

Kinetics of heat-induced denaturation of proteins in model infant milk formulas as a function of whey protein composition

Amira Halabi, Amélie Deglaire, Pascaline Hamon, Said Bouhallab, Didier Dupont, Thomas Croguennec

▶ To cite this version:

Amira Halabi, Amélie Deglaire, Pascaline Hamon, Said Bouhallab, Didier Dupont, et al.. Kinetics of heat-induced denaturation of proteins in model infant milk formulas as a function of whey protein composition. Food Chemistry, 2020, 302, pp.125296. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125296 . hal-02264931

HAL Id: hal-02264931 https://hal.science/hal-02264931

Submitted on 20 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814619314062 Manuscript_f182512852a0e9b5c1788af854c0b065

1	Kinetics of heat-induced denaturation of proteins in model infant milk formulas as a function of						
2	whey protein composition						
3	Amira Halabi ¹ , Amélie Deglaire ¹ , Pascaline Hamon ¹ , Said Bouhallab ¹ , Didier Dupont ¹ , Thomas						
4	Croguennec ¹						
5	¹ UMR STLO, Agrocampus Ouest, INRA, 35000 Rennes, France						
6							
7	Corresponding author: Thomas Croguennec. Agrocampus Ouest, 65 rue de Saint-Brieuc, 35000						
8	Rennes Cedex, France						
9							
10	Keywords: Infant formula; whey proteins; heat denaturation						
11							
12	Highlights:						
13	• Whey protein composition of infant milk formulas governed the heat protein denaturation						
14	yield						
15	• Heat denaturation of α -lactalbumin is reduced in the absence of β -lactoglobulin						
16	• Heat denaturation of β-lactoglobulin is enhanced in the presence of lactoferrin						
17	• Heat denaturation of lactoferrin is not modified by the presence of the other whey proteins						
18							

19 <u>Number of words</u>: 6028

20 Abstract

21 The process of manufacturing infant milk formulas (IMFs) involves heat treatments that can lead to 22 whey protein denaturation. The objective of the study was to determine how protein composition 23 affects the denaturation kinetics of the whey proteins within IMFs. Three model IMFs (1.3% of cow's 24 milk protein) were produced with a caseins: whey proteins ratio of 40:60, differing only by the whey 25 protein composition. The kinetics of heat-induced denaturation of α -lactalbumin, β -lactoglobulin and 26 lactoferrin were investigated between 67.5°C and 80°C by chromatographic quantification of the 27 residual native proteins. Results showed that the heat-denaturation of α -lactalbumin was reduced 28 when β -lactoglobulin was absent. The heat-denaturation of lactoferrin was not affected by the 29 composition of the IMFs but its presence enhanced the heat-denaturation of β -lactoglobulin. This 30 study revealed that, for higher heat treatments (90°C/15 s, 75°C/15 min), IMF containing α -31 lactalbumin and lactoferrin preserved a higher proportion of native whey proteins than IMFs 32 containing β -lactoglobulin.

33

1. Introduction

Infant milk formulas (IMFs) constitute an adequate substitute of human milk when breastfeeding is 34 35 not a possibility or a wish, although human milk is considered as the ideal infant food for the first five months of life (World Health Organization, 2001). The majority of standards IMFs is produced using 36 37 defatted bovine milk and demineralized bovine whey proteins. However, human and bovine milks 38 vary in terms of nutrient composition, particularly regarding the protein fraction. The protein content 39 is approximatively 9-12 g.L⁻¹ for mature human milk with an average caseins: whey proteins weight ratio of 40:60, versus about 34 g.L⁻¹ for bovine milk with a caseins:whey proteins weight ratio of 40 41 80:20 (Chatterton et al., 2013). In addition, the whey protein composition varies between human and 42 bovine milks. In human milk, α -lactalbumin (α -LA) is the predominant whey protein (2.5 g.L⁻¹) followed by lactoferrin (LF, 1.5 g.L⁻¹), whereas β -lactoglobulin (β -LG) is absent. In contrast, β -LG is the 43 44 predominant whey protein of bovine milk (3.5 g.L⁻¹), followed by α -LA (1.3 g.L⁻¹) while LF is at a lower content (5.0 x 10^{-2} g.L⁻¹) (Chatterton et al., 2013). To mimic the human milk composition, the 45 46 caseins:whey proteins ratio of bovine milk-based IMFs has to be equilibrated to match the human milk ratio, while the protein nature remains usually different. However, previous studies (King et al., 47 48 2007; Sandström, Lönnerdal, Graverholt, & Hernell, 2008) have demonstrated that infant feeding 49 with IMFs supplemented with specific whey proteins (LF, α -LA) had the same physiological benefits 50 (e.g. infection resistance, physical development, etc.) than breastfed infants, in contrary to infants 51 fed IMFs without specific whey protein supplementation. Moreover, thanks to the high proportion of 52 essential amino acids in α -LA and LF, the α -LA and LF supplemented IMFs allow reducing the IMF 53 total protein content while respecting the regulatory amino acid profile. This may have some health benefits as lower protein content in 1st age IMFs has been shown to be associated with a lower risk 54 55 of obesity in 6-year-old children (Totzauer et al., 2018).

56 IMFs are submitted to several heat treatments during the manufacturing process to ensure the 57 microbial safety and extend the shelf life. Depending on the heating conditions, changes of milk 58 protein structure occur, varying potentially the properties and nutritional value of IMFs. It is 59 established that heat treatments above 60°C have undesirable consequences on the structure of whey proteins, mainly α -LA and β -LG, leading to their polymerization and aggregation (Nguyen, 60 61 Streicher, & Anema, 2018). However, the behavior of whey proteins under heating is governed by 62 medium conditions, like the content and composition of proteins (Oldfield, Singh, & Taylor, 2005), 63 minerals (Petit et al., 2011) and sugars (Anema, Lee, & Klostermeyer, 2006) of milk protein-based 64 products. The heat denaturation of LF in bovine milk received very little attention compared to α -LA 65 and β -LG, probably because of the very low LF quantity in bovine milk. Nevertheless, previous studies 66 showed the heat-labile property of LF, depending on the presence of an iron ion in each of the two 67 homologous globular N- and C-lobes of the protein. At low ionic strength and neutral pH, LF in the apo-form (iron free), native form (10-20% of iron saturation) and holo-form (iron saturated) began to 68 69 aggregate at 60°C, 65°C and 70°C, respectively (Brisson, Britten, & Pouliot, 2007). Overall, there is 70 very limited information in the literature on the denaturation of whey proteins in IMFs, and even less 71 in those that are mimicking the protein composition of human milk.

72 To fill the gap, the kinetics of heat-induced denaturation of individual whey proteins (α -LA, β -LG and 73 LF) were investigated in IMFs at temperatures from 67.5°C to 80°C. Three model IMFs were produced 74 at 1.3% total protein content (i.e. the protein content at which is applied the heat treatment during 75 the manufacture of liquid IMFs) with a caseins: whey proteins ratio of 40:60. The IMFs differed by the 76 whey protein composition: the "control IMF" had the same whey protein composition as bovine 77 whey whereas the IMFs denoted "LF⁺ IMF" and "LF⁺ α-LA⁺ IMF" respected the quantity of LF or of 78 both LF and α -LA found in human milk, respectively. We assumed that the level of whey protein 79 heat-denaturation was dependent on the whey protein composition of IMFs, since β -LG promotes 80 whey protein denaturation (Elfagm & Wheelock, 1978) and LF is one of the most heat-sensitive 81 protein in milk (Law, 1995).

