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This document corresponds to the supplementary materials of the article. It is organised as follows: i) a video abstract, ii) the proofs of some propositions, iii) the verification of the metric properties, iv) the invariances of the robust to noise metrics and $v$ ) details about the illustration section.

## VIDEO ABSTRACT

A video is available as graphical abstract.


Fig. 1. Cover graphic of the video abstract.

## PROOF OF PROPOSITIONS 2, 3 AND 4

Noyel and Jourlin (2017) have introduced the following proofs which correspond to the map of LIP ${ }^{1}$ multiplicative Asplund distances.

## Proof of proposition 2.

Using Eq. $14, \forall x \in D, \forall h \in D_{b}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, there is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(x) \otimes b(h) \geq f(x+h) \\
& \Leftrightarrow M\left(1-(1-b(h) / M)^{\alpha(x)}\right) \geq f(x+h), \text { (Eq. 3) } \\
& \Leftrightarrow \alpha(x) \geq \frac{\ln (1-f(x+h) / M)}{\ln (1-b(h) / M)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left.\left(1-\frac{b(h)}{M}\right) \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$ and $\ln \left(1-\frac{b(h)}{M}\right)<0$.

[^0]With $\tilde{f}=\ln (1-f / M)$, Eq. 14 becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{b} f(x) & =\inf \left\{\alpha(x), \alpha(x) \geq(\tilde{f}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)), h \in D_{b}\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{\tilde{f}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h), h \in D_{b}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality is due to the complete lattice structure. In a similar way, Eq. 15 becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{b} f(x) & =\sup \left\{\alpha(x), \alpha(x) \leq(\tilde{f}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)), h \in D_{b}\right\} \\
& =\bigwedge\left\{\tilde{f}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h), h \in D_{b}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of proposition 3.

Let $b=b_{0} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{*}\right)^{D_{b}}$ a flat structuring element $(\forall x \in$ $D_{b}, b(x)=b_{0}$ ). Eq. 16 of $\lambda_{b}$ and Eq. 17 of $\mu_{b}$ can be simplified as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{b_{0}} f(x) & =\left(1 / \tilde{b}_{0}\right) \bigwedge\left\{\tilde{f}(x+h), h \in D_{b}\right\}, \text { because } \tilde{b}_{0}<0 \\
& =\left(1 / \tilde{b}_{0}\right) \ln \left[1-\left(\bigvee\left\{f(x-h),-h \in D_{b}\right\}\right) / M\right] \\
& =\left(1 / \tilde{b}_{0}\right) \ln \left[1-\left(\delta_{\bar{D}_{b}} f(x)\right) / M\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The infimum $\bigwedge$ is changed into a supremum $V$ because the function $\tilde{f}: x \rightarrow \ln (1-x / M)$ is a continuous decreasing mapping. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{b_{0}} f(x) & =\left(1 / \tilde{b}_{0}\right) \bigvee\left\{\tilde{f}(x+h), h \in D_{b}\right\}, \text { because } \tilde{b}_{0}<0 \\
& =\left(1 / \tilde{b}_{0}\right) \ln \left[1-\left(\bigwedge\left\{f(x+h), h \in D_{b}\right\}\right) / M\right] \\
& =\left(1 / \tilde{b}_{0}\right) \ln \left[1-\left(\varepsilon_{D_{b}} f(x)\right) / M\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq. 21 of the map of Asplund distances of $f$, Asp $p_{b_{0}}^{\triangle} f$, is obtained from Eq. 18 and the previous expressions of $\lambda_{b_{0}} f$ and $\mu_{b_{0}} f$.

