



HAL
open science

The genome of *Eucalyptus grandis*

Alexander Myburg, Dario Grattapaglia, Gerald Tuskan, Uffe Hellsten,
Richard Hayes, Jane Grimwood, Jerry Jenkins, Erika Lindquist, Hope Tice,
Diane Bauer, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Alexander Myburg, Dario Grattapaglia, Gerald Tuskan, Uffe Hellsten, Richard Hayes, et al.. The genome of *Eucalyptus grandis*. *Nature*, 2014, 510 (7505), pp.356-362. 10.1038/nature13308 . hal-02285478

HAL Id: hal-02285478

<https://hal.science/hal-02285478>

Submitted on 28 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

The genome of *Eucalyptus grandis*

Alexander A. Myburg^{1,2}, Dario Grattapaglia^{3,4}, Gerald A. Tuskan^{5,6}, Uffe Hellsten⁵, Richard D. Hayes⁵, Jane Grimwood⁷, Jerry Jenkins⁷, Erika Lindquist⁵, Hope Tice⁵, Diane Bauer⁵, David M. Goodstein⁵, Inna Dubchak⁵, Alexandre Poliakov⁵, Eshchar Mizrahi^{1,2}, Anand R. K. Kullán^{1,2}, Steven G. Hussey^{1,2}, Desre Pinar^{1,2}, Karen van der Merwe^{1,2}, Pooja Singh^{1,2}, Ida van Jaarsveld⁸, Orzenil B. Silva-Junior⁹, Roberto C. Togawa⁹, Marilia R. Pappas³, Danielle A. Faria³, Carolina P. Sansaloni³, Cesar D. Petrolí³, Xiaohan Yang⁶, Priya Ranjan⁶, Timothy J. Tschaplinski⁶, Chu-Yu Ye⁶, Ting Li⁶, Lieven Sterck¹⁰, Kevin Vanneste¹⁰, Florent Murat¹¹, Marçal Soler¹², Hélène San Clemente¹², Najib Saidi¹², Hua Cassan-Wang¹², Christophe Dunand¹², Charles A. Hefer^{8,13}, Erich Bornberg-Bauer¹⁴, Anna R. Kersting^{14,15}, Kelly Vining¹⁶, Vindhya Amarasinghe¹⁶, Martin Ranik¹⁶, Sushma Naithani^{17,18}, Justin Elser¹⁷, Alexander E. Boyd¹⁸, Aaron Liston^{17,18}, Joseph W. Spatafora^{17,18}, Palitha Dharmwardhana¹⁷, Rajani Raja¹⁷, Christopher Sullivan¹⁸, Elisson Romanel^{19,20,21}, Marcio Alves-Ferreira²¹, Carsten Külheim²², William Foley²², Victor Carocha^{12,23,24}, Jorge Paiva^{23,24}, David Kudrna²⁵, Sergio H. Brommonschenkel²⁶, Giancarlo Pasquali²⁷, Margaret Byrne²⁸, Philippe Rigault²⁹, Josquin Tibbits³⁰, Antanas Spokevicius³¹, Rebecca C. Jones³², Dorothy A. Steane^{32,33}, René E. Vaillancourt³², Brad M. Potts³², Fourie Joubert^{2,8}, Kerrie Barry⁵, Georgios J. Pappas Jr³⁴, Steven H. Strauss¹⁶, Pankaj Jaiswal^{17,18}, Jacqueline Grima-Pettenati¹², Jérôme Salse¹¹, Yves Van de Peer^{2,10}, Daniel S. Rokhsar⁵ & Jeremy Schmutz^{5,7}

Eucalypts are the world's most widely planted hardwood trees. Their outstanding diversity, adaptability and growth have made them a global renewable resource of fibre and energy. We sequenced and assembled >94% of the 640-megabase genome of *Eucalyptus grandis*. Of 36,376 predicted protein-coding genes, 34% occur in tandem duplications, the largest proportion thus far in plant genomes. *Eucalyptus* also shows the highest diversity of genes for specialized metabolites such as terpenes that act as chemical defence and provide unique pharmaceutical oils. Genome sequencing of the *E. grandis* sister species *E. globulus* and a set of inbred *E. grandis* tree genomes reveals dynamic genome evolution and hotspots of inbreeding depression. The *E. grandis* genome is the first reference for the eudicot order Myrtales and is placed here sister to the eurosids. This resource expands our understanding of the unique biology of large woody perennials and provides a powerful tool to accelerate comparative biology, breeding and biotechnology.

A major opportunity for a sustainable energy and biomaterials economy in many parts of the world lies in a better understanding of the molecular basis of superior growth and adaptation in woody plants. Part of this opportunity involves species of *Eucalyptus* L'Hér, a genus of woody perennials native to Australia¹. The remarkable adaptability of eucalypts coupled with their fast growth and superior wood properties has driven their rapid adoption for plantation forestry in more than 100 countries across six continents (>20 million ha)², making eucalypts the most widely planted hardwood forest trees in the world. The subtropical *E. grandis* and the temperate *E. globulus* stand out as targets of breeding programmes worldwide. Planted eucalypts provide key renewable resources for the production of pulp, paper, biomaterials and bioenergy, while mitigating human pressures on native forests³. Eucalypts also have a large diversity

and high concentration of essential oils (mixtures of mono- and sesquiterpenes), many of which have ecological functions as well as medicinal and industrial uses. Predominantly outcrossers¹ with hermaphroditic animal-pollinated flowers, eucalypts are highly heterozygous and display pre- and postzygotic barriers to selfing to reduce inbreeding depression for fitness and survival⁴.

To mitigate the challenge of assembling a highly heterozygous genome, we sequenced the genome of 'BRASUZI', a 17-year-old *E. grandis* genotype derived from one generation of selfing. The availability of annotated forest tree genomes from two separately evolving rosoid lineages, *Eucalyptus* (order Myrtales) and *Populus* (order Malpighiales⁵), in combination with genomes from domesticated woody plants (for example, *Vitis*, *Prunus*, *Citrus*), provides a comparative foundation for addressing

¹Department of Genetics, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Private bag X20, Pretoria 0028, South Africa. ²Genomics Research Institute (GRI), University of Pretoria, Private bag X20, Pretoria 0028, South Africa. ³Laboratório de Genética Vegetal, EMBRAPA Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, EPQB Final W5 Norte, 70770-917 Brasília, Brazil. ⁴Programa de Ciências Genômicas e Biotecnologia - Universidade Católica de Brasília SGAN 916, 70790-160 Brasília, Brazil. ⁵US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, 2800 Mitchell Drive, Walnut Creek, California 94598, USA. ⁶Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA. ⁷HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, 601 Genome Way, Huntsville, Alabama 35801, USA. ⁸Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Unit, Department of Biochemistry, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, Private bag X20, Pretoria 0028, South Africa. ⁹Laboratório de Biotecnologia, EMBRAPA Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, EPQB Final W5 Norte, 70770-917 Brasília, Brazil. ¹⁰Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics (VIB), Ghent University, Technologiepark 927, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium. ¹¹INRA/UBP UMR 1095, 5 Avenue de Beaulieu, 63100 Clermont Ferrand, France. ¹²Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Végétales, UMR 5546, Université Toulouse III, UPS, CNRS, BP 42617, 31326 Castanet Tolosan, France. ¹³Department of Botany, University of British Columbia, 3529-6270 University Blvd, Vancouver V6T 1Z4, Canada. ¹⁴Evolutionary Bioinformatics, Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity, University of Muenster, Huefferstrasse 1, D-48149, Muenster, Germany. ¹⁵Department of Bioinformatics, Institute for Computer Science, University of Duesseldorf, Universitätsstrasse 1, 40225 Duesseldorf, Germany. ¹⁶Department of Forest Ecosystems and Society, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA. ¹⁷Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, 2082-Cordley Hall, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA. ¹⁸Center for Genome Research and Biocomputing, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA. ¹⁹Laboratório de Biologia Evolutiva Teórica e Aplicada, Departamento de Genética, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Av. Prof. Rodolpho Paulo Rocco, 21949900 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ²⁰Departamento de Biotecnologia, Escola de Engenharia de Lorena-Universidade de São Paulo (EEL-USP), CP116, 12602-810, Lorena-SP, Brazil. ²¹Laboratório de Genética Molecular Vegetal (LGMV), Departamento de Genética, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Av. Prof. Rodolpho Paulo Rocco, 21949900 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ²²Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra 0200, Australia. ²³IICT/MNE; Palácio Burnay - Rua da Junqueira, 30, 1349-007 Lisboa, Portugal. ²⁴IBET/ITQB, Av. República, Quinta do Marquês, 2781-901 Oeiras, Portugal. ²⁵Arizona Genomics Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA. ²⁶Dep. de Fitopatologia, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa 36570-000, Brazil. ²⁷Centro de Biotecnologia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, 91501-970 Porto Alegre, Brazil. ²⁸Science and Conservation Division, Department of Parks and Wildlife, Locked Bag 104, Bentley Delivery Centre, Western Australia 6983, Australia. ²⁹GYDLE, 1363 av. Maguire, suite 301, Québec, Québec G1T 1Z2, Canada. ³⁰Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victorian Government, Melbourne, Victoria 3085, Australia. ³¹Melbourne School of Land and Environment, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. ³²School of Biological Sciences and National Centre for Future Forest Industries, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 55, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia. ³³Faculty of Science, Health, Education and Engineering, University of the Sunshine Coast, Queensland 4558, Australia. ³⁴Departamento de Biologia Celular, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília 70910-900, Brazil.




