

# Enthesopathies and activity patterns in the Early Medieval Great Moravian population: Evidence of division of labour

P. Havelková, Sébastien Villotte, P. Velemínský, L. Poláček, M. Dobisíková

## ▶ To cite this version:

P. Havelková, Sébastien Villotte, P. Velemínský, L. Poláček, M. Dobisíková. Enthesopathies and activity patterns in the Early Medieval Great Moravian population: Evidence of division of labour. International Journal of Osteoarchaeology, 2011, 21 (4), pp.487-504. 10.1002/oa.1164 . hal-02266489

## HAL Id: hal-02266489 https://hal.science/hal-02266489

Submitted on 19 Feb 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



JOHN WILEY & SONS, LTD., THE ATRIUM, SOUTHERN GATE, CHICHESTER P019 8SQ, UK

#### \*\*\* PROOF OF YOUR ARTICLE ATTACHED, PLEASE READ CAREFULLY \*\*\*

After receipt of your corrections your article will be published initially within the online version of the journal.

#### PLEASE NOTE THAT THE PROMPT RETURN OF YOUR PROOF CORRECTIONS WILL ENSURE THAT THERE ARE NO UNNECESSARY DELAYS IN THE PUBLICATION OF YOUR ARTICLE

#### READ PROOFS CAREFULLY

#### ONCE PUBLISHED ONLINE OR IN PRINT IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO MAKE ANY FURTHER CORRECTIONS TO YOUR ARTICLE

- § This will be your only chance to correct your proof
- § Please note that the volume and page numbers shown on the proofs are for position only

#### ANSWER ALL QUERIES ON PROOFS (Queries are attached as the last page of your proof.)

§ List all corrections and send back via e-mail to the production contact as detailed in the covering e-mail, or mark all corrections directly on the proofs and send the scanned copy via e-mail. Please do not send corrections by fax or post

#### CHECK FIGURES AND TABLES CAREFULLY

- § Check sizes, numbering, and orientation of figures
- § All images in the PDF are downsampled (reduced to lower resolution and file size) to facilitate Internet delivery. These images will appear at higher resolution and sharpness in the printed article
- § Review figure legends to ensure that they are complete
- § Check all tables. Review layout, titles, and footnotes

#### COMPLETE COPYRIGHT TRANSFER AGREEMENT (CTA) if you have not already signed one

§ Please send a scanned signed copy with your proofs by e-mail. Your article cannot be published unless we have received the signed CTA

#### Additional reprint and journal issue purchases

- § Should you wish to purchase a minimum of 100 copies of your article, please visit http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/aboutus/contact\_reprint\_sales.html
- § To purchase reprints in smaller quantities, please visit http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/aboutus/ ppv-articleselect.html. Restrictions apply to the use of reprints – if you have a specific query, please contact permreq@wiley.co.uk. Corresponding authors are invited to inform their co-authors of the reprint options available
- § To purchase a copy of the issue in which your article appears, please contact cs-journals@wiley.co.uk upon publication, quoting the article and volume/issue details
- § Please note that regardless of the form in which they are acquired, reprints should not be resold, nor further disseminated in electronic or print form, nor deployed in part or in whole in any marketing, promotional or educational contexts without authorization from Wiley. Permissions requests should be directed to mailto: permreq@wiley.co.uk

Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 20: 1–18 (2010) Published online in Wiley InterScience

(www.interscience.wiley.com) DOI: 10.1002/oa.1164

F	<u> </u>	
5 6		Enthesonathies and Activity Patterns in
1		
8		the Early Medieval Great Moravian
9		$D_{\text{rescaled}}$ is a set of $D_{\text{rescaled}}$ of
10		Population: Evidence of Division of
11		Labour
12		Labour
13		
14		P. HAVELKOVÁ, <sup>a,b*</sup> S. VILLOTTE, <sup>c</sup> P. VELEMÍNSKÝ, <sup>a</sup>
10		L. POLÁČEK <sup>d</sup> AND <b>M. DOBISÍKOVÁ</b> <sup>a</sup>
17		<sup>a</sup> <u>Department<sup>Q2</sup></u> of Anthropology, National Museum in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic
18		<sup>b</sup> Department of Anthropology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
19		<sup>c</sup> Laboratoire d'Anthropologie des Populations du Passé; Université Bordeaux 1, France
20		<sup>a</sup> Institute of Archaeology of the Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic
21		
22		
23	ABSTRACT	XXX <sup>40</sup> . Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Key words: enthesopathies; occupational stress markers; Great Moravia; sexual division of labour; Early Middle Ages; MSM

## Introduction

The socio-economic character of ancient populations and their daily lives have been of interest to both archaeologists and physical anthropologists for many years (Kennedy, 1989). The study of human skeletal material supported by archaeological finds can provide information not only about the appearance, health and lifestyle of our ancestors, but also about their habitual activities. The physical stress accompanying such activities may give rise to various skeletal changes, and these manifestations of stress may include a whole range of markers: degenerative changes involving the joints (e.g. Beňuš, 2002; Lieverse et al., 2007), stress fractures (e.g. Bláha, 1963; Merbs, 1989), the flattening of long bones/platymeria and platycnemia (e.g. Buxton, 1938; Marchi et al., 2006) and in particular changes at the attachment sites of muscles, ligaments and tendons, i.e. the entheses.

Although change in the entheseal surface is frequently associated with advanced age or considered an accompanying marker to diffuse idiopathic skeletal

e-mail: havelkova.petra@gmail.com 

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

hyperostosis (DISH) or seronegative spondyloarthropathies (SpA), it also occurs in association with physical activity (Resnick & Niwayama, 1983; Benjamin et al., 2002; Benjamin et al., 2006). The terminology that describes these changes is not unified. The two terms used most frequently have been 'musculoskeletal stress markers' or MSM (e.g. Hawkey & Merbs, 1995; Steen & Lane, 1998; Wilczak, 1998) and 'enthesopathies' (e.g. Dutour, 1986; Crubézy et al., 2002; Mariotti et al., 2004; Villotte, 2006; Villotte et al., in press). The term 'enthesopathies' denotes a pathological modifi-cation at the site of insertion, regardless of aetiology (inflammatory disease, mechanical action, trauma, etc.) or appearance. 'Entheseal changes', is another term which could be used for both pathological and non-pathological cases. We will use here both 'enthesopathies' and 'entheseal changes'. When evaluating the entheseal changes associated with physical activity, other possible causes of their development (age, diseases) should be excluded where possible (e.g. Dutour, 1992; Hawkey & Merbs, 1995; Mariotti et al., 2004; Villotte et al., in press). 

The study of entheseal changes incurred by physical stress in ancient populations is particularly interesting for the possibility it offers for reconstructing human behaviour and patterns of living (e.g. Larsen, 1999; 

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to: Department of Anthropology, National Museum in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic.

Crubézy et al., 2002; Capasso et al., 2004). The study of such changes allows the acquisition of information of various kinds. A number of works have focussed on the study of the differences in physical activities between populations with different subsistence strategies (e.g. Eshed et al., 2004), populations living in different terrains (al-Oumaoui et al., 2004) or the sexual division of labour (e.g. Chapman, 1997).

Many authors have attempted to reconstruct habitual activities on the basis of archaeological finds and the prevalence of entheseal changes (Dutour, 1986; Hawkey, 1988; Beňuš, 2002; Capasso et al., 2004). This approach may, however, be associated with several problems. First of all, it is not possible to distinguish fully between entheseal changes which have different aetiologies. Although we may exclude individuals on the basis of advanced age or on suspicion of DISH or SpA, other entheseal changes need not to be linked to physical stresses either (e.g. Zumwalt, 2005; Zumwalt et al., 2006). Other problems are associated with the methodology itself: (1) the evaluation of entheseal changes by visual scoring is more or less subjective; (2) different methods distinguish various numbers of stages, and it is thus near impossible to compare the data acquired by these methods; (3) methods for studying entheseal changes were, at least until recently, not based on a medical understanding of entheses. These methodological limitations may therefore raise some doubts about the results obtained (Mariotti et al., 2004, 2007; Villotte et al., in press).

Our research focuses on studying two Early Medieval population groups from Mikulčice (Czech Republic); i.e. individuals from Mikulčice castle and from its hinterland. We suppose that the inhabitants of Mikulčice castle itself lived in different conditions than did the people of the hinterland. It may thus be assumed that the types of physical activities undertaken differed between these two groups. The underlying hypothesis is that different socio-economic conditions will be reflected in changes at muscle attachment sites. We have attempted to reveal different socio-economic characters among and within the groups, and reconstruct those habitual activities that could have formed part of the day-to-day lives of males and females in the 9th century. 

