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# A Quinhydrone-Type 2:1 Acceptor-Donor Charge Transfer Complex Obtained Via a Solvent-free Reaction 

Marcel BOUVET*, Bernard MALEZIEUX, Patrick HERSON<br>Laboratoire de Chimie Inorganique et Matériaux Moléculaires, Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6, CNRS - UMR 7071, 4 place Jussieu, Case 5 courrier 42, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France. Fax: +331 442738 41; Tel: +331442730 83; E-mail: marcel.bouvet@espci.fr

A 2:1 2-methoxybenzoquinone $\left(B Q_{\text {оме }}\right)$ - hydroquinone $\left(H_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right)$ complex (5) obtained as single crystals by a solvent-free reaction combines dipolar and $\pi-\pi$ interactions, as well as $H$-bonding.
${ }_{10}$ Non-covalent interactions, namely hydrogen bonding, halogen bonding, $\pi-\pi$ and dipole-dipole interactions stabilize numerous organic, organometallic and biological molecular networks. ${ }^{1-6}$ Considering the example of quinhydrone, a well-known charge transfer complex between benzoquinone (BQ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Q}, \pi-\pi$ 15 interactions exist inside the donor-acceptor stacks, while infinite molecular chains form through hydrogen bonds between neighboring stacks. ${ }^{7,8}$ Elsewhere, charge transfer complexes of the quinhydrone-type were involved in recognition processes ${ }^{9}$ and recently used to self-organize calixarenes. ${ }^{10}$ In unsymmetrically ${ }_{20}$ substituted quinhydrones, interconversion by hydrogen transfer was shown to occur between the two components of the complex in the solid state, ${ }^{11-13}$ but only one crystal structure was reported. ${ }^{12}$ Concerning the association of $\mathrm{BQ}_{\mathrm{OMe}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$, a charge transfer band has been observed but without any information about the ${ }_{25}$ stoechiometry of the interation. ${ }^{14}$




scheme 1
In this paper, we describe a new synthetic route to obtain single crystals of quinhydrones through a solvent-free reaction. The starting materials, 2-methoxyhydroquinone $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{OMe}}$ (1) and BQ (2), undergo a redox reaction to lead to $\mathrm{BQ}_{\mathrm{OMe}}(3)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ (4) (scheme 1) that ${ }_{30}$ evolve to give $5^{\ddagger}$ as black needles (space group: P-1). ${ }^{\S}$ The asymmetric unit is composed of one molecule of 3 and half a molecule of 4 (Fig. 1). Hydroquinone lies on an inversion center. So, compound 5 has to be considered as a $2: 1$ acceptor/donor (AAD) complex. Molecules arrange, in columns with repeated AAD 35 sequences, parallel to the $\binom{r}{c-b}$ vector, and make an angle of $30^{\circ}$ with this axis. The distance between the molecular planes of A and D components in a column varies between 3.09 and $3.17 \AA$ (mean value: $3.13 \AA$ ), which indicates a strong $\pi-\pi$ interaction (Fig. 1). This A-D $\pi$ stacking distance is comparable to that observed in the ${ }_{40}$ unsubstituted quinhydrone $(3.2 \AA) .{ }^{8}$ We note however that A and D are tilted by $2^{\circ}$. Further, the distance between two neighboring moieties A $(3.35 \AA)$ is typical of a $\pi-\pi$ interaction, comparable to the intermolecular distance observed in pure $3 .{ }^{15}$ The AA pairs in which each molecule corresponds to its twin by an inversion center are

[^0]${ }_{45}$ stabilized by a dipole-dipole interaction. The dipole moment of $\mathbf{3}$ has been calculated to be 0.81 Debye (MNDO). ${ }^{15}$ Hydrogen bonds exist between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ and $\mathrm{BQ}_{\mathrm{OMe}}$ molecules belonging to adjacent columns, defining planes parallel to the plane (011); dO3-O4' $=2.717(2) \AA$, angle $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 4^{\prime}=164^{\circ}$ (Fig. 1). These hydrogen bonds involve 50 the carbonyl group in meta position with respect to the methoxy group. Interatomic distances of subunits $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathbf{5}$ are slightly different from those measured in single crystals of free $\mathbf{3}$ (space group $\left.\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}\right) .{ }^{15}$ Due to the existence of H bonding involving O 3 , the difference between the two carbonyl distances (C4-O3-C1-O1) ${ }_{55}$ suffers a slight increase from $0.005(5)$ to $0.027(5) \AA$, while the COH distance is not significantly shortened as compared to pure 4.