Figure 1 | *Eucalyptus grandis* genome overview. Genome features in 1-Mb intervals across the 11 chromosomes. Units on the circumference show megabase values and chromosomes. **a**, Gene density (number per Mb, range 6–131). **b**, Repeat coverage (22–88% per Mb). **c**, Average expression state (fragments per kilobase of exon per million sequences mapped, FPKM, per gene per Mb, 6–41 per Mb). **d**, Heterozygosity in inbred siblings (proportion of 28 S_1 offspring heterozygous at position, 0.39–0.93). **e**, Telomeric repeats. **f**, Tandem duplication density (2–50). **g**, **h**, Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified by resequencing BRASUZ1 in 1-Mb bins (**g**) and per gene (**h**, 11,656 genes); homozygous regions (~24%) and genes in green and heterozygous regions and genes in purple. Central blue lines connect gene pairs from the most recent whole-genome duplication event (Supplementary Data 1).

fundamental evolutionary questions related to the biology of woody perennials. Moreover, the unique palaeogeographic evolution of *Eucalyptus*, that is, isolation from other members of the rosid clade, enables disentangling of the events that led to the modern members of the rosids by characterizing shared and unique whole-genome duplication events and syntenic gene space with other sequenced genomes. The draft genome of *E. grandis* suggests that the *Eucalyptus* genome has been shaped by an early lineage-specific genome duplication event and a subsequent high rate of tandem gene duplication.

Sequencing, assembly and annotation

We assembled a non-redundant chromosome-scale reference (V1.0) sequence for BRASUZ1 based on $6.7\times$ whole-genome Sanger shotgun coverage, paired bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)-end sequencing and a high-density genetic linkage map⁶ (see Methods and Supplementary Information section 1). An estimated 94% of the genome is organized into 11 pseudomolecules (605 megabases (Mb)), Fig. 1). Anchoring the genome assembly to an independent linkage map⁷ revealed that the remaining 4,941 smaller unanchored scaffolds (totalling 85 Mb) correspond largely to repeat-rich sequences and segments of alternative haplotypes of the assembled chromosomes derived from regions of residual heterozygosity in the otherwise inbred BRASUZ1 genome.

The *E. grandis* genome encodes a large number of predicted protein-coding loci (36,376) of which 89% are expressed in vegetative and reproductive tissues (Extended Data Fig. 1) plus various classes of non-coding genes (Supplementary Information section 2). Of the 36,376 predicted proteins, 30,341 (84%) are included in gene clusters shared with other rosid lineages (Extended Data Fig. 2). Retrotransposons account for the major portion of the genome (44.5%), with long terminal repeat retrotransposons being the most pervasive class (21.9%). DNA transposons encompass only 5.6% of the genome. For this class, Helitron elements

are the most abundant with an estimated 15,000 copies or 3.8% of the genome (Supplementary Information section 2).


Genome evolution and phylogeny

To address the phylogenetic position of *Eucalyptus*, we performed genome-wide analysis of 17 sequenced plant genomes, generating a matrix of 697,423 aligned amino acid positions from 3,268 orthologue gene clusters (Methods and Supplementary Information section 3). Studies employing broad taxon sampling but a modest number of genes⁸ have consistently recovered two very well-supported clades of eurosids—the fabids and malvids—and grouped *Eucalyptus* and other Myrtales with the malvids. Our analysis alternatively places *Eucalyptus* as a sister taxon to the eurosids (Extended Data Fig. 3) and supports the grouping of *Populus* and *Jatropha* (order Malpighiales) with malvids rather than fabids, in agreement with other recent whole-genome studies^{9,10}. The discrepancy between our genome-wide analyses and the angiosperm phylogeny group (APG) consensus highlights important methodological trade-offs between sampling more characters (as in our genome-wide study) versus more taxa (as per APG)^{11,12}.

The evolutionary history of the *Eucalyptus* genome is marked by a lineage-specific palaeotetraploidy event newly revealed by our genomic analysis, superimposed on the earlier palaeohexaploidy event shared by all eudicots (Fig. 2). The whole-genome duplication (WGD) is estimated to have occurred ~109.9 (105.9–113.9) million years (Myr) ago (Supplementary Information section 3 and Extended Data Fig. 4) in a Gondwanan ancestor around the time when Australia and Antarctica began to separate from East Gondwana. This WGD event is considerably older than those typically detected in other rosids¹³ and could have played a pivotal part in the evolution of the Myrtales lineage and its subsequent diversification from other rosid ancestors. The coincidence of the estimated WGD timing and the origin of the Myrtales¹⁴ leads to speculation

Figure 2 | *Eucalyptus grandis* genome synteny, duplication pattern and evolutionary history.

a, Paralogous gene pairs in *Eucalyptus* for the identified palaeohexaploidization (bottom) and palaeotetraploidization (top) events. Each line represents a duplicated gene, and colours reflect origin from the seven ancestral chromosomes (A1, A4, A7, A10, A13, A16, A19). **b**, Number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site (K_s) distributions of *Eucalyptus* paralogues (top) and *Eucalyptus*–*Vitis* orthologues (bottom). Blue bars (top) indicate K_s values for 378 gene pairs from the palaeotetraploidization WGD event (red dot), and red bars show K_s values for 274 gene pairs of the palaeohexaploidization event (red star). **c**, Evolutionary scenario of genome rearrangements from the Eudicot ancestor to *Eucalyptus* and other sequenced plant genomes; palaeohistory modified from ref. 49.



that the WGD event could be directly related to the origin of the clade. More precise timing will require genomic analysis of other families and genera from the Myrtales.

The *Eucalyptus* genome exhibits substantial conservation of synteny with other rosids as has been demonstrated for the basal rosid lineage represented by *Vitis vinifera*¹⁵. Extending the method previously described⁵ we identified 480 pairwise segments of conserved synteny between *Eucalyptus* and *Vitis* (Supplementary Information section 3). These segments include 68% of *Eucalyptus* genes and 76% of *Vitis* genes used in the analysis. The WGD in the *Eucalyptus* lineage relative to *Vitis* is clearly revealed by the 2:1 pattern in which two different *Eucalyptus* regions are typically collinear with a single region in *Vitis*. However, the gene content of these segments varies, as more than 95% of the paralogues in *Eucalyptus* have been lost subsequent to the WGD (a total of 5,896 *Vitis* genes have 6,158 synteny-confirmed orthologues in *Eucalyptus*). Half of the total length of the orthologous segments is contributed by segments longer than 1.83 Mb in *Eucalyptus* and 2.35 Mb in *Vitis*, suggesting that the loss of redundant genes after the WGD in *Eucalyptus* was accompanied by a compaction of those parts of the genome.

Eucalyptus chromosome 3, the largest single chromosome in the *Eucalyptus* genome, is the only chromosome that does not contain inter-chromosomal segmental duplications (Fig. 1), having fused with

its WGD homologue. A similar situation occurs in *Populus* chromosome XVIII. Interestingly, *Eucalyptus* chromosome 3 and *Populus* chromosome XVIII nearly exclusively contain the ancestral eudicot chromosome 2 (Fig. 2c), despite their independent WGDs. There are no other examples among the currently sequenced dicotyledon genomes that contain a sole single copy of an ancestral chromosome. Moreover, in *Eucalyptus* and *Populus*, all other ancestral chromosomes appear to be dispersed and rearranged among the extant chromosomes (Fig. 2c). The conserved gene content and order (Supplementary Information section 3) on these chromosomes in two distantly related species could be due to: (1) convergent selection and positional stoichiometry of genes related to long-lived perennial woody habit that favours preservation of certain genes in syntenic order; and/or (2) merged ancestral chromosome structure (that is, multiple telomeres and centromeres on one chromosome) that suppresses gene expression, recombination and/or successive rearrangement. *Eucalyptus* chromosome 3 has the lowest average gene expression metrics of any of the *Eucalyptus* chromosomes (Fig. 1c), favouring the second hypothesis. Alternatively, there are several clusters of shared syntenic genes that appear to be related to perennial habit, including homologues of NAM (no apical meristem, PF02365) and senescence-associated protein (PF02365), several syntenic sets of disease-resistance genes, as well as genes related to cell-wall formation (Supplementary Data 2).

