



HAL
open science

Find concentrations and dwelling structures. The interpretation of Final Palaeolithic find scatters

Frank Gelhausen, Jan F. Kegler, Stefan Wenzel

► **To cite this version:**

Frank Gelhausen, Jan F. Kegler, Stefan Wenzel. Find concentrations and dwelling structures. The interpretation of Final Palaeolithic find scatters. Sinéad McCartan; Rick Schulting; Graeme Warren; Peter Woodman. Mesolithic horizons. Papers presented at the Seventh International Conference on the Mesolithic in Europe Belfast 2005, pp.450-457, 2009, 978-1-84217-311-4. hal-02281113

HAL Id: hal-02281113

<https://hal.science/hal-02281113v1>

Submitted on 8 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

This pdf of your paper in *Mesolithic Horizons* belongs to the publishers Oxbow Books and it is their copyright.

As author you are licenced to make up to 50 offprints from it, but beyond that you may not publish it on the World Wide Web until three years from publication (June 2012), unless the site is a limited access intranet (password protected). If you have queries about this please contact the editorial department at Oxbow Books (editorial@oxbowbooks.com).

An offprint from

Mesolithic Horizons

Papers presented at the Seventh International Conference
on the Mesolithic in Europe, Belfast 2005

Edited by

Sinéad McCartan, Rick Schulting, Graeme Warren and Peter Woodman

© OXBOW BOOKS 2009

ISBN 978-1-84217-311-4

Contents

VOLUME I

List of Contributors	ix
Preface (<i>Peter Woodman</i>)	xvii

Plenaries

(i) Mapping the European Mesolithic (<i>S. K. Kozłowski</i>)	xx
(ii) The Mesolithic in Europe – some retrospective perspectives (<i>Lars Larsson</i>)	xxvii
(iii) The way forward (<i>T. Douglas Price</i>)	xxxiii
(iv) Ireland's place in the European Mesolithic: why it's ok to be different (<i>Peter C. Woodman</i>)	xxxvi
(v) The Mesolithic and the 21st century (<i>Marek Zvelebil</i>)	xlvii

New lands

1 Introduction (<i>Peter C. Woodman</i>)	1
2 Climate change, demography and social relations: an alternative view of the Late Palaeolithic pioneer colonization of southern Scandinavia (<i>Felix Riede</i>)	3
3 Late Palaeolithic reindeer hunters – from a coastal perspective (<i>Bengt Nordqvist</i>)	11
4 Colonizing seascapes: comparative perspectives on the development of maritime relations in the Pleistocene/Holocene transition in north-west Europe (<i>Hein Bjartmann Bjerck</i>)	16
5 Entering new shores. Colonization processes in early archipelagos in eastern central Sweden (<i>Roger Wikell and Mattias Pettersson</i>)	24
6 The flint collection from the Ristola site in Lahti and the cultural contacts of the earliest Postglacial settlement of southern Finland (<i>Hannu Takala</i>)	31
7 The Sujala site in Utsjoki: Post-Swiderian in northern Lapland? (<i>Jarmo Kankaanpää and Tuija Rankama</i>)	38
8 Hunter-gatherers of the Istrian peninsula: the value of lithic raw material analysis to study small-scale colonization processes (<i>Paolo Pellegatti</i>)	45
9 Early farmers on the coast: lithic procurement strategies of colonists in the eastern Adriatic (<i>Niels H. Andreasen</i>)	53
10 The colonisation of eastern alpine territories: the Val di Non case study and the 'Regole' field camps (Trento, Italy) (<i>Giampaolo Dalmeri, Klaus Kompatscher, Maria Hrozny Kompatscher, Anna Cusinato and Michele Bassetti</i>)	60

Mobility

11 Introduction (<i>C. R. Wickham-Jones</i>)	71
12 Moving perceptions: movement, mobility and the material dimension (<i>Thomas Kador</i>)	73
13 Top-down or bottom-up?: Americanist approaches to the study of hunter-gatherer mobility (<i>Douglas B. Bamforth</i>)	80
14 Are we there yet? Using GIS to investigate paths and landmarks in the Mesolithic of south-west Germany (<i>Harry Starr and Susan Harris</i>)	89
15 Raw material and settlement strategies at the Pleistocene/Holocene boundary in Trentino (north-eastern Italian Alps): (<i>Fabio Cavulli and Stefano Grimaldi</i>)	96
16 Evidence of mobility between the coast and the inland region in the Mesolithic of northern Fennoscandia (<i>Mikael A. Manninen</i>)	102
17 Walking around the Federsee: analyzing mobility and settlement through regional surface survey in south-west Germany (<i>Susan K. Harris, Harry Starr, Lynn E. Fisher and Michael Jochim</i>)	109
18 One pig does not a winter make. New seasonal evidence at the Early Mesolithic sites of Holmegaard and Mullerup and the Late Mesolithic site of Ertebølle in Denmark (<i>Richard Carter</i>)	115
19 Deciphering archaeological palimpsests: an example from a Canadian Barrenland caribou hunting camp (<i>Bryan C. Gordon</i>)	122