2. Material and methods

82

2.1. Chemicals 83

The low-heat skimmed milk powder (SMP) was obtained by drying raw milk supplied by Fromagerie 84 85 Gillot (Saint-Hilaire-de-Briouze, France) at the semi-industrial drying unit Bionov (Rennes, France). 86 Briefly, skimmed milk obtained by centrifugation of raw milk was microfiltered on 1.4 µm membrane 87 at 50°C, concentrated by evaporation under vacuum at 64°C and spray dried. The characteristics of 88 SMP are described in Table 1. The whey protein nitrogen index (WPNi) was 9 mg of nitrogen/g of 89 SMP, which is a value for ultra-low heat SMP. Whey protein isolate (WPI; Prolacta[®]95), with a total 90 protein content of 84% (w/w; N x 6.38) as determined by the Kjeldahl method, was purchased from 91 Lactalis Ingredients (Bourgbarré, France). Bovine lactoferrin (LF, Prodiet Lactoferrin[®]) and α -92 lactalbumin (α -LA) were kindly supplied by Ingredia Dairy Experts (Arras, France) and Agropur Inc 93 (Appleton, USA), respectively. The protein content of the powder was 90% (w/w; N x 6.38) for LF and 84% (w/w; N x 6.38) for α -LA, as determined by the Kjeldahl method. The iron saturation level of LF, 94 95 determined by absorbance at 465 nm using an UV-Visible spectrophotometry, was found to be of 96 16%. The purified proteins α -LA, β -LG and LF, used as chromatographic standards, were purchased 97 from Davisco (MN, USA) for α-LA and β-LG, and from Ingredia Dairy Experts (Arras, France) for LF. All 98 protein powders were stored at -20°C until use.

99 Lactose was supplied by Armor Proteines (Saint-Brice-en-Coglès, France). CaCl₂·2H₂O was from 100 AnalaR (Leuven, Belgium), FeSO₄·7H₂O from Sigma-Aldrich (St-Louis, USA), KCl from Panreac 101 (Barcelona, Spain), Na₃PO₄·12H₂O from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), and Na₃C₆H₅O₇·2H₂O from 102 Carlo Erba Reagents (Val-de-Reuil, France). Trifluoroacetic acid was from Fisher Scientific 103 (Loughborough, UK) and acetonitrile (Chromasolv[™] for HPLC) from Fisher Scientific (Muskegon, USA). 104 All other materials were standard analytical grade. Water was Milli-Q water.

105

106 2.2. Preparation of model IMFs 107 Three IMFs were formulated at 5.5% (w/w) total protein and then diluted at 1.3% (w/w) with Milli-Q 108 water. A 40:60 caseins: whey proteins ratio was targeted for the formulation of IMFs, with either no 109 modification of the bovine whey protein proportion ("Control IMF", mixture of SMP and WPI) or the 110 replacement of a fraction of the whey proteins by LF ("LF⁺ IMF", mixture of SMP, WPI and LF) or a 111 mixture of LF and α -LA ("LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF", mixture of SMP, α -LA and LF) to reach the α -LA and LF 112 concentrations similar to those found in human milk. Briefly, IMFs were prepared at room 113 temperature by dispersing firstly the protein powders (WPI, LF, α -LA) and secondly the SMP, in a 114 concentrated solution of lactose at 24%. Then, the protein solutions were supplemented by minerals 115 CaCl₂·2H₂O, FeSO₄·7H₂O, KCl, Na₃PO₄·12H₂O and Na₃C₆H₅O₇·2H₂O to ensure a similar mineral 116 composition for the three IMFs. The pH of the IMFs was adjusted at pH 6.8 with 1 M KOH, and then 117 the IMFs were stored at 4°C for at least 18h. The IMFs were prepared in triplicate for biochemical 118 characterizations and denaturation kinetic study at 75°C. The scheme of formulation is shown in 119 Supplementary Figure 1. In parallel, a solution of α -LA and a solution of LF (proteins used to replace 120 part of the WPI in IMFs) at identical α -LA and LF concentration as found in the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF and 121 respecting the mineral and lactose contents of IMFs were prepared and named "Pure protein 122 solution".

123

124 2.3. Compositional analysis

Total nitrogen, non-protein nitrogen and non-casein nitrogen were determined by the Kjeldahl method, with a nitrogen-protein conversion factor of 6.38 (International Dairy Federation, 1993). Lactose content was quantified by refractometry after separation by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Aminex A-6 ion exchange column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) as described by Aburjaile et al. (2016). Calcium, iron, potassium and sodium contents were quantified by atomic absorption spectrometry as described by Brulé, Maubois, & Fauquant (1974). Inorganic phosphorus content was quantified by using the molybdenum blue method according to Jean (1969).

132 Chloride was quantified with the Sherwood Scientific chloride analyzer (Modele 926, Sherwood 133 Scientific Ltd, Cambridge, UK) as described by Berdagué, Grappin, & Duboz (1987). Ash content was 134 determined by weighing the dry mineral residue after water and volatile vaporization, and organic 135 matter oxidative burning at 550°C for 5h in a muffle furnace.

136 Each of these compositional analysis was performed in triplicate on each of the three IMFs.

137

138 2.4. Heat treatments

Glass capillary tubes (8 mm inner diameter and 40 mm length, Waters, USA), containing 800 µL of IMFs pre-heated at 30°C during 1h, were placed in a thermally controlled water bath (Fisherbrand[™] Isotemp[™] water bath, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Newington, USA) set at different temperatures ranging from 67.5°C to 80°C (by step intervals of 2.5°C). For each condition, the heating ramp (i.e. the time to reach the target temperature) was controlled with a YC-747UD Data Logger Thermometer inserted in a reference tube. The heating ramp was converted into a heating time at target temperature (as explained in section 2.6.1).

At various time intervals, tubes were removed and instantaneously chilled on ice bath to stop whey protein denaturation/aggregation reactions. Unheated samples served as reference for determining the total amount of native proteins before heating. Holding times for each heating temperature were chosen to scan the denaturation/aggregation of whey proteins up to a yield of 80%. Three independent experiments were performed for the heat treatment at 75°C, considering the standard deviation equivalents at all heating temperatures investigated.

After cooling, 20 or 10 μL of 1.4 M acetic acid/acetate buffer was added to 150 or 200 μL of heated and unheated IMFs or pure protein solutions, respectively, and the mixture was placed at 40°C for 2 min to precipitate the caseins and denatured/aggregated whey proteins. The proteins in the pH 4.6soluble fraction were separated from the precipitate by centrifugation at 14,000g for 20 min at 25°C, using an Eppendorf Microcentrifuge MicroStar 17R (VWR, Leuven, Belgium). The supernatant was
diluted in Milli-Q water containing 0.106% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid at 0.5 g.L⁻¹ of proteins for analysis
by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC).

159

160 2.5. Quantification of native whey proteins

161 Native whey proteins were separated on a PLRP-S 300 Å, 8 µm, 150 x 3 mm separation column combined with a guard column (Agilent Technologies, UK) connected to a Dionex UltiMate 3000 162 HPLC System (Thermo Fisher, Dreieich, Germany), equipped with a detector VWD3400RS (Thermo 163 164 Fisher, Dreieich, Germany) operating at 280 nm for the quantification of eluted proteins. Proteins 165 were eluted at a flow rate of 0.2 mL.min⁻¹ and at 40°C, using a gradient of acetonitrile obtained by 166 mixing the mobile phase A (0.106% (v/v) of trifluoroacetic acid in Milli-Q water) and the mobile phase 167 B (1% (v/v) of trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile). The acetonitrile gradient started with a plateau of 168 35.2% of mobile phase B during 14 min, and then continued with successive increases of mobile 169 phase B to 38.4% in 6 min, 40% in 7 min, 42.4% in 14 min, 49.6% in 1 min, and finally 80% in 4 min. Native whey proteins in unheated and heated samples were quantified using a calibration curve 170 established by direct injection of α -LA, β -LG and LF at 0.5 g.L⁻¹ of proteins. Chromatographs were 171 172 analyzed by Chromeleon[®] software (Version 7.2 SR4, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, USA). The term 173 "native whey proteins" referred in this study to the proteins in the pH 4.6-soluble fraction that have 174 the same retention time in RP-HPLC than the whey protein standards. Consequently, the whey 175 proteins still soluble at pH 4.6 but that underwent structural modifications during heat treatment in 176 such a way their RP-HPLC retention time is modified are not quantified as native proteins.