Table 1 | Tandem duplicate statistics for selected plant genomes

Species	Number of tandem expanded regions	Total number of retained tandem genes (%)
<i>Physcomitrella patens</i>	885	1,949 (6%)
<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	1,821	5,038 (18%)
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i>	2,575	8,104 (18%)
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	1,818	6,033 (23%)
<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	3,185	12,570 (34%)

Eucalyptus has more tandem duplicates and more tandem expanded regions (clusters) than other plant genomes.

We also find that *E. grandis* has the largest number of genes in tandem repeats (12,570, 34% of the total) reported among sequenced plant genomes (Table 1 and Supplementary Information section 3). The low frequency of contig breaks separating tandem gene pairs (Extended Data Fig. 5) and conserved gene order on independent BAC clones spanning two large tandem gene arrays (Supplementary Data 3 and Supplementary Information 1) support the accuracy of the assembly across highly similar tandem copies. Tandem duplication often involves stress-response genes that are retained in a lineage-specific fashion, suggesting that tandem duplication is important for adaptive evolution in dynamically changing environments¹⁶. For example, more than 80% of the S-domain receptor-like kinase (SDRLK) subfamily occurs in tandem arrays (Supplementary Data 4). There also seems to be a bias in gene retention following tandem duplication in comparison to segmental and whole-genome duplication¹⁷. Even within the genus *Eucalyptus*, tandem duplication appears to be dynamic, for example, a cluster of MYB transcription factor genes in *E. globulus* lacks four of the nine tandem duplicates found in *E. grandis* (Extended Data Fig. 6).

Despite having the same number of chromosomes ($n = 11$) and highly co-linear genomes¹⁸, eucalypts vary considerably in genome size. *E. grandis* (640 Mb¹⁹) and *E. globulus* (530 Mb¹⁹) represent different sections (*Latoangulatae* and *Maidenaria*) within the subgenus *Symphyomyrtus*²⁰, estimated to have diverged in the past 36 million years²¹. Resequencing of the subtropical *E. grandis* (BRASUZ1) and a representative of the temperate *E. globulus* ('X46', Supplementary Information section 3) revealed that many small, non-transposable element (TE)-derived changes distributed throughout the genome (164,813 regions; mean length 538 bp, median 230 bp, maximum 30,610 bp, total 88.7 Mb) account for nearly all of the genome size difference between the two species. Recent TE activity accounts for only 2 Mb of the size difference. This is in contrast to other studies in closely related plant species that report a predominant role for TEs in genome size evolution²². Using sequence data from other *Eucalyptus* species taxonomically positioned around the *E. grandis*–*E. globulus* split (J. Tibbits, unpublished data), we estimate that since divergence, *E. grandis* has gained 58 Mb and lost 12 Mb, while *E. globulus* has gained 15 Mb and lost 24 Mb, suggesting more active genome size evolution than was apparent from previous estimates.

Genetic load and heterozygosity

Eucalypts are preferentially outcrossing with late-acting post-zygotic self-incompatibility resulting in outcrossing rates that can exceed 90%¹, high levels of nucleotide variation^{23,24} and accumulation of genetic load and expression of inbreeding depression⁴. A microsatellite survey of BRASUZ1 and its inbred siblings indicated putative hotspots of genetic load (Supplementary Information section 4). To investigate the distribution of preserved heterozygosity further, we resequenced an unrelated (outbred) *E. grandis* parental genotype M35D2 and 28 of its S_1 offspring. The offspring were genotyped using 308,784 high-confidence heterozygous sites (within 22,619 genes) identified in M35D2 (Methods and Supplementary Information section 4). Contrary to Mendelian expectation of 50% retained heterozygosity after selfing, we observed 52% to 79% heterozygosity in the 28 S_1 offspring (average of 66%). In all chromosomes except 5 and 11, heterozygosity was high (>80%) in long chromosome segments with peaks at >90% on chromosomes 6, 7 and 9 (Fig. 1d). Despite the strong bias towards heterozygosity in these regions, a small proportion of either homozygous haplotype was always present, suggesting

that there are genetic backgrounds in which homozygosity of any particular gene is not lethal. One exception is on chromosome 4, where a 25-Mb region is completely devoid of one homozygous class across all surveyed genotypes (Extended Data Fig. 7 and Supplementary Information section 4).

The genetic architecture of genetic load and contribution of individual loci to inbreeding depression are largely unknown for woody perennials and present a barrier to rapid domestication via recurrent inbred mating. Our results suggest that a model of genome-wide cumulative effects of many small recessive alleles affecting overall fitness and survival best explains the architecture of inbreeding depression in *Eucalyptus*. This result is consistent with recent genome-wide selection experiments in *Eucalyptus* showing that a multifactorial model of a few hundred small effects throughout the genome contribute additively to height growth²⁵, in contrast to earlier suggestions of the existence of a relatively small number of loci of larger effect as reported in several biparental QTL mapping studies²⁶.

Lignocellulosic biomass production

Whereas woody growth habit (the ability to produce radial secondary tissues from a vascular cambium) is polyphyletic, having appeared and disappeared multiple times across more than 30 diverse taxa²⁷, secondary cell wall formation itself is highly conserved across vascular plants. Large woody plants produce secondary cell walls on a vastly different scale from that of herbaceous plants. Approximately 80% of woody biomass comprises cellulose and hemicellulose, with the remaining biomass primarily composed of lignin^{28–30}. A major determinant of industrial processing efficiency lies in secondary cell wall ultrastructure, which is dependent on interactions among these biopolymers. We identified putative functional homologues of genes encoding 18 enzymatic steps of cellulose and heteroxylan biosynthesis (Supplementary Information section 5). Despite the lineage-specific WGD event and the high number of genes in tandem duplications, relative and absolute expression levels (See Methods) suggest that most of the key enzymatic steps involve only one or two functional homologues (Fig. 3), which are highly and specifically expressed in xylem tissue. The xylem expression pattern of genes involved in sucrose catabolism suggests that *Eucalyptus* uses both direct (SUSY) and indirect (INV) pathways for the production of UDP-glucose (Fig. 3). Notably, the two *sucrose synthase 4* homologues (Eucgr.C03199 and Eucgr.C00769) are expressed at high levels in xylem tissue and account for 70% and 18% of total sucrose synthase expression, respectively. These genes, found on chromosome 3 in *Eucalyptus*, are part of a syntenic set of genes found on *Populus* chromosome XVIII, indicating that these genes pre-date the speciation events that separate these genera. There are 10 multigene families encoding phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes that have expanded, mostly through tandem duplication, to include 174 genes in *E. grandis* (Supplementary Information section 5). Phylogenetic analysis and expression profiling have allowed us to define a core set of 24 genes, as well as five novel lignification candidates, preferentially and highly expressed in developing xylem (Extended Data Fig. 8 and Supplementary Information section 5). These results highlight the central role of tandem gene duplication in shaping functional diversity in *Eucalyptus* and suggest that subfunctionalization within these expanded gene families has prioritized specific genes for wood formation.

Secondary metabolites and oils

It is generally thought that the extremely diverse array of secondary metabolites observed within *Eucalyptus* defends against a comparably diverse array of biotic pests, pathogens and herbivores encountered across its natural range. Many of the defence compounds are terpenoid based, including the commercially valuable eucalyptus oil, which is composed largely of 1,8-cineole. The conjugation of terpenes with phloroglucinol derivatives³¹, as well as the formation of monoterpene glucose esters³², leads to the myriad of defence compounds that vary across the genus. *E. grandis* has the largest observed number of terpene synthase genes among all sequenced plant genomes ($n = 113$ compared to a range of




Figure 3 | Genes involved in cellulose and xylan biosynthesis in wood-forming tissues of *Eucalyptus*. Relative (yellow–blue scale) and absolute (white–red scale) expression profiles of secondary cell-wall-related genes implicated in cellulose and xylan biosynthesis²⁹. Sugar and polymer intermediates are shown in green, while the proteins (enzymes) involved in each step are shown in blue. Detailed protein names, annotation and mRNA-seq expression data are provided in Supplementary Data 5. ST, shoot tips; YL, young leaves; ML, mature leaves; FL, floral buds; RT, roots; PH, phloem, IX, immature xylem. Absolute expression level (FPKM⁵⁰) is only shown for immature xylem, the target secondary cell-wall-producing tissue. DUF, domain of unknown function; GATL, galacturonosyl transferase-like; GUX, glucuronic acid substitution of xylan; HEX, hexokinase; INV, invertase; IRX, irregular xylem; PGM, phosphoglucosyltransferase; SUSY, sucrose synthase; RWA, reduced wall acetylation; UGD, UDP-glucose dehydrogenase; UGP, UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase; UXS, UDP-xylose synthase.

