

Microbial electrochemical snorkels (MESs): A budding technology for multiple applications. A mini review

Morgane Hoareau, Benjamin Erable, Alain Bergel

To cite this version:

Morgane Hoareau, Benjamin Erable, Alain Bergel. Microbial electrochemical snorkels (MESs): A budding technology for multiple applications. A mini review. Electrochemistry Communications, 2019, 104, pp.106473. 10.1016/j.elecom.2019.05.022. hal-02290653

HAL Id: hal-02290653 <https://hal.science/hal-02290653>

Submitted on 17 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Open Archive Toulouse Archive Ouverte (OATAO)

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible

This is a Publisher's version published in: **http://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/24224**

Official URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2019.05.022](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39820-z)

To cite this version:

Hoareau, Morgane and Er[able](http://www.idref.fr/230809081), Benjamin⁻² and Be[rgel,](http://www.idref.fr/091896258) Alain⁻² *Microbial electrochemical snorkels (MESs): A budding technology for multiple applications. A mini review.* (2019) Electrochemistry Communications, 104. 106473. ISSN 1388-2481

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator: tech-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/13882481)

Electrochemistry Communications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/elecom

Microbial electrochemical snorkels (MESs): A budding technology for multiple applications. A mini review

Morgane Hoareau⁎ , Benjamin Erable, Alain Bergel

Laboratoire de Génie Chimique, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INP, UPS, Toulouse, France

munity to the potential of this technology and to propose research to develop it.

1. Introduction

The discovery of the electrocatalytic properties of microbial biofilms has raised great hopes for the emergence of microbial electrochemical technologies in various fields [1]. The microbial electrochemical snorkel (MES) is a very simple, probably the simplest, example of microbial electrochemical technology. It consists of the direct coupling of a microbial anode with a cathode, which may or may not be biotic [2]. In other words, it can be considered as a short-circuited microbial fuel cell (MFC) [3].

MESs were not the subject of much research in the years following their discovery in 2008 [4] and the first paper devoted to them [2], which was published in 2011. In contrast, several groups have recently implemented different versions of MESs and achieved success in a wide range of applications, including nitrate reduction [5], hydrocarbon removal [6,7] and wastewater treatment [8], and even relating to biogeochemical cycles [9]. Thanks to their extreme simplicity, the use of MESs is one of the microbial electrochemical technologies that could offer the opportunity of large-scale development in the short term. This review is intended to attract the attention of the research community to this technology and to propose lines of action to boost short-term MES scale-up.

2. MES principle, benefits and expectations

Basically, an MES is a short-circuited MFC. MFCs are characterized

by a bell-shaped power–current curve (Fig. 1). When short-circuited, an MFC no longer produces any power, because the voltage between anode and cathode is zero, but it works at the maximum possible current. The main advantage of an MES is thus to sustain the maximum current between cathode and anode that an MFC can produce. The reaction rates are raised to the maximum that the system can support. This way of operating is ideal when the objective is to raise electrochemical reaction rates rather than to produce electrical power. This is the case when the primary objective is the abatement of organic matter in wastewater [3], the decontamination of sediments or soils [10], the cleansing of effluents [11], the recovery of metals [12], etc. Actually, to be pragmatic, the power density produced so far by MFCs is so low that working in MES configuration should be the most suitable solution for many applications.

Another major advantage of an MES is the simplicity of the configuration it allows. A single conductive rod that spans two zones with different chemical compositions can be sufficient, for example, a carbon rod partially planted in anaerobic sediments with the upper part exposed to aerobic water (Fig. 1). An electroactive biofilm develops on the surface embedded in the anaerobic zone and releases electrons to the material. Electrons flow to the aerobic part, where they are transferred to oxygen. Such simplicity ensures low-cost and low-maintenance systems.

It has been noted that an MES can be seen as a process that mimics cable bacteria [7,13]. Cable bacteria are filamentous bacteria contained

⁎ Corresponding author.

