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#### Abstract

We show how to compute finite abstractions of linear equations systems over the reals. We develop a general method based on elementary modes, that can be applied to the various abstractions into finite structures, including the sign abstraction as used in abstract interpretation based program analysis, and the difference abstraction as used in change prediction algorithms for flux networks in systems biology.
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## 1 Introduction

Systems of linear equations serve in abstract interpretation to abstract from the concrete semantics of programs with arithmetic operations [4, 10]. In systems biology, systems of linear equations are used to describe the fluxes of a reaction network in a steady state [13, 14]. Both applications raise a very similar question on how to compute an abstraction for linear equations systems. For program analysis based on abstract interpretation [15], one might ask for instance, whether the value of a variable $x$ in a program is strictly positive if the value of another variable $y$ was. This question is about the sign abstraction of the $\mathbb{R}$-solution set of a linear equation system (that itself abstracts from the programs semantics). In systems biology $[11,17,5,1]$ one might want to know for a given flux network, whether a flux $x$ must increase (resp. decrease) if some other flux $y$ does. This question concerns the difference abstraction of a pair of $\mathbb{R}$-solutions of the equation system, that is of an $\mathbb{R}^{2}$-solution of the system of linear equations where addition and multiplication are defined component-wise.

We therefore study the question of how to compute finite abstractions of the solution set of a system of linear equation over the reals. Given an homomorphism $h: \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \Delta$ between $\Sigma$-structures where $\Sigma=\{+, *, 0,1\}$ and $\Delta$ is finite, and a linear equation system $\phi$ with integer coefficients, the question is how to compute the $h$-abstraction of the solution set of $\phi$. If $V$ is the set of variables of $\phi$, then the set to be computed is:

$$
h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}^{k}}(\phi)=\left\{h \circ \alpha \mid \alpha: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}, \text { s.t. } \mathbb{R}^{k}, \alpha \models \phi\right\}
$$

This problem generalizes on both questions above. The instance for the first question concerns the sign abstraction $h_{\mathbb{S}}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\{-1,0,1\}$ which satisfies $h_{\mathbb{S}}(r)=1$ if $r>0, h_{\mathbb{S}}(r)=-1$ if $r<0$ and otherwise $h_{\mathbb{S}}(0)=0$. The second instance concerns the difference abstraction $h_{\Delta_{3}}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow\{\uparrow, \downarrow, \sim\}$, where $\uparrow$ stands for increase, $\downarrow$ for decrease, and $\sim$ for no change. It satisfies $h_{\Delta_{3}}\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)=\uparrow$ if $r<r^{\prime}, h_{\Delta_{3}}\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)=\downarrow$ if $r>r^{\prime}$, and otherwise $h_{\Delta_{3}}\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)=\sim$.
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We next illustrate the difficulty of the problem by showing how to compute $h_{\mathbb{S}} \circ s o l^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi)$ from $\phi$ with the existing methods. Note that the set $s o l^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi)$ cannot be enumerated since it is infinite. Instead we can enumerate the set of sign assignments $\alpha: V \rightarrow\{-1,0,1\}$ which is finite. Furthermore, since $h_{\mathbb{S}}(x)=-1$ is equivalent to $x<0$ and $h_{\mathbb{S}}(x)=1$ to $0<x$, the system $\phi \wedge \bigwedge_{x \in V} h_{\mathbb{S}}(x)=\alpha(x)$ is equivalent to a system of linear equations and strict inequations. The satisfiability of such a system can be decided in at most exponential time [6]. However, this method is not feasible in practice given that the number of sign assignments grows exponentially with the number of variables. So the question is whether there exists a more efficient algorithm for computing $h_{\mathbb{S}} \circ s o l^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi)$.

From John's overapproximation theorem [11, 17], we know for any homomorphism $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ between $\Sigma$-structures and any negation-free first-order $\Sigma$-formula $\phi$ that $h \circ$ $s o l^{S}(\phi) \subseteq \operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)$. We call $\phi h$-exact if and only if $h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)=\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)$. If $\Delta$ is finite, then we can compute for any $h$-exact formula $\phi$ the abstraction $h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)$ by computing $\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)$ with finite domain constraint programming [18].

In a first step, we show that any integer linear matrix equation $A x=0$ can be transformed into some $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent $\Sigma$-formula that is quasi-positive and quasi-triangular and thus $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact. This transformation is based on the computation of elementary modes [16, 8, 9, 19] - the extreme rays of the cone $s o l^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(A x=0)$ - that can be done in practice by various libraries from computational geometry [3]. The conversion may take exponential time in the worst case, but is often well-behaved. The correctness of the conversion relies on the fact that the $\Sigma$-algebras $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\mathbb{B}$ permit unique division by nonzero natural numbers.

In the second step, we introduce $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed systems, which generalize on systems of linear equations, positive polynomial equations $p=0$ and inequations $p \neq 0$ where polynomial $p$ has no constant term. We then show that $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed systems can be converted to an $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact formula too. In order to do so, extend on the results from the first step by introducing the notion of $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-invariant $\Sigma$-formulas, which subsume the poynomial equations $p=0$ and inequations $p \neq 0$ for all positive polynomials $p$ without constant terms.

In a third step, we rewrite linear equations systems $\phi$ into $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed formulas $\phi^{\prime}$, based on the two previous steps, so that sign abstraction of $h_{\mathbb{S}} \circ s o l^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi)$ can be computed from boolean abstraction $h_{\mathbb{B}} \circ s o l^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=s o l^{\mathbb{B}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$. It is then sufficient to compute the boolean solution set $s o l^{\mathbb{P}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ by finite domain constraint programming. The rewriting approach based the results for $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed systems presented here was applied recently by the authors [1] to the difference abstraction $h_{\Delta_{3}}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow\{\uparrow, \downarrow, \sim\}$ and a refinement thereof into a finite $\Sigma$-structure with 6 elements. This procedure was implemented and applied successfully for change prediction in systems biology. It illustrates that our results presented here do provide a general framework enabling the computation of various finite abstractions of linear equation systems.

We illustrate our results by applying the sign abstraction for program analysis based on abstract interpretation. We consider the Python implementation in Fig. 1 of the function integral : $\mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with parameter $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A call integral ( $a$, step $)$ computes the approximation of the integral $\int_{0}^{a} \mathrm{f}(x) d x$ with stepwidth step. Abstract interpretation applied to this program with a polyhedral abstract domain may produce the following first-order formula $\phi_{\text {integral }}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\text { throw_exception }=1 \Longleftrightarrow a<0) \wedge(\text { do_recursion }=1 \Longleftrightarrow \text { step } \leq a) \wedge \\
& a_{\text {rec }}=a-\text { step } \wedge \text { step }_{\text {rec }}=\text { step }
\end{aligned}
$$

This formula uses the following variables: a flag throw_exception that is true on exception throwing; a flag do_recursion that is true when a recursive call is made; two variables $a_{\text {rec }}$, $s t e p_{\text {rec }}$ representing the parameters passed recursively to integral.

```
def integral(a: float, step: float):
    if a < 0: raise ValueError('This should never happen')
    if step > a:
        return 0
    else:
        return step * f(a) + integral(a - step, step)
```

Figure 1 Python function approximating the integral $\int_{0}^{a} f(x) d x$ for a given function $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

In order to know whether an exception may be thrown, we are interested in the sign abstraction for this formula $h_{\mathbb{S}} \circ s o l^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\phi_{\text {integral }}\right)$. According to John's Theorem [11], this abstraction can be overapproximated by $\operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{S}}$ ( $\phi_{\text {integral }}$ ) which in turn can be computed by finite domain constraint programming. However, this approximation does not rule out that $a_{\text {rec }}$ may be strictly negative when do_recursion is true, although this condition is not possible when running the program. Conversely, this is correctly reflected by the abstraction of its abstract interpretation $h_{\mathbb{S}} \circ s o l^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\phi_{\text {integral }}\right)$, that can be computed by converting it to a $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed system which is then solved with the methods presented above.

## 2 Preliminaries

Sets. We start with usual notation from set theory. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set natural numbers and $\mathbb{R}_{+}$the set of positive real numbers, both including 0 . For any set $A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of $n$-tuples of elements in $A$ is denoted by $A^{n}$. The $i$-th projection function on $n$-tuples of elements in $A$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ is the function $\pi_{i}: A^{n} \rightarrow A$ such that $\pi_{i}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=a_{i}$ for all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$. If $A$ is finite the number of elements of $A$ is denote by $|A|$.
Projections and Pairs. The projection $\pi_{a}(f)$ of a function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is its restriction $\alpha_{\mid A \backslash\{a\}}$. The projection of a set $F$ of functions $f: A \rightarrow B$ is $\pi_{a}(F)=\left\{\pi_{a}(f) \mid f \in F\right\}$. Furthermore, we define the pair function $f^{2}: A^{2} \rightarrow B^{2}$ such that $f^{2}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\left(f\left(a_{1}\right), f\left(a_{2}\right)\right)$.
$\Sigma$-Algebras and $\Sigma$-Structures. We next recall the usual notions of $\Sigma$-algebras and of homomorphism between $\Sigma$-algebras. Let $\Sigma=\cup_{n \geq 0} F^{(n)} \uplus C$ be a ranked signature. The elements of $f \in F^{(n)}$ are called the $n$-ary function symbols of $\Sigma$ and the elements in $c \in C$ its constants.

- Definition 1. A $\Sigma$-algebra $S=\left(\operatorname{dom}(S), .^{S}\right)$ consists of a set $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ and an interpretation .$^{S}$ such that $c^{S} \in \operatorname{dom}(S)$ for all $c \in C$, and $f^{S}: \operatorname{dom}(S)^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ for all $f \in F^{(n)}$.

In order to generalize $\Sigma$-algebras to $\Sigma$-structures, we consider $n$-ary function symbols as $n+1$-ary relation symbols.

