

miRkwood: a tool for the reliable identification of microRNAs in plant genomes

Isabelle Guigon, Sylvain Legrand, Jean-Frédéric Berthelot, Sébastien Bini,

Delphine Lanselle, Mohcen Benmounah, Hélène Touzet

► To cite this version:

Isabelle Guigon, Sylvain Legrand, Jean-Frédéric Berthelot, Sébastien Bini, Delphine Lanselle, et al.. miRkwood: a tool for the reliable identification of microRNAs in plant genomes. BMC Genomics, 2019, 20 (1), 10.1186/s12864-019-5913-9. hal-02265367

HAL Id: hal-02265367 https://hal.science/hal-02265367v1

Submitted on 9 Aug 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SOFTWARE

miRkwood: a tool for the reliable identification of microRNAs in plant genomes

Isabelle Guigon¹, Sylvain Legrand^{2*}, Jean-Frédéric Berthelot¹, Sébastien Bini³, Delphine Lanselle², Mohcen Benmounah¹ and Hélène Touzet^{3*}

Abstract

Background: MicroRNAs (miRNAs) play crucial roles in post-transcriptional regulation of eukaryotic gene expression and are involved in many aspects of plant development. Although several prediction tools are available for metazoan genomes, the number of tools dedicated to plants is relatively limited.

Results: Here, we present miRkwood, a user-friendly tool for the identification of miRNAs in plant genomes using small RNA sequencing data. Deep-sequencing data of Argonaute associated small RNAs showed that miRkwood is able to identify a large diversity of plant miRNAs and limits false positive predictions. Moreover, it outperforms current tools such as ShortStack and contrary to ShortStack, miRkwood provides a quality score allowing users to rank miRNA predictions.

Conclusion: miRkwood is a very efficient tool for the annotation of miRNAs in plant genomes. It is available as a web server, as a standalone version, as a docker image and as a Galaxy tool: http://bioinfo.cristal.univ-lille.fr/mirkwood

Keywords: Micro-RNAs, Small RNA-seq, Plant genome, AGO-IP

Background

Since their discovery in animals and plants, microRNAs (miRNAs) have been shown to play pivotal roles in growth and development of organisms. Canonical miR-NAs are endogenous ~ 21 nt small RNAs that regulate key developmental processes or the response to environmental stresses at the post-transcriptional level by mediating the cleavage of the target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and/or by inhibiting their translation. So far, about 300 miRNAs have been annotated in the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The most conserved ones play crucial roles in plant patterning, cell identity and development by coordinating the expression of transcriptions factors and F-box proteins. The most recently evolved miRNAs target mRNAs encoding a broader range of proteins and are involved in diverse processes,

* Correspondence: sylvain.legrand@univ-lille.fr; helene.touzet@univ-lille.fr ²University of Lille, CNRS, UMR 8198 - Evo-Eco-Paleo, F-59000 Lille, France ³University of Lille, CNRS, INRIA, UMR 9189 - CRIStAL, F-59000 Lille, France Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

> © The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

including plant response to environmental cues (see for review [1, 2]).

Many aspects of the biogenesis and evolution of miRNAs differ between animals and plants. For example, unlike animal miRNAs, which are mainly found in introns or exons from coding genes, most plant miRNAs are encoded by discrete genes. Moreover, miRNAs are released from their precursors (pre-miRNA) using distinct pathways in the two kingdoms. Animals use a nuclear Drosha RNAse III enzyme to liberate premiRNA from primary transcript. After nuclear export of pre-miRNAs, they are cleaved into miRNA/miRNA* duplexes (miRNA* being the passenger strand) by a cytoplasmic Dicer enzyme. In plants, both steps of cleaving are performed by a single nuclear Dicer-like protein (DCL). MIR genes (i.e. genes producing miRNAs) give rise predominantly to canonical 21-nt miRNAs, which are generated by DCL1 from hairpin precursors. Beside 21 nt miRNAs, as shown in A. thaliana and rice, DCL3 is capable of generating 24-nt long miRNAs (lmiRNAs) from pre-miRNAs, which are loaded into AGO4 proteins





Open Access

and direct cytosine methylation to trigger transcriptional gene silencing [3–5]. Also, miRNA precursors are more heterogeneous in plants than in animals, varying greatly in size and structure [6]. These differences have justified dedicated approaches for miRNA gene finding.

High-throughput sequencing of cDNA-libraries derived from endogenous small RNAs (sRNA-seq), is a widely used and powerful method for the discovery and annotation of miRNA-producing genes. In particular, sRNA-seq has uncovered many young and nonconserved miRNAs (of both 21 and 24 nt) that are less expressed than their conserved counterparts and therefore more difficult to detect. However 24-nt lmiRNAs could be easily confounded with heterochromatic small interfering RNAs (hc-siRNAs) that are very abundant in plant sRNA-seq libraries. Indeed, both are 24 nt in length, DCL3 dependent, loaded into AGO4, and direct cytosine DNA methylation. Nevertheless, these two types of sRNAs present important differences, notably with respect to their origins. Like canonical miRNAs, lmiRNAs are produced from hairpin pre-miRNAs, while hc-siRNAs are produced from dsRNAs originating from transposable element (TE) loci and DNA repeats. Moreover, hc-siRNAs specify DNA methylation in *cis* at the same or very similar locus from which they originate, while lmiRNAs can direct DNA methylation at target loci that are different from those that produce them, typically in trans [5].