E-mail address: morgane.hoareau@ensiacet.fr (M. Hoareau).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2019.05.022>

Received 10 May 2019; Received in revised form 24 May 2019; Accepted 28 May 2019 Available online 30 May 2019

1388-2481/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

Fig. 1. Principle of the microbial electrochemical snorkel. (A) Scheme that justifies the name snorkel: microbial cells in the anaerobic sublayers use the snorkel to respire thanks to the oxygen contained in the aerobic upper layers. (B) Power–current curve of a microbial fuel cell from [2]: the optimal operating point for an MFC is located at the maximum power (a), while the operating point of an MES is located at the highest possible current (b).

in marine and freshwater sediments, which can transport electrons over centimetre-long distances, for instance from anoxic sediments where sulfide is oxidized to the aerobic layers above where oxygen is reduced. The fact that MESs operate in a similar way to a natural process is an additional reason to be optimistic about their capacity for adaptation to large-scale applications.

A very wide range of applications is anticipated. The capacity of MESs to enhance wastewater treatment [2,8], remediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated sediments [6], and nitrate [5] or sulfate [9] removal have already been demonstrated experimentally. It has also been speculated that using MESs in landfills or wetlands may divert electron flow from methane production in the anaerobic zone and thus offer a strategy for preventing methane emission [14]. Benthic MESs may be able to shift microbial respiration patterns in soils and sediments. The increase in redox potential associated with electron transfer via MESs may be a way to mitigate other environmental concerns, such as the production of sulfide and mercury methylation, and to improve the cultivation of crops such as rice [14].

3. MES applications

3.1. Abatement of organic matter in wastewater treatment

The seminal paper demonstrated the efficiency of an MES in reducing organic matter in wastewater [2]. An MES was compared with a 1000 Ω-connected MFC and a control without electrodes. COD decreased by only 15% in the control, while the abatement was 40 to 50% with the MFC and reached 60 to 75% with the MES.

The most impressive successes have been obtained by implementing MESs in a constructed wetland, resulting in a so-called Electroactive Biofilm-Based Constructed Wetland (EABB-CW) [15]. In this case, MES is used as a kind of conductive biofiltration bed integrated in an artificial lagoon. Compared with a conventional lagoon equipped with gravel, an MES using conductive coke granules ensured COD and BOD5 reductions up to 3 times and 4.5 times higher, respectively [8]. The MES made it possible to reach the discharge limit values of 125 mg O_2L^{-1} for COD and 25 mg O_2L^{-1} for BOD₅ after the very low retention time of 0.5 day, while the control equipped with gravel only reached this legal limit after a retention time of 3.4 days. Further studies on EABB-CW have shown the impact of the nature of the conductive material on the capacity of the MES to remove pollutants [17,20].

For the treatment of pig manure, COD removal of up to 90% was attained, while the control equipped with a sand biofilter gave 81%

[16]. EABB-CW was also efficient for ammonium and phosphate removal [16].

3.2. Denitrification

An MES was used to reduce nitrates. The anodic part, made of carbon felt, was set in sediments and the cathodic part, an iron cylinder, was in the solution above, which contained sodium nitrate. The anode oxidized organic matter, while the cathode reduced nitrate to N_2 [5].

This MES was compared with two control reactors, one containing only sediments with no electrode, and the other only the electrode without sediment. From the 5th day, a rapid decrease in nitrate was observed with the MES, whereas its concentration did not vary in the control reactor without sediment and decreased only slightly from the 10th day in the reactor with no electrode. After 16 days, the nitrate removal efficiency in the MES reactor reached 98%. However, a green rust-like surface coating was observed on the iron rod (cathode part), so the mechanism of nitrate reduction may not be a simple electrochemical reduction on the cathode, but could also involve chemical reactions with iron.

3.3. Hydrocarbon biodegradation

Several studies have proposed the use of MESs to decontaminate marine sediments polluted with hydrocarbons. Experiments were conducted over 400 days with reactors containing one or three snorkels. Control experiments were performed without a snorkel or with a snorkel but in an autoclaved medium [6]. The snorkel consisted of a simple graphite rod with the lower part immersed in crude-oil-contaminated sediments and the upper part in the aerobic water above.