- Definition 2. $A \Sigma$-structure $\Delta=\left(\operatorname{dom}(\Delta), .^{\Delta}\right)$ consists of a set $\operatorname{dom}(\Delta)$ and an interpretation.$^{\Delta}$ such that $c^{\Delta} \in \operatorname{dom}(\Delta)$ for all $c \in C$ and $f^{\Delta} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\Delta)^{n+1}$ for all $f \in F^{(n)}$.

Clearly, any $\Sigma$-algebra is also a $\Sigma$-structure. Note also that symbols in $F^{(0)}$ are interpreted as monadic relations, i.e., as subsets of the domain, in contrast to constants in $C$ that are interpreted as elements of the domain.

- Definition 3. A homomorphism between two $\Sigma$-structures $S$ and $\Delta$ is a function $h$ : $\operatorname{dom}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(\Delta)$ such that for $c \in C, n \in \mathbb{N}, f \in F^{(n)}$, and $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n+1} \in \operatorname{dom}(S)$ :

1. $h\left(c^{S}\right)=c^{\Delta}$, and
2. if $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n+1}\right) \in f^{S}$ then $\left(h\left(s_{1}\right), \ldots, h\left(s_{n+1}\right)\right) \in f^{\Delta}$.

| $d$ | $d^{\prime}$ | $d+{ }^{\mathbb{S}} d^{\prime}$ | $d *^{\text {S }} d^{\prime}$ | d | $d^{\prime}$ | $d+{ }^{\mathbb{S}} d^{\prime}$ | $d *^{\text {S }} d^{\prime}$ | $d$ | $d^{\prime}$ | $d+{ }^{\mathbb{S}} d^{\prime}$ | $d *^{\text {S }} d^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 1 | $\{-1,0,1\}$ | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | $\{-1,0,1\}$ | -1 |

Figure 2 Interpretation of $\Sigma$-structure of signs $\mathbb{S}$.

We can convert any $n+1$-ary relation to a $n$-ary set valued functions. In this way any $n$-function is converted to a $n$-ary set valued $n$-functions. In other words, functions of type $D^{n} \rightarrow D$ are converted to functions of type $D^{n} \rightarrow 2^{D}$ where $D=\operatorname{dom}(\Delta)$. In set-valued notation, the second condition on homomorphism can then be rewritten equivalently as $h\left(f^{S}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)\right) \subseteq f^{\Delta}\left(h\left(s_{1}\right), \ldots, h\left(s_{n}\right)\right)$. A homomorphism for $\Sigma$-algebras thus satisfies $h\left(c^{S}\right)=c^{\Delta}$ and $h\left(f^{S}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)\right)=f^{\Delta}\left(h\left(s_{1}\right), \ldots, h\left(s_{n}\right)\right)$.

- Definition 4. $A \Sigma$-abstraction is a homomorphism $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ between $\Sigma$-structures such that $\operatorname{dom}(\Delta) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(S)$.


## 3 The Boolean and the Sign Abstraction

Throughout the paper we will use the signature $\Sigma=F^{(2)} \uplus C$ with two binary function symbols in $F^{(2)}=\{+, *\}$, and two constants $C=\{0,1\}$. In the $\Sigma$-algebras that we will consider the functions + and $*$ will be associative and commutative, with neutral element 0 and 1 respectively.

- Example 5. The set of positive reals $\mathbb{R}_{+}$can be turned into a $\Sigma$-algebra, in which the functions symbols are interpreted as addition of positive reals $+\mathbb{R}_{+}$, multiplication of positive reals $*^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}$. The constants are interpreted by themselves $0^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}=0$ and $1^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}=1$.
- Example 6. The set of Booleans $\mathbb{B}=\{0,1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}$equally defines a $\Sigma$-algebra. There, the function symbols are interpreted as a disjunction $+{ }^{\mathbb{B}}=\vee^{\mathbb{B}}$ and conjunction $*^{\mathbb{B}}=\wedge^{\mathbb{B}}$ on Booleans. The constants are interpreted by themselves $0^{\mathbb{B}}=0$ and $1^{\mathbb{B}}=1$.

In order to abstract positive real numbers into booleans, we can define a function $h_{\mathbb{B}}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ such that $h_{\mathbb{B}}(0)=0$ and $h_{\mathbb{B}}(r)=1$ if $r>0$.

Lemma 7. The function $h_{\mathbb{B}}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a $\Sigma$-abstraction between $\Sigma$-algebras.

- Example 8. The set of signs $\{-1,0,1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ can be turned into a $\Sigma$-structure $\mathbb{S}=$ $\left(\{-1,0,1\},,^{\mathbb{S}}\right)$ with the interpretation $+^{\mathbb{S}}$ and $*^{\mathbb{S}}$ given in Fig. 2. The constants are interpreted by themselves $0^{\mathbb{S}}=0$ and $1^{\mathbb{S}}=1$. Note that all $+{ }^{\mathbb{S}}$ contains $(-1,1,-1),(-1,1,1)$ and $(-1,1,0)$ meaning that the sum of a strictly negative and a strictly positive real has a sign in $-1+{ }^{\mathbb{S}} 1$, so it may either be strictly positive, strictly negative, or zero. For this reason, $\mathbb{S}$ is a $\Sigma$-structure but not a $\Sigma$-algebra.

We define the sign abstraction $h_{\mathbb{S}}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ such that $h_{\mathbb{S}}(0)=0, h_{\mathbb{S}}(r)=-1$ for all strictly negative reals $r<0$ and $h_{\mathbb{S}}(r)=1$ for all strictly positive reals $r>0$.

- Lemma 9. $h_{\mathbb{S}}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is a $\Sigma$-abstraction into a $\Sigma$-structure (that is not a $\Sigma$-algebra).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma} & ::=x|c| e \odot e^{\prime} & \text { where } c \in C=\{0,1\} \text { and } \odot \in F^{(2)}=\{+, *\} \\
\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma} & ::=e=e|\exists x \cdot \phi| \phi \wedge \phi \mid \neg \phi & \text { where } x \in \mathcal{V}
\end{array}
$$

## 4 Abstractions and First-Order Logic

We recall the first-order logic for $\Sigma$-structures and recall John's theorem [11] on how to overapproximate the $\Sigma$-abstraction first-order $\Sigma$-formulas.

We fix a set of variables $\mathcal{V}$ (for instance $\mathcal{V}=\mathbb{N}$ ). The variables in $\mathcal{V}$ will be ranged over by $x$ and $y$. The abstract syntax in Fig. 3 define the set of first-order expressions $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$ which are constructed from the function symbols in the signature $\Sigma$ and the variables in $\mathcal{V}$. A $\Sigma$-equation is a pair $e \stackrel{\circ}{=} e^{\prime}$ where $e, e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$. A first-order formulas $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ is constructed from $\Sigma$-equations with the usual first-order connectives. As shortcuts, we define the formula true $={ }_{\text {def }} 1 \stackrel{\circ}{=} 1$ and for any sequence of formulas $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ we define $\wedge_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}$ as $\phi_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \phi_{n}$ which is equal to true if $n=0$. Furthermore, we define formulas $e \neq 0$ by $\neg e^{\circ} 0$.

The semantics of first-order logic is standard. Let $S$ be a $\Sigma$-structure and $\alpha: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ be a variable assignment. For any expressions $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$ and variable assignment $\mathcal{V}(e) \subseteq V$, the semantics defines a subset of $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(S)$, and for any formula $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ with $\mathcal{V}(\phi) \subseteq V$ a truth value $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \in \mathbb{B}$. Expressions $e, e^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$ may be set valued in the case that $S$ is not a $\Sigma$-algebra. Therefore, the equality symbol $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ will be interpreted as nondisjointness, i.e., $e^{\circ} e^{\prime}$ is true if and only if $\llbracket \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \cap \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \neq \emptyset$. If $S$ is a $\Sigma$-algebra, then both sets will be singletons. Therefore, the equality symbol $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ is indeed interpreted as equality for $\Sigma$-algebra, but not for $\Sigma$-structures. See Fig. 4 of the appendix for the details.

The set of solutions of a formula $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ over a $\Sigma$-algebra $S$ with respect to a set of variables $V$ that contains $\mathcal{V}(\phi)$ is defined by $\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)=\left\{\alpha: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S) \mid \llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=1\right\}$. If $V=\mathcal{V}(\phi)$ we omit the index $V$, i.e., $\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)=\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)$.

- Lemma 10 Quantification is projection. $\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\exists x . \phi)=\pi_{x}\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right)$.

Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction and $V \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. For any subset of assignments $R$ of type $V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ we define $h \circ R=\{h \circ \alpha: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(\Delta) \mid \alpha \in R\}$.

- Theorem 11 John's Overapproximation Theorem [1, 17, 11]. For any $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ between $\Sigma$-structures and negation-free formula $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}: h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi) \subseteq \operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)$.

We only give a sketch of the proof. Let $\alpha: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$. For any expression $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$ such that $\mathcal{V}(e) \subseteq V$ we can show that $h\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)=\llbracket e \rrbracket^{h \circ \alpha, \Delta}$ by induction on the structure of $\phi$. It then follows for any positive formula $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ with $\mathcal{V}(\phi) \subseteq V$ that $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \leq \llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{h \circ \alpha, \Delta}$. This is equivalent to that: $\left\{h \circ \alpha \mid \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{\Delta}(\phi)$ and thus $h \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi) \subseteq \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{\Delta}(\phi)$.

## 5 Linear Equation Systems and Elementary Modes

We are interested in systems of $\Sigma$-equation where $\Sigma=\{+, *, 1,0\}$. The base case will be homogeneous linear equations systems with natural coefficients, which capture linear matrix integer equations $A \mathbf{x}=0$. We will show that elementary modes [16, 8, 9, 19] can be used to make linear integer matrix equations quasi-positive and strongly-triangular.

We also need systems of polynomial equations, with natural coefficients and no constant term, that are nonlinear. For any natural $n$ and expression $e, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$, we define the
expression $\prod_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}=e_{1} * \ldots * e_{n}$, which is equal to 1 if $n=0$ and to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}=e_{1}+\ldots+e_{n}$ which is equal to 0 if $n=0$. Furthermore, let $e^{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} e$ and $n e==_{\operatorname{def}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e$.

