Supplementary Materials - Functional Asplund's metrics for pattern matching robust to variable lighting conditions*

Guillaume Noyel^{a,b,*}, Michel Jourlin^{c,a}

Abstract

This document corresponds to the supplementary materials of the article. It is organised as follows: i) a video abstract (section 1), ii) the proofs of some propositions (section 2), iii) the verification of the metric properties (section 3), iv) the invariances of the robust to noise metrics (section 4) and v) details about the illustration section (section 5). ¹

The reference numbers to equations, definitions, propositions, etc. of the main paper will be denoted "(no, MP)". no will be the reference number in the main paper (MP).

1. Video Abstract

A video is available as Graphical Abstract.

^aInternational Prevention Research Institute (iPRI), 18 Chemin des Cuers, 69570 Dardilly Lyon-Ouest, France

^b University of Strathclyde, Institute of Global Public Health, 16 Richmond Street, G11XQ Glasgow, United Kingdom

^c Université Jean Monnet, Laboratoire Hubert Curien, UMR CNRS 5516,18 Rue du Professeur Benoît Lauras, 42000 Saint-Etienne, France

^{*}This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The authors have no conflict of interest.

^{*}Corresponding author.


Email addresses: guillaume.noyel@i-pri.org (Guillaume Noyel), michel.jourlin@univ-st-etienne.fr (Michel Jourlin)

URL: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7374-548X (Guillaume Noyel)

¹Abbreviation: LIP (Logarithmic Image Processing).



A template is selected in a high-contrast image.



Similar objects to the template are detected in a low-contrast image with an Asplund's metric.

Figure 1: Cover graphic of the video abstract.

2. Proof of propositions (2, MP), (3, MP) and (4, MP)

Noyel and Jourlin [1] have introduced the following proofs which correspond to the map of LIP-multiplicative Asplund's distances.

Proof of proposition (2, MP).

Using equation (13, MP), $\forall x \in D, \forall h \in D_b, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there is:

$$\begin{split} &\alpha(x) \triangleq b(h) \geq f(x+h) \\ &\Leftrightarrow M - M(1-b(h)/M)^{\alpha(x)} \geq f(x+h), \; (\text{Eq. (3, MP)}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha(x) \geq \frac{\ln{(1-f(x+h)/M)}}{\ln{(1-b(h)/M)}}, \; \text{where } (1-\frac{b(h)}{M}) \in]0,1[. \end{split}$$

With $\tilde{f} = \ln{(1 - f/M)}$, equation (13, MP) becomes:

$$\lambda_b f(x) = \inf \{ \alpha(x), \alpha(x) \ge (\tilde{f}(x+h)/\tilde{b}(h)), h \in D_b \}$$

= $\vee \{ \tilde{f}(x+h)/\tilde{b}(h), h \in D_b \}.$

The last equality is due to the complete lattice structure. In a similar way, equation (14, MP) becomes:

$$\mu_b f(x) = \sup \{ \alpha(x), \alpha(x) \le (\tilde{f}(x+h)/\tilde{b}(h)), h \in D_b \}$$
$$= \wedge \{ \tilde{f}(x+h)/\tilde{b}(h), h \in D_b \}.$$

Proof of proposition (3, MP).

Let $b = b_0 \in (\mathcal{T}^*)^{D_b}$ a flat structuring element $(\forall x \in D_b, b(x) = b_0)$. Equations (15, MP) of λ_b and (16, MP) of μ_b can be simplified as follows:

$$\lambda_{b_0} f(x) = (1/\tilde{b}_0) \wedge \{\tilde{f}(x+h), h \in D_b\}, \text{ because } \tilde{b}_0 < 0$$

$$= (1/\tilde{b}_0) \ln (1 - (\vee \{f(x-h), -h \in D_b\})/M)$$

$$= (1/\tilde{b}_0) \ln (1 - (\delta_{\overline{D}_b} f(x))/M).$$

The infimum \wedge is changed into a supremum \vee because the function $\tilde{f}: x \to \ln(1-x/M)$ is a continuous decreasing mapping. Similarly,

