

High food quality increases infection of Gammarus pulex (Crustacea: Amphipoda) by the acanthocephalan parasite Pomphorhynchus laevis.

Kevin Sanchez-Thirion, Michaël Danger, Alexandre Bec, Elise Billoir, Sophie Labaude, Thierry Rigaud, Jean-Nicolas Beisel, Vincent Felten

To cite this version:

Kevin Sanchez-Thirion, Michaël Danger, Alexandre Bec, Elise Billoir, Sophie Labaude, et al.. High food quality increases infection of Gammarus pulex (Crustacea: Amphipoda) by the acanthocephalan parasite Pomphorhynchus laevis.. International Journal for Parasitology, 2019, 49 (10), pp.805-817. 10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.05.005. hal-02281395

HAL Id: hal-02281395 <https://hal.science/hal-02281395>

Submitted on 10 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- **Keywords:** Parasite, food quality, ecological stoichiometry, experimental infestation,
- survival, growth, behavior

Abstract

 Parasitism is one of the most important but largely neglected processes in ecosystems. However, parasites are involved in a majority of trophic links in food webs, playing in turn a major role in community structure and ecosystem processes. Several studies have shown that higher nutrient availabilities in ecosystems tended to increase the prevalence of parasites. Yet, most of these studies focused on resources availability, and those investigating resources quality are scarce. In this study, we tested the impact of the quality of host food resources on infection by parasites, as well as on the consequences for the host. Three resources were used for feeding individually *Gammarus pulex* (Crustacea: Amphipoda) experimentally infected or not with the acanthocephalan species *Pomphorhynchus laevis*: microbially-conditioned leaf litter without phosphorus input (standard resource), microbially-conditioned leaf litter enriched in phosphorus, and microbially-conditioned leaf litter without phosphorus input but complemented with additional inputs of benthic diatoms rich in both phosphorus and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA). During the experiment, infection rate, parasite load, hosts' survival, and parasite-mediated behavioral traits presumably implied in trophic transmission were measured (refuge use, geotaxis and locomotor activity). The resources of higher quality, regardless of the infection status, reduced gammarids mortality and increased gammarids growth. In addition, higher quality resources increased the proportion of infected gammarids, and led to higher cases of multi-infections. While slightly modifying the geotaxis behavior of uninfected gammarids, resources quality did not modulate parasite impacts on hosts' behavior. Finally, for most parameters, consumption of algal resources had a greater impact than phosphorus-enriched leaf litter. Manipulation of resources quality therefore deeply affected host-parasite relationships, a result stressing the need for future research to investigate *in natura* the relationships between resources availability, resources quality, and parasites prevalence.

1. Introduction

 Parasitism is certainly one of the most important but largely neglected processes in ecosystems (Lafferty *et al.*, 2008). Parasites, ranging from bacteria and protozoans to metazoan species such as crustaceans or nematodes, use their hosts as providers of resources and habitats. Recent studies suggested that parasites may represent at least half of species on Earth, and could be involved in 75% of the trophic links in food webs (Dobson *et al.*, 2008). To date, numerous studies have investigated the often complex life cycles of parasites and the physiological mechanisms involved in hosts manipulation. Far less is known on the impacts of environmental stressors on parasites success and, in turn, on the impacts of parasites on food webs and ecosystem processes (Moore *et al.*, 2002; Labaude et al., 2015a).

 In all ecosystems, parasites' hosts are simultaneously exposed to several environmental stressors, either from natural or anthropic origins, and data on the interactive impacts of these stressors and parasites are clearly lacking. Among the different stressors that hosts might be exposed to, resources availability is certainly one of the most common (Power, 1992). Several studies have investigated the impact of resources quantity on host-parasite interactions (Wright & Gompper, 2005; Civitello *et al.*, 2015). Some authors also explained current increases in parasitic and infectious diseases by increased nutrient loading in the environment (Johnson *et al.* 2010). Yet, in numerous ecosystems, resource quality has been shown as far much important than resource quantity for understanding organisms' physiology, food web structure, and ecosystem functioning (Elser *et al.*, 1998; Frost & Elser, 2002; Marcarelli *et al.* 2011). Although investigating resources quality parameters instead of quantifying resources availability might be essential for understanding host-parasite interactions, data on this topic remain extremely scarce.

 Several parameters are generally considered for describing resources quality. Resources elemental content, generally expressed as resources carbon (C): nitrogen (N): phosphorus (P) ratios, have regularly been shown to control consumers' life history traits, especially for

 herbivorous (Elser *et al.*, 2001, Schade *et al.*, 2003) or detritivorous (Danger *et al.*, 2013, González *et al.*, 2014, Fuller *et al.*, 2015) species. This approach, called Ecological Stoichiometry (Sterner & Elser, 2002), specifically investigates the consequences of elemental imbalances between consumers' requirements and elements available in resources. Since parasites rely on their hosts for resource acquisition, optimal elemental composition of resources for an infected host might fulfill both parasites requirements but also host minimal requirements for staying alive (Aalto *et al.*, 2015 ; Bernot & Poulain, 2018). In a recent opinion paper, Bernot & Poulain (2018) highlighted the interest of ecological stoichiometry as a pertinent framework for studying feedbacks of parasites on the environment as well as the effect of the environment on parasites and diseases. Indeed, elemental requirements of infected hosts might thus largely differ from those of non-parasitized ones (Frost *et al.*, 2008a), and, in turn, greatly alter the response of infected organisms to resources elemental quality (Frost *et al.*, 2008b; Aalto & Pulkkinen, 2013). For example, Frost *et al.* (2008b) showed that *Daphnia* fed with phosphorus rich resources had higher infection rates and parasite loads than those fed with phosphorus-poor resources, this observation being explained by a phosphorus-limitation of parasites' growth rates. Beyond a quantity aspect, an indicator of resources quality is their lipid profiles, especially resource contents in polyunsaturated fatty acids (Arts *et al.*, 2009). Indeed, some of these fatty acids are considered as essential for consumers, since they cannot be synthesized or at least not in sufficient amounts to fulfill the requirements of consumers (Arts *et al.*, 2009). Availability of long chain PUFA in resources have been regularly reported as controlling consumers' growth and/or reproduction, especially in aquatic ecosystems where they can mainly be found in certain algal taxa (e.g. diatoms) or some protozoans (Müller-Navarra *et al.*, 2004; Bec *et al.*, 2006). Moreover some long chain PUFA such arachidonic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid serve as biosynthetic precursor of eicosanoids known to be signalling molecules in many physiological processes, mostly related to reproduction and immunity (Stanley-Samuelason

 2006). This lead Schlotz et al (2013) to hypothesize that a high dietary supply with PUFA may result in more pronounced immune response to the parasite resulting in increased resistance to infection.

 Among the numerous invertebrate species inhabiting freshwater ecosystems, the crustacean *Gammarus pulex* (Crustacea: Amphipoda) represents an interesting biological model for studying responses of host-parasite interactions to changes in resources quality. This species is generally found in small headwater streams, and is particularly sensitive to environmental changes (Maltby *et al.*, 2002). It also constitutes a host for many parasitic species ranging from bacteria to macro-parasites such as helminths (Grabner, 2017). In particular, several acanthocephalan species, such as *Pomphorhynchus laevis,* use gammarids as intermediate hosts (Crompton & Nickol, 1985; Kennedy, 2006). These trophically- transmitted parasites are well-known for inducing phenotypic modifications in their intermediate hosts, making them more vulnerable to predation by definitive host species (Bakker *et al.*, 1997). By this way, parasites increase the probability of completing their life cycle. A few studies have shown that the presence of acanthocephalan parasites might alter the response of gammarids to contaminant exposure, increasing their mortality (Brown & Pascoe, 1989) and decreasing their antitoxic defenses (Marcogliese & Pietrock, 2011; Gismondi *et al.*, 2012). More recent studies showed that increased temperature and parasite infection additively reduced gammarids leaf litter consumption (Labaude *et al.*, 2017a). Finally, the only study to our knowledge starting to investigate the effect of resources quality was carried out by Labaude *et al.* (2015b), testing the influence of diet protein content on the parasite load and on host behavioral modifications. Their results mainly showed that healthier, well fed-gammarids exhibited higher infection intensity without any significant alteration of hosts' behaviors.