## Proof of proposition 4.

There is $\forall f, g \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}, \forall x \in D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{b}(f \vee g)(x)=\bigvee\left\{(\widetilde{f \vee g}(x+h)) / \tilde{b}(h), h \in D_{b}\right\} \\
& =\bigvee\left\{(\tilde{f}(x+h) \wedge \tilde{g}(x+h)) / \tilde{b}(h), h \in D_{b}\right\}, \\
& =\bigvee\left\{(\tilde{f}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)) \vee(\tilde{g}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)), h \in D_{b}\right\}, \\
& =\left[\bigvee_{h \in D_{b}}\{\tilde{f}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)\}\right] \vee\left[\bigvee_{h \in D_{b}}\{\tilde{g}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)\}\right] \\
& =\lambda_{b}(f)(x) \vee \lambda_{b}(g)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equality is obtained because $\tilde{f}$ is decreasing. The third equality is caused by $\tilde{b}(h)<0$. According to definition $4.2, \lambda_{b}$ is a dilation. In addition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{b}(O)(x) & =\lambda_{b}\left(f_{0}\right)(x) \\
& =\bigwedge_{h \in D_{b}}\{\alpha(x), \alpha(x) \geq(\tilde{0}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h))\} \\
& =0(x)=O(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $\forall f, g \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}, \forall x \in D$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{b}(f \wedge g)(x)=\bigwedge\left\{(\widetilde{f \wedge g}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)), h \in D_{b}\right\} \\
& =\bigwedge\left\{(\tilde{f}(x+h) \vee \tilde{g}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)), h \in D_{b}\right\} \\
& =\left[\bigwedge_{h \in D_{b}}\{\tilde{f}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)\}\right] \wedge\left[\bigwedge_{h \in D_{b}}\{\tilde{g}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h)\}\right], \\
& =\mu_{b}(f)(x) \wedge \mu_{b}(g)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to definition 4.1, $\mu_{b}$ is an erosion. In addition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{b}(I)(x) & =\mu_{b}\left(f_{M}\right)(x) \\
& =\bigvee_{h \in D_{b}}\{\beta(x), \beta(x) \leq(\tilde{M}(x+h) / \tilde{b}(h))\} \\
& =+\infty(x)=I(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## VERIFICATION OF THE METRIC PROPERTIES

Noyel and Jourlin (2017) have shown that the LIPmultiplicative Asplund distance $d_{a s p}^{\triangle}$ is a metric in the space of equivalence classes $\mathcal{J}^{\triangle}$. In this section, we will demonstrate that the LIP-additive Asplund distance $d_{a s p}^{\oplus}$ is a metric in the space of equivalence classes $\mathcal{F}_{M}^{A}$, which represents the set of functions $h \in$ $\mathcal{F}_{M}$ such that $h=f \oplus k$ for a constant $k$ lying in $]-\infty, M[$.

Proof that the LIP-additive Asplund distance $d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}$ is a metric in the space of equivalence classes $\mathcal{F}_{M}^{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{T}=]-\infty, M[$ be the space of real values less than $M$. In order to be a metric on $\left(\mathcal{F}_{M}^{A} \times \mathcal{F}_{M}^{A}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, $d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}$ must satisfy the four following properties:

- (Positivity): $\forall f^{\oplus} \neq g^{\oplus} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\oplus}, \forall x \in D$, as $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, can be expressed as $c_{1}=\bigvee_{x \in D}\{f(x) \triangle g(x)\}$ and $c_{2}=\bigwedge_{x \in D}\{f(x) \triangle g(x)\}$ (proof of Prop. 1), there is always $c_{1}>c_{2}$.
$\Rightarrow d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}(f, g)=c_{1} \triangle c_{2}=\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) /\left(1-c_{2} / M\right)>0$.
We have also demonstrated that the operator $\triangle$ is strictly increasing.
- (Axiom of separation): Given the two equivalence classes $f^{\perp}, g^{\perp} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{A}$, we have the following implication:
$d_{\text {asp }}^{\perp}\left(f^{\perp}, g^{\perp}\right)=0 \Rightarrow c_{1}=c_{2}=c$. In addition, according to definition 2, we have $c \triangleq g \geq f \geq c \triangleq g$. This implies that $c \oplus g=f$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\Perp}=g^{\Perp} . \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reciprocally, there is $\forall f^{\oplus}, g^{\oplus} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{A}$, $\left(f^{\perp}=g^{\perp}\right) \Rightarrow(\exists k \in \mathcal{T}, k \oplus g=f)$. In addition, according to definition 2 , there is $c_{1}=\inf \{c, f \leq c \oplus g\}$ and $c_{2}=\sup \{c, c \oplus g \leq f\}$. This implies that $c_{1}=c_{2}=k$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a s p}^{\mathbb{A}}(f, g)=0 . \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. B. 1 and B. 2 show that:
$\forall f^{\perp}, g^{\perp} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\perp}, d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}\left(f^{\perp}, g^{\perp}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow f^{\perp}=g^{\perp}$.