- Definition 12. A $\Sigma$-equation is called positive if it has the form $e \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ and quasi-positive if it has the form $e{ }^{\circ} n y$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in \mathcal{V}$, and $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$.

This definition makes sense, since all constants in $\Sigma$-expressions are positive and all operators of $\Sigma$-expressions preserve positivity. Note also that any positive equation is quasi-positive since the constant 0 is equal to the polynomial $0 y$. A system of $\Sigma$-equations is a conjunctive formula of the form $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} \stackrel{\circ}{=} e_{i}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$. We call a system of $\Sigma$-equations positive respectively quasi-positive if all its equations are.

A polynomial (with natural coefficients) is an expression of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{l} n_{j} \prod_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k}^{m_{j, k}}$ where $l$ and $i_{j}$ are naturals, $x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{l, i_{l}}$ variables, all $n_{j} \neq 0$ naturals called the coefficients, and all $m_{j, k} \neq 0$ naturals called the exponents. The products $\prod_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k}^{m_{j, k}}$ are called the monomials of the polynomial.

- Definition 13. A polynomial $\sum_{j=1}^{l} n_{j} \prod_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k}^{m_{j, k}}$ with natural coefficients $n_{j} \neq 0$ has no constant term if none of its monomials is equal to 1 , i.e., $i_{j} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq l$. It is linear if all its monomials are variables, i.e. $i_{j}=1$ and $m^{j, 1}=\ldots=m^{j, i_{j}}=1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq l$.

Note that any linear polynomial has the form $\sum_{j=1}^{l} n_{j} x_{j, 1}$ where $l$ and all $n_{j} \neq 0$ are naturals and all $x_{j, 1}$ are variables. In particular, linear polynomials do not have a constant term. Furthermore, note that the constant 0 is equal to the linear polynomial with $l=0$. A polynomial equation is a $\Sigma$-equation $p \stackrel{\circ}{=} p^{\prime}$ between polynomials. A (homogeneous) linear equation is a polynomial equation with linear polynomials, so without constant terms. A linear equation system is a system of linear equations.

An (homogeneous) linear integer matrix equation has the form $A \mathbf{y}{ }^{\circ} 0$ where $A$ is an $n \times m$ matrix of integers for some naturals $m, n$ such that $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{V}^{m}$. Any linear integer matrix equation can be turned into a linear equation system with natural coefficients, by bringing the negative coefficients on the right-hand side. For instance, the linear integer matrix equation on the right corresponds to the linear equation system with natural coefficients $3 x \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0 \wedge 2 x \stackrel{\circ}{=} 5 y$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
3 & 0 \\
2 & -5
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0
$$

This system is quasi-positive, but not positive since $5 y$ appears on a right-hand side. More generally, the linear equation system for an linear integer matrix equation $A \mathbf{y} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ is positive if and only if all integers in $A$ are positive, and quasi-positive, if each line of $A$ contains at most one negative integer. Furthermore, the above linear equation system is triangular in the following sense, but not strongly triangular:

- Definition 14. We call a quasi-positive system of $\Sigma$-equations triangular if it has the form $\bigwedge_{l=1}^{n} e_{l} \stackrel{\circ}{=} n_{l} y_{l}$ such that the variables $y_{l}$ are $l$-fresh for all $1 \leq l \leq n$, i.e., $y_{l} \notin \mathcal{V}\left(\wedge_{i=1}^{l-1} e_{i} \stackrel{\circ}{\ominus} e_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and if $n_{l} \neq 0$ then $y_{l} \notin \mathcal{V}\left(e_{l}\right)$. We call the quasi-positive polynomial system strongly-triangular if it is triangular and satisfies $n_{l} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq l \leq n$.

Consider a linear integer matrix equation $A \mathbf{y} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$. If $A$ is positive and triangular, then the corresponding linear equation system is positive and triangular too. For being quasi-positive and strongly-triangular, the integers below the diagonal of $A$ must negative, those on the diagonal must be strictly negative, and those on the right of the diagonal must be positive.

- Theorem 15 Elementary Modes. For any system of linear equations $\phi$ with natural coefficients, one can compute in at most exponential time an $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent formula $\exists \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \phi^{\prime}$ such that $\phi^{\prime}$ is a quasi-positive strongly-triangular system of linear equations with natural coefficients and $\boldsymbol{x}$ the sequence of variables on the left hand sides of the equations.

The theorem applies in particular to the linear equation systems of integer matrix equations $A \mathbf{y}=0$. It shows that there exists a matrix $E$ of naturals, a vector of naturals $\mathbf{n}$, and a vector of fresh variables $\mathbf{x}$, such that $A \mathbf{y} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent to $\exists \mathbf{x} . E \mathbf{x} \stackrel{\circ}{=} \mathbf{n y}$.
Proof Consider a system $\phi$ of linear equations with natural coefficients. Geometrically, the solution space of $\phi$ over the reals is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{V}(\phi)}$. When restricted to positive reals, as we do, this linear subspace is to be intersected with the positive cone $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{\mathcal{V}(\phi)}$. Therefore, sol $\mathbb{R}_{+}(\phi)$ is a rational cone obtained by intersecting finitely many halfspaces: its H-representation is defined by the inequations $A \mathbf{y} \leq 0 \wedge A \mathbf{y} \geq 0 \wedge \mathbf{y} \geq 0$. The elementary modes of $\phi$ are the extreme rays of this cone and allow its V-representation. Up to normalization there are finitely many such extreme rays. Moreover, since the cone is rational and $\phi$ is homogeneous, the elementary modes can be normalised so that the V-representation contains only integer coefficients. The normalized elementary modes will be vectors of naturals in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{V}}(\phi)$. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be the set of all normalized elementary modes in some arbitrary total order. Let $E$ be the matrix with columns $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be the sequence of all variables of $\mathcal{V}(\phi)$ in some arbitrary total order. By construction, the variable in $\mathbf{y}$ are pairwise distinct. According to the normalized V-representation of the system, every point of the cone is a positive linear combination of the elementary modes $\exists \mathbf{x}$. $E \mathbf{x} \stackrel{\circ}{=} \mathbf{n y}$, where $\mathbf{n}$ contains the normalization factors. The linear system $E \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{n y}$ is quasi-positive, since $E$ and $\mathbf{n}$ are positive. The variables in $\mathbf{x}$ can be chosen freshly, and thus pairwise distinct with $\mathbf{y}$. The linear system $E \mathbf{x} \stackrel{\circ}{=} \mathbf{n y}$ is strongly-triangular, since each variable of $\mathbf{y}$ occurs in exactly one equation and never on the left. Therefore, we can define $\phi^{\prime}$ as $E \mathbf{x} \stackrel{\circ}{=} \mathbf{n y}$. The computation of the elementary modes and thus of $E$ can be done in at most exponential time in the size of $\phi$ by Motzkin's double description method [16, 8, 9].

## 6 Abstraction Exactness

John's overapproximation Theorem 11 shows that the set of solutions over the abstract domain $\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)$ is an approximation by the abstraction of the concrete solution set $h\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right)$ for any abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ from concrete to abstract structure and any positive first-order formula $\phi$. We say $\phi$ is $h$-exact if even equality holds.

Definition 16 Exactness. Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction, $\phi$ a $\Sigma$-formula and $V \supseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$. We call $\phi h$-exact with respect to $V$ if $h\left(\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)\right)=\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{\Delta}(\phi)$. We call $\phi h$-exact if $\phi$ is $h$-exact with respect to $\mathcal{V}(\phi)$.

Our next objective is to study the preservation of $h$-exactness by logical operators. The main difficulty of this paper is the fact that $h$-exactness is not preserved by conjunction. Nevertheless, as we will show next, it is preserved by disjunction and existential quantification. For the case of disjunction, we need a basic property of union which fails for intersection.

- Lemma 17. Let $V$ be a set of variables, $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ be subsets of assignments of type $V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ and $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction. $h \circ\left(R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)=h \circ R_{1} \cup h \circ R_{2}$.
- Proposition 18. The disjunction of h-exact formulas is $h$-exact.
- Lemma 19 Projection commutes with abstraction. For any $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$, subset $R$ of assignments of type $V \rightarrow S$, and variable $x \in \mathcal{V}: h \circ \pi_{x}(R)=\pi_{x}(h \circ R)$.

Proposition 20 Quantification preserves exactness. For any surjective $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ and formula $\exists x . \phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$, if $\phi$ is $h$-exact then $\exists x . \phi$ is h-exact.

## Abstracting Linear Equation Systems

We next study the $h$-exactness for strongly-triangular systems of $\Sigma$-equations, under the condition that $h$ is an abstraction between $\Sigma$-algebras with unique division.

- Lemma 21 Singleton property. If $S$ is a $\Sigma$-algebra, $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$, and $\alpha: \mathcal{V}(e) \rightarrow S$ a variable assignment, then the set $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}$ is a singleton.

A $\Sigma$-algebra is a $\Sigma$-structure with the singleton property. Let ele be the function that maps any singleton to the element that it contains.

- Definition 22. We say that a $\Sigma$-structure $S$ has unique division, if it satisfies the first-order formula $\forall x . \exists^{=1} y$. ny $\stackrel{\circ}{=} x$ for all nonzero natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Clearly, the $\Sigma$-algebras $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\mathbb{B}$ have unique division. For any element $s$ of the domain of a structure $S$ with unique division and any nonzero natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\frac{s}{n}$ the unique element of $\left\{\alpha(y) \mid \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(n y \stackrel{\circ}{=} z), \alpha(z)=s\right\}$.