#### Archaeological background

During the 9th century, the first historically documented Slavic proto-state, Great Moravia, appeared in central Europe, in the area north of the Middle

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Danube. In the latter third<sup> $Q_4$ </sup> of that century, at the time of its zenith, this represented a serious political partner and rival for the European 'powers' of the time-the West Frankish, East Frankish, Byzantine and Bulgarian empires. At the time of its greatest political conquests, this 'empire' encompassed an area that today includes Bohemia, Moravia, Slovakia, northern Austria, Pannonia, part of the land of the Avars (in the Tisza valley), Lusatia and Malopolsko (see Figure 1). Archaeological research indicates that nucleus of Great



Figure 1. (a) <u>Map of Europe<sup>Q5</sup></u> showing the location of Great Moravia and Mikulčice (modified illustration in Poulik, 1975). (b) Map showing the location of Great Moravia and locality Mikulčice in Europe (modified illustration according to http:// commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Great\_Moravia-eng.png).

Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 20: 1–18 (2010)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

46

47

48

Moravia was located in the middle of the Morava basin (or Pomoraví). This was also the site of the two most important centres of this state-like formation—the settlement agglomerations at Staré Město-Uherské Hradiště and Mikulčice-Valy. The highest concentration of political power is documented by the results of archaeological research at Mikulčice.

10 Mikulčice-Valy was the centre of an extensive 11 settlement agglomeration, which in part occupied the 12 flood plain of the Morava (Poláček, 1996; Poláček et al., 13 2006). The fortified nucleus of the Mikulčice settle-14 ment had an area of approximately 10 hectares. It 15 consisted of the fortified enclosure itself-the 'castle' 16 ('acropolis' and bailey) and the suburbium. During 55 17 years of archaeological research, almost 2500 graves 18 were uncovered at this site (Poláček & Marek, 2005). 19 The human skeletal remains are housed in the 20 depository of the National Museum in Prague. The 21 foundations of at least 10 churches were found in this 22 locality. As this represents the largest accumulation of 23 church structures at a single site in the whole Slavic 24 world of the 9th century, it is likely that Mikulčice was 25 the spiritual centre of the Great Moravia (Poulík, 1975; 26 Klanica, 1985; Poláček, 2008a).

27 A three-aisled basilica was the largest of these 28 churches, its foundations being discovered within 29 the acropolis. Apart from almost 550 graves that were 30 excavated around the basilica, several tombs were 31 found inside this church, and these are considered to 32 be the graves of the most prominent individuals from 33 the Mojmírid ruling dynasty (Schulze-Dörrlamm, 34 1995; Poláček, 2008b). The basilica is also one of 35 the places where the tomb of Archbishop Methodius 36 has been sought (see Měřínský, 2006). The grave 37 goods of the individuals buried in the castle itself 38 generally point to the higher social status of its 39 inhabitants. Nonetheless, it is like that as well as the 40 nobles, clergy and military retinue, the castle was also 41 inhabited by craftsmen, servants and others whose 42 work was closely related to the functioning of the 43 stronghold. The workshops of iron-founders, black-44 smiths, jewellers etc. have also been found in the castle. 45

The immediate surroundings of Mikulčice (a radius of approximately 10 km) are considered to form its 58

59

60

61

62

## Materials

We chose for our research three burial sites within the Mikulčice settlement agglomeration with different socio-economic structures. The first of these is located within the castle, around the three-aisled basilica. It may be presumed that individuals from the highest echelons of society were buried here. This burial site includes around 550 graves, and 117 individuals could be used for the purposes of our research. The other processed burial sites—Josefov and Prušánky—are located in the hinterland, which had an agricultural character. Representatives of the middle and lower social classes were probably buried here. Given the good state of preservation of this material, we were able to evaluate the skeletal remains of 23 individuals from Josefov and 57 individuals from Prušánky.

85 Only adult individuals were included in the sample. These were subsequently divided into three age 86 groups: 20-40 years, 40-50 years and individuals over 87 50 years of age. We excluded individuals showing 88 manifestations of diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperos-89 90 tosis (DISH) or spondyloarthropathy (SpA). Individuals with healed trauma and severe degenerative-91 productive diseases were also not included. The 92 preservation of the skeletal remains was itself a limiting 93 factor. Table 1 lists the number of individuals processed 94 according to sex and biological age at death. The 95 influence of age on the manifestation of bone 96 remodelling around entheses has been well documen-97 ted by studies of cadavers (Durigon & Paolaggi, 1991) 98 and of skeletons with known age at death (e.g. Cunha & 99 Umbelino, 1995; Villotte, 2009). Enthesopathies seem 100 not to be good markers of activity after 50 years 101 (Dutour, 1992; Villotte, 2009); for this reason, only 102

Locality		All			20–40			40–50			50+	
	N	Μ	F	Ν	Μ	F	Ν	М	F	Ν	М	F
All	197	103	94	60	22	38	77	42	35	60	39	21
Hinterland	117 80	68 35	49 45	33 27	17 5	16 22	51 26	27 15	24 11	33 27	24 15	12

Table 1. Individuals from the castle and hinterland by age and sex (N: number of individuals, M: males, F: females)

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 20: 1–18 (2010)

104

those individuals who died before this age were considered in the attempt to reconstruct past activities.

#### **Methods**

Enthesopathies were evaluated according to a method previously proposed by one of the authors (Villotte, 2006). This method is based on current anatomical and histological studies of muscle attachment sites. It has been developed on the basis of the study of the differences between the normal and pathological appearances of individual entheses. In keeping with the findings relating to the structure of individual entheses, fibro-cartilaginous and fibrous entheses are evaluated separately (e.g. Benjamin et al., 1986). The evaluated attachment sites were divided into four groups (Villotte, 2006; Villotte et al., in press).

The first three groups include fibrocartilaginous insertion sites. These are typical of epiphyses and apophyses of the long bones, but they also occur on the short bones of the hands and feet and certain ligaments on the vertebral column (Benjamin et al., 1986; Benjamin & McGonagle, 2001). Enthesopathies do not affect the surface of the insertion uniformly. They are more marked at the edges of the insertions, were the layer of cartilage is thin. The fourth group consists of fibrous enthesis. These occur in areas of the post-cranial skeleton with a thick layer of cortical bone, especially in the area of the diaphyses of long bones. They are connected to the strongest muscles of the body (such as pectoralis major, deltoid, muscles attached to the linea aspera (rough line) of the femur, Benjamin et al., 1986). Fibrous insertions are generally extensive and poorly demarcated.

In this study only nine enthesis on each side of group 1 were used (Table 2; L: enthesis of the left side, R: enthesis of the right side). This is because the application of this method to a large sample of 60 individuals of known sex, age and occupation has 61 shown that physical activity affects only the entheso-62 pathies for this group of entheses (Villotte, 2009; 63 Villotte et al., in press). This group includes only 64 fibrocartilaginous entheses (Benjamin et al., 1986; 65 Benjamin & McGonagle, 2001). The structure of these 66 entheses is well known: there is no periosteum at the 67 site of these entheses and a layer of cartilage is located 68 on the surface, but need not extend throughout whole 69 surface of the enthesis. Fibrocartilaginous entheses in 70 adult individuals have a characteristic structure, which 71 forms the basis of their mechanical properties. Such 72 entheses consist of four layers: tendon, non-calcified 73 cartilage, calcified cartilage and bone (Cooper & Misol, 74 1970; Benjamin et al., 1986). The so-called 'tidemark' at 75 the boundary between the calcified and non-calcified 76 cartilage provides healthy entheses with their charac-77 teristic appearance: they are smooth, with no 78 vascularisation and apertures and their surface 79 resembles that of joints. Enthesopathies do not affect 80 the surface of the entheses uniformly: they are more 81 marked at the edges of the attachment, where the layer 82 of cartilage is thin. 83

The evaluation itself is conducted with the aid of a 84 three-grade scale (stages A, B, C). The surface and 85 contour are evaluated separately (often both are 86 involved). A stage of 0, 1 or 2 is assigned to each of 87 these evaluations. All types of re-modelling may occur 88 on the surface of the entheses (enthesophytes, foramina, cysts...). The contour is most often re-89 90 modelled in the form of enthesophytes. The resulting 91 stage (A, B, C) is based on the sum of the partial 92 evaluations (A = 0, B = 1-2, C = 3-4). 93

In addition to the scoring system itself (ABC), we also evaluated taphonomy, i.e. the possibility or lack thereof of evaluating the attachment due to its degree

Table 2.	Attachment	sites	evaluated	on th	e appendiculai	r skeleton
----------	------------	-------	-----------	-------	----------------	------------

Lesser tubercle of the humerus	
	HSC
Greater tubercle of the humerus	HSI
Lateral epicondyle of the humerus	HEL
Medial enicondule of the humerus	НЕМ
medial epiconayie of the numerus	
Radial tuberosity	RBB
ischial tuberosity of the coxal bone	CSB
Greater trochanter of the femur	FPF
Greater trochanter of the femur	FMF
Lesser trochanter of the femur	FIP
	Lateral epicondyle of the humerus Medial epicondyle of the humerus Radial tuberosity Ischial tuberosity of the coxal bone Greater trochanter of the femur Greater trochanter of the femur Lesser trochanter of the femur

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 20: 1-18 (2010)

112

94

95

96

of damage. Following Mariotti *et al.* (2004), attachments with more than one half of the contour and/or surface damaged were not included in the study. Entheses that could not be evaluated were marked NR (non-recordable); those which were completely missing were marked ABS (absent) (Havelková & Villotte, 2007). STATISTICA 7.0 and Microsoft Office Excel 2003 software were used for the statistical evaluation. Intra-observer and inter-observer errors of trait evaluation were expressed using two types of calculations. The degree of agreement was expressed as a percentage value as well as with the aid of the  $\kappa$  coefficient that also assesses the quality of agreement between the observers (Landis & Koch, 1977).

