

Correlation between black crust formation on limestone monuments and air pollution

Aurélie Verney-Carron, Mandana Saheb, Yassmine Ben Amor, Clarisse Bolou Bi Balland

▶ To cite this version:

Aurélie Verney-Carron, Mandana Saheb, Yassmine Ben Amor, Clarisse Bolou Bi Balland. Correlation between black crust formation on limestone monuments and air pollution. Goldschmidt 2019, Aug 2019, Barcelone, France. hal-02277446

HAL Id: hal-02277446

https://hal.science/hal-02277446

Submitted on 3 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.













Correlation between black crust formation on limestone monuments and air pollution

¹ LISA, UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris-Est Créteil, Université de Paris, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Créteil, France ² LEESU, UMR MA 102, Université Paris-Est Créteil, Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, AgroParisTech, Créteil, France

a.u-pec.fr / Information on ICP-Materials: www



ITRODUCTION

Impacts of air pollution on health and environment are a impacts of all politution of health and environment are a major issue. The addition of pollutants in the atmosphere is responsible for 4.2 million deaths every year and threatens 91% of the world's population (according to the World Health Organization). It also causes the reduction of agricultural yields, damage to ecosystems and degradation of historical monuments.

On monuments out of calcareous stones, air pollution leads to the formation of black crusts in sheltered zones (Fig. 1). Black crusts result from a sulfation reaction (SO₂ reacts with calcite to form gypsum (CaSO₄:2H₂O)) and the entrapment of particles (especially soot), causing its blackening [1-3].

In order to understand the first steps of this alteration and to investigate the influence of the environment, samples of limestone and marble were exposed in different sites for 1 year.





METHODOLOGY

CAMPAIGN AND ENVIRONMENT

An exposure campaign was performed in the framework of the International Co-operative Programme on Effects on Materials including Historic and Cultural Monuments (ICP-Materials, Chairs: J. Tidblad & P. Spezzano).

Samples of 'Saint Maximin roche fine' limestone and Carrara marble (6 x 6 x cm) were exposed in sheltered conditions and in vertical position (Fig. 2) in 22 European sites and 1 site in USA (Fig. 3).

In parallel, environmental data were collected by each site: T° and RH for the climate and [SO₂], [NO₂], [PM₁₀] using passive samplers (from IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute) for pollution.



Fig. 3. Map of the exposure sites: 1-Prague, 3 Fig. 3. Map of the exposure sites: 1-1/rague, 3-Kopistry, 10-80titop, 13-Rome, 14-Casaccia, 15-Milan, 16-Venice, 21-1 Oslo, 23-Birkenes, 24-Stockholm, 26-Aspvreten, 31-Mardird, 33-Toledo, 40-Paris, 41-Berlin, 44-Svanvik, 45-Chaumont, 50-Katowice, 53-Vienna, 58-New Haven, 59-Zillina, 60-Zagreb, 61-Split




GLOBAL MEASUREMENTS:


The samples were characterized by weighing (mass of deposit), optical microscopy coupled with image processing (percentage of covered surface) and spectrophotometry (percentage of reflectance change).

The deposit was analyzed using SEM-EDS (Scanning Electron Microscopy - Energy Dispersive Spectrometer) and Raman spectroscopy. One piece of each sample (~ 10g) was cut and solubilized in an stirred vial of 20 mL of ultrapure water for 24h. Solutions were analyzed using ICPAES (Indictively Coupled Plasma — Atomic Emission Spectrometry).

MASS OF DEPOSIT

The mass of deposit was only measured for marble and glass (loss of material during handling and transport on the limestone samples). The available results are presented on Fig. 4a.





and marble for each site. (b) Correlation

⇒The deposit on marble is generally slightly higher than on glass (Fig. 4b), which indicates a higher reactivity (with gases) and/or a higher roughness (to fix particles).

OPTICAL PROPERTIES AND COVERED SURFACE

The results of reflectance change are not relevant for the soiling measurement (Fig. 5) as the majority of the values indicates a gain of reflectance (due to a general lightning of the stone in contact with humidity), especially for limestone. However, particles are present on the surface (Fig. 6). The percentage of covered surface was calculated (Fig. 7)






Fig. 5. Correlation between the ercentage of reflectance change for limestone and for marble.

The % of covered surface is higher for limestone than for marble as some dark zones initially present in the were counted as particles. The values are low on glass due to its very low roughness.
⇒The % of covered surface

allows quantifying the soiling of stones.




g. 6. Optical microscopy images of the surface of 2 nestone samples (Prague 01 and Berlin 41) and 2 marble samples (Stockholm 26 and Zagreb 61).



CHARACTERIZATION OF DEPOSIT

Raman spectroscopy and SEM-EDS








Fig. 8. Raman st ectra of the marble from Split (Croatia)



⇒The majority of the particles analyzed using Raman is composed of graphitic carbon typical of soot particles (Fig. 8) Gypsum is detectable on some samples. Metallic and mineral particles can also be observed using SEM-EDS (Fig. 9)

Solution analyses using ICP-AES



⇒The very good correlation between [Ca] and [SO₄] for marble highlights that the extraction dissolves mainly gypsum (Ca and S release) and only a few calcite ([Ca] = 0.25 mM) (Fig. 10). For limestone, both minerals are dissolved.

Fig. 11. Major and minor elements (in g/g of rock) on marble and limeston

⇒Elements can be released by the dissolution of minerals (correlation between Ca, Mg, K for marble / Ca, B, Sr, Ba, K, Li, Mg, Na, P, Sr for limestone) or by air pollution (Cu, Ni, Zn)

CORRELATION WITH AIR POLLUTION




Fig. 12. Correlation between: (a) [SO₂] in air and S in the deposit, (b) [PM₁₀] in air and the sum of all elements analyzed using ICP-AES.

The quantity of S on the stones (coming from gypsum) is slightly correlated to SO_2 concentration in air (annual average), which highlights that black crust are formed in polluted zones (Fig. 12.a). On the same way, the sum of all elements detected in ICP-AES is slightly correlated to PV. PM₁₀ concentration for limestone, but not for marble (Fig 2.b)

⇒ The characterization of the deposit has to be pursued to improve the correlation of results with environmental data (not all available at this stage).

CONCLUSION

- The percentage of the covered surface is the best parameter to assess the general soiling of stone materials
- The deposit is mainly composed of soot, metallic and terrigenous particles. After 1 year, gypsum is already formed on the samples (Raman ICP-AFS)
- The study is in progress to complete the characterization of the deposit (Ion chromatography, C speciation) and to improve the link to air pollution

Bibliographic references

Acknowledgements - The authors would like to thank all the partners involved in the ICP-Materials program and the IIe de France Region (DIM MAP) for its financial support.