Lemma 23. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ be a $\Sigma$-formula and $S$ a $\Sigma$-algebra with unique division. For nonzero natural number $n$, variable $y \notin \mathcal{V}(\phi)$, and expression $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$ with $\mathcal{V}(e) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$ :

$$
\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi \wedge n y \stackrel{\circ}{=})=\left\{\left.\alpha\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right] \right\rvert\, \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right\}
$$

- Proposition 24. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ a $\Sigma$-formula, $n \neq 0$ a natural number, $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma} a \Sigma$-expression with $\mathcal{V}(e) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$ and $y \notin \mathcal{V}(\phi)$ and the $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ with $S$ and $\Delta$ two $\Sigma$-algebras with unique division. Then if $\phi$ is $h$-exact implies that $\phi \wedge e \stackrel{\circ}{=} n y$ is $h$-exact.
Sketch of Proof. We can show that $h\left(e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)\right)=e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{h \circ \alpha, \Delta}\right)$ and $h\left(\frac{s}{n}\right)=\frac{h(s)}{n}$. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi \wedge e \stackrel{\circ}{=} n y) & =h \circ\left\{\left.\alpha\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right] \right\rvert\, \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right\} & & \text { by Lemma } 23 \\
& =\left\{\left.(h \circ \alpha)\left[y / h\left(\frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{\rrbracket^{\alpha}}\right)\right] \right\rvert\, \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right\} & & \text { elementary } \\
& =\left\{\left.\sigma\left[y / h\left(\frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right)\right] \right\rvert\, \sigma \in \operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)\right\} & & h \text {-exactness of } \phi \\
& =\left\{\left.\sigma\left[y / \frac{h\left(e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)\right)}{n}\right] \right\rvert\, \sigma \in \operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)\right\} & & \\
& =\left\{\left.\sigma\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{h \circ \alpha, \Delta}\right)}{n}\right] \right\rvert\, \sigma \in \operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)\right\} & & \\
& =\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}\left(\phi \wedge e^{\circ} n y\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 23
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 25. Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction between $\Sigma$-algebras with unique division. Then any strongly-triangular system of $\Sigma$-equations with natural coefficients is $h$-exact.

Sketch of Proof By induction on the number of equations and Proposition 24.
We notice that Proposition 25 remains true for triangular systems that are not stonglytriangular. This will follow with further results from the next section (Theorem 37 and Proposition 31) requiring a different argument.

- Theorem 26 Exactness. Quasi-positive strongly-triangular polynomial system are $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact.

Proof The $\Sigma$-algebras $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\mathbb{B}$ have unique division, so we can apply Proposition 25 .
The Elementary Modes Theorem 15 show that any integer matrix equation $A \mathbf{x}=0$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent to some quasi-positive strongly-triangular linear equation system. We can thus apply Theorem 26 to obtain the following corollary.

- Corollary 27. Any matrix integer equation can be converted in at most exponential time to some $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact $\Sigma$-formula.

This corollary permits us to compute the $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-abstraction of an integer matrix equation by computing the $\mathbb{B}$-solutions of the $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent $h_{\mathbb{B}^{-} \text {-exact formula. For computing abstractions }}$ between structures without unique division we need to strengthen this result.

## 7 Abstraction Invariance

The essential problem approached by the paper is that conjunctions of two $h$-exact formulas may not be $h$-exact. The situation changes when assuming the following notion of $h$-invariance for at least one of the two formulas.

- Definition 28 Invariance. Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction and $V \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ a subset of variables. We call a subset $R$ of variable assignments of type $V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S) h$-invariant iff:

$$
\forall \alpha, \alpha^{\prime}: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S) .\left(\alpha \in R \wedge h \circ \alpha=h \circ \alpha^{\prime} \Longrightarrow \alpha^{\prime} \in R\right) .
$$

We call a $\Sigma$-formula $\phi$-invariant if its solution set $\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)$ is.
The relevance of the notion of invariance for exactness of conjunctions - that we will formalize in Proposition 31 - is due to the the following lemma:

- Lemma 29. If either $R_{1}$ or $R_{2}$ are h-invariant then: $h \circ\left(R_{1} \cap R_{2}\right)=h \circ R_{1} \cap h \circ R_{2}$.

Sketch of Proof. The one inclusion is straightforward without invariance. For the other inclusion, we can assume with loss of generality that $R_{1}$ is $h$-invariant. So let $\beta \in h \circ R_{1} \cap h \circ R_{2}$. Then there exist $\alpha_{1} \in R_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2} \in R_{2}$ such that $\beta=h \circ \alpha_{1}=h \circ \alpha_{2}$. By $h$-invariance of $R_{1}$ it follows that $\alpha_{1} \in R_{2}$. So $\alpha_{1} \in R_{1} \cap R_{2}$, and hence, $\beta \in h \circ\left(R_{1} \cap R_{2}\right)$.

We continue with an algebraic characterization of $h$-invariance. Given a $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$, and a set $R$ of variable assignments to $\operatorname{dom}(\Delta)$, we define the left-decomposition of $R$ with respect to $h$ as the following set of variable assignments to $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ :

$$
h \ominus R==_{\operatorname{def}}\{\alpha \mid h \circ \alpha \in R\}
$$

Clearly, $R \subseteq h \ominus(h \circ R)$. The inverse inclusion characterizes the $h$-invariance of $R$.
Lemma 30 Algebraic characterization. A subset of $R$ variables assignment of type $V \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ is $h$-invariant for an $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ iff $h \ominus(h \circ R) \subseteq R$.

- Proposition 31 Exactness is preserved by conjunction when assuming invariance. Let $h$ be a surjective $\Sigma$-abstraction. If $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are $h$-exact $\Sigma$-formulas and $\phi_{1}$ or $\phi_{2}$ are $h$-invariant then the conjunction $\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}$ is $h$-exact.

Proof Let $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ be $h$-exact $\Sigma$-formulas. We assume without loss of generality that $\phi_{1}$ is $h$-invariant. Let $V=\mathcal{V}\left(\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{V}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \subseteq V$ the set $\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{2}\right)$ is $h$-invariant too by Lemma 54. We can now show that $\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}$ is $h$-exact as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}\right) & =h \circ\left(\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{2}\right)\right) & & \\
& =h \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cap h \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 29 \\
& =\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{\Delta}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{\Delta}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & & \text { by } h \text {-exactness of } \phi_{1} \text { and } \phi_{2} \text { wrt } V \\
& =\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}\left(\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}\right) & &
\end{aligned}
$$

Our next objective is to show that $h$-invariant formulas are closed under conjunction, disjunction, and existential quantification. The two former closure properties rely on the following two algebraic properties of abstraction decomposition.

- Lemma 32. For any $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$, any subsets of assignments of type $V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S) R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ and $V$ a subset of variables:
- $h \ominus\left(R_{1} \cap R_{2}\right)=h \ominus R_{1} \cap h \ominus R_{2}$.
    - $h \ominus\left(R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)=h \ominus R_{1} \cup h \ominus R_{2}$.
    - Lemma 33 Intersection and union preserve invariance. Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction.
Then the intersection and union of any two $h$-invariant subsets $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ of variables
assignments of type $V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ is $h$-invariant.
    - Lemma 34 Projection commutes with left-decomposition. $h \ominus \pi_{x}(R)=\pi_{x}(h \ominus R)$.
    - Proposition 35 Invariance is preserved by conjunction, disjunction, and quantification.
If $h$ is a surjective abstraction then the class of h-invariant FO-formulas is closed under
conjunction, disjunction, and existential quantification.

We do not known whether negation preserves $h$-invariance in general, but for finite $\Delta$ it can be shown that if $\phi$ is $h$-exact and $h$-invariant, then $\neg \phi$ is $h$-exact and $h$-invariant too.

- Proposition 36. Let $h$ be a surjective $\Sigma$-abstractions. Then the class of $h$-exact and $h$ invariant $\Sigma$-formulas is closed under conjunction, disjunction and existential quantification.
- Theorem 37 Invariance. Any positive polynomial equation $p \stackrel{\circ}{=}$ such that p has no constant term is $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact and $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-invariant.

Sketch of Proof. Any positive polynomial equation $p \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ such that $p$ has no constant term and only positive coefficients has the form $\sum_{j=1}^{l} n_{j} \prod_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k}^{m_{j, k}}{ }_{=}^{\circ} 0$ where $l \geq 0$, and $n_{j}, i_{j}, m_{j, k}>0$. We can now show that for both algebras $S \in\left\{\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}$that:

$$
\operatorname{sol}^{S}(p \doteq 0)=\operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{l} \bigvee_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0\right)
$$

Since the formulas $x_{j, k} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ are $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact and $h_{\mathbb{B}^{-}}$-invariant, the theorem follows from the closure properties of Proposition 36.

## 8 Boolean Abstractions of $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-Mixed Systems

In this section we prove our main result stating how to compute the $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-abstraction of the $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-solution set of a $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed systems exactly.

- Definition 38. A $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed system is a formula in $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ of the form $\exists \boldsymbol{z}$. $\phi \wedge \phi^{\prime}$ where $\phi$ is a linear equation system and $\phi^{\prime}$ a $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-invariant and $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact first-order formula.

Note that linear equation systems $A \mathbf{y} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$, with $A$ an integer matrix and $\mathbf{y}$ a sequence of pairwise distinct variables, need not to be $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact, if $A$ is not positive. However, any linear equation systems of this shape is $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent to some quasi-positive strongly-triangular polynomial system, as shown by the Elementary Modes Theorem 15. And quasi-positive strongly-triangular polynomial system were shown to be $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact by Exactness Theorem 26.

- Theorem 39 Main. Any $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed system can be converted in exponential time to an $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent $\Sigma$-formula that is $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact.
Proof Consider a $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed system $\exists \mathbf{x}$. $\left(\phi \wedge \phi^{\prime}\right)$ where $\phi$ is a linear equation system and $\phi^{\prime}$ a first-order formula that is $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact and $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-invariant. Based on the Elementary Modes Theorem 15, the linear equation system $\phi$ can be transformed in exponential time to the form $\exists \mathbf{z} \cdot \phi^{\prime \prime}$ where $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ is a quasi-positive strongly-triangular system of linear equations. Such polynomial equation systems are $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact by Theorem 26 , and so is $\phi^{\prime \prime}$. The Invariance Proposition 31 shows that the conjunction $\phi^{\prime \prime} \wedge \phi^{\prime}$ is $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact too, since $\phi^{\prime}$ was assumed to be $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact and $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-invariant. Finally, $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exactness is preserved by existential quantification by Proposition 20, so the formula $\exists \mathbf{x} . \exists \mathbf{z} . \phi^{\prime \prime} \wedge \phi^{\prime}$ is $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact too.
- Corollary 40. The $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-abstraction of the $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-solution set of an $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed system $\phi$, that is $h_{\mathbb{B}} \circ s o \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(\phi)$, can be computed in at most exponential time in the size of the system $\phi$.

