration produced by the 47 48 reaction between DPD, free chlorine and inorganic chloramines (after addition of iodide) with a spectrophotometric measurement at 510 nm, whereas the titrimetric method employs 49 ammonium sulfate with ferrous ion as the final reagent of the colored solution produced by 50 DPD reaction. These methods are simple and sensitive (quantification limits (LOQ) are around 51 30 μ g eq. Cl₂ L⁻¹), but they suffer from a lack of selectivity. Indeed, organic chloramines may 52 interfere with inorganic chloramines as they react in the same way in the presence of the iodide 53 ions, leading to an overestimation of the real amount of inorganic chloramines in samples with 54 large amounts of organic nitrogen [15]. Another colorimetric method using a derivatization 55 56 reaction has been developed to differentiate inorganic monochloramine from organic 57 chloramines using phenol type reagent as color reagent [16]. The derivatized product can be measured spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 655 nm. However, this method suffers 58 from a low sensitivity (LOQ > 270 μ g eq. Cl₂ L⁻¹) and from the ability to detect only 59 monochloramine. 60

To overcome these issues and to allow individual determination of the three chloramines, 61 chromatographic separation using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has been 62 proposed. Separations of chloramines are generally performed using reversed-phase HPLC on 63 a C_{18} -phase column. Direct spectrophotometric detection is possible at specific wavelength for 64 each chloramine (MCA at 240 nm, DCA at 210 or 280 nm and TCA at 220 nm) [17,18]. 65 However, quantification limits of this detection method are generally very high (LOQ $>200 \mu g$ 66 eq. Cl₂ L⁻¹), and selectivity is also very low as many other co-eluting compounds can interfere 67 68 in the wavelength range below 250-300 nm. Direct amperometric detection with a working glassy carbon electrode is also proposed with a better sensitivity (quantification limits 2-13 69 times lower than those obtained by spectrophotometric detection), but interference from oxygen 70 seriously hampers application of this method [19]. Chemical derivatization generally provides 71

low sensitivity, except when LC-MS/MS is used with a pre-column derivatization of MCA. 72 73 This method is very sensitive and specific for MCA, but drawbacks are the high cost and complexity of the detection method, and the inability to measure DCA and TCA [20]. The most 74 efficient derivatization method for inorganic chloramines to date is the post-column 75 derivatization with potassium iodide and photometric or electrochemical detection of triiodide 76 ions formed [21]. Although the sensitivity of this method is quite high (LOO around 30 µg eq. 77 $Cl_2 L^{-1}$), the post-column reaction is non-specific to inorganic chloramines (potassium iodide 78 can react with many other compounds). 79

The aim of this study was to develop a low-cost automated analyzer for the individual 80 quantification of MCA, DCA and TCA. So far, the only on-line automated analytical tool for 81 82 determination of these three inorganic chloramines is membrane-introduction mass spectrometry (MIMS), which uses a semi permeable membrane coupled to a mass spectrometer 83 [15]. This analytical tool is very specific for inorganic chloramines, but lacks of sensitivity 84 85 $(LOQ = 60-300 \mu g eq. Cl_2 L^{-1})$, remains costly and requires qualified personnel to handle with MS interpretations. Our aim was thus to propose an alternative analytical method based on the 86 simplicity and versatility of flow injection techniques coupled to liquid chromatography 87 separation for the determination of individual inorganic chloramines. 88

A multi-syringe chromatography (MSC) system using monolithic column is thus developed to obtain a low-pressure automated portable system with relatively low-cost and simple components, able to be used as an on-line system. In order to achieve better sensitivity and specificity than direct spectrophotometric detection, a new post-column reaction for all inorganic chloramines is proposed using 2,2'-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), an indicator already used by Solterman et al. [22] for the detection of trichloramine. Optimizations of this post-column reaction and of the 96 chromatographic separation of chloramines are presented, and the developed method applied97 on real swimming pool samples.

98

99 2. Material and methods

100 2.1. Reagents and solutions

All chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and used without further purification. Ultrapure 101 water purified with a Milli-Q system (Millipore, USA, resistivity >18 M Ω cm) was used for the 102 103 preparations of the whole solutions. Sodium hypochlorite solutions were prepared by dilution of a commercially available NaOCl solution (4.00-4.99% active chlorine, Sigma-Aldrich, 104 France) and standardized by iodometric titration. Ammonium stock standard solution (2 g L^{-1}) 105 106 was prepared from ammonium chloride (Alfa Aesar, Germany). Solutions of ABTS (2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethyl-benzothiazoline)-6-sulfonic acid-diammonium salt, Sigma-Aldrich) were prepared 107 108 by dissolving appropriate amount in water. Acetonitrile (MeCN) (HPLC-Gradient phase, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as the organic mobile phase. Formic acid (Fisher chemical, France), 109 sulfuric acid (Fisher chemical), hydrochloric acid (Sigma-Aldrich) and sodium hydroxide 110 111 (Sigma-Aldrich) were used for pH adjustments.

112

113 2.2. Inorganic chloramines standard solutions

Inorganic chloramines are unstable and sensitive to light, so they had to be prepared daily and
stored in amber glass or polytetrafluoroethylene bottles at +4 °C and kept out of direct light.
Inorganic chloramines solutions prepared were standardized by using DPD/FAS titrimetric
method.

118 MCA standard solution was prepared by slowly adding 5 mL of sodium hypochlorite solution 119 (6 mmol $Cl_2 L^{-1}$) to 5 mL of ammonium chloride solution (12 mmol L^{-1}) whose pH was prior 120 adjusted at 9 with 0.1 M NaOH (ammonia to chlorine molar ratio was 2:1). Final pH was 121 between 10.5 and 11. The reaction vial was then covered with aluminum foil and stored at +4 122 °C for 60 min before use.