- (Triangle inequality): Let us define:
$d_{\text {asp }}^{\perp}\left(f^{\perp}, g^{\perp}\right)=c_{1}^{a} \triangle c_{2}^{a}, \quad d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}\left(g^{\perp}, h^{\wedge}\right)=c_{1}^{b} \triangle c_{2}^{b}$ and $d_{\text {asp }}^{\perp}\left(f^{\oplus}, h^{\perp}\right)=c_{1}^{c} \triangle c_{2}^{c}$. Definition 2 gives the following system of equations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
c_{1}^{a} & =\inf \left\{c^{a}, f^{\oplus} \leq c^{a} \oplus g^{\oplus}\right\} \\
c_{1}^{b} & =\inf \left\{c^{b}, g^{\oplus} \leq c^{b} \oplus h^{\oplus}\right\} \\
c_{1}^{c} & =\inf \left\{c^{c}, f^{\oplus} \leq c^{c} \oplus h^{\oplus}\right\}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

This system implies that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\oplus} \leq c_{1}^{a} \oplus g^{\oplus} \leq c_{1}^{a} \oplus\left(c_{1}^{b} \oplus h^{\oplus}\right)=\left(c_{1}^{a} \oplus c_{1}^{b}\right) \oplus h^{\perp} \\
& \Rightarrow c_{1}^{c} \leq c_{1}^{a} \oplus c_{1}^{b} \tag{B.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality is obtained because $c_{1}^{c}$ is the lowest value such that $f^{\perp} \leq c^{c} \oplus h^{\perp}$. Similarly, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}^{a} \oplus c_{2}^{b} \leq c_{2}^{c} . \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. B. 3 and B.4, and knowing that $a \oplus b=a+b-a b / M \leq a+b$, we deduce that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}(f, h)=c_{1}^{c} \triangle c_{2}^{c} \\
& \leq\left(c_{1}^{a} \oplus c_{1}^{b}\right) \triangle\left(c_{2}^{a} \oplus c_{2}^{b}\right)=\left(c_{1}^{a} \triangle c_{2}^{a}\right) \oplus\left(c_{1}^{b} \triangle c_{2}^{b}\right) \\
& \leq\left(c_{1}^{a} \triangle c_{2}^{a}\right) \oplus\left(c_{1}^{b} \triangle c_{2}^{b}\right) \\
& \leq\left(c_{1}^{a} \triangle c_{2}^{a}\right)+\left(c_{1}^{b} \triangle c_{2}^{b}\right)=d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}(f, g)+d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}(g, h)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we have: $\forall f^{\perp}, g^{\perp}, h^{\perp} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\perp}$,
$d_{\text {asp }}^{\perp}\left(f^{\perp}, h^{\perp}\right) \leq d_{\text {asp }}^{\perp}\left(f^{\oplus}, g^{\perp}\right)+d_{\text {asp }}^{\perp}\left(g^{\perp}, h^{\perp}\right)$.

- (Axiom of symmetry): $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ can be expressed as:
$c_{1}=\bigvee_{x \in D}\{f(x) \triangle g(x)\}$ and $c_{2}=\bigwedge_{x \in D}\{f(x) \triangle g(x)\}$. The Asplund metric becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}(f, g)=c_{1} \Delta c_{2} \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in D}\{f(x) \Delta g(x)\} \triangle \bigwedge_{x \in D}\{f(x) \Delta g(x)\} \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in D}\{f(x) \triangle g(x)\} \triangle \bigwedge_{x \in D}\{\triangle(g(x) \Delta f(x))\} \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in D}\{f(x) \Delta g(x)\} \oplus \bigvee_{x \in D}\{g(x) \Delta f(x)\} \\
& =\bigvee_{x \in D}\{g(x) \triangle f(x)\} \oplus \bigvee_{x \in D}\{f(x) \Delta g(x)\} \\
& =d_{\text {asp }}^{A}(g, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\quad \forall f^{\perp}, g^{\perp} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\perp}, \quad d_{\text {asp }}^{\oplus}\left(f^{\perp}, g^{\perp}\right)=$ $d_{\text {asp }}^{\perp}\left(g^{\perp}, f^{\perp}\right)$.

## INVARIANCES OF THE ROBUST TO NOISE METRICS

In this section, firstly, we will prove the invariance under LIP-multiplication by a scalar of the LIPmultiplicative Asplund metric with tolerance $d_{\text {asp,p }}^{\otimes}$. Secondly, we will prove the invariance under LIPaddition of a constant of the LIP-additive Asplund metric with tolerance $d_{a s p, p}^{\perp}$.

Proof of the invariance under LIP-multiplication by a scalar of the LIP-multiplicative Asplund metric with tolerance $d_{\text {asp,p}}^{\oplus}$, property 6 .