Although many computational tools dealing with sRNA-seq data in animals are available, the number of tools calibrated for plants is relatively limited (e.g. [7-10], see [11] for review). Moreover, none of these tools is available as a web-server or offer a GUI. Considering this gap, we have developed miRkwood that is specifically designed for plant miRNAs. It is able to face the diversity of plant pre-miRNAs (producing canonical and lmiRNAs) and it is optimised to take advantage of their distinctive properties [12-14]. Moreover, miRkwood provides information related to multi-mapping miRNAs. miRkwood is available as a web-server offering an intuitive and comprehensive user interface to navigate the data, as well as many export options to conduct further analyses on a local computer. Moreover, it is available in command line with a Docker container and as a Galaxy tool.

Implementation

Workflow overview

miRkwood implements a complete workflow that combines information of both read positions and secondary structures of miRNA precursors (Fig. 1).

Alignment and filtering

The starting point is to map small RNA sequencing reads on the reference genome to produce an alignment file. This can be done by the user with any standard short read mapper, such as Bowtie2 [15] or BWA [16] for example. When annotation of the reference genome is available, it is advised to filter out the alignments based on the existing annotation provided in GFF files. This allows to discard false positive predictions due to degradation products, and speed up the computation. We offer to mask coding regions, tRNAs, rRNAs and snoRNAs using their genomic coordinates (see Additional file 1, Section 1). We also propose to remove reads that are mapped to more than 5 loci (customizable threshold) on the reference sequence. This allows to avoid spurious predictions due to TEs. All these options are activated by default, but remain optional.

Identification of known miRNAs

When available, genome coordinates of miRNA precursor sequences such as provided in miRBase [17] for example, may be used to detect known miRNAs that are expressed in the sequencing data.

Peak-calling

The next step of miRkwood is to locate expression signals into the set of reads that have not been eliminated in the previous step. For that, we have developed a method that is both scalable and takes advantage of the secondary structure of the precursors. First, we identify areas in the genome that have been enriched in aligned reads using a classical k-mean clustering method. Then, for each such peak we look for RNA duplexes by testing whether the sequence can bind to a sequence located in the vicinity (up to 350 nt upstream or downstream of the peak) by establishing C-G, A-U and G-U interactions. This condition ensures that the peak can be part of a potential stem-loop structure, and reduces the number of peaks to consider in the next step. This search is achieved using a custom program (see Additional file 1, Section 2).

Secondary structure of the hairpin precursor

After peak detection, miRkwood aims at determining which sequences can fold into a stable stem-loop structure. This step is critical because precursor stem-loops are very heterogeneous in plants. The main idea is to use the RNALfold software of the RNA Vienna package [18] to identify locally stable secondary structures without any prior knowledge. This computation is time consuming, which is why we have made sure that only a limited number of peaks are kept from the previous step. We then select stable secondary structures that are compatible with a miRNA stem-loop in terms of length and number of base pairings. In Section 3 of Additional file 1, we provide an advanced discussion on the parameters used and the accuracy of the approach.



Thermodynamic stability of the hairpin precursor

For each hairpin structure found, we compute the MFE (minimal free energy), AMFE (adjusted MFE) and MFEI (MFE index), which are all interrelated. The MFE is calculated using the Matthews-Turner nearest neighbor model implemented in RNAeval [18]. miRkwood offers the option to select only sequences with MFEI < -0.6. Indeed, more than 96% of miRBase precursors have an MFEI smaller than -0.6, whereas pseudo-hairpins show significantly larger values of MFEI in general (see Additional file 1, Section 4). The significance of the stability of the sequence can also be determined by comparison with random sequences with the same dinucleotide composition [19].

At this point, the selected loci form the set of *candidate miRNA precursors*. The remaining of the workflow is devoted to the computation of additional criteria that bring further evidence to evaluate quality of the candidate miRNA precursors. For that, we have introduced a total of six criteria, that are gathered into a quality score ranging from 0 to 6 (expressed in stars). Candidates with a score of 6 fulfill all criteria and are considered highly reliable.

The first criterion is the stability of the secondary structure of the precursor. The five other criteria relate to the distribution of mapped reads along the locus. They allow to determine if this distribution presents a typical 2-peaks profile corresponding to the guide miRNA and the passenger miRNA respectively: number of reads, existence of the miRNA, precision of the precursor processing, presence of the miRNA:miRNA* duplex, stability of the the miRNA:miRNA* duplex.

Criterion 1: stability of the hairpin precursor

This criterion is met when the MFEI of the structure is smaller than -0.8. This threshold covers 83% of miR-Base pre-miRNAs, whereas it is observed in less than 13% of pseudo hairpins (see Additional file 1, Section 4, Table 2).

Criterion 2: number of reads

This criterion is met when the locus has either at least 10 reads mapping to each arm, or at least 100 reads mapping in total. This criterion is inspired from miR-Base definition for high-confidence miRNAs (http://www.mirbase.org/blog/).

Criterion 3: existence of the miRNA

The most common read is selected as the guide miRNA sequence if its frequency is at least 33%. Otherwise, we do not define any mature miRNA sequence for the locus.

When the miRNA is properly defined, we consider the three following properties.

Criterion 4: precision of the precursor processing

At least 75% of reads start in a window [-3,+3] centered around the start position of the miRNA, or [-5,+5] around the pairing position on the opposite arm of the stem-loop. These parameters are conform to observations in miRBase.

Criterion 5: presence of the miRNA:miRNA* duplex

There is at least one read in the window [-5,+5] around the pairing position on the strand of the passenger miRNA.