 Following the river continuum concept (RCC; Vannote *et al.*, 1980), headwater streams, where can be found the detritivorous invertebrate *G. pulex*, are generally described as small

 streams flowing in forested areas (or at least with a large canopy cover), at least in temperate watersheds from the northern hemisphere. Their functioning is generally related to allochthonous inputs of terrestrial detritus (leaf litter, dead wood, soil leachates…), these detritus representing the main energy and nutrient source at the basis of food webs. Yet, due to their small size and the anthropised surfaces they drain, these streams have long been physically and chemically altered, especially when they are situated in agricultural contexts (Moore & Palmer, 2005). In particular, headwater streams that are generally naturally quite oligotrophic can receive large inputs of nutrients, such as nitrogen and/or phosphorus (Carpenter *et al.*, 1998; Sims *et al.*, 1998; Peterson *et al.*, 2001). Moreover, a large proportion of these streams have been or are currently submitted to morphological changes as well as a degradation of the riparian corridors (Naiman *et al*., 2010), inducing in turn an increase in instream algal production and a reduction of the relative contribution of allochthonous detritus inputs in comparison with autochthonous primary production (Feio *et al.*, 2010). Thus, headwater stream perturbations should lead to higher elemental quality of resources for detritivorous invertebrates, microbial decomposers being able to immobilize dissolved nutrients in their biomass (Cross *et al.*, 2003), but also permit to these invertebrates to access higher amounts of algae, these ones furnishing essential compounds for detritivores' growth and survival (Crenier *et al.*, 2017; Rollin *et al.*, 2018).

 In this study, we tested the effects of resources quality on the infection rate, parasite load, hosts' survival, and parasite-mediated behavioral traits presumably implied in trophic transmission (refuge use, geotaxis and locomotor activity of the surviving amphipods). Three resources were used for feeding individually *G. pulex* experimentally infected or not by the acanthocephalan species *P. laevis*: microbially-conditioned leaf litter without phosphorus input (standard resource, S), microbially-conditioned leaf litter enriched in phosphorus (P), and microbially-conditioned leaf litter without phosphorus input but complemented with additional inputs of benthic diatoms rich in both phosphorus and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA,

 D). As reported in Rollin *et al*. (2018), we assumed that addition of algal resources to low quality leaf litter will have a greater impact than phosphorus-enriched leaf litter, algae bringing both phosphorus and essential fatty acids. We hypothesized that higher quality resources, regardless of the infection status, will reduce *G. pulex* mortality (hypothesis 1) and increase their growth (hypothesis 2). Higher quality resources, by increasing the energetic status of hosts, is expected to increase parasite load (hypothesis 3), modify behavior of hosts (hypothesis 4) and increase parasite impacts on hosts' behavioral changes (hypothesis 5).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling and maintenance

 Sycamore maple (*Acer pseudoplatanus*, Linnaeus) leaf litter was collected at abscission from the riparian zone of a second-order forested stream located upstream of any direct anthropogenic pollution (La Maix stream, Vosges Mountains, north-eastern France; 7°03′14·2″E; 48°29′24 9″N). This litter is commonly used in our experiments (see Danger *et al.*, 2013; Arce-Funck *et al.*, 2016; Rollin *et al.*, 2017) because it is uncontaminated and its physico-chemical characteristics are well described. Sycamore maple litter was dried in the laboratory and stored at room temperature until use.

 Water was collected in La Mance stream (6°02′24·4″E; 49°05′11 7″N), another headwater forested stream presenting similar water physico-chemical characteristics compared to *G. pulex* native stream ones (see below): $pH = 8.2$, conductivity = 580 μ S cm⁻¹, acid neutralizing 178 capacity (ANC) = 4720 µeq L⁻¹, Cl⁻ = 13.3 mg L⁻¹, NO₃⁻ = 24.4 mg L⁻¹, SO₄²⁻ = 31.7 mg L⁻¹, $PO_4^{3-} < 0.1$ mg L⁻¹, Na⁺ = 6.5 mg L⁻¹, Ca²⁺ = 100 mg L⁻¹, K⁺ = 0.82 mg L⁻¹, and Mg²⁺ = 5.76 180 mg L^{-1} .

 Specimens of *G. pulex* were sampled in April 2015 by kick-sampling technique in a small tributary of the Suzon river (Burgundy, eastern France; 47°24'12.6"N ; 4°52'058.2"E), an unpolluted forested stream. Over the past 20 years, the acanthocephalan parasite *P. laevis* was

 never found in this stream, the *G. pulex* population in place is thus naïve for this parasite (see Labaude *et al.*, 2015b). During the sampling, only males *G. pulex* were kept because the experimental parasite infection was proved to be more efficient in males than in females (Franceschi *et al.*, 2008). No noticeable infected gammarids were found. The animals were placed in plastic coolers and rapidly transported to the laboratory, where they were sorted to keep only one homogenous size. Individuals were kept in groups of 500 organisms in aerated aquaria (37 x 55 x 10 cm) filled with La Mance water and acclimatized to laboratory 191 conditions (15 $^{\circ}$ C \pm 1; 12:12 h light:dark cycle) for 10 days prior to experimental infections. Sycamore maple leaves were provided *ad libitum* as food resource.

 Parasite eggs were collected from five naturally-parasitized chubs (*Squalius cephalus*) caught in the Vouges river (Burgundy, eastern France, 47°9'34.36" N; 5°9'2.50" E). Fish were anaesthetized, killed and dissected within 24 h after collection. Female parasites were immediately taken from the intestines of the fish. Parasite eggs were obtained after dissection of ten *P. laevis* gravid females. The taxonomy of parasites was verified by genetic analyses as described in Franceschi *et al.* (2008). Sampled eggs were pooled in La Mance water to obtain a concentrated but homogenous solution (1 mL). The number of eggs by microliter was counted using a microscope on ten replicates.

2.2. Experimental design

203 Six combinations were studied in a fully balanced 3×3 factorial design (Fig. 1). Three resource qualities (see 2.3.) were tested cross wise with the three parasite conditions related to laboratory infestation procedure and then egg exposure/infection status.

 The three tested resources qualities were i) conditioned maple leaves without P addition (Standard litter, S, low quality treatment) or ii) with P addition (P, medium quality treatment) 208 or iii) with diatom supplementation using agarose pellets (D; in this case P and PUFA was supplied by diatoms; high quality treatment; see Rollin *et al.*, 2018).

 The three parasite conditions were: a) unexposed gammarids (Ue), b) exposed but uninfected gammarids (Ui), and c) exposed and successfully infected gammarids (I). For statistical analysis Ui and I conditions were also regrouped as exposed condition (E) and then compared to Ue.

-
-

2.3. Resource treatments

 Food resources were prepared in large quantities following the procedure described in Rollin *et al*. (2018).

2.3.1. Leaf phosphorus manipulation

 To have marked differences in stoichiometric leaves qualities, we selected maple leaves as they contain initially low phosphorus content and exhibit a quite low carbon quality (Hladyz *et al.*, 2009). Dried maple leaves were soaked for a few minutes in deionized water, and 21,000 discs (20 mm in diameter) were then cut using a disc borer while avoiding the 224 main veins. These discs were placed by 200 in fine mesh size bags (mesh: 200 µm; 15 x 25 cm) to avoid macroinvertebrate access. Bags were transferred in La Maix stream during 7 days for conditioning by microorganisms such as hyphomycetes and bacteria.

 In the laboratory, discs were then rinsed using La Maix water and randomly introduced into 105 Erlenmeyer flasks (500 mL, 200 discs per flask). Then, we added 200 mL of sterile medium deprived from phosphorus in each flask. This treatment served as the standard food treatment (low resource quality, S). In 35 randomly selected flasks, we introduced 4.64 mL of 231 KH₂P0₄ at 8.71 g phosphorus. L⁻¹, which served as the phosphorus implemented food treatment (high quality resource 1, P; protocol fully described in Danger *et al.*, 2013). Discs were incubated in the flasks for 4 days in the dark at 14°C on an orbital shaker, then sorted, rinsed with deionized water, and stored at –20°C until use.

2.3.2 Supplementation of diatoms in agarose pellets

 An axenic strain of the diatom *Nitzschia palea* (reference CPCC 160; high amount of EPA) was grown during 2.5 weeks in four 5 L-Erlenmeyer flasks filled with 4 L of Combo medium (Kilham *et al.*, 1998). This culture was maintained at 20°C in a temperature-241 controlled chamber (50 µE, light/dark 16:8) under continuous shaking (150 rpm). Cells were harvested by centrifugation (4,000 g, 10 minutes) at the end of the exponential growth phase (2.5 weeks) and were freeze-dried and stored at −20°C until use.