$n = 2$ in *Physcomitrella* to 83 in *Vitis*, Fig. 4), as well as a marked expansion of several phenylpropanoid gene families (Supplementary Information section 5). Furthermore, a subgroup of R2R3-MYB transcription factor genes known to be involved in the regulation of the phenylpropanoid pathway in *Arabidopsis* is expanded by tandem duplication in *Eucalyptus* to yield 16 genes with diverse expression profiles (Extended Data Fig. 9) possibly associated with the wide range of phenylpropanoid-derived compounds found in *Eucalyptus*.

Reproductive biology


The genus *Eucalyptus* is named for its unusual floral structure derived from the Greek *eu-*, well, and *kaluptos*, covered, which refers to the operculum that covers the floral buds before anthesis. The ability to produce large amounts of pollen and seed over long generation times increases the reproductive success of woody perennials³³ and impacts on adaptation and population genetics. Interestingly, the evolution and genetic control of the unique floral structure in *Eucalyptus* may be reflected in the expansion and deletion of genes typically associated with floral structure (for example, the APETALA1/FRUITFUL-like clade, Supplementary

Information section 7). *SOC1*, a type II MADS-box gene that integrates multiple signals related to initiation of flowering, including long days, vernalization and pathways related to gibberellin signalling³⁴, has been markedly expanded in *E. grandis* compared to other angiosperms (Extended Data Fig. 10). *Eucalyptus* is a diverse genus of over 700 species distributed in a wide range of environments ranging from tropical, subtropical and temperate forests¹. This environmental heterogeneity encompasses extensive variation in the onset, season and intensity of flowering³⁵. Because of *SOC1*'s diverse roles in environmental control of flowering, the expansion and subfunctionalization of the *SOC1* subfamily may have contributed to the evolutionary diversification of *Eucalyptus* by integrating multiple signals into flowering responses relevant to different geographical zones. *Eucalyptus* may thus provide a model for the evolution of responses to divergent sets of flowering cues required for wide colonization and speciation.

Conclusions and future directions

The availability of a high-quality reference represents a timely step forward in fundamental studies of adaptation across the diversity of habitats

Figure 4 | Interspecific phylogenetic analysis and classification of terpene synthase (TPS) genes from *Eucalyptus grandis* and other sequenced plant genomes. The phylogenetic tree shows all TPS genes found in eight plant genomes (Supplementary Data 6). TPS subfamilies are indicated on the circumference of the circle. The tree has been rooted between the two major groups of type I and type III TPS. The table shows the number of TPS genes from several species obtained from a Pfam search for the two Pfam motifs (PF01397 and PF03936) found in the TPS genes. Colour coding in the table corresponds to that in the tree. The scale bar (0.3) shows the number of amino acid substitutions per site.



occupied by eucalypt species. The unique biology and evolutionary history of *Eucalyptus* are reflected in its genome, for example, the expansion of terpene synthesis genes and the large number of tandem repeats, respectively. The coincidence of a lineage-specific WGD with the origin of the Myrtales reinforces the proposed role of genome duplication in angiosperm evolution and underscores the value of additional genome sequencing of families and genera in this important rosid lineage. Future studies of variation in functional genes will provide insights into the relative influences of drift and selection on *Eucalyptus* evolution and identify mechanisms of speciation and adaptive divergence. Such insight will lead to improved understanding of the response of eucalypts to environmental change. Comparative analysis of the *E. grandis* genome with those of other large perennials will add crucial insights into the evolutionary innovations that have made eucalypts keystone species that shape biodiversity in diverse ecosystems. The prospect of accelerating breeding cycles for productivity and wood quality via genomic prediction of complex traits²⁵ and association genetics is enhanced by the release of the *Eucalyptus* genome. Genome-enabled derivation of an integrative data framework based on large-scale genotypic and phenotypic data sets will offer increasingly valuable insights into the complex connections between individual genomic elements and the extraordinary phenotypic variation in *Eucalyptus*.

METHODS SUMMARY

We used whole-genome shotgun sequencing (6.73× final sequence coverage from 7.7 million Sanger reads) followed by assembly in Arachne v.20071016 (ref. 36) and high-density genetic linkage mapping to produce chromosome-scale pseudomolecule sequences of the 11 nuclear chromosomes of BRASU1. Protein-coding loci were identified using homology-based FgenesH and GenomeScan predictions and ~260,000 PASA³⁷ EST assemblies from *E. grandis* and sister species. Gene family clustering was performed with the Inparanoid algorithm^{38,39} and peptide sequences analysed with Interproscan⁴⁰, SignalP⁴¹, Predotar⁴², TMHMM⁴³ and orthology-based projections from *Arabidopsis*. We performed maximum-likelihood-based phylogenetic reconstruction⁴⁴ of the green plant phylogeny based on 174,020 peptides encoded by single copy orthologous genes from 17 plant genomes. Protein domains and domain arrangements were analysed to identify a core set of domains and arrangements present in rosid lineages represented by *Eucalyptus*, *Vitis*, *Populus* and *Arabidopsis*. Genome-wide gene expression profiling was performed using Illumina RNA-seq analysis of seven developing tissues from *E. grandis*. We identified whole-genome duplications using an approach^{45,46} based on paralogue- and orthologue-specific comparisons of the 36,376 predicted protein-coding genes and further refined the estimated age of the lineage-specific WGD event using a phylogenetic dating approach¹³. Genome synteny between *E. grandis* and *P. trichocarpa* was evaluated using the VISTA pipeline infrastructure^{47,48}. Genome resequencing of *E. grandis* (BRASU1) and sister species *E. globulus* (X46) genomes was performed with Illumina PE100 DNA sequencing. Lignin, cellulose, xylan, terpene and flowering-related gene families were analysed using a combination of gene annotation, phylogenetic analysis and mRNA-seq expression profiling.

Online Content Any additional Methods, Extended Data display items and Source Data are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.

Received 8 September 2013; accepted 2 April 2014.

Published online 11 June 2014.

- Byrne, M. Phylogeny, diversity and evolution of eucalypts. in *Plant Genome: Biodiversity and Evolution, Part E: Phanerogams-Angiosperm* Vol. 1 (eds Sharma, A. K. & Sharma, A.) 303–346 (Science Publishers, 2008).
- Iglesias, I. & Wiltermann, D. in *Eucalyptologics Information Resources on Eucalypt Cultivation Worldwide* <http://www.git-forestry.com> (GIT Forestry Consulting, retrieved, 29 March 2009).
- Bauhus, J., van der Meer, P. J. & Kanninen, M. *Ecosystem Goods and Services from Plantation Forests* 254 (Earthscan, 2010).
- Costa e Silva, J., Hardner, C., Tilyard, P. & Potts, B. M. The effects of age and environment on the expression of inbreeding depression in *Eucalyptus globulus*. *Heredity* **107**, 50–60 (2011).
- Tuskan, G. A. *et al.* The genome of black cottonwood, *Populus trichocarpa* (Torr. & Gray). *Science* **313**, 1596–1604 (2006).
- Kullan, A. R. K. *et al.* High-density genetic linkage maps with over 2,400 sequence-anchored DArT markers for genetic dissection in an F2 pseudo-backcross of *Eucalyptus grandis* × *E. urophylla*. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **8**, 163–175 (2012).
- Petrolis, C. D. *et al.* Genomic characterization of DArT markers based on high-density linkage analysis and physical mapping to the *Eucalyptus* genome. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e44684 (2012).
- Wang, H. *et al.* Rosid radiation and the rapid rise of angiosperm-dominated forests. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 3853–3858 (2009).
- D'Hont, A. *et al.* The banana (*Musa acuminata*) genome and the evolution of monocotyledonous plants. *Nature* **488**, 213–217 (2012).
- Shulaev, V. *et al.* The genome of woodland strawberry (*Fragaria vesca*). *Nature Genet.* **43**, 109–116 (2011).
- Martin, W., Deusch, O., Stawski, N., Grunheit, N. & Goremykin, V. Chloroplast genome phylogenetics: why we need independent approaches to plant molecular evolution. *Trends Plant Sci.* **10**, 203–209 (2005).
- Jeffroy, O., Brinkmann, H., Delsuc, F. & Philippe, H. Phylogenomics: the beginning of incongruence? *Trends Genet.* **22**, 225–231 (2006).
- Fawcett, J. A., Maere, S. & Van de Peer, Y. Plants with double genomes might have had a better chance to survive the Cretaceous-Tertiary extinction event. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 5737–5742 (2009).
- Bell, C. D., Soltis, D. E. & Soltis, P. S. The age and diversification of the angiosperms re-revisited. *Am. J. Bot.* **97**, 1296–1303 (2010).
- Jaillon, O. *et al.* The grapevine genome sequence suggests ancestral hexaploidization in major angiosperm phyla. *Nature* **449**, 463–467 (2007).
- Hanada, K., Zou, C., Lehti-Shiu, M. D., Shinzaki, K. & Shiu, S. H. Importance of lineage-specific expansion of plant tandem duplicates in the adaptive response to environmental stimuli. *Plant Physiol.* **148**, 993–1003 (2008).
- Freeling, M. Bias in plant gene content following different sorts of duplication: tandem, whole-genome, segmental, or by transposition. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **60**, 433–453 (2009).
- Hudson, C. J. *et al.* High synteny and colinearity among *Eucalyptus* genomes revealed by high-density comparative genetic mapping. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **8**, 339–352 (2012).
- Grattapaglia, D. & Bradshaw, H. D. Nuclear DNA content of commercially important *Eucalyptus* species and hybrids. *Can. J. For. Res.* **24**, 1074–1078 (1994).
- Brooker, M. I. H. A new classification of the genus *Eucalyptus* L'Her. (Myrtaceae). *Aust. Syst. Bot.* **13**, 79–148 (2000).
- Crisp, M. D., Burrows, G. E., Cook, L. G., Thornhill, A. H. & Bowman, D. M. Flammable biomes dominated by eucalypts originated at the Cretaceous-Palaeogene boundary. *Nature Commun.* **2**, 193 (2011).