$$\mu_{b_0} f(x) = (1/\tilde{b}_0) \vee \{\tilde{f}(x+h), h \in D_b\}, \text{ because } \tilde{b}_0 < 0$$

$$= (1/\tilde{b}_0) \ln (1 - (\wedge \{f(x+h), h \in D_b\})/M)$$

$$= (1/\tilde{b}_0) \ln (1 - (\varepsilon_{D_b} f(x))/M).$$

Equation (20, MP) of the map of Asplund's distances of f, $As_{b_0}^{\triangleq}f$, is obtained from equation (17, MP) and the previous expressions of $\lambda_{b_0}f$ and $\mu_{b_0}f$. \square

Proof of proposition (4, MP). There is $\forall f, g \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}, \forall x \in D$,

$$\begin{split} &\lambda_b(f\vee g)(x) = \vee \{(\widetilde{f\vee g}(x+h))/\widetilde{b}(h), h\in D_b\} \\ &= \vee \{(\widetilde{f}(x+h)\wedge \widetilde{g}(x+h))/\widetilde{b}(h), h\in D_b\}, \\ &= \vee \{(\widetilde{f}(x+h)/\widetilde{b}(h))\vee (\widetilde{g}(x+h)/\widetilde{b}(h)), h\in D_b\}, \\ &= [\vee_{h\in D_b} \{\widetilde{f}(x+h)/\widetilde{b}(h)\}] \vee [\vee_{h\in D_b} \{\widetilde{g}(x+h)/\widetilde{b}(h)\}] \\ &= \lambda_b(f)(x)\vee \lambda_b(g)(x). \end{split}$$

The second equality is obtained because \tilde{f} is decreasing. The third equality is caused by $\tilde{b}(h) < 0$. According to definition (4.2, MP), λ_b is a dilation. In addition,

$$\lambda_b(O)(x) = \lambda_b(f_0)(x)$$

$$= \wedge_{h \in D_b} \{ \alpha(x), \alpha(x) \ge (\tilde{0}(x+h)/\tilde{b}(h)) \}$$

$$= 0(x) = O(x).$$

Similarly, $\forall f, g \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}, \, \forall x \in D$

$$\mu_b(f \wedge g)(x) = \bigwedge \{ (\widetilde{f \wedge g}(x+h)/\widetilde{b}(h)), h \in D_b \}$$

$$= \bigwedge \{ (\widetilde{f}(x+h) \vee \widetilde{g}(x+h)/\widetilde{b}(h)), h \in D_b \},$$

$$= [\bigwedge_{h \in D_b} \{ \widetilde{f}(x+h)/\widetilde{b}(h) \}] \bigwedge [\bigwedge_{h \in D_b} \{ \widetilde{g}(x+h)/\widetilde{b}(h) \}],$$

$$= \mu_b(f)(x) \wedge \mu_b(g)(x).$$

According to definition (4.1, MP), μ_b is an erosion. In addition,

$$\mu_b(I)(x) = \mu_b(f_M)(x)$$

$$= \bigvee_{h \in D_b} \{\beta(x), \beta(x) \le (\tilde{M}(x+h)/\tilde{b}(h))\}$$

$$= +\infty(x) = I(x).$$

3. Verification of the metric properties

Noyel and Jourlin [1] have shown that the LIP-multiplicative Asplund's distance d_{As}^{\triangle} is a metric in the space of equivalence classes \mathcal{I}^{\triangle} . In this section, we will demonstrate that the LIP-additive Asplund's distance d_{As}^{\triangle} is a metric in the space of equivalence classes $\mathcal{F}_{M}^{\triangle}$, which represents the set of functions $h \in \mathcal{F}_{M}$ such that $h = f \triangle k$ for a constant k lying in $] - \infty, M[$.

Proof that the LIP-additive Asplund's distance d_{As}^{\triangle} is a metric in the space of equivalence classes $\mathcal{F}_{M}^{\triangle}$.