DCA standard solution was prepared by acidification of the MCA solution with 0.1 M formic acid to reach a pH value between 3.5 and 4. This solution was then stirred at room temperature for 2 h while pH was monitored and readjusted as necessary, and then left for 4 h at +4 °C before use.

127 TCA standard solution was prepared by addition of 5 mL of a 6 mmol $Cl_2 L^{-1}$ sodium 128 hypochlorite solution (whose pH was previously adjusted below 3 with 0.5 M H₂SO₄) to 5 mL 129 of a 1.9 mmol L⁻¹ ammonium chloride solution (ammonia to chlorine molar ratio was 1:3.15). 130 Final pH was between 2.3 and 2.5. The reaction vial was then covered with aluminum foil and 131 stored at +4 °C for 45 min before use.

A solution of MCA and DCA was prepared by additions of 5 mL of a 6 mmol $Cl_2 L^{-1}$ sodium hypochlorite solution (whose pH waspreviously adjusted to 6 with 0.1 M formic acid) to 5 mL of a 6 mmol L^{-1} ammonium chloride solution (ammonia to chlorine molar ratio was 1:1). Final pH was between 5.2 and 5.8. The vial was then covered with aluminum foil and stored at +4 °C for 45 min before use.

For the study on inorganic bromamines, monobromamine (NH₂Br), dibromamine (NHBr₂) and tribromamine (NBr₃) were prepared as described by Galal-Gorchev and Morris [23] and by Lei et al. [24], while bromochloramine (NHBrCl) was prepared following Allard et al.'s method [25].

142 2.3 HPLC separation of inorganic chloramines with direct UV detection

Inorganic chloramines separation has been first optimized by using a HPLC system consisting of an L-2130 pump, an L-2400 UV detector, and an L-2200 autosampler (Hitachi Elite LaChrom system, Tokyo, Japan). HPLC separations were performed on a RP-18 $Onyx^{TM}$ monolithic column (25 mm x 4.6 mm, Merck, Germany). Detection was performed at 245 nm for MCA, 295 nm for DCA (210 nm for mixtures of MCA + DCA) and 220 nm for TCA. The column temperature was fixed at 25 °C, the flow rate was set at 0.5 mL min⁻¹, and the injected sample volume was 20 µL.

150

151 2.4. Reaction of inorganic chloramines with ABTS

The reaction between free chlorine or inorganic chloramines with ABTS was studied using a
Jasco V-670 spectrophotometer operating with the Spectra Manager software. The solutions
were analyzed in a 10 mm light path quartz cell.

Solutions of ABTS were stored at 4 °C and were stable for at least 2 months. Solution A was
prepared by dissolving 13.6 mg of ABTS in 100 mL 0.4 M HCl ([ABTS] = 0.25 mM). Solution
B was prepared by dissolving 13.6 mg of ABTS in 100 mL of 5 mM phosphate buffer at pH 3.
For the kinetic measurements in quartz cells, 1 mL of sample and 2 mL of the appropriate ABTS
solution were added and mixed in the cell, and absorbance was measured every 5 s at 405 nm.

160

161

2.5. MSC instrumentation and software

162

163 The device used is described in Fig.1. It included a multisyringe burette (BU-4-S, Crison 164 Instruments, Spain) equipped with four syringes (Hamilton, Switzerland). Three syringes were 165 used: S1 (5 mL; mobile phase: MeCN/H₂O: 20/80), S2 (2.5 mL; ABTS solution A) and S3 (2.5

mL; ABTS solution B). Standard solenoid valves on the head of S1 were replaced by a two-166 way connector made of Delrin® (Sciware System SL, Spain) in order to resist organic solvents. 167 This connector linked S1 with a new solenoid valve (V1, Takasago, Japan) which was situated 168 outside the syringe module and allowed a maximum operating pressure of 6 bars. V2 and V3 169 were standard solenoid valves. The manifold was built up with a 0.8 mm internal diameter 170 poly(tetrafluoroethylene) (PTFE) tubing. S1 was connected to one port of a 6-port injection 171 valve module (Crison Instruments) equipped with a 20 µL loop. The three other ports of the 172 injection valve were connected to the sample vial, the monolithic column and waste. The 173 injection loop was filled with the sample thanks to a solenoid micro-pump (MP1) (Bio-Chem, 174 175 USA). The indicated stroke volume for the micro-pumps was 20 µL. MP1 and V1 were computer-controlled by means of a MCFIA/MPFS system (Sciware System SL) having eight 176 digital 12V output channels. 177

The separation of chloramines was performed on an Onyx[™] monolithic C18 column (25 mm
x 4.6 mm, Merck, Germany) at room temperature, using a mixture of acetonitrile and water as
mobile phase (MeCN/H₂O: 20/80).

181 A PEEK (polyether ether ketone) T-mixer equipped with a PAT frit (PEEK Alloyed with 182 Teflon, Interchim, France) was used to mix the eluent from the column with the ABTS solution 183 during the post-column derivatization. A 100 μ L PTFE tubing (0.5 mm internal diameter) was 184 used to connect the T-mixer to the flow cell to ensure enough time to complete reaction between 185 chloramines and ABTS.

