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Annotation data about multi criteria assessment methods used in the agri-food research: The french national institute for agricultural research (INRA) experience
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This data article contains annotation data characterizing Multi Criteria Assessment (MCA) Methods proposed in the agri-food sector by researchers from INRA, Europe’s largest agricultural research institute (INRA, http://institut.inra.fr/en). MCA can be used to assess and compare agricultural and food systems, and support multi-actor decision making and design of innovative systems for crop production, animal production and processing of agricultural products. These data are stored in a public repository managed by INRA (https://data.inra.fr; https://doi.org/10.15454/WB51LL).
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### Specifications Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject area</th>
<th>Multi Criteria Assessment (MCA) Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More specific subject area</td>
<td>Agri-food sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td>Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How data was acquired</td>
<td>A collection of 954 scientific papers from 2007 to 2017 extracted from Web Of Science (WOS) using two keywords WOS search queries, published by INRA researchers belonging to 13 scientific domains listed in Table 1 and annotated using 8 major characteristics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data format</td>
<td>Raw and analyzed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental factors</td>
<td>Classification of scientific papers in MCA or non-MCA is defined in this article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental features</td>
<td>Classification of scientific papers in 8 characteristics (Type of study, Purposes, Audience, Assessed dimensions, Assessed system/object; Spatial scale, Time scale, Actors’ contribution) is defined in this article.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data source location</td>
<td>INRA, FR-75000, Paris, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data accessibility</td>
<td>Data are accessible in a public repository (<a href="https://data.inra.fr">https://data.inra.fr</a>; <a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/WB51LL">https://doi.org/10.15454/WB51LL</a>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Value of the data

- A unique set of annotation data about Multi Criteria Assessment Methods proposed in the scientific literature in the agri-food sector
- These data can be used to analyze Multi Criteria Assessment Methods in a large spectrum of activities in the agri-food sector
- These data could serve as benchmark for researchers coping with Multi Criteria Assessment Methods in the agri-food sector or other fields of activity

### 1. Data

Scientific articles dealing with Multi Criteria Assessment (MCA) have been associated with annotations. These scientific papers have been extracted from the WOS using two WOS key-words queries (see section 2.1), and manually typed MCA or non MCA by a group of INRA experts (see section 2.2). The MCA articles have finally been classified according to 8 major characteristics (Type of study, Purposes, Audience, Assessed dimensions, Assessed system/object; Spatial scale, Time scale, Actors’ contribution), each of them being divided into several categories (see section 2.3).
These data (954 papers) have been grouped in 1 global Excel file, and split into 13 Excel files corresponding to scientific domains to cover the diversity of the disciplinary approaches and applications developed in INRA (Table 1). Redundancies may exist between the 13 Excel files as some articles may appear in several scientific domains.

These data are stored in a INRA institutional data repository powered by Dataverse (https://data.inra.fr/).

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

The annotation of scientific papers has been done in 3 steps. Firstly, the set of papers have been extracted from the WOS using a set of key-words. These search queries were performed mid 2017. The resulting corpus of papers (4920 papers) has been manually typed MCA (954 papers) or non MCA (3966 papers) by domain experts using a set of positive and negative criteria defining the notion of MCA articles. In the last step, MCA-typed articles have been annotated using 8 characteristics and associated modalities.

2.1. Step 1 WOS search queries

Two queries have been created to extract articles from the WOS. The first one target articles which refers explicitly to MCA methods. The second one aims papers which propose methods to compare alternatives or directly compare alternatives using several criteria without explicit references to MCA methods.

Query 1 (MCA explicit): Field1 AND Field2 AND Field3 with:
Field1/* Address INRA */
(AD=((France or guad* OR fr* guian* OR kourou OR french* OR Fr pol* OR belg* OR W Ind Assoc St) SAME ((FRENCH INST AGR & FOOD RES* CTR) OR (FRENCH INST AGR* RES*) OR (FRENCH NAT* INST AGR SCI) OR (INCRA) OR (INRA) OR (INST NACL RECH AGR*) OR (INST NATL RECH AGRON) OR (INST NATL RECH A GRONOM) OR (INST NATL RECH ARGON) OR (INST* RECH* AGRON*) OR (INST SCI RECH AGR*) OR (INST* NAT* REC* AGR*) OR