$\left.{ }_{65} \mathrm{~b}\right)$

c)


Fig. 1 X-ray crystal structure of 5: a) ORTEP ( $30 \%$ probability level) representation of the asymmetric unit including atomic numbering and bond lengths in $\AA$, the prime (') symbol indicates that these atoms are at 75 equivalent position (1-x, $2-y,-z$ ), b) view of a triad AAD $(100 \%$ van der Waals radii) and c) a schematic view of the structure with interplanar distances in $\AA$ (projection on the plane ( 011 ), segments indicate the main axis of molecules, and arrows the direction of the dipolar moment of A).
${ }_{80}$ For subunit 4, we compared data measured in 5 with the mean value obtained from the three independent molecules constituting the asymmetric unit in $\mathbf{4}$, obtained as single crystals by sublimation under vacuum ( $\alpha$-form). ${ }^{\text {! }}$ The C-C distances measured in $\mathbf{5}$ are $0.007(4) \AA$ longer than those measured in free 4 (mean values on all
85 the C-C bonds). In spite of the marginal significance of this value, one can note that the difference is $0.014 \AA$ when going from 4 to the $\mathrm{BQ} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Q}$ quinhydrone, both determined at RT. ${ }^{8,16}$
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of an equimolar mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ indicates that the reaction depicted in scheme 1 is quantitative after
${ }_{90}$ few minutes. The spectrum of crystals of 5 dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ confirms that $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are in a ratio 2 to 1 . Peaks attribution of $\mathbf{3}$ was achieved from the different coupling constants and homogeneous decoupling experiments. In contrast with previously published works, the signal at 6.71 is not a singlet, ${ }^{17,18}$ but remains as two
${ }_{95}$ doublets (Fig. 2). The methoxy group does not appear as a singlet but as one doublet. Irradiation at 3.8 ppm induces a modification of the peak at 6.0 ppm , which then appears as a doublet of doublet, without modification of the other signals. Reversebly, irradiation at 6.0 ppm transforms the signal at 3.8 ppm into a singlet. So, a 100 coupling phenomenon exists between protons of methoxy group and H5. That could be also observed in pure $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and when solution was diluted ten times in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. No variation of chemical shift was observed between pure $\mathbf{3}^{¥}$ and mixtures of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, indicating no intermolecular interaction between acceptor and donor ${ }_{105}$ components in that solvent.


Fig. $2{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.
In acetonitrile, reaction occurs rapidly between $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ at room temperature, but absorption spectra of equimolar mixtures of $\mathbf{1}$ and ${ }_{110} \mathbf{2}$ correspond to the superimposition of individual spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ (Fig. 3) indicating that products $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ do not interact.
The absorption spectrum of $\mathbf{5}$ obtained in the solid state from a KBr pellet shows a strong and broad absorption band centered at 575 nm (Fig. 3). Films are violet in color. Solid mixtures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ and of $\mathbf{3}$ 115 and $\mathbf{4}$ show the same spectra as $\mathbf{5}$, indicating that the redox reaction occurs rapidly, in the minute range, at room temperature.
We also prepared films from acetonitrile solutions of $\mathbf{1}$ and poly(methyl metacrylate) (PMMA), which after exposure to $\mathbf{2}$ at RT exhibit the same charge transfer band. We are now applying the same 120 synthetic route to other more elaborated molecules.