177

178 2.6. Kinetic parameters of individual whey protein denaturation

179 2.6.1. Calculation of D-values, Z-values, and the equivalent heating time

For each IMF and heating treatment, the equivalent time t_{θ} (min) was calculated, corresponding to the time required at the temperature θ (°C) to obtain the same rate of protein denaturation than the reference treatment (θ_{ref} (°C) at $t_{\theta ref}$ (min)). t_{θ} was determined using the following equation:

183
$$t_{\theta} = t_{\theta ref} \cdot 10^{\frac{\theta ref - \theta}{z}}$$
(1)

with z (°C) corresponding to the mean of Z-values (i.e. temperature increase required to obtain a
tenfold reduction of the whey protein denaturation time) estimated for each protein (Jeantet,
Croguennec, Schuck, Brulé, 2016). Z-values were calculated from the equation:

187
$$Z = \frac{T_2 - T_1}{\log(D_1) - \log(D_2)}$$
(2)

with D_1 and D_2 (min) the whey protein denaturation times for having 90% whey protein denaturation at heating temperature T_1 (°C) and T_2 (°C), respectively. The D-values were determined as the inverse of the slope of the linear regression of the logarithm of individual whey protein concentrations *versus* heating time. The D- and Z-values are presented in the Table 3.

192 2.6.2. Determination of the kinetic parameters for the heat denaturation/aggregation of whey193 proteins

The reaction orders n and the rate constants k_n were determined by the integration of the general
rate equation, according to Croguennec et al. (2014):

$$-\frac{dC_t}{dt} = k_n C_t^n$$
(3)

197 which gives, for $n \neq 1$:

198
$$\left(\frac{C_{t}}{C_{0}}\right)^{1-n} = 1 + (n-1).k_{n}.C_{0}^{n-1}.t$$
 (4)

199 C_t (g.L⁻¹) is the native whey protein concentration at holding time t (min), C_0 (g.L⁻¹) the native whey 200 protein concentration of the unheated sample and k_n (g¹⁻ⁿ.Lⁿ⁻¹.min⁻¹) the rate constant. The reaction order n was determined as the best fitting of experimental data by the equation (4) considering different values of n. The rate constants k_n were determined from the linear regression slope of $\left(\frac{C_t}{C_0}\right)^{1-n}$ versus holding time, as described by Dannenberg & Kessler (1988).

The Arrhenius representation, corresponding to the relationship between the logarithm of the rate constant k_n versus the inverse of heating temperature, was plotted according to the equation:

206
$$\ln(k_n) = \ln(k_{n,0}) - \frac{E_a}{R.T}$$
 (5)

The activation energy E_a (kJ.mol⁻¹) was obtained from the slope of the linear regression of the Arrhenius plot and the denaturation/aggregation frequency factor logarithm, ln (k_{n,0}), from the yintercept. R is the universal gas constant (8.314 J.mol⁻¹.K⁻¹) and T the heating temperature (K).

210 **3. Results**

211 3.1. Composition of the three IMFs

IMFs were formulated at 1.3% of total proteins, with a caseins:whey proteins ratio of 40:60, and
differing exclusively on the individual whey protein quantity, as shown in Table 2.

214 According to the RP-HPLC quantification of α -LA, β -LG and LF in unheated IMFs, the control IMF 215 contained 0.14 g of α -LA and 0.51 g of β -LG/100 g of liquid IMF. Traces of LF were observed but the 216 amount was too low to be quantified. In the LF⁺ IMF, the quantities of α -LA, β -LG and LF were 0.10 g, 217 0.33 g and 0.17 g/100 g of liquid IMF, respectively. The LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF was constituted by 0.39 g of α -218 LA and 0.15 g of LF/100 g of liquid IMF. In the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF, 0.06 g of β -LG/100 g of IMF came from 219 the SMP. This amount was calculated from the amount of β -LG in the SMP and the proportion of SMP 220 in the IMF. Thus, the whey protein composition differed among the three IMFs. The major whey 221 proteins were α -LA and β -LG for the control IMF, α -LA and LF for the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF, and the LF⁺ IMF 222 was a mixture of α -LA, β -LG and LF. Moreover, the LF contents of the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs were 223 similar.

224

225 3.2. Kinetics of thermal denaturation of whey proteins

226 The denaturation kinetics of the individual whey proteins α -LA, β -LG and LF in the temperature range 227 from 67.5 to 80°C were followed by RP-HPLC quantification of the soluble protein fraction at pH 4.6. 228 This temperature range was selected from preliminary experiments. Heat treatments of the control 229 IMF and LF⁺ IMF at temperatures higher than 80°C induced a very fast denaturation of the whey 230 proteins preventing the precise determination of the whey protein denaturation kinetic parameters. 231 In contrast, the very slow whey protein denaturation during the heating of LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF combined 232 with the extensive lactosylation of the proteins for the longer holding times at temperatures lower 233 than 67.5°C prevented the exact quantification of the proteins due to the elution of each protein as 234 multi-peaks by RP-HPLC.

235 As expected, the increase of the heating temperature from 67.5 to 80°C or of the holding time at fixed temperature induced a higher decrease of the content of native whey proteins. To gain in 236 clarity, only the whey protein denaturation kinetics at 70 and 75°C are presented on Figure 1 for the 237 238 IMFs and on Supplementary Figure 2 for the pure protein solutions. Figure 1 compares the heat-239 denaturation of individual whey proteins among IMFs but similar trends were observed at other 240 studied temperatures. The reaction orders n and the rate constant kn of the kinetics of denaturation 241 of α -LA, β -LG and LF were calculated by the best fitting of the experimental data using the equation 242 (4) (Table 3). The Arrhenius plot of α -LA, β -LG and LF (Fig 2.1) was drawn using the values of rate 243 constants of denaturation k_n of each individual whey protein at different temperatures. Each 244 Arrhenius plot was best described by a linear regression, the slope of which gave the activation energies of the denaturation of the whey proteins E_a and the y-intercept the frequency factor 245 246 logarithms ln $(k_{n,0})$ (Fig 2.2).

247

248 3.2.1. Thermal denaturation of α -LA

249 The kinetics of α -LA heat-denaturation were in the same range in the control and LF⁺ IMFs but they 250 were systematically slower in the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF (Fig 1.A). The reaction orders of the kinetics of 251 denaturation of α -LA in both control and LF⁺ IMFs (n=1.2 ± 0.2) and in the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF (1.5 ± 0.5) 252 were higher than the one determined in the pure α -LA solution (n=0.5 ± 0.3). At all heating 253 temperatures, the rate constants k_n of α -LA were in the same range for the control and LF⁺ IMFs in 254 one hand and for the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF and the pure α -LA solution in the other hand (Table 3). The k_n 255 values obtained for the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF and the pure α -LA solution were between 3-fold and 10-fold 256 lower than those determined for the control and LF⁺ IMFs in the temperature range from 67.5°C to 257 80°C.

258 The thermodynamic parameters of the denaturation of α -LA (Ea and In ($k_{n,0}$)) were highly dependent 259 on the amount of β -LG in the IMFs. Indeed, Ea and In ($k_{n,0}$) were similar between the control IMF (227 kJ.mol⁻¹ and 75, respectively) and the LF⁺ IMF (220 kJ.mol⁻¹ and 73, respectively), which had higher content of β-LG (0.51% and 0.33% respectively). They were also similar between the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF (110 kJ.mol⁻¹ and 33 respectively) and the pure α -LA solution (100 kJ.mol⁻¹ and 29) (Fig 2.2), which contained traces or no β-LG.