 Food source pellets were prepared using low gelling temperature agarose (Sigma A9414) as a nutrient-free matrix, as fully described in Crenier et al. (2017). Briefly, agarose was dissolved in glass bottles containing demineralized water (2%), heated in a microwave, 247 and placed in an agitated thermostatic water bath at 38°C. Risk of deterioration of fatty acids due to excessive temperature was limited due to the low gelling point of agarose and the low temperature applied. A mixture of 25 mg of diatoms and 1.6 ml of agarose solution was introduced in a 2 ml Eppendorf® tube (to obtain a 50% carbon supply), homogenized using a vortex and placed in the thermostatic bath until use to avoid agarose gelling. The pellets were formed by injecting the homogenate in the holes of a Plexiglas® mold composed of 40 holes 253 (5 mm diameter x 2 mm high: 39.27 mm^3). The pellets were unmolded after a few minutes 254 and were kept at -20° C in Petri dishes until use. Pellets were provided along with unmanipulated leaves (S) to constitute a second high quality treatment (D), which was the higher resource quality treatment. These pellets supplied EPA, phosphorus, and other elements potentially of interest for organisms' development.

2.3.3. Resource content analysis

 Four batches of 10 frozen leaf discs per treatment (S, P, see *2.3.1.*), and four agarose pellets were freeze-dried, ground, and weighed to the nearest 0.001 mg on a microbalance (Perkin Elmer AD6 Autobalance, Perkin Elmer Corp., USA). A CHN elemental analyzer (Carlo Erba NA2100, Thermo Quest CE International, Milan, Italy) was used to quantify 264 carbon and nitrogen contents in resources. Leaves and pellets were burned at 550 °C for 12 h, 265 solubilized in 10 µl of suprapure $HNO₃$ and 5 mL of nanopure water were added. Phosphorus content was quantified by spectrophotometry.

 Pooled resource material (leaves, diatoms; n = 5) were used to perform fatty acid analyses. According to the method proposed by Folch *et al.* (1957), a chloroform/methanol solution was two times used to extract lipids. Then, fatty acids were converted into fatty acids methyl-esters (FAMEs) by acid catalyzed transesterification and analyzed on an Agilent TechnologiesTM 6 850 gas chromatograph. FAMEs were identified by comparing retention 272 times with those obtained from Supelco $^{\circledR}$ and laboratory standards, and quantified against internal standards (13:0).

-
-

2.4. Infection procedure of *G. pulex*

 Experimental infections were performed following the procedure described in Franceschi *et al.* (2008) and Labaude *et al.* (2015b). First, gammarids were starved during 24h in 278 individual 60 ml glass dishes (15° C \pm 1; 12:12 h light:dark cycle) to avoid cannibalism and maximize gammarids feeding during infection procedure. Second, gammarids were pooled by 280 two in glass dishes (6 cm diameter) containing 50 mL of La Mance water at $15 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. Then, a conditioned maple leaf disk (S, see 2.3.1.), on which 100 *P. laevis* eggs were previously deposited, was provided in each dish. Gammarids were allowed to feed on these disks for 48 h, thus initiating the infection procedure, i.e. *G. pulex* exposure (Exposed, E). In the same experimental conditions, a control group, consisting of unexposed organisms (S leaf disc but without parasite eggs, Ue), was performed to control for potential confounding effects of infection procedure on gammarid endpoints. Overall, 1014 gammarids were exposed to parasite eggs and 330 were unexposed in the same conditions.

 After this infection step, living gammarids were rinsed and were placed individually in new dishes in the same experimental conditions*.* Then, the three resource quality treatments were randomly allocated for exposed and unexposed gammarids (338 and 110 gammarids per food quality treatment, respectively; see table 1). Dead organisms (n=62) and gammarids naturally infected by other parasite species (n=11) during the infection procedure were removed from the analyses (differences between the two first column in Table 1).

2.5. Experimental setup

 After the infection period, male gammarids were photographed for initial size measurement at the beginning of the experiment (see 2.6.1.; ANOVA; no significant size 298 differences between treatments were observed; Mean size: 10.39 ± 0.11 mm; p > 0.05). The 299 experiment was conducted for 110 days in a natural unpolluted water at 15 ± 1 °C under a 12:12 h light:dark cycle, which represents conditions close to gammarids optimum for growth and survival. Each replicate consisted of a 60-mL glass dish containing 50 mL of La Mance water, a male *G. pulex* (unexposed or exposed to parasite eggs, and then successfully infected or not), and the corresponding food resource (1 disc of maple leaf with or without phosphorus addition and with or without agar pellet). To limit chemical variations and to ensure that *G. pulex* were fed *ad libitum*, water and food resources were renewed weekly.

 Six weeks after the first infected *G. pulex* was observed (day 42), all dead gammarids were dissected under a stereomicroscope to verify their infection status. Gammarids in which parasites failed to develop are referred as uninfected (Ui) in the following parts. After 110 days, gammarids were photographed prior to the behavioral assays. This 110-day period appeared to be a good compromise between measuring survival for a long enough time and getting enough animals to perform behavioral tests. After behavioral assays, gammarids were sacrificed and dissected under a stereomicroscope to determine their infection status (infected or uninfected) and their parasite load.

2.6. Endpoints

2.6.1. Gammarids survival

 The number of dead gammarids was recorded daily. The date of death of each individual was noted and dead gammarids were immediately photographed for size assessment (see 2.6.2.) and dissected to assess the presence and the number of parasites (see 2.6.4.).

2.6.2. Gammarids size and growth rate

 Gammarids were photographed under a stereomicroscope at the day they were found dead or at the day 110 (end of the experiment). The height of their fourth coxal plate was measured using SigmaScan Image Analysis Version 5.000 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). This metric is a reliable proxy for gammarids size (Bollache *et al.*, 2000) and is widely used. To determine gammarids body length (mm), we used the allometric relation established by Labaude (2016) 327 for males *G. pulex* coming from the same sampling site [body length (mm) = $4.33 \times$ fourth 328 coxal plate length (mm); $R^2 = 0.61$, p < 0.0001].

329 Individuals growth rates $(mm.mm.day⁻¹)$ were calculated by dividing the difference between the final size and the initial size by the initial size multiplied by the number of days between the two measures.

2.6.3. Behavioral assays

 The day after the end of the experiment, we assessed shelter use of each living gammarids. The second day, we measured geotaxis of the same gammarids, followed the third day by locomotor activity. For all of behavioral assays, blind recordings were ensured by labelling testing device with a number giving no idea about the treatment to which gammarids were belonging.

 The sheltering behavior of individual gammarids was recorded by quantifying refuge use according to Dianne *et al.* (2014). Sheltering behavior assays were performed on 75 unexposed *G. pulex* (Ue; 15, 31 and 29 for S, P, and D resource treatments, respectively) and 20 infected *G. pulex* (I; 1, 6, and 13 for S, P and D, respectively). Gammarids were 343 individually placed in boxes (10.5 \times 16 cm) filled with 250 mL of La Mance water. At one extremity, each box was containing an opaque refuge, consisting of half a saucer terracotta 345 pot $(8.5 \text{ cm of diameter})$ with a 1 cm² hole in the convex part. After 5 minutes of acclimatization, the gammarid position was recorded every 3 min during 1 h and scored as 1 when outside or 0 when inside the refuge. The cumulated score of shelter use therefore ranged from 0 (always inside the refuge) to 20 (always outside) for each individual.

 Geotaxis was estimated as the average vertical position of individuals in the water column according to Labaude *et al.* (2017b). In total, geotaxis assays were performed on 75 unexposed *G. pulex* (Ue; 15, 31 and 29 for S, P and D resource treatment, respectively) and 20 infected *G. pulex* (I; 1, 6 and 13 for S, P and D, respectively). After 110 days of exposure, gammarids were individually placed in a 500 ml-graduated cylinders (high: 35 cm; diameter: 6 cm) vertically divided in five zones of equal height, filled with 250 mL of La Mance water. In order to allow gammarids to choose their position without having to swim, the inner walls of the cylinders were covered with nylon mesh, making it possible to cling. This aspect was important since the parasite is able to alter both the swimming and the clinging behaviors of gammarids (Bauer *et al.*, 2005). Each cylinder was placed at 15°C in a temperature-controlled chamber in which the light came only horizontally to avoid any confounding phototactic reaction. After 3 minutes of acclimatization, the position of gammarids was recorded every 15 s for 5 min and scored from 1 (bottom) to 5 (top compartment). The cumulated geotaxis score therefore ranged from 20 (always in the bottom zone) to 100 (always in the top zone) for each individual.