22. Ågren, J. A. & Wright, S. I. Co-evolution between transposable elements and their hosts: a major factor in genome size evolution? *Chromosome Res.* **19**, 777–786 (2011).
23. Külheim, C., Hui Yeoh, S., Maintz, J., Foley, W. & Moran, G. Comparative SNP diversity among four *Eucalyptus* species for genes from secondary metabolite biosynthetic pathways. *BMC Genomics* **10**, 452 (2009).
24. Novaes, E. *et al.* High-throughput gene and SNP discovery in *Eucalyptus grandis*, an uncharacterized genome. *BMC Genomics* **9**, 312 (2008).
25. Resende, M. D. *et al.* Genomic selection for growth and wood quality in *Eucalyptus*: capturing the missing heritability and accelerating breeding for complex traits in forest trees. *New Phytol.* **194**, 116–128 (2012).
26. Grattapaglia, D. *et al.* Progress in Myrtaceae genetics and genomics: *Eucalyptus* as the pivotal genus. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **8**, 463–508 (2012).
27. Groover, A. T. What genes make a tree a tree? *Trends Plant Sci.* **10**, 210–214 (2005).
28. Boerjan, W., Ralph, J. & Baucher, M. Lignin biosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **54**, 519–546 (2003).
29. Mizrachi, E., Mansfield, S. D. & Myburg, A. A. Cellulose factories: advancing bioenergy production from forest trees. *New Phytol.* **194**, 54–62 (2012).
30. Scheller, H. V. & Ulvskov, P. Hemicelluloses. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **61**, 263–289 (2010).
31. Eschler, B. M., Pass, D. M., Willis, R. & Foley, W. J. Distribution of foliar formylated phloroglucinol derivatives amongst *Eucalyptus* species. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **28**, 813–824 (2000).
32. Goodger, J. Q. & Woodrow, I. E. α,β -Unsaturated monoterpene acid glucose esters: structural diversity, bioactivities and functional roles. *Phytochemistry* **72**, 2259–2266 (2011).
33. Petit, R. J. & Hampe, A. Some evolutionary consequences of being a tree. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **37**, 187–214 (2006).
34. Lee, J. & Lee, I. Regulation and function of SOC1, a flowering pathway integrator. *J. Exp. Bot.* **61**, 2247–2254 (2010).
35. House, S. M. Reproductive biology of eucalypts. in *Eucalypt Ecology: Individuals to Ecosystems* (ed. Woinarski, J.) 30–56 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997).
36. Jaffe, D. B. *et al.* Whole-genome sequence assembly for mammalian genomes: Arachne 2. *Genome Res.* **13**, 91–96 (2003).
37. Haas, B. J. *et al.* Improving the *Arabidopsis* genome annotation using maximal transcript alignment assemblies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 5654–5666 (2003).
38. Remm, M., Storm, C. E. & Sonnhammer, E. L. Automatic clustering of orthologs and in-paralogs from pairwise species comparisons. *J. Mol. Biol.* **314**, 1041–1052 (2001).
39. Ostlund, G. *et al.* InParanoid 7: new algorithms and tools for eukaryotic orthology analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **38**, D196–D203 (2010).
40. Hunter, S. *et al.* InterPro: the integrative protein signature database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37**, D211–D215 (2009).
41. Bendtsen, J. D., Nielsen, H., von Heijne, G. & Brunak, S. Improved prediction of signal peptides: SignalP 3.0. *J. Mol. Biol.* **340**, 783–795 (2004).
42. Small, I., Peeters, N., Legeai, F. & Lurin, C. Predotar: A tool for rapidly screening proteomes for N-terminal targeting sequences. *Proteomics* **4**, 1581–1590 (2004).
43. Sonnhammer, E. L., von Heijne, G. & Krogh, A. A hidden Markov model for predicting transmembrane helices in protein sequences. *Proc. Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Mol. Biol.* **6**, 175–182 (1998).
44. Stamatakis, A. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 2688–2690 (2006).
45. Salse, J., Abrouk, M., Murat, F., Quraishi, U. M. & Feuillet, C. Improved criteria and comparative genomics tool provide new insights into grass paleogenomics. *Brief. Bioinform.* **10**, 619–630 (2009).
46. Salse, J. *et al.* Reconstruction of monocotyledonous proto-chromosomes reveals faster evolution in plants than in animals. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 14908–14913 (2009).
47. Frazer, K. A., Pachter, L., Poliakov, A., Rubin, E. M. & Dubchak, I. VISTA: computational tools for comparative genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, W273–W279 (2004).
48. Dubchak, I., Poliakov, A., Kislyuk, A. & Brudno, M. Multiple whole-genome alignments without a reference organism. *Genome Res.* **19**, 682–689 (2009).
49. Salse, J. *In silico* archeogenomics unveils modern plant genome organisation, regulation and evolution. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **15**, 122–130 (2012).
50. Trapnell, C. *et al.* Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nature Biotechnol.* **28**, 511–515 (2010).

Supplementary Information is available in the online version of the paper.

Acknowledgements The work conducted by the US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute is supported by the Office of Science of the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The research and writing of the manuscript was supported, in part, by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the US Department of Energy Office of Science under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 as part of the US DOE Bioenergy Center. Funding for additional components of the study was provided by the Brazilian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MCTI) through its research funding agencies (CNPq and FINEP), the Brazilian Federal District Research Foundation (FAP-DF), the public-private Genolyptus network of Brazilian forestry companies, the Tree Biosafety and Genomics Research Cooperative (TBGRC, Oregon State University), South African forestry companies Sappi and Mondi, the Technology and Human Resources for Industry Programme (THRIP, UID 80118), the South African Department of Science and Technology (DST) and National Research Foundation (NRF, UID 18312 and 86936), the Laboratoire d'Excellence (LABEX TULIP ANR-10-LABX-41), the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (Project Tree For Joules ANR-2010-KBBE-007-01; Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT, P-KBBE/AGR.GPL/0001/2010), the Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), the University Paul Sabatier Toulouse III (UPS). Part of this work was carried out using the Stevin Supercomputer Infrastructure at Ghent University, funded by Ghent University, the Hercules Foundation, and the Flemish Government–department EWI. We also acknowledge S. Oda and E. Gonzalez of Suzano Paper and Pulp for providing genetic material of *E. grandis* genotypes BRASUZI, G7J1, M35D2 and their progeny used for genome sequencing and resequencing, Forestal Mininco (Chile) for genetic material of X46, the *E. globulus* genotype used for genome resequencing, M. Hinchee and W. Rottmann of ArborGen for EST sequences used to support gene annotation, Sappi (South Africa) for genetic material of the population used for genetic linkage mapping, and Sappi and Mondi (South Africa) for *E. grandis* tissues used for RNA sequencing. We acknowledge M. O'Neill of the University of Pretoria for technical assistance with *E. grandis* RNA sequencing.