Criterion 6: stability of the duplex

In Kurihara and Watanabe, 2004 [20] it is reported that the guide miRNA and the passenger miRNA form a duplex with two nucleotide overhangs, and base-pairing between the miRNA and the other arm is extensive. We formalize it with the usage of miRdup [21], that assesses the stability of the miRNA:miRNA* duplex by machine learning (with random forests). It was trained on miR-Base Viridiplantae V20 with default parameters.

With this score system, hairpin precursors with no clear miRNA locus have a score of at most 2. Hairpin precursors with a guide miRNA and no passenger miRNA have a score of at most 5. Reaching a score of 6 means that the locus shows the expression of both the guide miRNA and the passenger miRNA, and that its secondary structure (hairpin and duplex) is consistent with this expression.

Lastly, miRkwood provides two additional pieces of information for each prediction. First, it checks if the miRNA (when it exists) could possibly originate from a duplication. For that, it reports whether the sequence is present elsewhere in the genome, and whether this alternative location corresponds to another miRNA precursor found by miRkwood. Second, it checks whether the miRNA is conserved through evolution by comparison with a database of known miRNAs (see Additional file 1, Section 5). Alignments are performed with the piccolo alignment tool, which is particularly effective for processing short sequences, such as miRNAs [22].

Output

Results are presented as an overview web page where miRNA precursors are displayed in a table, with each row corresponding to a pre-miRNA, and each column to a specific feature (Fig. 2a). By default, results are sorted by position. It is possible to have them sorted by quality. One can visualise the full report for a premiRNA prediction by clicking on the name of the sequence. This report sums up all previously computed information including, but not limited to, the 2D secondary structure of the pre-miRNA, the components of the quality score, the alignment of short reads on the pre-miRNA (reads cloud) and all alignments with miR-Base sequences, when they exist (Fig. 2b).

Export

Search results are available in a variety of formats: CSV (supported by all spreadsheets, such as Excel), FASTA, dot-bracket notation (FASTA sequence plus the secondary structure), GFF, text report in ORG-mode and read clouds.

Availability

miRkwood is implemented in Perl and C. It offers an intuitive and comprehensive user interface to navigate the data. For now, the web version has 12 reference genomes: Arabidopsis lyrata, Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica napus, Brassica rapa, Glycine max, Lotus japonicus, Medicago truncatula, Oryza sativa, Populus trichocarpa, Solanum lycopersicum, Sorghum bicolor and Vitis vinifera. Most of them are supplemented by two GFF files, that are used to filter out the reads in the alignment filtering step (see above). The first one contains the genome coordinates of annotated CDS, tRNAs, rRNAs and snoRNAs, and is used to apply masking options. The other GFF file compiles all miRNAs and precursors of miRNAs available in miRBase V21. User's reads should be previously mapped on one of those 12 assemblies, and the coordinates of the resulting alignments stored in a custom BED file. A tool to automatically convert SAM/BAM file to the BED file is provided with miRkwood. The database for the alignments to known miRNAs is miRBase V21 (file mature.fa), but can be updated as new versions of miRBase are released.