No change was observed after 200 days for the control without snorkel. The reactors equipped with one and three snorkels showed a decrease of 12 and 21%, respectively, in the total petroleum hydrocarbons. After 417 days, there was no significant difference between controls and snorkels. In this case, the snorkels accelerated the biodegradation and were less expensive than conventional treatments.

A similar experiment conducted on hydrocarbon-contaminated sediments from a river led to the modelling of the chemical and biological reactions involved in MES process [9]. The MES mainly accelerated sulfate reduction driven by the oxidation of the organic contaminants.

The bacterial populations on the MES surface had a configuration similar to those found in marine sediments, with a majority of Proteobacteria (85% for the electrode, 61% for sediments) [7]. However, *Alphaproteobacteria*, including sulfur- and sulfide-oxidizing bacteria which may be capable of transmitting the electrons resulting from sulfide oxidation, were mainly present on the electrode surface, while the sediments contained a majority of *Deltaproteobacteria*. The snorkel has been suspected of having an impact on microbial communities, even those far from its surface, and of stimulating biodegradation by sulfate-reducing bacteria in the surrounding area [21].

3.4. Soil bioremediation

In flooded soils, a deficiency of suitable electron acceptors can limit the efficiency of microbial remediation of organic pollutants. Conventional bioremediation techniques overcome this constraint by supplying additional electron acceptors like oxygen (bioventing) or nitrates [22]. This process can raise some concerns in terms of cost and secondary pollution, e.g. by nitrite [23]. Alternatively, electrons can also be supplied by using electrodes in so-called microbial electro-remediating cells [24] or a bioelectroventing process [19].

A recent paper has compared a bioelectroventing process with other electro-microbial processes, including MES, for 14 C-ATR mineralization, i.e. complete degradation of ¹⁴C-atrazine to ¹⁴CO₂ [19]. The snorkel consisted of a graphite felt electrode placed vertically, partially buried in soil and partially surrounded by the water above. A control

Table 1

Characteristics of MESs reported in the literature. WW: wastewater; COD: chemical oxygen demand.

experiment was performed with one electrode buried in soil and another in the water above, but not connected. After 20 days, the toxicity of soils treated (measured by the inhibition of *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* algal growth) was 20% in the snorkel and 45% in the control. Nevertheless, zero toxicity was obtained only when the anode was polarized at 600 mV vs. Ag/AgCl using a 3-electrode set-up.

4. Perspectives

Several possible application domains of MESs have been considered, mainly relating to waste treatment and environmental remediation. The application horizon is already wide but could be further extended to other sectors, such as metal recovery.

EABB-CWs have revealed promising capabilities, not far from practical applications in the short term. This success may be linked to the high surface area-to-volume (A/V) ratio (Table 1), which resulted from implementing the snorkels in the form of a packing bed. In contrast, most other studies found low A/V ratios. Consequently, it would be advisable to work with the highest possible A/V ratios in future research to assess the full capabilities of MESs.

Generally, the potential at which an MES works is not reported in the literature. This is a serious omission because the potential is the main parameter by which the snorkel can affect the electrochemical reactions that happen on its surface. As a first simple approach, the distribution of the electrostatic potential in solution can be neglected, assuming thus that the snorkel works at a uniform Nernst potential value. If the kinetics of the anode and cathode parts are known, the Nernst potential of the snorkel can be calculated by determining the value at which the anode current is equal to the cathode current (Fig. 2A). Moreover, the working potential of the snorkel can be controlled by choosing the ratio of anode to cathode surface areas. Increasing the cathode surface area will move this potential towards higher values, while, conversely, increasing the anode surface area will decrease it. Practically, the snorkel potential could thus be controlled dynamically by designing a system with several anode and cathode parts, connected together through simple on/off switches (Fig. 2.B). The relative surface areas of the anode and cathode could thus be adjusted by simple connection/disconnection of the various parts.