Author Contributions A.A.M., D.G. and G.A.T. are the lead investigators and contributed equally to the work. J.Sc., J.J., J.G., R.D.H., D.M.G., I.D., A.P., U.H., D.S.R., E.L., H.T., D.B. and K.B. contributed to the assembly, annotation and sequence analysis, S.H.B., D.K. and D.G. to BAC library construction, G.P., S.H.B., M.R.P., D.A.F. and D.G. to various parts of biological sample collection, preparation and quality control, U.H., K.V., L.S., Y.V.d.P., F.M. and J.Sa. to genome duplication analyses, D.M.G., P.J. and J.E. to gene family clustering, U.H., P.J., J.E., A.L., A.E.B. and J.W.S. to green plant phylogeny, R.D.H., D.M.G., P.J., S.N. and R.R. to InterPro and Gene Ontology based functional annotation, X.Y., C.-Y.Y., T.L., T.J.T., M.R.P. and G.J.P. to non-coding RNA analyses, I.v.J., E.M., F.J. and A.A.M. to 5' UTR analysis, M.R., E.M., C.A.H., K.V.d.M., F.J. and A.A.M. to RNA sequencing and expression profiling, A.R.K., E.B.-B., E.M. and A.A.M. to protein domain and arrangement analysis, D.A.S., J.T., P.R. and A.S. to *E. globulus* genome resequencing and analysis, U.H., M.S., V.C., H.S.C., J.P., J.G.-P. and G.J.P. to tandem duplicate analysis, K.V., V.A., P.D., C.S., C.A.H., E.R., M.R., A.A.M., S.H.S., R.C.J. and M.A.-F. to MADs box analyses, E.M., D.P., P.J., F.J. and A.A.M. to cellulose, xylan and CAZyme analysis, V.C., J.P., C.D., E.M., A.A.M. and J.G.-P. to lignin biosynthesis genes analysis, J.G.-P., S.G.H., C.A.H., M.S., N.S., H.C.-W., H.S.C., J.T. and P.R. to NAC and MYB analysis, C.K., P.J. and W.F. to terpene synthase gene family analysis, G.J.P. and R.C.T. to transposable elements analysis, U.H., A.R.K.K., C.P.S., C.D.P., D.A.F., O.B.S.-J., D.G. and A.A.M. to genetic mapping, U.H., D.A.F., M.R.P., P.S. and D.G. to genetic load and heterozygosity analysis, and S.N. and P.J. to SDRK gene family analysis. B.M.P., D.A.S., R.E.V. and M.B. contributed to taxonomic and biological background text. G.A.T. headed and K.B. managed the sequencing project. D.S.R. coordinated the bioinformatics activities, A.A.M., D.G. and G.A.T. wrote and edited most of the manuscript. All authors read and commented on the manuscript.

Author Information The *E. grandis* whole-genome sequences are deposited in GenBank under accession number AUSX00000000. A genome browser and further information on the project are available at <http://www.phytozome.net/eucalyptus.php>. Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.A.M. (zander.myburg@up.ac.za).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported licence. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons licence, users will need to obtain permission from the licence holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0>

METHODS

Whole-genome shotgun sequencing and assembly. All sequencing reads were collected with standard Sanger sequencing protocols on ABI 3730XL automated sequencers at the Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA. Three different sized libraries were used for the plasmid subclone sequencing process and paired-end sequencing. A total of 3,446,208 reads from the 2.6-kb sized libraries, 3,479,232 reads from the 6.0-kb sized libraries and 518,016 reads from a 36.2–40.6-kb library were sequenced. Two BAC libraries (EG_Ba, 127.5-kb insert and EG_Bb, 155.0-kb insert) were end sequenced to add an additional 294,912 reads for long-range linking.

The sequence reads were assembled using a modified version of Arachne v.20071016 (ref. 36) with parameters `maxcliq1 = 100`, `correct1_passes = 0`, `n_haplotypes = 2` and `BINGE_AND_PURGE = True`. The resulting output was then passed through Rebuilder and SquashOverlaps with parameters to merge adjacent assembled alternative haplotypes and subsequently run through another complete Arachne assembly process to finalize the assembly. This produced 6,043 scaffold sequences, with a scaffold L50 of 4.9 Mb and total scaffold size of 692.7 Mb. Scaffolds were screened against bacterial proteins, organelle sequences, GenBank nr and were removed if found to be a contaminant. Additional scaffolds were removed if they (1) consisted of >95% of base pairs that occurred as 24mers four other times in the scaffolds larger than 50 kb; (2) contained a majority of unanchored RNA sequences; or (3) were less than 1 kb in length.

For chromosome-scale pseudomolecule construction, markers from the genetic map were placed using two methods. SSR-based markers were placed using three successive rounds of e-PCR with $N = 0$, $N = 1$ and $N = 3$. Markers that had sequence associated with them, including SNP markers, were placed with BLAT⁵¹ and blastn⁵². A total of 19 breaks (16 in high coverage (>6x), 3 in low coverage (≤6x)) were made in scaffolds based on linkage group discontinuity; a subset of the broken scaffolds were combined using 257 joins to form the 11 pseudomolecule chromosomes. Map joins were denoted with 10,000 repeats of the letter N (Ns). The pseudomolecules contained 605.9 Mb out of 691.3 Mb (88%) of the assembled sequence. The final assembly contains 4,952 scaffolds with a contig L50 of 67.2 kb and a scaffold L50 of 53.9 Mb. The completeness of the resulting assembly was estimated using 1,007,962 ESTs from BRASUZI. The goal of this analysis was to obtain an estimate of the completeness of the assembly, rather than to do a comprehensive examination of gene space. Briefly, ESTs <300 bp were removed, along with chloroplast, mitochondrial or rDNA ESTs. All duplicate ESTs were placed against the genome using BLAT⁵¹. The remaining ESTs were screened for alignments that had ≥90% identity and ≥85% EST coverage. The screened alignments indicated that 98.98% of available expressed gene loci were included in the 11 chromosome assemblies.

Gene prediction. To produce the current gene set, we used the homology-based FgenesH and GenomeScan predictions. The best gene prediction at each locus was selected and integrated with EST assemblies using the PASA program³⁷. The gene set shown in the browser was generated from the input gene models at JGI. The gene prediction pipeline was structured as follows: peptides from diverse angiosperms and ~260,000 EST assemblies (from ~2.9 M filtered *E. grandis* ESTs and ~2.4 M EST sequences from other closely related ('sister') *Eucalyptus* species, assembled with PASA) were aligned to the genome and their overlaps used to define putative protein-coding gene loci. The corresponding genomic regions were extended by 1 kb in each direction and submitted to FgenesH and GenomeScan, along with related angiosperm peptides and/or ORFs from the overlapping EST assemblies. These two sets of predictions were integrated with expressed sequence information using PASA³⁷ against ~260,000 *Eucalyptus* EST assemblies. The results were filtered to remove genes identified as transposon-related.

Gene family cluster and gene ontology analysis. The Inparanoid algorithm^{38,39} was used to identify orthologous and paralogous genes that arose through duplication events. Clusters were determined using a reciprocal best pair match and then an algorithm for adding in-paralogues was applied. The peptide sequences used were from *Arabidopsis lyrata*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Brachypodium distachyon*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Danio rerio*, *Ectocarpus siliculosus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Eucalyptus grandis*, *Fragaria vesca*, *Glycine max*, *Homo sapiens*, *Jatropha curcas*, *Mus musculus*, *Neurospora crassa*, *Nostoc punctiforme*, *Oryza sativa*, *Phoenix dactylifera*, *Physcomitrella patens*, *Populus trichocarpa*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, *Selaginella moellendorffii*, *Solanum tuberosum*, *Sorghum bicolor*, *Synechocystis pcc6803*, *Theobroma cacao*, *Vitis vinifera* and *Zea mays*. The sequences were downloaded from Gramene^{53,54}, Phytozome (<http://www.phytozome.net>) and Ensembl (<http://www.ensembl.org>). A functional annotation pipeline similar to the one used for strawberry genome annotation¹⁰ was used to infer Gene Ontology⁵⁵ assignments to 29,841 protein coding genes (~82%). Peptide sequences were analysed through an integrated approach involving Interproscan⁴⁰, SignalP⁴¹, Predotar⁴², TMHMM⁴³ and orthology-based projections from *Arabidopsis*.

Green plant phylogeny. We used an integrated approach of gene orthology clustering¹⁰ and an automated workflow for phylogenomic analyses⁵⁶ to reconstruct land plant phylogeny of peptide sequences. A total of 174,020 peptides encoded by single-copy

protein coding orthologous nuclear genes from 17 plant genomes (Supplementary Data 7) were identified, aligned and assembled into a supermatrix resulting from conservative and liberal superalignments that retained 42.26% (697,423 amino acids) and 46.35% (764,978 amino acids) of the original 1,650,340 amino acid concatenated alignment for maximum likelihood phylogenetic reconstruction⁴⁴, respectively (Supplementary Data 7). These alignments come from 3268 orthologous gene clusters with each cluster carrying single copy genes from at least 9 (50%) species.