264

265 3.2.2. Thermal denaturation of β-LG

The kinetics of denaturation of β -LG in the control and LF⁺ IMFs at 70 and 75°C are presented in the Figure 1.B. No data was recorded for the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF because of the low amount of β -LG (0.06 %). At all heating temperatures, the heat-denaturation of β -LG was faster in the LF⁺ IMF than in the control IMF. The reaction orders of the heat-denaturation of β -LG were similar for both IMFs (n=1.5 -1.6) (Table 3).

271 The rate constants of β -LG denaturation in the control and LF⁺ IMFs are presented in the Table 3. The k_n -values were between 2-fold and 7-fold lower for the control IMF than for the LF⁺ IMF in the 272 studied temperature range. The k_n -values at 67.5°C were 0.3 x 10^{-2} g^{-0.6}.L^{0.6}.min⁻¹ and 0.8 x 10^{-2} g⁻ 273 $^{0.5}$.L^{0.5}.min⁻¹ for the control and LF⁺ IMFs respectively, whereas they were 10.8 x 10⁻³ g^{-0.6}.L^{0.6}.min⁻¹ and 274 70.9 x 10^{-2} g^{-0.5}.L^{0.5}.min⁻¹ at 80°C, respectively. The activation energy of β -LG heat-denaturation 275 276 determined from the Arrhenius plot was slightly higher in the control IMF (305 kJ.mol⁻¹) compared to 277 the LF⁺ IMF (273 kJ.mol⁻¹) (Fig 2.2). In contrast, the ln ($k_{n,0}$) value was slightly lower for the control IMF (102) than for the LF^+ IMF (126). 278

279

280 3.2.3. Thermal denaturation of LF

The kinetics of LF heat-denaturation in the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs were compared (Fig 1.C). In the control IMF, the amount of LF was too low to quantify the heat-induced denaturation. Interestingly, the kinetics of heat-denaturation of LF were similar between the two IMFs at all studied 284 temperatures. The reaction orders of LF heat denaturation was 1.6 ± 0.2 and 1.8 ± 0.3 for the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs, respectively. A slightly lower reaction order (n=1.4 ± 0.4) was obtained for the heat-285 286 denaturation of LF in the pure protein solution. The rate constants of LF denaturation were in the 287 same range between the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs (Table 3). In fact, in the temperature range between 67.5°C and 80°C, the rate constants of LF heat-denaturation varied between 3.6 x 10^{-2} to 42.8 x 10^{-2} g⁻ 288 $^{0.6}$.L^{0.6}.min⁻¹ for the LF⁺ IMF and between 2.6 x 10⁻² to 42.3 x 10⁻² g^{-0.8}.L^{0.8}.min⁻¹ for the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF. 289 290 These values were higher than the rate constants determined for LF heat-denaturation in the pure LF solution at the same heating temperature (0.4 x 10^{-2} to 17.6 x 10^{-2} g^{-0.4}.L^{0.4}.min⁻¹). As expected, the 291 292 activation energy of LF was similar in the LF⁺ (202 kJ.mol⁻¹) and LF⁺ α-LA⁺ (215 kJ.mol⁻¹) IMFs. Likewise, 293 the frequency factor logarithm of LF denaturation determined in the LF⁺ IMF (68) is akin to the value 294 determined for the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF (73). Higher Ea and In (k_{n,0}) values were determined for the pure LF 295 solution compared to the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs (286 versus 215 kJ.mol⁻¹ and 96 versus 70 kJ.mol⁻¹, 296 respectively) (Fig 2.2).

297

3.3. Prediction of the amount of native whey proteins in IMFs after pasteurizationtreatments

Based on equation (4) and the thermodynamic parameters calculated in this study (Fig 2.2), the proportion of native α -LA, β -LG and LF recovered in IMFs after the temperature/time conditions usually used to pasteurize milk can be predicted (Fig 3). A summary of the calculations is given in Supplementary Table 1. The whey protein denaturation yield simulated for the heat treatment 75°C/ 10 min was confirmed by RP-HPLC quantification.

The simulation of the holder pasteurization (i.e. 62.5° C during 30 min) induced the denaturation of 4% of native whey proteins in the control IMF and of 13% and 15% in the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs, respectively.

308 A standard HTST pasteurization treatment (i.e. 75°C during 15 s) led to about the same total protein 309 denaturation yield (between 1% and 4% of denatured proteins) in the three IMFs. Rising up the 310 temperature of the pasteurization treatment from 75°C to 90°C but keeping the holding time 311 constant (15 s) resulted in a decrease of the fraction of native whey proteins in all IMFs, with 48%, 312 27% and 81% of residual native whey proteins in the control, LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs, respectively. The 313 native protein loss was mainly due to the heat-denaturation of β -LG and LF during the treatment at 314 90°C/15 s. A similar trend was observed when the holding time at 75°C was prolonged to 10 min but 315 the proportion of the residual native proteins was slightly different: 61%, 32% and 71% of residual 316 native whey proteins were calculated in the control, LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs, respectively. The relative 317 denaturation yield of α -LA and LF was higher after a heat treatment at 75°C/10 min compared to 318 90°C/15 s.

319 **4. Discussion**

The present paper evidences that the heat denaturation of whey proteins within IMFs depends onboth the whey protein composition and the temperature/time combination treatment.

322

323 4.1. The heat-denaturation of LF is independent of the amount of α -LA and β -LG in the 324 IMFs

The reaction orders of LF determined for the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs and in the pure LF solution are in accordance with the one previously determined for the thermal denaturation of the recombinant human LF (Castillo, Pérez, Franco, Calvo, & Sánchez, 2012). These authors determined a reaction order of 1.5, independently of the iron saturation level of LF.

329 The present results show that LF heat-denaturation kinetics are independent of the change of α -LA 330 and β -LG amounts in the IMFs in the whole range of the studied heating temperatures. Since LF is one of the most heat-sensitive protein in milk with a reported denaturation temperature around 331 332 60°C (Bengoechea, Peinado, & McClements, 2011), it is assumed that its denaturation occurs before 333 the heat-denaturation of α -LA and β -LG with denaturation temperatures of about 68°C (Nielsen et al, 334 2018) and 78°C (Brodkorb, Croguennec, Bouhallab, & Kehoe, 2016), respectively. Consequently, these latter have no or very little influence on the heat-denaturation of LF explaining that the rate 335 336 constants and the activation energy of the heat-denaturation of LF are similar in the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ 337 IMFs, in spite of different contents in α -LA and β -LG.

Interestingly, the LF heat-denaturation rate constants were lower and the activation energy was higher in the pure LF solution compared to those obtained in the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs, indicating that the physicochemical environment in the IMFs favors the denaturation of LF during heating. LF is able to bind to casein micelles mainly by electrostatic interactions (Anema & de Kruif, 2012) and this was confirm in this study since 43% of LF was recovered with the casein micelle fraction in the ultracentrifugation pellet (100,000g - 1h - 25°C) of the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs (data not shown). The

binding of LF to caseins is facilitated by heating and results in the formation of LF-casein complexes (Li & Zhao, 2017). This binding is susceptible to modify the heat-stability of LF and can be an explanation for the faster heat-denaturation of LF in the IMFs compared to the pure protein solution.