The methodology used in this work results in categorical data on an ordinal scale. For this type of data, it is most appropriate to use non-parametric tests based on a median or range (Robb, 1998). The mean score is being used ever more frequently for basic evaluation as well (e.g. Hawkey, 1988; Hawkey & Merbs, 1995; <u>Churchill<sup>Q6</sup></u> & Morris, 1998; Molnar, 2006). Another option is to plot the percentage values of the stages representing the presence of entheso-pathies onto a graph. We have applied all these statistical tools to obtain a picture of the distribution of entheseal changes in the population groups evaluated. Nevertheless, the use of a median, mean score or percentage value may have certain limitations.

The median would seem applicable given the character of the data (Robb, 1998; <u>Stirland</u>,<sup>Q7</sup> 1998; Molnar, 2006), but in view of the limited number of stages (usually 3–5, here 3) and the fact 'that their distribution tends to be bunched around the centre of the distribution, the median tends to obscure rather than reveal differences between individuals and groups' (Robb, 1998: p. 368). The problem of using the mean score lies in the fact that it works with categorical data in the same way as with numerical data, and thus presumes a certain numerical value of the given score and also a numerical value between the individual stages. The percentage value of stages B and C records the presence of any defect, but does not take into consideration the degree of involvement of the entheses. Because of the data acquired are categorical and ordinal, non-parametric tests were predominantly used for the statistical calculations. Spearman's coefficient Rwas used to determine the correlation of age and enthesopathies. Two types of tests were used to calculate the degree of sexual dimorphism and to study the different prevalence of enthesopathies in individuals from the castle and hinterland. The parametric Ttest was used for the overall evaluation of differences between the population groups on the basis of the mean score for each individual (where A = 0, B = 1, C = 2). Comparison of the prevalence of enthesopathies in individual entheses within and among the evaluated populations was conducted using the nonparametric Mann–Whitney test.

The null hypothesis was rejected at the level  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

## Results

The first step was the calculation of intra- and interobserver error in the evaluation of prevalence of enthesopathies. Intra-observer error was evaluated for 126 individuals buried in the castle itself, and its value was 11% (the  $\kappa$  coefficient was 0.81). Inter-observer error was evaluated in 20 individuals from the castle and amounted to 12% ( $\kappa$  0.81) (Havelková & Villotte, 2007).

#### Age

The influence of age on the prevalence of the enthesopathies was studied on the sample of 197 individuals from both the castle and the hinterland. As expected, the prevalence of enthesopathies was higher in individuals of more advanced age. Based on Spearman's *R* test, the overall correlation in all individuals was 0.458 (p = 0.000)—Table 3. In the case of individual entheses, a demonstrable correlation was found in 16 entheses out of 18. A similar situation was recorded among individuals buried in the castle

Table 3.	Correlation between age and the enth	resopathy prevalence on the basis of Sp	bearman's <i>R</i> coefficients (*: significant differences;
X: numbe	er of entheses which show statistical	ly significant correlations between the	prevalence of enthesopathies and age)

Locality		All		Males				Females				
	Valid N	Spearman	<i>p</i> -level	X	Valid N	Spearman	<i>p</i> -level	X	Valid N	Spearman	<i>p</i> -level	X
All Castle	197 80	0.458 0.386	0.000*	16 16	103 68	0.493	0.000*	13 15	94 49	0.411	0.000* 0.012*	10
Hinterland	117	0.508	0.000*	9	35	0.114	0.514	1	45	0.454	0.002*	6

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 20: 1–18 (2010)

<u>0</u>8

although Spearman's coefficient was considerably lower (Spearman R = 0.386; p = 0.000). The highest correlation (Spearman R = 0.508) was recorded in the population group from the hinterland, though a relationship between enthesopathy prevalence and age was demonstrated in the case of only nine entheses.

The age-dependence of the enthesopathies is also clearly illustrated by Figure 2, which depicts the prevalence of traits within the individual age categories for the population groups from Mikulčice castle, both females and males, and for the groups of females and males from the hinterland. The highest Spearman's coefficient overall was recorded among the males from the castle (Spearman R = 0.659; p = 0.000; Figure 2), in whom a relationship was demonstrated in the case of 15 entheses. Females from the castle demonstrated a statistically significant correlation only in the case of three entheses. Nonetheless, the correlation between the average score and age for all the evaluated entheses was statistically significant even within this group (Spearman R = 0.356; p = 0.012). 

The situation differs in the individuals from the hinterland. If we were to study both sexes separately, then in females only six entheses correlate with age, although the overall correlation has been demonstrated at a level of p = 0.002 (Spearman R = 0.454; <u>Table 4)<sup>Q8</sup></u>. In males, though, the correlation between the prevalence of enthesopathies and age was statistically demonstrated only in the case of a single enthesis (CSB-R), and the value of the overall correlation was 0.114. Figure 2 shows that in males living in the hinterland, the greatest prevalence of enthesopathies is in individuals aged 40-50 years. In individuals aged over 50 years, the prevalence of enthesopathies declines for both stages, B and C.

## Prevalence of enthesopathies

Enthesopathies occurred in at least one fibrocartilaginous enthesis on a long bone of a limb in 76% of individuals younger than 50 years. The greatest signs of stress were exhibited by attachment sites in the upper extremities of males buried in the Mikulčice hinterland (Figure 3), which show the highest prevalence of both stages B and C. A high prevalence of stages B and C was also recorded on the bones of the upper and lower extremities in females from the castle. The situation did not differ significantly in the upper limbs in females from the hinterland. A lower prevalence of enthesopathies on both extremities was found in males buried in the castle. The fewest signs of stress could be



Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

		%C	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
	laes	B + C	$\begin{array}{c} 5450\\ 54500\\ 55000\\ 55000\\ 65000\\ 65000\\ 65000\\ 65000\\ 65000\\ 74500\\ 65000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 74000\\ 740$
	Ferr	Rank	۵۵۲۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵ ۵۵۲۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵۵
rland		Mean	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Hinte		%C	23. 4.1.7 2.7.4 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.5 2.7.
	lles	%B+C	64.7 75.0 60.0 63.6 63.6 65.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 722.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7 727.7
	Ma	Rank	ω-ψουνσ4∞+∞rt566üöğt
		Mean	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
		%C	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$
	iales	%B+C	50.0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0
	Ferr	Rank	٥«٥٥»٥٠-٩٥٥» ٥» ٥٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥» ٥
tle		Mean	00000000000000000000000000000000000000
Cas		%C	7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2
	ales	B + C	39.5 35.5 35.5 35.5 35.3 21.9 23.3 16.7 23.3 16.7 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.3 23
	Ma	Rank	ωωφωσ4 <u>ω</u> ΰ-ανωϋμμομα
		Mean	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
		%C	84040000000000000000000000000000000000
otal	IN	%B+C	4 4 8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Tc	4	Rank	©4ΰ0π−∞∞∞лг=4₽й
		Mean	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
Enthesis			HSC-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L HEL-L

Copyright  ${\rm (\!C\!\!\!\!C}$  2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 20: 1–18 (2010)



Figure 3. The frequency of stages B and C in different population groups for both the upper and lower extremities (M-H: males hinterland; M-C: males castle; F-H: females hinterland; F-C: females castle).

observed on the attachments of the lower limbs in females buried in the hinterland.

As can be seen from Figure 3, the upper limbs are more affected by enthesopathies than the lower limbs in all of the population groups. Table 4 summarises the prevalence of enthesopathies in all of the evaluated entheses. It includes the mean score, rank and frequency of enthesopathies for each enthesis (% stage B + C) and the frequency of the most severe stage (C).