People in their environment

20	Introduction (<i>Rick Schulting</i>)	131
21	Coastal perspectives on the Mesolithic-Neolithic transition (<i>Nicky Milner and Eva Laurie</i>)	134
22	Current research on prehistoric human coastal ecology: Late Pleistocene and Early Holocene hunter-gatherer transitions in north-west Sicily (<i>Marcello A. Mannino and Kenneth D. Thomas</i>)	140
23	The tragedy of the shoreline? Social ecology of Mesolithic coastal subsistence, with reference to the site of Culverwell, Isle of Portland (southern England) (<i>Marcello A. Mannino and Kenneth D. Thomas</i>)	146
24	Small game exploitation through the Mediterranean Pleistocene-Holocene transition in southern France: a key resource augmenting the dietary range (<i>Alexandre Bournery</i>)	152
25	An examination of Mesolithic shellfish gathering activities in the lower Asón river basin (Cantabria, España) (<i>F. Igor Gutiérrez Zugasti</i>)	161
26	Stone Age settlement sites on an environmentally sensitive coastal area along the lower reaches of the River Pärnu (south-western Estonia), as indicators of changing settlement patterns, technologies and economies (<i>Aivar Kriiska and Lembi Lõugas</i>)	167
27	Automating the extrapolation of sea-level displacement curves: implications for Mesolithic research in western Norway (<i>David N. Simpson</i>)	176
28	Shells, seals and ceramics: an evaluation of a midden at West Voe, Sumburgh, Shetland, 2004–5 (<i>Nigel D. Melton</i>)	184
29	Mesolithic elk (<i>Alces alces</i> L.) from Zamostje 2 (Russia) (<i>Louis Chaix</i>)	190
30	Animal bone studies and the perception of animals in Mesolithic society (<i>Anna Mansrud</i>)	198
31	Cultural small-scale variations in a hunter-gatherer society: or ‘everybody wants to be a little bit different!’ An ethnoarchaeological study from Siberia (<i>Ole Grøn, Torunn Klokkernes and Michail G. Turov</i>)	203
32	Stable isotopic reconstruction of Early Mesolithic diet at Pupičina Cave (<i>Clea Paine, Tamsin O’Connell and Preston T. Miracle</i>)	210
33	Human colonisation routes and the origins of Irish mammals (<i>Ceiridwen J. Edwards, Daniel G. Bradley</i>)	217
34	The ecology of hazel (<i>Corylus avellana</i>) nuts in Mesolithic Ireland (<i>Anne M. G. McComb</i>)	225

People and Places

35	Introduction (<i>Sinéad B. McCartan</i>)	235
36	Settlement patterns, landscape and society in western Norway during the Late Mesolithic (<i>Sigrid Mannsåker Gundersen</i>)	237
37	Mesolithic inland settlement in southern Norway (<i>Per Persson</i>)	243
38	The settlement patterns of the Maglemose culture on Bornholm, Denmark. Some preliminary results and hypotheses (<i>Claudio Casati and Lasse Sørensen</i>)	248
39	People and places in the Latvian Mesolithic: a case study from the Zvejnieki archaeological complex (<i>Ilga Zagorska</i>)	255
40	Dąbrowa Biskupia 71: a specialized camp from the Maglemose culture (<i>Lucyna Domańska and Marcin Wąs</i>)	261
41	Early Holocene landscape dynamics and forager land use diversity: the example of Hoge Vaart-A27 (Almere, The Netherlands) (<i>Hans Peeters</i>)	269
42	Mesolithic territories and land-use systems in north-western Belgium (<i>Joris Sergeant, Philippe Crombé and Yves Perdaen</i>)	277
43	Mesolithic settlement and land use in the Campine region (Belgium) (<i>Marc De Bie and Marijn Van Gils</i>)	282
44	Upland colonization: patterns of settling and habitation in northern Istria, Croatia (<i>Darko Komšo</i>)	288
45	People and their land at the southern margins of the central Po Plain in the Early Mesolithic (<i>Federica Fontana, Maria Giovanna Cremona, Erika Ferrari, Federico Guarisco and Davide Mengoli</i>)	296
46	A view from the edges: the Mesolithic settlement of the interior areas of the Iberian Peninsula reconsidered (<i>Pablo Arias, Enrique Cerrillo-Cuenca, Esteban Álvarez-Fernández, Eloy Gómez-Pellón and Antonio González Cordero</i>)	303
47	Recent developments in Early Holocene hunter-gatherer subsistence and settlement: a view from south-western Iberia (<i>Maria João Valente and António Faustino Carvalho</i>)	312
48	Mesolithic people in an open Mediterranean wetland (<i>Andrea L. Balbo, Darko Komšo and Preston T. Miracle</i>)	318
49	Occupation in a submerged Mesolithic landscape (<i>Garry Momber, Julie Satchell and Jan Gillespie</i>)	324

Regional identities

50	Introduction (<i>Graeme Warren</i>)	333
51	Flint procurement in the French Alps during the Mesolithic: deciphering economic and cultural territories (<i>Céline Bressy</i>)	336
52	The power of place and regional identity in the British south-western Mesolithic (<i>Clive Jonathon Bond</i>)	345
53	Worm's Head and Caldey Island (south Wales, UK) and the question of Mesolithic territories (<i>Rick Schulting</i>)	354
54	Show me how you make your hunting equipment and I will tell you where you come from: technical traditions, an efficient means of characterizing cultural identities (<i>Eva David</i>)	362
55	Being-in-the-(Mesolithic) world: place, substance and person in the Mesolithic of western Scotland (<i>Hannah L. Cobb</i>)	368
56	Man-landscape relations in Varanger, Finnmark, northern Norway (<i>Maria Westrum Solem</i>)	373
57	Feast in the forest: creating a common cultural identity in the interior of the Scandinavian Peninsula in the Late Mesolithic (<i>Silje Elisabeth Fretheim</i>)	378
58	Ynys Enlli: shifting horizons (<i>Mark Edmonds, Robert Johnston, Emily La Trobe-Bateman, John Roberts and Graeme Warren</i>)	385
59	In the middle, not in between: Svärdbäraren in its regional context (<i>Jenny Holm</i>)	392
60	'Foreign in origin and local in pattern': Mesolithic pottery around the Baltic Sea (<i>Fredrik Hallgren</i>)	397