Table 1
List of articles grouped by application domain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Table DOI</th>
<th>Amount of MCA articles</th>
<th>Amount of non-MCA articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agri-food (global file)</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/WB51LL">https://doi.org/10.15454/WB51LL</a></td>
<td>954</td>
<td>4920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Bioproduct Engineering</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/R2E0XD">https://doi.org/10.15454/R2E0XD</a></td>
<td>265</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition, Chemical Food Safety and Consumer Behaviour</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/XQONFE">https://doi.org/10.15454/XQONFE</a></td>
<td>112</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment and agronomy</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/RSFBTX">https://doi.org/10.15454/RSFBTX</a></td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Physiology and Livestock Systems</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/YOZ5LV">https://doi.org/10.15454/YOZ5LV</a></td>
<td>236</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Genetics</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/DACDJM">https://doi.org/10.15454/DACDJM</a></td>
<td>198</td>
<td>541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Science, Agriculture and Food, Rural Development and Environment</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/RZKKWG">https://doi.org/10.15454/RZKKWG</a></td>
<td>169</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbiology and the Food Chain</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/FUGVMT">https://doi.org/10.15454/FUGVMT</a></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science for Action and Development</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/TW5WAX">https://doi.org/10.15454/TW5WAX</a></td>
<td>115</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Health and Environment</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/3SI1GB">https://doi.org/10.15454/3SI1GB</a></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Biology and Breeding</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/T3J8EP">https://doi.org/10.15454/T3J8EP</a></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Health</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/UTACZ6">https://doi.org/10.15454/UTACZ6</a></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest, Grassland and Freshwater Ecology</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/KTV4NG">https://doi.org/10.15454/KTV4NG</a></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied Mathematics and Informatics</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.15454/VH0Q8B">https://doi.org/10.15454/VH0Q8B</a></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Field 2/* Topic */ (see also Fig. 1).

MCDA OR "multi-criteria" decision-aid OR "multicriteria" decision-aid OR "multiple criteria" decision-aid OR "multi-criteria" decision-analysis OR "multicriteria" decision-analysis OR "multiple criteria" decision-analysis OR MCDM OR "multi-criteria" decision-making OR "multicriteria" decision-making OR "multiple criteria" decision-making OR MODM OR "multi-objective" decision-making OR "multiple objective" decision-making OR MADM OR "multi-attribute" decision-making OR "multiple attribute" decision-making OR MCDS OR "multi-criteria" decision-support OR "multicriteria" decision-support OR "multiple criteria" decision-support OR MCRA OR "multi-criteria" risk-analysis OR "multicriteria" risk-analysis OR "multiple criteria" risk-analysis OR MAUT OR "multi-attribute" utility-theory OR "multiple attribute utility theory" OR MAVT OR "multi-attribute" value-theory OR "multiple attribute value theory" OR MACBETH OR AHP OR "analytic hierarchy process" OR Outranking OR ELECTRE OR PROMETHEE OR MACROS OR DEX OR DEX QUALIFLEX OR ORESTE OR EVAMIX OR MELCHIOR OR ARGUS OR DEA OR "data-envelope" analysis OR FisPro OR DMD OR "Discrete multicriteria" decision

**Fig. 1.** Diagram describing the distribution of keywords for the Field 2 (Topic) of Query 1.


Query 2 (non MCA explicit): Field1 AND Field2 AND Field3 AND Field4 with:

Field1/* Address INRA, same as in Query 1 */

Field2/* Topic */

/evaluat OR Assess OR decision OR optim OR design OR selection
2.2. Step 2 selection of MCA articles

A set of positive and negative criteria has been defined and used to classify articles extracted from the WOS in step 1 as MCA or Non-MCA.

The papers have been classified as Non-MCA if they present a study of one of the following types:

1. A descriptive study based on a set of variables/indicators not interpreted in terms of comparison of alternative scenarios;
2. The design of a phenomena predictive model (statistical, numerical, ...) except if it is explicitly integrated in a broader approach of multicriteria assessment;
3. A mono-objective optimisation study without constraints.

The papers have been classified as MCA if they present a study of one of the following types:

4. A study of several alternatives based on several criteria/indicators with interpretation (hierarchicalisation, ranking, comparisons, ...), even criterion by criterion;
5. A study based on the design of aggregated indicators representing a phenomenon/concept non measurable;
6. A multi-objective optimisation study or mono-objective with constraints expressed on criteria;
7. A study about methodologies/methods explicitly linked to a MCA approach;
8. Strategic/opinion paper about MCA approaches or issues requiring MCA methods;
9. A study which identifies a list of criteria taken into account to assess a system/property/concept.

Classification has been done in two steps. In each scientific domain (see Table 1), a double-blind annotation has been done on at least 50 randomly drawn articles to train the annotators/experts. During this first annotation step (consensus ranging from 70 to 92% between the annotators of a given scientific domain), the classification rules (MCA or non-MCA criteria) were defined; 2) The remaining set of articles has been annotated by at least one annotator.