28 The highest occurrence of entheseal changes in the lower limbs is represented by the area of the ischial 30 tuberosity (CSB) on the pelvis in all population groups, and by the gluteus medius insertion (FMF) on the 32 greater trochanter of the femur as well. The most 33 important differences in the manifestation of a marker 34 across the population groups are shown by the wrist 35 flexors attached to the area of the medial epicondyle on 36 the right humerus (HEM-R). While these muscles seem to be frequently used in the males and females from the 38 hinterland, their entheses are ranked among the least 39 involved attachment sites in males from the castle. 40

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

29

31

37

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

population groups from the castle and the hinterland 60 separately. In all individuals, regardless of the distinc-61 tion between the upper and lower limbs, sexual 62 dimorphism is not statistically significant (p = 0.992; 63 see Table 5). Although the overall sexual dimorphism 64 of enthesopathies on the upper limbs was not proved, 65 differences between males and females in the individual 66 entheses do exist (Figure 4-all individuals). In 67 females, attachment sites that demonstrate the greatest 68 signs of stress are located in the area of the elbow joint. 69 In the case of the medial epicondyle of the humerus on 70 the right side (HEM-R), the differences are even 71 statistically significant. Males, on the other hand, 72 demonstrate a higher prevalence of enthesopathies on 73 the bones of the forearm, especially the insertion of the 74 biceps brachii on the left side (RBB-L).

75 Sexual dimorphism is more accentuated in the 76 hinterland sample and reaches statistical significance 77 for the upper extremities (Table 5). In both limbs, there is 78 a higher prevalence of enthesopathies in males. 79 Evaluating the individual entheses, this difference is 80 statistically significant for three markers (Figure 4— 81 hinterland). Only the area of the right ischial tuberosity 82 (CSB-R) and the gluteus medius insertion on the right 83 side (FMF-R) demonstrate greater signs of stress in 84 females. This difference is not statistically significant.

85 Most of the entheses in the castle sample show 86 greater markers of stress in females (Figure 4—castle). 87 Only two attachment sites, the biceps brachii insertion 88 on the left side (RBB-L) and the iliopsoas insertion on 89 the right side (FIP-R) are more affected in males. The 90 difference in the prevalence of enthesopathies on the 91 medial epicondyle of the humerus (HEM) between 92 the sexes is statistically significant on both limbs and is 93 also higher in females, as well as the area of the greater 94 tubercle of the humerus on the left side (HSI-L) and the 95 right insertion of gluteus minimus (FPF-R).

#### Sexual dimorphism

The differences in enthesopathy prevalence between the sexes were studied both in all individuals and in the

## Castle versus binterland

100

101

102

103

104

112

In the comparison of individuals (pooled sexes) from the castle and the hinterland we did not find any

Table 5. Differences in the prevalence of enthesopathies between males and females in the castle and hinterland, for the upper and lower limbs (7-test of the average score, rejected at the level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ , \*: significant differences)

Limb		All		Castle			Hinterland			
	Mean F	Mean M	р	Mean F	Mean M	р	Mean F	Mean M	р	
Upper Lower Total	0.52 0.30 0.44	0.53 0.35 0.44	0.952 0.490 0.992	0.57 0.41 0.49	0.38 0.25 0.31	0.063 0.070 0.041*	0.48 0.28 0.38	0.87 0.43 0.73	0.010* 0.279 0.016*	



Figure 4. Differences in the prevalence of enthesopathies between males and females, expressed as the absolute difference between the average values for each enthesis, in all individuals, in the population group from the castle and from the hinterland.

statistically significant differences, although we recorded a higher prevalence of enthesopathies among individuals buried in the hinterland, especially on the upper extremities (Table 6). Generally, no statistically significant differences between the population groups from the castle and hinterland were observed even in an evaluation of the individual entheses (see Figure 5— all individuals), but there is a clear distribution of enthesopathies on the upper and lower extremities. Most of the attachment sites on the bones of the upper limbs show a higher prevalence of enthesopathies among individuals from the hinterland, while the prevalence of enthesopathies on the lower limbs indicate greater exposure to stress among the population group from the castle.

More information regarding the differences in enthesopathy prevalence between the selected sites is forthcoming if the sexes are evaluated separately. Among females, comparison of the mean scores shows no statistically significant differences for either the

upper or lower limbs. Nevertheless, a higher prevalence of enthesopathies can be seen among females from the castle, especially on the lower limbs. This is also confirmed by evaluation of the individual attachment sites. A statistically significant difference between the castle and hinterland groups was recorded in the cases of three entheses (Figure 5-females). Two of these are located on the lower limbs (FPF-R, FIP-L) and one on the upper (HSI-R). All the attachment sites on the lower limbs, except for those of the ischial tuberosity (CSB-R) and iliopsoas insertion (FIP-R), were more affected in the females from Mikulčice castle. In comparison to the lower extremities, the attachment sites of the upper extremities do not show a higher prevalence of markers in either the castle or the hinterland. It may thus be concluded that females buried in the castle and the hinterland demonstrate similar exposures to stress of the muscle groups of the upper limbs, whereas the females from the castle demonstrate a greater manifes-tation of physical stress on the lower limbs. 

Table 6. Differences in the prevalence of enthesopathies between individuals from the castle and the hinterland, for the upper and lower limbs (*T*-test of the average score, rejected at the level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ , \*: significant differences)

Limb	All				Males		Females			
	Mean castle	Mean hinterland	p	Mean castle	Mean hinterland	p	Mean castle	Mean hinterland	р	
Upper Lower Total	0.47 0.33 0.40	0.63 0.33 0.51	0.071 0.989 0.143	0.38 0.25 0.31	0.87 0.43 0.73	0.000* 0.144 0.001*	0.57 0.41 0.49	0.48 0.28 0.38	0.46 <sup>-</sup> 0.186 0.282	

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Figure 5. Distribution of the prevalence of enthesopathies in the population group from the castle and hinterland, expressed as the absolute difference between the average values for each enthesis, in all individuals, in females and in males.

In males, on the other hand, we observe an overall greater prevalence of enthesopathies in those buried in the hinterland for both upper and lower limbs (Table 6). Statistically, this difference is significant in the case of four entheses (Figure 5—males). All these entheses are associated with the upper limbs, and all show greater signs of stress in males from the hinterland. The lower limbs demonstrate a similar trend, but two attachment sites (CSB-R, FMF-R) are more affected by enthesopathies among males from the castle (although the difference is not statistically significant). The other entheses demonstrated greater signs of stress in males from the hinterland.

In summary in females, there is a higher prevalence of enthesopathies among those buried in the castle, predominantly involving entheses of the lower limbs. In males, on the other hand, the group from the hinterland demonstrates greater signs of stress, and the entheses of the upper limbs are more affected.

#### Discussion

Although skeletal changes associated with different lifestyles in past populations are considered to be an important source of knowledge about daily life, there are several limitations to studies of enthesopathies as occupational stress markers (Dutour, 1992; Jurmain & Roberts, 2008; Villotte, 2008, 2009). Methodology is one of them. In this paper, we have applied a method for studying the bony aspects of fibrocartilaginous entheses based on the current state of medical

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

knowledge, which has been validated on a large sample of individuals of known sex, age and occupation (Villotte, 2009; Villotte et al., in press). Mechanically induced enthesopathies are known from sports and/or occupational medicine to occur for each of the entheses studied here (references in Villotte, 2009). Even though the exclusion of pathological case and consideration of the influence of age make it possible to formulate, with caution, some hypotheses on physical activities for this sample, two further problems must be considered when approaching this kind of studies.

The first is the statistical evaluation of stress manifestations on attachment sites of the skeleton itself. The methodology used in this work results in categorical data on an ordinal scale. We have used different statistical tools to present our data and look for differences among the subgroups. We have plotted the percentage values of stages B and C onto graphs to study the correlation between enthesopathies and age. We have chosen to work with mean scores, especially when graphically depicting the differences between individuals of different sexes or buried at different sites. Comparison of the mean scores for individuals using parametric tests also yielded general information regarding the significance of the differences within a population, as well as between the population groups of the castle and the hinterland. Finally, non-parametric tests, most suitable for ordinal data, have been used to compare differences in the prevalence of enthesopathies in individual insertions. 

Another problem lies in the interpretation of 110 significant differences among the subgroups. In this 111

paper, we have focussed on population differences, rather than trying to assign specific occupations to individual skeleton. We have approached this in two ways, trying to identify general patterns of activity, and to reconstruct daily activities. For general activity patterns our hypothesis, based on the results for identified skeletons (Villotte, 2009; Villotte et al., in press), is that the prevalence of overall enthesopathies is higher in groups including subjects involved in forceful tasks. Our attempt to reconstruct daily activities is based on the approaches of Crubézy<sup>QS</sup> (1988) and Hawkey & Merbs (1995): we have tried to identify the repetition of motions for specific entheses with a high frequency of lesions, and interpret these body movements according to the archaeological and iconographic context.

#### Age

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 The correlation between the prevalence of entheseal 24 changes and biological age has been demonstrated by 25 studies based on the evaluation of documented skeletal 26 collections with known ages (e.g. Cunha & Umbelino, 27 1995; Mariotti et al., 2004, 2007; Villotte, 2009; 28 Villotte et al., in press). This correlation has also been 29 observed in historical material (Hawkey, 1988; Robb, 30 1998; Wilczak, 1998; al-Oumaoui et al., 2004; Molnar, 31 2006). We may thus suppose that in older individuals, 32 the development of enthesopathies is affected not only 33 by mechanical stress but also by the degenerative 34 changes associated with age.