Dwellings

61	Introduction (<i>João Zilhão</i>)	407
62	Is home where the heart is? Reflections around Early Mesolithic sites, exemplified with results from an excavation on coastal south-western Norway (<i>Astrid J. Nyland</i>)	409
63	Different ways of building, different ways of living: Mesolithic house structures in western Norway (<i>Leif Inge Åstveit</i>)	414
64	Island settlements and maritime hunter-fishers: spatial and temporal transformations over 11,000 years at Melkøya, northern Norway (<i>Morten Ramstad</i>)	422
65	Two houses and 186,000 artefacts. Spatial organization at the Late Mesolithic site of Strandvägen, Sweden (<i>Tom Carlsson</i>)	430
66	Ålyst: a settlement complex with hut structures from the Early Mesolithic on Bornholm, Denmark (<i>Claudio Casati and Lasse Sørensen</i>)	436
67	Virtual hypothesis: the Maglemosian huts at Ålyst, Bornholm. Preliminary thoughts on the morphology of dwellings on a Maglemosian site (<i>Nicolai Garhøj Larsen</i>)	443
68	Find concentrations and dwelling structures. The interpretation of Final Palaeolithic find scatters (<i>Frank Gelhausen, Jan F. Kegler and Stefan Wenzel</i>)	450
69	Along the shores of the Ancylus Lake. Trädgårdstorp and other coastal Mesolithic settlement sites during the Late Ancylus period in western Östergötland (<i>Fredrik Molin</i>)	458
70	Dwellings and graves from the Late Mesolithic site of Nivå 10, eastern Denmark (<i>Ole Lass Jensen</i>)	465
71	Dreams and Landscapes: Mesolithic archaeology under water (<i>Ole Grøn</i>)	473
72	Them bones: midden sites as a defining characteristic of the Scottish Mesolithic (<i>C. R. Wickham-Jones</i>)	478
73	South-western regional identities: Birdcombe, Totty Pot and Hawkcombe Head (<i>Paula J. Gardiner</i>)	485

VOLUME II**Transitions**

74	Introduction (<i>Rick Schulting</i>)	497
75	From Magdalenian to Early Neolithic: hunter-gatherers in transition in north-eastern Iberia (<i>Pilar García-Argüelles, Jordi Nadal and Josep M. Fullola</i>)	500
76	The end of the Mesolithic in western France: from taphonomy to the understanding of prehistoric territories (<i>Grégory Marchand</i>)	507
77	New perspectives on the Mesolithic/Neolithic transition in northern Italy (<i>Thomas Perrin</i>)	514
78	Seasonal resource scheduling in the Mesolithic and Neolithic of Scotland (<i>Rachel L. Parks</i>)	521
79	The paleoecological and paleodietary significance of edible land snails (<i>Helix</i> sp.) across the Pleistocene-Holocene transition on the eastern Adriatic coast (<i>Mia Rizner, Nikola Vukosavljević and Preston Miracle</i>)	527
80	Hunter-gatherer adaptations during the Pleistocene/Holocene transition in Portugal: data and explanatory models (<i>Ana Cristina Araújo</i>)	533

81	Lollikhuse, a site from the transitional phase between the Mesolithic and Neolithic in Denmark (<i>Søren A. Sørensen</i>)	541
82	Dąbki revisited: new evidence on the question of earliest cattle use in Pomerania (<i>J. Kabaciński, D. Heinrich and T. Terberger</i>)	548
83	Hunters and fishers in a changing world. Investigations on submerged Stone Age sites off the Baltic coast of Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, Germany (<i>Harald Lübke</i>)	556
84	The neolithisation of the Belgian lowlands: new evidence from the Scheldt Valley (<i>Philippe Crombé, Joris Sergant and Yves Perdaen</i>)	564
85	Interaction, exchange and imitation. Some short and preliminary notes on the distribution of Breitkeile in Belgium and the Netherlands and its implications for the transition from Mesolithic to Neolithic (<i>Leo B. M. Verhart</i>)	570
86	Confronting important animals (<i>Trond Lødøen</i>)	576
87	The phase of transformation in western Norway (<i>Thomas Bruen Olsen</i>)	583
88	Transition to farming in western Norway seen as a rapid replacement of landscapes (<i>Asle Bruen Olsen</i>)	589

Ritual in context

89	Introduction (<i>Ericka Engelstad</i>)	599
90	Caught in the middle: functional and ideological aspects of Mesolithic shores in Norway (<i>Knut Andreas Bergsvik</i>)	602
91	Between the rock and the sea: site location and ritual practise in the Mesolithic in eastern central Sweden (<i>Christina Lindgren</i>)	610
92	What goes where? Intrasite studies of depositions of Maglemosian art and flint picks of the Maglemose and Kongemose cultures (<i>Peter Andreas Toft</i>)	614
93	Soul-trips to the underworld? Interpretations of a decorated slate pickaxe from western Sweden (<i>Robert Hernek</i>)	621
94	Prehistory as a continuum in the discussion of continuity and change in Britain, 16,000 to 6000 cal BP (<i>Stella M. Blockley</i>)	627
95	New radiocarbon dates from the Stone Age graves at Dragsholm, Denmark (<i>T. Douglas Price, Erik Brinch Petersen and Michael P. Richards</i>)	632
96	From single graves to cemeteries: an initial look at chronology in Mesolithic burial practice (<i>Christopher Meiklejohn, Erik Brinch Petersen and Jeff Babb</i>)	639
97	Burials in the cave: new evidence on mortuary practices during the Mesolithic of Cantabrian Spain (<i>Pablo Arias, Angel Armendariz, Rodrigo de Balbín, Miguel A. Fano, Juan Fernández-Tresguerres, Manuel R. González Morales, María José Iriarte, Roberto Ontañón, Javier Alcolea, Esteban Álvarez-Fernández, Francisco Etxeberria, María Dolores Garralda, Mary Jackes and Álvaro Arrizabalaga</i>)	650
98	Coping with cadavers: ritual practices in Mesolithic cemeteries (<i>Liv Nilsson Stutz</i>)	657
99	Symbols around the body: tooth ornaments from the graves at the Zvejnieki cemeteries, northern Latvia (<i>Lars Larsson</i>)	664

Understanding the social context

100	Introduction (<i>Nyree Finlay</i>)	673
101	Dogs and people, an arising relationship: <i>Canis familiaris</i> amongst hunter-gatherer societies in the Iberian Peninsula (<i>Cristina García-Moncó</i>)	675
102	Wood and wild animals: towards an understanding of a Mesolithic world (<i>Steven Price</i>)	683
103	Transforming bodies: mortuary practices in Mesolithic Britain (<i>Chantal Conneller</i>)	690
104	Fishy settlement patterns and their social significance: a case study from the northern Midlands of Ireland (<i>Aimée Little</i>)	698
105	A Mesolithic social landscape in south-west Britain: the Somerset Levels and Mendip Hills (<i>Clive Jonathon Bond</i>)	706
106	Sounds like sociality: new research on lithic contexts in Mesolithic Caithness (<i>Steve Mills and Amelia Pannett</i>)	717
107	The identification of children's flint knapping products in Mesolithic Scandinavia (<i>Farina Sternke and Mikkel Sørensen</i>)	722
108	An experimental analysis of perforated shells from the site of Šebrn Abri (Istria), Croatia (<i>Samuel Benghiat, Darko Komšo and Preston T. Miracle</i>)	730
109	From sharing to giving: handling the inequality of things at the end of the Mesolithic (<i>Roger Edenmo</i>)	736