2.3. Step 3 MCA articles characterization

The MCA articles have been classified according to 8 major characteristics, each of them being divided into several categories. In each Excel file, in the thumbnail “EMC_YES”, columns A to P provide information about articles results of the bibliographic search. They have been filled automatically. Columns Q to BZ correspond to MCA articles characterization presented below.
1. **Type of study** (exclusive: one response only)

5 categories have been defined:

i) Specific methodological issues, which are not covered by choice ii) e.g., scale change, functional units, uncertainty management; Examples are study on sensitivity of DEXi-based decision tree [1]), spatial issue in Life Cycle Assessment [2];

ii) Development of generic methods: a method that does not propose a given list of criteria but makes it possible to define, choose, organize, aggregate or treat criteria. Examples are ELECTRE [3]; PROMETHEE [4] or development of decision support system [5];

iii) Development of methods dedicated to MCA: a method with its given criteria/indicators, its framework to organize and aggregate them. An example is the MASC method [6], developed from DEXi [7].

iv) Use/Application of method dedicated to MCA: articles applying method, without development, to case studies (articles using Life Cycle Assessment are in this type, see for instance [8]);

v) Applications with no dedicated method: applications using a list of indicators to compare options without a MCA method (this type includes, among other possibilities, studies examining different scenarios with respect to different criteria/indicators with some interpretation (hierarchy, typology, comparisons, etc.), even criterion by criterion, see for instance [9].

vi) Others: comparison of methods, reviews, position papers, see for instance [10,11].

2. **Purposes** (definition of the objectives of the study) – Multiple choices possible

We used the classification of purposes adapted from Lairez et al. [12,13].

7 categories:

i) To sensitize/structure actions (e.g., prioritize research actions)

ii) To deliver new knowledge (state evolution, comparison of systems), e.g., dashboards

iii) To report, e.g., on the achievement of a goal within an action plan (“external or internal reporting”), or on the compliance with regulation

iv) To identify elements of an option to improve: assessing the strengths and weaknesses of options

v) To choose, sort out, rank options [12,13].

vi) To access to new market (e.g., getting a label)

vii) To promote (e.g., nutritional or environmental facts)

The two last categories are targeted when the MCA is oriented towards communication, with or without immediate benefits.

3. **Audience** – Multiple choices possible

The targeted audience is the one mentioned (or suggested) in the abstract of the paper and split in 6 categories

i) Scientists

ii) Development engineers (technical institutes, engineering consultants, chamber of agriculture, etc.)

iii) Farmers

iv) Industrials, processors, manufacturers

v) private and associate stakeholders (NGO, associations of consumers, associations of farmers, etc.)

vi) public stakeholders (Ministries, local government, EU, water agencies, environment agencies, health and safety agency, etc.)
4. **Assessed dimensions** — Multiple choices possible

8 categories
i) Functional and technical performances  
ii) Economic  
iii) Environmental  
iv) Social  
v) Product quality  
vi) Human health (ex diet)  
vii) Animal and plant health and welfare  
viii) Ecosystem services

5. **Assessed system/object** — Multiple choices possible

10 categories  
i) Plant  
ii) Animal  
iii) Plant and animal  
iv) Micro-organisms  
v) Processing  
vi) Food  
vii) Human organization: socio-economic institutions, farms, industrial sector...  
viii) Health (pharmaceutical, medical, human genetics, etc.)  
ix) Natural areas: ecosystem studied as a whole (fauna, flora, biodiversity, etc.). Examples: rivers, soils, forests, ...  
ix) Other, to be specified

6. **Spatial scale** — Multiple choices possible

6 categories  
i) Individual (plant/animal/tree/unit operation/a specific food/bacteria, etc.)  
ii) Collection of individuals, population (field/herd/forest stands/process/collection of food, etc.)  
iii) System (farm/diet/forest/factory/ecosystem)  
iv) Territory/supply chain  
v) Nation/World regions (ex EU)  
vi) Global

7. **Time scale** — Multiple choices possible (for example, LCAs can be both static and be applied to the life-cycle of production)

4 categories  
i) Static: instantaneous picture of a system, or a temporal approach (could include comparative or repeated static analysis)  
ii) Dynamic  
iii) Scale of a production cycle (lifetime of an organism/human; production cycle...)  
iv) Scale of the year  
v) Several years; long-term

8. **Contribution of actors** — Multiple choices possible

An actor is defined as a person consulted for the study (other than the authors), including scientists consulted as experts, to define weights.
7 categories
  i) Initial choice of the methods
  ii) Definition of criteria and indicators
  iii) Interpretation of indicators (judgment, preferences, etc.)
  iv) Opinion on aggregation (weighting, etc.)
  v) Not specified
  vi) Other, to be specified
  vii) Irrelevant (no actor contribution)
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