Casein micelles also have the ability to bind various types of cations such as iron ions (Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺). 347 348 This binding was observed in a suspension of casein micelles (Philippe, Legraet, & Gaucheron, 2005) 349 and in bovine milk (Broyard & Gaucheron, 2015). The binding of iron to casein micelles could reduce 350 the amount of iron bound to LF, and therefore accelerate its heat-denaturation kinetics because LF 351 heat-stability is dependent on the iron saturation level (Brisson et al., 2007; Sánchez, Peiró, Castillo, 352 Pérez, Ena & Calvo, 1992; Castillo et al., 2012). However, the quantification of LF and iron in the 353 supernatant after ultracentrifugation of unheated LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs indicated that LF was fully 354 saturated with iron (iron/LF molar ratio of 3.7 ± 0.0 , data not shown) considering a binding constant 355 of about $10^{22} - 10^{24}$ M⁻¹ (Majka et al., 2013). Therefore, the faster heat-denaturation of LF in IMFs 356 compared to pure LF solution was rather a consequence of the binding of LF to casein micelles than a 357 reduction of the iron saturation level of LF.

358

4.2. The heat-denaturation of α -LA is enhanced in the presence of β -LG

The reaction orders of α -LA determined for the three IMFs (between 1.2 and 1.5) were higher than the one reported in the literature for the heat-denaturation of α -LA in bovine milk (n=1.0, Anema et al., 2006; Dannenberg & Kessler, 1988) and the value determined in the pure α -LA solution (n=0.5). This could be a consequence of the different protein content (Wehbi et al., 2005) and/or distinct medium conditions between bovine milk, the pure α -LA solution and the IMFs.

365 The denaturation kinetics of α -LA in the different IMFs revealed that α -LA was more rapidly 366 denatured in the control and LF⁺ IMFs that contain 0.51 g and 0.33 g of β -LG/100 g of liquid IMF, 367 respectively. When the β -LG content is as low as in the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF, the denaturation rate constant 368 of α -LA is comparable to that of α -LA in the pure solution. These results are in agreement with 369 published data showing that the denaturation of α -LA is promoted by the presence of β -LG (Wehbi et 370 al., 2005). In the absence of β -LG, α -LA reversibly unfolds on heating but does not form irreversible 371 aggregates (Nielsen et al, 2018). The aggregation of α -LA is enhanced in the presence of unfolded β -372 LG molecule that exposes its free thiol group to the solvent, making it available to react with 373 unfolded α -LA via thiol-disulfide interchanges (Dalgleish et al, 1997). This covalent cross-link between 374 β -LG and α -LA traps α -LA in an irreversibly unfolded structure in the formed aggregates. The 375 irreversible denaturation of α -LA induced by addition of thiol reagents (Nguyen et al., 2018) confirms 376 that the presence of available thiol groups cleaving intramolecular α -LA disulfide bonds is necessary 377 to promote α -LA denaturation/aggregation.

378 To the best of our knowledge, the effect of LF on the heat-denaturation of α -LA was less studied than 379 that of β -LG. The similarities of the activation energies of α -LA in the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF and in the pure α -380 LA solution, both containing the same quantities of α -LA and the same lactose and mineral contents, 381 demonstrate that LF does not interfere with the mechanism of heat-denaturation of α -LA. LF has 34 382 cysteine residues in its structure, all involved in intramolecular disulfide bonds, but no free thiol 383 group (Pierce et al., 1991). The absence of a free thiol group in the structure of LF is a plausible 384 explanation for the absence of LF effect on α -LA heat-denaturation that is promoted by thiol 385 containing molecules. However, the profile of the α -LA denaturation kinetics in the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF and 386 the pure α -LA solution differs due to the variation of the reaction order (1.5 \pm 0.5 and 0.5 \pm 0.3, 387 respectively). The higher reaction order in the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF could be a consequence of the presence 388 of other proteins (LF, caseins, β -LG traces) facilitating the aggregation of denatured α -LA.

389

4.3. The heat-denaturation of β -LG is enhanced in the presence of LF

391 The reaction orders of the heat-denaturation of β -LG determined for the control and LF⁺ IMFs (n=1.5-392 1.6) are in adequacy with the reaction order widely reported in the literature for the heat-393 denaturation of the protein in bovine milk (Anema & McKenna, 1996).

The heat-denaturation of β -LG was faster in the LF⁺ IMF (containing LF protein) than in the control IMF (lacking of LF protein), with the rate constants of denaturation of β -LG being 2 to 6-times higher in the LF⁺ IMF than in the control IMF, and the denaturation activation energy being higher in the control IMF than in the LF⁺ IMF (305 *versus* 273 kJ.mol⁻¹, respectively).

398 The mechanism of heat-denaturation of β -LG has been well-described (de Wit, 2009). Upon heating, 399 the dimer/monomer equilibrium of native β -LG is shifted to the monomeric form that unfolds and 400 exposes its free thiol group and hydrophobic patches to the solvent. This reactive entity aggregates 401 with proteins in its close surrounding mainly via disulfide interchange reactions, but also by 402 hydrophobic driven association reactions. B-LG reacts rapidly with proteins having exposed disulfide 403 bonds such as α -LA (Dalgleish et al, 1997) or LF (Brisson et al., 2007). Among these proteins, LF is 404 particularly rich in disulfide bonds (17 disulfide bonds) and is already unfolded when β -LG starts to 405 denature. This could facilitate the formation of intermolecular disulfide bonds between LF and β -LG 406 supporting the hypothesis of Brisson et al. (2007), who showed that upon heating, LF aggregates with 407 proteins containing cysteine residues such as β -LG to form disulfide bound aggregates.

408

409 4.4. The impact of the pasteurization treatments is dependent on the whey protein410 composition of IMFs

411 The simulation of the heat treatments at 90°C/15 s and 75°C/10 min revealed a lower content of 412 native whey proteins in the pasteurized LF⁺ IMF than in the control and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs, explained by 413 the simultaneous presence of LF that rapidly denatures on heating and β -LG that initiates covalent 414 cross-links between unfolded whey proteins. Surprisingly, the decrease of the native whey protein 415 fraction in the control and LF⁺ IMFs heat-treated at 90°C/15 s is mainly explained by the heat-416 denaturation of β -LG, and to a lesser extent by the heat-denaturation of LF. However, it is probable 417 that the fraction of native β -LG in these heated IMFs is underestimated because the Arrhenius plot of 418 β -LG exhibits a specific profile with a change of the denaturation activation energy around 80°C. In

419 the aggregation-limited domain (temperatures above 80°C), the denaturation activation energy is 420 between 2 and 3-times lower than in the unfolding-limited domain (temperatures below 80°C) (Petit 421 et al., 2011). As the denaturation of the whey proteins in IMFs was not studied above 80°C for the 422 reasons presented above (section 3.2), the change of the denaturation activation energy at 423 temperature higher than 80°C cannot be taken into account for the estimation of the residual native 424 β -LG after heating the IMFs at 90°C. Consequently, the rate constant used to calculate the fraction of 425 residual native β -LG is likely overestimated, thus potentially explaining the lower amount of native 426 whey protein fractions in the control and LF⁺ IMFs heat-treated at 90°C/15 s than at 75°C/10 min.

427 Almost all whey proteins remained native after simulation of HTST treatment (75°C/15 s) for the 428 three IMFs. This is consistent with previous work that showed that HTST treatment of human 429 (Cavallarin, 2011) and bovine (Douglas, Greenberg, Farrell, & Edmondson, 1981) milks did not 430 significantly denature whey proteins.

431 Moreover, the lower native whey protein quantity for the LF⁺ and LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs after the holder 432 pasteurization simulation, a classical heat treatment used in human milk bank to ensure the sanitary 433 quality before feeding newborn, is due to the denaturation of LF, which is more heat-sensitive at low 434 temperature than other whey proteins (Law, 1995). This confirms previous experiments showing that 435 the holder pasteurization of human milk mainly lead to the LF denaturation, whereas α -LA was not 436 affected (Deglaire et al., 2019).