Understanding Mesolithic technology

110	Introduction (<i>Sheila Coulson</i>)	743
111	The illustration of Mesolithic artefacts and its contribution to the understanding of Mesolithic technology (<i>Alan Saville</i>)	745
112	From the mountain to the sea: an ethnographic perspective for the Early Mesolithic settlement dynamics in north-eastern Italy (<i>Stefano Grimaldi and Elisabetta Flor</i>)	754
113	Early Mesolithic hunting strategies in the north-eastern Italian Alps: an experimental analysis (<i>Stefano Grimaldi</i>)	760
114	Tools and colour in Mesolithic Scotland (<i>Karen Hardy, Steven Birch and Robert S. Shiel</i>)	766
115	Foragers in the alpine Rhine valley. Interpreting two Mesolithic rockshelters near Koblach in Vorarlberg, Austria (<i>Sonja Laus</i>)	772
116	Macrolithic industries of the Portuguese Mesolithic: a human adaptive response (<i>Ana Cristina Araújo, Francisco Almeida and M. João Valente</i>)	779
117	‘Rulers’ of southern Sweden: technological aspects of a rediscovered tool (<i>Arne Sjöström and Björn Nilsson</i>)	788
118	One problem – many solutions: strategies of lithic raw material procurement in Mesolithic Europe (<i>Laurent-Jacques Costa and Farina Sternke</i>)	795
119	Variability of lithic resource exploitation systems in northern Italy during the early Holocene: the case-studies of Mondeval de Sora (Belluno) and I.N.F.S. (Bologna) (<i>Federica Fontana and Antonio Guerreschi</i>)	802

Flint alternatives

120	Introduction (<i>Kjel S. Å. Knutsson</i>)	811
121	Quartz analyses of the Kaaranekoski site, Lapland (<i>Tuija Rankama</i>)	813
122	Ways to interpret quartz (<i>Karl-Fredrik Lindberg</i>)	820
123	Percussion events by the shore: a comparative approach to the manufacture and use of quartz in Uppland, Sweden (<i>Per Falkenström</i>)	827
124	Flint knappers or quartz knappers? The procurement of different types of quartz in south-east Mesolithic Norway (<i>Lotte Eigeland</i>)	833
125	Recent stone tool use and material culture of the Wola, Papua New Guinea (<i>Karen Hardy</i>)	838
126	Petrography and mechanics of the Armorican Massif rocks: the impact on Late Mesolithic industries in western France (<i>Rodrigue Tsobgou Ahoupe</i>)	845
127	Production and use of Mesolithic groundstone axes and adzes in Zealand, Denmark: description, production, distribution and status (<i>Susanne Ritz Nicolaisen</i>)	853
128	The elusive flint: raw materials and lithic technology in the Mesolithic of eastern Asturias, Spain (<i>Pablo Arias, Patricia Fernández, Celia Marcos and Irene Rodríguez</i>)	860

Current research

129	The transition to the Holocene in the Bajo Asón Basin (Cantabria, Spain): an archaeozoological point of view (<i>Ana Belén Marín Arroyo</i>)	869
130	Mesolithic human remains from Poza l’Egua and Colomba caves (Asturias, Spain) (<i>Labib Drak and María Dolores Garralda</i>)	871
131	An assessment of our knowledge, the main problems of research, and current investigations with regard to the Mesolithic of Cantabrian Spain (9th–6th millennium cal BC) (<i>Miguel Ángel Fano</i>)	873
132	Hermitage, Ireland: life and death on the western edge of Europe (<i>Tracy Collins</i>)	876
133	The Early prehistory in the west of Ireland: investigations into the social archaeology of the Mesolithic, west of the Shannon, Ireland (<i>Killian Driscoll</i>)	880
134	Lake-platforms at Lough Kinale – memory, reach and place: a Discovery Programme Project in the Irish midlands (<i>Christina Fredengren</i>)	882
135	Reconstructing Mesolithic palaeodiet using dental microwear analysis (<i>T. Rowan McLaughlin</i>)	887
136	The excavation of Late Mesolithic fish trap remains from the Liffey estuary, Dublin, Ireland (<i>Melanie McQuade and Lorna O’Donnell</i>)	889
137	Lakeside developments in County Meath, Ireland: a Late Mesolithic fishing platform and possible mooring at Clowanstown 1 (<i>Matt Mossop</i>)	895
138	The development and historiography of pollen studies in the Mesolithic of the Scottish islands (<i>Kevin J. Edwards</i>)	900
139	Molluscan studies of the Danish Mesolithic-Neolithic shell-midden Krabbesholm II: new information concerning the marine and terrestrial environment (<i>Nina Helt Nielsen</i>)	907

	Consolidated Bibliography	908
	Index	998

68. Find concentrations and dwelling structures. The interpretation of Final Palaeolithic find scatters

Frank Gelhausen, Jan F. Kegler and Stefan Wenzel

At the Final Palaeolithic (Federmessergruppen) sites of Niederbieber (Area IV), Andernach-Martinsberg 3 and Berlin-Tegel IX a combination of different methods of spatial analysis reveals indications of a former separation of the main accumulations of finds from the surrounding area. All three find scatters are very dense and show a marked sudden decrease at their edges. The distribution of larger objects and refitting lines between artefacts respect the edges of the find scatters. In some cases, certain finds, such as unburned larger bone fragments or larger stones, are not found within the artefact find scatter. We interpret these as indications of a formerly effective limitation of the find concentrations that show the former presence of dwelling structures.

Keywords: Allerød Interstadial, Final Palaeolithic, Federmessergruppen, settlement structures, dwellings, mapping of artefact density, density contour lines/isopachs, refitting.