The algorithm from the proof Corollary 40 can be improved so that it becomes sufficiently efficient for practical use. For this the two steps with exponential worst case complexity must be made polynomial for the particular instances. First note that the computation of the elementary modes (Theorem 15) is known to be computationally feasible. Various algorithms for this purpose were implemented $[7,12,2,3]$ and applied successfully to problems in systems biology [9]. The second exponential step concerns the enumeration of all boolean variable assignments. This enumeration may be avoided by using constraint programming techniques for computing the solution set $s o l^{\mathbb{B}}\left(\phi^{\prime \prime}\right)$. For those $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed systems for which both steps can be done in polynomial time, we can compute the boolean abstraction of the $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-solution set in polynomial time too. The practical feasibility of this approach was demonstrated recently at an application to knockout prediction in systems biology [1], where previously only over-approximations could be computed.

## 9 Computing Sign Abstractions

We next show how to compute the sign abstraction $h_{\mathbb{S}} \circ s o l^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi)$ of the $\mathbb{R}$-solutions set of a linear equation system $\phi$. For this, we convert $\phi$ into a first-order formula $\phi^{\prime}$ based on our main Theorem 39 such that $h_{\mathbb{S}} \circ \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi)$ can be computed from $s o l^{\mathbb{B}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)$ in polynomial time. In order to do so, we relate in a first step the sign abstraction to the boolean abstraction, then show in a second step that this relationship can be defined in first-order logic, so that our Main Theorem for the boolean abstraction can be applied.

In the first step, we relate the sign abstraction to the boolean abstraction. For doing so, we decompose any real number $r$ into two positive numbers, its negative part $\ominus(r)$ and its positive part $\oplus(r)$, such that if $r \geq 0$ then $\ominus(r)=0$ and $\oplus(r)=r$ and otherwise $\ominus(r)=-r$ and $\oplus(r)=0$. The decomposition function dec : $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow\left(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times\{0\}\right)$ is defined as follows for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\operatorname{dec}(r)=(\ominus(r), \oplus(r))
$$

This function is a bijection, so it has an inverse function $\operatorname{dec}^{-1}:\left(\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \cup\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times\{0\}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which satisfies $\operatorname{dec}^{-1}\left(\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right)=r_{2}-r_{1}$ for all pairs $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ in its domain.

- Lemma 41 Decomposition. $h_{\mathbb{S}}=d e c^{-1} \circ h_{\mathbb{B}}^{2} \circ d e c$

Proof If $r$ is negative then $\operatorname{dec}^{-1}\left(h_{\mathbb{B}}^{2}(\operatorname{dec}(r))\right)=\operatorname{dec}^{-1}\left(h_{\mathbb{B}}^{2}((-r, 0))\right)=\operatorname{dec}^{-1}\left(\left(h_{\mathbb{B}}(-r), 0\right)\right)=$ $-h_{\mathbb{B}}(-r)=h_{\mathbb{S}}(r)$. Otherwise if $r$ is positive then $\operatorname{dec}^{-1}\left(h_{\mathbb{B}}^{2}(\operatorname{dec}(r))\right)=\operatorname{dec}^{-1}\left(h_{\mathbb{B}}^{2}((0, r))\right)=$ $\operatorname{dec}^{-1}\left(\left(0, h_{\mathbb{B}}(r)\right)=h_{\mathbb{B}}(r)=h_{\mathbb{S}}(r)\right.$.

We will show in a first step that first-order formulas over the reals can be rewritten, such that interpretation over the positive reals is enough. We start with a definition of positivity of reals in first-order logic. For any variable $x \in \mathcal{V}$ we define the formulas $\operatorname{pos}(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ by:

$$
\operatorname{pos}(x)={ }_{\operatorname{def}} \exists z \cdot x \stackrel{\circ}{=} z * z
$$

Clearly, if $\alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}}(\operatorname{pos}(x))$ then $\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. We can use this formula to relate $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-solutions to $\mathbb{R}$-solutions of particular formulas.

- Definition 42. A formula $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ is called domain-positive if $\phi$ has the form $\phi^{\prime} \wedge$ $\bigwedge_{y \in \mathcal{V}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)} \operatorname{pos}(y)$ as well as all formulas $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ for which $\exists x . \phi^{\prime \prime}$ is a subformula of $\phi$.
- Lemma 43. All domain-positive formulas $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ satisfy sol $\mathbb{R}_{+}(\phi)=\operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi)$.

Proof By induction on the structure of formulas. The induction step is straightforward from due to the fact that $\operatorname{pos}(y)$ imposes the positivity of the value $y$.

We next show how to make first-order formulas domain-positive based on the decomposition of real numbers into two positive numbers presented earlier. We fix two generators of fresh variable $\nu_{\ominus}, \nu_{\oplus}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{V}$, the intention is that $\nu_{\oplus}(x)$ stands for the positive part of $x$ and $\nu_{\ominus}(x)$ for its negative part. We will preserve the invariant that $x=\nu_{\oplus}(x)-\nu_{\ominus}(x)$ and $\nu_{\oplus}(x) * \nu_{\ominus}(x)=0$. Furthermore, let $\nu: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{2}$ such that $\nu(x)=\left(\nu_{\oplus}(x), \nu_{\ominus}(x)\right)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$.

- Proposition 44 Positivity. For any formula $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ we can compute in linear time a formula $\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi) \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ such that:

$$
d e c \circ \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi)=\left\{\alpha^{2} \circ \nu_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \mid \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi) \wedge \bigwedge_{x \in \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \nu_{\oplus}(x) * \nu_{\ominus}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0\right)\right\}
$$

Furthermore, if $\phi$ was a linear equation system, then $\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi)$ is a $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed system.
Proof We can assume without loss of generality that all equations of $\phi$ are flat, i.e., of the form $x \stackrel{\circ}{=} x_{1}+x_{2}, x \stackrel{\circ}{=} x_{1} * x_{2}, x \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$, or $x \stackrel{\circ}{=} 1$. We define the formulas $\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi), \operatorname{dec}_{\nu}^{\prime}(\phi) \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ for all formulas $\phi$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ with flat equations recursively as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\exists x \cdot \phi)=\exists \nu_{\ominus}(x) \cdot \exists \nu_{\oplus}(x) \cdot \operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi) & \operatorname{dec}_{\nu}\left(\phi \wedge \phi^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi) \wedge \operatorname{dec}_{\nu}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right) \\
\wedge \nu_{\oplus}(x) * \nu_{\ominus}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0 & \operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\neg \phi)=\neg \operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi) \\
\wedge \operatorname{pos}\left(\nu_{\oplus}(x)\right) \wedge \operatorname{pos}\left(\nu_{\ominus}(x)\right) & \\
\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}\left(x \stackrel{\circ}{=} x_{1}+x_{2}\right)= & \operatorname{dec}_{\nu}\left(x \stackrel{\circ}{=} x_{1} * x_{2}\right)= \\
\nu_{\oplus}(x)+\nu_{\ominus}\left(x_{1}\right)+\nu_{\ominus}\left(x_{2}\right) \stackrel{\circ}{=} & \nu_{\oplus}(x)+\nu_{\oplus}\left(x_{1}\right) * \nu_{\ominus}\left(x_{2}\right)+\nu_{\ominus}\left(x_{1}\right) * \nu_{\oplus}\left(x_{2}\right) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \\
\nu_{\ominus}(x)+\nu_{\oplus}\left(x_{1}\right)+\nu_{\oplus}\left(x_{2}\right) & \nu_{\ominus}(x)+\nu_{\oplus}\left(x_{1}\right) * \nu_{\oplus}\left(x_{2}\right)+\nu_{\ominus}\left(x_{1}\right) * \nu_{\ominus}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(x \stackrel{\circ}{=})=\nu_{\oplus}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \nu_{\ominus}(x) & \operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(x \stackrel{\circ}{=})=\nu_{\oplus}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \nu_{\ominus}(x)+1
\end{array}
$$

The rewriting for equations with multiplication relies on the distributivity law. We also use inverses for addition in the structure of the reals. Let

$$
\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}^{\prime}(\phi)=\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi) \wedge \bigwedge_{x \in \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \nu_{\oplus}(x) * \nu_{\ominus}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0 \wedge \operatorname{pos}\left(\nu_{\ominus}(x)\right) \wedge \operatorname{pos}\left(\nu_{\oplus}(x)\right)
$$

${ }^{404}$ We can show by induction on the structure of formulas that all formulas $\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}^{\prime}(\phi)$ are domain-

- Theorem 45 Computing Sign Abstractions. For any linear equation system $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ we can compute in at most exponential time a formula $\phi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ such that:

$$
h_{\mathbb{S}} \circ \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi)=\left\{\left[y / \beta\left(\nu_{\oplus}(y)\right)-\beta\left(\nu_{\ominus}(y)\right) \mid y \in \mathcal{V}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right] \mid \beta \in \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{B}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