chr	position	strand	reads	reads distribution	mfei	miRNA			
						sequence	length	weight	alignment
Chr1	78,898- 79,065	-	64998	***	-0.81	UCGGACCAGGCUUCAUCCCCC	21	31099	44
Chr1	372,422- 372,654	+	14		-0.80				
Chr1	431,335- 431,477	+	56	*	-0.86	CAAAUGCAUAUGGACGAACUAUA	23	8	
hromosome osition trand GUAACGCG <i>B</i>	: Chrl : 3961358- : - GAUAUUAGUGC	3961459 GGUUCAA) SUC AAA UA	AGUC GUC CU CUL	JAACUC	AUG GAG AA CGG UGU UG UUC GAU UG AGC CGU GC CAA	UAUCACG	CGGUAA	YCC
<	miRBas *************** GAUAUUAGUGC	e * *** *** GGUUCAA			 	<mirbase-< td=""><td>></td><td></td><td> length= length= length=</td></mirbase-<>	>		length= length= length=
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* ** *** ***	******	*	length= length= length=
•••••									··· rengen
g. 2 Examp	oles of output	s. a : Sum	nmary ta	ble. The first	six colu	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea	ds is the	numbe	r of reads th
g. 2 Examp apped to t -6, mfei is t	bles of output ne locus (whe he MFEI of th	s. a : Sum en this n e secono	nmary ta umber fi dary stru	ble. The first ulfils criterion cture of the p	six colı 2, it is precurs	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea highlighted in turquoise), reads distribution or (when its value is smaller than -0.8 , it	ds is the on is the is highlig	numbe total sc ghted in	r of reads th ore achieved pink, in acc
g. 2 Examp apped to t -6, mfei is t iterion 1). Th	bles of output ne locus (whe he MFEI of th ne four last col	s. a : Sum en this n e second umns are	nmary ta umber fi dary stru e for the	ble. The first ulfils criterion cture of the p miRNA. Seque	six colu 2, it is precurs ence is	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea highlighted in turquoise), reads distributio or (when its value is smaller than -0.8 , it the sequence of the guide miRNA, when its available by the total number of accurace.	ds is the on is the is highlig exists (c	numbe total sc ghted in riterion 3	r of reads th ore achieved pink, in acc 3), and lengtl
i g. 2 Example apped to t -6, mfei is t iterion 1). Th 'eight is the dicates if th	bles of output ne locus (whe he MFEI of th ne four last col number of rea ere is an aligni	s. a : Sum en this n e second umns are ads corre ment wit	nmary ta umber fi dary stru e for the sponding h mature	ble. The first ulfils criterion cture of the p miRNA. Seque g to the miRN e plant miRNA	six coli 2, it is precurs ence is A norn s in mi	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea highlighted in turquoise), reads distribution or (when its value is smaller than – 0.8, it the sequence of the guide miRNA, when its nalized by the total number of occurrences RBase. This cell is checked when such an ali	ds is the on is the is highlig exists (c of the se gnment	numbe total sco ghted in riterion 3 quence i is found,	r of reads th ore achieved pink, in acc), and length n the genon and double
g. 2 Examp apped to t -6, mfei is t iterion 1). Th 'eight is the dicates if th 'erlaps the	oles of output ne locus (whe he MFEI of th ne four last col number of rea ere is an aligni niRNA locus ir	s. a : Sum en this n e second umns are ads corre ment wit n the pre	nmary ta umber fi dary stru e for the sponding h mature cursor. b	ble. The first ulfils criterion cture of the p miRNA. Seque g to the miRN e plant miRNA : Read cloud.	six colu 2, it is precurs ence is A norn s in mi The rea	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea highlighted in turquoise), reads distribution or (when its value is smaller than -0.8 , it the sequence of the guide miRNA, when its halized by the total number of occurrences RBase. This cell is checked when such an ali- d cloud is the visual representation of a can	ds is the on is the is highlig exists (co of the se gnment didate m	numbe total sco ghted in riterion 3 quence i is found, iRNA pre	r of reads the pre achieved pink, in acce and length n the genon and double ecursor. The
ig. 2 Exampliapped to t -6, mfei is t iterion 1). The dicates if the verlaps the u rquence of 1	oles of output ne locus (whe he MFEI of th ne four last col number of rea ere is an alignn niRNA locus ir he precursor.	s. a : Sun en this n e second umns are ads corre ment wit n the pre The seco	nmary ta umber fi dary stru e for the sponding h mature cursor. b nd line is	ble. The first ulfils criterion cture of the p miRNA. Seque g to the miRNA e plant miRNA : Read cloud.	six colu 2, it is precurs ence is A norm s in mi The rea p struc th line	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea highlighted in turquoise), reads distribution or (when its value is smaller than – 0.8, it the sequence of the guide miRNA, when its halized by the total number of occurrences RBase. This cell is checked when such an aliand d cloud is the visual representation of a can ture in bracket-dot format. The third line with andicates the position of the alignments with	ds is the on is the is highling exists (co of the se gnment didate m th square	numbe total sco ghted in riterion 3 quence i is found, iRNA pre brackets	r of reads the pre achieved pink, in acc pink, in acc pin
ig. 2 Examp happed to t -6, mfei is t iterion 1). TI /eight is the dicates if th verlaps the i equence of 1 hiRNA duple he remaining	oles of output the locus (whe he MFEI of th ne four last col number of rea ere is an align niRNA locus ir he precursor. < (when the m a lines, each **	s. a : Sun en this n e second umns are ads corre ment wit in the pre The seco hiRNA is v	nmary ta umber fi dary stru e for the sponding h mature cursor. b nd line is well-defir string is a	ble. The first ulfils criterion cture of the p miRNA. Seque g to the miRNA e plant miRNA : Read cloud. ⁷ s the stem-loc ned). The four a unique read.	six colu 2, it is precurs ence is A norm s in mi fhe rea p struc th line Its len	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea highlighted in turquoise), reads distribution or (when its value is smaller than – 0.8, it the sequence of the guide miRNA, when its halized by the total number of occurrences RBase. This cell is checked when such an ali d cloud is the visual representation of a can ture in bracket-dot format. The third line with indicates the position of the alignments with ath and its depth (its number of occurrence	ds is the on is the is highling exists (co of the se gnment didate m th square in the miff s in the s	e numbe total sco ghted in riterion 3 quence i is found, iRNA pre brackets RBase sec set of rea	r of reads the pre achieved pink, in acco and length n the genore and double ecursor. The s and dots h quence, whe ds) are repo