Introduction

Only very few Mesolithic dwellings are preserved as evident features and even outstanding discoveries such as Ulkestrup I and Ulkestrup II (Andersen, Jørgensen and Richter 1982; Grøn 2003) have been questioned as to their character as dwellings (Bokelmann 1986, 150; Stapert 2002, 231). With regard to their size and their artefact density these settlement structures correspond to evident dwelling structures of the Magdalenian and the early phase of the Final Palaeolithic, which are characterized by floors made of slabs of schist (Bosinski 1979; Street 1995) or by stones in peripheral positions used as weights (Jöris and Terberger 2001). In this paper we will discuss the artefact distribution of three sites from the Allerød (Figure 68.1), which are noticeable by the form of their outline and by their density of finds. We would like to present considerations for the evaluation of the borders of the find concentrations and for the question of a former separation of their interiors from the areas outside. This could also be of significance for the interpretation of Mesolithic find scatters.

Fundamental considerations for the evaluation of latent settlement structures have been discussed by Leroi-Gourhan and Brézillon (1972) in the context of the analysis of the Magdalenian site of Pincevent. On the basis of mapping and the refitting of finds it was possible to reconstruct activities and work areas. Furthermore, the position of the walls of a dwelling can be detected by finds trapped within the walls (Leroi-Gourhan 1984, fig. 27). Under the influence of the work of Leroi-Gourhan, dwellings were sought in the find-

poor area behind the fireplaces and their adjacent waste zones (e.g. Bolus 1992; Bokelmann 1989, 18; Vermeersch 1987). However, the evident dwelling structures mentioned above are in fact characterized by large quantities of internal finds, in particular the trapezoid tents of Etiollés W11 and of Le Closeau, *locus* 46 (Jöris and Terberger 2001).

On the basis of the criticism by Binford (1983) of Leroi-Gourhan's concepts, Stapert (1992) tried to develop a method to distinguish find concentrations derived from former dwelling locations from those concentrations which reflect open-air camp sites. Stapert's 'ring and sector method' divides the area around a central fireplace into radial sectors and circular zones. It examines the frequency of artefacts according to distance classes relative to the fireplace. If the fireplace were in a dwelling, a zone with few finds would be expected between the fireplace and the former wall. At the wall the number of artefacts would increase before reducing again in quantity outside. A bimodal distribution of the find frequencies is the result. In contrast to this, around an outdoor hearth the number of finds does not increase again beyond the waste zone around the hearth, but falls steadily towards the periphery, leading to a unimodal distribution of the find density.

In its basic principle, Stapert's method is unsuitable for demonstrating dwellings that do not have a circular ground plan, since these cannot meaningfully be analysed by circular zones. However, individual latent Allerød and Holocene dwellings were detected by the 'ring and sector' method, for example Rekem 10 (De Bie and Caspar 2000),

Andernach-Martinsberg 2 (Stapert and Street 1997), and Siebenlinden 1-I (Kind 2003).

To evaluate those find concentrations that are neither round, nor include a central fireplace, we have combined well-known methods for analysing settlement structures in the examination of three Allerød sites (Gelhausen *et al.* 2004, Figure 68.1). Our investigation is based on following considerations and observations:

1. A sudden change from high to lower find density at the edge of a find concentration points to a former delimitation. A rising number of finds directly inside the border of a concentration of artefacts, connected with a clear decrease in exterior finds, both stipulated by Stapert (1992) for proof of the barrier effect, represents only a special case as an indication of the delimitation of a settlement structure. Whether a find concentration is clearly limited can be demonstrated particularly clearly if one represents the find quantity per unit of area (here in quarters of a square metre) by isopachs (find density lines).
2. If the connecting lines of refitted artefacts often run along the border of a concentration, or terminate there, where a clear decrease in find numbers is registered, this is likewise an indication of an obstacle for human activities related to the transport of objects, preventing a diffuse distribution of the finds.
3. In evident dwelling structures retouched artefacts are essentially limited to the concentration. Cores are found more at the edge of the concentration, or beyond it. In some cases tools also group together at the edge of the find concentration.
4. Larger boulders and faunal remains lie at the edge of a concentration, or outside it, so that the area of the main find concentration is, to a large extent, void of these types of finds.

Niederbieber. Area IV

The Late Palaeolithic site of Niederbieber (Neuwied Basin, Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany) (Figure 68.1) is, with an excavated surface of more than 930m², one of the most intensively examined sites of the Federmessergruppen in central Europe (e.g. Bolus 1992; Baales 2001; 2002). The find concentration at Niederbieber IV is one of 17 of different shape and density (Gelhausen 2007) excavated since 1980. Niederbieber IV reveals a central hearth, rendered visible not only by burnt artefacts but also because of changes in the colour of the underlying sediment. Until recently Concentration IV was considered to have been the result of open-air activities. A significant argument for this interpretation came from Stapert's 'ring and sector' method (Stapert 1992), since the lithics displayed a unimodal distribution. In this paper, a new spatial analysis of Niederbieber IV suggests that all the recognized features, in fact, point to activities within a dwelling.

The 46m² surface area of Niederbieber IV was excavated between 1982 and 1984, and analysed by Winter (1986; 1987) and Bolus (1992). In Area IV, 2088 stone artefacts

(>1cm) were plotted in three dimensions, and 16,827 chips were counted per 0.25m² and analysed using isopachs. Additionally faunal remains and larger pebbles were three-dimensionally plotted and analysed.

The distribution pattern displayed by the artefacts shows an almost square shape, measuring c. 4 × 4.5m, with a marked, sudden decrease at the edges (Figure 68.2a). The highest density of artefacts is directly south and north of the hearth, with 822 and 782 pieces per 0.25m². Most of the retouched tools lie within the surface displayed by the isopachs. Only a few pieces are scattered to the north-east and south-west. The cores tend rather towards the edges of the concentration. Pebbles (>5cm) are limited to two areas at the south-west and north-east of the excavation area. In addition, some pebbles are positioned in a way that suggests a 'ring of stones' (perhaps stone weights) around the concentration. Nine of these pebbles were classified as retouching tools with clear scar fields on their surfaces.

Faunal remains are distributed in two larger zones at the south-west and north-east of the excavation. They almost align with the distribution of the pebbles but they




Figure 68.1. Locations of the sites at Niederbieber and Andernach (Middle Rhine Valley) and Berlin-Tegel.