 The locomotor activity was assessed following the method used by Sornom *et al.* (2010). This method usually measures the proportion of time spent moving. In total, locomotor activity assays were performed on 57 unexposed *G. pulex* (Ue; 14, 22 and 21 for S, P and D resource treatment, respectively) and 13 infected *G. pulex* (I; 1, 6 and 6 for S, P and D, respectively). We placed the gammarids individually in 8 cm diameter Petri dishes open to the air and filled with La Mance water. Petri dishes were then placed in a hermetic box linked to a digital video camera. After 5 minutes of acclimatization, individuals' behavior was video- recorded under red light at 15 frames per second for 5 min. Locomotor activity was scored as 372 the proportion of time swimming. Below 15 mm.s⁻¹, moving gammarids were considered crawling rather than swimming.

-
-

2.6.4. Prevalence and parasite load

 All gammarids were dissected under stereomicroscope (×6 to ×40 magnification) either the day individuals were found dead or at the end of the experiment. This step permitted to remove gammarids infected by other parasites than *P. laevis*, define the status of exposed *G. pulex* (infected, uninfected), the parasite load (number of parasites in one *G. pulex*) and the prevalence of parasite (% of infected *G. pulex*) in each experimental condition.

2.7. Statistical analyses

 The first infected gammarid was observed at day 47. Thus, two datasets were used for survival rate and parasite load statistical analysis to integrate or not the delay of infection in the analysis (from day 0 to 110 *vs* from day 47 to 110). Indeed, before 47 days of experiment, it was not always possible to identify if gammarids were infested or not, due to the too low development of parasites. For other endpoints, only the results obtained at the end of the experiment were considered.

 Statistical analyses were conducted using R software (R Core Team, 2016) to the 5% level of significance. Survival data were analyzed by Kaplan-Meier survival curves and log- rank tests were performed to compare curves and determine the effects of infection status and resource quality on *G. pulex* survival rate throughout the experiment: from day 0 to 110 [difference between exposed and unexposed] and from day 47 to 110 [difference between infected and uninfected. In the last case, mortality before days 47 (first infection detected) was not considered]. As the number of infected gammarids was very low, log-rank tests were also performed (day 47 to 110) by pooling infected and uninfected gammarids data or data of gammarids fed on S, P and D resources to better assess the global effect of food resource or effect of infection status, respectively. Bonferroni adjustments were performed on log-rank tests to determine effects of resource quality and infection status on survival.

 Due to the extremely low survival in infected-S treatment (n=1), only main effects were testable for gammarids growth size and behavioral responses. Influence of food quality and infection status on *G. pulex* growth was tested using Kruskal-Wallis tests, and post hoc tests were performed on main effects (Mann-Whitney U-test and Bonferroni adjustment).

 A test for proportion comparison permitting the calculation of 95% confidence intervals was used to assess the effect of resource quality on the proportion of infected gammarids. Significance between differences were assessed using confidence intervals. A multiple Poisson regression model was used to investigate the effect of resource quality on individual parasite load. In the analyses, the S resource treatment was considered as reference levels.

 Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to assess the effect of resource quality on each behavior score (geotaxis, shelter use, locomotor activity). Cliff's deltas (Cliff, 1996) were calculated to evaluate the behavior score differences of infected (I) and unexposed (Ue) organisms fed with the different resource qualities (S, P, D). Then, effect sizes for each score between unexposed (Ue) and infected (I) organisms were also compared for P and D resource qualities (not for S due to the too low number of surviving organisms). Cliff's delta, robust to non-normally distributed data, is a scale-less parameter ranging from -1 to 1. It is used to represent the size of the effect and the direction of this effect size difference. Significance between differences was assessed using confidence intervals. The R-package 'orddom' (version 3.1) was used to calculate Cliff's deltas medians and 95% confidence intervals.

- **3. Results**
- **3.1. Resource compositions**

424 Low resource quality leaves (S) contained 0.36 ± 0.08 mg phosphorus.g⁻¹ and 425 manipulated leaves (P) contained 1.24 ± 0.16 mg phosphorus.g⁻¹. The dominant fatty acids in conditioned leaves (S or P) were palmitic acid (16:0), oleic acid (18:1ω9), linoleic acid 427 (18:2ω6), and α-linolenic acid (18:3ω3), whereas long chain PUFAs (number of $Cs \ge 20$) were totally lacking from these resources.

429 Agarose pellets contained 1.95 ± 0.58 mg phosphorus.g⁻¹. In diatoms the major fatty acids were palmitic acid (16:0), palmitoleic acid (16:1ω7), and EPA (20:5ω3). As mentioned in Rollin *et al*. (2018), these compounds accounted for more than 65% of total fatty acids 432 (16:0 = 28.2%, 16:1ω7 = 21%, and 20:5ω3 = 16.9%). Docosahexaenoic acid (22:6ω3) represented 2.2% of diatoms fatty acids.

-
- **3.2.** *G. pulex* **survival**

 After 47 days of exposure (day of the first observed parasite), the survival of gammarids was high, ranging from 81.7 % in exposed (E; Ui+I)-P treatment to 96 % in Ue-D treatment (Table 1). At 110 days, survival rates were low, ranging between 15.4 % in Ue-S treatment and 33.9 % in E-D treatment. The highest *G. pulex* survival was observed when organisms fed on the highest resource qualities (D>P>S), regardless of the infection status (Table 1).

 The Log-rank global comparison performed on *G. pulex* exposed or not to *P. laevi*s eggs (Fig 2a., day 0 to 110) and fed on one of the three resource qualities reports highly significant 443 differences in gammarid survival rates (Chi² = 30.9, d.f. = 5, p = 9.68 x 10⁻⁶). Two by two log- rank tests with Bonferroni adjustment showed that survival in E-D and Ue-D treatments (highest quality resource) was significantly higher than survival in E-S and Ue-S treatments 446 (the lower quality resource) [E-D *vs* E-S: Chi² = 21.6, d.f. = 1, p = 3.82 x 10⁻⁶; E-D *vs* Ue-S: 447 Chi² = 9, d.f. = 1, p = 0.0027; Ue-D *vs* Ue-S: Chi² = 11, d.f. = 1, p = 9 x 10⁻⁴; Ue-D *vs* E-S: 448 Chi² = 17.3, d.f. = 1, p = 3.21 x 10⁻⁵]. P resource led to intermediate but not significantly different survival.

 Among exposed gammarids, between day 47 and day 110, the survival rate ranged from 10 % in I-S treatment to 41.8% in Ui-D treatment. Infected gammarids exhibited a significantly lower survival than unexposed and uninfected organisms (S: 10 % *vs* 17 and 24.9%; S: 18.4 % *vs* 33 and 36.7%; S: 22.2 % *vs* 30.6 and 41.8%; Table 1).

 The Log-rank global comparison performed on *G. pulex* infected or not by *P. laevi*s (Fig 2b, day 47 to 110) fed with one of the three resource qualities also reports highly significant 456 differences in gammarid survival rates (Chi2 = 32.08, d.f. = 5, p = 4.09 x 10⁻⁶). Two by two log-rank test with Bonferroni adjustment showed that survival in Ui-S treatment was 458 significantly lower than survival in Ui-P and Ui-D treatments (Ui-S *vs* Ui-P: Chi² = 9.4, d.f. = 459 1, p = 0.0022; Ui-S *vs* Ui-D: Chi² = 20.1, d.f. = 1, p = 7.33 x 10⁻⁶) and that Ui-D treatment 460 had significantly higher survival than I-P and I-D treatments (Ui-D vs I-P : Chi² = 12.2, d.f. = 461 1, $p = 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$; Ui-D *vs* I-D: Chi² = 8, d.f. = 1, $p = 4.64 \times 10^{-3}$). No other differences were found.