To advance the snorkel design, ion transport in the vicinity of the snorkel should be modelled numerically in order to assess the potential distribution along the snorkel surface [25,26]. Such a calculation will help in the design of the optimal snorkel architecture. It can be anticipated that the snorkel will mainly be efficient in the vicinity of the interface between the anode and cathode zones [11]. Ion transport modelling should consequently be primarily helpful in determining the required snorkel length and the appropriate configuration on both sides of the interface. In combination with numerical modelling, fundamental studies with pure cultures should be helpful to decipher the MES mechanisms [11].

Snorkels can be made of a single conductive material, which is exposed to two zones that determine the cathode and anode areas (Fig. 1) [6–9,15–19]. In this case, the two zones are often not accurately delimited but correspond to the oxygen concentration gradient with depth. The biofilm must consequently catalyse organic matter oxidation when close to the oxygenated zone. The emerging research on air-tolerant or aerobic microbial anodes [27,28] should be very helpful in optimizing such an MES. If the interface between the two zones varies, due to variable reactor filling or waves in a natural environment, the biofilm should be alternately electrogenic (anode) and electrotrophic (cathode). Recent research on reversible, also called bidirectional, microbial electrodes [29,30] should provide useful basic inputs.

MESs can also be designed by combining two materials, one promoting the formation of an anode and the other the formation of a cathode (Fig. 2) [2,5]. Nevertheless, so far, it has been necessary to locate each electrode in a zone with different chemical composition. This situation may be overcome, according to a recent study that has demonstrated that anodic or cathodic electroactive biofilms can be formed in exactly the same medium, at exactly the same potential, depending only on the electrode material [31]. On this basis, a new kind of MES can be imagined, which would be able to work in a single phase. This monophasic MES would have to couple two different conductive materials able to promote the development of an anode and a cathode in the same medium.

5. Conclusion

MESs have given rise to great expectations in many important

Fig. 2. (A) Current–potential curves of the anode and cathode parts that allow the MES potential to be predicted. If the cathode surface area is multiplied (dotted line) the potential increases from $E_{MES,1}$ to $E_{MES,2}$. (B) Scheme of an MES with different anode and cathode parts that can be connected together, or disconnected, to control the working potential.

application areas and real successes have started to appear. Despite this, the number of studies still remains very small. These early successes emphasise the urgent need for research endeavours in this field. The similarity of the concept with self-organized cable bacteria further adds to the belief that the guiding idea is solid and should lead to basic discoveries beyond the scope of the practical applications contemplated so far.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), within the framework of the Biotuba project (ANR-17-CE06-0015).