Figure 68.2. Niederbieber IV. A) Isopach distribution of the lithic finds <1cm recovered using wet sieving per 0.25m². Distribution of retouched tools and cores. B) Distribution of faunal remains and pebbles >5cm. C) Isopach distribution of lithic finds <1cm recovered using wet sieving, limited at 50 pieces per 0.25m². Conjoining lines of all included pieces. D) Suggested exterior partition wall.

are slightly more diffuse. In any event, they are distributed outside the find concentration shown by the isopachs (Figure 68.2b). Refitting lines of the lithics show that activities have obviously taken place within the find scatter. They often run along the edges of the concentration, ending there and demarcating its boundary.

The arguments specified previously permit a reconstruction of the features of Niederbieber IV as a polygonal floor plan of a former dwelling. Particularly remarkable is the relatively sudden change from high to low find density at the edges of the find scatter; the heavier objects, such

as cores and pebbles, are mostly deposited here. The conjoining lines also point to a barrier at the edges of the find scatter (Figure 68.2c). It seems clear that all the activities took place within the find concentration. This interpretation is also supported by the distribution of the retouched tools, which are mainly deposited inside the concentration. Overall, the recorded artefacts show that a wall or a barrier prevented their wider distribution.

In summary, the spatial analysis of Niederbieber IV suggests a former dwelling structure with a polygonal floor plan (Figure 68.2d).

Andernach-Martinsberg 3. The upper find horizon

Between 1994 and 1996 a surface area of 113m² was examined on the Martinsberg, in the city of Andernach (Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany) (Figure 68.1), and a Federmessergruppen lithic concentration was documented (Kegler 2002). In this find horizon, 2417 chalcedony artefacts (>1cm) were plotted in three dimensions, and about 14,614 pieces (<1cm) were recovered by wet sieving. Additionally, 535 bone fragments (>3cm) were three-dimensionally plotted. Three basalt blocks, *c.* 25cm in diameter and found at the north-west end of the concentration, are the only larger objects; they can probably be connected with different working areas rather than the deliberations on dwelling structures presented here.

During the excavation two hearths were documented, each about 50cm in diameter: a southern fireplace within the main concentration of the chalcedony artefacts, and a further one north-west of the main concentration. A special feature is a small, circular pit *c.* 10cm in diameter in square 28/19. This probable 'posthole' has a cylindrical form and a convex base. At the bottom of this feature were found some charcoal pieces, a bone fragment, a flat plaque of quartzitic schist, as well as some lithic chips.

Mapping all the individually measured stone artefacts of the dominant raw material, chalcedony, shows a north-northwest oriented, rectangular concentration. At first view it seems to be very compact, covering *c.* 3 × 3m around the central fireplace (Figure 68.3a).

The bone fragments are concentrated directly adjacent to the fireplaces, as well as being dispersed randomly over the entire excavation area. The combination of the distribution of the bones and the stone artefacts clearly show a pattern. Between the main concentration of stone artefacts and the exterior spread of the bones is a zone with noticeably fewer finds, approximately half a metre in width. This find-poor area could have resulted from a kind of barrier between the main stone artefact concentration and the areas lying outside its western and the eastern edges (Figure 68.3b). In the south, this border cannot be traced with the same clarity, because of the use of different excavation techniques, but mapping the find densities of stone artefacts with isopachs makes very clear that their distribution was not substantially influenced in this area.

The impression of a closely defined spread of stone artefacts is confirmed by mapping all 17,031 chalcedony artefacts (single finds and finds recovered, partly, by wet sieving) by density isopachs. Their distribution corresponds to a north-north-west oriented form, as long as it is wide, with a length of *c.* 4.5m along the sides. At the edges of the feature the find distribution is remarkable: to the south, the west, and the east of the main concentration, the number of pieces decreases from more than 50 artefacts per 0.25m² to less than 5 pieces over a distance of only 30cm (Figure 68.3c). This reduction is clearly shown by the isopachs, the lines of which are very close to each other. In the northern area – within the area of the exterior fireplace – the isopachs enclose the working area in front

of the main distribution. Here, such a clear decrease in find density cannot be observed.

The distribution of retouched stone artefacts is limited to the extent of the area shown by the density lines. Small clusters, especially of backed pieces, are adjacent to the fireplaces. In a sense, the distribution of the retouched pieces underlines the limited extent of the find concentration. The distribution of the cores does not show the suggested pattern: some cores are positioned within the main concentration while some are distributed outside. Some of the cores relate to some of the 67 refits of stone artefacts, however, these refit lines show no parallel lines, reflecting the delimitations mentioned above. Yet the refits demonstrate the close connection of activities within the main concentration and between the area containing the second fireplace in the northern part (Figure 68.3c).

For the reconstruction of a possible dwelling feature the combination of different find types, and their depiction both as single finds and by isopachs, are of substantial importance. The position of boundaries west, south, and east of the main concentration permits an interpretation that the Federmessergruppen site of Andernach 3 might be a former tent location. A boundary cannot clearly be recognized in the north-west. Only by interpreting the positioning of the possible 'posthole' can an idea of how the construction was closed off be reached. The distribution of finds does not show the clear position of a wall in this area – with the exception of the location of the bone fragments – and therefore the 'posthole' might have served only as a feature for the stability of the construction. The structure was therefore closed on three sides, and occasionally open to the north-west. Since the distribution of finds in this area extends far to the north-west, the exit of the dwelling can be postulated here. The outside hearth, together with the thin spread of finds and the scatter of retouched forms, are suggestive of an open-air zone of activities (Figure 68.3d).

Taking all the evidence into account, we regard the upper find horizon of Andernach 3 as showing a polygonal structure, oriented north-west/south-east, with a central hearth (Figure 68.3d). The approximately parallel walls at the east and the west suggest several possibilities for the form of the dwelling, including a pentagonal shape, or, taking into account the possible 'posthole', a slightly oblong, hexagonal layout. The dwelling therefore encloses a surface of *c.* 4.5 × 4.5m, with a maximum inner surface area of 14m².