35 This study has also recorded a statistically significant 36 correlation between enthesopathy prevalence and age, 37 this correlation differing in the various population 38 groups as well as between the sexes. Differences in age 39 correlation between males and females have also been 40 described by al-Oumaoui et al. (2004), where a 41 statistically significant correlation of age and enthe-42 sopathies was found in far more entheses among males 43 than females. These differences may derive from the 44 different types of physical activity among males and 45 females, where during their lifetimes males usually 46 undertake physically more strenuous activities (al-47 Oumaoui et al., 2004). Similar results can be seen in the 48 work of Wilczak (1998), which also proposes that 49 those differences may be due to a delay of the 50 adolescent growth spurt in males. These results show 51 that the higher correlation of entheseal changes and 52 age is associated with more intense physical activity, 53 often meaning that it is higher in males.

54 Our results agree only partially with those of
55 previous research. Males in the pooled sample (castle
56

and hinterland) show higher correlation between enthesopathies and age than females. However, in the comparison for separated localities we see a different picture. The strongest correlations were found in males buried in the castle and females in the hinterland. We assume that these groups were exposed during their lifetimes to less intensive physical stresses. The correlation of age and enthesopathies was low in females from the castle and non-significant in males from the hinterland, who display the highest prevalence of entheseal changes of all the groups evaluated (the non-significant correlation in the case of the males from the hinterland might also result from the small number of individuals in this group).

On the basis of the results, we can see that, in contrast to the studies mentioned above, a higher correlation between age and enthesopathies was observed in the groups probably afflicted by less demanding physical activities, regardless of sex (males/ castle, females/hinterland). Another interesting fact results from the age distribution of the entheseal changes: while the occurrence of enthesopathies differs among the population groups in the younger age categories (20–40 and 40–50), their prevalence in individuals older than 50 years is nearly equal (50–60%) in all population groups.

85 This is in accordance with the study of Niinimäki 86 (2009), which revealed that 'MSM scores are high in 87 early life in heavy labour group when muscle markers 88 start to develop after longitudinal growth has ended, 89 but with advancing age the differences in MSM sites 90 between different labour intensities seem to level off' 91 (Niinimäki, 2009). We can see a similar picture, 92 especially in males, from the hinterland, where the 93 occurrence of enthesopathies decreases in the older 94 age category (>50 years). This is why the evaluation of 95 entheseal changes in older individuals may be 96 misleading, and is more likely to attest not to physical 97 activity but to the influence of age.

## General activity patterns

From the average scores (Tables 5 and 6) we can formulate some hypotheses about the general pattern of activities and sexual division of labour at the castle and in the hinterland.

For males in the castle, we observed almost the lowest prevalence of enthesopathies in the castle in comparison to other groups. Given the archaeological finds (e.g.Poláček, 2008b) we may suppose this population group to be ranked among the most privileged inhabitants, but we do not have an exact idea 112

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

about their specific activities. The population group from the castle predominantly consisted of individuals of a higher social status—nobles, clergy and warriors but also servants and naturally their wives (Poláček, 2008b). It is likely that each of these population groups performed different work. The only thing that can be excluded for this group is agricultural activity. Males 10 from the hinterland were probably exposed to physical 11 stress frequently: their average scores are higher in 12 comparison to other groups, both on the upper and 13 lower limbs, but differences are statistically significant 14 only for the upper limbs. Judging from the archae-15 ological finds, these high levels of stress are probably 16 related to two predominant activity types: building 17 operations and activities relating to farming. The 18 degree of physical stress appears to be similar between 19 females, regardless of their site of burial. It is possible 20 that the predominant activities of the females in the 21 castle were nearly identical to those of females in 22 the hinterland.

23 Within the study population, rates of sexual 24 dimorphism differ in the castle and the hinterland. 25 In previous studies, this rate was sometimes considered 26 to be related to the dietary strategy and predominant 27 economy of a given population. Agricultural popu-28 lations usually demonstrate the least degree of sexual 29 dimorphism in the lower limbs (Wilczak, 1998; al-30 Oumaoui et al., 2004) while populations involved 31 mainly in breeding livestock and those living in hilly 32 terrain demonstrate the greatest sexual dimorphism of 33 the lower limbs (al-Oumaoui et al., 2004). These finds 34 may be generalised as follows: significant sexual 35 dimorphism in the muscles of the upper limbs and 36 weak sexual dimorphism in the attachments of the 37 lower limbs correspond to agriculturally based econ-38 omies in flat terrain.

39 In the case of individuals from the hinterland, the 40 prevalence of enthesopathies at individual entheses is 41 almost exclusively higher in males. We presume that 42 farming was the main activity in the population groups 43 from Josefov and Prušánky, which are situated on the 44 floodplain, even if many other tasks were undertaken 45 by dwellers in the hinterland as well. Our results appear 46 to confirm the hypothesis formulated above. Sexual 47 dimorphism in this group is present for the upper and 48 lower limbs but significant only for the upper limbs.

49 The situation in the castle is completely different. 50 We did not record a significant sexual dimorphism on 51 either the upper or the lower limbs; however, both 52 were more affected in females while males show almost 53 the lowest prevalence of the marker. In contrast to the 54 predominantly agricultural population of the hinter-55 land, the main activities and living patterns of the 56

individuals living in the castle are not completely clear. The only fact that can be used as a basis is the 61 importance of the Mikulčice castle with regards to the 62 presence of the princely palace and three-aisled 63 basilica. The interpretation of the results is thus 64 problematic. We may, however, assume that, in 65 contrast to males, females living in the 9th century 66 in the Mikulčice castle did not represent a privileged 67 social class, and were thus not kept from physically 68 strenuous tasks. Although it is not impossible that 69 females also conducted certain more arduous types of 70 work, it seems likely that most of the entheseal changes 71 result from repetitive tasks, i.e. the most common 72 activities not demanding heavy force, but based on the 73 same movements practised frequently (such as carrying 74 water, grinding of grain, preparation of skins, weaving 75 or spinning). It is also necessary to be aware of the 76 environmental conditions. The castle was situated in 77 the middle of an island, and the landscape around it is 78 thought to be largely deforested; therefore, the supply 79 of basic materials (such as kindling for heating and 80 cooking) may also be included among notably 81 strenuous activities undertaken by females.

#### Loading of the individual attachment sites of the upper limbs and possible associated activities

Evaluation of the entheseal changes at the fibrocarti-88 laginous entheses of the upper limbs can tell us much 89 about physical activity of past populations. Most of the muscles of the shoulder, elbow and forearm work in the functional groups, either as synergists or antagonists, and it is thus necessary to see the occurrence of enthesopathies in individual entheses in relation to each other. We can see the best example of this kind of relationship in the Mikulčice females.

96 The highest prevalences of enthesopathies in 97 females, both in the castle and hinterland, are 98 associated with the flexion and extension of the elbow 99 and wrist. The females from the hinterland probably 100 made more use of the flexors of the elbow (RBB) and 101 the wrist (HEM) on both sides, and of the wrist 102 extensors (HEL) more commonly on the left side. The 103 situation in the females from the castle is similar. The 104 most utilised muscles were the wrist extensors on both 105 sides (HEL-R, HEL-L) and the flexors on the right side 106 (RBB-R, HEM-R). Muscles attached to the area of the 107 shoulder were probably frequently used as well, 108 especially the subscapularis on the right side (HSC-R). The other attachment site of the shoulder, the 109 110 common insertion of the supraspinatus and infraspi-111 natus (HSI), shows far fewer enthesopathies. 112

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 20: 1-18 (2010)

82

83

84

85

86

87

90

91

92

93

94

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

The biceps brachii insertion (RBB) is rarely affected by enthesopathies in recent populations, but where it does is related in particular to the carrying of heavy loads with the arms bent (Commandré, 1977: p. 105). A high frequency of this marker has also been found in activity groups using their arms for strenuous activities (Cunha & Umbelino, 1995; Villotte, 2009: pp. 170– 176). Enthesopathies of the attachment sites of the subscapularis (HSC), the flexors and the extensors of the elbow and wrist occur today in several sports and occupations involving repetitive and/or forceful tasks (e.g. Commandré, 1977; Rodineau, 1991; Johnstone & Maffulli, 2005).

16 The stress incurred by the muscles of the elbow, 17 forearm and shoulder may correspond to certain 18 activities, which according to the archaeological finds, 19 may be supposed to have been part of the day-to-day 20 life of Mikulčice females. One of these possible 21 activities is the preparation of skins-tanning. This 22 kind of activity and its possible impact on the muscle 23 attachments has been described in detail by Hawkey & 24 Merbs (1995): the flexors and extensors of the wrist 25 (HEM, HEL) are needed especially when cutting skin, 26 while repeated flexion (RBB, HEM) and extension 27 (HEL) are required when scraping it. This technique 28 was common in the Eskimo population and is not only 29 well known from archaeological finds, but has also 30 been documented in recent populations. Unfortunately 31 we have no information about tanning techniques 32 among the Slavs, and it is thus hard to tell whether this 33 activity was carried out in the same way.