The detection system was composed of an ultra violet-visible light source (DH-2000 Deuterium
Tungsten Halogen Light sources; wavelength range 215-2000 nm; Ocean Optics, USA), a FIAZSMA PEEK flow cell (50 mm pathlength, 130 µL internal volume, Ocean Optics) and of a
USB 2000 miniature fiber-optic spectrometer detector (detector range: 200 - 1100 nm, Ocean

Optics) connected to a computer via an USB interface. The analytical wavelength was set at 405 nm. Two FC-UV600-2 optical fibers (Ocean Optics) were used to guide the light from the source through the flow cell and further to the spectrophotometric detector. System control, data acquisition and processing were performed using the software package Autoanalysis 5.0 (Sciware System SL).

195

196 2.6. MSC procedure

197 The optimized protocol used for the MSC system is presented in Table 1 and summarized as198 follows:

A first cycle (separation/derivatization) was run with ABTS (solution B) to measure only free chlorine. After injection of the sample in the loop (Load position, step 1), chromatographic separation was performed by switching the valve to "Inject" position (step 4) and by dispensing the mobile phase (step 6), while absorbance measurement was carried out at 405 nm. After reloading of the syringes (step 8), a second cycle was run with ABTS (solution A) to measure inorganic chloramines (step 9-13).

Table 1 Analytical procedure for Multi-syringe chromatography analysis of free chlorine withABTS solution B and inorganic chloramines with ABTS solution A.

207

Step	V1	V2	V3	Injection	Mode	Volume	Flow rate	MP1
				Valve		(ml)	(mL min ⁻¹) ^a	(μL)
1	OFF	OFF	OFF	LOAD	-	-	-	500
2	Get	t dark spe	ctrum con	tinuous				
3	Get	blank spe	ectrum coi	ntinuous				

4	OFF	OFF	OFF	INJECT	-	-	-	-
5	,	Start ME	ASUREM	ENT	-	-	-	-
6	ON	OFF	ON	INJECT	Dispense	5	0.30	-
7	S	TOP ME	ASUREM	IENT	-	-	-	-
8	OFF	OFF	OFF	INJECT	Pick up	5	5	-
9	OFF	OFF	OFF	LOAD	-	-	-	500
10	OFF	OFF	OFF	INJECT	-	-	-	-
11		Start ME	ASUREM	ENT				
12	ON	ON	OFF	INJECT	Dispense	5	0.30	-
13	S	TOP ME	ASUREM	IENT				
14	OFF	OFF	OFF	INJECT	Pick up	5	5	-

Flow rate of S1; Flow rates of S2 and S3 are half that of S1 because of half volumes of S2 and S3 compared to S1.

209 2.7. Swimming pools samples

Samples were collected in five indoor swimming pools located in the Aix-Marseille Provence Metropolis. These five pools were supplied with public water works and were continuously disinfected with bleach at a set point concentration of 1 mg L⁻¹ Cl₂ (expressed as free chlorine). Water samples were taken at a depth of 30 cm, stored at 4 °C and analyzed at the laboratory as quick as possible (delay < 1 hour). The sampling took place at peak times with the objective of having a maximum bather load (free swims or classes).

216

- 217 *3.* Results and discussion.
- 218 3.1. Inorganic chloramines standard solutions

DPD/FAS titrimetric standardization of chloramines standard solutions was used to provideconversion percentages of chlorine and ammonia into chloramines. In the conditions described

in section 2.2, 98 % conversion of free chlorine to monochloramine was achieved within 60
minutes, and 95 % of monochloramine was also converted to dichloramine after acidification
of the monochloramine solution and completion of the reaction for 6 h.

Regarding the trichloramine solutions, different preparation methods were tested as described in the literature [15,22,26]. Chlorine to ammonia molar ratio is usually 3:1 or 3.15:1 with acidic pH between 2.3 and 2.8 but proposed ageing times vary considerably, from 1 h to 1 week. The DPD/FAS determination of the solutions prepared in these various conditions in our laboratory showed that there was always free chlorine and dichloramine in the trichloramine standard solutions, even at very high chlorine to ammonium molar ratio (10:1) as was described by some authors [22].

Preparation of trichloramine solutions (according to the protocol described in section 2) and 231 232 kinetic measurements of chloramines using DPD/FAS titration over 28 h ageing showed that both dichloramine and trichloramine were present immediately after the beginning of the 233 experiment. After 45 min, concentration of trichloramine became stable for 6 h, followed by a 234 slow decrease in trichloramine concentration as well as an increase in dichloramine 235 concentration. Conversion yields of trichloramine were usually around 10 % (based on initial 236 ammonia concentration) after 45 min. This preparation method and ageing time has been chosen 237 for this study in order to reduce the daily preparation time of trichloramine standards. 238

- 3.2. Inorganic chloramines separation with direct detection
- 240

Optimization of the separation of inorganic chloramines was first studied using HPLC with direct UV detection (on standard solutions with high concentrations of chloramines) as preliminary experiments. Various mobile phases were tested in order to study the separation of inorganic chloramines by HPLC using a monolithic column, which has never been documented

before in the literature (only with traditional particle-packed columns). Results in Table 2 show 245 246 retention times of the three chloramines and resolution between MCA and DCA whose peaks were the lower-separated ones. The best compromise between TCA retention time (which 247 corresponds to the total analysis time for three chloramines) and MCA-DCA resolution was a 248 mobile phase made of 20 % acetonitrile, leading to short overall retention times and good 249 separation of the whole chloramines. Representative chromatograms for the separation of the 250 251 inorganic chloramines with this mobile phase are depicted in Figure S1 in the supplementary materials section. Addition of buffers (acidic or neutral) did not improve separation and was 252 not selected for the rest of the study as it could displace equilibrium between chloramines during 253 254 the column separation. Methanol was also tested as the organic solvent of the mobile phase, but 255 results were not as good as with acetonitrile and it generated higher pressure in the system, which is not recommended for low-pressure MSC systems. Irrespective of the conditions tested, 256 chlorine and MCA have not been able to be separated. 257

The same experimental conditions (monolithic column, mobile phase made of 20% MeCN, eluent flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹) have then been applied to the MSC system coupled to a UV detector (without post-column reaction).Retention times and resolution of chromatographic peaks were close to those obtained with the HPLC system, giving proper pressures around 3 bars. MCA and chlorine also co-eluted with this MSC system.