ig. 2 Example apped to t -6, mfei is t iterion 1). Th deight is the dicates if th verlaps the i equence of t iRNA duple he remaining and of the do	oles of output the locus (whe he MFEI of th ne four last col number of rea ere is an align niRNA locus ir he precursor. " < (when the m g lines, each ** tted line. The	s. a: Sun en this n e second umns are ads corre ment wit n the pre The seco niRNA is n ********* mature r	nmary ta umber fi dary stru e for the sponding h mature cursor. b nd line is well-defir string is a niRNA, w	ble. The first ulfils criterion cture of the p miRNA. Seque g to the miRN e plant miRNA : Read cloud. ² the stem-loc ned). The fourn a unique read. then one is de	six colu 2, it is precurs ence is A norm s in mi The rea p struc th line i Its len- efined,	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea highlighted in turquoise), reads distribution or (when its value is smaller than – 0.8, it the sequence of the guide miRNA, when its halized by the total number of occurrences RBase. This cell is checked when such an ali- d cloud is the visual representation of a can ture in bracket-dot format. The third line with indicates the position of the alignments with gth and its depth (its number of occurrence is written in full letters. In this example, the l	ds is the on is the is highline sexists (co of the se gnment didate m th square th square th the mill s in the so ocus has	numbe total sci ghted in riterion 3 quence i is found, iRNA pre- bracket: RBase sec set of rea a total c	r of reads the pre achieved pink, in acco pink, and length pink pink, and length pink pink, and double pecursor. The s and double pink, and acco pink, ac
ig. 2 Example happed to t -6, mfei is t iterion 1). The dicates if the verlaps the r equence of the iRNA duple re remaining and of the de or 7 unique remaining	oles of output the locus (whe he MFEI of th re four last col number of rea ere is an align niRNA locus ir he precursor. K (when the m g lines, each ** tted line. The eads. The mos	s. a: Sun en this n e second umns are ads corre ment with the pre The seco hiRNA is n mature r t frequer	nmary ta umber fi dary stru e for the sponding h mature cursor. b nd line is well-defir string is a miRNA, w it read is	ble. The first ulfils criterion cture of the p miRNA. Seque g to the miRNA plant miRNA Read cloud. ² the stem-loc hed). The fourt a unique read. AGAUAUUAC	six colu 2, it is precurs ence is A norn is in mi The rea p struc th line Its len- efined, GUGCG	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea highlighted in turquoise), reads distribution or (when its value is smaller than – 0.8, it the sequence of the guide miRNA, when its halized by the total number of occurrences RBase. This cell is checked when such an ali d cloud is the visual representation of a can ture in bracket-dot format. The third line with indicates the position of the alignments with gth and its depth (its number of occurrence is written in full letters. In this example, the I GUUCAAUC, with 71 copies (representing 6.	ds is the on is the is highling s exists (c of the se gnment didate m th square n the mill s in the s ocus has 2% of rea	numbe total sci ghted in riterion a quence i is found, iRNA pre- bracket: RBase sec set of rea a total c ds). Follo	r of reads the pre achieved pink, in acco pink, in acco pi
ig. 2 Example happed to t -6, mfei is t iterion 1). TI /eight is the dicates if th /erlaps the i quence of t iRNA duple ie remaining nd of the do ? 7 unique n lected as th pacifica is	bles of output he locus (whe he MFEI of th he four last col number of rea ere is an align niRNA locus ir he precursor. Tak (when the m g lines, each *** tted line. The eads. The mos e guide miRN.	s. a: Sun en this n e second umns are adds corre ment with the pre- The seco- niRNA is mature r t frequer A. Its lenge p. nucleof	nmary ta umber fi dary strue for the sponding h mature cursor. b nd line is well-defir string is a niRNA, w th read is gth is 21 idos com	ble. The first ulfils criterion cture of the p miRNA. Seque g to the miRN e plant miRNA : Read cloud. : Read cloud. : the stem-loc ned). The fourt a unique read. /hen one is de AGAUAUUAC nucleotides. T	six colu 2, it is precurs ence is A norm s in mi The rea p struc th line i Its len- efined, GUGCG The pas	umns are for the stem-loop precursor. Rea highlighted in turquoise), reads distribution or (when its value is smaller than – 0.8, it the sequence of the guide miRNA, when its halized by the total number of occurrences RBase. This cell is checked when such an ali- d cloud is the visual representation of a can ture in bracket-dot format. The third line with indicates the position of the alignments with gth and its depth (its number of occurrence is written in full letters. In this example, the I GUUCAAUC, with 71 copies (representing 62 senger miRNA is UUGAGCCGUGCCAAUAUC	ds is the on is the is highling sexists (co of the se- gnment didate m th square n the mif s in the s ocus has 2% of rea CACG, suff	numbe total sco ghted in riterion 3 quence i is found, iRNA pre brackets Base sec set of rea a total c ds). Follc pported l pported l	r of reads the ore achieved pink, in acco pink, in acco and length and double accord, in accord, and and double accord, in accord, and and double accord, and length and double accord, and length and double accord, and accord, and accord, and accord, accord,