 The effect of food resource was clearly significant, but due to a low infected gammarids number, the effect of infection was not observed using the 2 by 2 log-rank. When log-rank was performed on pooled infection status data (infected and uninfected; day 47 to 110), a 466 significant global effect of food treatment was observed (Chi² = 16.9, d.f. = 2, p = 2.19 x 10⁻⁴)

467 with gammarid fed with S resource showing significant lower survival compared to those fed with P (Chi² = 6.9, d.f. = 1, p = 0.00865) and D resources (Chi² = 16.4, d.f. = 1, p = 5.25 x 10⁻ 468 469 $\frac{5}{2}$). In the same way, when log-rank tests were performed on pooled food resource data (S, P) 470 and D; day 47 to 110), a significant global effect of infection status was reported (Chi² = 10.9, 471 d.f. $= 2$, $p = 0.00433$, with uninfected gammarids showing significantly higher survival 472 compared to those infected by *P. laevis* (Chi² = 9.4, d.f. = 1, p = 2.155 x 10⁻³). Thus, survival 473 was influenced by both resource quality and infection status, but no interactive effect was 474 observed. No global survival differences were reported between unexposed and uninfected 475 gammarids (Chi² = 3.4, d.f. = 1, p = 0.0638) showing the absence of infestation procedure 476 impact.

477

478 **3.3.** *G. pulex* **growth**

479 Resource quality had a significant effect on *G. pulex* growth (Kruskal-Wallis; Chi² = 480 140.69, d.f. = 2, p = 2.2 x 10⁻¹⁶; Fig. 3). Two by two Mann Whitney U-test with Bonferroni 481 adjustment revealed that size growth of *G. pulex* fed with D resource was significantly higher 482 than that of gammarids fed with P and S resources (D *vs* P: Chi² = 2343.5, d.f. = 1, p = 2.2 x 483 10⁻¹⁶; D *vs* S: Chi² = 1398, d.f. = 1, p = 2.2 x 10⁻¹⁶) and that the growth of *G. pulex* fed with P 484 resource was significantly higher than that of gammarids fed with S resource (Chi² = 2680, 485 d.f. = 1, $p = 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$). On average, compared to S treatment, gammarids growth was 486 increased by 17 and 56.4 % in individuals fed with P and D resource, respectively.

487 Infection status also had a significant effect on *G. pulex* growth (Kruskal-Wallis, 488 resource quality: Chi² = 9.66, d.f. = 2, p = 0.008). The growth of infected gammarids was 489 similar regardless of the resource quality. Two by two Mann Whitney U-test with Bonferroni 490 adjustment revealed that the growth of *G. pulex* infected by *P. laevis* was significantly lower 491 than that of uninfected gammarids (I *vs* Ui: Chi² = 3703, d.f. = 1, p = 0.002). On average,

compared to uninfected gammarids, size growth of infected gammarids was reduced by 20.3

%.

-
-

3.4. Prevalence and parasite load

 G. pulex infection success by *P. laevis* was significantly affected by resource quality, 497 both when considering all gammarids (dead and alive) taken after 47 days (Chi² = 47.29, d.f. 498 = 2, p = 5.38 x 10^{-11} , Fig. 4a) and those still alive at the final date (110 days; Chi² = 6.21, d.f. $499 = 2$, $p = 0.045$, Fig. 4b), the number of infected *G. pulex* increasing while increasing resource quality.

 Among the gammarids exposed to *P. laevis* eggs, 2.7 % [1.2-5.2], 11.4 % [8.2-14.4] and 20.1 % [15.8-25.0] of *G. pulex* (mean % [95% confidence interval]) were infected and alive after 47 days of feeding on S, P, and D resources, respectively (n=10, 38, and 63; Table 1). Based on the 95% confidence intervals, it can be deduced that infection success significantly differed between the three resource qualities. At 110 days, only 1.7 % [0.04-8.5], 7.2 % [2.6-15] and 20.1 % [7.1-21.4] of gammarids, representing 1, 7 and 14 individuals, were alive and infected in S, P and D treatment, respectively (Table 1).

 Among infected *G. pulex*, amphipods fed with D resource had a significantly higher *P. laevis* load when considering all individuals, dead or not (day 47 to 110; S as reference level; $p = 2.73 \times 10^{-13}$, Fig. 4c), or only the surviving ones (day 110; S as reference level; p = 0.012, Fig. 4d). P resource also significantly increased *P. laevis* load in individual gammarid but only when all individuals, dead or not, were considered (day 47 to 110; S as reference level; p 513 = 4.13 x 10⁻⁶, Fig. 4c). Considering all individuals, the relative proportion of infected gammarids with only one *P. laevis* was reduced by a factor of 1.4 between S and P resource and by a factor of 1.9 between S and D resources (by 2 and by 2.6 at final time, but only one organism was present in infected-S treatment).

3.5. Behavior

 Resource quality had a marginally significant effect on *G. pulex* geotaxis score (Kruskal-520 Wallis; Chi² = 6.15, d.f. = 2, p = 0.046; Fig. 5a), but not on shelter use (Chi² = 1.53, d.f. = 2, p 521 = 0.47; Fig. 5b) or locomotor activity (Chi² = 2.69, d.f. = 2, p = 0.26; Fig. 5c). Two by two Mann Whitney U-test with Bonferroni adjustment showed that mean geotaxis scores among resource treatments were similar (mean values of 28.9, 36.8, and 38.6 for S, P, and D, respectively), while Cliff's delta revealed a significantly higher geotaxis score in Ue-D and Ue-P compared to Ue-S treatment (Fig. 6a.). Unexposed gammarids fed on higher quality resource were significantly higher in the water column.

 Compared to unexposed *G. pulex*, those infected with *P. laevis* showed a significantly 528 higher geotaxis score (Mann-Whitney; $U = 338$, d.f. = 1, $p = 1.652 \times 10^{-4}$; in mean 32.7 *vs* 529 53.6; Fig. 5a), a significantly higher shelter use score (Mann-Whitney; U = 505.5, d.f. = 1, p = 0.0244; in mean 6.7 *vs* 10.3; Fig. 5b), but no differences were reported for locomotor activity (Mann-Whitney; U = 340, d.f. = 1, p = 0.6504; in mean 0.364 *vs* 0.378; Fig. 5b). Infected gammarids thus tended to be higher in the water column and more often outside of the refuge. However, when considering Cliff's delta approach, no significant differences were reported between treatments.

4. Discussion

 To date, only few studies evaluated the effect of stoichiometric quality of the resource, excluding confounding factors (e.g. changes in microbial biomass, alteration of detrital carbon quality), on the survival and life history traits of a detritivorous species (Danger *et al.*, 2013; Arce-Funck *et al.*, 2016; Rollin *et al.*, 2018). Similarly, studies on the effect of stoichiometric quality of the resource on parasitic infection are scarce and did not concerned detritivorous hosts (Frost and Elser, 2008, Schlotz *et al.*, 2013), Stephens *et al.*, 2016).

 In the present study, comparison of S (microbial conditioned maple leaves) and P (phosphorus-manipulated conditioned maple leaves) resources treatment allowed investigating stoichiometric effects due to the higher phosphorus content in food resource (Danger *et al.*, 2013). In addition, a supplementation of leaf litter with diatom pellets (D) was expected to greatly increase resource quality for consumers. Indeed, diatoms are easier to assimilate than detritus, they show high elemental quality (higher phosphorus contents than leaf litter), and contains high EPA concentration (Crenier *et al.*, 2017).

 Resource quality was the main driver of *G. pulex* survival rates, infected or not by *P. laevis*. Higher survival was related to higher quality of resources consumed by *G. pulex* (S<P<D). Higher growth rates were also reported for *G. pulex* fed on higher resource quality. These results are in accordance with several studies reporting a beneficial effect on aquatic organisms' survival and growth rate of resources containing high phosphorus or EPA rates (*Daphnia*: Makino *et al.*, 2002; Masclaux *et al.*, 2009; Schlotz *et al.*, 2012; Prater *et al*., 2016; *Oncorhynchus mykiss*: Lellis *et al.*, 2004; *Gammarus*: Kraufvelin *et al.*, 2006; Danger *et al.*, 2013; Gergs *et al.*, 2014; Crenier *et al.*, 2017; Rollin *et al.*, 2017). According to Rollin *et al*. (2018), higher *G. pulex* growth rate when fed on higher resource quality was linked to a higher molt frequency. This is in accordance with the growth rate hypothesis stating that growth requires high phosphorus amounts, phosphorus being involved in the synthesis of phosphorus-rich RNA necessary for ensuring organisms' growth (Elser *et al.*, 2003). Beneficial effect of PUFA on gammarids' growth might be due to their inability to maintain their PUFA content and/or to synthesize these essential compounds (Crenier *et al.*, 2017).