Fig. 3 Absorption spectrum of an equimolar mixture of 1 and 2 in acetonitrile (dotted line), and in the solid state ( KBr pellet) (solid line).
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## Notes

$\ddagger$ Synthesis of compound 5: A Schlenk tube containing a mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ (50 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ was purged under argon, then

130 evacuated under primary vacuum and closed. The Schlenk is heated in an home-made vertical oven from room temperature to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at an heating rate of $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, then maintained at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 13 h . Black needles, 2 cm long, were formed 2 cm above the oven. 5: M.P.: $136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.) IR (KBr): 1677, $1640(\mathrm{~s})(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, UV-Visible: $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) 575 \mathrm{~nm},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 135 dissolved crystals ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=3.81\left[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\text {ОСн3-5 }}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ]; 6.01 [ddq, $\mathrm{J}_{5-6}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{5-3}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{5-\mathrm{OCH} 3}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ], 6.46 [s broad, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}], 6.70[\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}], 6.705\left[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{5-6}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$, $6.71\left[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{3-5}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right],{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75,47 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 57.2 (OCH3), 108.7 (C3), 116.9 (CH, H2Q), 135.7 and 138.0 (C5, C6), 151.1 $140\left(\mathrm{COH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Q}\right), 160.1(\mathrm{C} 2), 183.0$ and $189.0(\mathrm{C1}$ and C4). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, $62.18 \%$; H, $4.70 \%$. Found: C, $61.54 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 4.92 \%$.
$¥$ Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was synthesized from $\mathbf{1}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{2}(100$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and purified on silicagel (eluent: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). Yield $=70 \%$. 3: M.P.: $136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.), lit. $134^{15} \mathrm{IR}$ ( KBr ): 1679 (s), 1647 (s) (C=O)
$145 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, UV-Visible: $\lambda_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) 252 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \varepsilon=4.0), 357 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \varepsilon=$ 3.2), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=3.81\left[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{OCH}-5}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ]; 6.01 [ddq, $\mathrm{J}_{5-6}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{5-3}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{5-\mathrm{OCH} 3}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ], $6.705\left[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{5-6}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right], 6.71\left[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{3-5}=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]$.
§ Crystal data for 5: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{8}, \mathrm{Fw}=386.36$, triclinic, $\mathrm{P}-1, \mathrm{a}=6.6793$ (14), $150 \mathrm{~b}=8.117$ (2), $\mathrm{c}=9.238$ (2) $\AA, \alpha=69.157$ (14), $\beta=69.931$ (19), $\gamma=81.34$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \mathrm{V}=439.42$ (19) $\AA^{3}, \mathrm{Z}=1, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}=1.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, were measured on a KAPPACCD-Enraf Nonius diffractometer. $\lambda(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=0.71073 \AA, \mu$ $(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=1.14 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 8218$ reflections $\left(\mathrm{T}=200 \mathrm{~K}, 2<\theta<32{ }^{\circ}\right)$, nb of independent data collected: 2825, nb of independent data used for 155 refinement: $1387\left((\mathrm{Fo})^{2}>1.5 \sigma(\text { Fo })^{2}\right.$, merging $\mathrm{R}=0.034, \mathrm{R}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{Fo}|-| \mathrm{Fc}$ $\| / \Sigma \mid \mathrm{Fo}=0.0578, \mathrm{Rw}^{*}=\left[\Sigma \mathrm{w}(| | \mathrm{Fo}|-| \mathrm{Fc} \|)^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{wFo}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.0597$, $0.297<\Delta \rho<0.424$.

- Crystal data for 4: R-3, at $200 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=38.567, \mathrm{c}=5.6650 \AA$ ( $\alpha$-form, lit. ${ }^{16}$ at $\left.300 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=38.46, \mathrm{c}=5.650 \AA\right), \alpha=\beta=90$ and $\gamma=120^{\circ}$, and Z $160=18$.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: 1. Crystallographic data for compound 5 are available free of charge from the Cambridge Data Center via Internet at http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif (CCDC 294809). 2. Optical absorption spectra of a thin film of 1 in PMMA exposed to 2 , as a function of time (2a) and difference from the starting film showing the charge transfer band (2b). See http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b000000x/