34 Other common tasks probably undertaken by 35 females included weaving (in the 9th century involving 36 the use of looms), spinning and the grinding of grain 37 (Beranová, 2000), see Figure 6. These activities have 38 been archeologically corroborated at Mikulčice by 39 numerous series of whorls and spindles (Marek & 40 Kostelníková, 1998) and guern-stones (Marek & 41 Skopal, 2003). Last but not least, we must also mention 42 a ceramic production as a common task probably 43 undertaken by both females and males. The number of 44 ceramic finds from Mikulčice (Poláček, 1995; Mazuch, 45 2009) indicates that pottery making was a day-to-day 46 activity. The ceramic wares of the 9th century were 47 made with the aid of a potter's wheel powered by the 48 hands. All of these activities require the force of both 49 limbs associated with different distributions of stress on 50 the extensors and flexors of the right and left limbs.

The attachment sites on the upper limbs in males from
the hinterland show the highest prevalence of
enthesopathies of all of the evaluated groups. On the
right side, entheseal changes seem to be concentrated in
the insertion of the subscapularis (HSC) located on the

proximal humerus, and the origin of wrist 60 flexors attached on the medial epicondyle of the 61 humerus (HEM). The biceps brachii (RBB), which 62 ensures flexion and supination of the forearm, and the 63 extensors of the wrist (HEL), were the most used 64 muscles on the left side. As mentioned previously, 65 enthesopathies in the area of these attachment sites 66 occur today in several sports and occupations. It thus 67 appears difficult to identify specific motions according 68 to the ethiopathogenesis of the lesions, but several 69 hypotheses regarding male activities may be formulated.

As mentioned above, we may presume two main categories of predominant activity among males: the procurement of subsistence including agricultural work, and building operations (Figure 6; Váňa, 1983).

74 The former ranked as a habitual activity exclusively 75 in the hinterland. Apart from tillage (ploughing), 76 which required the participation of almost all the 77 evaluated muscles, one of the rather more male 78 activities was harvesting-for which sickles, several 79 types of which have been discovered in Mikulčice, 80 were used. Some of these were serrated, and rather than 81 for cutting and hewing were used for chopping and 82 whittling. A handful of the cereal was grasped in the 83 left hand and the right hand was used to cut the stalk 84 about midway (Beranová, 2000). This activity mainly 85 required the participation of the flexors and extensors 86 of the elbow and wrist. 87

Another common and physically highly stressful activity was probably fishing. The whole region of the Mikulčice agglomeration was formed by a group of small islands between branches of the Morava River. In addition to canoes (logboat/monoxylon type vessels), fish-baskets (fish-pots), the primary means of catching fish, have also been discovered at Mikulčice (Mazuch, 2003; Poláček & Marek, 2005). This work required great physical strength in both the upper limbs, involving both the arms and forearms.

97 The activities associated with building operations 98 are assumed to have been undertaken by males from the 99 hinterland as well as those from the castle. In the 100 Mikulčice settlement agglomeration, there were many 101 wooden houses, stone churches and fortifications 102 around the stronghold. Construction of these struc-103 tures had to be associated with such genuine hard work 104 as carrying stones and wood, hammering the stocks for 105 a stockade, transporting of stone by logboat down the 106 river from Slovakia and especially with carpentry. We 107 may suppose that carpentry using an axe was one of 108 the main activities of males. Such axes were likely to have been the most common tools used by Mikulčice 109 110 males, as well as having been used in battle, something 111 supported by many archaeological finds (Poláček *et al.*, 112

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95





Figure 6. Reconstruction of the possible habitual activities of Slavic females and males (modified illustration according to Pavel Major in Váňa, 1983).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2000). In addition to the aforementioned attachment sites of the shoulder and elbow, changes affecting the triceps brachii on the ulna (olecranon process) are also seen to be associated with this activity by physical anthropologists (Dutour, 1986; Galera & Garralda, 1993). Although the prevalence of enthesopathies in the triceps insertion was not included in the present study, it is interesting to note that changes involving this insertion on the right side were exhibited by 33% of males in the hinterland.

The specific activities characteristic of males buried in the castle are near impossible to recognise, due to (1) the inaccuracy of archaeological hypotheses about possible activities in the castle, (2) the different social statuses of individuals within castle society (nobles, clergy, warriors and servants) and (3) the quite monotonous and low mean scores for all the entheses under study.

# Loading of the individual attachment sites of the lower limbs

26 The reconstruction of activities associated with the 27 lower limbs is much more complicated. All the 28 fibrocartilaginous attachment sites on the lower limbs 29 presented in this study are located on the proximal 30 femur and pelvis, and they participate in movements of 31 the hip and knee. In the living population, involvement 32 of the entheses is uncommon. It may be associated with 33 mid- or long-distance running and hurdling (Roger, 34 2001; Koulouris & Connell, 2006; Labareyre & Roger, 35 2006) in the case of common origin with the biceps 36 femoris, semitendinosus and semimembranosus (CSB), 37 and with several sporting activities such as soccer, 38 rugby, canoeing, swimming or tennis (Krejci & Koch, 39 1985: pp. 71–74; Parier *et al.*, 2006) for the attachments 40 located on the greater (FMF, FPF) and the lesser 41 trochanter (FIP).

In physical anthropology, the lesions of these
attachment sites have been linked to such activities
as horseback riding, cart driving, and jogging with
heavy loads in rough terrain or balancing the body's
weight over the stance leg during walking (Lai & Lovell,
1992; Pálfi, 1992; Molleson & Hodgson, 1993;
Reinhard *et al.*, 1994; Steen & Lane, 1998).

With regards to the locality of this study, we may
exclude activities associated with walking in rough
terrain, since Mikulčice is situated in the flood plain of
the Morava and there were no hills or mountains in the
neighbourhood. The presence of another activity,
horse-riding, is corroborated by the archaeological
recovery of horse bones and the horse trappings,

59 especially in the castle (Chrzanowska & Krupska, 60 2003). As far as we are aware, however, enthesopathies 61 of these attachment sites have not been related to 62 riding in sporting medical studies (e.g. Auvinet, 1980; 63 Pugh & Bolin, 2004). We may suppose that most 64 changes in the entheses of the lower limbs are 65 associated with such common and daily activities as 66 walking, lifting from a seated position and preparation 67 of potter's clay by treading. The carrying of heavy 68 loads may also facilitate the apparition of lesions on the 69 lower limbs (Villotte, 2009). 70

More information about physical activity associated with lower limbs is possible to acquire by studies of cross-sectional geometry. This method could help in clarifying the results obtained using enthesopathies and tell us more about mobility patterns of past populations (Holt, 2003; Sládek *et al.*, 2006; Marchi, 2008).

## Conclusions

Entheseal changes in the area of the fibrocartilaginous entheses were evaluated in the Great Moravian population from the Mikulčice castle and its hinterland. Our results confirm most of the assumptions based on previous studies and the archaeological finds from the area.

The prevalence of enthesopathies correlates significantly with age in all of the evaluated groups except the males from the hinterland, where the highest level of physical stress is assumed. Entheseal changes thus seem to correlate significantly with age if the level of physical stress is low, while if the level of physical stress is high the correlation is less clear. The differences in the prevalence of enthesopathies recorded among the populations of younger individuals (20–50 years) disappeared in individuals older than 50 years.

The rate of sexual dimorphism was higher in the hinterland, especially for the upper limbs. Sexual dimorphism of the lower limbs was not proved in either the castle or the hinterland.

The males from the agricultural hinterland show 101 the highest prevalence of enthesopathies of all the 102 population groups, while the lowest prevalence of 103 markers was recorded in the males from the castle. The situation was different in females; surprisingly, the 105 females in the castle were more affected by entheseal 106 changes than were females from the hinterland. 107

Reconstruction of habitual activities was possible, to a certain extent, only for females from the castle, and for those individuals from the hinterland where results may be supported by a number of archaeological finds 111 112

57

58

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

and prior knowledge. The males from the hinterland were probably engaged in activities associated with farming and building. Habitual activities among females might include tanning skins, weaving, spinning and the grinding of grain and preparation of food. These activities were probably similar in both the castle and the hinterland. Entheseal changes in females were mainly concentrated in the area of the elbow, while in males the shoulder was strongly affected as well.

When attempting to reconstruct habitual activities we have to keep in mind that any given case of entheseal changes need not be associated with specific activities at all, and some may not even be the consequence of mechanical stress. Nevertheless, the differences in the enthesopathy prevalence in the sample of individuals younger than 50 years may indicate some trends in distribution of physical stress and allow us to reasonably explain the results obtained from the archaeological finds.