 In the present study, *G. pulex* infected by *P. laevis* showed lower survival and lower growth rates than those unexposed and uninfected. These results are in accordance with previous studies (Lafferty *et al.*, 2008; Aalto & Pulkkinen, 2013; Labaude *et al.*, 2015b; Labaude, 2016). Growth reduction could be related to the energetic costs of harboring a parasite. Crustaceans infected by acanthocephalan parasites showed significant modifications in their energetic reserves (Plaistow *et al.*, 2001; Gismondi *et al.*, 2012; Caddigan *et al.*, 2014), and isopods infected by acanthocephalans were reported to allocate about 21% of their energy production to parasite growth, to the detriment of their own reproduction (Lettini & Sukhdeo, 2010).

 Leaving enough resources to their hosts might be a strategy selected in parasites, allowing them to manipulate host behaviors (Maure *et al.*, 2013). According to this hypothesis, when the host condition is lowered, a lower parasite exploitation is expected. In the present study both low resource quality (S) and infection with *P. laevis* significantly reduced *G. pulex* survival, but no clear interactive effects were identified. Survival was systematically lowered for infected *G. pulex* compared to uninfected organisms whatever the resource quality. In addition, the growth of infected gammarids was similar regardless of the resource quality. Therefore, our results did not confirmed the hypothesis of Maure et al. (2013), and were in accordance with Labaude *et al.* (2015b). Similarly, Frost *et al.* (2008) showed that low phosphorus content in resource increased deleterious effects of bacterial infection on *Daphnia* reproduction.

 One of the important results of our study concerns the impacts food stoichiometric quality had on *G. pulex* infection by the acanthocephalan species *P. laevis*: high resources qualities were associated to higher prevalences. Several studies have shown that high nutrient availabilities in ecosystems tended to increase the prevalence of parasites (Johnson *et al.*, 2010; Altman & Byers, 2014). However, most of these studies mainly considered resources quantity, rarely resources quality. Insertion ici de la dernière partie de ce paragraphe? Our results thus provide an argument for a positive effect of the quality of resources on a parasitic infection. This in in line with results of Frost and Elser (2008), showing that the proportion of *Daphnia* infected, as well as the number of parasites per *Daphnia*, were largely increased when organisms fed on low Carbon/Phosphorus resources, or those of Stephens *et al.* (2016), that showed on amphibian parasites that higher litter quality does not decrease susceptibility to infection. Therefore, in these cases, higher amounts of energy in the host might permit a better development of parasites, rather than allowing the host to increase its energy, allowing better defenses (Arce-Funck *et al.*, 2016, Stephens *et al.*, 2016). Another interesting result is the increase in the parasite load (number of *P. laevis* per gammarid) for organisms fed with the highest quality resources (P and D). This result suggests that the higher energy contents of gammarids fed with high quality resources, already observed in Arce Funck *et al.* (2016), favored several parasites to co-exist in a single individual through reducing competition between parasites. Interestingly, Schlotz et al (2013) also observed that infected daphnids by the parasite *Pasteuria ramosa* showed the highest spore load per animal when fed a high quality food. Even if the number of infected organisms at the end of the experiment preclude strong statistical testing, the number of parasites per gammarid seemed unrelated to higher mortality, the proportion of multi-infected gammarids remaining unchanged throughout the experiment. This result is in accordance with the results of Franceschi *et al.* (2008) who did not observe any effect of infection intensity on host survival. Finally, we showed that diatom supplementation led to significantly higher infection rates than phosphorus-enriched resources alone, suggesting that in ecosystems, consumption of such a high quality resource, bringing both nutrients and PUFA, might strongly impact parasites development, at least more than ingestion of leaf litter conditioned in streams with high nutrient loads. This part is particularly important in a global context of degradation of riparian vegetation and canopy opening in the vicinity of streams (Hladyz *et al.* 2011).

 Despite the important effects of resources quality on host survival and growth, as well as on parasite prevalence and infection intensity, our study did not reveal clear impacts of resources quality on the modulation of parasites-induced behavioral changes of hosts. As expected, after 110 days of experiment, *P. laevis* infection led to changes in hosts' geotaxis and shelter use. Behavioral changes have long been described in this host-parasite interaction (Bakker *et al.*, 1997; Bauer *et al.*, 2005; Lagrue *et al.*, 2007). These changes, according to the manipulation hypothesis (Holmes & Bethel, 1972), predispose manipulated intermediate hosts to be preyed upon by definitive hosts, *i.e.* a fish. Such behavioral changes are mainly related to parasite-induced changes in hosts' neurotransmitters production (Tain *et al.*, 2006, Perrot- Minnot *et al.*, 2014). Maure *et al.* (2013) proposed the "host energetic resource constraint hypothesis" (HERC) stating that a largely overlooked part of infected hosts' energy might be used during the behavioral manipulation of the host. Following this hypothesis, higher resources quality should have permitted the parasite to amplify the behavioral manipulation of its host. The absence of such effect suggests that HERC is not applying in gammarids infected with *P. laevis*. However, this result must be taken cautiously due to the very low statistical power generated by the very low survival of infected gammarids fed with standard food resources. Results of Franceschi *et al.* (2010) suggested a trade-off between *P. laevis* growth rate and behavioral manipulation. However, working on the same host and parasite species, Labaude *et al.* (2015b) did not report any effect of resource quality on parasite growth. Finally, while resources quality had no amplification effect on parasite-mediated host manipulation, resource quality had a small but significant effect on the geotaxis of uninfected gammarids. As proposed by Arce Funck *et al.* (2016), who observed a change in (another) behavior following increased food quality, the observed effect on geotaxis probably relies on a higher energetic content of well-fed organisms.

 To sum-up, this experiment permitted to highlight that higher quality resources, regardless of the infection status, reduced *G. pulex* mortality (hypothesis 1 in the introduction), while only uninfected gammarids exhibited higher growth rates when fed with high quality resources (partial validation of hypothesis 2). In addition, higher quality resource increased parasites' infection rates (verifying hypothesis 3). While slightly modifying the geotaxis behavior of uninfected organisms (partially verifying hypothesis 4), resources quality did not modulate parasites impacts on hosts' behavior (rejecting hypothesis 5). Finally, for most parameters investigated, consumption of algal resources had a greater impact than phosphorus-enriched leaf litter. Our experiment thus confirms that in addition to changes in resource quantity, changes in resources quality might play a major role in the currently observed development of parasites. This result stresses the need for future research to investigate *in natura* the relationships between resources availability, resources quality and parasites prevalence. In particular, it might be important to verify if rivers with higher algal production (e.g. rivers with open canopies; Feio *et al.*, 2010) exhibit higher parasite prevalence. Moreover, since all algal groups do not have the same biochemical quality (e.g. chlorophytes or cyanobacteria lack EPA, Bec *et al*., 2010), and since diatoms are progressively replaced by chlorophytes or cyanobacteria along nutrient load gradients (Leflaive *et al.*, 2015), stream eutrophication might lead first to increased parasite prevalence until a decline related to shifts in algal communities. Finally, it would be interesting to evaluate if parasites could modify the host feeding behavior (food selection) toward food with high Phosphorus/algal contents, to allow them a better development. In this context, using the conceptual frameworks of trophic ecology, such as ecological stoichiometry (Bernot & Poulain, 2018) might permit to understand in more depth the host-parasite interaction in ecosystems, and the impact the anthropic-driven changes might have on these interactions. Such research might be especially important when considering the importance of parasites in ecological processes, and, *in fine*, on ecosystem services (Lafferty and Kuris, 2012).

Acknowledgments

 This work was supported by the CPER Lorraine-ZAM (Contrat Projet Etat Région Lorraine, Zone Atelier Moselle) and the French National Research Agency (ANR-13-BSV7-0004- 01/MULTISTRESS). We are also grateful to P. Rousselle for conducting chemical analyses.