Protein domain analysis. Domains and domain arrangements were compared within the rosids to distinguish a core set of domains and arrangements present in all rosids and those shared by one or more of the four rosid lineages included in the analysis (Supplementary Data 8). Domains occurring at twice the frequency in *Eucalyptus* compared to the average abundance in the rosids were defined as overrepresented. If several splice variants were present for one protein, we excluded all but the longest transcript. All proteomes were scanned for domains with the Pfam_scan utility and HMMER 3.0 against the Pfam-A and Pfam-B databases⁵⁷. For the annotation of Pfam-A domains, we used the model-defined gathering threshold and query sequences were required to match at least 30% of the defining model⁵⁸. Pfam-B domains were annotated using an e-value cutoff of 10^{-3} . When possible, Pfam-A domains were mapped to clans and consecutive stretches of the same domain were collapsed into one large pseudo-domain^{59,60}. We defined domain arrangements as ordered sets of domains for each protein. For the analysis of arrangements, only Pfam-A domains were used.

Genome-wide mRNA expression profiling. To study the expression of predicted protein-coding and ncRNA genes, RNA-seq reads obtained from Illumina sequencing of seven *Eucalyptus* tissues (that is, shoot tips, young leaf, mature leaf, flower, roots, phloem and immature xylem, <http://www.eucgenie.org/>, Hefer *et al.*, unpublished data) were mapped to the *Eucalyptus* genome using TopHat⁶¹ with the Bowtie algorithm⁶² for performing the alignment. The aligned read files were processed by Cufflinks⁵⁰, with RNA-seq fragment counts (that is, fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped (FPKM)) to measure the relative abundance of transcripts. Differential ncRNA expression between the seven *Eucalyptus* tissues was determined using Cuffdiff⁵⁰.

ncRNA analysis. To predict ncRNAs in *Eucalyptus*, the genome sequence was scanned using Infernal⁶³ with the covariance models (that is, a combination of sequence consensus and RNA secondary structure consensus) of 1,973 RNA families in the RFam database v10.1 (refs 64, 65). The bit score cutoff of the Infernal search was set as the TC cutoff value that was used by RFam curators as the trusted cutoff. The Infernal search result was further filtered by an e-value cutoff of 0.01. To examine the ncRNA conservation between *Eucalyptus* and other plant genomes, the *Eucalyptus* ncRNA candidate sequences obtained from the Infernal search were used as queries to search against the genome sequences listed above using BLAT⁵¹ with a minimum coverage (that is, minimum fraction of query that must be aligned) of 80% and a minimum identity of 60%.

5' UTR empirical curation. Approximately 2.9 million *E. grandis* ESTs and ~700 million RNA-seq reads from seven diverse tissues were used to empirically curate 5' UTR annotations. At each locus, the predicted, EST and RNA-seq derived 5' UTR lengths were compared. An empirical annotation was prioritized over an *in silico* prediction and the longest empirical transcript was preferred. Those loci which had a 5' UTR reported by only FgenesH retained their annotation as the best current annotation.

Genome evolution. We used the *E. grandis* genome sequence information (<http://www.phytozome.net/eucalyptus.php>) to unravel the Myrtales evolutionary palaeo-history leading to the modern *Eucalyptus* genome structure of 11 chromosomes. Independent intraspecific (that is, paralogue) and interspecific (that is, orthologue) comparisons were necessary to infer gene relationships between *Eucalyptus* and the other rosid genomes. We applied a robust and direct approach^{45,46} allowing the characterization of genome duplications by aligning the available genes (36,376) on themselves with stringent alignment criteria and statistical validation.

We used the VISTA pipeline infrastructure^{47,48} for the construction of genome-wide pairwise DNA alignments between *E. grandis* and *Populus trichocarpa*. To align genomes we used a combination of global and local alignment methods. First, we obtained an alignment of large blocks of conserved synteny between the two species by applying Shuffle-LAGAN global chaining algorithm⁶⁶ to local alignments produced by translated BLAT⁵¹. After that we used Supermap, the fully symmetric whole-genome extension to the Shuffle-LAGAN. Then, in each syntenic block we applied Shuffle-LAGAN a second time to obtain a more fine-grained map of small-scale rearrangements such as inversions.


Syntenic regions between *Eucalyptus* chromosome 3 and *Populus* chromosome XVIII were defined as segments of contiguous sequence. Each contiguous block of DNA was annotated and cross-compared between the two species. Gene models within the syntenic blocks were compared based on a sliding window representing 10 gene models with an allowance of two intercalated gene models. Genes occurring in tandem repeats on either the *Eucalyptus* or *Populus* chromosomes were counted as a single locus in either case. The constructed genome-wide pair-wise alignments can

be downloaded from <http://pipeline.lbl.gov/downloads.shtml> and are accessible for browsing and various types of analysis through Phytozome (<http://phytozome.org>).

For comparative analysis of the *E. grandis* and *E. globulus* genomes, enriched nuclei were extracted using a modified BAC library preparation protocol⁶⁷ and DNA extracted following Tibbits *et al.*⁶⁸. DNA was prepared for sequencing using Illumina TruSeq kits and 100-bp paired-end sequencing was performed on a HiSeq2000. NUCLEAR software (Gyde Inc.) was used to filter for high-quality reads that were then mapped to the *E. grandis* genome scaffold assembly. The VISION software was used to visualize assemblies and assembly metrics were computed using custom Perl, R and Shell scripts.


Genome function analysis. Using homology to *Arabidopsis* genes and Pfam domain analysis we identified candidate homologues for lignin, cellulose and xylan biosynthetic genes. All possible family members were identified and their gene expression evaluated in seven developing tissues of *E. grandis* using Illumina RNA-seq analysis. In particular, we analysed each gene's expression relative to other family members/isoforms in xylem, as well as relative to the median (~90,000 FPKM) of xylem expression in the entire transcriptome. Considering each gene's relative and absolute expression levels, all members expressed over median in xylem were noted (Supplementary Data 5 and Supplementary Data 9). Similarly, a search for conserved protein motifs for the terpene synthase gene family was conducted in eight plant genomes, including *E. grandis* (Supplementary Information section 6 and Supplementary Data 6). The amino acid sequences were aligned and truncated to compare homologous sites. A maximum likelihood tree was created, rooted by the split between two major types of terpene synthase genes, and nodes were coloured by species.

51. Kent, W. J. BLAT—the BLAST-like alignment tool. *Genome Res.* **12**, 656–664 (2002).
52. Altschul, S. F. *et al.* Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 3389–3402 (1997).
53. Youens-Clark, K. *et al.* Gramene database in 2010: updates and extensions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, D1085–D1094 (2011).
54. Jaiswal, P. Gramene database: a hub for comparative plant genomics. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **678**, 247–275 (2011).
55. Ashburner, M. *et al.* Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nature Genet.* **25**, 25–29 (2000).
56. Robbertse, B., Yoder, R. J., Boyd, A., Reeves, J. & Spatafora, J. W. Hal: an automated pipeline for phylogenetic analyses of genomic data. *PLoS Curr.* **3**, RRN1213 (2011).
57. Finn, R. D., Clements, J. & Eddy, S. R. HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, W29–W37 (2011).
58. Buljan, M., Frankish, A. & Bateman, A. Quantifying the mechanisms of domain gain in animal proteins. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **11**, R74 (2010).
59. Ekman, D., Bjorklund, A. K., Frey-Skott, J. & Elofsson, A. Multi-domain proteins in the three kingdoms of life: orphan domains and other unassigned regions. *J. Mol. Biol.* **348**, 231–243 (2005).
60. Forslund, K., Henricson, A., Hollich, V. & Sonnhammer, E. L. Domain tree-based analysis of protein architecture evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **25**, 254–264 (2008).
61. Trapnell, C., Pachter, L. & Salzberg, S. L. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1105–1111 (2009).
62. Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* **10**, R25 (2009).
63. Nawrocki, E. P., Kolbe, D. L. & Eddy, S. R. Infernal 1.0: inference of RNA alignments. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1335–1337 (2009).
64. Griffiths-Jones, S., Bateman, A., Marshall, M., Khanna, A. & Eddy, S. R. Rfam: an RNA family database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 439–441 (2003).
65. Gardner, P. P. *et al.* Rfam: Wikipedia, clans and the “decimal” release. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, D141–D145 (2011).
66. Brudno, M. *et al.* LAGAN and Multi-LAGAN: efficient tools for large-scale multiple alignment of genomic DNA. *Genome Res.* **13**, 721–731 (2003).
67. Peterson, D. G., Kevin, S. & Stephen, M. Isolation of milligram quantities of nuclear DNA from tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*), a plant containing high levels of polyphenolic compounds. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **15**, 148–153 (1997).
68. Tibbits, J. F. G., McManus, L. J., Spokevicius, A. V. & Bossinger, G. A rapid method for tissue collection and high-throughput isolation of genomic DNA from mature trees. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **24**, 81–91 (2006).
69. Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. & Miyata, T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 3059–3066 (2002).
70. Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. MEGA4: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**, 1596–1599 (2007).