### Acknowledgements

This project was financed by grants of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic no. 206/07/0699, the Czech Ministry of Culture VZ PM MK00002327201 and research grant MSM 0021620843 from the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.

## References

- al-Oumaoui I, Jimenéz-Brobeil S, du Souich P. 2004 Markers of activity patterns in some populations of the Iberian Peninsula. International Journal of Osteoarcheology 14: 343-359.
- Auvinet B. 1980. La hanche du cavalier. Médecine du Sport 54: 281-285.
- Benjamin M, Evans EJ, Copp L. 1986. The histology of tendon attachments to bone in man. Journal of Anatomy 149: 89-100.
- Benjamin M, Kumai T, Milz S, Boszczyk BM, Boszczyk AA, Ralphs JR. 2002. The skeletal attachment of tendonstendon "entheses". Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. A: Comparative Physiology 133: 931-945.
- Benjamin M, McGonagle D. 2001. The anatomical basis for disease localisation in seronegative spondyloarthropathy at entheses and related sites. Journal of Anatomy 199: 503-526.
- Benjamin M, Toumi H, Ralphs JR, Bydder G, Best TM, Milz S. 2006. Where tendons and ligaments meet bone: attachment sites ('entheses') in relation to exercise

and/or mechanical load. Journal of Anatomy 208: 471-490

- 61 Beňuš R. 2002. Rekonštrukcia fyzického stresu a bracovnej aktivity vo 62 veľkomoravskej populácii z lokality Devín - Za kostolom (9. str.) a ranostredovekej populácii z lokality Devín - Hrad (11.-12. str.). 63 PhD Thesis, Univerzita Komenského: Bratislava. 64
- Beranová M. 2000. Slované. Libri: Praha.
- Bláha R. 1963. Rentgenologie kostí a kloubů. I. II. Státní zdravotnické nakladatelstv: Praha.
- Buxton LH. 1938. Platymeria and platycnemia. Journal of Anatomy 73: 31-36.
- Capasso L, Pierfelice L, Michetti E, Di Fabrizio A, D'Anastasio R. 2004. Lesions linked to althletic activities in the ancient roman population from herculaneum (Italy, first century AD). Anthropologie XLII: 181-187.
- Commandré F. 1977. Pathologie abarticulaire. Cétrane: Maurecourt.
- Cooper RR, Misol S. 1970. Tendon and ligament insertion. A light and electron microscopic study. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume 52: 1–20.
- Crubézy E, Goulet J, Brůžek J, Jelínek J, Rougé D, Ludes B. 2002. Épidémiologie de l'arthrose et de enthésopathies dans une population europénne d'il y a 7 700 ans. Revue du Rhumatisme 69: 1217-1225.
- Cunha E, Umbelino C. 1995. What can bones tell about labour and occupation: the analysis of skeletal markers of occupational stress in the Identified Skeletal Collection of the Anthropological Museum of the University of Coimbra. Antropologia portuguesa 13: 49–68.
- Durigon M, Paolaggi JB. 1991. Enthèse au cours de la vie. In Pathologie des insertions et enthésopathies, Simon L, Hérisson C, Rodineau J (eds). Masson: Paris; 12–17.
- Dutour O. 1986. Enthesopathies (lesions of muscular insertions) as indicators of the activities of neolithic Saharan populations. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 71: 221-224.
- Dutour<sup>Q10</sup> O. 1992. Activités physique et squelette humain: Le difficile passage de l'actuel au fassile. Bulletins et Mémoires de la Société d'Anthropologie de Paris 233–241.
- Eshed V, Gopher A, Galili E, Hershkovitz I. 2004. Muskuloskeletal stress markers in Natufian hunter-gatherers and neolitic farmers in the levant: the upper limb. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 123: 303–315.
- Galera V, Garralda MD. 1993. Enthesopathies in a spanish medieval population: anthropological, epidemiological, and ethnohistorical aspects. International Journal of Anthropology 8: 247-258.
- Havelková P, Villotte S. 2007. Enthesopathies: test of the reproducibility of the new scoring system based on current medical data. Slovenská Antropológia 10: 51-57.
- Hawkey DE. 1988. Use of upper extremity enthesopathies to indicate habitual activity patterns. PhD Thesis, Arizona State University.
- Hawkey DE, Merbs CF. 1995. Activity-induced Musculoskeletal Stress Markers (MSM) and Subsitence Strategy Changes among Ancient Hudson Bay Eskimos. Inter-110 national Journal of Osteoarcheology 5: 324-338.

Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 20: 1–18 (2010)

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

57

58

59

<sup>111</sup> 112

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

54

55

56

58 59 60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

79

80

81

82

83

- Holt BM. 2003. Mobility in Upper Paleolithic and Mesolithic Europe: evidence from the lower limb. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 122: 200-215.
- Chapman NEM. 1997. Evidence for Spanish influence on activity induced musculoskeletal stress markers at Pecos Pueblo. International Journal of Osteoarcheology 7: 497-506.
- Chrzanowska W, Krupska A. 2003. Pferdeknochen aus dem frühmittelalterlichen Burgwall von Mikulčice. In Studien zum Burgwall von Mikulčice V, Poláček L (ed). Archäologisches Institut der Akademie der Wissenschaften der Tschechischen Republik: Brno; 151-214.
- Johnstone AJ, Maffulli N. 2005. Tendinopathies around the elbow. In Tendon Injuries, Maffulli N, Renström Ρ, Leadbetter WB (eds). Springer: London; 128–136.
- Jurmain R, Roberts CA. 2008. Juggling the evidence: the purported 'acrobat' from Tell Brak. Antiquity 82.
- Kennedy KAR. 1989. Skeletal markers of occupational 18 stress. In Reconstruction of Life From the Skeleton, Iscan MY, 19 Kennedy KAR (eds). Alan R. Liss, Inc.: New York; 129-20 160. 21
- Klanica<sup>Q11</sup> Z. 1985. Náboženství a kult, jejich odraz v 22 archeologických pramenech. In Velká Morava a počátky 23 československé státnosti, Poulík J, Chropovský B (eds). Praha; 24 107 - 139.25
  - Klanica Z. 2006. Nechvalín, Prušánky. Čtyři slovanská pobřebiště, díl I-II. Archeologický ústav AV ČR: Brno.
  - Klíma B. 2007. Prvky pohřebního ritu na velkomoravské nekropoli v Josefově I. Sborník prací Pedagogické fakulty Masarykovy univerzity, řada společenských věd 22: 3–25.
  - Koulouris G, Connell D. 2006. Imaging of hamstring injuries: therapeutic implications. European Radiology 16: 1478-1487.
  - Krejci V, Koch P. 1985. Lésions musculaires et tendineuses du sportif. Masson: Paris.
  - Labareyre, Q12 Roger. 2006. Les enthésopathies hautes des ischio-jambiers. Médecins du Sport 75: 31-33.
  - Lai P, Lovell NC. 1992. Skeletal markers of occupational stress in the fur trade: a case study from a Hudson's Bay company fur trade post. International Journal of Osteoarcheology 2: 221-234.
  - Landis R, Koch GG. 1977. The measurement of observer agreement of categorial data. Biometrics 33: 159-174.
- Larsen CS (ed.). 1999. Bioarchaeology: Interpreting Behavior from 42 the Human Skeleton. Cambridge University Press: Cam-43 bridge.
- 44 Lieverse AR, Weber AW, Bazaliiskiy VI, Goriunova OI, 45 Savel'ev NA. 2007. Osteoarthritis in Siberia's Cis-Baikal: 46 skeletal indicators of hunter-gatherer adaptation and cul-47 tural change. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 132: 48 1 - 16
- Marek O, Kostelníková M. 1998. Die Spinnwirtel aus 49 Mikulčice. In Studien zum Burgwall von Mikulčice III, 50 Poláček L (ed.). Archäologisches Institut der Akademie 51 der Wissenschaften der Tschechischen Republik: Brno; 52 171-326. 53
  - Marek O, Skopal R. 2003. Die Mühlsteine aus Mikulčice. In Studien zum Burgwall von Mikulčice V, Poláček L (ed).

Archäologisches Institut der Akademie der Wissenschaften der Tschechischen Republik: Brno; 171-326. 497-589.