References

- [1] H. Wang, Z.J. Ren, A comprehensive review of microbial electrochemical systems as a platform technology, Biotechnol. Adv. 31 (2013) 1796–1807, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.10.001) [1016/j.biotechadv.2013.10.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.10.001)
- [2] B. Erable, L. Etcheverry, A. Bergel, From microbial fuel cell (MFC) to microbial electrochemical snorkel (MES): maximizing chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal from wastewater, Biofouling 27 (2011) 319–326, [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2011.564615) [08927014.2011.564615.](https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2011.564615)
- [3] C. Santoro, C. Arbizzani, B. Erable, I. Ieropoulos, Microbial fuel cells: from fundamentals to applications. A review, J. Power Sources 356 (2017) 225–244, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.03.109) [doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.03.109.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.03.109)
- [4] [A. Bergel, L. Etcheverry, B. Erable, Procédé et équipement pour l'oxydation de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1388-2481(19)30130-4/rf0020) [matières organiques, FR 0850833 \(2008\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1388-2481(19)30130-4/rf0020)
- [5] Q. Yang, H. Zhao, H. Liang, Denitrification of overlying water by microbial electrochemical snorkel, Bioresour. Technol. 197 (2015) 512–514, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.08.127) [1016/j.biortech.2015.08.127.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.08.127)
- [6] C. Cruz Viggi, E. Presta, M. Bellagamba, S. Kaciulis, S.K. Balijepalli, G. Zanaroli, M. Petrangeli Papini, S. Rossetti, F. Aulenta, The "Oil-Spill Snorkel": an innovative bioelectrochemical approach to accelerate hydrocarbons biodegradation in marine sediments, Front. Microbiol. 6 (2015) 881, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00881) [00881.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00881)
- [7] B. Matturro, C. Cruz Viggi, F. Aulenta, S. Rossetti, Cable bacteria and the bioelectrochemical snorkel: the natural and engineered facets playing a role in hydrocarbons degradation in marine sediments, Front. Microbiol. 8 (2017) 952, , [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00952) [doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00952.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00952)
- [8] A. Aguirre-Sierra, T. Bacchetti-De Gregoris, A. Berná, J.J. Salas, C. Aragón, A. Esteve-Núñez, Microbial electrochemical systems outperform fixed-bed biofilters in cleaning up urban wastewater, Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 2 (2016) 984–993, [https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EW00172F.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EW00172F)
- [9] C. Cruz Viggi, B. Matturro, E. Frascadore, S. Insogna, A. Mezzi, S. Kaciulis, A. Sherry, O.K. Mejeha, I.M. Head, E. Vaiopoulou, K. Rabaey, S. Rossetti, F. Aulenta, Bridging spatially segregated redox zones with a microbial electrochemical snorkel triggers biogeochemical cycles in oil-contaminated River Tyne (UK) sediments, Water Res. 127 (2017) 11–21, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.002)
- [10] Y. Wu, X. Jing, C. Gao, Q. Huang, P. Cai, Recent advances in microbial electrochemical system for soil bioremediation, Chemosphere 211 (2018) 156–163, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.07.089.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.07.089)
- [11] W. Yan, Y. Xiao, W. Yan, R. Ding, S. Wang, F. Zhao, The effect of bioelectrochemical systems on antibiotics removal and antibiotic resistance genes: a review, Chem.

Eng. J. 358 (2019) 1421–1437, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.10.128.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.10.128)