Let $\mathcal{T} =]-\infty, M[$ be the space of real values less than M. In order to be a metric on $(\mathcal{F}_M^{\underline{\mathbb{A}}} \times \mathcal{F}_M^{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}) \to \mathbb{R}^+, d_{As}^{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}$ must satisfy the four following properties:

• (Positivity): $\forall f^{\triangleq} \neq g^{\triangleq} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\triangleq}$, $\forall x \in D$, as c_1 and c_2 , can be expressed as $c_1 = \bigvee_{x \in D} \{f(x) \triangle g(x)\}$ and $c_2 = \bigwedge_{x \in D} \{f(x) \triangle g(x)\}$ (proof of Prop. (1, MP)), there is always $c_1 > c_2$.

$$\Rightarrow d_{As}^{\triangle}(f,g) = c_1 \triangle c_2 = (c_1 - c_2)/(1 - c_2/M) > 0.$$

We have also demonstrated that the operator \triangle is strictly increasing.

• (Axiom of separation): Given the two equivalence classes $f^{\triangle}, g^{\triangle} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\triangle}$, we have the following implication: $d_{As}^{\triangle}(f^{\triangle}, g^{\triangle}) = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = c_2 = c$. In addition, according to definition (2, MP), we have $c \triangle g \geq f \geq c \triangle g$. This implies that $c \triangle g = f$ and

$$f^{\hat{}} = g^{\hat{}}. \tag{1}$$

Reciprocally, there is $\forall f^{\triangleq}, g^{\triangleq} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\triangleq}, f^{\triangleq} = g^{\triangleq} \Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathcal{T}, \ k \triangleq g = f$. In addition, according to definition (2, MP), there is $c_{1} = \inf\{c, f \leq c \triangleq g\}$ and $c_{2} = \sup\{c, c \triangleq g \leq f\}$. This implies that $c_{1} = c_{2} = k$ and

$$d_{As}^{\underline{A}}(f,g) = 0. (2)$$

Equations 1 and 2 show that:

$$\forall \widehat{f}^{\mathbb{A}}, g^{\mathbb{A}} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\mathbb{A}}, \, d_{As}^{\mathbb{A}}(f^{\mathbb{A}}, g^{\mathbb{A}}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f^{\mathbb{A}} = g^{\mathbb{A}}.$$

 $d_{As}^{\triangleq}(f^{\stackrel{\triangle}{=}},g^{\stackrel{\triangle}{=}}) = c_1^a \stackrel{\triangle}{=} c_2^a, d_{As}^{\stackrel{\triangle}{=}}(g^{\stackrel{\triangle}{=}},h^{\stackrel{\triangle}{=}}) = c_1^b \stackrel{\triangle}{=} c_2^b \text{ and } d_{As}^{\stackrel{\triangle}{=}}(f^{\stackrel{\triangle}{=}},h^{\stackrel{\triangle}{=}}) = c_1^c \stackrel{\triangle}{=} c_2^c.$ Definition (2, MP) gives the following system of equations:

$$c_1^a = \inf \left\{ c^a, f^{\underline{\mathbb{A}}} \leq c^a \underline{\mathbb{A}} g^{\underline{\mathbb{A}}} \right\}$$

$$c_1^b = \inf \left\{ c^b, g^{\underline{\mathbb{A}}} \leq c^b \underline{\mathbb{A}} h^{\underline{\mathbb{A}}} \right\}$$

$$c_1^c = \inf \left\{ c^c, f^{\underline{\mathbb{A}}} \leq c^c \underline{\mathbb{A}} h^{\underline{\mathbb{A}}} \right\}$$

This system implies that:

$$f^{\triangleq} \leq c_1^a \triangleq g^{\triangleq} \leq c_1^a \triangleq (c_1^b \triangleq h^{\triangleq}) = (c_1^a \triangleq c_1^b) \triangleq h^{\triangleq}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1^c \leq c_1^a \triangleq c_1^b$$
(3)

where the last inequality is obtained because c_1^c is the lowest value such that $f^{\triangle} \leq c^c \triangle h^{\triangle}$. Similarly, we have:

$$c_2^a \triangleq c_2^b \le c_2^c. \tag{4}$$

From equations 3 and 4, and knowing that $a \triangleq b = a + b - ab/M \le a + b$, we deduce that:

$$d_{As}^{\mathbb{A}}(f,h) = c_1^c \triangle c_2^c$$

$$\leq (c_1^a \triangle c_1^b) \triangle (c_2^a \triangle c_2^b) = (c_1^a \triangle c_2^a) \triangle (c_1^b \triangle c_2^b)$$

$$\leq (c_1^a \triangle c_2^a) \triangle (c_1^b \triangle c_2^b)$$

$$\leq (c_1^a \triangle c_2^a) + (c_1^b \triangle c_2^b) = d_{As}^{\mathbb{A}}(f,g) + d_{As}^{\mathbb{A}}(g,h).$$

Finally, we have: $\forall f^{\mathbb{A}}, g^{\mathbb{A}}, h^{\mathbb{A}} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\mathbb{A}}, d_{As}^{\mathbb{A}}(f^{\mathbb{A}}, h^{\mathbb{A}}) \leq d_{As}^{\mathbb{A}}(f^{\mathbb{A}}, g^{\mathbb{A}}) + d_{As}^{\mathbb{A}}(g^{\mathbb{A}}, h^{\mathbb{A}}).$

• (Axiom of symmetry): c_1 and c_2 can be expressed as: $c_1 = \bigvee_{x \in D} \{f(x) \triangle g(x)\}$ and $c_2 = \bigwedge_{x \in D} \{f(x) \triangle g(x)\}$. The Asplund's metric becomes

$$d_{As}^{\triangle}(f,g) = c_1 \triangle c_2$$

$$= \bigvee_{x \in D} \{ f(x) \triangle g(x) \} \triangle \wedge_{x \in D} \{ f(x) \triangle g(x) \}$$

$$= \bigvee_{x \in D} \{ f(x) \triangle g(x) \} \triangle \wedge_{x \in D} \{ \triangle (g(x) \triangle f(x)) \}$$

$$= \bigvee_{x \in D} \{ f(x) \triangle g(x) \} \triangle \vee_{x \in D} \{ g(x) \triangle f(x) \}$$

$$= \bigvee_{x \in D} \{ g(x) \triangle f(x) \} \triangle \vee_{x \in D} \{ f(x) \triangle g(x) \}$$

$$= d_{As}^{\triangle}(g, f)$$

Therefore, $\forall f^{\mathbb{A}}, g^{\mathbb{A}} \in \mathcal{F}_{M}^{\mathbb{A}}, d_{As}^{\mathbb{A}}(f^{\mathbb{A}}, g^{\mathbb{A}}) = d_{As}^{\mathbb{A}}(g^{\mathbb{A}}, f^{\mathbb{A}}).$

4. Invariances of the robust to noise metrics

In this section, firstly, we will prove the invariance to LIP-multiplication of the LIP-multiplicative Asplund's metric with tolerance $d_{As,p}^{\triangle}$. Secondly, we will prove the invariance to LIP-addition of the LIP-additive Asplund's metric with tolerance $d_{As,p}^{\triangle}$.

Proof of the invariance to LIP-multiplication of the LIP-multiplicative Asplund's metric with tolerance $d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}$, property (6, MP).

Given a real $\beta > 0$, according to the definition (6, MP), the metric: $d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}(f, \beta \triangle g)$ is equal to $\ln(\lambda'_{\beta}/\mu'_{\beta})$. The factors λ'_{β} and μ'_{β} depend of the contrast function $\gamma^{\triangleq}_{(f,\beta \triangle g)}$. Using equations (11, MP) and (3, MP), the contrast function $\gamma^{\triangleq}_{(f,\beta \triangle g)}$ can be expressed as:

$$\begin{split} \gamma^{\triangleq}_{(f,\beta \triangleq g)} &= \frac{\ln\left(1 - f/M\right)}{\ln\left(1 - \beta \triangleq g/M\right)} = \frac{\ln\left(1 - f/M\right)}{\ln\left(1 - g/M\right)^{\beta}} \\ &= \frac{\ln\left(1 - f/M\right)}{\beta \ln\left(1 - g/M\right)} = (1/\beta)\gamma^{\triangleq}_{(f,g)} \end{split}$$