Table 2 Retention times of inorganic chloramines for different mobile phase using HPLC with
a C18 monolithic column (flow rate was 0.5 mL.min⁻¹).

Mobile phase	Retention time (min)		MCA-DCA	
	MCA	DCA	TCA	resolution
H ₂ O	1.11	1.89	>30	2.61
Acetonitrile 10% - H ₂ O 90%	1.10	1.86	8.84	2.52

Acetonitrile 20% - H ₂ O 80%	1.09	1.68	6.17	2.29
Acetonitrile 25% - H ₂ O 75%	1.07	1.60	5.02	2.15
Acetonitrile 30% - H ₂ O 70%	1.04	1.53	4.30	1.81
Acetonitrile 40% - H ₂ O 60%	1.01	1.30	2.90	0.91
Acetonitrile 25% - Phosphate	1.08	1.60	5.02	2.17
buffer pH 7 75%				
Acetonitrile 25% - Acetate buffer	1.07	1.58	5.01	2.11
pH 4.5 75%				
Methanol 25% - H ₂ O 75%	1.02	1.50	6.34	1.97

266 3.3 Optimization of the post-column reaction with ABTS

ABTS was used as a post-column reagent being known to be able to react with halogenated compounds to form the colored ABTS^{$\circ+$} radical, detectable and quantifiable at 405 nm (E=31600 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). ABTS has indeed already been used as a colorimetric indicator for trichloramine [22] and for detection of monochloramine after addition of nitrite [26] but has never been studied for the simultaneous/sequential detection of all inorganic chloramines and free chlorine.

273

274 3.3.1. Kinetic measurements by batch experiments

The objective of the optimization of the reaction between ABTS and chloramines was first to find experimental conditions that ensured rapid and total reaction of the three inorganic chloramines, in order to be used later as post-column reaction on our MSC system. Chlorine and inorganic chloramines react with ABTS as follows:

279 HOCl + 2 ABTS +
$$H^+ \rightarrow Cl^-$$
 + 2 ABTS^{o+} + H₂O

280
$$NH_2Cl + 2 ABTS + 2H^+ \rightarrow Cl^- + 2 ABTS^{\circ+} + NH_4^+$$

281 NHCl₂ + 4 ABST + 3 H⁺
$$\rightarrow$$
 2 Cl⁻ + 4 ABTS^{o+} + NH₄⁺

282 NCl₃ + 6 ABTS + 4 H⁺ \rightarrow 3 Cl⁻ + 6ABTS^{o+} + NH₄⁺

One must therefore bear in mind that stoichiometry of TCA and DCA reactions are three times 283 284 and twice that of MCA or hypochlorous acid, respectively. Various experimental conditions were tested (pH, addition of iodide catalyst...) in batch experiments (quartz cell) and all 285 inorganic chloramines (and hypochlorous acid) were found to react quickly and quantitatively 286 with ABTS at pH below 2, without catalyst. Figure 2 displays the kinetics of the ABTS^{°+} 287 formation for all studied compounds with ABTS dissolved in 0.4 M HCl. All reactions were 288 complete after 30 s, which was fast enough to be used for post-column reaction. Measured 289 absorbance after reaction of DCA (10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹) with ABTS was twice that of MCA and 290 chlorine (10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹), as expected. Regarding TCA, only mixtures of TCA, DCA and HOCl 291 292 have been able to be formed, and the absorbance depicted for the reaction with TCA (concentration of TCA at 10^{-5} mol L⁻¹ in the mixture) was calculated by subtracting the 293 absorbance of the expected ABTS^{°+} produced by reaction with DCA and HOCl from the total 294 295 absorbance (originating from the reaction with the three species).

The reaction between ABTS and inorganic chloramine and free chlorine is highly dependent on the reaction pH value, and up to now, discrimination between free chlorine and MCA was not achievable, these two compounds being not separated after the chromatographic step. In our previous experiments, ABTS reacted with all chlorinated compounds at acidic pH and with any of them at neutral or basic pH. However, a fine-tuning of the pH around 3 allowed us to separate MCA and HOCl (Fig. 3). MCA did not react with ABTS at pH 3, whereas HOCl still exhibited quantitative and fast reaction. Differentiation between MCA and HOCl was thus possible by running two experiments: one with ABTS in HCl (ABTS solution A) for free chlorine and
inorganic chloramines, and one with ABTS at pH 3 (ABTS solution B) for determination of
free chlorine.

306

307 3.3.2. Optimization on the MSC system.