- [12] X. Dominguez-Benetton, J.C. Varia, G. Pozo, O. Modin, A. Ter Heijne, J. Fransaer, K. Rabaey, Metal recovery by microbial electro-metallurgy, Prog. Mater. Sci. 94 (2018) 435–461, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2018.01.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2018.01.007)
- [13] C. Pfeffer, S. Larsen, J. Song, M. Dong, F. Besenbacher, R.L. Meyer, K.U. Kjeldsen, L. Schreiber, Y.A. Gorby, M.Y. El-Naggar, K.M. Leung, A. Schramm, N. Risgaard-Petersen, L.P. Nielsen, Filamentous bacteria transport electrons over centimetre distances, Nature 491 (2012) 218–221, [https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11586.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11586)
- [14] D.R. Lovley, Live wires: direct extracellular electron exchange for bioenergy and the bioremediation of energy-related contamination, Energy Environ. Sci. 4 (2011) 4896–4906, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c1ee02229f.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c1ee02229f)
- [15] C.A. Ramírez-Vargas, C.A. Arias, L. Zhang, H. Brix, Microbial community function in electroactive biofilm-based constructed wetlands, Biogeosci. Discuss. (2018) 1–28, [https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-428.](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-428)
- [16] C.A. Ramírez-Vargas, C.A. Arias, P. Carvalho, L. Zhang, A. Esteve-Núñez, H. Brix, Electroactive biofilm-based constructed wetland (EABB-CW): a mesocosm-scale test of an innovative setup for wastewater treatment, Sci. Total Environ. 659 (2019) 796–806, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.432.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.432)
- [17] A. Prado, R. Berenguer, A. Esteve-Núñez, Electroactive biochar outperforms highly conductive carbon materials for biodegrading pollutants by enhancing microbial extracellular electron transfer, Carbon 146 (2019) 597–609, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2019.02.038) [1016/j.carbon.2019.02.038.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2019.02.038)
- [18] Y. Yang, J. Guo, G. Sun, M. Xu, Characterizing the snorkeling respiration and growth of *shewanella decolorationis* S12, Bioresour. Technol. 128 (2013) 472–478, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.103.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.103)
- [19] A. Domínguez-Garay, J.R. Quejigo, U. Dörfler, R. Schroll, A. Esteve-Núñez, Bioelectroventing: an electrochemical-assisted bioremediation strategy for cleaning-up atrazine-polluted soils, Microb. Biotechnol. 11 (2017) 50–62, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12687) [doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12687.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12687)
- [20] C. Ramírez-Vargas, A. Prado, C. Arias, P. Carvalho, A. Esteve-Núñez, H. Brix, Microbial electrochemical technologies for wastewater treatment: principles and evolution from microbial fuel cells to bioelectrochemical-based constructed wetlands, Water 10 (2018) 1128, [https://doi.org/10.3390/w10091128.](https://doi.org/10.3390/w10091128)
- [21] F. Mapelli, A. Scoma, G. Michoud, F. Aulenta, N. Boon, S. Borin, N. Kalogerakis, D. Daffonchio, Biotechnologies for marine oil spill cleanup: indissoluble ties with microorganisms, Trends Biotechnol. 35 (2017) 860–870, [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.04.003) [j.tibtech.2017.04.003.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.04.003)
- [22] H.-Y. Yu, Y. Wang, P. Chen, F. Li, M. Chen, M. Hu, X. Ouyang, Effect of nitrate addition on reductive transformation of pentachlorophenol in paddy soil in relation to iron(III) reduction, J. Environ. Manag. 132 (2014) 42–48, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.10.020) [1016/j.jenvman.2013.10.020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.10.020)
- [23] [B. Pandey, M. Fulekar, Bioremediation technology: a new horizon for environ](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1388-2481(19)30130-4/rf0115)ental clean-up, Biol. Med. 4 (2012) 51-59.
- [24] J.R. Quejigo, U. Dörfler, R. Schroll, A. Esteve-Núñez, Stimulating soil microorganisms for mineralizing the herbicide isoproturon by means of microbial electroremediating cells, Microb. Biotechnol. 9 (2016) 369–380, [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12351) [1751-7915.12351.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12351)
- [25] R. Lacroix, S. Da Silva, M.V. Gaig, R. Rousseau, M.-L. Délia, A. Bergel, Modelling potential/current distribution in microbial electrochemical systems shows how the optimal bioanode architecture depends on electrolyte conductivity, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16 (2014) 22892–22902, [https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP02177K.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP02177K)
- [26] M. Oliot, S. Galier, H. Roux de Balmann, A. Bergel, Ion transport in microbial fuel cells: key roles, theory and critical review, Appl. Energy 183 (2016) 1682–1704, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.09.043.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.09.043)
- [27] B. Erable, A. Bergel, First air-tolerant effective stainless steel microbial anode obtained from a natural marine biofilm, Bioresour. Technol. 100 (2009) 3302–3307, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.02.025.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.02.025)
- [28] [S. Chen, R.K. Brown, S.A. Patil, K.J. Huber, J. Overmann, U. Schröder, Aerobic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1388-2481(19)30130-4/rf0140) [microbial electrochemical technology based on the coexistence and interactions of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1388-2481(19)30130-4/rf0140)

[aerobes and exoelectrogens for synergistic pollutant removal from wastewater,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1388-2481(19)30130-4/rf0140) [Environ. Sci. 5 \(2019\) 60–69.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1388-2481(19)30130-4/rf0140)

- [29] E. Blanchet, S. Pécastaings, B. Erable, C. Roques, A. Bergel, Protons accumulation during anodic phase turned to advantage for oxygen reduction during cathodic phase in reversible bioelectrodes, Bioresour. Technol. 173 (2014) 224–230, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.076) [doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.076.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.076)
- [30] Y. Jiang, R.J. Zeng, Bidirectional extracellular electron transfers of electrode-

biofilm: mechanism and application, Bioresour. Technol. 271 (2019) 439–448,

[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.09.133.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.09.133) [31] B. Erable, N. Byrne, L. Etcheverry, W. Achouak, A. Bergel, Single medium microbial fuel cell: stainless steel and graphite electrode materials select bacterial communities resulting in opposite electrocatalytic activities, Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 42 (2017) 26059–26067, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.08.178.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.08.178)