Extended Data Figure 3 | Green plant phylogeny based on shared gene clusters from 17 sequenced plant genomes. The phylogenetic tree was generated by RAxML analysis including at least one protein from at least half of the species per protein cluster in a concatenated MUSCLE alignment adjusted by Gblocks with liberal settings (Supplementary Data 7). The corresponding

bootstrap partitions are provided at each node. The tree was rooted with *Physcomitrella* (a moss) as outgroup. The Myrtales lineage represented by *Eucalyptus grandis* is supported as sister to fabids and malvids (core rosid) clades together with the basal rosid lineage Vitales, whereas *Populus trichocarpa* (Malpighiales) is grouped with malvids.



Extended Data Figure 4 | Dating of the *Eucalyptus* lineage-specific whole-genome duplication event. **a**, *Eucalyptus* K_s whole-paranome (the set of all duplicate genes in the genome) age distribution. On the x axis the K_s is plotted (bin size of 0.1); on the y axis the number of retained duplicate paralogous gene pairs is plotted. **b**, *Eucalyptus* K_s anchor age distribution. On the x axis the K_s is plotted (bin size of 0.1); on the y axis the number of retained duplicate anchors is plotted. Anchors falling within the K_s range of 0.8–1.5 were used for absolute dating. **c**, *Eucalyptus* absolute dated anchors from the most recent WGD. The smooth green curve represents the maximum likelihood normal fit of dated anchors derived from the most recent WGD in *Eucalyptus*, whereas the blue dots represent a histogram of the raw data. The dashed line indicates


the ML estimate of the distribution mode, whereas the dotted lines delimit the corresponding 95% confidence intervals. The mode of dated anchors is estimated at 109.93 Myr ago with its lower and upper 95% boundaries at 105.96 and 113.91 Myr ago, respectively. **d**, Genome duplication pattern in the core eudicot (rosid and asterid) ancestor and lineages leading to *Solanum* (asterid), *Vitis* and *Eucalyptus* (basal rosids) and the core rosids. The three *Eucalyptus* (E1–E3), *Vitis* (V1–V3) and *Solanum* (S1–S3) orthologues were generated by the shared hexaploidy event (purple box, ~130 to 150 Myr ago) and an additional set of *Eucalyptus* orthologues (E1'–E3') were created in the lineage-specific WGD (orange boxes, ~110 Myr ago).



Extended Data Figure 5 | Genome-wide analysis of tandem gene assemblies.


The number and distribution of contig breaks was evaluated for pairs of tandem genes (located within 50 kb of each other). **a**, Distribution of the number of contig breaks between gene pairs (blue bars) and cumulative proportion of gene pairs separated by contig breaks (black line). **b**, Distribution of the number of contig breaks per separation distance showing that the number of breaks is positively correlated with separation distance. The red line shows the distribution of distance between gene pairs with three or more contig breaks. **c**, Distribution of K_s divergence of tandem gene pairs in clusters with exactly

two tandem genes showing a gradient of similarity (that is, age of duplication) expected for authentic tandem gene pairs. **d**, Rate of tandem gene duplication (TD) and gene loss in *Eucalyptus grandis* (Eg), *Populus trichocarpa* (Pt), *Vitis vinifera* (Vv) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (At). All of the rosoid genomes (except *Arabidopsis*) exhibit constant rates of tandem duplication and loss. The rate of tandem gene duplication in *Eucalyptus* has been stable and consistently higher than in *Populus* and *Vitis*. 1 Myr \sim 0.0026 transversions at fourfold degenerate sites, consistent with *Populus* and *Eucalyptus* having diverged \sim 100 Myr ago.




Extended Data Figure 6 | Illumina PE100 read coverage of the ~760-kb region containing a R2R3–MYB tandem gene array. Illumina PE100 reads generated from BRASUZ1 (*E. grandis*) and X46 (*E. globulus*) were aligned to the *E. grandis* (BRASUZ1, V1.0) genome assembly, and insert (green bars) and sequence (blue line) coverage investigated for the ~760-kb region including a R2R3–MYB tandem array (details in Supplementary Data 3) in the *E. grandis* genome assembly. **a**, Read coverage profile of the BRASUZ1 reads mapped

to the region showing $1\times$ relative coverage across all nine of the tandem duplicates (red blocks) in the region, and **b**, X46 (*E. globulus*) reads mapped to the region showing $1\times$ relative coverage on approximately half of the region with some tandem duplicates apparently absent from the *E. globulus* genome. Note that insert coverage (green bars) is relatively higher for *E. globulus* (X46, panel **b**) due to the larger insert size of the genomic library sequenced for X46 (~300 bp) than for BRASUZ1 (~150 bp).




Extended Data Figure 7 | Alternative homozygous classes observed in the 28 M35D2 siblings as a function of position on chromosomes 1–11. Several peaks of conserved heterozygosity (peaks >80%) are seen on all chromosomes except 5 and 11. A region of 25 Mb on chromosome 4 from 11 to 36 Mb is completely devoid of homozygous versions of one of the alleles (red line), but has roughly 25–32% of the siblings homozygous for the other allele (green line) and the rest heterozygous in a roughly 1:4 ratio. The blue line is the total

proportion of siblings out of 28 that are heterozygous in the region. One would expect 50% under the null model, but almost the entire chromosome is biased towards heterozygosity. In several other regions (for example, chromosomes 6, 7, 9 and 10) both homozygous classes are depleted, suggesting the presence of genetic load at different loci along the two parental homologues and explaining the strong selection for heterozygosity in such regions.




Extended Data Figure 8 | Genes involved in lignin biosynthesis in woody tissues of *Eucalyptus*. Relative (yellow–blue scale) and absolute (white–red scale) expression profiles of secondary cell-wall-related genes implicated in lignin biosynthesis. Detailed gene annotation and mRNA-seq expression data are provided in Supplementary Data 9. Five novel *Eucalyptus* candidates that

have not previously been associated with lignification are indicated by asterisks (Carocha *et al.*, unpublished data). ST, shoot tips; YL, young leaves; ML, mature leaves; FL, floral buds; RT, roots; PH, phloem; IX, immature xylem. Absolute expression level (FPKM⁵⁰) is only shown for immature xylem.



Extended Data Figure 9 | Phylogenetic tree of R2R3 MYB sequences from subgroups expanded and/or preferentially found in woody species. A total of 133 amino acid sequences from *Eucalyptus grandis* (50), *Vitis vinifera* (34), *Populus trichocarpa* (40), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (6) and *Oryza sativa* (3) corresponding to three woody-expanded (subgroups 5, 6 and AtMYB5 based on *Arabidopsis* classification) and five woody-preferential subgroups (I through V). The latter do not contain any *Arabidopsis* nor *Oryza* sequences. Sequences were aligned using MAFFT with the FFT-NS-i algorithm⁶⁹ (Supplementary Data 10). Evolutionary history was inferred constructing a Neighbour-joining tree with 1,000 bootstrap replicates (bootstrap support is shown next to branches) using MEGA5 (ref. 70). The evolutionary distances

were computed using the Jones-Taylor-Thornton substitution model and the rate variation among sites was modelled with a gamma distribution of 1. Positions containing gaps and missing data were not considered in the analysis. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. RNA-seq-based relative transcript abundance data for six different tissues, expressed in FPKM values (fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped), are shown for each *Eucalyptus* gene next to each subgroup. ST, shoot tips; YL, young leaves; ML, mature leaves; FL, flowers; PH, phloem; and IX, immature xylem.



Extended Data Figure 10 | Phylogenetic tree of type II MIKC MADS box proteins. Neighbour-joining consensus tree of the type II MIKC sub-clade using protein sequences from *Eucalyptus grandis*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Populus trichocarpa* and *Vitis vinifera* (Supplementary Data 11). Bootstrap values from 1,000 replicates were used to assess the robustness of the tree.

Bootstrap values lower than 40% were removed from the tree. *Eucalyptus* genes are denoted with green dots, *Arabidopsis* genes with red dots, *Populus* genes with yellow dots and *Vitis* genes with blue dots. The gene model numbers from *Populus* and *Vitis* were abbreviated to better fit in the figure (*P. trichocarpa*, Pt; *V. vinifera*, Vv).