

Correlation between black crust formation on limestone monuments and air pollution

Aurélie Verney-Carron, Mandana Saheb, Yassmine Ben Amor, Clarisse Bolou

Bi Balland

► To cite this version:

Aurélie Verney-Carron, Mandana Saheb, Yassmine Ben Amor, Clarisse Bolou Bi Balland. Correlation between black crust formation on limestone monuments and air pollution. Goldschmidt 2019, Aug 2019, Barcelone, France. hal-02277446

HAL Id: hal-02277446 https://hal.science/hal-02277446v1

Submitted on 3 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. GOLDSCHMIDT BARCELONA 2019 18-23 AUGUST

Correlation between black crust formation on limestone monuments and air pollution



¹ LISA, UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris-Est Créteil, Université de Paris, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Créteil, France ² LEESU, UMR MA 102, Université Paris-Est Créteil, Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, AgroParisTech, Créteil, France a.u-pec.fr / Information on ICP-Materials: www Contact: aure



anciens

ITRODUCTION

Impacts of air pollution on health and environment are a major issue. The addition of pollutants in the atmosphere is responsible for 4.2 million deaths every year and threatens 91% of the world's population (according to the World Health Organization). It also causes the reduction of agricultural yields, damage to ecosystems and degradation of historical monuments.

On monuments out of calcareous stones, air pollution leads to the formation of black crusts in sheltered zones (Fig. 1). Black crusts result from a sulfation reaction (SO_2 reacts with calcite to form gypsum (CaSO₄:2H₂O)) and the entrapment of particles (especially soot), causing its blackening [1-3].

In order to understand the first steps of this alteration and to investigate the influence of the environment, samples of limestone and marble were exposed in different sites for 1 year.



METHODOLOGY

EXPOSURE CAMPAIGN AND ENVIRONMENT

An exposure campaign was performed in the framework of the International Co-operative Programme on Effects on Materials including Historic and Cultural Monuments (ICP-Materials, Chairs: J. Tidblad & P. Spezzano).

Samples of 'Saint Maximin roche fine' limestone and Carrara marble (6 x 6 x cm) were exposed in sheltered conditions and in vertical position (Fig. 2) in 22 European sites and 1 site in ÚSA (Fig. 3).

In parallel, environmental data were collected by each site: T° and RH for the climate and [SO₂], [NO₂], [PM₁₀] using passive samplers (from IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute) for pollution.



Fig. 3. Map of the exposure sites : 1-Prague, 3 Fig. 3. Map of the exposure sites :1-Prague, 3-Kopsitry, 10-bottrop, 13-Rome, 14-Casaccia, 15-Milan, 16-Venice, 21- Oslo, 23-Birkenes, 24-Stockholm, 26-Asyuretta, 31-Mardirid, 33-Toledo, 40-Paris, 41-Berlin, 44-Svanvik, 45-Chaumont, 50-Katowice, 53-Vienna, 58-New Haven, 59-Zillia, 60-Zagreb, 61-Split



GLOBAL MEASUREMENTS:

The samples were characterized by weighing (mass of deposit), optical microscopy coupled with image processing (percentage of covered surface) and spectrophotometry (percentage of reflectance change).

The deposit was analyzed using SEM-EDS (Scanning Electron Microscopy - Energy Dispersive Spectrometer) and Raman spectroscopy. One piece of each sample (~ 10g) was cut and solubilized in an stirred vial of 20 mL of ultrapure water for 24h. Solutions were analyzed using ICPAES (Indictively Coupled Plasma - Atomic Emission Spectrometry).

ULTS AND DISCUSSION

MASS OF DEPOSIT

The mass of deposit was only measured for marble and glass (loss of material during handling and transport on the limestone samples). The available results are presented on Fig. 4a.



 \Rightarrow The deposit on marble is generally slightly higher than on glass (Fig. 4b), which indicates a higher reactivity (with gases) and/or a higher roughness (to fix particles).

OPTICAL PROPERTIES AND COVERED SURFACE

The results of reflectance change are not relevant for the soiling measurement (Fig. 5) as the majority of the values indicates a gain of reflectance (due to a general lightning of the stone in contact with humidity), especially for limestone. However, particles are present on the surface (Fig. 6). The percentage of covered surface was calculated (Fig. 7)



The % of covered surface is higher for limestone than for marble as some dark zones initially present in the were counted as limestone particles. The values are low on glass due to its very low roughness. ⇒The % of covered surface



to air pollution





 000
 ref 1
 3
 10
 13
 14
 15
 16
 21
 23
 24
 26
 31
 33
 40
 41
 44
 55
 53
 58
 60
 61

 Site number

 Fig. 7. % of covered surface for each site and for non exposed references (bands).



lin 41. Split 60. Zagreb 61 ⇒The majority of the particles analyzed using Raman is composed of graphitic carbon typical of soot particles (Fig. 8) Gypsum is detectable on some samples. Metallic and mineral particles can also be observed using SEM-EDS (Fig. 9)

Solution analyses using ICP-AES



Fig. 10. Correlation between Ca and SO₄ concentration in solution after the solubilization protocol.

 $[SO_4]$ for marble highlights that the extraction dissolves mainly gypsum (Ca and S release) and only a few calcite ([Ca] = 0.25 mM) (Fig. 10). For limestone, both minerals are dissolved.

CORRELATION WITH AIR POLLUTION



MARBLE 125 127 100 MARBLE

Fig. 9. SEM-EDS

Fig. 11. Major and minor elements (in g/g of rock) on marble and limestor ⇒Elements can be released by the dissolution of minerals (correlation between Ca, Mg, K for marble / Ca, B, Sr, Ba, K, Li, Mg, Na, P, Sr for limestone) or by air pollution (Cu, Ni, Zn) (Fig. 11).

> The quantity of S on the stones (coming from gypsum) is slightly correlated to SO_2 concentration in air (annual average), which highlights that black crust are formed in polluted zones (Fig. 12.a). On the same way, the sum of all elements detected in ICP-AES is slightly correlated to PM concentration for limestone, but not for matched (Fig. PM₁₀ concentration for limestone, but not for marble (Fig 2.b)

⇒ The characterization of the deposit has to be pursued to improve the correlation of results with environmental data (not all available at this stage).

CONCLUSION

- The percentage of the covered surface is the best parameter to assess the general soiling of stone materials
- The deposit is mainly composed of soot, metallic and terrigenous particles. After 1 year, gypsum is already formed on the samples (Raman ICP-AES) The study is in progress to complete the characterization of the deposit (Ion chromatography, C speciation) and to improve the link
- Bibliographic references

Acknowledgements - The authors would like to thank all the partners involved in the ICP-Materials program and the Ile de France Region (DIM MAP) for its financial support.

 \Rightarrow The very good correlation between [Ca] and