Berlin-Tegel Concentration IX

The site of Berlin-Tegel A is situated to the north of Berlin, immediately above a small stream, the Tegeler Fließ (Figure 68.1). The site was excavated in the early 1960s under the direction of Mey and von Müller. Twenty-two concentrations of artefacts (Federmessergruppen and Ahrensburgian) were examined over a surface area of 4000m² (Probst 1989).





Figure 68.3. Andernach/Martinsberg 3. Upper find horizon (section of the excavated area). A) Isopach distribution of finds < 1cm recovered using wet sieving per 0.25m². Distribution of retouched tools and cores. B) Distribution of chalcedony blanks > 1cm and bone fragments > 3cm. C) Isopach distribution of all finds recovered using wet sieving, limited at 50 pieces per 0.25m². Conjoining lines of all included pieces. D) Suggested exterior partition wall.

Concentration IX has an extension of 7 × 8m (Gelhausen *et al.* 2005, fig. 7), but the find density lines show clearly the prominent western part of concentration IX, in contrast to the thin spread of artefacts in the eastern sector (Figure

68.4a). Close to the area of highest find density, a hearth was indicated by the red colouration of the sand and by burnt bones and artefacts. About 80% of the stone artefacts from the entire concentration IX are burnt. They therefore



do not seem to be in primary positions but are considered as having been dispersed by settlement activities. There was an accumulation of modified tools and cores near the hearth, but considerable amounts of these artefacts were found at the edge of the actual concentration of finds (Figure 68.4b). (For the distribution of the different categories of modified tools and for the distribution of cores see Gelhausen *et al.* (2004, fig. 4c–g)). Numerous refit lines end at the border of the concentration, or run closely parallel to it (Figure 68.4c). They stress also the association of the left side of the concentration with this structure. The isopachs of find density, the distribution of larger objects at the edge of concentration IX, and the refit lines stressing this border, define a zone which was formerly limited to this space and thus suggest a dwelling. This had a trapezoidal ground plan, four metres long and no more than four metres broad, with the greatest width in its northern section (Figure 68.4d). The longitudinal axis of this structure was orientated north-north-east/south-south-west. Neither a front nor rear exit can be identified by refits of artefacts. However a small accumulation of finds located to the north-east of concentration IX might be seen as related to a tent entrance. A small cluster of artefacts (concentration X) lies on the longitudinal axis of the reconstructed dwelling and might derive from activities conducted behind the tent. This would match other better documented tent structures, which often exhibit a greater deposition of finds outside the back of the dwelling (Jöris and Terberger 2001, 168).

Results and Outlook

The three find scatters presented here show indications of the presence of former dwellings. At the edge of the artefact concentrations a clear decrease in find density can be observed almost everywhere. Due to excavation methods this is more striking at Niederbieber IV and Andernach 3 than at Berlin-Tegel IX. Numerous connections of refitted artefacts end where the find density decreases or runs parallel to these zones. Many modified artefacts are concentrated within the find concentrations and along their

Figure 68.4. (left) Berlin-Tegel A, Concentration IX. A) Isopachs of all artefacts. B) Horizontal distribution of the modified tools (scrapers, burins, retouched blades, retouched flakes, borer, splintered pieces and backed pieces). C) All refit lines (1: production sequences; 2: breaks; 3: breaks of burnt artefact fragments; 4: artefacts; 5: shatter). D) Interpretation (1: zone of activity around the fireplace; 2: backward toss zone; 3: areas of cleared out material; 4: supposed direction of clearing activities; 5: find-rich zone around the central fireplace; 6: clusters of stone artefacts). (With B–C the find density lines for 5–10 artefacts and 10–15 artefacts are displayed).

edges. At Niederbieber und Andernach the concentrations are nearly void of unburned bones. At Niederbieber, larger stones are also found at the edge or outside the find concentration. The settlement structures presented here differ among themselves with regard to small-scale artefact concentrations, which indicate working areas, and in their degree of dispersion and the intensity with which the interior area of the dwellings has been used (Gelhausen *et al.* 2005). The clarity of the distribution of larger objects at the edges of these find scatters, and the number of refit lines accentuating the borders of the find concentrations, rise with the degree of dispersion of these small clusters of tools. Even if a certain 'temporal trend' is reflected by this dispersion of finds, and by the different amounts of tool types, this does not appear to be too important: if certain types of tools are less well represented the complete 'tool set' is still always present (Kegler 2002).

The dwelling features discussed in this paper are smaller than the trapezoidal dwellings of the Magdalenian and early Federmessergruppen. We were, nevertheless, able to identify some features common to both – the trapezoidal floor plan, partitioned into sectors both rich and poor in finds, and a clearly defined area with a high density of finds around the hearth. The Mesolithic dwellings of Ulkestrup II type (Grøn 1995; Wenzel 2002) also share exactly these characteristics. The dwelling structures of the Final Palaeolithic and the Mesolithic, which hardly left any evident traces, underline, in conjunction with several other arguments (e.g. Baales 2002), the high degree of mobility of Allerød and Holocene populations, and the regularity with which they moved their dwelling sites.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Dr Olaf Jöris, Monrepos, for many references and discussions. We thank Dr Martin Street, Monrepos, for improving the English text. Stefan Wenzel thanks Mrs A. Hoffman and Prof. Dr W. Menghin for permission to work with the material from Area 6 at Berlin-Tegel, housed in the Museum für Vor- und Frühgeschichte Berlin under If 24640/1-472. He also thanks the German Research Council (DFG) for their funding of a project on Palaeolithic dwelling structures (WE2856/1-2).