The stand-alone version is freely distributed under the GNU Affero General Public Licence (v3.0). The code is available on GitHub: https://github.com/miRkwood-RNA/miRkwood. The user can provide any genome and any annotation file of his/her choice. To make the installation and the usage easier, we offer a Galaxy wrapper (available on the Test Toolshed) and a Docker image.

Finally, besides the sRNA-seq mode, miRkwood also offers an ab initio mode, which allows the prediction of miRNA precursors from assembled expressed sequences or short genomic sequences (up to 100 kilobases on the website).

Methods

Datasets

To evaluate the performance of miRkwood and benchmark against ShortStack [10], a dataset composed of 6.2 million Illumina raw reads and obtained from inflorescences from *A. thaliana* previously published by Wang et al. (2011) [23] was downloaded from the SRA-NCBI database (accession number SRX058635). AGO1 and AGO4 associated small RNAs (AGO1-IP and AGO4-IP) data obtained from the same biological sample were downloaded from the GEO database (accession numbers GSM707682 and GSM707690 for AGO1-IP and GSM707686 for AGO4-IP). They were composed of 969, 586, 884,610 and 2,171,046 non-redundant reads, respectively.

Pre-processing of reads and miRNA predictions

Adaptors were removed from the sequencing reads using Cutadapt (version 1.8.3) [24] and reads were cleaned using Prinseq (version 0.20.4) [25] with specified parameters: -min_len 18 -max length 30 -min_qual_mean 30. Quality of the cleaned Illumina reads was checked using FastQC (version 0.11.4) [26]. Prior to miRkwood analysis, reads were mapped on the *A. thaliana* genome (TAIR10, [27]) using Bowtie (version 1.1.2) [15] with

specified parameters: -v 0 --all --best --strata, and sam output files were converted to bed format using the dedicated tool, mirkwood-bam2bed.pl provided with miRkwood. For comparison, miRNAs were also predicted using ShortStack (version 3.8.2) [10] with default parameters.

Analysis of predictions

Pre-miRNA predictions were compared to pre-miRNAs annotated in the *A. thaliana* genome assembly using the "intersect" function from the Bedtools suite (version 2.27.1) [28]. Predicted pre-miRNAs were considered as annotated, i.e. corresponding to a known pre-miRNA in the *A. thaliana* genome, when the overlap was larger than 30 bp. Pre-miRNAs predicted by ShortStack were then compared to the whole set of *Viridiplantae* mature miRNAs deposited into miRBase using Exonerate (version 2.4.7) [29], allowing at most 3 mismatches/indels and no consecutive indels. It was not necessary to perform this analysis for pre-miRNAs predicted by miRkwood since it is already included into the pipeline.

A miRNA prediction was considered as associated with AGO1 or AGO4 when the miRNA sequence was observed at least once in the dataset (without mismatch nor additional nucleotide). When the mean number of reads in the two AGO1-IP datasets was greater than that observed in the AGO4-IP dataset the miRNA were considered as preferentially associated to AGO1, and vice versa. For this calculation, the number of reads was normalized by the number of unique reads in each of the datasets (reads per million).

The colocalization between pre-miRNA predictions and TE annotations in the *A. thaliana* genome was evaluated using the "intersect" function from the Bedtools suite (with an overlap of at least 1 bp) and the recent deep annotation of TEs in *A. thaliana* [30]. Predictions obtained by miRkwood and ShortStack were considered as identical when pre-miRNAs presented an overlap of at least 30 bp (also defined using the "intersect" function from the Bedtools suite).

Results and discussion

We evaluated the performance of miRkwood using a sRNA-seq dataset from *A. thaliana* previously published (see methods) [23]. Following the cleaning step, we conserved 4.8 million reads (i.e. 77% of the raw reads), representing 2.6 million non-redundant reads. MiRkwood predicted a total of 2049 pre-miRNAs/miRNAs, including 87, 41, 42 and 70 with a quality score equal to 6, 5, 4 and 3, respectively. MiRkwood allowed to retrieve 173 miRNA precursors from *A. thaliana*. Since we performed miRNA predictions from only one sRNA-seq experiment representing a single tissue (inflorescence) at a single time point, we did not expect to find all the 326

miRNAs annotated in the *A. thaliana* genome (miRBase, V22). Predictions below a quality score of 3 will not be further considered, since they do not systematically exhibit a clearly identifiable miRNA sequence.

To validate the predictions, the association between the predicted miRNAs and AGO1 was evaluated using deep-sequencing data of AGO1 associated small RNAs (AGO1-IP), AGO1 being the main argonaute protein used in the miRNA pathway loading canonical 21 nt miRNAs. We also evaluated the association of the predicted miRNAs with AGO4 that binds to lmiRNAs of 24 nt. The performance of miRkwood was compared to that of ShortStack [10], one of the most popular and effective tools for the prediction of miRNAs in plants, particularly because of its ability to avoid false positive predictions, compared to other tools [9, 14].

Detection of high confidence miRNAs with high sensitivity

MiRNA predictions with a quality score of 6 were mainly 21 nt long (76%) (Fig. 3a), initiated predominantly with a 5' U (77%, Fig. 3b) and were mainly associated with AGO1 (94%, Fig. 3c). Similar results, although less pronounced, were observed for miRNAs predictions with a score equal to 5: 54% were 21 nt long, 39% started with a 5' U and 63% were associated with AGO1. Hence, high score predictions seem to predominantly correspond to canonical 21-nt miRNAs produced by DCL1 and associated with AGO1.

Although not all of the 87 miRkwood predictions reaching a quality score of 6 were associated with AGO1, we observed that they were all annotated in the *A. thaliana* genome (including 3 predictions preferentially associated with AGO4), suggesting that they are real miRNAs (Fig. 3d). In contrast, only 28 of the 41 predictions with a quality score of 5 were annotated in the reference genome. However, among the 13 unannotated predictions, 8 presented homology with plant miRNAs were all validated using the AGO1-IP data (including one 24 nt prediction preferentially associated with AGO4) suggesting that they are indeed bona fide miRNAs.

From the same dataset, ShortStack provided 90 predictions (Fig. 4), i.e. 29.7% less predictions than miRkwood set up with a quality score \geq 5. All of them were annotated in the reference genome and/or aligned with known miRNAs from miRBase and/or associated with AGO proteins and could be consequently considered as bona fide miRNAs. Two-thirds (60) of the predictions from miRkwood set up with a quality score threshold equal to 6 were obtained by both tools and one-third of the predictions were specific to each one (27 and 30 for miRkwood and ShortStack, respectively, Fig. 4). These results show that at this threshold, miRkwood produces





Fig. 4 Comparison of the predictions obtained by miRkwood and ShortStack. The Venn diagram of the predictions obtained by both tools with two different score thresholds for the miRkwood results (\geq 5 stars and equal to 6 stars). Within the rectangles, the bar plots describe the predictions: blue and red are for miRNA predictions associated with AGO1 and AGO4, respectively. Green is for predictions not-associated with AGO1 or AGO4 proteins. The dark and intermediate shades correspond to miRNA predictions which were annotated in miRBase or could be aligned with miRBase sequences, respectively. The light shade indicates predictions which were neither annotated nor aligned with miRBase

comparable results as ShortStack in terms of numbers of predicted miRNAs. When the quality score threshold was set up to \geq 5, the number of specific miRNAs predicted by miRkwood increased to 54, whereas that of ShortStack sharply decreased (16). At this threshold, in addition to a substantial number of specific predictions, miRkwood is able to detect the major part (82%) of the ShortStack predictions.