438

5. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that the kinetics of heat-denaturation of α -LA, β -LG and LF were influenced 439 440 by the whey protein composition of the IMFs. The heat-denaturation of LF was not modified by the 441 presence of α -LA or β -LG in the IMFs. As expected, the heat-denaturation of α -LA was enhanced in 442 the presence of β -LG, but LF did not modify it. The presence of LF in the IMFs boosted the heat-443 denaturation kinetics of β -LG, probably by exposing numerous disulfide bonds that are accessible to 444 the free thiol group of β -LG. The simulations of pasteurization treatments revealed that the yield of 445 total whey protein denaturation is function of both the whey protein composition of the IMFs and 446 the heat-treatment intensity. Standard IMF, formulated with WPI and SMP as protein sources, 447 preserved a higher amount of native whey proteins after heat treatment at 62.5°C/30 min or at 448 75°C/15 s than IMF mimicking human milk protein content (enriched in α -LA and LF), whereas a 449 reverse trend was observed for heat treatments at higher temperature (90°C/15 s) or longer holding 450 time (75°C/10 min). Finally, the formulation of IMFs containing both α -LA and LF, and so mimicking 451 the protein profile of human milk, is a way to preserve a higher proportion of native whey proteins 452 after heating, as long as the heat intensity applied is in the upper range of the intensity tested in the 453 present study, conditions usually encountered at industrial scale to ensure microbial safety and to 454 extend IMF shelf-life.

455 Acknowledgments

- 456 The authors thank Ingredia Dairy Experts and Agropur Inc for providing LF and α-LA powders. The
- 457 authors also thank Leduc, A. for the mineral analysis of IMFs and Maillard, M.B. for the lactose
- 458 analysis of IMFs. The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

459 **6. References**

- Aburjaile, F. F., Rohmer, M., Parrinello, H., Maillard, M.-B., Beaucher, E., Henry, G., Nicolas, A.,
 Madec, M.N., Thierry, A., Papayre, S., Deutsch, S.-M., Cocaign-Bousquet, M., Miyoshi, A.,
 Azevedo, V., Le Loir, Y., & Falentin, H. (2016). Adaptation of Propionibacterium freudenreichii
 to long-term survival under gradual nutritional shortage. *BMC Genomics*, *17*(1).
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-3367-x
- Anema, S. G., & de Kruif, C. G. (Kees). (2012). Lactoferrin binding to transglutaminase cross-linked
 casein micelles. *International Dairy Journal*, 26(1), 83–87.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2011.12.004
- Anema, S. G., Lee, S. K., & Klostermeyer, H. (2006). Effect of protein, nonprotein-soluble components,
 and lactose concentrations on the irreversible thermal denaturation of β-lactoglobulin and α lactalbumin in skim milk. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *54*(19), 7339–7348.
- Anema, S. G., & McKenna, A. B. (1996). Reaction kinetics of thermal denaturation of whey proteins in
 heated reconstituted whole milk. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 44(2), 422–428.
- 473 Bengoechea, C., Peinado, I., & McClements, D. J. (2011). Formation of protein nanoparticles by 474 controlled heat treatment of lactoferrin: Factors affecting particle characteristics. *Food*

475 *Hydrocolloids*, 25(5), 1354–1360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2010.12.014

- 476 Berdagué, J. L., Grappin, R., & Duboz, G. (1987). Affinage et qualité du Gruyère de Comté. II. Influence
 477 de l'affinage sur l'évolution des caractéristiques physico-chimiques des fromages. *Le Lait*,
 478 67(2), 237–247.
- Brisson, G., Britten, M., & Pouliot, Y. (2007). Effect of Iron Saturation on the Recovery of Lactoferrin
 in Rennet Whey Coming from Heat-Treated Skim Milk. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *90*(6), 2655–
 2664. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2006-725
- Brodkorb, A., Croguennec, T., Bouhallab, S., & Kehoe, J. J. (2016). Heat-Induced Denaturation,
 Aggregation and Gelation of Whey Proteins. In P. L. H. McSweeney & J. A. O'Mahony (Eds.),

- 484 Advanced Dairy Chemistry: Volume 1B: Proteins: Applied Aspects (pp. 155–178).
 485 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2800-2 6
- Broyard, C., & Gaucheron, F. (2015). Modifications of structures and functions of caseins: a scientific
 and technological challenge. *Dairy Science & Technology*, *95*(6), 831–862.
- Brulé, G., Maubois, J. L., & Fauquant, J. (1974). Étude de la teneur en éléments minéraux des produits
 obtenus lors de l'ultrafiltration du lait sur membrane. *Le Lait*, *54*(539–540), 600–615.
- Castillo, E., Pérez, M. D., Franco, I., Calvo, M., & Sánchez, L. (2012). Kinetic and thermodynamic
 parameters for heat denaturation of human recombinant lactoferrin from rice ¹ This article is
 part of a Special Issue entitled Lactoferrin and has undergone the Journal's usual peer review
 process. *Biochemistry and Cell Biology*, *90*(3), 389–396. https://doi.org/10.1139/o11-073
- Cavallarin, L., Baro, C., Giribaldi, M., Arslanoglu, S., Gabriella Giuffrida, M., Dellavalle, G., Conti, A.,
 Tonetto, P., Biasini, A., Coscia, A., Fabris, C., Eugenio Moro, G. (2011). Effect of two
 pasteurization methods on the protein content of human milk. *Frontiers in Bioscience*, *3*,
 818–829.
- Chatterton, D. E. W., Nguyen, D. N., Bering, S. B., & Sangild, P. T. (2013). Anti-inflammatory
 mechanisms of bioactive milk proteins in the intestine of newborns. *The International Journal of Biochemistry* & *Cell Biology*, 45(8), 1730–1747.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2013.04.028
- 502 Croguennec, T., Leng, N., Hamon, P., Rousseau, F., Jeantet, R., & Bouhallab, S. (2014).
 503 Caseinomacropeptide modifies the heat-induced denaturation–aggregation process of β504 lactoglobulin. *International Dairy Journal*, 36(1), 55–64.
 505 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2014.01.004
- 506 Dannenberg, F., & Kessler, H.-G. (1988). Reaction kinetics of the denaturation of whey proteins in 507 milk. *Journal of Food Science*, *53*(1), 258–263.

- 508 de Wit, J. N. (2009). Thermal behaviour of bovine β -lactoglobulin at temperatures up to 150°C. a
- 509 review. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 20(1), 27–34.
 510 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2008.09.012
- Deglaire, A., Oliveira, S. D., Jardin, J., Briard-Bion, V., Kroell, F., Emily, M., Ménard, O., Bourlieu,
 C.,Dupont, D. (2019). Impact of human milk pasteurization on the kinetics of peptide release
 during in vitro dynamic digestion at the preterm newborn stage. *Food Chemistry*, 281, 294–
- 514 303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.086
- 515 Douglas, F. W., Greenberg, R., Farrell, H. M., & Edmondson, L. F. (1981). Effects of ultra-high-516 temperature pasteurization on milk proteins. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
- 517 29(1), 11–15. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf00103a004
- 518 Elfagm, A. A., & Wheelock, J. V. (1978). Interaction of bovine α-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin
 519 during heating. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *61*(1), 28–32.
- 520 European Childhood Obesity Trial Study Group, Koletzko, B., von Kries, R., Closa, R., Escribano, J.,
- 521 Scaglioni, S., Giovannini, M., Demmelmair, H., Gruszfeld, D., Dobrzanska, A., Sengier,
- 522 A., Langhendries, J.P., Rolland Cachera, M.F., Grote, V. (2009). Lower protein in infant
- formula is associated with lower weight up to age 2 y: a randomized clinical trial. *The*
- 524 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 89(6), 1836–1845. 525 https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.27091
- International Dairy Federation. (1993). *Determination of Nitrogen Content, IDF Standard No.* 20B:1993, ,IDF,. Brussels, Belgium.
- 528 Jean, P. (1969). Dosage du phosphore dans le lait. *Le Lait, 49*(483–484), 175–188.
- Jeantet, R., Croguennec, T., Schuck, P., Brulé, G. (2016). *Handbook of Food Science and Technology 2: Food Process Engineering and Packaging*. (Vol. 2). Wiley-ISTE.
- King, J. C., Cummings, G. E., Guo, N., Trivedi, L., Readmond, B. X., Keane, V., Feigelman, S., de Waard,
 R. (2007). A double-blind, placebo-controlled, pilot study of bovine lactoferrin

supplementation in bottle-fed infants. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*,
44(2), 245–251.