- Marchi D. 2008. Relationships between lower limb crosssectional geometry and mobility: the case of a Neolithic sample from Italy. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 137: 188-200.
- Marchi D, Sparacello VS, Holt BM, Formicola V. 2006. Biomechanical approach to the reconstruction of activity patterns in Neolithic Western Liguria, Italy. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 131: 447-455.
- Mariotti V, Facchini F, Belcastro MG. 2004. Enthesopathies-proposal of a standardized scoring method and applications. Collegium Anthropologicum 28: 145-159.
- Mariotti V, Facchini F, Belcastro MG. 2007. The study of entheses: proposal of a standardised scoring method for twenty-three entheses of the postcranial skeleton. Collegium Anthropologicum 31: 291-313.
- Mazuch M. 2003. Fischereigerät aus Mikulčice und die Frage des Fischanteils an der Ernährung der Bewohner des Burgwalls. In Studien zum Burgwall von Mikulčice V, Poláček L (ed.). Archäologisches Institut der Akademie der Wissenschaften der Tschechischen Republik: Brno; 355-399.
- Mazuch M. 2009. Tzv. mladší velkomoravský horizont v Mikulčicích a otázka jeho poznání prostřednictvím studia keramických okruhů. PhD Thesis, Univerzita Karlova: Praha.
- Merbs CF. 1989. Trauma. In Reconstruction of Life From The Skeleton, Iscan MY, Kennedy KAR (eds). Alan R. Liss, Inc.: New York; 161–189.
- Měřínský Z. 2006. Ceské země od příchodu Slovanů po Velkou Moravu II. Libri: Praha.
- Molleson T, Hodgson D. 1993. A cart driver from Ur. Archaeozoologia 11: 93-106.
- Molnar P. 2006. Tracing prehistoric activities: musculoskeletal stress marker analysis of a Stone-Age population on the island of Gotland in the Baltic sea. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 129: 12-23.
- Niinimäki<sup>Q13</sup> S. 2009. What do muscle marker ruggedness scores actually tell us? International Journal of Osteoarchaeology early view.
- Pálfi G. 1992. Traces des activités sur les squelettes des anciens hongrois. Bulletins et Mémoires de la Société d'Anthropologie de Paris 4: 209–231.
- Parier J, Brasseur J-L, Montalvan B. 2006. Le muscle iliopsoas. Anatomie et pathologies. Médecins du Sport 75: 13–22.
- Poláček L. 1995. Altes Gliederungssystem der mikulčicer Keramik. In Slawische Keramik in Mitteleuropa vom 8. bis zum 11. 102 Jahrbundert – Terminologie und Beschreibung. Internationale Tagungen in Mikulčice II, Poláček L (ed.). Archäologisches Institut der Akademie der Wissenschaften der Tschechischen 104 Republik: Brno; 131–202.
- Poláček L 1996 Zum Stand der siedlungsarchäologischen Forschung in Mikulčice. In Frühmittelalterliche Machtzentren in Mitteleuropa - mebrjährige Grabungen und ihre Auswertung Internationale Tagungen in Mikulčice III, Staňa C, Poláček L (eds). Archäologisches Institut der Akademie der Wissenschaften der Tschechischen Republik: Brno; 213-260.

110 111 112

Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 20: 1–18 (2010)

- 85 86
- 87
- 88 89
- 892 91 92 **Q**33
- 94 95 96

97

98

99

100

101

103

105

106

107

108

<sup>84</sup> 

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

84

85

86

87

88

89

8314

- Poláček L. 2008a. *The Archaeology of Mikulčice*. Institut of Archaeology AV ČR: Brno.
- Poláček L. 2008b. Great Moravia, the Power Centre at Mikulčice and the Issue of the socio-economic structure. In Studien zum Burgwall von Mikulčice VIII, Velemínský P, Poláček L (eds). Archäologisches Institut der Akademie der Wissenschaften der Tschechischen Republik: Brno.
- Poláček L, Marek O. 2005. Grundlagen der Topographie des Burgwalls von Mikulčice. Die Grabungsflächen 1954–1992. In *Studien zum Burgwall von Mikulčice VII*, Poláček L (ed). Archäologisches Institut der Akademie der Wissenschaften der Tschechischen Republik: Brno, 9–358.
- Poláček L, Marek O, Skopal R. 2000. Holzfunde aus Mikulčice. In *Studien zum Burgwall von Mikulčice IV*, Poláček L
  (ed.). Archäologisches Institut der Akademie der Wissenschaften der Tschechischen Republik: Brno; 177–302.
- Poláček L, Mazuch M, Baxa P. 2006. Mikulčice Kopčany.
  Stav a perspektivy výzkumu. Archeologické rozhledy 58: 623–642.
  - Poulík J. 1975. Mikulčice. Sídlo a pevnost knížat velkomoravských. Academia: Praha.
  - Pugh TJ, Bolin D. 2004. Overuse injuries in equestrian athletes. Current sports medicine reports 3: 297–303.
  - Reinhard KJ, Tieszen L, Sandness KL, Beiningen LM, Miller E, Ghazi A, Miewalk CD, Barnum SV. 1994. Trade, contact, and female health in northeast Nebraska. In In the Wake of Contact: Biological Responses to Conquest, Larsen CS, Milner GJ (eds). Wiley-Liss: New York; 63–74.
  - Resnick D, Niwayama G. 1983. Entheses and enthesopathy. Anatomical, pathological, and radiological correlation. *Radiology* **146**: 1–9.
  - Robb JE. 1998. The interpretation of skeletal muscle sites: a statistical aproach. *International Journal of Osteoarcheology* 8: 363–377.
  - Rodineau J. 1991. Pathogénie des enthésopathies du membre supérieur. In Pathologie des insertions et enthésopathies, Simon L, Hérisson C, Rodineau J (eds). Masson: Paris; 166–171.

- Roger B. 2001. La tendinopathie proximale et la désinsertion complète du tendon des muscles ischio-jambiers. In Actualités sur les tendinopathies et les bursopathies des membres inférieurs, Rodineau J, Saillant G (eds). Masson: Paris; 55–62.
- Schulze-Dörrlamm M. 1995. Bestattungen in den Kirchen Großmährens und Böhmens während des 9. und 10. Jhs. *Jahrbuch RGZM* **40/2**: 557–620.
- Sládek V, Berner M, Sailer R. 2006. Mobility in Central European Late Eneolithic and Early Bronze Age: femoral cross-sectional geometry. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* **130**: 320–332.
- Steen SL, Lane RW. 1998. Evaluation of habitual activities among two Alaskan eskimo populations based on musculoskeletal stress markers. *International Journal of Osteoarcheology* 8: 341–353.
- Váňa Z. 1983. Svět dávných Slovanů. ARTIA: Praha.
- Villotte S. 2006. Connaissances médicales actuelles, cotation des enthésopathies: Nouvelle méthode. Bulletins et Mémoires de la Société d'Anthropologie de Paris 18: 65–85.
- Villotte S. 2008. Les marqueurs ostéoarticulaires d'activité. In Ostéo-archéologie et techniques médico-légales: tendances et perspectives. Pour un "Manuel pratique de paléopathologie humaine", Charlier P (ed.). Editions De Boccard: Paris; 383–389.
- Villotte S. 2009. Enthésopathies et activités des hommes préhistoriques - recherche méthodologique et application aux fossiles européens du Paléolithique supérieur et du Mésolithique. Archaeopress: Oxford.
- <u>Villotte<sup>Q14</sup></u> S, Castex D, Couallier V, Dutour O, Knüsel CJ, Henry-Gambier D. n. d. Enthesopathies as occupational stress markers: evidence from the upper limb. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* in press.
- Wilczak CA. 1998. Consideration of sexual dimorphism, age, and asymmetry in quantitative measurements of muscle insertion site. *International Journal of Osteoarcheology* 8: 311–325.
- Zumwalt A. 2005. The effect of endurance exercise on the morphology of muscle attachment sites. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* **209**: 444–454.
- Zumwalt AC, Hamrick M, Schmitt D. 2006. Force plate for measuring the ground reaction forces in small animal locomotion. *Journal of Biomechanics* **39**: 2877–2881.

104

105 106

107

108 109

- 110
- 110
- 111 112

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

1		57
2 3		58 59
4	Author Query Form (OA/1164)	60
5		61
6	Special Instruction: Author please include responses to queries with your other corrections and	62
7 8	return hy e-mail	63 64
9		65
10		66
11	Q1: Author: Please check the suitability of the suggested short title.	67
12	Q2: Author: Please check the presentation of affiliations and correspondence address.	68 60
13 14	Q3: Author: Please provide the abstract.	69 70
15	Q4: Author: Please verify/modify the sentence for clarity.	71
16	O5: Author: Please check the presentation of the figure caption.	72
17	O6: Author: Reference is not given in the list Please provide it in the reference list	73 74
10 19	Q7. Author: Deference is not given in the text. Please give it guitably within the text	74 75
20	Q7: Author: Reference is not cited in the text. Please cite it suitably within the text.	76
21	Q8: Author: Please check the change made.	77
22	Q9: Author: Reference is not given in the list. Please provide it in the reference list.	78
23 24	Q10: Author: Please provide the volume number.	79 80
25	Q11: Author: Please provide the publisher name.	81
26	Q12: Author: Please provide the first names (initials) of authors.	82
27	O13: Author: Please undate.	83
28 29	O14: Author: Please undate	84 85
30	Q14. Author. I lease update.	86
31		87
32		88
33 34		89 90
35		91
36		92
37		93 04
39		94 95
40		96
41		97
42 43		98 00
44		100
45		101
46		102
47 48		103
49		105
50		106
51		107
52 53		108
54		110
55		111
56		112