The MSC system has then been optimized in terms of the post-column reaction by varying 308 several operational parameters: the ratio between flow rates of mobile phase and ABTS 309 solution, the mobile phase flow rate and the tubing volume /length between the mixer and the 310 detector. As the mobile phase and the ABTS solution were delivered by the same piston pump 311 312 (by use of a multi-syringe pump in order to simplify the experimental set-up), the only way to 313 change the flow-rates ratio was to change the volume of the syringe of ABTS while keeping that of mobile phase constant (5 mL). Therefore, flow rate ratios of 1, 2 or 5 have been able to 314 315 be obtained by using 5 mL, 2.5 mL or 1 mL syringes for ABTS. For these experiments, flow rate of mobile phase was set at 0.3 mL min⁻¹, pressure was 2.5 bars, and the volume of the 316 tubing between the mixer and the detector was 100 µL. Table 3 shows that peak areas and 317 resolution between MCA and DCA peaks were better when ABTS flow rate was half that of 318 the mobile phase (which corresponded to the volume ratios used in quartz cells experiments). 319 This ratio was selected for the rest of the study. 320

Table 3 Influence of the ratio between mobile phase and ABTS solution flow rates on MCAand DCA peaks.

Monochloramine	Dichloramine	Resolution

Ratio of	Retention	Area of peak	Retention	Area of peak	
flow rate	time (min)	Alea of peak	time (min)	Alea of peak	
1	2.05	10.1	3.5	7.4	1.5
2	2.8	11.5	4.03	9.6	2.01
5	2.71	10.1	3.7	4.1	0.7

The mobile phase flow rate and the tubing volume between the mixer and the detector had a direct influence on the post-column reaction time. Volumes from 100 to 300 μ L and two flow rates (0.3 mL min⁻¹ and 0.5 mL min⁻¹) were tested, and best results (peak areas and resolution) were obtained with a flow rate of 0.3 mL min⁻¹ and a tubing volume of 100 μ L (Table 4). Considering the mobile phase and ABTS solution flow rates (global flow rate of 0.45 mL min⁻ 1), this volume corresponded to a reaction time of 13.3 sec, which seemed sufficient to obtain the best compromise between peak areas and resolution.

Table 4 Influence of the mobile phase flow rate and of the volume of the tubing between themixer and the detector. Flow rate of ABTS was half that of the mobile phase.

Flow rate	Volume of Monochloramine			Dichlora			
$(mI min^{-1})$	the tubing	Retention	Area of	Retention	Area of	Resolution	
(IIIL IIIII)	(μL)	time (min)	peak	time (min)	peak		
0.3	100	2.88	11.5	4.03	9.6	2.01	
0.5	100	1.8	4.05	2.6	1.57	2.15	
0.3	200	3.11	12.4	4.35	10.9	1.57	
0.5	200	2.01	7.6	2.66	3.7	1.78	

0.3	300	3.36	10.9	4.61	8	1.69
0.5	300	2.08	7.3	2.82	6.7	1.51

- 335
- 336

3.4. Interferences from organic chloramines and other halogenated compounds

338 DPD-based methods for inorganic chloramines measurements are known to suffer from strong interferences from other chlorinated compounds, especially from organic chloramines which 339 are formed during chlorination of organic amines and amino acids [15]. These interferences 340 result in "false positives" for inorganic chloramines determination (appearing as MCA or DCA) 341 with DPD standard methods, which strongly hinders application of this method to real samples 342 343 with complex matrix content. To assess interferences from organic chloramines on our MSC system, glycine was selected as a model organic amino compound. This latter one was indeed 344 345 already demonstrated to be chlorine-reactive forming organic chloramines (N-chloro or N-346 dichloroglycine) [27].

The ability of the MSC system to differentiate inorganic and organic chloramines species was 347 demonstrated as follows : 1 mL of a glycine solution (100 mg L⁻¹ as N) was added to 220 mL 348 of 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH 7) in a flask, then aliquots of free chlorine stock solution were 349 350 added so that chlorine/nitrogen mass ratio were from 2 to 16 (chlorine/nitrogen molar ratio from 0.4 to 3.2). The concentration of residual chlorine was then determined by the DPD/FAS 351 titration method (Fig. 4a.) and by the MSC system after 30 min (Fig. 4b). As expected, the lack 352 of selectivity of DPD/FAS titration was highlighted, as MCA and DCA were quantified in high 353 quantities while it has already been proven by MIMS measurements (during an experiment 354 performed under the same conditions) that none of them were able to be actually present at low 355 Cl/N mass ratios (<14) [15]. On the opposite, our MSC system only detected free chlorine at 356

high Cl/N mass ratio, which proved that organic chloramines did not interfere with our system.
Indeed, organic chloramines generated by the chlorination of glycine did not react with the
ABTS solution A (or B), as was demonstrated by a direct test in quartz cell. Therefore, coelution
of an organic chloramine with an inorganic chloramine would not cause interference on the
determination of MCA, DCA or TCA. The same results were obtained during chlorination of
asparagine (data not shown).

Interferences from other chlorinated disinfection by-products or chlorine-related compounds 363 364 were also assessed. N-chlorourea, chloroform, bromoform (trihalomethanes), mono-, di- and tri-chloroacetic acids (haloacetic acids) and cyanuric acid (chlorine stabilizer) showed no 365 analytical response with our system, due to the non-reactivity of these compounds with ABTS. 366 Reactivities of inorganic bromamines were also studied. The results showed that NH₂Br 367 368 coelutes with NH₂Cl, while NHBr₂ and NHBrCl had the same retention time as NHCl₂. Nevertheless, NBr₃ has been able to be separated from NCl₃ as their retention times were 369 different (13.9 min for trichloramine and 19.6 min for tribromamine). Further studies are in 370 progress in our laboratory to differentiate inorganic bromamines and chloramines by 371 optimization of the ABTS reagent. 372