Proof Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ be a system of linear equations. The formula $\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi) \wedge \bigwedge_{x \in \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \nu_{\oplus}(x) *$ $\nu_{\ominus}(x)=0$ is a $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed system by Positivity Proposition 44, so that we can apply the Main Theorem 39. Therefore, we can compute in at most exponential time an $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent formula $\phi^{\prime}$ that is $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h_{\mathbb{S}} \circ \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi) & \\
=\operatorname{dec}^{-1} \circ h_{\mathbb{B}}^{2} \circ \operatorname{dec} \circ \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}}(\phi) & \text { by Decomposition Lemma } 41 \\
=\operatorname{dec}^{-1} \circ h_{\mathbb{B}}^{2} \circ\left\{\alpha^{2} \circ \nu_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \mid \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}_{+}\left(\operatorname{dec}_{\nu}(\phi) \wedge\right.}\right. & \text { by Positivity Proposition } 44 \\
\left.\left.\bigwedge_{x \in \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \nu_{\oplus}(x) * \nu_{\ominus}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0\right)\right\} & \\
=\operatorname{dec}^{-1} \circ h_{\mathbb{B}}^{2} \circ\left\{\alpha^{2} \circ \nu_{\left.\left|\mathcal{V}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right| \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right\}}\right. & \text { where } \phi^{\prime} \text { from Main Theorem } \\
=\left\{\operatorname{dec}^{-1} \circ \beta^{2} \circ \nu_{\left.\left|\mathcal{V}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right| \beta \in \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{B}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right\}}=\left\{\left[y / \beta\left(\nu_{\oplus}(y)\right)-\beta\left(\nu_{\ominus}(y)\right) \mid y \in \mathcal{V}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right] \mid \beta \in \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{B}}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right. & \text { by } h_{\mathbb{B}} \text {-exactness of } \phi^{\prime} \\
=\left\{\text { befinition of } \operatorname{dec}^{-1}\right.
\end{array}
$$
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Interpretation of expressions as sets of elements $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(S)$, where $S$ is a $\Sigma$-structures and $\alpha: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ where $V$ contains all free variables.

$$
\llbracket c \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=c^{S} \quad \llbracket x \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=\{\alpha(x)\} \quad \llbracket e \odot e^{\prime} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=\cup\left\{s \odot^{S} s^{\prime} \mid s \in \llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}, s^{\prime} \in \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right\}
$$

Interpretation of formulas as truth values $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \in \mathbb{B}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\llbracket e=e^{\prime} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \cap \llbracket e^{\prime} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \neq \emptyset \\
0 & \text { else }\end{cases} & \llbracket \phi \wedge \phi^{\prime} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \wedge^{\mathbb{B}} \llbracket \phi^{\prime} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S} \\
\llbracket \neg \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=\neg^{\mathbb{B}}\left(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right) & \llbracket \exists x . \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if exists } s \in \operatorname{dom}(S) . \\
0 & \llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha[x / s], S}=1 \\
0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 4 Semantics of $\Sigma$-expressions and formulas over a $\Sigma$-structure $S$ with respect to a variable assignment $\alpha: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$.

## A Proofs for Section 3 (The Boolean and the Sign Abstraction)

- Lemma 7. The function $h_{\mathbb{B}}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a $\Sigma$-abstraction between $\Sigma$-algebras.

Proof. For all $r, r^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mathbb{B}}\left(r++^{\mathbb{R}_{+}} r^{\prime}\right)=1 & \Leftrightarrow \\
h_{\mathbb{B}}\left(r *^{\mathbb{R}_{+}} r^{\prime}\right)=1 & \Leftrightarrow \\
\mathbb{R}_{+}+r^{\prime} \neq 0 & \Leftrightarrow \quad r \neq 0 \vee r^{\prime} \neq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad h_{\mathbb{B}}(r)=1 \vee h_{\mathbb{B}}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=1 \\
\mathbb{R}_{+}^{\prime} \neq 0 & \Leftrightarrow r \neq 0 \wedge r^{\prime} \neq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad h_{\mathbb{B}}(r)=1 \wedge h_{\mathbb{B}}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $h_{\mathbb{B}}\left(r+{ }^{\mathbb{R}_{+}} r^{\prime}\right)=h_{\mathbb{B}}(r)+{ }^{\mathbb{B}} h_{\mathbb{B}}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ and $h_{\mathbb{B}}\left(r *^{\mathbb{R}_{+}} r^{\prime}\right)=h_{\mathbb{B}}(r) *^{\mathbb{B}} h_{\mathbb{B}}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$. Finally, for both constants $c \in C$ we have that $h_{\mathbb{B}}\left(c^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\right)=h_{\mathbb{B}}(c)=c=c^{\mathbb{B}}$.

- Lemma 9. $h_{\mathbb{S}}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is a $\Sigma$-abstraction into a $\Sigma$-structure (that is not a $\Sigma$-algebra).

Proof. For any $r, r^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$ the second condition for homomorphism follows for all $\odot \in F^{(2)}$ : $h_{\mathbb{S}}\left(r \odot r^{\prime}\right) \in h_{\mathbb{S}}(r) \odot^{\mathbb{S}} h_{\mathbb{S}}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$. And for all constants $c \in C$ we have by definition that $h_{\mathbb{S}}\left(c^{\mathbb{R}}\right)=c^{\mathbb{S}}$.

## B Proofs for Section 4 (Abstractions and First-Order Logic)

The following two lemmas are classical. Let $R$ be a subset of assignments of type $V^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ and $V \cap V^{\prime}=\emptyset$ two subsets of $\mathcal{V}$. We define: $\operatorname{ext}_{V}^{S}(R)=\left\{\alpha \cup \alpha^{\prime} \mid \alpha^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \cup V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S), \alpha \in\right.$ $R\}$.
Lemma 46 Cylindrification. If $V \cap \mathcal{V}(\phi)=\emptyset$ then: $\operatorname{sol}_{V \cup \mathcal{V}(\phi)}^{S}(\phi)=\operatorname{ext}_{V}^{S}\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right)$.
Proof. We can show for all expressions $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$ with $\mathcal{V}(e)$ disjoint to $V$ and any variables assignment $\alpha: \mathcal{V}(e) \cup V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ that $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha \mid \mathcal{V}(e), S}$. This is by induction on the structure of expressions. If follows for all formulas $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ such that $\mathcal{V}(\phi)$ disjoint from $V$ and $\alpha: \mathcal{V}(\phi) \cup V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ that $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{\alpha \mid \mathcal{V}(\phi), S}$. This is by induction on the structure of formulas. It implies the lemma.

- Lemma 10 Quantification is projection. $\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\exists x \cdot \phi)=\pi_{x}\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right)$.

Proof. This is straightforward from the semantics of existential quantifiers: $\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\exists x . \phi)=$ $\left\{\alpha_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi) \backslash\{x\}} \mid \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right\}=\pi_{x}\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right)$.

## C Proofs for Section 6 (Abstraction Exactness)

In order to do so we first show that $h$-exactness is preserved when adding variables. For this we have to assume that the abstraction $h$ is surjective, which will be the case of all abstraction of interest.

- Lemma 47 Variable extension preserves exactness. Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction that is surjective, $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ a formula, and $V \supseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$. Then the $h$-exactness of $\phi$ implies the $h$-exactness of $\phi$ with respect to $V$.

Proof. Essentially this follows from that solutions of formulas can be extended arbitrarily to variables that do not appear freely in the formula, as stated by the following claim.

- Claim 48. Any variable assignment $\sigma: V \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies $\sigma \in h \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)$ iff $\sigma_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \in$ $h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)$.

For the one direction let $\sigma \in h \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)$ such that $\sigma=h \circ \alpha$. Since $V \supseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$ it follows that $\alpha_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)$. Furthermore $\sigma_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)}=h \circ \alpha_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)}$ and thus $\sigma_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \in h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)$.

For the other direction let $\sigma_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)} \in h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)$ such that $\sigma_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)}=h \circ \alpha$. For any $y \in V \backslash \mathcal{V}(\phi)$ let $s_{y} \in \operatorname{dom}(S)$ be such that $h\left(s_{y}\right)=\sigma(y)$. Such values exists since $h$ is surjective. Now define $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha\left[y / s_{y} \mid y \in V \backslash \mathcal{V}(\phi)\right]$. Since $V \supseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$ it follows that $\alpha^{\prime} \in \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)$. Furthermore, $\sigma=h \circ \alpha^{\prime}$, so $\sigma \in h \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)$.

- Lemma 17. Let $V$ be a set of variables, $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ be subsets of assignments of type $V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ and $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction. $h \circ\left(R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)=h \circ R_{1} \cup h \circ R_{2}$.

Proof. This lemma follows from the following equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta \in h \circ\left(R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right) & \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha . \alpha \in R_{1} \cup R_{2} \wedge \beta=h \circ \alpha \\
& \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha .\left(\alpha \in R_{1} \vee \alpha \in R_{2}\right) \wedge \beta=h \circ \alpha \\
& \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \cdot\left(\alpha \in R_{1} \wedge \beta=h \circ \alpha\right) \vee\left(\alpha \in R_{2} \wedge \beta=h \circ \alpha\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \beta \in h \circ R_{1} \vee \beta \in h \circ R_{2} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \beta \in h \circ R_{1} \cup h \circ R_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }_{526}$ Proposition 18. The disjunction of $h$-exact formulas is $h$-exact.

Proof. Let $\phi_{2}$ and $\phi_{2}$ be negation free formulas that are $h$-exact. Let $V=\mathcal{V}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cup \mathcal{V}\left(\phi_{2}\right)$. Lemma 47 shows that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are also $h$-exact with respect to the extended variable set $V$, i.e., for both $i \in\{1,2\}$ :

$$
h \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{\Delta}\left(\phi_{i}\right)
$$

The $h$-exactness of the disjunction $\phi_{1} \vee \phi_{2}$ can now be shown as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\phi_{1} \vee \phi_{2}\right) & =h \circ\left(\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{2}\right)\right) & & \\
& =h \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cup h \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 17 \\
& =\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{\Delta}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cup \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{\Delta}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & & \text { by } h \text {-exactness of } \phi_{1} \text { and } \phi_{2} \text { wrt. } V \\
& =\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}\left(\phi_{1} \vee \phi_{2}\right) & &
\end{aligned}
$$

- Lemma 19 Projection commutes with abstraction. For any $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$, subset $R$ of assignments of type $V \rightarrow S$, and variable $x \in \mathcal{V}: h \circ \pi_{x}(R)=\pi_{x}(h \circ R)$.

Proof. For all $\alpha: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ we have $h \circ \pi_{x}(\alpha)=h \circ \alpha_{\mid V \backslash\{x\}}=(h \circ \alpha)_{\mid V \backslash\{x\}}=\pi_{x}(h \circ \alpha)$.
Proposition 20 Quantification preserves exactness. For any surjective $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ and formula $\exists x . \phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$, if $\phi$ is $h$-exact then $\exists x$. $\phi$ is $h$-exact.