References

- Aalto SL & Pulkkinen K, 2013. Food stoichiometry affects the outcome of *Daphnia*–parasite interaction. Ecology and evolution 3(5), 1266-1275.
- Aalto SL, Decaesestecker E & Pulkkinen K, 2015. A three-way perspective of stoichiometric
- changes on host-parasite interactions. Trends in parasitology 31, 333-340.
- Altman I & Byers JE, 2014. Large-scale spatial variation in parasite communities influenced by anthropogenic factors. Ecology 95, 1876–1887
- Arce-Funck J, Crenier C, Danger M, Cossu-Leguille C, Guérold F & Felten V, 2016. Stoichiometric constraints modulate impacts of silver contamination on stream detritivores: an experimental test with *Gammarus fossarum*. Freshwater Biology 61, 2075-2089.
- Arts, MT, Brett, MT, & Kainz, M (Eds.), 2009. Lipids in aquatic ecosystems. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Bakker T, Mazzi D & Zala S, 1997. Parasite‐induced changes in behavior and color make *Gammarus pulex* more prone to fish predation. Ecology 78(4), 1098-1104.
- Bauer, A, Haine, ER, Perrot-Minnot, MJ, & Rigaud, T, 2005. The acanthocephalan parasite *Polymorphus minutus* alters the geotactic and clinging behaviours of two sympatric amphipod hosts: the native *Gammarus pulex* and the invasive *Gammarus roeseli*. Journal of Zoology 267(1), 39-43.
- Bec A, Martin-Creuzburg D & von Elert E, 2006. Trophic upgrading of autotrophic picoplankton by the heterotrophic nanoflagellate *Paraphysomonas sp*. Limnology and Oceanography 51(4), 1699-1707.
- Bec A, Perga M-E, Desvilettes C & Bourdier G, 2010. How well can the fatty acid content of
- lake seston be predicted from its taxonomic composition? Freshwater Biology 55 (9): 1958–1972.
- Bernot RJ & Poulain, R, 2018. Ecological stoichiometry for parasitologists. Trends in Parasitology 34(11), 928-933.
- Bollache L, Gambade G, Cézilly F, 2000. The influence of micro-habitat segregation on size assortative pairing in *Gammarus pulex* (L.) (Crustacea, Amphipoda). Archiv für Hydrobiologie 147, 547–558.
- Brown AF & Pascoe D, 1989. Parasitism and host sensitivity to cadmium: An acanthocephalan infection of the freshwater amphipod *Gammarus pulex.* Journal of Applied Ecology 26, 473-487
- Caddigan SC, Barkauskas RT & Sparkes TC, 2014. Intra-population variation in behavior modification by the acanthocephalan *Acanthocephalus dirus*: are differences mediated by host condition? Parasitology Research 113, 4307–4311.
- Carpenter SR, Caraco NF, Correll DL, Howarth RW, Sharpley AN & Smith VH, 1998.
- Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Ecological applications*, *8*(3), 559-568.
- Civitello DJ, Penczykowski RM, Smith AN, Shocket MS, Duffy MA, Hall SR, 2015. Resources, key traits, and the size of fungal epidemics in *Daphnia* populations. Journal of Animal Ecology 84(4), 1010-1017.
- Cliff N, 1996. Ordinal methods for behavioral data analysis. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Crenier C, Arce-Funck J, Bec A, Perrière F, Billoir E, Leflaive J, Guérold F, Felten V & Danger M, 2017. Minor food sources can play a major role in secondary production in detritus-based ecosystems. Freshwater Biology 62, 1155-1167.
- Crompton DWT & Nickol BB. (Eds.), 1985. Biology of the Acanthocephala. Cambridge University Press.
- Cross WF, Benstead JP, Rosemond AD & Bruce Wallace BJ, 2003. Consumer‐resource stoichiometry in detritus‐based streams. Ecology Letters 6(8), 721-732.
- Danger M, Arce-Funck J, Devin S, Heberle J & Felten V, 2013. Phosphorus content in
- detritus controls life-history traits of a detritivore. Functional Ecology 27, 807–815.
- Dianne L, Perrot-Minnot M-J, Bauer A, Guvenatam A, Rigaud T, 2014. Parasite-induced alteration of plastic response to predation threat: increased refuge use but lower food intake in *Gammarus pulex* infected with the acanthocephalan *Pomphorhynchus laevis*. International Journal of Parasitology 44, 211–6.
- Dobson A, Lafferty KD, Kuris AM, Hechinger RF & Jetz W, 2008. Homage to Linnaeus: How many parasites? How many hosts? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105 (1), 11482-11489.
- Dutra BK, Fernandes FA, Lauffer AL & Oliveira GT, 2009. Carbofuran-induced alterations in the energy metabolism and reproductive behaviors of *Hyalella castroi* (Crustacea, Amphipoda). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology 149, 640–646.
- Elser JJ, Hayakawa K & Urabe J, 2001. Nutrient limitation reduces food quality for zooplankton: Daphnia response to seston phosphorus enrichment. Ecology 82, 898–903.
- Elser JJ, Dobberfuhl D, MacKay NA & Schampel JH, 1996. Organism size, life history, and N:P stoichiometry: towards a unified view of cellular and ecosystem processes. BioScience 46, 674–684.
- Feio MJ, Alves T, Boavida M, Medeiros A & Graça MAS, 2010. Functional indicators of stream health: a river‐basin approach. Freshwater Biology 55(5), 1050-1065.
- Franceschi N, Bauer A, Bollache L, Rigaud T, 2008. The effects of parasite age and intensity
- on variability in acanthocephalan-induced behavioural manipulation. International Journal for parasitology 38, 1161-1170.
- 747 Franceschi, N, Bollache, L, Cornet, S, Bauer, A, Motreuil, S, & Rigaud, T, 2010. Co-variation
- between the intensity of behavioural manipulation and parasite development time in an
- acanthocephalan–amphipod system. Journal of evolutionary biology 23(10), 2143-2150.
- Frost PC & Elser JJ, 2002. Growth responses of littoral mayflies to the phosphorus content of
- their food. Ecology Letters 5, 232–240.
- Frost PC, Ebert D, & Smith VH, 2008a. Bacterial infection changes the elemental composition of *Daphnia magna*. Journal of animal ecology 77(6), 1265-1272.
- Frost PC, Ebert D & Smith VH, 2008b. Responses of a bacterial pathogen to phosphorus limitation of its aquatic invertebrate host. Ecology 89(2), 313-318.
- Fuller CL, Evans-White MA & Entrekin SA, 2015. Growth and stoichiometry of a common aquatic detritivore respond to changes in resource stoichiometry. Oecologia 177(3), 837- 848.
- Gergs R, Steinberger N, Basen T & Martin-Creuzburg D, 2014. Dietary supply with essential lipids affects growth and survival of the amphipod *Gammarus roeselii*. Limnologica 46, 109-115.
- Gismondi E, Cossu-Leguille C, Beisel J-N, 2012. Does the acanthocephalan parasite *Polymorphus minutus* modify the energy reserves and antitoxic defences of its intermediate host *Gammarus roeseli*? Parasitology 139(8), 1054–1061.
- Grabner DS, 2017. Hidden diversity: parasites of stream arthropods. Freshwater Biology 62 (1), 52-64.
- González AL, Romero GQ & Srivastava DS, 2014. Detrital nutrient content determines growth rate and elemental composition of bromeliad‐dwelling insects. Freshwater biology 59(4), 737-747.
- Hladyz, S, Åbjörnsson, K, Chauvet, E, Dobson, M, Elosegi, A, Ferreira, V, [Fleituch,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) T, [Gessner,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) MO, [Giller,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) PS, [Gulis,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) V, [Hutton,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) SA, [Lacoursière,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) JO, [Lamothe,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) S, [Lecerf,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) A, [Malmqvist,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) [B, McKie,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) BG, [Nistorescu,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) M, [Preda,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) E, [Riipinen,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) MP, [Rîşnoveanu,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) G, [Schindler,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) M, [Tiegs,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) SD, [Vought,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) LBM, [Woodward,](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123747945000043#!) G, 2011. Stream ecosystem functioning in an agricultural landscape: the importance of terrestrial-aquatic linkages. Advances in Ecological Research 44(3), 211-276.
- Holmes JC & Bethel WM, 1972. Modification of intermediate host behaviour by parasites. In:
- Canning EU, Wright CA (Eds) Behavioural aspects of parasite transmission. Academic Press, London, pp 123-149.
- Johnson PT, Townsend AR, Cleveland CC, Glibert PM, Howarth RW, McKenzie VJ, Rejmankova E, & Ward MH, 2010. Linking environmental nutrient enrichment and disease emergence in humans and wildlife. Ecological Applications 20(1), 16-29.
- Kennedy C.R., 2006. Ecology of the Acanthocephala. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Kraufvelin P, Salovius S, Christie H, Moy FE, Karez R & Pedersen MF, 2006. Eutrophication-induced changes in benthic algae affect the behaviour and fitness of the marine amphipod *Gammarus locusta.* Aquatic Botany 84, 199–209.
- Kuris AM, Hechinger RF, Shaw JC, Whitney KL, Aguirre-Macedo L, Boch CA, Dobson AP, Dunham EJ, Fredensborg BL, Huspen TC, Lorda J, Mababa L, Mancini F, Mora AB, Pickering M, Talhouk NL, Torchin ME & Lafferty KD, 2008. Ecosystem energetic implications of parasite and free-living biomass in three estuaries. Nature 454 (7203), 515- 518.
- Labaude, S., Rigaud, T., & Cézilly, F., 2015a. Host manipulation in the face of environmental changes: Ecological consequences. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife*, *4*(3), 442-451.
- Labaude S, Cézilly F, Tercier X & Rigaud T, 2015b. Influence of host nutritional condition on post-infection traits in the association between the manipulative acanthocephalan *Pomphorhynchus laevis* and the amphipod *Gammarus pulex*. Parasites & vectors 8(1), 403. Labaude S, 2016. Effect of the environment on the interaction between gammarids (Crustacea
- : Amphipoda) and their manipulative acanthocephalan parasites. Thesis of the University of Burgundy, 235pp.
- Labaude S, Rigaud T & Cézilly F, 2017a. Additive effects of temperature and infection with an acanthocephalan parasite on the shredding activity of *Gammarus fossarum* (Crustacea: Amphipoda): the importance of aggregative behavior. Global change biology 23(4), 1415- 1424.
- Labaude S, Cézilly F, Tercier X & Rigaud T, 2017b. Temperature-related intraspecific variability in the behavioral manipulation of acanthocephalan parasites on their gammarid hosts. [Biological](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28654335) Bulletin 232(2), 82-90.
- Lafferty KD, Allesina S, Arim M, Briggs CJ, De Leo G, Dobson, AP, Dunne JA, Johnson P,