373 3.5. Analytical features

The analytical procedure of the MSC system was evaluated under the optimized experimental conditions, with ABTS solution A for HOCl and inorganic chloramines determination, and with ABTS solution B for HOCl only (Table 5). Excellent linear regression coefficients were obtained for all chloramines up to at least 7100 μ g eq.Cl₂ L⁻¹ (100 μ mol eq.Cl₂ L⁻¹). The limits of detection (LD) and of quantification (LQ) were estimated using the classical 3s and 10s approaches respectively, *i.e.* calculation of LD and LQ through analysis of the standard deviation of blank measurements (n=8). Limits of detection for the inorganic chloramines were

all around 10 μ g eq.Cl₂ L⁻¹, which was comparable to the DPD titrimetric method and 2 to 10 381 382 times better than usual MIMS performances [14]. Limits of detection on our MSC system without post-column reaction (direct UV detection) were 100 to 500 times lower, proving 383 efficiency of the ABTS detection. Slope of the linear regression equations of HOCl or inorganic 384 chloramines were all similar after reaction with ABTS (when concentrations were expressed as 385 equivalent Cl₂ L⁻¹, and considering stoichiometry of equations presented in section 3.1), proving 386 387 that chloramines concentrations were not affected by the chromatographic separation using our experimental conditions (monolithic column for fast separation, mobile phase with water), 388 contrary to what has been previously described when using traditional HPLC method [17]. 389 Regarding sample throughput, the overall procedure took about 30 min, including the two 390 cycles with ABTS solution A and B, allowing the analysis of 2 samples/h. 391

392

Table 5 Analytical features of the MSC system developed

Analytical	MCA	DCA ^a	TCA ^a	HOC1 ^b	
parameter	(or HOCl) ^a	Den	1011	noer	
Limit of detection	0.2	0.0	10.0	20.2	
$(\mu g eq.Cl_2 L^{-1})$	9.5	9.9	10.0	29.2	
Limit of					
quantification (µg	31.2	33	33.5	97.4	
eq.Cl ₂ L^{-1})					
Linear working	31 7100	22 7100	34 7100	07 7100	
$range(\mu g \; eq. Cl_2 \; L^{\text{-1}})$	51-7100	55-7100	54-7100	97-7100	
Linear regression	$A = 0.00116mC \pm 0.02$	A = 0.0112 + C + 0.25	$A = 0.0124 \times C + 0.06$	A -0.00026-C \ 0.061	
equation ^c	A- 0.00110XC+0.23	A=0.0115XC+0.25	A-0.0124XC+0.00	A=0.00920XC+0.061	

R ²	0.997	0.998	0.999	0.986
RSD (%) ^d	4.9	3.8	4.4	3.7
Retention time	3.0	4.05	13.0	3.0
(min)	5.0	4.05	13.9	5.0
RSD of retention	1.2	1.8	0.4	16
time (%)	1.2	1.0	0.4	1.0

a. with ABTS solution A; b. with ABTS solution B; c. A was the area of the peak, C was the analyte concentration (μg eq.Cl₂ L⁻¹
d. RSD was calculated on a 200 μg Cl₂ L⁻¹ standard, n=6 replicates.

397

398 3.6. Application to real samples

Our multisyringe analytical system was applied to real samples collected in swimming pools of 399 Aix-Marseille Provence Metropolis. We first intended to compare our results with MIMS 400 401 measurements, which was not available in our laboratory. Samples were sent to another French laboratory equipped with a MIMS for comparison purposes, but quantification for MCA and 402 DCA was unfortunately not possible on real samples, due to interferences from other 403 compounds and between inorganic chloramines. Standard addition method was also not 404 possible for validation, as addition of inorganic chloramines to swimming pool samples resulted 405 406 in modification of chlorine-inorganic chloramine equilibrium and very large differences between added and measured concentrations in these samples. 407

Therefore, we chose to assess the accuracy of the multisyringe system by comparison of the results obtained with our MSC system and those obtained by the DPD/FAS titrimetric assay (Table 6). While measurements for free chlorine were in good agreement (no difference at the 0.05 level using Student's t test), significant differences appeared for inorganic chloramines. MCA was detected by DPD/FAS assay in two samples in low concentrations (2 and 3) but not detected by the MSC procedure. DCA measurements resulted in the largest differences between

both methods, with DPD/FAS results always much higher than MSC results. This large 414 415 discrepancy has been able to be explained by the inability of the DPD/FAS assay to differentiate between inorganic and organic chloramines [28], which was further demonstrated in this study 416 on swimming pool samples. Samples were collected during highly crowded hours (except 417 sample 1 with no inorganic chloramines detectable by the MSC method), and significant 418 amounts of organic chloramines or other DPD/FAS interferents could have been expected due 419 420 to the reaction of chlorine with organic nitrogenous compounds brought by swimmers. TCA was not quantified by either methods. 421

422 Our method could be also applied to other types of waters such as drinking waters, especially 423 when MCA is used as a secondary disinfectant such as in the USA (where significant 424 concentrations of MCA and DCA are expected).

Table 6 Comparative results between our multisyringe system (MSC) and titrimetric assay (DPD/FAS) on various swimming pool samples (mg eq.Cl₂ L^{-1} , mean ± standard deviation, n=2 replicates).