Law, A. J., & Leaver, J. (1997). Effect of protein concentration on rates of thermal denaturation of
whey proteins in milk. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 45(11), 4255–4261.

537 Law, A.J.R. (1995). Heat denaturation of bovine, caprine and ovine whey proteins. *Milchwissenschaft*.

- Majka, G., Śpiewak, K., Kurpiewska, K., Heczko, P., Stochel, G., Strus, M., & Brindell, M. (2013). A
 high-throughput method for the quantification of iron saturation in lactoferrin preparations. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 405(15), 5191–5200. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216013-6943-9
- Nguyen, N. H. A., Streicher, C., & Anema, S. G. (2018). The effect of thiol reagents on the
 denaturation of the whey protein in milk and whey protein concentrate solutions. *International Dairy Journal, 85*, 285–293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2018.06.012
- Oldfield, D. J., Singh, H., & Taylor, M. W. (2005). Kinetics of heat-induced whey protein denaturation
 and aggregation in skim milks with adjusted whey protein concentration. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 72(3), 369–378. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002202990500107X
- 548 Oldfield, D. J., Singh, H., Taylor, M. W., & Pearce, K. N. (1998). Kinetics of denaturation and 549 aggregation of whey proteins in skim milk heated in an ultra-high temperature (UHT) pilot 550 plant. *International Dairy Journal*, 8(4), 311–318.
- Petit, J., Herbig, A.-L., Moreau, A., & Delaplace, G. (2011). Influence of calcium on β-lactoglobulin
 denaturation kinetics: Implications in unfolding and aggregation mechanisms. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 94(12), 5794–5810. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4470
- Philippe, M., Legraet, Y., & Gaucheron, F. (2005). The effects of different cations on the
 physicochemical characteristics of casein micelles. *Food Chemistry*, *90*(4), 673–683.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.06.001

557 Pierce, A., Colavizza, D., Benaissa, M., Maes, P., Tartar, A., Montreuil, J. And Spik, G. (1991). Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of bovine lactotransferrin. European Journal of 558 Biochemistry. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1991.tb15801.x 559

560 Sánchez, L., Peiró, J., Castillo, H., Pérez, M., Ena, J. And Calvo, M. (1992). Kinetic Parameters for 561 Denaturation of Bovine Milk Lactoferrin. Journal of Food Science.

- Sandström, O., Lönnerdal, B., Graverholt, G., & Hernell, O. (2008). Effects of α-lactalbumin–enriched 562 563 formula containing different concentrations of glycomacropeptide on infant nutrition. The 564 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 87(4), 921–928.
- 565 Tolkach, A., & Kulozik, U. (2007). Reaction kinetic pathway of reversible and irreversible thermal 566 denaturation β-lactoglobulin. 87(4–5), 301-315. of Le Lait, 567 https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2007012
- Totzauer, M., Luque, V., Escribano, J., Closa-Monasterolo, R., Verduci, E., ReDionigi, A., Hoyos, J., 568 Langhendries, J.P., Gruszeld, D., Socha, P., Koletzko, B., Grote, V., for The European 569 570 Childhood Obesity Trial Study Group. (2018). Effect of Lower Versus Higher Protein Content 571 in Infant Formula Through the First Year on Body Composition from 1 to 6 Years: Follow-Up of a Randomized Clinical Trial: Protein in Infancy and Body Composition Until 6 Years. 572 573 Obesity, 26(7), 1203-1210. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22203
- 574 Wehbi, Z., Pérez, M.-D., Sánchez, L., Pocoví, C., Barbana, C., & Calvo, M. (2005). Effect of Heat Treatment on Denaturation of Bovine α -Lactalbumin: Determination of Kinetic and 575 Thermodynamic Parameters. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 53(25), 9730–9736. 576
- 577 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf050825y 578 World Health Organization. (2001). Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding, The Optimal Breastfeeding. 579 Duration of Exclusive Retrieved http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2017/lack-investment-breastfeeding/en/ 580
- 581

27

from

Figure legends

Figure 1. Kinetics of thermal denaturation of α -LA (A), β -LG (B) and LF (C) in the control (green), LF⁺ (orange), LF⁺ α -LA⁺ (blue) IMFs and the pure protein solutions (grey) at 70°C (square) and 75°C (circle). Residual native fractions were calculated as a C₁/C₀ ratio. Ct (g.L⁻¹) is the native protein concentration at t holding time (min) and C₀ is the native protein concentration of unheated IMFs or protein solutions (g.L⁻¹). The results at 75°C represent means ± error deviations from three independent experiments.

Figure 1 1. Arrhenius plots for the thermal denaturation of α -LA (A), β -LG (B) and LF (C) for the control (green), LF⁺ (orange), LF⁺ α -LA⁺ (blue) IMFs, the pure protein solutions (grey) and **2.** Kinetic parameters associated. 1. K_n is the unfolding reaction rate constant (g¹⁻ⁿ.Lⁿ⁻¹.min⁻¹) and 1/T the inverse of the heating temperature (K⁻¹). The point at 75°C represents an average ± error deviation of three independent experiments. 2. ln (k_{n,0}) represents the frequency factor logarithm and E_a the activation energy (kJ.mol⁻¹), determined by Arrhenius plots shown in the Figure 2.1.

Figure 2. Whey protein contents and fractions of total native whey proteins for pasteurization treatments of the control (green), LF+ (orange) and LF+ α -LA+ (blue) IMFs. The patterns represent the amount of native α -LA (hatched bars), β -LG (spots) or LF (without pattern). The data are estimations from the equation $\frac{C_t}{C_0}$ =

 $\label{eq:linear_state} \sqrt[1-n]{\left(1+(n-1)\times e^{\left(-\frac{E_a}{R}\times \frac{1}{T+273}\right)}\times k_{n,0}\times C_0^{n-1}\times t\right)}}, \text{ using the thermodynamic parameters (reaction orders n, activation energies E_a and frequency factors $k_{n,0}$) referenced at the Figure 2.2. C_t (g.L^{-1})$ corresponds to the protein content at holding time t (min), C_0 is the protein content in the unheated IMFs (g.L^{-1}). T corresponds to the heating temperature (°C).$

Figure 1









2.

IMFs	Whey proteins	E _a (kJ.mol ⁻¹)	ln (k _{n,0})	
Control	α-LA	227	75	
	β-LG	305	102	
LF ⁺	α-LA	220	73	
	β-LG	273	126	
	LF	202	68	
$LF^+ \alpha - LA^+$	α-LA	110	33	
	LF	215	73	
Pure protein solutions	α-LA	105	31	
	LF	286	96	

1.