Proof. Let $\phi$ be $h$-exact. By definition $\phi$ is $h$-exact with respect to $V$. Since $h$ is assumed to be surjective, Lemma 47 implies that $\phi$ is $h$-exact with respect to $V \cup\{x\}$ (independently of whether $x$ occurs freely in $\phi$ or not). Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\exists x \cdot \phi)\right) & =h\left(\pi_{x}\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right)\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 10 \\
& =\pi_{x}\left(h\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right)\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 19 \\
& =\pi_{x}\left(\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)\right) & & \text { since } \phi \text { is h-exact } \\
& =\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\exists x \cdot \phi) & & \text { by Lemma } 10
\end{aligned}
$$

- Lemma 21 Singleton property. If $S$ is a $\Sigma$-algebra, $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$, and $\alpha: \mathcal{V}(e) \rightarrow S$ a variable assignment, then the set $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}$ is a singleton.

Proof. By induction on the structure of expressions $e \in \mathcal{E}$ :
Case of constants $c \in\{0,1\}$. The set $\llbracket c \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=\left\{c^{S}\right\}$ is a singleton.
Case of variables $x \in \mathcal{V}$. The set $\llbracket x \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=\{\alpha(x)\}$ is a singleton.
Case $e_{1} \odot e_{2}$ where $e_{1}, e_{2} \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$ and $\odot \in\{+, *\}$.

$$
\llbracket e_{1} \odot e_{2} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}=\left\{s \odot^{S} s^{\prime} \mid s \in \llbracket e_{1} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}, s^{\prime} \in \llbracket e_{2} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right\}
$$

This set is a singleton since $\llbracket e_{1} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}$ and $\llbracket e_{2} \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}$ are singletons by induction hypothesis, meaning that $s \odot^{S} s^{\prime}$ is also a singleton since $S$ is a $\Sigma$-algebra.

- Lemma 23. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}$ be a $\Sigma$-formula and $S$ a $\Sigma$-algebra with unique division. For nonzero natural number $n$, variable $y \notin \mathcal{V}(\phi)$, and expression $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$ with $\mathcal{V}(e) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$ :

$$
\operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi \wedge n y \stackrel{\circ}{=} e)=\left\{\left.\alpha\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right] \right\rvert\, \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right\}
$$

Proof. We fix some $\alpha: \mathcal{V}(\phi) \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ arbitrarily. Since $S$ is a $\Sigma$-algebra, $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}$ is a singleton and $\mathcal{V}(e) \subseteq V(\phi)$, ele $\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)$ is defined uniquely. Furthermore $S$ has unique division, so that $\frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}$ is well defined element of $\operatorname{dom}(S)$. Therefore and since $y \notin \mathcal{V}(\phi)$, $\alpha\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right]$ is the unique solution of the equation $n y \stackrel{\circ}{=} e$ that extends on $\alpha$.

First we prove the inclusion "?". Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi), y \notin \mathcal{V}(\phi)$, and $\alpha\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right]$ is a solution of $n y \stackrel{\circ}{=} e$, it follows that $\alpha\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right]$ is a solution of $\phi \wedge n y \stackrel{\circ}{\doteq} e$.

Second, we prove the inverse inclusion " $\subseteq$ ". Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi \wedge n y \stackrel{\circ}{=})$. Since $\alpha\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right]$ is the unique solution of the equation $n y \stackrel{\circ}{=} e$ that extends on $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha_{\mid \mathcal{V}(\phi)}$ it follows that $\alpha(y)=\frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}$ so that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right]$ while $\alpha^{\prime} \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)$.

- Proposition 24. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma} a \Sigma$-formula, $n \neq 0$ a natural number, $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma} a \Sigma$-expression with $\mathcal{V}(e) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$ and $y \notin \mathcal{V}(\phi)$ and the $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ with $S$ and $\Delta$ two $\Sigma$-algebras with unique division. Then if $\phi$ is h-exact implies that $\phi \wedge e^{\circ}$ $n y$ is h-exact.

Proof. The one inclusion is straightforward without invariance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \circ\left(R_{1} \cap R_{2}\right) & =\left\{h \circ \alpha \mid \alpha \in R_{1}, \alpha \in R_{2}\right\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{h \circ \alpha \mid \alpha \in R_{1}\right\} \cap\left\{h \circ \alpha \mid \alpha \in R_{2}\right\} \\
& =h \circ R_{1} \cap h \circ R_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the other inclusion, we can assume with loss of generality that $R_{1}$ is $h$-invariant. So let $\beta \in h \circ R_{1} \cap h \circ R_{2}$. Then there exist $\alpha_{1} \in R_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2} \in R_{2}$ such that $\beta=h \circ \alpha_{1}=h \circ \alpha_{2}$. By $h$-invariance of $R_{1}$ it follows that $\alpha_{1} \in R_{2}$. So $\alpha_{1} \in R_{1} \cap R_{2}$, and hence, $\beta \in h \circ\left(R_{1} \cap R_{2}\right)$.
Proof. Let $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\Sigma}$ a $\Sigma$-expression.

- Claim 49. For any $\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with $V \supseteq \mathcal{V}(e): h\left(e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)\right)=\operatorname{ele}\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{h \circ \alpha, \Delta}\right)$.

This can be seen as follows. For any $\alpha: \mathcal{V}(\phi) \rightarrow S$ Theorem 11 on homomorphism yields $h\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right) \subseteq \llbracket e \rrbracket^{h \circ \alpha, \Delta}$. Since $S$ and $\Delta$ are both $\Sigma$-algebras, the sets $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}$ and $\llbracket e \rrbracket^{h \circ \alpha, \Delta}$ are both singletons by Lemma 21, so that $h\left(e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)\right)=e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{h \circ \alpha, \Delta}\right)$.

- Claim 50. For any $s \in \operatorname{dom}(S)$ and $n \neq 0$ a natural number: $h\left(\frac{s}{n}\right)=\frac{h(s)}{n}$.

Since $S$ is assumed to have unique division $s^{\prime}=\frac{s}{n}$ is well-defined as the unique element of $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ such that $\underbrace{s^{\prime}+{ }^{S} \ldots+{ }^{S} s^{\prime}}_{n}=s$. Hence, $h(\underbrace{s^{\prime}+{ }^{S} \ldots+{ }^{S} s^{\prime}}_{n})=h(s)$ and since $h$ is a homomorphism, it follows that $\underbrace{h\left(s^{\prime}\right)+{ }^{\Delta} \ldots+{ }^{\Delta} h\left(s^{\prime}\right)}_{n}=h(s)$. Since $\Delta$ is assumed to have unique division, this implies that $h\left(s^{\prime}\right)=\frac{h(s)}{n}$.

The Proposition can now be shown based on these two claims. Let $\phi$ be $h$-exact, $y \notin \mathcal{V}(\phi)$, and $\mathcal{V}(e) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$. We have to show that $\phi \wedge n y \stackrel{\circ}{=} e$ is $h$-exact too:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\phi \wedge e^{\circ} n y\right) & =h \circ\left\{\left.\alpha\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right] \right\rvert\, \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right\} & & \text { by Lemma } 23 \\
& =\left\{\left.(h \circ \alpha)\left[y / h\left(\frac{e l e\left(\llbracket \llbracket \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right)\right] \right\rvert\, \alpha \in \operatorname{sol}^{S}(\phi)\right\} & & \text { elementary } \\
& =\left\{\left.\sigma\left[y / h\left(\frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)}{n}\right)\right] \right\rvert\, \sigma \in \operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)\right\} & & h \text {-exactness of } \phi \\
& =\left\{\left.\sigma\left[y / \frac{h\left(e l e\left(\llbracket \rrbracket^{\alpha, S}\right)\right)}{n}\right] \right\rvert\, \sigma \in \operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)\right\} & & \text { by Claim } 50 \\
& =\left\{\left.\sigma\left[y / \frac{e l e\left(\llbracket e \rrbracket^{h \circ \alpha, \Delta}\right)}{n}\right] \right\rvert\, \sigma \in \operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}(\phi)\right\} & & \text { by Claim } 49 \\
& =\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}\left(\phi \wedge e^{\circ} n y\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 23
\end{aligned}
$$

- Proposition 25. Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction between $\Sigma$-algebras with unique division. Then any strongly-triangular system of $\Sigma$-equations with natural coefficients is $h$-exact.

Proof. Any strongly-triangular system of equations has the form $\wedge_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}{ }^{\circ} n_{i} y_{i}$ where $n$ and $n_{i} \neq 0$ are naturals and $y_{i}$ is $i$-fresh for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The proof is by induction on $n$. In the case $n=0$, the conjunction is equal to true which is $h$-exact since $h\left(\right.$ sol $\left.^{S}(\operatorname{true})\right)=h([])$ $=\operatorname{sol}^{\Delta}$ (true). In the case $n>0$, we have by induction hypothesis that $\bigwedge_{j=1}^{i-1} e_{j}{ }^{\circ} n_{j} y_{j}$ is $h$-exact. Since $n_{i} \neq 0$ it follows from Proposition 24 that that $e_{i}{ }^{\circ} n_{i} y_{i} \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^{i-1} e_{j}{ }^{\circ} n_{j} y_{j}$ is $h$-exact.

## D Proofs for Section 7 (Abstraction Invariance)

- Lemma 29. If either $R_{1}$ or $R_{2}$ are $h$-invariant then: $h \circ\left(R_{1} \cap R_{2}\right)=h \circ R_{1} \cap h \circ R_{2}$.
- Lemma 30 Algebraic characterization. A subset of $R$ variables assignment of type $V \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ is $h$-invariant for an $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ iff $h \ominus(h \circ R) \subseteq R$.