Given a real $\beta>0$, according to definition 6 , the metric: $d_{\text {asp,p }}^{\otimes}(f, \beta \otimes g)$ is equal to $\ln \left(\lambda_{\beta}^{\prime} / \mu_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)$. The factors $\lambda_{\beta}^{\prime}$ and $\mu_{\beta}^{\prime}$ depend of the contrast function
$\gamma_{(f, \beta \otimes g)}^{\otimes}$. Using Eq. 12 and 3, the contrast function $\gamma_{(f, \beta \otimes g)}^{\otimes}$ can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{(f, \beta \otimes g)}^{\otimes} & =\frac{\ln (1-f / M)}{\ln (1-\beta \otimes g / M)}=\frac{\ln (1-f / M)}{\ln (1-g / M)^{\beta}} \\
& =\frac{\ln (1-f / M)}{\beta \ln (1-g / M)}=(1 / \beta) \gamma_{(f, g)}^{\triangle} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor $\lambda_{\beta}^{\prime}$ is therefore equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\beta}^{\prime} & =\inf \left\{\alpha, \forall x, \gamma_{\left(f_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}^{\triangle}, \beta \triangle g_{\left.\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}\right)}\right.}(x) \leq \alpha\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{\alpha, \forall x,(1 / \beta) \gamma_{\left(f_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}^{\triangle}, g_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}\right)}(x) \leq \alpha\right\} \\
& =(1 / \beta) \inf \left\{\alpha, \forall x, \gamma_{\left(f_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}, g_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}\right)}(x) \leq \alpha\right\} \\
& =(1 / \beta) \lambda^{\prime} \\
& =\lambda^{\prime} / \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have $\mu_{\beta}^{\prime}=\mu^{\prime} / \beta$.
The metric with tolerance $d_{\text {asp,p }}^{\otimes}(f, \beta \otimes g)$ becomes:
$d_{\text {asp,p}}^{\otimes}(f, \beta \triangle g)=\ln \left(\lambda_{\beta}^{\prime} / \mu_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)=\ln \left[\left(\lambda^{\prime} / \beta\right) /\left(\mu^{\prime} / \beta\right)\right]$ $=\ln \left(\lambda^{\prime} / \mu^{\prime}\right)=d_{\text {asp,p }}^{\triangle}(f, g)$.
Similarly, we have $d_{\text {asp }, p}^{\triangle}(\beta \otimes f, g)=d_{\text {asp,p}}^{\triangle}(f, g)$.
Proof of the invariance under LIP-addition of a constant of the LIP-additive Asplund metric with tolerance $d_{\text {asp }, p}^{+}$, property 7.

Given $k \in]-\infty, M[$ and according to definition 10, the metric: $d_{\text {asp,p}}^{\perp}(f, k \oplus g)$ is equal to $c_{1, k}^{\prime} \triangle c_{2, k}^{\prime}$. The constants $c_{1, k}^{\prime}$ and $c_{2, k}^{\prime}$ depend of the contrast function $\gamma_{(f, k \oplus g)}^{ \pm}$. Using Eq. 30 and 2, the contrast function $\gamma_{(f, k \nexists g)}^{A}$ can be expressed as:

$$
\gamma_{(f, k \triangleq g)}^{A}=f \triangle(k \triangleleft g)=(f \triangle g) \triangle k=\gamma_{(f, g)}^{A} \triangle k
$$

The factor $c_{1, k}^{\prime}$ is therefore equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1, k}^{\prime} & =\inf \left\{c, \forall x, \gamma_{\left(f_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}^{A},\left(g_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}\right) \oplus k\right)}(x) \leq c\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{c, \forall x,\left(\gamma_{\left(f_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}^{A}, g_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}\right)}(x) \triangle k\right) \leq c\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{c, \forall x, \gamma_{\left(f_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}^{A}, g_{\mid D \backslash D^{\prime}}\right) \oplus k}(x) \leq c\right\} \triangle k \\
& =c_{1}^{\prime} \triangle k
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have $c_{2, k}^{\prime}=c_{2}^{\prime} \triangle k$.
The metric with tolerance $d_{\text {asp }, p}^{\oplus}(f, k \oplus g)$ becomes: $d_{\text {asp }, p}^{\perp}(f, k \oplus g)=c_{1, k}^{\prime} \triangle c_{2, k}^{\prime}=\left(c_{1}^{\prime} \triangle k\right) \triangle\left(c_{2}^{\prime} \triangle k\right)=$ $c_{1}^{\prime} \triangle c_{2}^{\prime}=d_{\text {asp }, p}^{\oplus}(f, g)$.
Similarly, we have $d_{\text {asp,p }}^{\oplus}(k \oplus f, g)=d_{\text {asp }, p}^{\oplus}(f, g)$.

## DETAILS OF THE ILLUSTRATION SECTION

Remark 1 (Segmentation details of Fig. 10). The hminima have a height greater than the $1.6^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the map. Only the minima with an area less than the probe area and with a circular shape are kept.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Abbreviation: LIP (Logarithmic Image Processing).