Kuris A, Marcogliese DJ, Martinez N, Memmott J, Marquet PA, McLaughlin J, Mordecai

- EA, Pascual M, Poulin R, Thieltges D, 2008. Parasites in food webs: The ultimate missing
- 811 links. Ecology Letters 11, 533-546.
- Lagrue, C, Kaldonski, N, Perrot-Minnot, MJ, Motreuil, S & Bollache, L, 2007. Modification of the hosts' behavior by a parasite: field evidence for adaptive manipulation. Ecology 88(11), 2839-2847.
- Leflaive J, Felten V, Ferriol J, Lamy A, Ten-Hage L, Bec A & Danger M, 2015. Community structure and nutrient level control the tolerance of autotrophic biofilm to silver contamination. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 18, 13739-13752.
- Lellis WA, Barrows FT & Hardy RW, 2004. Effects of phase-feeding dietary phosphorus on
- survival, growth, and processing characteristics of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Aquaculture 242, 607–616.
- Lettini SE & Sukhdeo MVK, 2010. The energetic cost of parasitism in isopods. Ecoscience 17, 1–8.
- Makino W, Urabe J, Elser JJ & Yoshimizu C, 2002. Evidence of phosphorus-limited individual and population growth of *Daphnia* in a Canadian Shield lake. Oikos 96, 197– 205.
- Maltby L, Clayton SA, Wood RM & McLoughlin N, 2002. Evaluation of the *Gammarus pulex* in situ feeding assay as a biomonitor of water quality: robustness, responsiveness, and relevance. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 21(2), 361-368.
- Marcarelli AM, Baxter CV, Mineau MM & Hall RO, 2011. Quantity and quality: unifying food web and ecosystem perspectives on the role of resource subsidies in freshwaters.

Ecology 92(6), 1215-1225.

- Marcogliese DJ & Pietrock M, 2011. Combined effects of parasites and contaminants on animal health: parasites do matter. Trends in Parasitology 27(3), 123-130.
- 834 Masclaux H, Bec A, Kainz M, Desvilettes C, Jouve L & Bourdier G, 2009. Combined effects of food quality and temperature on somatic growth and reproduction of two freshwater cladocerans. Limnology and Oceanography 54, 1323-1332.
- Maure F, Brodeur J, Hughes DP & Thomas F, 2013. How much energy should manipulative parasites leave to their hosts to ensure altered behaviours? The Journal of Experimental Biology, 216, 43–46.
- Moore J, 2002. Parasites and host behaviour. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 17, 585–586.
- Moore AA & Palmer MA, 2005. Invertebrate biodiversity in agricultural and urban headwater
- streams: implications for conservation and management. Ecological Applications 15(4), 1169-1177.
- 844 Muller-Navarra DC, Brett MT, Park S & Chandra S, 2004. Unsaturated fatty acid content in seston and tropho-dynamic coupling in lakes. Nature 427(6969), 69.
- Naiman RJ, Decamps H, & McClain ME, 2010. Riparia: ecology, conservation, and management of streamside communities. Elsevier Academic Press, London, 2005, 448pp.
- Perrot-Minnot M-J, Sanchez-Thirion K, Cézilly F, 2014. Multidimensionality in host manipulation mimicked by serotonin injection. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 281, 20141915.
- Peterson BJ, Wollheim WM, Mulholland PJ, Webster JR, Meyer JL, Tank JL, Marti E,
- Bowden WB, Valett HM, Hershey AE, McDowell WH, Dodds WK, Hamilton SK,
- Gregory S & Morrall DD, 2001. Control of nitrogen export from watersheds by headwater streams. Science 292 (5514), 86-90.
- Plaistow SJ, Troussard J-P & Cézilly F, 2001. The effect of the acanthocephalan parasite *Pomphorhynchus laevis* on the lipid and glycogen content of its intermediate host *Gammarus pulex*. International Journal for Parasitology 31, 346–351.
- 858 Power ME, 1992. Top-down and bottom-up forces in food webs: do plants have primacy. Ecology 73(3), 733-746.
- Prater, C., Wagner N.D. & Frost, P, 2016. Effects of calcium and phosphorus limitation on the nutritional ecophysiology of *Daphnia*. Limnology and Oceanography 61, 268-278.
- 862 Rollin M, Coulaud R, Danger M, Sohm B, Flayac J, Bec A, Chaumot A, Geffard O & Felten
- V, 2018. Additive effect of calcium depletion and low resource quality on *Gammarus*
- *fossarum* (Crustacea, Amphipoda) life history traits. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 25, 11264-11280.
- Schade J, Kyle M, Hobbie S, Fagan W & Elser JJ, 2003. Stoichiometric tracking of soil nutrients by a desert insect herbivore. Ecology Letters 6, 91-101.
- Schlotz N, Sørensen JG & Martin-Creuzburg D, 2012. The potential of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids to modulate eicosanoid synthesis and reproduction in *Daphnia magna*: A gene expression approach. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A 162, 449–454.
- 872 Schlotz N, Ebert D & Martin-Creuzburg D, 2013. Dietary supply with polyunsaturated fatty
- acids and resulting maternal effects influence host-parasite interactions. BMC Ecology 13:41.
- Sims JT, Simard RR & Joern BC, 1998. Phosphorus loss in agricultural drainage: Historical perspective and current research. Journal of environmental quality 27(2), 277-293.
- Sornom P, Felten V, Médoc V, Sroda S, Rousselle P & Beisel J-N, 2010. Effect of gender on physiological and behavioural responses of *Gammarus roeseli* (Crustacea Amphipoda) to salinity and temperature. Environmental Pollution 158, 1288–1295.
- Stanley-Samuelson DW, 2006. Prostaglandins and other eicosanoids in insects: biological significance. Annual Review of Entomology 51 (1), 25–44.
- Sterner RW & Elser JJ, 2002. Ecological Stoichiometry: the biology of elements from molecules to the biosphere. Princeton University Press, Princeton, USA.
- 884 Stephens JP, Altman KA, Berven KA, Tiegs SD & Raffel TR, 2017. Bottom-up and trait‐mediated effects of resource quality on amphibian parasitism. Journal of Animal Ecology 86(2), 305-315.
- Tain, L, Perrot-Minnot, M-J & Cézilly, F, 2006. Altered host behaviour and brain serotonergic activity caused by acanthocephalans: evidence for specificity. Proceedings of 889 the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 273, 3039–3045.
- Vannote RL, Minshall GW, Cummins KW, Sedell JR & Gushing E, 1980. The river continuum concept. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 37, 130-137.
- Wright AN & Gompper ME, 2005. Altered parasite assemblages in raccoons in response to
- manipulated resource availability. Oecologia 144(1), 148-156.