In brief, when miRkwood is set up with a quality score ≥ 5 , both tools mainly detect canonical miRNAs and limit the number of false positives, but miRkwood is able to predict substantially more miRNAs than Short-Stack (128 vs. 90).

Identification of novel miRNAs

Decreasing the quality score threshold in miRkwood presented a negative impact on the predictions, since it increased the proportions of unassociated predictions with AGO proteins (and so unvalidated). Indeed, 17 and 29% of unassociated predictions were observed for predictions with a 4 and 3 score, respectively, vs. 2, 7%, for predictions of a quality of 6 and 5, respectively. Nonetheless, we observed that miRNAs predictions with a score of 4 and 3 were predominantly 24 nt long (62 and 69%, respectively, Fig. 3a), started mainly with a 5' A (64 and 61%, respectively, Fig. 3b), and were mainly associated with AGO4 (52 and 54%, respectively, Fig. 3c), which corresponds to features of 24-nt lnmiRNA or hc-siRNAs loaded into AGO4 [31].

Among the 42 predictions with a quality score of 4, only 13 were annotated or presented similarities with known miRNAs, leaving 29 putative novel predictions. Among them, 23 were associated with AGO proteins (7) with AGO1 and 16 with AGO4). Among the 70 predictions with a score of 3, only 19 were annotated or aligned with known miRNAs, and 34 of the 51 remaining miRNAs were associated with AGO proteins (9 with AGO1 and 25 with AGO4). Thus, even at the threshold scores of 3 and 4, miRkwood provides predictions that may correspond to bona fide miRNA. Beside, a large part of the predictions presents sRNA sequences associated with AGO4, implying they could correspond to lmiRNAs or to hc-siRNAs. Considering that hcsiRNAs emerge from TE loci, we tested the intersection between these predictions and the deep TE annotations in the A. thaliana genome [30]. We observed that among the 42 predictions with a score of 3 or 4 that are not annotated in the reference genome, not aligned with known plant miRNAs, and not associated with AGO1, but which are associated with AGO4, only 20 colocalized with TEs, suggesting that a significant part of the AGO4 associated predictions could be lmiRNAs.

Concerning the comparison of the results with Short-Stack, even if decreasing the threshold score to 4 or 3 did not deeply reduce the number of specific predictions to ShortStack, it increased the number of those specific to miRkwood (95 and 165, respectively, data not shown).

In summary, decreasing the threshold score to 4 or 3 may increase the proportion of false positives, but potentially offers in return the detection of novel canonical miRNAs and lmiRNAs.

Conclusions

We took advantage of the distinctive properties of plant pre-miRNAs/miRNAs to develop miRkwood, a dedicated tool for miRNA gene discovery in plant genomes. The performance analysis showed that miRkwood is able to predict miRNA with high sensitivity and can be tuned either to limit the number of false positives or to broadly identify novel miRNAs. Contrary to ShortStack, it provides a quality score for each prediction (ranging from 0 to 6) allowing users to rank miRNA predictions. miRkwood is available either as a web server, with a user-friendly interface, as a standalone version, as a docker image or as a Galaxy tool. We believe that miRkwood will be a useful tool for biologists interested in the identification of miRNAs in plant genomes.

Availability and requirements

Project name: miRkwood

Project home page: http://bioinfo.cristal.univ-lille.fr/mirkwood, https://github.com/miRkwood-RNA/miRkwood Contact: mirkwood@univ-lille.fr

Operating system(s): Unix or web server

Programming languages: Perl, C and C++

Other requirements for the Unix version: bedtools (v2.14.2 or higher), Vienna package (v2.1.6-1), Blast+ (2.2.25+ or higher), miRdup (1.2 or higher), VARNA (v3-91 or higher, optional).

License: GNU Affero GPL

Other requirements for the web version: none. The version of miRBAse will be regularly updated. New plant genomes can be added upon request.

Any restrictions to use by non-academics: none

Additional file

Additional file 1: Detailed description of some of the miRkwood steps. (PDF 166 kb)

Abbreviations

AGO-IP: Immunoprecipitation of argonaute proteins; hc-

siRNAs: Heterochromatic small interfering RNAs; ImiRNAs: Long micro-RNA; miRNA: Micro-RNA; pre-miRNA: Precursor of micro-RNA; sRNA-seq: Small RNA sequencing; TE: Transposable element

Acknowledgements

We thank Eleonore Durand, Vincent Castric, Areski Flissi, Claude Thermes, Erwin van Dijk and Karine Alix for insightful discussions. We thank the high performance computing service and bilille at the University of Lille for providing computing resources. We thank J. R. R. Tolkien for his inspiring world from which miRkwood takes its name.