Proof. " $\Rightarrow$ ". Let $R$ be $h$-invariant and $\alpha \in h \ominus(h \circ R)$. Then there exists $\alpha^{\prime} \in R$ such that $h \circ \alpha=h \circ \alpha^{\prime}$. The $h$-invariance of $R$ thus implies that $\alpha \in R$.
" $\Leftarrow$ ". Suppose that $h \ominus(h \circ R) \subseteq R$. Let $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ such that $h \circ \alpha=h \circ \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\alpha \in R$. We have to show that $\alpha^{\prime} \in R$. From $h \circ \alpha=h \circ \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\alpha \in R$ it follows that $\alpha^{\prime} \in h \ominus(h \circ R)$ and thus $\alpha^{\prime} \in R$ as required.

- Lemma 51 Variable extension preserves invariance. Let $h$ be a surjective abstraction and $R$ a subset of functions of type $V^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ and $V$ a subset of variables disjoint from $V^{\prime}$. If $R$ is $h$-invariant then $\operatorname{ext}_{V}^{S}(R)$ is $h$-invariant too.

Proof. This will follow straightforwardly from the characterization of $h$-invariance in Lemma 30 and the following two claims:

- Claim 52. If $h$ is surjective then $h \circ \operatorname{ext}_{V}^{S}(R)=\operatorname{ext}_{V}^{\Delta}(h \circ R)$.

This follows from $h \circ \operatorname{ext}_{V}^{S}(R)=\left\{h \circ \alpha \mid \alpha \in \operatorname{ext}_{V}^{S}(R)\right\}=\operatorname{ext}_{V}^{\Delta}\left(\left\{h \circ \alpha^{\prime} \mid \alpha^{\prime} \in R\right\}\right)$ where we use the surjectivity of $h$ in the last step.

- Claim 53. $h \ominus e x t_{V}^{\Delta}\left(R^{\prime}\right)=e x t_{V}^{S}\left(h \ominus R^{\prime}\right)$ for any subset $R^{\prime}$ of functions of type $V^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(\Delta)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \ominus \operatorname{ext}_{V}^{\Delta}\left(R^{\prime}\right) & =\left\{\alpha: V \cup V^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S) \mid h \circ \alpha \in \operatorname{ext}_{V}^{\Delta}\left(R^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\alpha: V \cup V^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S) \mid h \circ \alpha_{\mid V^{\prime}} \in R^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{ext}_{V}^{S}\left(\left\{\alpha^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S) \mid h \circ \alpha^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}\right\}\right. \\
& =\operatorname{ext}_{V}^{S}\left(h \ominus R^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 54. Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a surjective $\Sigma$-abstraction, $\phi$ be a $\Sigma$-formula, and $V \supseteq \mathcal{V}(\phi)$. Then the $h$-invariance of $\phi$ implies the $h$-invariance of $\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}(\phi)$.

Proof. This follows from the cylindrification Lemma 46 and that extension preserves $h$ invariance as shown in Lemma 51.

- Lemma 32. For any $\Sigma$-abstraction $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$, any subsets of assignments of type $V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S) R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ and $V$ a subset of variables:
- $h \ominus\left(R_{1} \cap R_{2}\right)=h \ominus R_{1} \cap h \ominus R_{2}$.
- $h \ominus\left(R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)=h \ominus R_{1} \cup h \ominus R_{2}$.

Proof. The case for unions follows straightforwardly from the definitions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \ominus\left(R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right) & =\left\{\alpha \mid h \circ \alpha \in R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\alpha \mid h \circ \alpha \in R_{1} \vee h \circ \alpha \in R_{2}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\alpha \mid h \circ \alpha \in R_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\alpha \mid h \circ \alpha \in R_{2}\right\} \\
& =h \ominus R_{1} \cup h \ominus R_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The case of intersection is symmetric:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \ominus\left(R_{1} \cap R_{2}\right) & =\left\{\alpha \mid h \circ \alpha \in R_{1} \cap R_{2}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\alpha \mid h \circ \alpha \in R_{1} \wedge h \circ \alpha \in R_{2}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\alpha \mid h \circ \alpha \in R_{1}\right\} \cap\left\{\alpha \mid h \circ \alpha \in R_{2}\right\} \\
& =h \ominus R_{1} \cap h \ominus R_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Lemma 33 Intersection and union preserve invariance. Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction. Then the intersection and union of any two $h$-invariant subsets $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ of variables assignments of type $V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(S)$ is $h$-invariant.

Proof. This follows from the algebraic characterization Lemma 30 for invariance, in combination with the algebraic properties of composition and decomposition given in Lemmas 17, 29 , and 32 .

- Lemma 34 Projection commutes with left-decomposition. $h \ominus \pi_{x}(R)=\pi_{x}(h \ominus R)$.

Proof. For all $\alpha: V \rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(\Delta)$ we have $h \odot \pi_{x}(\alpha)=h \odot \alpha_{\mid V \backslash\{x\}}=(h \odot \alpha)_{\mid V \backslash\{x\}}=\pi_{x}(h \odot \alpha)$.

- Proposition 35 Invariance is preserved by conjunction, disjunction, and quantification.

If $h$ is a surjective abstraction then the class of $h$-invariant FO-formulas is closed under conjunction, disjunction, and existential quantification.

Proof. Let $h: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a $\Sigma$-abstraction.
Case of conjunction: Let $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ be $h$-invariant and $V=\mathcal{V}\left(\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 54 the sets $\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{2}\right)$ are both $h$-invariant, and so by Lemma 33 is their intersection. Hence:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
h \ominus\left(h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}\right)\right) & =h \ominus\left(h \circ\left(\operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{2}\right)\right)\right) & & \\
& \subseteq \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{S}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & \text { by } h \text {-invariance and Lemma } 30 \\
& =\operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}\right) &
\end{array}
$$

By Lemma 30 in the other direction, this implies that $\phi_{1} \wedge \phi_{2}$ is $h$-invariant.
Case of disjunction: Analogous to the case of conjunction.
Case of existential quantification:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \ominus\left(h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\exists x \cdot \phi_{1}\right)\right) & =h \ominus\left(h \circ \pi_{x}\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right)\right)\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 10 \\
& =h \ominus\left(\pi_{x}\left(h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right)\right)\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 19 \\
& =\pi_{x}\left(h \ominus\left(h \circ \operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right)\right)\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 34 \\
& \subseteq \pi_{x}\left(\operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\phi_{1}\right)\right) & & \text { by h-invariance of } \phi_{1} \text { and Lemma } 30 \\
& =\operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\exists x \cdot \phi_{1}\right) & & \text { by Lemma } 10
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 30, this implies that $\exists x . \phi_{1}$ is $h$-invariant.

- Proposition 36. Let $h$ be a surjective $\Sigma$-abstractions. Then the class of $h$-exact and $h$ invariant $\Sigma$-formulas is closed under conjunction, disjunction and existential quantification.

Proof. Closure under conjunction follows from Propositions 31 and 35 , closure under disjunction from Propositions 18 and 35, and closure under existential quantification by Propositions 20 and 35.

- Theorem 37 Invariance. Any positive polynomial equation $p \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ such that $p$ has no constant term is $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact and $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-invariant.

Proof. Consider a positive polynomial equation $p \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ such that $p$ has no constant term and only positive coefficients. Thus $p$ has the form $\sum_{j=1}^{l} n_{j} \prod_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k}^{m_{j, k}}=0$ where $l \geq 0$, and $n_{j}, i_{j}, m_{j, k}>0$.

- Claim 55. For both algebras $S \in\left\{\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}: \operatorname{sol}^{S}(p \stackrel{\circ}{=})=\operatorname{sol}^{S}\left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{l} \bigvee_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0\right)$.

The polynomial has values zero if and only if all its monomials do, that is: $\prod_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k}^{m_{j k}}=0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq l$. Since constant terms are ruled out, we have $i_{j} \neq 0$. Furthermore, we assumed for all polynomials that $m_{j, k} \neq 0$. So for all $1 \leq j \leq l$ there must exist $1 \leq k \leq i_{j}$ such that $x_{j, k}=0$.

- Claim 56. The equation $x \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ is $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact and $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-invariant.

This is straightforward from the definitions. With these two claims we are now in the position to prove the lemma. Since the class of $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact and $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-invariant formulas is closed under conjunction and disjunction by Proposition 36, it follows from by Claim 56 that $\wedge_{j=1}^{l} \vee_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0$ is both $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact and $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-invariant. Since this formula is equivalent over $\mathbb{R}_{+}$to the polynomial equation by Claim 55 , the $h_{\mathbb{B}^{-}}$invariance carries over to $p{ }^{\circ} 0$. The $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exactness also carries over based on the equivalence for both structures $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\mathbb{B}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mathbb{B}} \circ \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(p \doteq 0) & =h_{\mathbb{B}} \circ \operatorname{sol}_{V}^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(\wedge_{j=1}^{l} \vee_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k} \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0\right) & & \text { by Claim } 55 \text { for } \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
& =\operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{B}}\left(\wedge_{j=1}^{l} \vee_{k=1}^{i_{j}} x_{j, k} \stackrel{\circ}{=}\right) & & \text { by } h_{\mathbb{B}} \text { exactness } \\
& =\operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{B}}(p \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} 0) & & \text { by Claim } 55 \text { for } \mathbb{B} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## E Proofs for Section 8 (Boolean Abstractions of $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-Mixed Systems)

- Corollary 40. The $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-abstraction of the $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-solution set of an $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-mixed system $\phi$, that is $h_{\mathbb{B}} \circ \operatorname{sol}^{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(\phi)$, can be computed in at most exponential time in the size of the system $\phi$.

Proof. Given an $h_{\mathbb{B}^{-}}$-mixed system $\phi$, we can apply Theorem 39 to compute in at most exponential time an $\mathbb{R}_{+}$-equivalent formula $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ that is $h_{\mathbb{B}}$-exact. It is then sufficient to compute $s o l^{\mathbb{P}}\left(\phi^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in exponential time in the size of $\phi$. This can be done in the naive manner, that is by evaluating the formula $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ - which may be of exponential size - over all possible boolean variable assignments - of which there may be exponentially many. For each assignment the evaluation can be done in PSpace and thus in exponential time. The overall time required is thus a product of two exponentials, which remains exponential.