4	2	9
---	---	---

	HOCI		MCA		D	CA	TCA	
	DPD/FAS	MSC	DPD/FAS	MSC	DPD/FAS	MSC	DPD/FAS	MSC
1	1.52±0.03	1.60±0.09	<lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""><th>0.58±0.00</th><th><lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<>	<lq< th=""><th>0.58±0.00</th><th><lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<>	0.58±0.00	<lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<>	<lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<>	<lq< th=""></lq<>
2	1.50±0.01	1.52±0.04	0.20±0.00	<lq< th=""><th>1.02±0.02</th><th>0.37±0.05</th><th><lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<>	1.02±0.02	0.37±0.05	<lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<>	<lq< th=""></lq<>
3	1.7±0.04	1.60±0.11	0.1±0.01	<lq< th=""><th>0.7±0.03</th><th>0.68±0.04</th><th><lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<>	0.7±0.03	0.68±0.04	<lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<>	<lq< th=""></lq<>
4	1.2±0.00	1.15±0.06	<lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""><th>0.98±0.02</th><th>0.06±0.01</th><th><lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<>	<lq< th=""><th>0.98±0.02</th><th>0.06±0.01</th><th><lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<></th></lq<>	0.98±0.02	0.06±0.01	<lq< th=""><th><lq< th=""></lq<></th></lq<>	<lq< th=""></lq<>

431 **4.** Conclusion

In this study, a MSC system based on a chromatographic separation with a monolithic column 432 and post-column derivatization with ABTS was developed for the analysis of inorganic 433 chloramines in water. Use of a mobile phase containing acetonitrile and water enabled 434 successful separation of the chloramines with good resolution and limited pressure in the flow 435 system, leading only to the coelution of chlorine and monochloramine. Optimization of the 436 437 ABTS reaction resulted in the preparation of two ABTS reagent: one for the quantification of free chlorine only, and one for the quantification of chlorine and the three inorganic 438 chloramines. Mono-, di- and trichloramine were quantified by the MSC system with detection 439 limits around 10 µg eq.Cl₂ L⁻¹, a large linear working range and a very good repeatability. 440 Rather as DPD-based methods, our method was not affected by the presence of organic 441 chloramines. This method applied and validated on real samples represents a promising and 442 cheaper alternative to MIMS, both in laboratory (use of the same method on a widely available 443 LC-UV equipment is also possible) and on-site analysis of inorganic chloramines. 444

445

446 Acknowledgements

The project leading to this publication has received funding from the A*Midex Foundation ofAix-Marseille University, funded by socio-economic partners.

449

450 **References**

- [1] T. Manasfi, B. Coulomb, J.-L. Boudenne, Occurrence, origin and toxicity of disinfection
 byproducts in chlorinated swimming pools: An overview, Int. J. Hyg. Envir. Heal. 220 (2017)
 591-603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2017.01.005.
- [2] L. Lian, E. Yue, J. Li, E.R. Blatchley, Volatile disinfection byproducts resulting from
 chlorination of uric acid: implications for swimming pools, Environ. Sci. Technol. 48 (2014)
 3210–3217. https://doi.org/10.1021/es405402r.
- 457 [3] M.B. Heeb, I. Kristiana, D. Trogolo, J.S. Arey, U. von Gunten, Formation and reactivity
- 458 of inorganic and organic chloramines andbromamines during oxidative water treatment,

459 Water Res. 110 (2017) 91-101. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.11.065

- 460 [4] T. Manasfi, K. Lebaron, M. Verlande, J. Dron, C. Demelas, L. Vassalo, G. Revenko, J.-L.
- Boudenne, Occurrence and speciation of chlorination byproducts in marine waters and
 sediments in a semi-enclosed bay exposed to industrial chlorinated effluents. Int. J. Hyg.
 Environ. Health 222 (2019), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.06.008
- 464 [5] R.A. Mole, C.J. Good, E.K. Stebel, J.F. Higgins, S.A. Pitell, A.R. Welch, T.A. Minarik, H.L. Schoenfuss, P.L. Edminston, Correlating effluent concentration and bench-scale 465 experiments to assess the transformation of endocrine active compounds in wastewater by UV 466 467 chlorination disinfection, Chemosphere 226 (2019)565-575. https://doior org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.03.145 468
- [6] Y.H. Chuang, F. Shabani, J. Munoz, R. Aflaki, S.D. Hammond, W.A. Mitch,
 Comparing industrial and domestic discharges as sources of N-nitrosamines and their
 chloramine or ozone-reactive precursors, Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 5 (2019) 726-736.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ew00942b rsc.li/es-water

- [7] A. Bernard, S. Carbonnelle, C.D. Burbure, O. Michel, M. Nickmilder, Chlorinated pool
 attendance, atopy, and the risk of asthma during childhood. Environ. Health Persp. 114 (2006)
 1567–1573. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8461.
- [8] A. Florentin, A. Hautemanière, P. Hartemann, Health effects of disinfection by-products in
 chlorinated swimming pools, Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 241 (2011) 461-469.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.07.012
- [9] J. Westerlund, I.L. Bryngelsson, H. Lofstedt, K. Eriksson, H. Westberg, P. Graff,
 Occupational exposure to trichloramine and trihalomethanes : adverse health effects among
 personnel in habilitation and rehabilitation swimming pools, J. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 16 (2019)
 78-88. https://doi.org/10.1080/15459624.2018.1536825
- [10] C. Schmalz, H. Wunderlich, R. Heinze, F.H. Frimmel, C. Zwiener, T. Grummt, 483 Application of an optimized system for the well-defined exposure of human lung cells to 484 485 trichloramine and indoor pool air. J. Water Health 9 (2011)586-596. https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2011.144. 486
- [11] W.A. Mitch, D.L. Sedlak, Formation of N-nitrosodimethylamine from dimethylamine
 during chlorination, Environ. Sci. Technol. 36 (2002) 588–595.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es010684q.
- [12] M. Selbes, D. Kim, N. Ates, T. Karanfil, The roles of tertiary amine structure, background
 organic matter and chloramine species on NDMA formation, Water Res. 47 (2013) 945–953.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.11.014.
- [13] M.E. Huang, S. Huang, D.L. McCurry, Re-Examining the Role of Dichloramine in HighYield N-Nitrosodimethylamine Formation from N,N-Dimethyl-α-arylamines, Environ. Sci.
 Tech. Let. 5 (2018) 154-159. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00572.