The factor λ'_{β} is therefore equal to:

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\beta}' &= \inf\{\alpha, \forall x, \gamma_{(f_{|D\setminus D'}, \beta \triangleq g_{|D\setminus D'})}^{\triangleq}(x) \leq \alpha\} \\ &= \inf\{\alpha, \forall x, (1/\beta)\gamma_{(f_{|D\setminus D'}, g_{|D\setminus D'})}^{\triangleq}(x) \leq \alpha\} \\ &= (1/\beta)\inf\{\alpha, \forall x, \gamma_{(f_{|D\setminus D'}, g_{|D\setminus D'})}^{\triangleq}(x) \leq \alpha\} \\ &= (1/\beta)\lambda'. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have $\mu'_{\beta} = (1/\beta)\mu'$.

The metric with tolerance $d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}(f,\beta \triangleq g)$ becomes:

$$d_{As,p}^{\hat{\boxtimes}}(f,\beta \triangleq g) = \ln\left(\lambda_{\beta}'/\mu_{\beta}'\right) = \ln\left(\frac{(1/\beta)\lambda'}{(1/\beta)\mu'}\right) = \ln\left(\lambda'/\mu'\right) = d_{As,p}^{\hat{\boxtimes}}(f,g).$$

Similarly, we have $d_{As,p}^{\hat{\boxtimes}}(\beta \triangleq f,g) = d_{As,p}^{\hat{\boxtimes}}(f,g).$

Proof of the invariance to LIP-addition of the LIP-additive Asplund's metric with tolerance $d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}$, property (7, MP).

Given $k \in]-\infty, M[$ and according to the definition (10, MP), the metric: $d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}(f,k \triangleq g)$ is equal to $c'_{1,k} \triangleq c'_{2,k}$. The constants $c'_{1,k}$ and $c'_{2,k}$ depend of the contrast function $\gamma_{(f,k \triangleq g)}^{\triangleq}$. Using equations (29, MP) and (2, MP) the contrast function $\gamma_{(f,k \triangleq g)}^{\triangleq}$ can be expressed as:

$$\gamma_{(f,k \triangleq g)}^{\triangleq} = f \triangle (k \triangleq g) = (f \triangle g) \triangle k = \gamma_{(f,g)}^{\triangleq} \triangle k.$$

The factor $c'_{1,k}$ is therefore equal to:

$$\begin{split} c'_{1,k} &= \inf\{c, \forall x, \gamma^{\triangleq}_{(f_{|D \setminus D'}, (g_{|D \setminus D'}) \triangleq k)}(x) \leq c\} \\ &= \inf\{c, \forall x, (\gamma^{\triangleq}_{(f_{|D \setminus D'}, g_{|D \setminus D'})}(x) \triangleq k) \leq c\} \\ &= \inf\{c, \forall x, \gamma^{\triangleq}_{(f_{|D \setminus D'}, g_{|D \setminus D'}) \triangleq k}(x) \leq c\} \triangleq k \\ &= c'_1 \triangleq k. \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have $c'_{2,k} = c'_2 \triangle k$.

The metric with tolerance $d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}(f, k \triangleq g)$ becomes:

$$d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}(f,k \triangleq g) = c'_{1,k} \triangleq c'_{2,k} = (c'_1 \triangleq k) \triangleq (c'_2 \triangleq k) = c'_1 \triangleq c'_2 = d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}(f,g).$$
 Similarly, we have $d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}(k \triangleq f,g) = d_{As,p}^{\triangleq}(f,g).$

5. Details of the illustration section

Remark 1 (Segmentation details of figure (10, MP)). The h-minima have a height greater than the 1.6^{th} percentile of the map. Only the minima with an area less than the probe area and with a circular shape are kept.

References

[1] G. Noyel, M. Jourlin, Double-sided probing by map of Asplund's distances using logarithmic image processing in the framework of mathematical morphology, in: Lect Notes Comput Sc, volume 10225, Springer Int Publishing, Cham, 2017, pp. 408–420. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-57240-6_33.