Figure 3



 $Table \ 1 \ {\rm Biochemical \ characteristics \ of \ the \ skim \ milk \ powder \ (SMP).}$

	Composition	Quantity	
ΠĿ	Total protein ^{1,4}	36	
of SN	Whey proteins ^{2,4}	7	
100 g	Caseins ^{3,4}	29	
æ'	Lactose	48	
	Calcium	1401	
4P	Chloride	893	
g of SN	Inorganic phosphorus	1014	
/ 100 §	Magnesium	104	
mg	Potassium	1616	
	Sodium	382	

¹ Total protein = [Total Nitrogen (TN) - Non Protein Nitrogen (NPN)] x 6.38

 2 Whey proteins = [Non Casein Nitrogen (NCN)- NPN) x 6.38

 3 Caseins = (TN-NCN) x 6.38

⁴ TN, NCN, NPN determined by the Kjeldahl method

Elements		Model IMFs		Commercial IMF ⁵	Human term milk ⁶	
		Control	LF^+	LF ⁺ a-LA ⁺		
Total proteins		1.33 ± 0.01	1.35 ± 0.01	1.31 ± 0.01	1.20 ± 0.07	0.90
Whey proteins	liquid, w/w	0.83 ± 0.04	0.82 ± 0.00	0.75 ± 0.00	0.72 ± 0.05	0.60
α-LA		0.14 ± 0.00	0.10 ± 0.00	0.39 ± 0.02	0.19	0.25
β-LG		0.51 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.00	0.06 7	0.35	Trace
LF	100 g	n.d.	0.17 ± 0.00	0.15 ± 0.01	0.48.10-3	0.15
Caseins	8	0.50 ± 0.03	0.53 ± 0.01	0.56 ± 0.01	0.48 ± 0.05	0.40
Lactose		5.6 ± 0.4	5.8 ± 0.4	5.6 ± 0.2	6.7 ± 0.9	7.6
Calcium		41.4 ± 0.7	41.1 ± 1.4	42.2 ± 0.7	52 ± 6	27
Chloride	мул	65.8 ± 1.7	69.6 ± 0.9	68.5 ± 0.4	44 ± 5	40
Iron	quid, v	0.42 ± 0.02	0.41 ± 0.02	0.43 ± 0.01	0.70 ± 0.11	0.50
Inorganic phosphorus	00 g li	21.1 ± 0.6	20.6 ± 0.6	20.8 ± 0.3	30 ± 3	15
Potassium	ng / 1(60.2 ± 6.3	63.4 ± 1.3	62.4 ± 0.4	67 ± 4	54
Sodium	~	21.5 ± 1.5	20.9 ± 1.2	25.0 ± 0.7	20 ± 2	18
Ash	%, м/м	0.18 ± 0.04	0.17 ± 0.04	0.16 ± 0.03	n.d.	n.d.
pH		6.82 ± 0.03	6.80 ± 0.02	6.81 ± 0.04	n.d.	n.d.

Table 2 Composition of the model IMFs - Comparison with the commercial IMFs and human term milk.

 5 The data of commercial IMF are obtained from Chatterton et al., 2013 for the α -LA, β -LG and LF contents and mean of the five 1st age commercial IMFs for the rest of elements: Guigoz, Gallia, Milumel 1 Lactel, Novalac and Bledilait Bledina.

⁶ The data of human term milk are obtained from Lönnerdal, Forsum, & Hambraeus, 1976, Altomonte, Salari, Licitra, & Martini, 2019, Wack, Lien, Taft, & Roscelli, 1997.

 7 Value of β -LG content in the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF is determined by calculation from the amount of β -LG in SMP and the proportion of SMP in the IMF.

IMEs	Whey proteins	Temperatures	k	R ²	D-value
1011 5	trieg proteins	(°C)	$(10^{-2} g^{1-n} L^{n-1} min^{-1})$	it it	(min)
Control	a-LA	67.5	0.5	0.994	421
		70	1.3	0.968	161
	$n = 1.2 \pm 0.2$	72.5	1.9	0.953	<mark>113</mark>
	$Z\text{-value} = (8.0 \pm 1.6)^{\circ}C$	75	6.0 ± 1.0	0.994	<mark>36 ± 6</mark>
		77.5	6.7	0.988	32
		80	7.9	0.997	<mark>27</mark>
	β-LG	67.5	0.3	0.999	<mark>318</mark>
		70	0.4	0.995	<mark>219</mark>
	$n = 1.6 \pm 0.1$	72.5	0.9	0.997	<mark>105</mark>
	<u>Z-value = (9.8 ± 2.7)°C</u>	75	2.2 ± 0.1	0.999	<mark>42 ± 3</mark>
		77.5	5.5	0.998	<mark>17</mark>
		80	10.8	0.988	9
LF^+	a-LA	67.5	0.7	0.980	<mark>338</mark>
		70	2.4	0.993	<mark>96</mark>
	$n = 1.2 \pm 0.2$	72.5	3.3	0.972	70
	$\mathbf{Z}\text{-value} = (7.8 \pm 1.7)^{\circ}\mathbf{C}$	75	6.6 ± 0.4	0.996	$\frac{35 \pm 2}{26}$
		//.5	8.8	0.999	26
	010	80	12.5	0.965	19
	p-LG	07.5	0.8	0.997	105 00
	$n = 15 \pm 0.2$	70	1.5	0.994	99 42
	$n = 1.5 \pm 0.2$ Z-value = $(7.9 \pm 1.7)^{\circ}C$	72.5	81+08	0.994	$\frac{42}{16+1}$
	$\frac{2}{2}$ value = (7.5 ± 1.7) C	77 5	26.2	0.996	5
		80	70.9	0.986	2
	LE	67.5	3.6	0.990	46
		70	5.0	0.980	34
	$n = 1.6 \pm 0.2$	72.5	6.9	0.989	24
	Z-value = (15.1 ± 3.0)°C	75	12.2 ± 1.8	0.998	14 ± 2
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	77.5	25.3	0.995	7
		80	42.8	0.973	<mark>4</mark>
$LF^+ \alpha - LA^+$	α-LA	67.5	0.2	0.971	<mark>522</mark>
		70	0.3	0.977	<mark>338</mark>
	$n = 1.5 \pm 0.5$	72.5	0.4	0.983	<mark>278</mark>
	$Z\text{-value} = (18.5 \pm 2.7)^{\circ}C$	75	0.6 ± 0.1	0.993	<mark>204 ± 20</mark>
		77.5	0.7	0.995	170
		80	0.9	0.990	122
	LF	67.5	2.6	0.969	63
	19103	/0	8.1	0.988	20
	$n = 1.8 \pm 0.3$	12.5	16.9	0.972	10 8 1 2
	$2-value = (8.2 \pm 2.0)$ C	75 775	21.5 ± 7.4 36.7	0.995	$\frac{0 \pm 3}{5}$
		80	42.3	0.982	4
	a-I A	67.5	0.3	0.975	1230
Pure protein		70	0.3	0.975	1019
solutions	$n = 0.5 \pm 0.3$	72 5	0.5	0.907	672
	$n = 0.5 \pm 0.5$ Z-value = $(23.4 \pm 4.4)^{\circ}C$	75	0.6	0.960	574
		77.5	0.9	0.990	380
		80	0.9	0.978	369
	LF	67.5	0.4	0.980	550
		70	1.3	0.994	151
	$n = 1.4 \pm 0.4$	72.5	2.8	0.984	<mark>71</mark>
	$Z\text{-}value = (6.4 \pm 1.4)^{\circ}C$	75	5.1	0.985	<mark>39</mark>
		77.5	6.6	0.990	<mark>30</mark>
		80	17.6	0.936	<mark>11</mark>

Table 3 Reaction orders n, Z-values, reaction rate constants k_n and D-values for the thermal denaturation of α -LA, β -LG and LF for the control, LF⁺, LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMFs and pure protein solutions, at temperature range 67.5-80°C.

The reaction orders n and the reaction rate constants k_n were determined by the linear regression slope of the equation (4). The n-values are the means \pm error deviation of reaction order values at each temperature. The Z-values correspond to means \pm error deviations from data calculated at each temperature. The k_n-values and D-values at 75°C represent an average \pm error deviation of three independent experiments. The unit of k_n is g¹⁻ⁿ.Lⁿ⁻¹.min⁻¹.

The "Pure protein solutions" correspond to the solutions of pure α -LA or LF with the identical quantity within the LF⁺ α -LA⁺ IMF and respecting the mineral and lactose contents of IMFs.