- 496 [14] S. Kinani, B. Richard, Y. Souissi, S. Bouchonnet, Analysis of inorganic chloramines in
 497 water, Trend Anal. Chem. 33 (2012) 55–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2011.10.006.
- [15] C. Shang, E.R. Blatchley, Differentiation and quantification of free chlorine and inorganic
 chloramines in aqueous solution by MIMS, Environ. Sci. Technol. 33 (1999) 2218–2223.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es9812103.
- 501 [16] H. Tao, Z.L. Chen, X. Li, Y.L. Yang, G.B. Li, Salicylate-spectrophotometric determination
- 502 of inorganic monochloramine, Anal. Chim. Acta 615 (2008) 184-190.
 503 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2008.04.005
- 504 [17] R. Brunetto, M. Colin, C. Rosset, High performance reversed phase liquid chromatography
- 505 of chloramines, Analusis 15 (1987) 393–398.
- [18] J. Pla-Tolós, Y. Moliner-Martínez, C. Molins-Legua, R. Herráez-Hernández, J. VerdúAndrés, P. Campíns-Falcó, Selective and sentivive method based on capillary liquid
 chromatography with in-tube solid phase microextraction for determination of monochloramine
 in water, J. Chrom. A 1388 (2015) 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2015.02.024.
- 510 [19] H. Ge, G.G. Wallace, R.A.J. O'Halloran, Determination of trace amounts of chloramines
- 511 by liquid chromatographic separation and amperometric detection, Anal. Chim. Acta 237
- 512 (1990) 149–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)83912-4.
- [20] S. Kinani, S. Layousse, B. Richard, A. Kinani, B. Bouchonnet, A. Thoma, F. Sacher,
 Selective and trace determination of monochloramine in river water by chemical derivatization
 and liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry analysis, Talanta 140 (2015) 189–197.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2015.03.043.
- 517 [21] G.A. Gagnon, H. Baribeau, S.O. Rutledge, R. Dumancic, A. Oehmen, C. Chauret, S.
 518 Andrews, Disinfectant efficacy in distribution systems: A pilot-scale assessment, J. Water

- 519 Supply Res. T. 57 (2008) 507–518. https://doi.org/10.2166/aqua.2008.103.
- [22] F. Soltermann, T. Widler, S. Canonica, U. Von Gunten, Comparison of a novel extraction-520 based colorimetric (ABTS) method with membrane introduction mass spectrometry (MIMS): 521 Trichloramine dynamics in pool 58 (2014)258-268. 522 water, Water Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.03.059. 523
- [23] H. Galal-Gorchev, J.C. Morris, Formation and stability of bromamide, bromimide, and
 nitrogen tribromide in aqueous solution, Inorg. Chem. 4 (1965) 899–905.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ic50028a029.
- 527 [24] H. Lei, H.,B.J. Mariñas, R.A.B.J., Minear, R.A., 2004. Bromamine Decomposition
 528 Kinetics in Aqueous Solutions, Environ. Sci. Technol. 38 (2014) 2111–2119.
 529 https://doi.org/10.1021/es034726h.
- [25] S. Allard, W. Hu, J.B. Le Menn, K. Cadee, H. Gallard, J.P. Croué, Method development
 for quantification of bromochloramine using Membrane Introduction Mass Spectrometry,
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 52 (2018) 7805–7812. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00889.
- [26] U. Pinkernell, B. Nowack, H. Gallard, U. Von Gunten, Methods for the photometric
 determination of reactive bromine and chlorine species with ABTS, Water Res. 34 (2000)
 4343–4350. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00216-5.
- [27] A. Mehrsheikh, M. Bleeke, S. Brosillon, A. Laplanche, P. Roche, Investigation of the
 mechanism of chlorination of glyphosate and glycine in water, Water Res. 40 (2006) 3003–
 3014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.06.027.
- [28] W. Lee, P. Westerhoff, X. Yang, S. Shang, Comparison of colorimetric and membrane
 introduction mass spectrometry techniques for chloramine analysis, Water Res. 41 (2007),
 3097-3102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.04.032.

543 Figure captions

- *Figure 1- Descriptive scheme of the multi-syringe chromatography manifold for the analysis of*
- *inorganic chloramines.* MP1=Solenoid micro-pump, V1, V2 and V3= solenoid valves.
- 546 Figure 2- Kinetic of the reactions between ABTS solution A (prepared in HCl 0.4M) and free
- 547 chlorine/inorganic chloramines. Protocol: 1 mL sample + 2 mL ABTS solution A. The
- 548 concentration of free chlorine, MCA, DCA and TCA were 10^{-5} mol L^{-1} .
- *Figure 3- Kinetic of the reactions between ABTS solution B (prepared in pH 3 buffer) and free*
- 550 chlorine or MCA. Protocol: 1 mL sample + 2 mL ABTS solution B. The concentration of free
- *chlorine and MCA were* 10^{-5} *mol* L^{-1} .
- 552 Figure 4- Residual chlorine concentration as function of mass ratio Cl/N after 30 min of
- *chlorination of an aqueous solution containing glycine a) measured by DPD/FAS titration, b)*

measured by the MSC system.



Figure 1.





Figure 2