Authors' contributions

IG, J-FB, SB and MB developed miRkwood under the supervision of HT. SL supervised and contributed to the performance analysis along with DL. SL and HT initiated the miRkwood project and wrote the manuscript with input from IG. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

M. Benmounah was funded by PPF bio-informatique de l'Université Lille 1, Isabelle Guigon and Jean-Frédéric Berthelot by ANR France Génomique (10-INBS-0009), Sébastien Bini by Inria. The authors thank the Région Hauts-de-France, the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (CPER Climibio) and the European Fund for Regional Economic Development for their financial support.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹University of Lille - bilille, F-59000 Lille, France. ²University of Lille, CNRS, UMR 8198 - Evo-Eco-Paleo, F-59000 Lille, France. ³University of Lille, CNRS, INRIA, UMR 9189 - CRIStAL, F-59000 Lille, France.

Received: 2 May 2019 Accepted: 18 June 2019 Published online: 28 June 2019

References

- Vazquez F, Legrand S, Windels D. The biosynthetic pathways and biological scopes of plant small RNAs. Trends Plant Sci. 2010;15:337–45.
- Yu Y, Jia T, Chen X. The 'how' and 'where' of plant microRNAs. New Phytol. 2017;216:1002–17.
- Vazquez F, Blevins T, Ailhas J, Boller T, Meins F. Evolution of Arabidopsis MIR genes generates novel microRNA classes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;gkn670.
- Chellappan P, Xia J, Zhou X, Gao S, Zhang X, Coutino G, et al. siRNAs from miRNA sites mediate DNA methylation of target genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38:6883–94.
- Wu L, Zhou H, Zhang Q, Zhang J, Ni F, Liu C, et al. DNA methylation mediated by a microRNA pathway. Mol Cell. 2010;38:465–75.
- Axtell MJ, Westholm JO, Lai EC. Vive la différence: biogenesis and evolution of microRNAs in plants and animals. Genome Biol. 2011;12:221.
- 7. Yang X, Li L. miRDeep-P: a computational tool for analyzing the microRNA transcriptome in plants. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2011;27:2614–5.
- An J, Lai J, Sajjanhar A, Lehman ML, Nelson CC. miRPlant: an integrated tool for identification of plant miRNA from RNA sequencing data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2014;15:275.
- Lei J, Sun Y. miR-PREFeR: an accurate, fast and easy-to-use plant miRNA prediction tool using small RNA-Seq data. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014;30: 2837–9.
- Johnson NR, Yeoh JM, Coruh C, Axtell MJ. Improved placement of multimapping small RNAs. G3 Bethesda Md. 2016;6:2103–11.
- 11. Morgado L, Johannes F. Computational tools for plant small RNA detection and categorization. Brief Bioinform. 2017.
- Meyers BC, Axtell MJ, Bartel B, Bartel DP, Baulcombe D, Bowman JL, et al. Criteria for annotation of plant MicroRNAs. Plant Cell. 2008;20:3186–90.
- Thakur V, Wanchana S, Xu M, Bruskiewich R, Quick WP, Mosig A, et al. Characterization of statistical features for plant microRNA prediction. BMC Genomics. 2011;12:108.
- 14. Axtell MJ, Meyers BC. Revisiting criteria for plant MicroRNA annotation in the era of big data. Plant Cell. 2018;30:272–84.

- Langmead B. Aligning short sequencing reads with bowtie. Curr Protoc Bioinforma Ed Board Andreas Baxevanis Al. 2010;CHAPTER:Unit-11.7.
- Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with burrowswheeler transform. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2009;25:1754–60.
- Kozomara A, Griffiths-Jones S. miRBase: annotating high confidence microRNAs using deep sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42(Database issue):D68–73.
- Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Höner zu Siederdissen C, Tafer H, Flamm C, Stadler PF, et al. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms Mol Biol. 2011;6:26.
- Bonnet E, Wuyts J, Rouzé P, Van de Peer Y. Evidence that microRNA precursors, unlike other non-coding RNAs, have lower folding free energies than random sequences. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2004;20:2911–7.
- Kurihara Y, Watanabe Y. Arabidopsis micro-RNA biogenesis through dicerlike 1 protein functions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101:12753–8.
- Leclercq M, Diallo AB, Blanchette M. Computational prediction of the localization of microRNAs within their pre-miRNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013; 41:7200–11.
- 22. Vroland C, Salson M, Bini S, Touzet H. Approximate search of short patterns with high error rates using the 01*0 lossless seeds. J Discrete Algorithms. 2016;37:3–16.
- Wang H, Zhang X, Liu J, Kiba T, Woo J, Ojo T, et al. Deep sequencing of small RNAs specifically associated with Arabidopsis AGO1 and AGO4 uncovers new AGO functions. Plant J Cell Mol Biol. 2011;67:292–304.
- 24. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal. 2011;17:10–2.
- Schmieder R, Edwards R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2011;27:863–4.
- 26. FastQC: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
- 27. The Arabidopsis Information Resource: http://www.arabidopsis.org/.
- 28. The BEDTools suite: https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/content/ bedtools-suite.html.
- Slater GS, Birney E. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. BMC Bioinformatics. 2005;6:31.
- Legrand S, Caron T, Maumus F, Schvartzman S, Quadrana L, Durand E, et al. Differential retention of transposable element-derived sequences in outcrossing Arabidopsis genomes. bioRxiv. 2018;368985.
- Mi S, Cai T, Hu Y, Chen Y, Hodges E, Ni F, et al. Sorting of small RNAs into Arabidopsis argonaute complexes is directed by the 5' terminal nucleotide. Cell. 2008;133:116–27.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