References

- Andersen, K., Jørgensen, S. and Richter, J. 1982. *Maglemose hytterne ved Ulkestrup Lyng*. Nordiske Fortidsminder, Series B, vol. 7. København, Det Kongelige Nordiske Oldskriftselskab.
- Baales, M. 2001. Zur Fortführung der Ausgrabungen auf dem spätpaläolithischen Siedlungsareal von Niederbieber (Stadt Neuwied). Erste Ergebnisse der siedlungsarchäologischen Analyse. *Jahrbuch des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums Mainz* 48, 163–98.
- Baales, M. 2002. *Der spätpaläolithische Fundplatz Kettig*. Monographien des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums 51. Mainz, Römisch-Germanisches Zentralmuseum.
- Binford, L. R. 1983. *In pursuit of the past. Decoding the archaeological record*. London, Thames and Hudson.
- Bokelmann, K. 1986. Rast unter Bäumen. Ein ephemerer mesolithischer Lagerplatz aus dem Duvenseer Moor. *Offa* 43, 149–63.
- Bokelmann, K. 1989. Eine mesolithische Kiefernindenmatte aus dem Duvenseer Moor. *Offa* 46, 17–22.
- Bolus, M. 1992. *Die Siedlungsbefunde des späteiszeitlichen Fundplatzes Niederbieber (Stadt Neuwied). Ausgrabungen 1981–1988*. With contributions from G. Roth, S. Stephan and R. C. Rotländer. Monographien des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums Mainz 22, Mainz/Bonn, Römisch-Germanisches Zentralmuseum.
- Bosinski, G. 1979. *Die Ausgrabungen in Gönnersdorf 1968–1976 und die Siedlungsbefunde der Grabung 1968*. Der Magdalénien-Fundplatz Gönnersdorf 3, Wiesbaden, F. Steiner Verlag.
- De Bie, M. and Caspar, J.-P. 2000. *Rekem. A Federmesser Camp on the Meuse River Bank*. Acta Archaeologica Lovaniensia Monographiae 10, 2 vol. Leuven, Leuven University Press.
- Gelhausen, F. 2007. *Untersuchungen zum Siedlungsmuster der allerødzeitlichen Federmessergruppen in Niederbieber, Stadt Neuwied, Rheinland-Pfalz*. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Cologne.
- Gelhausen, F., Kegler, J. F. and Wenzel, S. 2004. Latent dwelling structures in the Final Palaeolithic: Niederbieber IV, Andernach-Martinsberg 3, Berlin-Tegel IX. *Notae Praehistoricae* 24, 69–79.
- Gelhausen, F., Kegler, J. F. and Wenzel, S. 2005. Hütten oder Himmel? Latente Behausungsstrukturen im Spätpaläolithikum Mitteleuropa. *Jahrbuch des Römisch-Germanisches Zentralmuseums Mainz* 51, 2004 (2005), 1–22.
- Grøn, O. 1995. *The Maglemose Culture. The Reconstruction of the Social Organization of a Mesolithic Culture in Northern Europe*. British Archaeological Reports, International Series 5616. Oxford, Tempvs Reperatvm.
- Grøn, O. 2003. Mesolithic dwelling places in south Scandinavia: their definition and social interpretation. *Antiquity* 77 (298), 685–708.
- Jöris, O. and Terberger, T. 2001. Zur Rekonstruktion eines Zeltes mit trapezförmigem Grundriß am Magdalénien-Fundplatz Gönnersdorf / Mittelrhein – eine » Quadratur des Kreises « ? *Archäologisches Korrespondenzblatt* 31, 163–72.
- Kegler, J. F. 2002. Die federmesserzeitliche Fundschicht des paläolithischen Siedlungsplatzes Andernach/Martinsberg (Neuwieder Becken), Grabung 1994–1996. *Archäologisches Korrespondenzblatt* 32, 501–16.
- Kind, C.-J. 2003. *Das Mesolithikum in der Talaue des Neckars. Die Fundstellen von Rottenburg Siebenlinden 1 und 3*. Forschungen und Berichte zur Vor- und Frühgeschichte in Baden Württemberg 88. Stuttgart, Theiss.
- Leroi-Gourhan, A. 1984. *Pincevent. Campement magdalénien de chasseurs de rennes*. Guides archéologiques de la France 3. Paris, Imprimerie Nationale.
- Leroi-Gourhan, A. and Brézillon, M. 1972. *Fouilles de Pincevent. Essai d'analyse ethnographique d'un habitat magdalénien*. VIIe supplément à Gallia Préhistoire. Paris, Centre national de la recherche scientifique (CNRS).
- Probst, B. 1989. Rastplätze spätaltsteinzeitlicher Jäger von Berlin-Tegel. With a contribution from F. Schweingruber. *Ausgrabungen in Berlin* 8, 5–177.
- Stapert, D. 1992. *Rings and Sectors: Intrasite Spatial Analysis of Stone Age Sites*. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Groningen.

- Stapert, D. 2002. Maglemose huts and Duvensee: Spatial analysis with 'ANALITHIC', in T. Terberger and B. V. Eriksen (eds.), *Hunters in a changing world: Environment and Archaeology of the Pleistocene–Holocene Transition (ca 11000–9000 BC) in Northern Central Europe. Workshop of the UISPP-Commission XXXII at Greifswald in September 2002*, 223–41. Internationale Archaologie – Arbeitsgemeinschaft, Symposium, Tagung, Kongress 5. Rahden/Westphalia, Verlag Marie Leidorf.
- Stapert, D. and Street, M. 1997. High resolution or optimum resolution? Spatial analysis of the Federmesser site at Andernach, Germany. *World Archaeology* 29 (2), 172–94.
- Street, M. 1995. Andernach-Martinsberg, in G. Bosinski, M. Street and M. Baales (eds.), *The Palaeolithic and Mesolithic of the Rhineland*, in W. Schirmer (ed.), *Quaternary field trips in Central Europe, Vol. 2. Field trips on special topics*. International Union for Quaternary Research, XIV international Congress, 3–10 August, 1994, Berlin, Germany, 910–18. München, Verlag Friedrich Pfeil.
- Vermeersch, P. M., Symens, N., Vyncker, P., Gijssels, G. and Lauwers, R. 1987. Orp, site Magdalénien de plein air (comm. de Orp-Jauche). *Archaeologica Belgica* 3, 7–56.
- Wenzel, S. 2002. Ein mittelsteinzeitlicher Zeltbefund von Hartmannsdorf in Brandenburg. *Archäologisches Korrespondenzblatt* 32, 1–13.
- Winter, D. 1986. *Der spätpaläolithische Fundplatz Niederbieber. Fläche 50/14–56/20*. Unpublished MA thesis, University of Cologne.
- Winter, D. 1987. Retuscheure des spätpaläolithischen Fundplatzes Niederbieber/Neuwieder Becken (Fläche 50/14–56/20). *Archäologisches Korrespondenzblatt* 17, 295–309.